# $\tau$ Prefilters in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets

# N. Pankajam

Dr.Mahalingam College of Engineering & Technology Coimbatore, India pankajam\_rangarj@yahoo.com

#### Abstract

The notion of fuzzy sets was introduced by L.A. Zadeh[9] and was extended to intuitionistic fuzzy subsets by K.Atanassov[1]. The notions of fuzzy and intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces were introduced and studied by C.L.Chang[5], D.Coker[6]. In this paper intuitionistic fuzzy  $\tau^c$  prefilter is introduced and the relation between the  $\tau^c$  prefilters and ultra filters are studied.

**Keywords:** Intuitionistic fuzzy topology; Prefilters, Ultra filters.

# 1 Introduction

After the introduction of the concept of fuzzy sets by Zadeh[9] in 1983, Atanassov proposed a generalization of the notion of fuzzy set: the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy set[1]. Some basic results on intuitionistic fuzzy sets were published in [2,3]. The notions of fuzzy and intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces were introduced and studied in [5,6]. Blasco Mardones et al [4] introduced a new process of compactification for a fuzzy topological space by using  $\delta^c$  prefilters. In this paper we define  $\tau^c$  prefilter in intuitionistic fuzzy sets and obtain some of its properties.

# Definition 1.1 [1]

Let X be a nonempty set. An intuitionistic fuzzy set(IFS for short) A is an object having the form  $A = \{\langle x, \mu(x), \gamma(x) : x \in X \rangle\}$  where the functions  $\mu_A : X \to I$  and  $\gamma_A : X \to I$  denote the degree of membership(namely  $\mu_A(x)$ ) and the degree of non membership (namely  $\gamma_A(x)$ ) of each element  $x \in X$  to the set A, respectively, and  $0 \le \mu_A(x) + \gamma_A(x) \le 1$  for each  $x \in X$ .

# Definition 1.2 [3]

Let X be a nonempty set and let A and B be two IFSs of X. Then

2108 N. Pankajam

(a)  $A \subseteq B$  iff  $\mu_A(x) \le \mu_B(x)$  and  $\gamma_A(x) \ge \gamma_B(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

(b) 
$$A = B$$
 iff  $A \subset B$  and  $B \subset A$ 

(c) 
$$A \cup B = \left\{ \left\langle x, \mu_A(x) \lor \mu_B(x), \gamma_A(x) \land \gamma_B(x) \right\rangle : x \in X \right\}$$

(d) 
$$A \cap B = \left\{ \left\langle x, \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_B(x), \gamma_A(x) \vee \gamma_B(x) \right\rangle : x \in X \right\}$$

e) 
$$\overline{A} = \{\langle x. \gamma_A(x), \mu_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$$

### Definition 1.3 [6]

Let  $\{A_i : i \in J\}$  be an arbitrary family of IFSs in X.Then

(a) 
$$\bigcap A_i = \left\{ \left\langle x, \wedge \mu_{A_i}, \vee \gamma_{A_i} \right\rangle : x \in X \right\}$$

(b) 
$$\bigcup A_i = \left\{ \left\langle x, \vee \mu_{A_i}, \wedge \gamma_{A_i} \right\rangle : x \in X \right\}$$

# Definition 1.4 [6]

$$0_{\sim =} \left\{ \left( x, 0, 1 \right) : x \in X \right\} \text{ and } 1_{\sim =} \left\{ \left( x, 1, 0 \right) : x \in X \right\}$$

# Definition 1.5 [6]

An intuitionistic fuzzy topology (IFT for short) on a nonempty set X is a family  $\tau$  of IFSs in X satisfying the following axioms:

- (a)  $0_{\sim}, 1_{\sim} \in \tau$
- (b)  $A_1 \cap A_2 \in \tau$  for any  $A_1, A_2 \in \tau$
- (c)  $\bigcup A_i \in \tau$  for any arbitrary family  $\{A_i : i \in J\}$

In this case the pair  $(X,\tau)$  is called an intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces (IFTS for short) and any IFS in  $\tau$  is known as an intuitionistic fuzzy open sets (IFOS for short) in X.

### Definition 1.6 [6]

An intuitionistic fuzzy topological space in the sense of Lowen [8] is a pair  $(X,\tau)$  where  $(X,\tau)$  is an IFTS and each IFS in the form  $C_{\alpha,\beta}=\left\{(x,\alpha,\beta):x\in X\right\}$  where  $\alpha,\beta\in I$  are arbitrary and  $\alpha+\beta\leq 1$ , belongs to  $\tau$ .

# Definition 1.7 [6]

The complement  $\overline{A}$  of an IFOS A is an IFTS  $(X,\tau)$  is called an intuitionistic fuzzy closed set (IFCS for short) in X.

# Definition 1.8 [7]

The support of a fuzzy set A is a crisp set that contains all the elements of X that have nonzero membership grades in A.

#### **Notation**

If  $\tau$  is an IFT on X we let  $\tau^c$  denotes the collection of all intuitionistic fuzzy closed sets.

# 2. $\tau$ Prefilters in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets

# **Definition 2.1**

Let be a IFTS.Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \tau^c$  satisfies

- (i)  $\mathcal{F} \neq 0_{\sim}$  and  $0_{\sim} \notin \mathcal{F}$
- (ii)  $A_1, A_2 \in \tau$  then  $A_1 \cap A_2 \in \tau$
- (iii) If  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $B \subset \tau^c$  with  $A \subseteq B$  then  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ .

 $\mathcal{F}$  is called an IF closed filter or  $\tau^c$  a -prefilter on X.

#### **Definition 2.2**

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\tau^c$  prefilter and let  $\mathbf{B} \subset \mathcal{F}$ .  $\mathcal{G}$  is called a base for  $\mathcal{F}$  if for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  there is a  $B \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $B \subseteq A$ .

### **Definition 2.3**

Let  $\mathcal{K} \subset \tau^c$ .  $\mathcal{K}$  is a sub base for some  $\tau^c$  prefilter if the collection  $\{ \cap A_i : i \in H \}$  is a base for some  $\tau^c$  prefilter.

### Theorem 2.1

Let  $\mathfrak{G} \subset \tau^c$ . Equivalent statements are

- (i) There is a unique  $\tau^c$  prefilter  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a base for it.
- (ii) (a)  $\Re \neq 0$  and  $0 \notin \Re$

(b)If  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ , there is  $B_3 \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $B_3 \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$ 

Proof follows from the definitions 2.1, 2.2, 2.3.

#### Remark 2.1

If  $\mathfrak B$  satisfies (a) and (b) the generated  $\tau^c$  prefilter  $\mathfrak F$  is  $\mathfrak F = \left\{ A \in \tau^c : \text{there exists } B \in \mathfrak B \text{ with } B \subseteq A \right\}.$ 

#### **Definition 2.4:**

Let  $\mathcal{G} \subset \tau^c$  with the property that the intersection of any finite sub collection from  $\mathcal{G}$  is nonempty. There exists a unique  $\tau^c$  prefilter containing  $\mathcal{G}$  whose base is the set of all finite intersections of elements in  $\mathcal{G}$ . Such a  $\tau^c$  prefilter is called the  $\tau^c$  prefilter generated by  $\mathcal{G}$ .

As a consequence of the previous observations we get the following result.

2110 N. Pankajam

#### Theorem 2.2

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be  $\tau^c$  prefilter and  $A \in \tau^c$ . The following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $\mathcal{F} \cup \{A\}$  is contained in a  $\tau^c$  prefilter
- (ii) For each  $B \in \mathcal{F}$  we have  $A \cap B \neq 0$

#### **Definition 2.5**

Let  ${\mathfrak F}$  be  $\tau^c$  prefilter.  ${\mathfrak F}$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy  $\tau^c$  ultra filter if  ${\mathfrak F}$  is a maximal element in the set of  $\tau^c$  prefilters ordered by the inclusion relation.

#### Theorem 2.3

Every  $\tau^c$  prefilter is contained in some intuitionistic fuzzy  $\tau^c$  ultra filter.

The following result characterizes  $\tau^c$  ultra filters.

### Theorem 2.4

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be  $\tau^c$  prefilter on X.The following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $\mathcal{F}$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy  $\tau^c$  ultra filter
- (ii) If A is an element of  $\tau^c$  such that  $A \cap B \neq 0$  for each  $B \in \mathcal{F}$  then  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- (iii)If  $A \in \tau^c$  and  $A \notin \mathcal{F}$ , then there is  $B \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $supp(B) \subseteq X supp(A)$ .

### **Proof**

 $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ 

Suppose  $A \in \tau^c$  and  $A \cap B \neq \mathbf{0}_{\sim}$  for each  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ .

By theorem2.2, there is  $\tau^c$  prefilter  $\mathfrak{F}^*$  generated by  $\mathfrak{F} \cup \{A\}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}^*$ . Since  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a  $\tau^c$  ultra filter the above inclusion must imply that  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}^*$ . Therefore  $A \in \mathfrak{F}$ .

(ii) ⇒(iii)

Let  $A \in \tau^c$  and  $A \notin \mathcal{F}$ 

By (ii) there exists at least one  $B \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $A \cap B = \mathbf{0}_{\sim}$ . Take  $x \in \operatorname{supp}(B)$ , then  $\mu_B(x) > 0$ . Since  $A \cap B = \mathbf{0}_{\sim}$  we get  $\mu_A(x) = 0$ . Therefore  $x \notin \operatorname{supp}(A)$ . That is  $x \in X - \operatorname{supp}(A)$ . Hence  $\operatorname{supp}(B) \subseteq X - \operatorname{supp}(A)$ .

 $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$ 

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a  $\tau^c$  prefilter with  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{F} \neq \mathcal{G}$ .

Then there exists  $A \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $A \notin \mathcal{F}$ .

By (iii) there exists  $B \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $supp(B) \subseteq X - supp(A)$ .

Take  $x \in X$ . Then  $\mu_B(x) = 0$  or  $\mu_B(x) > 0$ .

If  $\mu_B(x) = 0$  then  $\mu_{A \cap B}(x) = 0$ .

If  $\mu_{R}(x) > 0$  then  $x \in \text{supp}(B)$ .

Hence  $x \in X - \text{supp}(A)$ . That is  $x \notin \text{supp}(A)$ . Therefore  $\mu_A(x) = 0$ .

Hence  $\mu_{A \cap B}(x) = 0$ . Therefore  $A \cap B = \mathbf{0}_{\sim}$ .

Since  $A, B \in \mathcal{S} \Rightarrow A \cap B \in \mathcal{S}$  we get  $\mathbf{0}_{\sim} \in \mathcal{S}$  which is a contradiction.

Therefore  $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{G}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{F}$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy  $\tau^c$  ultra filter.

## Theorem 2.5

Let  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  be a pair of different intuitionistic fuzzy  $\tau^c$  ultra filters

on X. Then 
$$\left(\bigcap_{A_1 \in U_1} \sup p(A_1)\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{A_2 \in U_2} \sup p(A_2)\right) = 0_{\sim}$$

#### **Proof**

Suppose there exists x belonging to the above intersection. Then for each  $A_1 \in U_1$  and  $A_2 \in U_2$ ,  $\mu_{A_1}(x) \neq 0$ ,  $\mu_{A_2}(x) \neq 0$ . Therefore  $A_1 \cap A_2 \neq 0$ . for every  $A_1 \in U_1$ .

Hence by (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) of theorem2.4 we get  $A_2 \in U_2$ . This is true for every  $A_2 \in U_2$ .

Therefore  $U_2 \subseteq U_1$ .

Since  $U_2$  is an ultra filter we get  $U_1 = U_2$ .

This is a contradiction as  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are given to be different intuitionistic fuzzy  $\tau^c$  ultra filters. Hence our assumption is wrong. Therefore the given intersection is empty.

### References

- [1] K.T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, in: VII ITKR's\_ Session, Sofia, June 1983, Central Sci.Tech.Library, Bulg. Acad.sci. 1984.
- [2] K.T.Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20(1986), 87-96.
- [3] K.T. Atanassov, Review and new results on intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Preprint IM-MFAIS- Sofia. (1988)1-88.
- [4] N.Blasco Mardones, M.Macho Stadler and M.A.De Prada Vicente, On fuzzy compactifications, Fuzzy sets and Systems, 43, (1991)189-197.
- [5] C.L.Chang, Fuzzy Topological Spaces, J.Math.Anal.Appl., 24, (1968) 182-190.
- [6] D.Çoker, An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 88, (1997), 81-89.
- [7] George J.Klir and Bo Yuan, Fuzzy sets and fuzzy logic: Theory and Applications, Prentice hall of India Private Limited, NewDelhi 2008.

2112 N. Pankajam

[8] R.Lowen, Fuzzy Topological spaces and fuzzy Compactness, J.Math Anal.Appl.56, (1976), 621-633.

[9] L.A.Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform. and Control 8, (1965),338–353.

Received: February, 2009