

Fixed Point Theorems for the Generalized C -Contractions

P. Azhdari

Department of Statistics, Islamic Azad University
North Tehran Branch Iran
par_azhdari@yahoo.com

R. Farnoosh

Faculty of Mathematics, Iran University of Science and Technology
Tehran, Iran

Abstract

In this paper, by using the concept of p -convergency we obtain two fixed point theorems for (q_1, q_2) -contraction of (ϵ, λ) -type and generalized C -contraction.

Keywords: Probabilistic metric space, Fuzzy metric space, p -convergent, generalized C -contraction, (q_1, q_2) -contraction of (ϵ, λ) -type, fixed point

1 Introduction

Menger introduced a probabilistic metric space (briefly, PM-space) as a generalization of metric space [8]. In fact, he replaced the metric function $d : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ with a distribution function $F_{pq} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and then for any number x the value $F_{pq}(x)$ was interpreted the probability that the distance between p and q is less than x .

The first fixed point theorem in probabilistic metric space was proved by Sehgal and Bharucha-Reid for t -norm T_M [14]. A very interesting approach to the fixed point theory in probabilistic metric space is given in Tradiff's paper [15]. Radu introduced a stronger growth condition.

The class of probabilistic C -contractions which intensively studied in the fixed point theory in probabilistic metric spaces has been introduced by Hicks [6]. There are too many fixed point theorems which are in some sense generalizations of B -contraction and C -contraction classes. In [10], Mihet introduced

the notion of a q -contraction of (ϵ, λ) -type. In [11] generalization, a notion of C -contraction is given. In [9], Mihet proved the existence of fixed point for fuzzy contractive by using p -convergency. In [1], we proved alike theorems for B -contractions and C -contractions. Now, we extend these theorems to q -contractions of (ϵ, λ) -type and generalized C -contractions under weaker condition of p -convergency.

The structure of this paper is as follows: Section 2 recalls some notions and known results in fuzzy metric spaces and probabilistic contractions. In section 3, we will show two theorems for (q, q_1) -contraction of (ϵ, λ) -type and generalized C -contraction.

2 Preliminary Notes

We recall some concepts from probabilistic metric space, fuzzy metric space and contraction. For more details, we refer the reader to [5,13].

The class of generalized distribution functions (denoted by Δ_+) is the class of functions $F : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with the following properties:

- 1) $F(0) = 0$,
- 2) F is nondecreasing ,
- 3) F is left continuous on $(0, \infty)$.

If X is a nonempty set , a mapping F from $X \times X \rightarrow \Delta_+$ is called a probabilistic distance on X , and $F(x, y)$ is denoted by F_{xy} .

A triangular norm (shorter t -norm) is a binary operation on the unit interval $[0, 1]$ which the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1) $T(a, 1) = a$ for every $a \in [0, 1]$,
- 2) $T(a, b) = T(b, a)$ for every $a, b \in [0, 1]$,
- 3) $a \geq b, c \geq d \Rightarrow T(a, c) \geq T(b, d)$ $a, b, c \in [0, 1]$.
- 4) $T(T(a, b), c) = T(a, T(b, c))$,

Basic examples are t -norms T_L (Lukasiewicz t -norm), T_P and T_M , defined by $T_L(a, b) = \max\{a + b - 1, 0\}$, $T_P(a, b) = ab$ and $T_M(a, b) = \min\{a, b\}$.

The triple (X, F, T) , where X is a nonempty set, F is a probabilistic distance on X , and T is a t -norm, is called a generalized Menger space if it satisfies:

- 1) $F_{xx}(t) = 0$ for all $t > 0$,
- 2) $F_{xy}(t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$,
- 2) $F_{xy} = F_{yx} \quad \forall x, y \in X$,
- 3) $F_{xz}(s + t) \geq T(F_{xy}(s), F_{yz}(t)) \quad \forall x, y, z \in X, \forall s, t \geq 0$.

The (ϵ, λ) -topology on X is introduced by the family of neighborhoods

$\{U_x(\epsilon, \lambda)\}_{x \in X, \epsilon > 0, \lambda \in (0,1)}$, where $U_x(\epsilon, \lambda) = \{y \in X \mid F_{x,y}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda\}$. If (X, F, T) is a generalized Menger space with $\sup_{0 \leq t < 1} T(t, t) = 1$, then in the (ϵ, λ) -topology, X is a metrizable topological space.

A triple (X, M, T) , where X is nonempty set, T is a continuous t -norm and M is a fuzzy set on $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$, is called a fuzzy metric space in the sense of Kramosil and Michalek, when the following properties are satisfied [7]:

- 1) $M(x, y, 0) = 0 \quad \forall x, y \in X$,
- 2) $M(x, y, t) = 1 \quad \forall t > 0 \Rightarrow x = y$,
- 3) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$,
- 4) $M(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is leftcontinuous, $\forall x, y \in X$,
- 5) $M(x, z, t + s) \geq T(M(x, y, t), M(y, z, s))$, $\forall x, y, z \in X$ and $\forall t, s > 0$.

In [2] George and Veeramani defined, M is a fuzzy set on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ and (1), (4) are replaced, respectively, with (1)', (4)' below:

- 1)' $M(x, y, t) > 0$,
- 4)' $M(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous, $\forall x, y \in X$,

A sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in a fuzzy metric space (X, M, T) is a M -cauchy sequence in the sense of George and Veeramani if for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M(x_n, x_m, \epsilon) > 1 - \delta, \forall n \geq m \geq n_0$ and a G -cauchy sequence if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x_{n+m}, t) = 1$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}, t > 0$ [2,3,16]

Definition 2.1 [14]: A B-contraction on a probabilistic space (X, F) is a self-mapping f of X for which

$$F_{f(p)f(q)}(kt) \geq F_{pq}(t) \quad \forall p, q \in X, \forall t > 0,$$

where k is a fixed constant in $(0, 1)$.

Definition 2.2 [6]: Let X be a nonempty set and F be a probabilistic distance on X . A mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ is called a C-contraction if there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X, t > 0$

$$F_{xy}(t) > 1 - t \Rightarrow F_{f(x)f(y)}(kt) > 1 - kt.$$

There are some definitions for other types of contractions. For more details we refer the readers to [5].

Radu [12] showed that every C-contraction in a Menger space (X, F, T) with $T \geq T_L$ is actually a Banach contraction in the metric space (X, K) , where $K(x, y) = \sup\{t \geq 0 \mid t \leq 1 - F_{xy}(t)\}$ and proved a more general result.

There are some other types of contraction which are beyond the scope of this

paper but now we bring some generalization of these contractions. In [10], Mihet introduced the following definition.

Definition 2.3: Let (X, F) be a probabilistic metric space. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be a (q, q_1) -contraction of (ϵ, λ) -type, where $q, q_1 \in (0, 1)$ if the following implication holds for every p_1, p_2 :

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \forall \lambda \in (0, 1) \quad F_{p_1, p_2}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda \Rightarrow F_{f(p_1), f(p_2)}(q\epsilon) > 1 - q_1\lambda.$$

Now for presenting generalized C -contraction, let M be the family of all the mappings $m : \overline{R} \rightarrow \overline{R}$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1) $\forall t, s \geq 0 : m(t + s) \geq m(t) + m(s)$;
- 2) $m(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0$;
- 3) m is continuous.

Definition 2.4 [11]: Let (X, F) be a probabilistic metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$. The mapping f is a generalized C -contraction if there exist a continuous, decreasing function $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that $h(1) = 0$, $m_1, m_2 \in M$, and $k \in (0, 1)$ such that the following implication holds for every $p, q \in X$ and for every $t > 0$:

$$hoF_{p,q}(m_2(t)) < m_1(t) \Rightarrow hoF_{f(p), f(q)}(m_2(kt)) < m_1(kt).$$

If $m_1(s) = m_2(s) = s$, and $h(s) = 1 - s$ for every $s \in [0, 1]$, we obtain the Hicks definition.

3 Main Results

The notion of point convergence introduced by Gregori and Romaguera in [4]. We recall this in definition 3.1.

Definition 3.1 [4]: Let (X, M, T) be a fuzzy metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be point convergent to $x \in X$ (shown as $x_n \xrightarrow{p} x$) if there exists $t > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1.$$

A George and Veeramani fuzzy metric space (X, M, T) with the point convergence is a space with convergence in sense of Frechet [1,9].

Mihet in [9] showed the existence of fixed point for fuzzy contractive mapping

in the case of p -convergency subsequence. Now, we will prove two alike theorems for these kinds of contractions.

Theorem 3.1: Let (X, M, T) be a George and Veeramani fuzzy metric space and $\sup_{0 \leq a < 1} T(a, a) = 1$ and $A : X \rightarrow X$ be a (q, q_1) -contraction (ϵ, λ) -type, where $q, q_1 \in (0, 1)$. If T is q_1 -convergent ,i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{i=n}^{\infty}(1 - q_1^i) = 1, \quad (1)$$

and for some $x \in X$ the sequence $A^n(x)$ has a p -convergent subsequence, then A has a fixed point.

Proof: A is (q, q_1) -contraction of (ϵ, λ) -type, where $q, q_1 \in (0, 1)$, so for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.

$$M(u, v, \epsilon) > 1 - \lambda \Rightarrow M(Au, Av, q\epsilon) > 1 - q_1\lambda, \text{ for all } u, v \in X. \quad (2)$$

Let $x_n = A^n(x) = A(x_{n-1})$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x = A^0(x))$. Also $x \in X$ and $\delta > 0$ be such that $M(x, A(x), \delta) > 0$. Since $M(x, A(x), \cdot) \in D_+$ such a δ exists. Now let $\lambda_1 \in (0, 1)$ be such that $M(x, A(x), \delta) > 1 - \lambda_1$. From (2) we have $M(A(x), A^2(x), q\delta) > 1 - q_1\lambda_1$, and generally for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$M(A^n(x), A^{n+1}(x), q^n\delta) > 1 - q_1^n\lambda_1. \quad (3)$$

We prove that $\{A^n(x)\}$ is a cauchy sequence; i.e. that for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ there exist $n_0(\epsilon, \lambda) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$M(A^n(x), A^{n+m}(x), \epsilon) > 1 - \lambda \quad \forall n \geq n_0(\epsilon, \lambda) \text{ and } \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ be given. Since the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^n\delta$ converges, there exists $n_0(\epsilon)$ such that $\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} q^n\delta < \epsilon$. Then for every $n \geq n_0$:

$$\begin{aligned} M(A^n(x), A^{n+m}(x), \epsilon) &\geq M(A^n(x), A^{n+m}(x), \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} q^n\delta) \\ &\geq M(A^n(x), A^{n+m}(x), \sum_{i=n}^{n+m-1} q^i\delta) \\ &\geq T(\dots(T(M(A^n(x), A^{n+1}(x), q^n\delta), M(A^{n+1}(x), A^{n+2}(x), q^{n+1}\delta), \\ &\quad \dots, M(A^{n+m-1}(x), A^{n+m}(x), q^{n+m-1}\delta))) \end{aligned}$$

Let $n_1 = n_1(\lambda) \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $T_{i=n_1}^\infty(1 - q_1^i) = 1 - \lambda$. Since (1) holds, such a number n_1 exists. By using (3), we obtain for every $n \geq \max(n_0, n_1)$ and every $m \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\begin{aligned} M(A^n(x), A^{n+m}(x), \epsilon) &\geq T_{i=n}^{n+m-1}(1 - q_1^i \lambda_1) \\ &\geq T_{i=1}^{n+m-1}(1 - q_1^i) \\ &\geq T_{i=n}^\infty(1 - q_1^i \lambda_1) \\ &> 1 - \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\{x_n\}$ has a p -convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_j}\}$ which point converges to y_0 . Then, there is a $t_0 > 0$ which $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n_j}, y_0, t_0) = 1$. Let $\lambda > 0$ be given since $\sup_{0 \leq a < 1} T(a, a) = 1$, there is a $\lambda_1 > 0$ such that $T((1 - \lambda_1), (1 - \lambda_1)) > 1 - \lambda$. Since $\{x_n\}$ and then $\{x_{n_j}\}$ are Cauchy sequences we can take n_0 large enough such that $M(x_{n_j}, x_{n_j+1}, t_0) > 1 - \lambda_1$, $\forall j \geq n_0$ and $M(x_{n_j}, y_0, t_0) > 1 - \lambda_1$, $\forall j \geq n_0$, then $M(x_{n_j+1}, y_0, 2t_0) \geq T((1 - \lambda_1), (1 - \lambda_1)) > 1 - \lambda$ which implies that:

$$x_{n_j+1} \xrightarrow{p} y_0,$$

and by (q, q_1) -contraction (ϵ, λ) -type condition

$$M(x_{n_j}, y_0, 2t_0) > 1 - \lambda \Rightarrow M(A(x_{n_j}), A(y_0), 2qt_0) > 1 - 2q_1\lambda.$$

Then, $A(x_{n_j}) = x_{n_j+1} \xrightarrow{p} A(y_0)$, since the p -convergence is Frechet in a Goerge and Veeramani fuzzy metric space we get $A(y_0) = y_0$ which means y_0 is a fixed point.

Theorem 3.2: Let (X, M, T) be a George and Veermani fuzzy metric space and $A : X \rightarrow X$ be a generalized C -contraction and $\sup_{0 \leq a < 1} T(a, a) = 1$. Suppose that for some $x \in X$ the sequence $A^n(x)$ has a p -convergent subsequence. Then, A has a fixed point.

Proof: A satisfies the following condition:

$$hoM(u, v, m_2(t)) < m_1(t) \Rightarrow hoM(A(u), A(v), m_2(kt)) < m_1(kt),$$

for all $u, v \in X$ and for all $t > 0$, where $k \in (0, 1)$ and h, m_1, m_2 are given as in definition 2.4. Let $x_n = A^n(x) = A(x_{n-1})$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(A^0(x) = x)$. First we show that the sequence $A^n(x)$ is a Cauchy sequence. We prove that for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ there exists an integer $N = N(\epsilon, \lambda) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $x, y \in X$ and $n \geq N$, $M(A^n(x), A^n(y), \epsilon) > 1 - \lambda$.

Since $h(0) \in R$ and $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} m_1(s) = \infty$, from $M(x, y, m_2(s)) \geq 0$ it follows that there exists $s \in R$ such that $h(0) < m_1(s)$, also from $M(p, q, m_2(s)) \geq 0$

it follows that

$$hoM(x, y, m_2(s)) \leq h(0) < m_1(s),$$

which implies that $hoM(A(x), A(y), m_2(ks)) < m_1(ks)$, and then for every $n \in N$ by induction

$$hoM(A^n(x), A^n(y), m_2(k^n s)) < m_1(k^n s).$$

Suppose $N = N(\epsilon, \lambda)$ be such that $m_2(k^n s) < \epsilon$, $m_1(k^n s) < h(1 - \lambda)$ for every $n > N$. Then $n > N$ implies that:

$$M(A^n(x), A^n(y), \epsilon) > M(A^n(x), A^n(y), m_2(k^n s)) > 1 - \lambda.$$

Now let $y = A^m(x)$, then we obtain:

$$M(A^n(x), A^{n+m}(x), \epsilon) > 1 - \lambda \quad \forall n, m > N,$$

which means that $A^n(x)$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Suppose $\{x_n\}$ has a p-convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_j}\}$ which point converges to y_0 . Then, there is a $t_0 > 0$, such that:

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n_j}, y_0, t_0) = 1.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given since $\sup_{0 \leq a < 1} T(a, a) = 1$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $T((1 - \delta), (1 - \delta)) > 1 - \epsilon$. Since $\{x_n\}$ and then $\{x_{n_j}\}$ are Cauchy sequences we can take n_0 large enough such that $M(x_{n_j}, x_{n_{j+1}}, t_0) > 1 - \delta$, $\forall j \geq n_0$ and $M(x_{n_j}, y_0, t_0) > 1 - \delta$, $\forall j \geq n_0$, then $M(x_{n_{j+1}}, y_0, 2t_0) \geq T((1 - \delta), (1 - \delta)) > 1 - \epsilon$ which implies that:

$$x_{n_{j+1}} \xrightarrow{p} y_0$$

Since $\{x_n\}$ and then $\{x_{n_j}\}$ are Cauchy sequences we can take n_0 large enough such that $M(x_{n_j}, x_{n_{j+1}}, t_0) > 1 - \delta$, $\forall j \geq n_0$ and $M(x_{n_j}, y_0, t_0) > 1 - \delta$, $\forall j \geq n_0$, then $M(x_{n_{j+1}}, y_0, 2t_0) \geq T((1 - \delta), (1 - \delta)) > 1 - \epsilon$ which implies that $x_{n_{j+1}} \xrightarrow{p} y_0$.

Now by generalized C-contraction condition

$$hoM(x_{n_j}, y_0, m_2(2t_0)) < m_1(2t_0) \Rightarrow hoM(A(x_{n_j}), A(t_0), m_2(2kt_0)) < m_1(2kt_0),$$

then, $A(x_{n_j}) = x_{n_{j+1}} \xrightarrow{p} A(y_0)$ and since the p-convergence is Frechet in a Goerge and Veeramani fuzzy metric space, then $A(y_0) = y_0$ which means y_0 is a fixed point.

References

- [1] R. Farnoosh, A. Aghajani and P. Azhdari, Contraction theorems in fuzzy metric space, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, **In press** (2008).
- [2] A. George and P. Veeramani, On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets Syst*, **64** (1994), 395-399.
- [3] M. Grabiec, Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets Syst*, **27** (1988), 385-389.
- [4] V. Gregori and S. Romaguera, Characterizing completable fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets Syst*, **144(3)** (2004), 411-420.
- [5] O. Hadzic and E. Pap, *Fixed point theory in PM spaces*, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2001.
- [6] T.L. Hicks, Fixed point theory in probabilistic metric spaces, *Zb.Rad. Prirod. Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat*, **13** (1983), 63-72.
- [7] O. Kramosil and J. Michalek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric space, *Kybernetika*, **11** (1975), 336-344.
- [8] K. Menger, Statistical metric, *Proc Nat Acad Sci, USA*, **28** (1942), 535-7.
- [9] D. Mihet, On fuzzy contractive mapping in fuzzy metric, *Fuzzy Sets Syst*, **158** (2007), 915-21.
- [10] D. Mihet, Inegalitatea triunghiului si puncte fixed in PM-spatii, *Doctoral Thesis West of Timisora, in English*, (2001).
- [11] V. Radu, A family of deterministic metrics on Menger space, *Sem. Teor. Prob. Apl. Univ. Timisora*, **78**(1985).
- [12] V. Radu, Some fixed point theorems in probabilistic metric spaces, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer Berlin*, **12333**(1987),125-133.
- [13] B. Schiweizer and A. Sklar, *Probabilistic metric spaces*, North-Holland, Amesterdam, 1983.
- [14] V.M. Sehgal and A.T. Bharuch-Ried, Fixed points of contraction mapping on PM- spaces, *Math .Syst. Theory*, **6** (1972), 97-100.
- [15] R.M. Tardiff, Contraction maps on probabilistic metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl*, **165** (1992), 517-523.
- [16] R. Vasuki and P. Veeramani, Fixe point theorems and Cauchy sequences in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets Syst*, **135(3)** (2003), 409-413.

Received: November, 2008