

On Certain Class of Univalent Functions with Negative Coefficients

K. Al-Shaqsi and *M. Darus¹

School of Mathematical Sciences
Faculty of Science and Technology
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia
Bangi 43600 Selangor D. Ehsan, Malaysia
ommath@hotmail.com
*maslina@pkriscc.ukm.my

Abstract

In [2], we introduced the generalization of Ruscheweyh derivatives operator D_λ^n . In the present paper, we study a new class $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, defined by D_λ^n for $0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1, -1 \leq a < b \leq 1, 0 < b \leq 1, 0 < \gamma \leq \frac{b}{b-a}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\lambda \geq 0$,

Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45

Keywords: Univalent functions, starlike functions, Ruscheweyh derivative operator

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic and univalent in the unit disc $\mathbf{U} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$.

Definition 1.1 [2] We define the operator D_λ^n by:

$$\begin{aligned} D_\lambda^0 f(z) &= (1 - \lambda)f(z) + \lambda z f'(z) = D_\lambda f(z), \quad \lambda \geq 0, \\ D_\lambda^1 f(z) &= (1 - \lambda)z f'(z) + \lambda z (z f'(z))', \\ D_\lambda^n f(z) &= D_\lambda \left(\frac{z(z^{n-1} f(z))^n}{n!} \right), \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}). \end{aligned}$$

¹Corresponding author

If f is given by (1.1), then we write

$$D_\lambda^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k-1)] C(n, k) a_k z^k,$$

where

$$C(n, k) = \binom{k+n-1}{n} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} (j+n)}{(k-1)!}, \quad k \geq 2.$$

Definition 1.2 Let $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 < \beta \leq 1$, $-1 \leq a < b \leq 1$, $b > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq b/(b-a)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and $\lambda \geq 0$ we say that a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in the class $\mathcal{T}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ if and only if

$$\left| \frac{\frac{z(D_\lambda^n f(z))' - 1}{D_\lambda^n f(z)} - 1}{(b-a)\gamma \left[\frac{z(D_\lambda^n f(z))' - 1}{D_\lambda^n f(z)} - \alpha \right] - b \left[\frac{z(D_\lambda^n f(z))' - 1}{D_\lambda^n f(z)} - 1 \right]} \right| < \beta, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (1.2)$$

Further, let \mathcal{M} denote the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad a_k \geq 0. \quad (1.3)$$

Let us define

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \mathcal{T}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \cap \mathcal{M}$$

It is interesting to note the various classes of functions studied by other authors including $\mathcal{M}_0^0(-1, 1, 0, 1, 1/2) \equiv S^*$ by Silverman [1], $\mathcal{M}_0^0(-1, 1, \alpha, 1, \gamma) \equiv S_0^*(\alpha, \gamma)$ by Owa [3], and $\mathcal{M}_0^0(-1, 1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \equiv S_0^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ by Owa [4],[5].

2 Characterization Theorem

Theorem 2.1 Let the function f be defined by (1.3). Then $f \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_\lambda^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) a_k \leq \beta\gamma(b-a)(1-\alpha), \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\Phi_\lambda^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \{k-1 + \beta[b(k+1) - (b-a)\gamma(k+\alpha)]\} [1 + \lambda(k-1)] C(n, k),$$

for $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 < \beta \leq 1$, $-1 \leq a < b \leq 1$, $b > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq b/(b-a)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\lambda \geq 0$.

Proof. Assume that the inequality (2.1) holds. Then for $|z| = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| z(D_\lambda^n f(z))' - D_\lambda^n f(z) \right| - \beta \left| (b-a)\gamma[z(D_\lambda^n f(z))' - \alpha D_\lambda^n f(z)] \right. \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. - b[z(D_\lambda^n f(z))' - D_\lambda^n f(z)] \right| \\
 = & \left| z(D_\lambda^n f(z))' - D_\lambda^n f(z) \right| - \beta \left| [(b-a)\gamma - b]z(D_\lambda^n f(z))' \right. \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. + [b - (b-a)\gamma\alpha]D_\lambda^n f(z) \right| \\
 = & \left| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1-k)[1 + \lambda(k-1)]C(n, k)a_k z^k \right| \\
 & - \beta \left| [(b-a)\gamma - b]z - [(b-a)\gamma - b] \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k[1 + \lambda(k-1)]C(n, k)a_k z^k \right. \\
 & \left. + [b - (b-a)\gamma\alpha]z - [b - (b-a)\gamma\alpha] \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k-1)]C(n, k)a_k z^k \right| \\
 = & \left| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1-k)[1 + \lambda(k-1)]C(n, k)a_k z^k \right| \\
 & - \beta \left| (b-a)\gamma(1-\alpha)z - [(b-a)\gamma - b] \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k[1 + \lambda(k-1)]C(n, k)a_k z^k \right. \\
 & \left. - [b - (b-a)\gamma\alpha] \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k-1)]C(n, k)a_k z^k \right| \\
 \leq & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)[1 + \lambda(k-1)]C(n, k)a_k |z|^k - \beta(b-a)\gamma(1-\alpha)|z| \\
 & + \beta|(b-a)\gamma - b| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k[1 + \lambda(k-1)]C(n, k)a_k |z|^k \\
 & + \beta[b - (b-a)\gamma\alpha] \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k-1)]C(n, k)a_k |z|^k \\
 \leq & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left\{ (k-1) + \beta[b - (b-a)\gamma]k + \beta[b - (b-a)\gamma\alpha] \right\} [1 + \lambda(k-1)]C(n, k)a_k |z|^k \\
 & - \beta\gamma(b-a)(1-\alpha) \leq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by the maximum modulus theorem, the function f is in the class $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Conversely, assume that the function f defined by (1.3) is in the class $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then

$$\left| \frac{\frac{z(D_\lambda^n f(z))' - 1}{D_\lambda^n f(z)} - 1}{(b-a)\gamma \left[\frac{z(D_\lambda^n f(z))' - \alpha}{D_\lambda^n f(z)} - \alpha \right] - b \left[\frac{z(D_\lambda^n f(z))' - 1}{D_\lambda^n f(z)} - 1 \right]} \right| < \beta, \quad \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\left| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1-k)[1 + \lambda(k-1)]C(n, k)a_k z^k \right|$$

$$\leq \beta \left| (b-a)\gamma(1-\alpha)z - [(b-a)\gamma - b] \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k[1 + \lambda(k-1)]C(n, k)a_k z^k \right.$$

$$\left. - [b - (b-a)\gamma\alpha] \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k-1)]C(n, k)a_k z^k \right|.$$

Since $|\operatorname{Re}(z)| \leq |z|$ for any z , we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)[1 + \lambda(k-1)]C(n, k)a_k z^k}{(b-a)\gamma(1-\alpha)z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [b(k+1) - (b-a)\gamma(k+\alpha)][1 + \lambda(k-1)]C(n, k)a_k z^k} \right\} < \beta.$$

Upon clearing the denominator in the last inequality and letting $|z| \rightarrow 1$ through real values, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)[1 + \lambda(k-1)]C(n, k)a_k$$

$$\leq \beta\gamma(b-a)(1-\alpha) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \beta[b(k+1) - (b-a)\gamma(k+\alpha)][1 + \lambda(k-1)]C(n, k)a_k,$$

and this inequality gives the required condition.

Finally, the result is sharp with the extremal function f given by

$$f(z) = z - \frac{\beta\gamma(b-a)(1-\alpha)}{\Phi_\lambda^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)} z^k. \quad \square$$

3 Closure Theorems

First, let the function f_j be defined for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, by

$$f_j(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k,j} a_k, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}, \quad (a_{k,j} \geq 0). \quad (3.5)$$

We shall prove the following results for the closure of functions in the class $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Theorem 3.1 *Let the functions f_j defined by (4.1) be in the class $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ for every $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then the function g defined by*

$$g(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^m b_k z^k, \quad ; \quad b_k \geq 0, \quad \text{with} \quad b_k = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m a_{k,j},$$

also belongs to the class $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Proof. As $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ it follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_\lambda^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) a_{k,j} \leq \beta\gamma(b-a)(1-\alpha), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_\lambda^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) b_k &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_\lambda^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m a_{k,j} \\ &\leq \beta\gamma(b-a)(1-\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

hence, by Theorem 2.1, $g(z) \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Theorem 3.2 *Let the functions f_j defined by (4.1) be in the class $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ for every $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then the functions h defined by*

$$h(z) = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j f_j(z), \quad (c_j \geq 0)$$

is also in the class $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, where $\sum_{j=1}^m c_j = 1$.

Proof. According to the definition of h , we can write

$$h(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m c_j a_{k,j} \right) z^k.$$

Further, since functions $f_j(z)$ are in $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ for every $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ we get

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_{\lambda}^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) a_{k,j} \leq \beta\gamma(b-a)(1-\alpha)$$

for every $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. We can see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_{\lambda}^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m c_j a_{k,j} \right) \\ &\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \left(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_{\lambda}^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) a_{k,j} \right) \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^m c_j \right) \beta\gamma(b-a)(1-\alpha) = \beta\gamma(b-a)(1-\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the theorem follows.

Theorem 3.3 Let the functions $f_1(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k,1} z^k$, $a_{k,1} \geq 0$, and $f_2(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k,2} z^k$, $a_{k,2} \geq 0$, be in the class $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, respectively $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{n+1}(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then the function $p(z)$ defined by

$$p(z) = z - \frac{2+n}{4+n} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (a_{k,1} + a_{k,2}) z^k \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma).$$

Proof. Let $f_1(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and $f_2(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{n+1}(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, by using Theorem 2.1 we get, respectively,

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_{\lambda}^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) a_{k,1} \leq \beta\gamma(b-a)(1-\alpha),$$

and

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_{\lambda}^{n+1}(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) a_{k,2} \leq \beta\gamma(b-a)(1-\alpha).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2+n}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_{\lambda}^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) a_{k,2} &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_{\lambda}^{n+1}(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) a_{k,2} \\ &\leq \beta\gamma(b-a)(1-\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\frac{2+n}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_{\lambda}^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) a_{k,1} \leq \frac{2+n}{2} \beta \gamma (b-a)(1-\alpha),$$

and

$$\frac{2+n}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_{\lambda}^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) a_{k,2} \leq \beta \gamma (b-a)(1-\alpha).$$

imply

$$\frac{2+n}{4+n} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_{\lambda}^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) (a_{k,1} + a_{k,2}) \leq \beta \gamma (b-a)(1-\alpha),$$

and from this we deduce that

$$p(z) = z - \frac{2+n}{4+n} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (a_{k,1} + a_{k,2}) z^k \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma). \square$$

4 Integral Operators

Theorem 4.1 *Let the function f defined by (1.3), be in the class $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, and let c be a real number such that $c > -1$. Then the function F defined by*

$$F(z) = \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt, \tag{4.6}$$

also belongs to the class $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Proof. From the representation of $F(z)$, it follows that

$$F(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad \text{where} \quad b_k = \frac{c+1}{c+k} a_k.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_{\lambda}^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) b_k &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_{\lambda}^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \frac{c+1}{c+k} a_k \\ &< \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_{\lambda}^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) a_k \\ &\leq \beta \gamma (b-a)(1-\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and hence by Theorem 2.1, $F \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Theorem 4.2 Let c be a real number such that $c > -1$. If $F \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, then the function f defined by (4.1) is univalent in $|z| < R$, where

$$R = \inf_k \left[\frac{\Phi_\lambda^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)(c+1)}{\beta\gamma(b-a)(1-\alpha)(c+k)k} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}}, \quad k \geq 2.$$

The result is sharp with the extremal function f given by

$$f(z) = z - \frac{\beta\gamma(b-a)(1-\alpha)(c+k)}{\Phi_\lambda^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)(c+1)k} z^k, \quad k \geq 2. \quad (4.7)$$

Proof. Let $F(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, it follows from (4.1) that

$$f(z) = \frac{z^{1-c}[z^c F(z)]'}{c+1} = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{c+k}{c+1} a_k z^k.$$

$$F(z) \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \Rightarrow \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi_\lambda^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) a_k}{\beta\gamma(b-a)(1-\alpha)} \leq 1.$$

If

$$\frac{k(c+k)|z|^{k-1}}{c+1} < \frac{\Phi_\lambda^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)}{\beta\gamma(b-a)(1-\alpha)},$$

or if

$$|z| < \left[\frac{\Phi_\lambda^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)(c+1)}{\beta\gamma(b-a)(1-\alpha)(c+k)k} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} |f'(z) - 1| &= \left| - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \frac{c+k}{c+1} a_k z^{k-1} \right| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \frac{c+k}{c+1} a_k z^{k-1} \\ &< \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi_\lambda^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) a_k}{\beta\gamma(b-a)(1-\alpha)} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

But from $|f'(z) - 1| < 1$, $|z| < R$, we deduce that f is univalent in the disc $|z| < R$.

The result is sharp and the extremal function is given by (4.2). \square

Theorem 4.3 Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $c > -1$. If

$$F(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$$

then, the function f given by (4.1) is starlike of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$) in $|z| < R^*$, where

$$R^* = \inf_k \left[\frac{(1 - \delta)(c + 1)\Phi_\lambda^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)}{(k - \delta)(c + k)\beta\gamma(b - a)(1 - \alpha)} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}}, \quad k \geq 2.$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. We must show that $\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| < (1 - \delta)$, for $|z| < R^*$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| &= \left| \frac{-\sum_{k=2}^\infty (k - 1) \frac{c+k}{c+1} a_k z^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^\infty \frac{c+k}{c+1} a_k z^{k-1}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{k=2}^\infty (k - 1) \frac{c+k}{c+1} a_k |z|^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^\infty \frac{c+k}{c+1} a_k |z|^{k-1}} < 1 - \delta. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Hence (4.3) holds true if

$$\sum_{k=2}^\infty (k - 1) \frac{c + k}{c + 1} a_k |z|^{k-1} < (1 - \delta) \left\{ 1 - \sum_{k=2}^\infty \frac{c + k}{c + 1} a_k |z|^{k-1} \right\},$$

or, equivalently,

$$\sum_{k=2}^\infty \left(\frac{k - \delta}{1 - \delta} \right) \left(\frac{c + k}{c + 1} \right) a_k |z|^{k-1} < 1. \tag{4.9}$$

By using

$$\sum_{k=2}^\infty \frac{\Phi_\lambda^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) a_k}{\beta\gamma(b - a)(1 - \alpha)} \leq 1.$$

Then (4.4) will be true if

$$\left(\frac{k - \delta}{1 - \delta} \right) \left(\frac{c + k}{c + 1} \right) a_k |z|^{k-1} < \frac{\Phi_\lambda^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)}{\beta\gamma(b - a)(1 - \alpha)},$$

or if

$$|z| < \left[\frac{(1 - \delta)(c + 1)\Phi_\lambda^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)}{(k - \delta)(c + k)\beta\gamma(b - a)(1 - \alpha)} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}}$$

Hence, $f(z) \in S^*(\delta)$ for $|z| < R^*$. The sharpness follows if we take the function F , given by

$$F(z) = z - \frac{\beta\gamma(b - a)(1 - \alpha)}{\Phi_\lambda^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)} z^k, \quad k \geq 2. \quad \square$$

5 The Hadamard products

Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ where f defined by (1.3) and g given by

$$g(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad b_k \geq 0. \quad (5.10)$$

Then the Hadamard product or convolution of f and g denoted by

$$f(z) * g(z) = (f * g)(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k.$$

Theorem 5.1 *If the functions f, g defined by (1.3) and (5.1), belong to the same class $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, then the Hadamard product $f * g$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta^2, \gamma)$.*

Proof. Since $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ by using Theorem 2.1 we have

$$a_k \leq \frac{\beta\gamma(b-a)(1-\alpha)}{\Phi_{\lambda}^n(2, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)}, \quad k \geq 2. \quad (5.11)$$

If $g(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, then

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_{\lambda}^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) b_k \leq \beta\gamma(b-a)(1-\alpha). \quad (5.12)$$

Since, $0 < \beta^2 \leq \beta \leq 1$, we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_{\lambda}^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta^2, \gamma) b_k \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_{\lambda}^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) b_k$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_{\lambda}^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta^2, \gamma) a_k b_k &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_{\lambda}^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) a_k b_k \\ &\leq \frac{\beta^2 \gamma^2 (b-a)^2 (1-\alpha)^2}{\Phi_{\lambda}^n(2, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)} \\ &\leq \beta^2 \gamma (b-a)(1-\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Because

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\beta^2 \gamma^2 (b-a)^2 (1-\alpha)^2}{\Phi_{\lambda}^n(2, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)} &\leq \beta^2 \gamma (b-a)(1-\alpha) \Leftrightarrow \\ \beta^2 \gamma (b-a)(1-\alpha) \{ \gamma (b-a)(1-\alpha) - \Phi_{\lambda}^n(2, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \} &\leq 0 \Leftrightarrow \\ \gamma (b-a)(1-\alpha) - (n+1)(1+\lambda) + (n+1)(1+\lambda) \beta [\gamma (b-a) \alpha - b] \\ &\quad + (n+1)(1+\lambda) 2\beta [\gamma (b-a) - b] \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

According to Theorem 2.1 we obtain $f * g \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta^2, \gamma)$. \square

Theorem 5.2 Let $p > 0$ and $\frac{p+2-\sqrt{p^2+4p}}{2} \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{1+p}$. If the functions f and g defined by (1.3) and (5.1) belong to the same class $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta^2, \gamma)$, then the Hadamard product $f * g$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, 1 - p\alpha, \beta^2, \gamma)$.

Proof. By using (5.2) and (5.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_\lambda^n(k, a, b, 1 - p\alpha, \beta^2, \gamma) a_k b_k &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_\lambda^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta^2, \gamma) a_k b_k \\ &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_\lambda^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) a_k b_k \\ &\leq \frac{\beta^2 \gamma^2 (b - a)^2 (1 - \alpha)^2}{\Phi_\lambda^n(2, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)} \\ &\leq \beta^2 \gamma (b - a) (1 - \alpha)^2 \leq \beta^2 \gamma (b - a) p\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $f * g \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, 1 - p\alpha, \beta^2, \gamma)$. \square

When $p = 1$, Theorem 5.2 gives the following

Corollary 5.3 Let $\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and let the functions f and g defined by (1.3) and (5.1) belong to the same class $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then the Hadamard product $f * g$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, 1 - \alpha, \beta^2, \gamma)$.

As for $p = 2$, Theorem 5.2 gives the following

Corollary 5.4 Let $2 - \sqrt{3} \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{3}$ and let the functions f and g defined by (1.3) and (5.1) belong to the same class $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then the Hadamard product $f * g$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, 1 - 2\alpha, \beta^2, \gamma)$.

Remark 5.5 From definition of the class $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ it is easy to see that if $0 < \beta_1 \leq \beta_2 \leq 1$, then $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta_1, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta_2, \gamma)$

Remark 5.6 Since $0 < \beta^2 \leq \beta \leq 1$, we have $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta^2, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, 1 - p\alpha, \beta^2, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, 1 - p\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$

6 Inclusion properties of the classes $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$

Theorem 6.1 Let $0 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \alpha_1 < 1$, $0 < \beta_1 \leq \beta_2 \leq 1$ and $0 < \gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2 \leq \frac{b}{b-a}$. Then we have $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1) \subset \mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2)$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1)$. Then by using Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_\lambda^n(k, a, b, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1) a_k \leq \beta_1 \gamma_1 (b - a) (1 - \alpha_1). \tag{6.13}$$

From this we deduce that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [b(k+1) - (b-a)\gamma_1(k+\alpha_1)][1 + \lambda(k-1)]C(n, k)a_k \leq \gamma_1(b-a)(1-\alpha_1),$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [-(k+\alpha_1)][1 + \lambda(k-1)]C(n, k)a_k \leq 1 - \alpha_1,$$

and

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k-1)]C(n, k)a_k \leq 1.$$

Let $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 + \nu$, $\beta_1 = \beta_2 - \varepsilon$, $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 - \theta$ where $\nu, \varepsilon, \theta \geq 0$, then from (6.1) we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_{\lambda}^n(k, a, b, \alpha_2 + \nu, \beta_2 - \varepsilon, \gamma_2 - \theta)a_k \leq \beta_1\gamma_1(b-a)(1-\alpha_1).$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_{\lambda}^n(k, a, b, \alpha_2 + \nu, \beta_2 - \varepsilon, \gamma_2 - \theta) \\ = & \Phi_{\lambda}^n(k, a, b, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2) - \varepsilon[b(k+1) - \gamma_1(b-a)(k+\alpha_1)][1 + \lambda(k-1)]C(n, k) \\ & - \theta\beta_2(b-a)[-(k+\alpha_1)][1 + \lambda(k-1)]C(n, k) - \gamma_2\beta_2(b-a)\nu[1 + \lambda(k-1)]C(n, k) \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_{\lambda}^n(k, a, b, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2)a_k \\ \leq & \beta_1\gamma_1(b-a)(1-\alpha_1) + \varepsilon \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [b(k+1) - (b-a)\gamma_1(k+\alpha_1)][1 + \lambda(k-1)]C(n, k)a_k \\ & + \beta_2\theta(b-a) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [-(k+\alpha_1)][1 + \lambda(k-1)]C(n, k)a_k \\ & + \gamma_2\beta_2(b-a)\nu \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k-1)]C(n, k)a_k \\ \leq & \beta_1\gamma_1(b-a)(1-\alpha_1) + \varepsilon\gamma_1(b-a)(1-\alpha_1) + \beta_2\theta(b-a)(1-\alpha_1) + \gamma_2\beta_2(b-a)\nu \\ = & \beta_2\gamma_2(b-a)(1-\alpha_2). \end{aligned}$$

According to Theorem 2.1 we obtain $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^n(a, b, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^n(a, b, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1) \subset \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^n(a, b, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2)$. \square

Corollary 6.2 *Let $0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 < 1$. Then we have $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^n(a, b, \alpha_1, \beta, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^n(a, b, \alpha_2, \beta, \gamma)$.*

Corollary 6.3 *Let $0 < \gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2 \leq \frac{b}{b-a}$. Then $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma_1) \subset \mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma_2)$.*

Theorem 6.4 *Let $0 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \alpha_1 < 1$, $0 < \beta_1 \leq \beta_2 \leq 1$ and $0 < \gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2 \leq \frac{b}{b-a}$. If the functions f defined by (1.3) and g defined by (5.1) be in the class $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1)$ and $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2)$, respectively, then the Hadamard product $f * g$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ where $\alpha = \min(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, $\beta = \max(\beta_1, \beta_2)$ and $\gamma = \max(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$.*

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \min(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \Rightarrow \alpha \leq \alpha_1 \text{ and } \alpha \leq \alpha_2 \\ \beta &= \max(\beta_1, \beta_2) \Rightarrow \beta \geq \beta_1 \text{ and } \beta \geq \beta_2 \\ \gamma &= \max(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \Rightarrow \gamma \geq \gamma_1 \text{ and } \gamma \geq \gamma_2 \end{aligned}$$

from Theorem 6.1 we have $f \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1) \Rightarrow f \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and $g \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2) \Rightarrow g \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. From Theorem 5.1 and Remark 5.6 we have $f * g \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. \square

Theorem 6.5 *Let $-1 \leq a_2 \leq a_1 < b_1 \leq b_2 \leq 1$, $0 < b_1$. Then we have $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a_1, b_1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a_2, b_2, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a_1, b_1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, then

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_\lambda^n(k, a_1, b_1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) a_k \leq \beta \gamma (b_1 - a_1) (1 - \alpha).$$

Since $a_1 \geq a_2$, $b_1 \leq b_2 \Rightarrow b_1 - a_1 \leq b_2 - a_2$ and because $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 < \beta \leq 1$, $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{b}{b-a}$ from defined of $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ we deduce that $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a_1, b_1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \geq \mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a_2, b_2, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_\lambda^n(k, a_2, b_2, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) a_k &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_\lambda^n(k, a_1, b_1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) a_k \\ &\leq \beta \gamma (b_1 - a_1) (1 - \alpha) \\ &\leq \beta \gamma (b_2 - a_2) (1 - \alpha), \end{aligned}$$

and according to Theorem 2.1 we obtain $f \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a_2, b_2, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ which imply that $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a_1, b_1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a_2, b_2, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. \square

Corollary 6.6 *$-1 \leq a_2 \leq a_1 < b \leq 1$, $0 < b \leq 1$. Then we have $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a_1, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a_2, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.*

Corollary 6.7 *$-1 \leq a < b_1 \leq b_2 \leq 1$, $0 < b_1 \leq b_2 \leq 1$. Then we have $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b_1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b_2, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.*

Theorem 6.8 $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^{n+1}(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Proof. Since $f \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda^{n+1}(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, by using Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_\lambda^{n+1}(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) a_k \leq \beta\gamma(b-a)(1-\alpha),$$

because

$$C(n, k) \leq C(n+1, k); \quad k \geq 2 \text{ and } n \geq 0.$$

and

$$\Phi_\lambda^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) > 0,$$

then

$$\Phi_\lambda^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \leq \Phi_\lambda^{n+1}(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_\lambda^n(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) a_k \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_\lambda^{n+1}(k, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) a_k \leq \beta\gamma(b-a)(1-\alpha).$$

According to Theorem 2.1 we obtain

$$f \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\lambda^{n+1}(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma). \square$$

Remark 6.9 From definition of the class $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ or from Theorem 2.1 it is easy to see that if $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$, then $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_2}^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1}^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Remark 6.10 From Theorem 6.8 we have

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{M}_\lambda^0(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$$

from Remark 6.9, we have

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{M}_0^0(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$$

and from Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 6.5 we have

$$\mathcal{M}_0^0(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{M}_0^0(-1, 1, 0, 1, \frac{1}{2})$$

and

$$f \in \mathcal{M}_0^0(-1, 1, 0, 1, \frac{1}{2}) \Leftrightarrow \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| < 1,$$

the class of starlike functions with negative coefficients. Since these functions are univalent, then all functions in the class $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^n(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ are also univalent.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The work presented here was partially supported by SAGA (Scientific Advancement Grant Allocation) STGL-012-2006, Academy of Sciences, Malaysia.

References

- [1] H. Silverman, Univalent functions with negative coefficients, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **51**,(1975),109-116.
- [2] K. Al Shaqsi and M. Darus, On univalent functions with respect to k -symmetric points defined by a generalized Ruscheweyh derivatives operator.(Submitted)
- [3] S. Owa, On the starlike functions of order α and type β , *Math. Japonica* **27**,(6) (1982),723-735.
- [4] S. Owa, On a class of starlike functions, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **19**, (1) (1982/83), 29-38.
- [5] S. Owa, A remark on the Hadamard products of starlike functions II, *Math. Japonica* **27**, (6) (1982),747-752.

Received: February 16, 2007