

Three Positive Solutions for One-Dimensional p-Laplacian Boundary Value Problem with a Derivative Argument¹

Bo Sun^{a,2}, Weigao Ge^a, Ying Qu^b and Liping Du^c

^a Department of Mathematics
Beijing Institute of Technology
Beijing 100081, P. R. China

^b College of Applied Mathematics
Central University of Finance and Economics
Beijing 100081, P. R. China

^c Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Hebei Polytechnic University
Hebei 063009, P. R. China

Abstract

By applying a fixed point theorem due to Avery and Peterson, we study the existence of at least three positive solutions for a three point one-dimensional p-Laplacian boundary value problem. The interesting point is the nonlinear term f is involved with the first-order derivative explicitly.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 34B10, 34B15

Keywords: Positive solutions; Fixed point theorem; p-Laplacian

¹This work is sponsored by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10671012) and the Doctoral Program Foundation of Education Ministry of China (20050007011).

²e-mail addresses: sunbo19830328@163.com (B. Sun), gew@bit.edu.cn (W. Ge)

1. Introduction

In this paper, we will consider the positive solutions for the one-dimensional p -Laplacian differential equation,

$$(\phi_p(u'(t)))' + q(t)f(t, u(t), u'(t)) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad (1.1)$$

subject to the following boundary condition,

$$u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = u(\eta), \quad (1.2)$$

where $\phi_p(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$ with $p > 1$, is called a p -Laplacian operator. $\eta \in (0, 1)$ is a constant.

For (1.1), when the nonlinear term f does not depend on the first-order derivative, many authors study the equation,

$$(\phi_p(u'(t)))' + a(t)f(u) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1). \quad (1.3)$$

One may see [1-6] and references therein. However, their works were done under the assumption that f is allowed to depend just on u , the first order derivative u' is not involved explicitly in the nonlinear term. More recently, Guo and Ge in [6] consider the existence of positive solutions for the following boundary value problem,

$$\begin{cases} x'' + f(t, x, x') = 0, & 0 < t < 1, \\ x(0) = 0, & u(1) = \alpha u(\eta). \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

They obtain the existence of at least one positive solution for (1.4).

So, motivated by all the works above, we are concerned with the existence of positive solutions for the problem (1.1), (1.2).

Throughout, it is assumed that:

(H1) : $f \in C([0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \times R, [0, \infty))$

(H2) : $q(t)$ is a nonnegative continuous function defined on $(0, 1)$, and $q(t) \not\equiv 0$ on any

subinterval of $(0, 1)$. In addition, $\int_0^1 q(t)dt < +\infty$.

2. Preliminary

In this section, we give some preliminaries and definitions.

Definition 2.1. Let E be a real Banach space over \mathbb{R} . A nonempty closed set $P \subset E$ is said to be a cone provided that,

◇ $au + bv \in P$ for all $u, v \in P$ and all $a \geq 0, b \geq 0$, and

◇ $u, -u \in P$ implies $u = 0$. □

Definition 2.2. The map α is said to be a nonnegative, continuous, concave functional on a cone P of a real Banach space E , if $\alpha : P \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous, and

$$\alpha(tu + (1-t)v) \geq t\alpha(u) + (1-t)\alpha(v),$$

for all $u, v \in P$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Similarly, we say the map β is a nonnegative, continuous, convex functional on a cone P of a real Banach space E , if $\beta : P \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous, and

$$\beta(tu + (1-t)v) \leq t\beta(u) + (1-t)\beta(v),$$

for all $u, v \in P$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. □

Let γ and θ be nonnegative continuous convex functionals on P , α be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on P , and ψ be a nonnegative continuous functional on P . Then for positive real numbers a, b, c and d , we define the following convex sets,

$$P(\gamma, d) = \{u \in P \mid \gamma(u) < d\},$$

$$P(\gamma, \alpha, b, d) = \{u \in P \mid b \leq \alpha(u), \gamma(u) \leq d\},$$

$$P(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, c, d) = \{u \in P \mid b \leq \alpha(u), \theta(u) \leq c, \gamma(u) \leq d\},$$

and a closed set

$$R(\gamma, \psi, a, d) = \{u \in P \mid a \leq \psi(u), \gamma(u) \leq d\}.$$

To prove our main results, we need the following fixed point theorem due to Avery and Peterson in [7].

Theorem 2.1. Let P be a cone in a real Banach space E . Let γ and θ be nonnegative continuous convex functionals on P , α be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on P , and ψ be a nonnegative continuous functional on P satisfying $\psi(\lambda u) \leq \lambda\psi(u)$ for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, such that for some positive numbers M and d , $\alpha(u) \leq \psi(u)$ and $\|u\| \leq M\gamma(u)$, for all $u \in \overline{P(\gamma, d)}$. Suppose $T : \overline{P(\gamma, d)} \rightarrow \overline{P(\gamma, d)}$ is completely continuous and there exist positive numbers a, b , and c with $a < b$ such that,

(S1) : $\{u \in P(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, c, d) \mid \alpha(u) > b\} \neq \emptyset$ and $\alpha(Tu) > b$ for $u \in P(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, c, d)$;

(S2) : $\alpha(Tu) > b$, for $u \in P(\gamma, \alpha, b, d)$ with $\theta(Tu) > c$;

(S3) : $0 \notin R(\gamma, \psi, a, d)$, and $\psi(Tu) < a$ for $u \in R(\gamma, \psi, a, d)$ with $\psi(u) = a$.

Then T has at least three fixed points, u_1, u_2 , and $u_3 \in \overline{P(\gamma, d)}$, such that,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(u_i) &\leq d, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3, \quad b < \alpha(u_1), \\ a < \psi(u_2), \quad \text{with } \alpha(u_2) < b \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(u_3) < a. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

3. Existence of three positive solutions to (1.1), (1.2)

Let the Banach space $E = C^1[0, 1]$ be endowed with the norm, $\|u\| := \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} [u^2(t) + (u'(t))^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and define the cone $P \subset E$ by $P = \{u \in E \mid u(t) \geq 0, u(0) = 0, u \text{ is concave on } [0, 1]\}$. It follows from (H2) that there exists a natural number $k \geq \max\{\frac{1}{\eta}, \frac{2}{1-\eta}\}$ such that $0 < \int_{\frac{1}{k}}^{1-\frac{1}{k}} q(t)dt < +\infty$. For notational convenience, we denote,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= \phi_p^{-1}\left(\int_0^1 q(r)dr\right), \\ L &= \min\left\{\int_{\frac{1}{k}}^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} \phi_p^{-1}\left(\int_s^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} q(r)dr\right)ds, \int_{\frac{1}{k}}^{\eta} \phi_p^{-1}\left(\int_s^{\eta} q(r)dr\right)ds + \int_{\frac{1+\eta}{2}}^{1-\frac{1}{k}} \phi_p^{-1}\left(\int_{\frac{1+\eta}{2}}^s q(r)dr\right)ds\right\}, \\ Q &= \max\left\{\int_0^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} \phi_p^{-1}\left(\int_s^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} q(r)dr\right)ds, \int_0^{\eta} \phi_p^{-1}\left(\int_s^{\eta} q(r)dr\right)ds + \int_{\frac{1+\eta}{2}}^1 \phi_p^{-1}\left(\int_{\frac{1+\eta}{2}}^s q(r)dr\right)ds\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define the nonnegative, continuous, concave functional α , the nonnegative continuous convex functional θ , γ , and the nonnegative, continuous functional ψ on the cone P by

$$\gamma(u) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |u'(t)|, \quad \psi(u) = \theta(u) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |u(t)|, \quad \alpha(u) = \min_{\frac{1}{k} \leq t \leq 1-\frac{1}{k}} |u(t)|.$$

Then from the definition of $\|u\|$, we have $\|u\| \leq \sqrt{2} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \{\theta(u), \gamma(u)\}$. And with the concavity of u , for all $u \in P$, we have $\frac{1}{k}\theta(u) \leq \alpha(u) \leq \theta(u) = \psi(u)$.

The following lemma follows easily.

Lemma 3.1. For $u \in P$, $\|u\| \leq \sqrt{2}\gamma(u)$. \square

Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 3.1. Let $0 < a < b \leq \frac{d}{2k}$ be given, and suppose that f satisfies the following conditions,

- (ℓ_1): $f(t, \omega, v) \leq \phi_p(\frac{d}{\lambda})$ for $(t, \omega, v) \in [0, 1] \times [0, \sqrt{2}d] \times [-d, d]$;
- (ℓ_2): $f(t, \omega, v) > \phi_p(\frac{kb}{L})$ for $(t, \omega, v) \in [\frac{1}{k}, 1 - \frac{1}{k}] \times [b, kb] \times [-d, d]$;
- (ℓ_3): $f(t, \omega, v) < \phi_p(\frac{a}{Q})$ for $(t, \omega, v) \in [0, 1] \times [0, a] \times [-d, d]$;

Then the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2) has at least three positive solutions u_1, u_2, u_3 such that,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |u'_i(t)| \leq d, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3, \quad b < \min_{\frac{1}{k} \leq t \leq 1 - \frac{1}{k}} |u_1(t)|, \\ a < \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |u_2(t)|, \quad \text{with } \min_{\frac{1}{k} \leq t \leq 1 - \frac{1}{k}} |u_2(t)| < b, \quad \text{and } \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |u_3(t)| < a. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: We define an operator $T : P \rightarrow E$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)(t) = \\ \begin{cases} \int_0^t \phi_p^{-1}(\int_s^\sigma q(r)f(r, u(r), u'(r))dr)ds, & 0 \leq t \leq \sigma, \\ \int_0^\eta \phi_p^{-1}(\int_s^\sigma q(r)f(r, u(r), u'(r))dr)ds + \int_t^1 \phi_p^{-1}(\int_\sigma^s q(r)f(r, u(r), u'(r))dr)ds, & \sigma \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\sigma_u \in [\eta, 1]$ is the solution of the equation,

$$\int_\eta^x \phi_p^{-1}(\int_s^x q(r)f(r, u(r), u'(r))dr)ds = \int_x^1 \phi_p^{-1}(\int_x^s q(r)f(r, u(r), u'(r))dr)ds.$$

From the definition of T , we deduce that for each $u \in P$, there is $Tu \in C^1[0, 1]$ is nonnegative and satisfies (1.2). Moreover, $(Tu)(\sigma)$ is the maximum value of Tu on $[0, 1]$. It is easy to obtain that $(Tu)'$ is continuous and non-increasing in $[0, 1]$, then we have $Tu \in P$. Then, a standard argument shows that $T : P \rightarrow P$ is completely continuous, and each fixed point of T in P is a solution of (1.1), (1.2).

We now show that the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. The proof is divided into the following four steps.

(1) : We will show that condition (ℓ_1) implies that, $T : \overline{P(\gamma, d)} \rightarrow \overline{P(\gamma, d)}$. (3.2)

In fact, for $u \in \overline{P(\gamma, d)}$, there is $\gamma(u) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |u'(t)| \leq d$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(Tu) &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |(Tu)'(t)| = \max\{(Tu)'(0), -(Tu)'(1)\} \\ &= \max\{\phi_p^{-1}(\int_0^\sigma q(r)f(r, u(r), u'(r))dr), \phi_p^{-1}(\int_\sigma^1 q(r)f(r, u(r), u'(r))dr)\} \leq \frac{d}{\lambda} = d. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have, $\gamma(Tu) \leq d$. Then, (3.2) holds.

(2) : We show that the condition (S1) in Theorem 2.1 holds.

Take $u(t) = -2kb(t^2 - t)$, for $t \in [0, 1]$. It is easy to see that $u(t) \in P(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, kb, d)$ and $\alpha(u) > b$. Hence, $\{u \in P(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, kb, d) \mid \alpha(u) > b\} \neq \emptyset$

\emptyset . Thus, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(Tu) &= \frac{1}{k} \min_{\frac{1}{k} \leq t \leq 1 - \frac{1}{k}} |(Tu)(t)| \geq \frac{1}{k} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |(Tu)(t)| = \frac{1}{k} Tu(\sigma) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{k} \min \left\{ \int_{\frac{1}{k}}^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} \phi_p^{-1} \left(\int_s^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} q(r) f(r, u(r), u'(r)) dr \right) ds, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \int_0^\eta \phi_p^{-1} \left(\int_s^\eta q(r) f(r, u(r), u'(r)) dr \right) ds + \int_{\frac{1+\eta}{2}}^{1-\frac{1}{k}} \phi_p^{-1} \left(\int_{\frac{1+\eta}{2}}^s q(r) f(r, u(r), u'(r)) dr \right) ds \right\} > \frac{1}{k} \frac{kb}{L} L = b. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain that, $\alpha(Tu) > b$, for all $u \in P(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, kb, d)$. Consequently, condition (S1) in Theorem 2.1 holds.

(3) : We prove (S2) of Theorem 2.1 is satisfied.

With $\theta(Tu) > kb$, for all $u \in P(\gamma, \alpha, b, d)$, we have, $\alpha(Tu) \geq \frac{1}{k} \theta(Tu) > \frac{1}{k} kb = b$. Thus, condition (S2) in Theorem 2.1 satisfied.

(4) : Finally, we prove (S3) of Theorem 2.1 is also satisfied. Obviously, as $\psi(0) = 0 < a$, so $0 \notin R(\gamma, \psi, a, d)$. With $\psi(u) = a$, for $u \in R(\gamma, \psi, a, d)$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(Tu) &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |(Tu)(t)| = Tu(\sigma) \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \int_0^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} \phi_p^{-1} \left(\int_s^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} q(r) f(r, u(r), u'(r)) dr \right) ds, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \int_0^\eta \phi_p^{-1} \left(\int_s^1 q(r) f(r, u(r), u'(r)) dr \right) ds + \int_{\frac{1+\eta}{2}}^1 \phi_p^{-1} \left(\int_{\frac{1+\eta}{2}}^s q(r) f(r, u(r), u'(r)) dr \right) ds \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{a}{Q} \max \left\{ \int_0^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} \phi_p^{-1} \left(\int_s^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} q(r) dr \right) ds, \int_0^\eta \phi_p^{-1} \left(\int_s^1 q(r) dr \right) ds + \int_{\frac{1+\eta}{2}}^1 \phi_p^{-1} \left(\int_{\frac{1+\eta}{2}}^s q(r) dr \right) ds \right\} = a. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, condition (S3) in Theorem 2.1 holds.

Then, Theorem 3.1 is proved by Theorem 2.1. \square

4. Example

Example 4.1. Let $p = 3$ and $q(t) = 1$ in (1.1) and $\eta = \frac{1}{8}$ in (1.2), we consider the following boundary value problem,

$$\begin{aligned} (|u'(t)|u'(t))' + f(t, u(t), u'(t)) &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) &= u\left(\frac{1}{8}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$f(t, \omega, v) = \begin{cases} t + 9600 \omega^{10} + \frac{1}{20} \left(\frac{v}{5 \times 10^{10}} \right)^3, & \omega \leq 16, \\ t + 9600 \cdot 16^{10} + \frac{1}{20} \left(\frac{v}{5 \times 10^{10}} \right)^3, & \omega \geq 16. \end{cases}$$

Choose $a = \frac{2}{3}, b = 1, k = 16, d = 5 \times 10^{10}$, then it is easy to verify that the conditions of Theorem 3.1 hold. So, we have that boundary value problem (4.1) has at least three positive solutions u_1, u_2, u_3 such that,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |u'_i(t)| \leq 5 \times 10^{10}, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3, \quad 1 < \min_{\frac{1}{16} \leq t \leq \frac{15}{16}} |u_1(t)|, \\ \frac{2}{3} < \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |u_2(t)|, \quad \text{with } \min_{\frac{1}{16} \leq t \leq \frac{15}{16}} |u_2(t)| < 1, \quad \text{and } \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |u_3(t)| < \frac{2}{3}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] X. He, W. Ge, Multiple positive solutions for one-dimensional p-Laplacian boundary value problem, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 15(2002)937-943.
- [2] Y. Guo, W. Ge, Positive solutions for three point boundary value problems with dependence on the first order derivative, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 286(2003)491-508.
- [3] H. Lü, D. O'Regan and C. Zhong, Multiple positive solutions for the one-dimensional singular p-Laplacian equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 133(2002)407-422.
- [4] D. Ma, Z. Du and W. Ge, Existence and iteration of monotone positive solutions for multipoint boundary value problems with p-Laplacian operator, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 50(2005)729-739.
- [5] H. Su, Z. Wei and B. Wang, The existence of positive solutions for a nonlinear four-point singular boundary value problem with a p-Laplacian operator, *Nonlinear Anal.* 66(2007)2204-2217.
- [6] Y. Guo, W. Ge, Positive solutions for three-point boundary value problems with dependence on the first order derivative, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 290(2004)291-301.
- [7] R. Avery, A. Peterson, Three positive fixed points of nonlinear operators on ordered Banach spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 42(2001)313-322.

Received: November 14, 2007