

SOME RESULTS ON THE DIAMOND- α DYNAMIC DERIVATIVE ON TIME SCALES

Irfan Baki YASAR

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science and Arts
University of Gazi
Beşevler, 06500, Ankara, Turkey

Adnan TUNA

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science and Arts
University of Gazi
Beşevler, 06500, Ankara, Turkey

Mohammed Tagi DASTJERDI

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science and Arts
University of Gazi
Beşevler, 06500, Ankara, Turkey

Abstract

Various dynamic derivative formulae have been proposed in the development of a time scales calculus, with the goal of unifying continuous and discrete analysis. Recent discussion of combined dynamic derivatives, in particular the \diamond_α derivative defined as a linear combination of the Δ and the ∇ derivatives, have promised improved approximation formulae for computational application. In this article we study some result on the diamond- α dynamic derivative on time scales.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 34B10, 39A10, 65D25

Keywords: Dynamic derivatives, Combined formula, Linear combination

1 Introduction

Much of the development of time scales theory has focused on the unification of continuous and discrete analytical methods. Recent discussions have suggested that the theory and methods of time scales might also provide a means of integrating difference and differential methods for modeling nonlinear systems of dynamic equation on domains that are arbitrary nonempty closed subsets of the reals. To this end, the usefulness of various dynamic derivative formulae, including the standard Δ and ∇ derivatives, in approximating functions and solution of nonlinear differential equations has been explored [2, 3, 5, 10]. It has been demonstrated in several recent papers [8-10] that a proposed dynamic derivative formula, called the \diamond_α derivative and defined as a linear combination, or the Broyden's formula [4, 12], of the Δ and the ∇ dynamic derivatives, provides a more accurate approximation to the conventional derivative. The question remains, however, as to whether the \diamond_α derivative is a well-defined dynamic derivative upon which a calculus on time scale can be built.

James W. Profers Jr. and Qin Sheng redefined \diamond_α derivative independently of the standard Δ and ∇ dynamic derivatives, and further examined its properties and relationship with the Δ and ∇ formulae.

This paper give some results on the diamond- α dynamic derivative on time scales.

2 General Definitions

Here, first we mention several foundational definitions without proof and results from the calculus on time scales in an excellent introductory text by Bohner and Peterson [2, 3].

2.1 The delta and nabla derivatives

An one-dimensional time scale \mathbb{T} is an arbitrary nonempty closed subset of \mathbb{R} and has the inherited topology. Let $a = \inf \mathbb{T}$ and $b = \sup \mathbb{T}$. For $t \in \mathbb{T}$

such that $a < t < b$, we define the *forward-jump operator*, σ , and *backward-jump operator*, ρ , as $\sigma(t) : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, is given by $\sigma(t) = \inf_{s \in \mathbb{T}} \{s > t\}$, $\rho(t) = \inf_{s \in \mathbb{T}} \{s < t\}$ respectively, and $\sigma(b) = b$, $\rho(a) = a$,

If \mathbb{T} is bounded. The corresponding *forward-step* and *backward-step* functions μ, η are defined as $\mu(t) = \sigma(t) - t$, $\eta(t) = t - \rho(t)$, respectively. For a function f defined on \mathbb{T} , to provide a shorthand notation we let

$f^\sigma = f(\sigma(t))$, $f^\rho = f(\rho(t))$. We say that a point $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is *right-scattered* if $\sigma(t) > t$ and *left-scattered* if $\rho(t) < t$. A point $t \in \mathbb{T}$ that is both right-scattered and left-scattered is called *scattered*. Also, we say that a point $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is *right-dense* if $\sigma(t) = t$, *left-scattered* if $\rho(t) = t$, and *dense* if it is both right-dense and left-dense.

We define $\mathbb{T}^k = \mathbb{T} \setminus \{b\}$ if \mathbb{T} is bounded above and b is left-scattered; otherwise $\mathbb{T}^k = \mathbb{T}$. Similarly, we define $\mathbb{T}_k = \mathbb{T} \setminus \{a\}$ if \mathbb{T} is bounded below and a is right-scattered; otherwise $\mathbb{T}_k = \mathbb{T}$. We denote $\mathbb{T}^k \cap \mathbb{T}_k$ by \mathbb{T}_k^k , $\mu(t) = \eta(t)$. A uniform time scale is an interval if $\mu(t) = 0$, and is a uniform difference grid if $\mu(t) > 0$.

We say a function f defined on \mathbb{T} is right continuous at $t \in \mathbb{T}$ if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is some $\delta > 0$ such that for all $s \in [t, t + \delta)$, $|f(t) - f(s)| < \varepsilon$. Similarly, we say that f is left continuous at $t \in \mathbb{T}$ if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is some $\delta > 0$ such that for all $s \in (t - \delta, t]$, $|f(t) - f(s)| < \varepsilon$. The function $f(t)$ is said to be continuous if it is both right and left continuous.

For the sake of readability of subsequent formulas, we introduce the following notation. Let $t, s \in \mathbb{T}$ and define $\mu_{ts} = \sigma(t) - s$, $\eta_{ts} = \rho(t) - s$.

Let $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function on a time scale. Then for $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$ we define $f^\Delta(t)$ to be the value, if one exists, such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a neighborhood U of t (i.e., $U = (t - \delta, t + \delta) \cap \mathbb{T}$ for some $\delta > 0$) such that for all $s \in U$

$$|[f^\sigma(t) - f(s)] - f^\Delta(t) [\sigma(t) - s]| \leq \varepsilon |\sigma(t) - s|.$$

We say that f is *delta differentiable* on \mathbb{T}^k provided $f^\Delta(t)$ exists for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$. Similarly, for $t \in \mathbb{T}_k$ we define $f^\nabla(t)$ to be the number, if one exists, such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a neighborhood V of t such that for all $s \in V$

$$|[f^\rho(t) - f(s)] - f^\nabla(t) [\rho(t) - s]| \leq \varepsilon |\rho(t) - s|.$$

We say that f is *nabla differentiable* on \mathbb{T}_k provided $f^\nabla(t)$ exists for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_k$.

In subsequent proofs, we will wish to make use of the following theorem due to Hilger [6], and the analogous theorem for the nabla case which can be found in [1, 2]:

Theorem 1 Assume $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function and let $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$. Then we have the following:

- (i) If f is delta differentiable at t , then f is continuous at t .
- (ii) If f is left continuous at t and t is right-scattered, then f is delta differentiable at t with

$$f^\Delta(t) = \frac{f^\sigma(t) - f(t)}{\sigma(t) - t}.$$

- (iii) If t is right-dense, then f is delta differentiable at t iff the limit

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow t} \frac{f(t) - f(s)}{t - s}$$

exists as a finite number. In this case

$$f^\Delta(t) = \lim_{s \rightarrow t} \frac{f(t) - f(s)}{t - s}.$$

Theorem 2 Assume $f, g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are differentiable at $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$. then:

- (i) The sum $f + g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable at t with

$$(f + g)^\Delta(t) = f^\Delta(t) + g^\Delta(t).$$

- (ii) For any constant α , $\alpha f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable at t with

$$(\alpha f)^\Delta(t) = \alpha f^\Delta(t).$$

- (iii) The product $fg : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable at t with

$$(fg)^\Delta(t) = f^\Delta(t)g(t) + f(\sigma(t))g^\Delta(t) = f(t)g^\Delta(t) + f^\Delta(t)g(\sigma(t)).$$

- (iv) If $f(t)f(\sigma(t)) \neq 0$, then $\frac{1}{f}$ is differentiable at t with

$$\left(\frac{1}{f}\right)^\Delta = -\frac{f^\Delta(t)}{f(t)f(\sigma(t))}.$$

- (v) If $g(t)g(\sigma(t)) \neq 0$, then $\frac{f}{g}$ is differentiable at t and

$$\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\Delta = \frac{f^\Delta(t)g(t) - f(t)g^\Delta(t)}{f(t)g(\sigma(t))}.$$

Example 1 (i) If $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $f(t) = \alpha$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is constant, then $f^\Delta(t) \equiv 0$.

- (ii) If $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $f(t) = t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$, then $f^\Delta(t) \equiv 1$

Example 2 The derivative of t^2 is $t + \sigma(t)$.

Theorem 3 Assume $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function and let $t \in \mathbb{T}_k$. Then we have the following:

- (i) If f is nabla differentiable at t , then f is continuous at t .
- (ii) If f is right continuous at t and t is left-scattered, then f is nabla differentiable at t with

$$f^\nabla(t) = \frac{f(t) - f^\rho(t)}{t - \rho(t)}.$$

- (iii) If t is left-dense, then f is nabla differentiable at t iff the limit

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow t} \frac{f(t) - f(s)}{t - s}.$$

exists as a finite number. In this case

$$f^\nabla(t) = \lim_{s \rightarrow t} \frac{f(t) - f(s)}{t - s}.$$

Theorem 4 Assume $f, g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are differentiable at $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$. then:

(i) The sum $f + g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable at t with

$$(f + g)^\nabla(t) = f^\nabla(t) + g^\nabla(t).$$

(ii) For any constant α , $\alpha f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable at t with

$$(\alpha f)^\nabla(t) = \alpha f^\nabla(t).$$

(iii) The product $fg : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable at t with

$$(fg)^\nabla(t) = f^\nabla(t)g(t) + f(\sigma(t))g^\nabla(t) = f(t)g^\nabla(t) + f^\nabla(t)g(\sigma(t)).$$

(iv) If $f(t)f(\sigma(t)) \neq 0$, then $\frac{1}{f}$ is differentiable at t with

$$\left(\frac{1}{f}\right)^\nabla = -\frac{f^\nabla(t)}{f(t)f(\rho(t))}.$$

(v) If $g(t)g(\sigma(t)) \neq 0$, then $\frac{f}{g}$ is differentiable at t and

$$\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\nabla = \frac{f^\nabla(t)g(t) - f(t)g^\nabla(t)}{f(t)f(\rho(t))}.$$

Theorem 5 Let c be constant and $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

(i) For f defined by $f(t) = (t - c)^m$ we have

$$f^\Delta(t) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} (\sigma(t) - c)^\nu (t - c)^{m-1-\nu}.$$

(ii) For g defined by $g(t) = \frac{1}{(t-c)^m}$ we have

$$g^\Delta(t) = -\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{(\sigma(t)-c)^{m-\nu}(t-c)^{\nu+1}},$$

provided $(\sigma(t) - c)(t - c) \neq 0$.

Lemma 6 [11] If f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n are Δ differentiable on \mathbb{T}^i , then the product $f_1 f_2 \dots f_n$ is Δ differentiable on \mathbb{T}^i and

$$(f_1 f_2 \dots f_n)^\Delta = f_1^\Delta f_2 \dots f_n + f_1^\sigma f_2^\Delta \dots f_n + f_1^\sigma f_2^\sigma f_3^\Delta \dots f_n + f_1^\sigma f_2^\sigma \dots f_{n-1}^\sigma f_n^\Delta.$$

2.2 The diamond- α dynamic derivate

Definition 1 [7] Let \mathbb{T} be a time scale. We define $f^{\diamond\alpha}(t)$ to be the value, if one exists, such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a neighborhood U of t (i.e., $U = (t - \delta, t + \delta) \cap \mathbb{T}$ for some $\delta > 0$) such that for all $s \in U$

$$|\alpha [f^\sigma(t) - f(s)] \eta_{ts} + (1 - \alpha) [f^\rho(t) - f(s)] \mu_{ts} - f^{\diamond\alpha}(t) \eta_{ts} \mu_{ts}| \leq \varepsilon |\eta_{ts} \mu_{ts}|$$

we say that f is diamond- α differentiable on \mathbb{T}_k^k provided $f^{\diamond\alpha}(t)$ exists for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$.

Theorem 7 [7] *Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. If f is both Δ and ∇ differentiable at $t \in \mathbb{T}$, then f is \diamond_α differentiable at t and $f^{\diamond_\alpha}(t) = \alpha f^\Delta(t) + (1 - \alpha)f^\nabla(t)$.*

Theorem 8 [10] *Let $f, g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be diamond- α differentiable at $t \in \mathbb{T}$. Then*

(i) *$f + g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is diamond- α differentiable at $t \in \mathbb{T}$ with*

$$(f + g)^{\diamond_\alpha}(t) = f^{\diamond_\alpha}(t) + g^{\diamond_\alpha}(t).$$

(ii) *For any constant c , $cf : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is diamond- α differentiable at $t \in \mathbb{T}$ with*

$$(cf)^{\diamond_\alpha}(t) = cf^{\diamond_\alpha}(t).$$

(iii) *$fg : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is diamond- α differentiable at $t \in \mathbb{T}$ with*

$$(fg)^{\diamond_\alpha}(t) = f^{\diamond_\alpha}(t)g(t) + \alpha f^\sigma(t)g^\Delta(t) + (1 - \alpha)f^\rho(t)g^\nabla(t).$$

(iv) *For $g(t)g^\sigma(t)g^\rho(t) \neq 0$, $\frac{1}{g} : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is diamond- α differentiable at $t \in \mathbb{T}$ with*

$$\left(\frac{1}{g}\right)^{\diamond_\alpha}(t) = -\frac{1}{g(t)g^\sigma(t)g^\rho(t)}((g^\sigma(t) + g^\rho(t))g^{\diamond_\alpha}(t) - \alpha g^\Delta(t)g^\sigma(t) - (1 - \alpha)g^\nabla(t)g^\rho(t)).$$

(v) *For $g(t)g^\sigma(t)g^\rho(t) \neq 0$, $\frac{f}{g} : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is diamond- α differentiable at $t \in \mathbb{T}$ with*

$$\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^{\diamond_\alpha}(t) = \frac{1}{g(t)g^\sigma(t)g^\rho(t)}(f^{\diamond_\alpha}(t)g^\sigma(t)g^\rho(t) - \alpha f^\sigma(t)g^\rho(t)g^\Delta(t) - (1 - \alpha)f^\rho(t)g^\sigma(t)g^\nabla(t)).$$

3 Main Results

Theorem 9 *Let c be constant and $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

(i) *For f defined by $f(t) = (t - c)^m$ we have*

$$f^\nabla(t) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} (\rho(t) - c)^\nu (t - c)^{m-1-\nu}.$$

(ii) *For g defined by $g(t) = \frac{1}{(t-c)^m}$ we have*

$$g^\nabla(t) = -\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{(\rho(t)-c)^{m-\nu}(t-c)^{\nu+1}},$$

provided $(\rho(t) - c)(t - c) \neq 0$.

Proof. We will prove the first formula by induction. If $m = 1$, then $f(t) = (t - c)$, and clearly $f^\nabla(t) = 1$ holds by Example 1 (i), (ii), and Theorem 2.(i). Now we assume that

$$f^\nabla(t) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} (\rho(t) - c)^\nu (t - c)^{m-1-\nu}.$$

holds for $f(t) = (t - c)^m$ and let $F(t) = (t - c)^{m+1} = (t - c)f(t)$. We use the product rule (Theorem 2. (iii)) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F^\nabla(t) &= f(\rho(t)) + (t - c) f^\nabla(t) \\ &= (\rho(t) - c)^m + (t - c) \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} (\rho(t) - c)^\nu (t - c)^{m-1-\nu} \\ &= (\rho(t) - c)^m + \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} (\rho(t) - c)^\nu (t - c)^{m-\nu} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^m (\rho(t) - c)^\nu (t - c)^{m-\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by mathematical induction, part (i) holds.

(ii) Next, for $g(t) = \frac{1}{(t-c)^m} = \frac{1}{f(t)}$ we apply Theorem 4. (iv) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{g}\right)^\nabla(t) &= -\frac{g^\nabla(t)}{g(t)g(\rho(t))} \\ &= -\frac{\sum_{\nu=0}^m (\rho(t)-c)^\nu (t-c)^{m-\nu}}{(t-c)^m (\rho(t)-c)^m} \\ &= -\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{(\rho(t)-c)^{m-\nu} (t-c)^{\nu+1}}. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 10 *Let c be constant and $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

(i) *For f defined by $f(t) = (t - c)^m$ we have*

$$f^{\diamond\alpha}(t) = \alpha \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} (\sigma(t) - c)^\nu (t - c)^{m-1-\nu} + (1 - \alpha) \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} (\rho(t) - c)^\nu (t - c)^{m-1-\nu}.$$

(ii) *For g defined by $g(t) = \frac{1}{(t-c)^m}$ we have*

$$g^{\diamond\alpha}(t) = -\alpha \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{(\sigma(t)-c)^{m-\nu} (t-c)^{\nu+1}} - (1 - \alpha) \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{(\rho(t)-c)^{m-\nu} (t-c)^{\nu+1}}.$$

provided $(\rho(t) - c)(t - c) \neq 0$.

Proof. We will prove the first formula by induction. If $m = 1$, then $f(t) = (t - c)$, and clearly $f^\Delta(t) = 1$ and $f^\nabla(t) = 1$ holds by Example 1. (i), (ii), and Theorem 2. (i).

$$f^{\diamond\alpha}(t) = \alpha(t - c)^\Delta + (1 - \alpha)(t - c)^\nabla = 1$$

If $m = 2$, then $f(t) = t^2 - 2ct + c^2$, and clearly $f^{\diamond\alpha}(t) = \alpha\sigma(t) + (1 - \alpha)\rho(t) + t - 2c$ holds by Example 1. (i), (ii), Example 2., and Theorem 2. (i).

Now we assume that

$$f^{\diamond\alpha}(t) = \alpha \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} (\sigma(t) - c)^\nu (t - c)^{m-1-\nu} + (1 - \alpha) \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} (\rho(t) - c)^\nu (t - c)^{m-1-\nu}.$$

holds for $f(t) = (t - c)^m$ and let $F(t) = (t - c)^{m+1} = (t - c)f(t)$

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{\diamond\alpha}(t) &= \alpha [f(\sigma(t)) + (t-c) f^\Delta(t)] + (1-\alpha) [f(\rho(t)) + (t-c) f^\nabla(t)] \\
&= \alpha \left[(\sigma(t)-c)^m + \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} (\sigma(t)-c)^\nu (t-c)^{m-1-\nu} \right] + \\
&\quad + (1-\alpha) \left[(\rho(t)-c)^m + \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} (\rho(t)-c)^\nu (t-c)^{m-1-\nu} \right] \\
&= \alpha \sum_{\nu=0}^m (\sigma(t)-c)^\nu (t-c)^{m-\nu} + (1-\alpha) \sum_{\nu=0}^m (\rho(t)-c)^\nu (t-c)^{m-\nu}.
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Next, for $g(t) = \frac{1}{(t-c)^m} = \frac{1}{f(t)}$ we apply Theorem 8. (iv) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{1}{g}\right)^{\diamond\alpha}(t) &= -\alpha \frac{g^\nabla(t)}{g(t)g(\sigma(t))} - (1-\alpha) \frac{g^\nabla(t)}{g(t)g(\rho(t))} \\
&= -\alpha \frac{\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} (\sigma(t)-c)^\nu (t-c)^{m-1-\nu}}{(t-c)^m (\sigma(t)-c)^m} - (1-\alpha) \frac{\sum_{\nu=0}^m (\rho(t)-c)^\nu (t-c)^{m-\nu}}{(t-c)^m (\rho(t)-c)^m} \\
&= -\alpha \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{(\sigma(t)-c)^{m-\nu} (t-c)^{\nu+1}} - (1-\alpha) \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{(\rho(t)-c)^{m-\nu} (t-c)^{\nu+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

■

Corollary 11 *It is clear that $f^{\diamond\alpha}(t)$ reduces to $f^\Delta(t)$ for $\alpha = 1$ and $f^\nabla(t)$ for $\alpha = 0$.*

Lemma 12 *If f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n are ∇ differentiable on \mathbb{T}^i , then the product $f_1 f_2 \dots f_n$ is ∇ differentiable on \mathbb{T}^i and*

$$(f_1 f_2 \dots f_n)^\nabla = f_1^\nabla f_2 \dots f_n + f_1^\rho f_2^\nabla \dots f_n + f_1^\rho f_2^\rho f_3^\nabla \dots f_n + f_1^\rho f_2^\rho \dots f_{n-1}^\rho f_n^\nabla \quad (1)$$

Proof. *The proof is by induction. If $n = 2$, then $(f_1 f_2)^\nabla = f_1^\nabla f_2 + f_1^\rho f_2^\nabla$ by Theorem 2. (iii). Assume that formula is true for n . Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(f_1 f_2 \dots f_n f_{n+1})^\nabla &= f_1^\nabla f_2 \dots f_n f_{n+1} + f_1^\rho (f_2 \dots f_n f_{n+1})^\nabla \\
&= f_1^\nabla f_2 \dots f_n f_{n+1} + f_1^\rho f_2^\nabla f_3 \dots f_n f_{n+1} + \\
&\quad + f_1^\rho f_2^\rho f_3^\nabla \dots f_n f_{n+1} + f_1^\rho f_2^\rho f_3^\rho \dots f_n^\sigma f_{n+1}^\nabla
\end{aligned}$$

and formula (1) is true for n replaced by $n + 1$. ■

Theorem 13 *If f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n are both ∇ and ∇ differentiable on \mathbb{T}^i , then the product $f_1 f_2 \dots f_n$ is both ∇ and ∇ differentiable on \mathbb{T}^i and*

$$\begin{aligned}
(f_1 f_2 \dots f_n)^{\diamond\alpha} &= \alpha \left[f_1^\Delta f_2 \dots f_n + f_1^\sigma f_2^\Delta \dots f_n + f_1^\sigma f_2^\sigma f_3^\Delta \dots f_n + f_1^\sigma f_2^\sigma \dots f_{n-1}^\sigma f_n^\Delta \right] + \\
&\quad + (1-\alpha) \left[f_1^\nabla f_2 \dots f_n + f_1^\rho f_2^\nabla \dots f_n + f_1^\rho f_2^\rho f_3^\nabla \dots f_n + f_1^\rho f_2^\rho \dots f_{n-1}^\rho f_n^\nabla \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is by induction. If $n = 2$, then

$(f_1 f_2)^{\diamond \alpha} = \alpha [f_1^{\Delta} f_2 + f_1^{\sigma} f_2^{\Delta}] + (1 - \alpha) [f_1^{\nabla} f_2 + f_1^{\rho} f_2^{\nabla}]$ by Theorem 8. (iii) and Theorem 2. (iii). Assume that formula is true for n . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (f_1 f_2 \dots f_n f_{n+1})^{\diamond \alpha} &= \alpha [f_1 f_2 \dots f_n f_{n+1}]^{\Delta} + (1 - \alpha) [f_1 f_2 \dots f_n f_{n+1}]^{\nabla} \\ &= \alpha [f_1^{\nabla} f_2 \dots f_n f_{n+1} + f_1^{\sigma} f_2^{\nabla} f_3 \dots f_n f_{n+1} + f_1^{\sigma} f_2^{\sigma} f_3^{\nabla} \dots f_n f_{n+1} + \\ &\quad + f_1^{\sigma} f_2^{\sigma} f_3^{\sigma} \dots f_n^{\sigma} f_{n+1}^{\nabla}] + (1 - \alpha) [f_1^{\nabla} f_2 \dots f_n f_{n+1} + f_1^{\rho} f_2^{\nabla} f_3 \dots f_n f_{n+1} + \\ &\quad + f_1^{\rho} f_2^{\rho} f_3^{\nabla} \dots f_n f_{n+1} + f_1^{\rho} f_2^{\rho} f_3^{\rho} \dots f_n^{\sigma} f_{n+1}^{\nabla}]. \end{aligned}$$

and formula is true for n replaced by $n + 1$. ■

Corollary 14 *It is clear that $f^{\diamond \alpha}(t)$ reduces to $f^{\Delta}(t)$ for $\alpha = 1$ and $f^{\nabla}(t)$ for $\alpha = 0$.*

References

- [1] F.M. Atıçı, G.Sh. Guseinov, On Green's function and positive solution for boundary value problems on time scales, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 18 (2002) 75-99.
- [2] M. Bohner, A. Peterson, Dynamic Equations on time scales: An Introduction with Application, Birkhauser, Boston, 2001.
- [3] M. Bohner, A. Peterson, Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales, Boston, 2003.
- [4] C.G. Broyden, A class of methods for solving nonlinear simultaneous equations, Math. Comput. 19 (1965) 577-593.
- [5] P.W. Eleo, S. Hilger, Q. Sheng, A qualitative analysis on nonconstant graininess of the adaptive grid via time scales, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 36 (2006) 15-133.
- [6] S. Hilger, Analysis on measure chains- a unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus, Result Math. 18 (1990) 19-56.
- [7] J.W. Rogers Jr, Q. Sheng, notes on the diamond- α dynamic derivative on time scales, J. Math. Anal. Appl., Preprint
- [8] Q. Sheng, A view of dynamic derivatives on time scales from approximations, J. Difference Equ. Appl. 11 (2005) 63-82.

- [9] Q. Sheng, A second view of dynamic derivatives on time scales from approximations, Preprint.
- [10] Q. Sheng, M. Fadag, J. Henderson, J.M. Davis, An exploration of combined dynamic derivatives on time scales and their applications, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* 7 (2006) 395-413.
- [11] C. Morian, Partial differential equations on time scales, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri, May 2000.
- [12] G.P. Srivastava, Broyden's method for self-consistent field convergence acceleration, *J. Phys. A* 17 (1984) L317-L321.

Received: May 6, 2006