

# The Generalized and Perturbed Lamé System

**B. Merouani**

Univ. F. ABBAS de Sétif, Algeria  
mermaths@hotmail.com

**M. Meflah**

Univ. K. M. de Ouargla, Algeria

**A. Boulaouad**

Univ. F. ABBAS de Sétif, Algeria

## Abstract

In this work, we study the existence, the uniqueness and the regularity of the solution for some boundary value problems governed by a perturbed and generalized Lamé system operator.

**Mathematics Subject:** 35B40, 35B65, 35C20

**Keywords:** Lamé System (Elasticity), Perturbed, Existence, Uniqueness, Regularity

**1. Notations.-**  $\Omega$  denoted a bounded and connected open set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n = 2, 3$ ) with boundary  $\Gamma = \bar{\Gamma}_1 \cup \bar{\Gamma}_2$ . Here,  $\Gamma$  is a lipschitzian manifold of dimension  $n - 1$ , where  $\Gamma_i \subset \Gamma$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , with the measure of  $\Gamma_1$  is strictly positive ( $\text{mes}(\Gamma_1) > 0$ ) and  $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = \emptyset$ .

**2. Problem statement.-** We consider firstly the mathematical model of the perturbed Lamé system :

$$-L_p u + F(u),$$

where  $F(u)$  is the perturbation and

$$L_p u = \mu \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla(\operatorname{div}(u)),$$

$p, q$  are two real numbers such that  $p \in ]1, \infty[$  and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are the Lamé coefficients subjected to the constraint  $\lambda + \mu \geq 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\nu$  denotes the outgoing normal vector to  $\Gamma_2$ . For  $p = 2$ , we recover the classical Lamé system.

Given a function  $f$  and a matrix  $\varphi = (\varphi_{i,j})_{1 < i, j < n}$ , such that  $\varphi_{i,j} = \varphi_{j,i} \in C^{0,1}(\overline{\Omega})$  and  $\varphi_{i,j}(x) > 0$ , for all  $x \in \Gamma_2$ . We study the existence, the uniqueness and the regularity of the complex-valued solution  $u = u(x)$ ,  $x \in \Omega$ , for the following problem :

$$(P) \begin{cases} -L_p u + F(u) = f, & \text{in } \Omega & (2.1) \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_1 & (2.2) \\ \sigma(u) \cdot \nu + \varphi(x) u = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_2 & (2.3) \end{cases}$$

Here  $\sigma(u) = (\sigma_{ij}(u))_{1 < i, j < n}$  is the matrix of the constraints tensor  $\sigma_{ij}(u) = \lambda \operatorname{div}(u) \delta_{ij} + 2\mu \varepsilon_{ij}(u)$ , where  $\varepsilon_{ij}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right)$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , are the components of the deformation tensor.

In this work, we consider the following cases:

- $F(u) = 0$ ,
- $F(u) = |u|^\rho u$  with  $\rho = p - 2 > 0$ ,
- $F(u) = u^3$ ,
- $F(u) \equiv a(x, t)$ .

We distinguish the cases:

- if  $\Gamma_2 = \phi$ , (P) becomes a Dirichlet problem,
- if  $\Gamma_1 = \phi$ , and  $\varphi \equiv 0$  on  $\Gamma_2$ , (P) becomes a Neumann problem,
- if  $\Gamma_2 \neq \phi$  and  $\varphi \equiv 0$  on  $\Gamma_2$ , (P) becomes a mixed problem : Dirichlet-Neumann,
- if  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \neq \phi$  and  $\varphi(x) \neq 0$  on  $\Gamma_2$ , (P) becomes a mixed problem : Dirichlet-(2.3).

Of course, when it is question of a Neumann problem ( $\Gamma_1 = \phi$  and  $\varphi \equiv 0$  on  $\Gamma_2$ ), we suppose that the necessary condition of existence is satisfied, that is the data are orthogonal with respect to the rigid displacements:

$$\int_{\Omega} f \cdot v dx = \int_{\Gamma} 0 \cdot v ds = 0,$$

for any  $v$  of the form

$$v(x, y) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a + cy \\ b - cx \end{array} \right\},$$

with  $a, b, c$  are arbitrary real numbers.

**3. Study of the case when  $F(u) = |u|^\rho u$ .**- In the remaining part of this paper we study with details the last case when  $F(u) = |u|^\rho u$ .

The main result is:

**Theorem 3.1.-** We suppose that

$$f \in (W^{-1,q}(\Omega))^n.$$

Then, there exists a function  $u = u(x)$  solution of the problem  $(P)$  with:

$$u \in (W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))^n,$$

Before giving the proof, we make the following remarks:

**Remark 3.1.-** The space  $V = (H_0^1(\Omega))^n \cap (L^p(\Omega))^n$ , where  $p = \rho + 2$ , is separable i.e., admits a countable dense subset.

In fact,  $V$  is identified, by the application

$$v \rightarrow \left\{ v, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_n} \right\},$$

to a closed subspace of

$$(L^p(\Omega))^n \times (L^p(\Omega))^n \times \dots \times (L^p(\Omega))^n$$

separable and uniformly convex, in such way that it is possible to project a countable dense set on this subspace.

**Remark 3.3.-** The application defined on  $(L^p(\Omega))^n$  by  $u \rightarrow |u|^{p-2} u$ , is  $(L^q(\Omega))^n$ -valued function, moreover it is continuous. To see that, if  $u \in (L^p(\Omega))^n$ ,  $|u|^{p-2} u$  is measurable and

$$\int_{\Omega} ||u|^{p-2} u|^q dx = \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx < \infty \implies u \in (L^q(\Omega))^n.$$

We deduce that for all  $u \in (W^{1,p}(\Omega))^n$ , and for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in (L^q(\Omega))^n.$$

So, it is possible to define the real-valued mapping  $a_p$  from  $((W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))^n)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by:

$$(u, v) \mapsto a_p(u, v)$$

where: .

$$a_p(u, v) = 2\mu \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(u) \operatorname{div}(v) dx.$$

For any  $u$  in  $(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))^n$ , the mapping  $v \rightarrow a_p(u, v)$  is a continuous linear form from  $((W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))^n)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . By Riesz theorem there exists a unique element  $A(u)$  of  $(W_0^{-1,q}(\Omega))^n$ , such that:

$$a(u, v)_p = \langle A(u), v \rangle, \forall v \in (W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))^n.$$

The mapping  $(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))^n \rightarrow (W_0^{-1,q}(\Omega))^n, u \rightarrow A(u)$ , is noted:

$$-L_p u = -\mu \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla(\operatorname{div}(u)),$$

and is called a **p-Lamé operator**.

The following proposition gives some properties of  $-L_p$ :

**Proposition 3.1.-** The operator:

$$-L_p : (W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))^n \rightarrow (W_0^{-1,q}(\Omega))^n$$

is bounded, hemicontinuous, monotone and coercive

**Proof:** Using the expression of the norm in dual space dual and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we prove that  $-L_p$  is bounded and hemicontinuous. From the convexity of the real application  $t \rightarrow |t|^p$ , we deduce the monotonicity of  $-L_p$ .

**Proposition 3.2.-** The problem  $(P)$  and the variational problem  $(P.V)$  :

$$a_p(u, v) + (|u|^{p-2} u, v) = (f, v) + (-\varphi(x)(u, v), \forall v \in (W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))^n,$$

are equivalent.

**Proof:** Indeed, it suffices to observe that  $u = 0$  on  $\Gamma_1 = 0 \Leftrightarrow u \in (W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))^n$ , and the variational equality is then equivalent to

$$-L_p u + |u|^{p-2} u = f \text{ in } \Omega,$$

because  $(D(\Omega))^n$  is dense in  $(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))^n$ .

Let us return to the proof of the **theorem 3.1**.

**(i) Construction of approximated solutions :**

We look for

$$u_m = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i$$

solution of the following problem  $(P_m)$ :

for all  $1 \leq j \leq m$  :

$$a_p(u_m, v_j) + (|u_m|^{p-2} u_m, v_j) = (f, v_j) + (-\varphi(x)(u_m, v_j))$$

We obtain a second order nonlinear differential system. Let  $F : \mathbb{R}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , be the function defined by:

$$F(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) = \left( \left\langle A \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i \right), v_j \right\rangle - ((f, v_j) + (-\varphi(x)(u_m, v_j))) \right)_{1 \leq j \leq m}$$

**(ii) Establishment of priory estimates**

- Of the coerciveness of to one deducts that  $\|u_m\|$  is a bounded;
- The operator has a bounded  $\implies (A(u_m))_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a bounded in  $V$ ;
- $\exists u \in V, \exists \chi \in V'$  such that:

$$\begin{cases} u_p \rightharpoonup u, \sigma(V, V'), \\ A(u_m) \rightharpoonup \chi, \sigma(V', V). \end{cases}$$

**(iii) Passage to the limit via compactness**

- The monotony and the hemicontinuous imply that  $\chi = A(u)$ . Thus completes the proof of the **theorem 3.1**.

**Bibliography**

- [1] : Haim Brézis, Analyse fonctionnelle. Dunod, Paris, 1999.
- [2] : J.L. Lions, Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non-linéaires. Dunod, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [3] : Luc Tartar, Topics in non linear analysis. Université de Paris-Sud, Publications Mathématiques d'Orsay, novembre 1978.
- [4] : Lions-Magenes, Problèmes aux limites non homogène et applications, volume1. Dunod paris,1968.

[5] : Marie-Thérèse, Distributions Espaces de Sobolev Applications. Lacroix-Sonnier, ellipses édition marketing S.A, paris,1998.

[6] : P. Grisvard, Singularities in boundary value problems. Springer-verlag, Masson (Paris), 1992.

[7] : S. D. Chartterji, Cours d'Analyse, vols 3. Presses polytechniques et universitaires romandes, Lausanne, 1998.

[8] : V. Mikhailov, Equations aux dérivées partielles. Traduction française Editions Mir, 1980.

[9] : M. Dilmi, H. Benseridi and B. Merouani, Nonlinear and Oblique Boundary Value problems for the Lamé Equations, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 1, 2007, 2517-2528.

**Received: January 5, 2008**