Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 4, 2010, no. 30, 1457 - 1466

# Analytical Inversion of Two Dimensional Laplace -Carson Transform by a Differential Method

**B.** Hasani Lichaei<sup>1</sup>, H. Taheri Kate Sari<sup>2</sup> and A. Sharriffar<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Iran, Guilan, Rasht, Fooman Azad University b\_hasani2004@yahoo.com

<sup>2</sup> Iran, Tehran, Shahid Beheshti University hosain\_taheri\_k@yahoo.com

<sup>3</sup>Iran, Guilan, Rasht, Fooman Azad University Azin936@yahoo.com

#### Abstract

A differential method for recovering a function  $f(t_1,t_2)$  from its two dimensional Laplace-Carson transform  $pq\hat{f}(p,q)$  given as continuous or discrete data on a finite interval. The introduction of the variables  $u_1 = \frac{1}{p}, u_2 = \frac{1}{q}$  converts this transform into a Mellin convolution, with a transformed kernel involving the gamma function the truncation of the infinite product representation of  $\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-s)\Gamma(1-w)}$  leads to an approximate differential expression for the solution.

**Keywords:** Two dimensional Laplace-Carson transform; two dimensional Mellin transform; Functional sequence; Inverse problems.

# **1**.Introduction

The Laplace–Carson transform provides a powerful method for analyzing linear systems.

In most problems solution inversion of Laplace-Carson transform involve numerous and complicated functions .Many interesting problems in physics lead to Laplace-Carson transforms of which inversion are not expressed in terms of classified functions .Therefore, we are interested to have analytical or numerical method to solve these problems.

C.Donalato's[1] analytical one dimensional method implying Laplace-Carson transform and Mellin transform leads to functional sequence  $f_n(t)$  when  $n \to \infty$  then  $f_n(t)$  converge to f(t). The extension of this method to two dimensional expressed in this paper without implying inversion two dimensional Laplace-Carson transform can Make a functional sequence  $f_n(t_1, t_2)$  since  $n \to \infty$  converge to exact  $f(t_1, t_2)$ .

# 2 .Section 2

In this section we express two lemmas and conclusion will be used in next section.

Lemma 2.1

Let 
$$g: R \to R$$
 then  $\forall n \ge 1 \frac{d^n}{du^n} \left( u^n g(u) \right) = \left[ n + u \frac{d}{du} \right] \frac{d^{n-1}}{du^{n-1}} \left( u^{n-1} g(u) \right)$ 

Proof .According to Leibnitz Theorem if  $f, g: R \to R$  and  $f, g \in C^{n}[a, b]$  then

$$(f(u).g(u))^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \choose k} f^{(n-k)}(u)g^{(k)}(u)$$

Therefore

$$\frac{d^{n}}{du^{n}}\left(u^{n}g(u)\right) = n\frac{d^{n-1}}{du^{n-1}}\left(u^{n-1}g(u)\right) + u\frac{d^{n}}{du^{n}}\left(u^{n-1}g(u)\right)$$

$$= \left[ n + u \frac{d}{du} \right] \frac{d^{n-1}}{du^{n-1}} \left( u^{n-1} g(u) \right) \qquad \forall \quad n \ge 1$$

## **Conclusion 2.1**

Extension of lemma2.1 to two dimensional leads us

$$\frac{d^{n}}{du_{2}^{n}}\left(u_{2}^{n}g(u_{1},u_{2})\right) = \left[n + u_{2}\frac{d}{du_{2}}\right]\frac{d^{n-1}}{du_{2}^{n-1}}\left(u_{2}^{n-1}g(u_{1},u_{2})\right)$$

#### Lemma 2.2

Let  $g: R \to R$  then

$$\forall n \ge 1 \qquad \frac{d^n}{du^n} \left( u^n g(u) \right) = \prod_{k=1}^n \left[ k + u \frac{d}{du} \right] g(u)$$

**Proof** .According to lemma 2.1

$$\frac{d^{n}}{du^{n}}\left(u^{n}g(u)\right) = \left[n + u\frac{d}{du}\right]\frac{d^{n-1}}{du^{n-1}}\left(u^{n-1}g(u)\right) \quad \forall n \ge 1$$
$$= \left[n + u\frac{d}{du}\right]\left[(n-1) + u\frac{d}{du}\right]\dots\left[1 + u\frac{d}{du}\right]g(u)$$
$$= \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left[k + u\frac{d}{du}\right]g(u)$$

## **Conclusion 2.2**

Extension of lemma2.2 to two dimensional leads us

$$\frac{d^{n}}{du_{2}^{n}}\left(u_{2}^{n}g(u_{1},u_{2})\right) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left[k + u_{2}\frac{d}{du_{2}}\right]g(u_{1},u_{2}) \quad \forall n \ge 1$$

## 3. The inversion formula

## 3.1**Two dimensional Laplace Carson Transform and Two dimensional** Mellin Transform.

Two Dimensional Laplace-Carson Transform  $\hat{f}_c(p,q)$  of a real function  $f(t_1,t_2)$  $t_1,t_2 \ge 0$  Is defined by Ditkin and Prudnikov [3]

$$\hat{f}_{c}(p,q) = pq \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-pt_{1}-qt_{2}} f(t_{1},t_{2}) dt_{1} dt_{2}$$
(1)

We assume that  $\hat{f}_c(p,q)$  is known for real positive values of p,q in the interval [a,b],[c,d] and wish to approximately reconstruct  $\hat{f}_c(p,q)$  in the widest possible range of values of  $t_1, t_2$ ; in most applications,  $f(t_1, t_2)$  and hence  $\hat{f}_c(p,q)$  are positive functions.

An interesting property of the transform of Eq.(1) is brought out by supposing that the variable and functions involved are physical quantities.then we see that  $\hat{f}_c(\frac{1}{u_1}, \frac{1}{u_2})$ , unlike  $\hat{f}(\frac{1}{u_1}, \frac{1}{u_2})$ , retains the physical dimensions of

 $f(t_1, t_2)$ . Note that  $p = \frac{1}{u_1}, q = \frac{1}{u_2}$ . so a new image function is written by

$$g(u_1, u_2) = \hat{f}_c(\frac{1}{u_1}, \frac{1}{u_2}) = \frac{1}{u_1 u_2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{t_1 - t_2}{u_1 - u_2}} f(t_1, t_2) dt_1 dt_2$$
(2)

Thus the new transformed function  $g(u_1, u_2)$  becomes physically alike to  $f(t_1, t_2)$ ; in next section some examples will illustrate that  $g(u_1, u_2)$ , unlike  $\hat{f}_c(p,q)$  or  $\hat{f}(p,q)$ , constitutes by itself an approximate reconstruction of  $f(t_1, t_2)$ . By multiplying and dividing by  $t_1, t_2$  the integrand, Eq(2) can be put in the form of a two dimensional mellin convolution product

$$g(u_1, u_2) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t_1}{u_1} \frac{t_2}{u_2} e^{-\frac{t_1 - t_2}{u_1 - u_2}} f(t_1, t_2) \frac{dt_1 dt_2}{t_1 t_2}$$
(3)

The kernel of this integral equation has the proper from  $h(\frac{u_1}{t_1}, \frac{u_2}{t_2})$ , where

$$h(x, y) = \frac{1}{xy} e^{\frac{1}{x} \frac{1}{y}}$$
(4)

Then

$$h(\frac{u_1}{t_1}, \frac{u_2}{t_2}) = \frac{t_1 t_2}{u_1 u_2} e^{-\frac{t_1 - t_2}{u_1 - u_2}}$$

Eq.(3) can be solved by taking its two dimensional Mellin transform, which for a function h(x, y) is defined by

$$M[h(x, y)] = H(s, w) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} h(x, y) x^{s-1} y^{w-1} dx dy$$
(5)

We note that

$$\Gamma(1-s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x} e^{-\frac{1}{x}} x^{s-1} dx, \quad s < 1$$
(6)

Where  $\Gamma$  denotes the usual gamma function.By Eq.(4) we can see that

$$M[h(x,y)] = H(s,w) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{xy} e^{-\frac{1}{x-y}x^{s-1}y^{w-1}} dx dy = \Gamma(1-s)\Gamma(1-w) , s < 1, w < 1$$
(7)

Assuming the existence of the other transforms, we obtain from Eq.(3) G(s,w) = H(s,w)F(s,w) and hence

$$F(s,w) = G(s,w)/H(s,w) = [1/(\Gamma(1-s)\Gamma(1-w))]G(s,w)$$
(8)

G and H have a common region of analyticity.we can obtain  $f(t_1, t_2)$  from Eq.(5) which could be Mellin inverted For this purpose instead of  $\frac{1}{\Gamma}$  we employ representation of an infinite product.

## **2.2** .Obtaining the approximate of inversion F(s, w)

The infinite product representation of  $\frac{1}{\Gamma}$  is (see,e,g.,[9,p,Eq.(8.322)]))

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} = z \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{z}{k} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{-z}$$
(9)

The truncation of this product after n terms provides an approximation for  $\frac{1}{\Gamma(z)}$ The result can be simplified to

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-s)} \cong (1-s)\left(1-\frac{s}{2}\right) \dots \left(1-\frac{s}{n}\right)(n+1)^s$$
(10)

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-w)} \cong \left(1-w\right)\left(1-\frac{w}{2}\right) \cdot \cdot \left(1-\frac{w}{n}\right)\left(n+1\right)^{w}$$
(11)



*Fig1*. The function  $1/\Gamma(1-s)$ , s < 1, and its approximation from Eq.(10) and (11)For different values of n = 1, 2, 3, 5, 10. The green line is exact.

The substitution of Eq.(10) and Eq.(11) into Eq.(8) yields an approximation  $F_n(s,w)$  to F(s,w)

$$F_n(s,w) = \left(1-s\right)\left(1-\frac{s}{2}\right). \quad \left(1-\frac{s}{n}\right) \left(1-w\right)\left(1-\frac{w}{2}\right). \quad \left(1-\frac{w}{n}\right)(n+1)^{s+w}G(s,w)(12)$$

The function  $f_n(t_1, t_2)$  that is Mellin transformed into  $F_n(s, w)$  constitute an approximation to the solution  $f(t_1, t_2)$ .now we prove that  $f_n(t_1, t_2)$  can be expressed in terms of  $g(u_1, u_2)$  and its first n derivatives. In fact the known properties  $M\left[\frac{t_1}{k}\frac{d}{dt_1}g(t_1, t_2)\right] = -\frac{s}{k}G(s, w)$  and  $M\left[\frac{t_2}{k}\frac{d}{dt_2}g(t_1, t_2)\right] = -\frac{w}{k}G(s, w)$  and  $M\left[\frac{t_1t_2}{k^2}\frac{d}{dt_1}\frac{d}{dt_2}g(t_1, t_2)\right] = -\frac{sw}{k^2}G(s, w)$  show that

$$M\left[\left(1+\frac{t_{1}}{k}\frac{d}{dt_{1}}+\frac{t_{2}}{k}\frac{d}{dt_{2}}+\frac{t_{1}t_{2}}{k^{2}}\frac{d}{dt_{1}}\frac{d}{dt_{2}}\right)g(t_{1},t_{2})\right] = \left(1-\frac{s}{k}\right)\left(1-\frac{w}{k}\right)G(s,w)$$
(13)  
We note that

$$\left[ (1 + \frac{t_1}{k} \frac{d}{dt_1} + \frac{t_2}{k} \frac{d}{dt_2} + \frac{t_1 t_2}{k^2} \frac{d}{dt_1} \frac{d}{dt_2}) g(t_1, t_2) \right] = \left[ 1 + \frac{t_1}{k} \frac{d}{dt_1} \right] \left[ 1 + \frac{t_2}{k} \frac{d}{dt_2} \right] g(t_1, t_2) \quad (14)$$
Suppose that

$$L_{n} = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left[ 1 + \frac{t_{1}}{k} \frac{d}{dt_{1}} \right] \left[ 1 + \frac{t_{2}}{k} \frac{d}{dt_{2}} \right]$$
(15)

Therefore,

$$M[L_{n}g(t_{1},t_{2})] = \prod_{k=1}^{n} M\left[\left[\left[1 + \frac{t_{1}}{k}\frac{d}{dt_{1}}\right]\left[1 + \frac{t_{2}}{k}\frac{d}{dt_{2}}\right]\right]g(t_{1},t_{2})\right] = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{s}{k}\right)\left(1 - \frac{w}{k}\right)G(s,w) \quad (16)$$
  
By recalling the property  $c^{s+w}G(s,w) = M\left[g\left(\frac{t_{1}}{c},\frac{t_{2}}{c}\right)\right]$ , we see that  
$$M\left[\prod_{k=1}^{n} \left[\left[1 + \frac{t_{1}}{k(n+1)}\frac{d}{dt_{1}}\right]\left[1 + \frac{t_{2}}{k(n+1)}\frac{d}{dt_{2}}\right]\right]g\left(\frac{t_{1}}{(n+1)},\frac{t_{2}}{(n+1)}\right)\right] = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{s}{k}\right)\left(1 - \frac{w}{k}\right)(n+1)^{s+w}G(s,w)$$

So we can write the function  $f_n(t_1, t_2)$  in the form

$$f_{n}(t_{1},t_{2}) = L_{n}g(u_{1},u_{2}) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left[ \left[ 1 + \frac{u_{1}}{k} \frac{d}{du_{1}} \right] \left[ 1 + \frac{u_{2}}{k} \frac{d}{du_{2}} \right] \right] g(u_{1},u_{2}); \quad u_{1} = \frac{t_{1}}{(n+1)}, u_{2} = \frac{t_{2}}{(n+1)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{(n!)^{2}} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left[ \left[ k + u_{1} \frac{d}{du_{1}} \right] \left[ k + u_{2} \frac{d}{du_{2}} \right] \right] g(u_{1},u_{2})$$
(17)

According to the conclusion of lemma 2.2 in section.2 we have

$$f_n(t_1, t_2) = \frac{1}{(n!)} \frac{d^n}{du_1^n} \frac{d^n}{du_2^n} \left( u_1^n u_2^n g(u_1, u_2) \right), \quad u_1 = \frac{t_1}{(n+1)}, u_2 = \frac{t_2}{(n+1)}$$
(18)

If  $\hat{f}_c(p,q)$  is known for  $a \le p \le b$  and  $c \le q \le d$ , then  $g(u_1, u_2)$  is given in the rang  $\frac{1}{b} \le u_1 \le \frac{1}{a}$  and  $\frac{1}{d} \le u_2 \le \frac{1}{c}$ , and Eqs.(17) or (18) yield  $f_n(t_1, t_2)$  for  $\frac{(n+1)}{b} \le t_1 \le \frac{(n+1)}{a}$  and  $\frac{(n+1)}{d} \le t_2 \le \frac{(n+1)}{c}$ . since the partial products of Eq.(10) and (11) converge to  $\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-s)}$  and  $\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-w)}$ ,  $f_n$  is expected to better approximate the exact f for large n, both for continuous and discrete input data. The examples

of the next section illustrate the convergence of  $f_n$  to f when  $g(u_1, u_2)$  has a known simple analytical expression.

## 4 .Analytical examples

## 4.1 .Example 1

For reconstructing  $f(t_1, t_2) = (\ln t_1 + \gamma)(\ln t_2 + \gamma)$ ; (constant  $\gamma = 0.5772156...$ ) first we compute Laplace Carson transform  $\hat{f}_c(p,q) = \ln p \ln q$  then by considering  $u_1 = \frac{1}{p}$  and  $u_2 = \frac{1}{q}$  we obtain  $g(u_1, u_2) = \ln \frac{1}{u_1} \ln \frac{1}{u_2}$  by using Eq.(18) for this  $g(u_1, u_2)$  we obtain

$$f_n(t_1,t_2) = \frac{1}{(n!)} \frac{d^n}{du_1^n} \frac{d^n}{du_2^n} \left( u_1^n u_2^n \ln \frac{1}{u_1} \ln \frac{1}{u_2} \right); \quad u_1 = \frac{t_1}{(n+1)}, u_2 = \frac{t_2}{(n+1)}.$$



*Fig.2.* Reconstruction of  $f(t_1, t_2) = (\ln t_1 + \gamma)(\ln t_2 + \gamma)$  at  $t_1 = 0..10, t_2 = 0..10$  from its two dimensional Laplace Carson transforms for selected values of *n* ( in this section n=5).

## 4.2. Example 2

For reconstructing  $f(t_1, t_2) = U(t_1 - 2)U(t_2 - 2)$  (unit step function) first we compute Laplace-Carson transform  $\hat{f}_c(p,q) = e^{-2p-2q}$  then by consider  $u_1 = \frac{1}{p}$  and

$$u_2 = \frac{1}{q}$$
 we obtain  $\hat{f}_c(p,q) = e^{-\frac{2}{u_1-u_2}}$  by using Eq.(18) for this  $g(u_1,u_2)$  we obtain

$$f_n(t_1,t_2) = \frac{1}{(n!)} \frac{d^n}{du_1^n} \frac{d^n}{du_2^n} \left( u_1^n u_2^n e^{\frac{2}{u_1 - u_2}} \right); \quad u_1 = \frac{t_1}{(n+1)}, u_2 = \frac{t_2}{(n+1)}.$$



*Fig.*3. Reconstruction of  $f(t_1, t_2) = U(t_1 - 2)U(t_2 - 2)$  at  $t_1 = 0..10, t_2 = 0..10$  from its two dimensional Laplace Carson transform for selected values of *n* (in this section n=5).



Fig.4. The exact plot of  $f(t_1, t_2) = U(t_1 - 2)U(t_2 - 2)$  for  $t_1 = 0..10, t_2 = 0..10$ .

## References

[1] C.Donalato, Analytical and numerical inversion of Laplace-Carson transform by a differential method, Italy, 2002, p298-309

[2] A.M.Makarov, Application of The Laplace-Carson method of integral transformation to the theory of unsteady visco-plastic flows, J.Engrg. Phs. Thermophys, 19(1970)94-99

[3] V.A.Ditkin and A.P.Prudnikov, Operational calculus in two variables and its applications, (English translation from Russian), Pergram Press (1962)

[4] R.S. Dahiya, computation of two-dimensional Laplace transforms, Rendiconti di atimatica, Roma 8, ser.vi (1975), 805-813.

[5] R.S. Dahia, Calculation of two-dimensional Laplace transform pairs-1, simon, A quarterly journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics 56 (1982), no. 1-2, 97-107

[6] G.E. Roberts and Kaufman,II., Table of Laplace transforms, Philadelphia:W.B. saunders co., 1966

[7] W.T. Weeks, Numerical inversion of Laplace transforms using Laguerre functions, J.ACM 13(1966) 419-429

[8] K.Singhal, J.Vlach, Numerical inversion of multi-dimensional Laplace transforms, proc. IEEE 63(1975) 1627-1628

[9] I.S. Gradshteyn, I, M.Ryzhik, Table of Integrals, series, and products, Academic, London, 1980

Received: November, 2009

1466