On the Number of Partitions of Sets
and Natural Numbers

Hamzeh Torabi, J. Behboodian and S. M. Mirhosseini

Department of Statistics, Yazd University, Yazd, Iran
htorabi@yazduni.ac.ir

Abstract

In this article, we first state some relations about the number of partitions of a set under some particular conditions and then we give a new relation about the number of partitions of an n-set, i.e., Bell number $B(n)$. Finally, we give some formulas to count partitions of a natural number n, i.e., partition function $P(n)$.

1   Introduction

Partitions of sets and natural numbers have been a very attractive subject during the recent decades. Partitions play important roles in such diverse areas of mathematics as combinatorics, Lie theory, representation theory, mathematical physics, and the theory of special functions. Because of some applications of this subject, mathematicians have given some formulas in this regard. Up to now, the number of partitioning of sets and natural numbers was considered by some authors; for more details about partitions of sets and other related subjects, see for example [8], [10], [15], [17], [18], [19], [20], [21], and [22], and for partitions of natural numbers and other related concepts, see for example [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [9], [12], [13], and [14].

In this article, using some elementary tools of combinatorial analysis- see [6], [7], [11], [16], and [23]- we give some alternative formulas for theses problems, considering also some special cases.

2   Partitions of sets

Definition 2.1 .   *A partition of a set A is any sequence of subsets $A_1, ..., A_m$*

of A, such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} A_i = A$ *and* $A_i \cap A_j = \phi, \forall i \neq j$.

The number of partitions of a set of size n (n-set) is called the Bell number, in honor of famous mathematician "Eric Temple Bell" (1883-1960), and denoted by $B(n)$. By convention we agree that B(0)=1. Using Definition 2.1, for n=1,2,3, we have $B(1) = 1$, $B(2) = 2$, B(3)=5 and so on.

In the following lemma, we state a relation for the number of partitions of an n-set such that in every partition we have at least a subset with $n - j$ elements, $j = 1, 2, ..., [\frac{n}{2}]$, $n \geq 2$.

Lemma 2.1 . *Let $B(n|n-j)$ be the number of partitions of a set with n elements in which there exists at least a subset with $n - j$ elements, $j = 1, 2, ..., [\frac{n}{2}]$. Then for $n \geq 2$, we have*

$$
B(n|n-j) = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{j} B(j), & j = 1, \ldots, [\frac{n}{2}] - 1 \\ \binom{n}{[\frac{n}{2}]} (B([\frac{n}{2}]) - \frac{1}{2}\delta([\frac{n}{2}], \frac{n}{2})), & j = [\frac{n}{2}] \end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\delta(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & x = y \\ 0, & x \neq y \end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let $j \in \{1, 2, ..., [\frac{n}{2}] - 1\}$. Because $n - j > \frac{n}{2}$, the statement "at least a subset with $n - j$ elements" is equivalent to "exactly a subset with $n - j$ elements". $n - j$ elements from n elements can be selected in $\binom{n}{j} = \binom{n}{n-j}$ ways. But the remaining j elements can be partitioned in $B(j)$ ways. Hence

$$
B(n|n-j) = \binom{n}{j} B(j), \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, [\frac{n}{2}] - 1.
$$

But,

$$
n - [\frac{n}{2}] = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2}, & if\ n\ is\ even \\ \frac{n+1}{2}, & if\ n\ is\ odd \end{cases}
$$

Therefore, if n is odd, we have $n - [\frac{n}{2}] > \frac{n}{2}$, hence

$$
B(n|n - [\frac{n}{2}]) = \binom{n}{[\frac{n}{2}]} B([\frac{n}{2}]).
$$

If n is even, we cannot partition the remaining $\frac{n}{2}$ elements unconditionally, because when the set is partitioned in two subsets with $\frac{n}{2}$ elements, $\frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{[\frac{n}{2}]}$

partitions are counted twice and therefore in this case, we have

$$B(n|n - [\frac{n}{2}]) = \begin{pmatrix} n \\ [\frac{n}{2}] \end{pmatrix} B([\frac{n}{2}]) - \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} n \\ [\frac{n}{2}] \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

Example 2.1 . *Find the number of partitions of a set with 5 elements in which there exists exactly a subset with*
(a) *4 elements;*
(b) *3 elements.*

Solution.
(a) we have $B(5|4) = \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} B(1) = 5 \times 1 = 5$:

$\{1,2,3,4\},\{5\}$ $\{1,2,3,5\},\{4\}$ $\{1,2,4,5\},\{3\}$ $\{1,3,4,5\},\{2\}$ $\{2,3,4,5\},\{1\}$

(b) we have $B(5|3) = \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} B(2) = 10 \times 2 = 20$:

$\{1,2,3\},\{4,5\}$ $\{1,2,3\},\{4\},\{5\}$ $\{1,2,4\},\{3,5\}$ $\{1,2,4\},\{3\},\{5\}$
$\{1,3,4\},\{2,5\}$ $\{1,3,4\},\{2\},\{5\}$ $\{2,3,4\},\{1,5\}$ $\{2,3,4\},\{1\},\{5\}$
$\{1,2,5\},\{3,4\}$ $\{1,2,5\},\{3\},\{4\}$ $\{1,3,5\},\{2,4\}$ $\{1,3,5\},\{2\},\{4\}$
$\{2,3,5\},\{1,4\}$ $\{2,3,5\},\{1\},\{4\}$ $\{1,4,5\},\{2,3\}$ $\{1,4,5\},\{2\},\{3\}$
$\{2,4,5\},\{1,3\}$ $\{2,4,5\},\{1\},\{3\}$ $\{3,4,5\},\{1,2\}$ $\{3,4,5\},\{1\},\{2\}.$

△

Example 2.2 . *Find the number of partitions of a 4-set with at least a subset with 2 elements($B(4|2)$).*

Solution. Using Lemma2.1, we have

$$B(4|2) = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} (B(2) - \frac{1}{2}) = 9.$$

These 9 partitions are as follows:

$$\{1,2\},\{3,4\} \quad \{1,3\},\{2,4\} \quad \{1,4\},\{2,3\}$$
$$\{1,2\},\{3\},\{4\} \quad \{1,3\},\{2\},\{4\} \quad \{1,4\},\{2\},\{3\}$$
$$\{2,3\},\{1\},\{4\} \quad \{2,4\},\{1\},\{3\} \quad \{3,4\},\{1\},\{2\}.$$

△

Now, we state two other lemmas about the number of partitions of a set with n elements in which all subsets have m elements. We indicate this number by $P_m(n)$.

Lemma 2.2 .   *If $m|n$ (i.e. there exist a natural number k such that $n=km$), then*

$$P_m(n) = \frac{1}{(\frac{n}{m})!} \begin{pmatrix} n \\ m, m, \dots, m \end{pmatrix} = \frac{n!}{(\frac{n}{m})! m!^{(\frac{n}{m})}}.$$

Proof.   The number of ways that we can distribute n elements to $\frac{n}{m}$ subsets, such that in every subset we have m elements, is

$$\frac{1}{(\frac{n}{m})!} \begin{pmatrix} n \\ m, m, \dots, m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, by using definition of multinomial coefficients, this lemma is proved. □

Lemma 2.3 .   *Let $P'_m(n)$ indicate the number of partitions of an n-set to maximal number of subsets with m elements. We have*

$$P'_m(n) = \begin{pmatrix} n \\ m[\frac{n}{m}] \end{pmatrix} \frac{(m[\frac{n}{m}])!}{[\frac{n}{m}]! m!^{[\frac{n}{m}]}} \times B(n - m[\frac{n}{m}]).^1$$

Proof. $m[\frac{n}{m}]$ is the greatest multiple of m that is less than or equal to n. These $m[\frac{n}{m}]$ elements are selected in $\begin{pmatrix} n \\ m[\frac{n}{m}] \end{pmatrix}$ ways. But the remaining $(n - m[\frac{n}{m}])$ elements must be partitioned arbitrarily. Thus, the relation is proved.   □

Lemma 2.4 .   *Let $m|n$ and $P_{m|n_1,\dots n_j}(n)$ indicate the number of partitions of an n-set into subsets of size m, such that in j subsets from m special elements of the set, there exist in numbers $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_j$ elements , $j = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n}{m}$, $\sum_{i=1}^{j} n_i = m$. We have*

$$P_{m|n_1,\dots,n_j}(n) = \frac{1}{j!} \begin{pmatrix} m \\ n_1, n_2, \dots, n_j \end{pmatrix} \times$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} n-m \\ m-n_1, m-n_2, \dots, m-n_j, n-jm \end{pmatrix} \frac{(n-jm)!}{(\frac{n-jm}{m})! m!^{(\frac{n-jm}{m})}}.$$

Proof.   The number of ways in which m elements can be distributed to j subsets, with numbers $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_j$ is

$$\frac{1}{j!} \begin{pmatrix} m \\ n_1, n_2, \dots, n_j \end{pmatrix}.$$

But the number of elements of these j subsets isn't still m. Therefore $n-m$ of the remaining elements must be partitioned to $j+1$ subsets, in numbers $m - n_1, \dots, m-n_j$, and finally $n-jm$. This number is $\prod_{k=1}^{j} \begin{pmatrix} n - km + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} n_l \\ m - n_k \end{pmatrix}$,

---

[1] If $m|n$, then $P'_m(n) = P_m(n)$.

$n_0 = 0$ or $\begin{pmatrix} n-m \\ m-n_1, m-n_2, \ldots, m-n_j, n-jm \end{pmatrix}$. Now the $n-jm$ elements are remained that must be partitioned. Using Lemma 2.2 and the product axiom in combinatorial analysis, the relation is obtained. □

Theorem 2.1 . *If $m|n$, then*

$$P_m(n) = \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n}{m}} \sum_{\{(n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_j)| \sum_{i=1}^{j} n_i=m; n_i \geq 1, i=1,2,\ldots,j\}} \frac{1}{j!} \begin{pmatrix} m \\ n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_j \end{pmatrix} \times$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} n-m \\ m-n_1, m-n_2, \ldots, m-n_j, n-jm \end{pmatrix} \frac{(n-jm)!}{(\frac{n-jm}{m})! m!^{(\frac{n-jm}{m})}}.$$

Proof. Consider m special elements of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, for instance 1,2,...,m. These m elements can be distributed in $\frac{n}{m}$ arbitrary subsets. Consider a case that m elements in j subsets are distributed in numbers $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_j$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{n}{m}$, $\sum_{i=1}^{j} n_i = m$. But the number of these cases is $P_{m|n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_j}(n)$. Now by using Lemma 2.4 and summing over j, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{n}{m}$, the proof is completed. □

Example 2.3 . *Find the number of partitions of set $\{1, 2, \ldots, 9\}$ in subsets with 3 elements,*
(a) *without any condition;*
(b) *3 elements 1, 2, 3 are in 3 different subsets.*

Solution. **(a)** Using Lemma 2.2, we have

$$P_3(9) = \frac{9!}{3!3!^3} = 280.$$

(b) The number is $P_{3|1,1,1}(9)$. Now, Using Lemma 2.4, we have

$$P_{3|1,1,1}(9) = \frac{1}{3!} \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1, 1, 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 2, 2, 2, 0 \end{pmatrix} \times \frac{0!}{0! \, 3!^0} = 90.$$

△

Theorem 2.2 . *If $n \geq 1$, then*

$$B(n) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{\{(n_1,\ldots,n_j)| \sum_{i=1}^{n} n_i=n, n_i \geq 1, i=1,\ldots,j\}} \frac{1}{j!} \begin{pmatrix} n \\ n_1, \ldots, n_j \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. By above lemmas, the proof is obvious. □

3   Partition function

Definition 3.1 .   *A partition of a natural number n is any non-increasing sequence of natural numbers whose sum is n.*

In this section, we state some lemmas and theorems about $P(n)$, the number of partitions of natural number n. By convention, we agree that $P(0) = 1$. It can be shown that $P(1) = 1$, $P(2) = 2$, $P(3) = 3$, $P(4) = 5$ and so on.

Lemma 3.1 .   *Let $P(n|1, 2, ..., m)$ be the number of partitions of a natural number n, such that each summand is at most m. Then*

$$P(n|1, 2, \ldots, m) = \begin{cases} P(n); & m \geq n \\ \sum_{j=1}^{m} P(n-j|1, 2, \ldots, j); & m < n \end{cases}$$

Proof.   It is obvious that if $m \geq n$, then condition "at most m" has not any restriction. Therefore in this case, we have $P(n|1, 2, ..., m) = P(n)$. Now, let $m < n$. In this case the greatest summand is m. Because we can arrange summands from left to right, non-increasingly, if in a partition we have summand m, the remaining number $(n - m)$, must be partitioned; but not arbitrarily. This number must be partitioned such that the greatest summand of this partition is m. These numbers are $P(n - m|1, 2, ..., m)$. If we have summand $m - 1$, the number $n - (m - 1)$ must be partitioned such that any summand is not greater than $m-1$. This number is $P(n-(m-1)|1, 2, ..., m-1)$. Continuing this method until the first summand in left partition is 1, and summing on the number of all cases, the relation will be obtained.   □

Theorem 3.1 .   *We have*

$$P(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0 \\ \sum_{i=0}^{[\frac{n}{2}]} P(i), & n = 1, 2 \\ \sum_{i=0}^{[\frac{n}{2}]} P(i) + \sum_{i=[\frac{n}{2}]+1}^{n-1} P(i|1, 2, \ldots, n-i), & n \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

Proof.   It is stated that $P(0) = P(1) = 1$ and $P(2) = 2$. Now, let $n \geq 3$. If the first summand is $n - 1$, then there is one case. Hence in general, if the first summand is $n - i$, $i = 0, 1, ..., n - 1$, the remaining number i must be partitioned. Of course any summand can not be greater than $n - i$. But these numbers are $P(i|1, 2, ..., n - i)$. By summing on all cases, the proof is completed.   □

Lemma 3.2 .   *Let $P_1(i; n)$ be the number of partitions of a natural number n such that in every partition we have i summands 1, exactly. Now*

$$P_1(i; n) = P_1(0; n - i), \quad i = 0, 1, ..., n.$$

Proof. $P_1(i; n)$ has i summands 1, but the remaining number $(n - i)$ must be partitioned such that we have not any summand 1. □

Lemma 3.3 . *Let $P_1(0; n|2, 3, \ldots, m)$ indicate the number of partitions of number i without summand 1, such that summands can be $2, 3, \ldots, m$. Now,*

$$P_1(0; n) = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0 \\ 0, & n = 1 \\ \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} P_1(0; i), & n = 2, 3, 4 \\ \sum_{i=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} P_1(0; i) + \sum_{i=\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+1}^{n-2} P_1(0; i|2, 3, \ldots, n - i), & n \geq 5 \end{cases}$$

and also,

$$P_1(0; i|2, 3, \ldots, m) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=2}^{m} P_1(0; i - j|2, 3, \ldots, j), & m < i \quad and \quad i \geq 2 \\ P_1(0; i), & m \geq i \quad or \quad i \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Proof. It is obvious that $P_1(0; 0) = 1$ and $P_1(0; 1) = 0$. But

$$P_1(0; 2) = P_1(0; 0) = 1 \; , \; P_1(0; 3) = P_1(0; 0) + P_1(0; 1) = 1 + 0 = 1,$$

$$P_1(0; 4) = P_1(0; 0) + P_1(0; 1) + P_1(0; 2) = 1 + 0 + 1 = 2 \quad ^2$$

Acting similar to Lemma 3.1, the proof is completed. □

Theorem 3.2 . *We have*

$$P(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} P_1(i; n).$$

Proof. In each partition of natural number n, for the number of summands 1, there exist $n + 1$ cases: $0, 1, ..., n$. By definition of $P_1(i; n)$, the proof is completed. □

Example 3.1 . *Find the number of partitions of 9, by using*
(a) *Theorem 3.1;*
(b) *Theorem 3.2.*

Solution. (a)Using Theorem 3.1, we have

$$P(9) = \sum_{i=0}^{4} P(i) + \sum_{i=5}^{8} P(i|1, 2, \ldots, 9 - i),$$

but

$$P(0) = P(1) = 1 \; , \; P(2) = 2 \; , \; P(3) = 3 \; ,$$

---
[2]Two partitions of number 4 are: $4 = 4 \; , \; 4 = 2 + 2$.

$$P(4) \quad = \sum_{i=0}^{2} P(i) + \sum_{i=3}^{3} P(i|1, \ldots, 4-i)$$
$$= 4 + P(3|1) = 4 + 1 = 5,$$

$$P(5|1,2,3,4) \quad = \sum_{j=1}^{4} P(5-j|1,2,...,j)$$
$$= P(4|1) + P(3|1,2) + P(2|1,2,3) + P(1|1,2,3,4)$$
$$= 1 + 2 + 2 + 1$$
$$= 6,$$

$$P(6|1,2,3) \quad = P(5|1) + P(4|1,2) + P(3|1,2,3)$$
$$= 1 + 3 + 3$$
$$= 7,$$

$$P(7|1,2) \quad = P(6|1) + P(5|1,2)$$
$$= 1 + 3$$
$$= 4,$$

$$P(8|1) = 1.$$

Hence $P(9) = 30$.

b) Using Theorem 3.2, we have

$$P(9) = P_1(0;9) + P_1(1;9) + \ldots + P_1(9;9),$$

and by Lemma 3.2,

$$P(9) = P_1(0;9) + P_1(0;8) + \ldots + P_1(0;0).$$

But by Lemma 3.3, we have

$$P_1(0;0) = 1 \ , \ P_1(0;1) = 0 \ , \ P_1(0;3) = 1 \ , \ P_1(0;4) = 2,$$

$$P_1(0;5) = P_1(0;0) + P_1(0;1) + P_1(0;2) + P_1(0;3|2) = 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 = 2,$$

$$P_1(0;6) \quad = 1 + 0 + 1 + 1 + P_1(0;4|2)$$
$$= 3 + 1$$
$$= 4,$$

$$P_1(0;7) \quad = 1 + 0 + 1 + 1 + P_1(0;4|2,3) + P_1(0;5|2)$$
$$= 3 + 1 + 0$$
$$= 4,$$

$$P_1(0;8) \quad = 1 + 0 + 1 + 1 + 2 + P_1(0;5|2,3,4) + P_1(0;6|2)$$
$$= 5 + 1 + 1$$
$$= 7,$$

$$P_1(0;9) = 1 + 0 + 1 + 1 + 2 + P_1(0;5|2,3,4) + P_1(0;6|2,3) + P_1(0;7|2)$$
$$= 5 + 1 + 2 + 0$$
$$= 8.$$

Hence $P(9) = 30$.

$\triangle$

Result 3.1 .
(a) *Let $P(n|A), A \subset \mathbb{N}$, denote the number of partitions of n such that all summands belong to set A and $P(n|\exists s \in A)$ denote this number providing to we have at least a summand s belonging to A[3]. We have $P(n|A^c) = P(n) - P(n|\exists s \in A)$.*
(b) *The number of partitions such that the greatest summand is a multiple of m is $1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{m}-1} P(n - im|1, 2, ..., im)$ if $m|n$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{[\frac{n}{m}]} P(n - im|1, 2, ..., im)$ if $m \nmid n$.[4]*

Proof. Using the definitions, the proof is obvious. □

Remark 3.1 .
We have
$$P_1(0;n) = P(n|2, 3, ..., n).$$

Lemma 3.4 . *Let $P_{1,2,...,m}(n_1, n_2, ..., n_m; n)$ be the number of partitions of n such that in every partition, summands j, $j=1,2,...,m$ appear n_j times. We have*

$$P_{1,2,...,m}(n_1, n_2, ..., n_m; n) = P_{1,2,...,m}(0, 0, ..., 0; n - \sum_{j=1}^{m} j.n_j)$$

$$= P(n - \sum_{j=1}^{m} j.n_j | m+1, ..., n - \sum_{j=1}^{m} j.n_j - 1, n - \sum_{j=1}^{m} j.n_j); \quad n - \sum_{j=1}^{m} j.n_j \geq 0$$

Proof. We discard summands $1, 2, ..., m$ from partition of n and then we partition the remaining number, $n - \sum_{j=1}^{m} j.n_j$, such that in these recent partitions the summands $1, 2, ..., m$ do not appear. □

Theorem 3.3 . *We have*

$$P(n) = \sum_{\{(n_1,...,n_m):\sum_{j=1}^{m} j.n_j \leq n, n_j \geq 0, j=1,2,...,m\}} P_{1,2,...,m}(n_1, n_2, ..., n_m; n).$$

---

[3]For instance $P(n|i, i+1, ..., j)$ is the number of partitions of n such that all summands are at least i "and" at most j.

[4]Therefore the number of partitions of n, with the even greatest summand is $P(n) - \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} P(n - 2i|1, 2, ..., 2i)$ if n is even and $\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} P(n - 2i|1, 2, ..., 2i)$ if n is odd.

Proof. For calculating $P(n)$, we sum on $P_{1,2,...,m}(n_1, n_2, ..., n_m; n)$ over all $n'_j s$, $\sum_{j=1}^{m} j.n_j \leq n, n_j \geq 0, j = 1, 2, ..., m$. $\square$

Example 3.2 . *Find the number of partitions of 8 such that,*
(a) *at least a summand is less than 3;*
(b) *the greatest summand is even;*
(c) *summands 1 and 2 appear 2 times and 1 time, respectively.*

Solution. **(a)** Using Result 3.1 part **(a)**, we have

$$P(8|at \ least \ a \ summand \ is \ less \ than \ 3) = P(8) - P(8|3, 4, ..., 8).$$

But by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$P(8) = 1 + 1 + 2 + 3 + 5 + 5 + 4 + 1 = 22.$$

(b) By Result 3.1 part **(b)**,
$P(8|The \ greatest \ summand \ is \ even) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} P(8 - 2i|1, 2, ..., 2i) = 1 + P(6|1, 2) + P(4|1, 2, 3, 4) + P(2|1, 2, ..., 6) = 1 + 4 + 5 + 2 = 12.$
(c) The desired number is $P_{1,2}(2, 1; 8)$. By Lemma 3.4, We have

$$P_{1,2}(2, 1; 8) = P_{1,2}(0, 0; 4) = P(4|4) = 1.$$

This partition is $8 = 4 + 2 + 1 + 1$. $\triangle$

References

[1] Ahlgren, Scott & One, Ken, Addition and Counting: The Arithmetic of Partitions, Notices of the AMS, Volume 48, Number 9, pp. 978-984, 2001,

[2] Andrews, G. E., The Theory of Partitions. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press, 1998,

[3] Apostol, T. M., Introduction to Analytic Number Theory. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1976,

[4] Apostol, T. M., Rademacher's Series for the Partition Function. Ch. 5 in Modular Functions and Dirichlet Series in Number Theory, 2nd ed. New York: Springer-Verlag, pp. 94-112, 1997,

[5] Ashrafi, A. R., An Exact Expression for the Partition Function P(n), Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences, India,Vol. ,pp. , 2000,

[6] Eisen, M., Elemantary Combinatorial Analysis. New York, Gordon and Breach, Science Publishers , Inc.,1970,

[7] Beckenbach, E. F., Applied Combinatorial Mathematics. New York, John Wiley , Inc., 1964,

[8] Bell, E. T., Exponential Numbers. Amer. Math. Monthly 41, 411-419, 1934,

[9] Berndt, B. C., Ramanujan's Notebooks, Part IV. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1994,

[10] Conway, J. H. and Guy, R. K., In The Book of Numbers. New York: Springer-Verlag, pp. 91-94, 1996,

[11] David, F. N. and Barton, D. E., Combinatorial Chance. New York, Hafner Publishing Co. Inc., 1962,

[12] Hardy, G. H., Ramanujan's Work on Partitions and Asymptotic Theory of Partitions. Chs. 6 and 8 in Ramanujan: Twelve Lectures on Subjects Suggested by His Life and Work, 3rd ed. New York: Chelsea, pp. 83-100 and 113-131, 1999,

[13] Hirschhorn, M. D., Another Short Proof of Ramanujan's Mod 5 Partition Congruences, and More. Amer. Math. Monthly 106, 580-583, 1999,

[14] Jackson, D. and Goulden, I., Combinatorial Enumeration. New York: Academic Press, 1983,

[15] Gould, H. W., Bell & Catalan Numbers: Research Bibliography of Two Special Number Sequences, 6th ed. Morgantown, WV: Math Monongliae, 1985,

[16] Grimaldi, Ralph P., Discrete and Combinatorial Mathematics: an Applied Introduction, 3rd ed., Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1994,

[17] Lovasz, L., Combinatorial Problems and Exercises, 2nd ed. Amsterdam, Netherlands: North-Holland, 1993,

[18] Lunnon, W. F., Pleasants, P. A. B. and Stephens, N. M., Arithmetic Properties of Bell Numbers to a Composite Modulus, I. Acta Arith. 35, 1-16, 1979,

[19] Rota, G.-C., The Number of Partitions of a Set. Amer. Math. Monthly 71, 498-504, 1964,

[20] Sixdeniers, J.-M., Penson, K. A., and Solomon, A. I., Extended Bell and Stirling Numbers from Hypergeometric Functions. J. Integer Sequences 4, No. 01.1.4, 2001,

[21] Stanley, R. P., Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol. 1. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press, pp. 33-34, 1999,

[22] Stanley, R. P., Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol. 2. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press, p. 13, 1999,

[23] Tucker, Alan, Combinatorics, 2nd ed. New York, John Wiley, 1985.