

Further Study on the Levitin-Polyak Well-Posedness for Vector Equilibrium Problems

Wang Gang

School of Operations Research and Management Sciences
Qufu Normal University, Rizhao, 276826, China
wgglj1977@163.com

Abstract

In this paper, we study Levitin-Polyak well-posedness for vector equilibrium problems with functional constraints. Two sufficient conditions of (generalized) Levitin-Polyak well-posedness are derived for vector equilibrium problems.

Keywords: Vector equilibrium problems; Levitin-Polyak well-posedness; Approximating solution sequence

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Well-posedness of unconstrained and constrained scalar optimization problems was first introduced and studied in Tykhonov [8] and Levitin and Polyak [4], respectively. Since then, the concept of well-posedness has been generalized to variational inequality problems, equilibrium problems [3, 6]. Very recently, Li [5] and Peng[7] studied Levitin-Polyak-type well-posedness for vector equilibrium problems with abstract constraints and with explicit constraint, respectively. In this paper, we give two easier sufficient conditions to derive Levitin-Polyak well-posedness for vector equilibrium problems. The results in this paper generalize and extend some known results in literature [5, 7].

Let (X, d) be a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space and (Y, d_1) be a metric space. Let $X_1 \subseteq X$, $K \subseteq Y$ be nonempty and closed sets. Let Z be a convex Hausdorff topological vector space ordered by a pointed, closed and convex cone C with nonempty interior $\text{int}C$. Let $h(x, y) : X_1 \times X_1 \rightarrow Z$ be a continuous mapping and $g : X_1 \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous mapping.

Let

$$X_0 = \{x \in X_1 : g(x) \in K\}.$$

Consider the following vector equilibrium problems with functional constraints:

$$\text{(VEP)} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Find } \bar{x} \in X_0 \text{ such that} \\ h(\bar{x}, y) \notin -\text{int}C, \quad \forall y \in X_0. \end{array}$$

Let (P, d_1) be a metric space, $P_1 \subseteq P$ and $p \in P$. In the sequel, we denote by $d_{P_1}(p) = \inf\{d_1(p, p') : p' \in P_1\}$ the distance function from point p to set P_1 .

For a convex Hausdorff topological vector space V , we denote by V^* its dual space. For any set $C \subset V$, we will denote the (positive) polar cone of C by

$$C^* = \{\lambda : \lambda(c) \geq 0, \forall c \in C\}$$

Let $e \in \text{int}C$ be fixed. Denote

$$C^{*0} = \{\lambda \in C^* : \lambda(e) = 1\}.$$

It is known that C^{*0} is a weak*-compact set[1].

Throughout this paper, we always assume that the feasible set $X_0 \neq \emptyset$ and $h(x, x) = 0, \forall x \in X_1$.

Definition 1.1.

(i) A sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq X_1$ is called a Levitin-Polyak approximating solution sequence if there exist $\{\epsilon_n\} \subseteq R_+^1$ with $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$d_{X_0}(x_n) \leq \epsilon_n \tag{1}$$

$$h(x_n, y) + \epsilon_n e \notin -\text{int}C, \quad \forall y \in X_0 \tag{2}$$

(ii) $\{x_n\} \subseteq X_1$ is called a generalized Levitin-Polyak approximating solution sequence if there exists $\{\epsilon_n\} \subseteq R_+^1$ with $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ such that (2) holds and

$$d_K(g(x_n)) \leq \epsilon_n \tag{3}$$

Definition 1.2. (VEP) is said to be (generalized) Levitin-Polyak well-posed if the solution set \bar{X} of (VEP) is nonempty, and for (generalized) Levitin-Polyak approximating solution sequence $\{x_n\}$, there exist a subsequence $\{x_{n_j}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ and $\bar{x} \in \bar{X}$ such that $x_{n_j} \rightarrow \bar{x}$.

Definition 1.3.

(i) A mapping $h(x, y) : X_1 \times X_1 \rightarrow Y$ is said to be C -monotone if for all $x, y \in X_1$, the following relation holds:

$$h(x, y) + h(y, x) \leq_C 0.$$

(ii) $h(x, \cdot)$ is said to be C -convex on X_1 if for all $y_1, y_2 \in X_1, \forall t \in [0, 1]$, the following relation holds:

$$h(x, ty_1 + (1 - t)y_2) \leq_C th(x, y_1) + (1 - t)h(x, y_2).$$

(iii) h is said to be C -coercive on $X_3 \subset X_1$, if X_3 is bounded or there exists $x_0 \in X_3$ such that

$$\lim_{x \in X_3, \|x\| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{-h(x, x_0)}{\|x\|} = +\infty,$$

where $+\infty$ is an imaginary element satisfying $+\infty - c \in C, \forall c \in C$.

2 Main results

Under C -monotonicity and C -coercivity of the mapping h , we give easier verified sufficient conditions to obtain Levitin-Polyak well-posedness for vector equilibrium problems.

Theorem 2.1. Let X be finite dimensional and the solution set \bar{X} be nonempty. Let X_1, X_0 be nonempty closed and convex subsets of X . Suppose that there exist $\delta_1 > 0$ such that h is C -monotone and C -coercive on $X_1(\delta_1) = \{x \in X_1 : d_{X_0}(x) \leq \delta_1\}$. Suppose that $h(x, \cdot)$ is C -convex on $X_1(\delta_1)$ and $h(x, \cdot)$ is Gateaux differentiable on $X_1(\delta_1)$. Further assume that the set $Q = \{x \in X_0 : \text{for any } x_1 \in X_1(\delta_1), \text{ there exists } t_0 \in (0, 1) \text{ such that } x_1 + t_0(x_0 - x_1) \in X_0\}$ is nonempty. Then, (VEP) is Levitin-Polyak well-posed.

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a Levitin-Polyak approximating solution sequence. Then, there exist $\{\epsilon_n\} \subseteq R_+^1$ with $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ satisfying (1) and (2). From (1), we can assume without loss of generality that $\{x_n\} \subseteq X_1(\delta_1)$. Let us show by contradiction that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. Let $x'_0 \in Q$. Then, there exists $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $x_0 + t_0(x'_0 - x_0) \in X_0$, where $x_0 \in X_1(\delta_1)$ is the element in the definition of C -coercivity of h on $X_1(\delta_1)$. Thus, from (2), we have

$$h(x_n, x_0 + t_0(x'_0 - x_0)) + \epsilon_n e \notin -intC.$$

Since $h(x, \cdot)$ is C -convex, one has

$$h(x_n, x_0 + t_0(x'_0 - x_0)) - (1 - t_0)h(x_n, x_0) - t_0h(x_n, x'_0) \in -C.$$

That is

$$(1 - t_0)h(x_n, x_0) + t_0h(x_n, x'_0) + \epsilon_n e \notin -intC. \quad (4)$$

By the C-monotonicity of h on $X_1(\delta_1)$, we have

$$h(x_n, x'_0) + h(x'_0, x_n) \in -C \quad (5)$$

The combination of (4) and (5) yields

$$(1 - t_0)h(x_n, x_0) - t_0h(x'_0, x_n) + \epsilon_n e \notin -intC. \quad (6)$$

By the C-convexity of h on $X_1(\delta_1)$, we obtain from [1] Proposition 1.63 that

$$h(x'_0, x_n) \geq_C h(x'_0, x'_0) + Dh(x'_0, x'_0)(x_n - x'_0), \quad (7)$$

where $Dh(x'_0, x'_0)$ is Gateaux derivative at (x'_0, x'_0) . The combination of (6) and (7) and $h(x, x) = 0, \forall x \in X_1$ yields

$$(1 - t_0)h(x_n, x_0) - t_0Dh(x'_0, x'_0)(x_n - x'_0) + \epsilon_n e \notin -intC. \quad (8)$$

If $\{x_n\}$ is unbounded, we assume without loss of generality that $\|x_n\| \rightarrow +\infty$. Then, from the C-coercivity of h on $X_1(\delta_1)$, we have

$$\lim_{x_n \in X_3, \|x_n\| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{h(x_n, x_0)}{\|x_n\|} = -\infty,$$

Furthermore, it is obvious that $\left\{ \frac{\langle Dh(h(x'_0, x'_0)), x_n - x'_0 \rangle}{\|x_n\|} \right\}$ is bounded. Dividing (8) by $\|x_n\|$ and passing to the limit, a contradiction arises. Hence, $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. Thus, we can find a subsequence $\{x_{n_j}\}$ and $\bar{x} \in X_1(\delta_1)$ such that $x_{n_j} \rightarrow \bar{x}$. Taking the limit in (1) (with x_n replaced by x_{n_j}), we have $\bar{x} \in X_0$. Further arguing as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 shows that $\bar{x} \in \bar{X}$. \square

Corollary 2.1. Let X be finite dimensional and the solution set \bar{X} be nonempty. Let X_1, X_0 be nonempty closed and convex subsets of X . Suppose that there exist $\delta_1 > 0$ such that h is C-monotone and C-coercive on $X_2(\delta_1) = \{x \in X_1 : d_K(g(x)) \leq \delta_1\}$. Suppose that $h(x, \cdot)$ is C-convex on $X_2(\delta_1)$ and $h(x, \cdot)$ is Gateaux differentiable on $X_2(\delta_1)$. Further assume that the set $Q = \{x \in X_0 : \text{for any } x_1 \in X_2(\delta_1), \text{ there exists } t_0 \in (0, 1) \text{ such that } x_1 + t_0(x_0 - x_1) \in X_0\}$ is nonempty. Then, (VEP) is generalized Levitin-Polyak well-posed.

Assumption 2.1. Suppose that X is finite dimensional, X_1 is a nonempty closed and convex subset of X . Suppose that Y is a normed space and $K \subset Y$

is a nonempty, closed and convex cone with nonempty interior $\text{int}K$. Suppose that $g(x)$ is K -concave on X_1 . Suppose that $h(x, y)$ is C -monotone and $h(x, \cdot)$ is C -convex.

Let $\lambda \in C^{*0}$. Consider the following (scalar) equilibrium problem of finding $\bar{x} \in X_0$ such that:

$$(EP_\lambda) \quad \lambda(h(\bar{x}, y)) \geq 0, \forall y \in X_0.$$

Denote by \bar{X}_λ the solution set EP_λ .

For $\lambda \in C^{*0}$, define

$$R_\lambda = \bigcap_{y \in X_0} \{d \in X_0^\infty : \lambda(h(y, y + td)) \leq 0, \forall t > 0\}.$$

The next lemma follows immediately from [2].

Lemma 2.1. *Let Assumption 2.1 hold and $\lambda \in C^{*0}$. Then, \bar{X}_λ is nonempty and compact if and only if $R_\lambda = \{0\}$.*

Theorem 2.2. *Let Assumption 2.1 hold. If for any $\lambda \in C^{*0}$, the solution set \bar{X}_λ of EP_λ is nonempty and compact, then (VEP) is Levitin-Polyak well-posed.*

Proof. Let $\{x_k\} \subset X_1$ satisfy (1) and (2). From (1), we can assume that without loss of generality that $\{x_k\} \subset X_1(\delta_1)$. Now we show that $\{x_k\}$ is bounded. Suppose to the contrary that $\{x_k\}$ is unbounded. We assume without loss of generality that $\|x_k\| \rightarrow +\infty$. Note that

$$h(x_k, y) + \epsilon_k e \notin -\text{int}C, \forall y \in X_0.$$

By the C -convexity of $h(x_k, \cdot)$ on X_1 , we have $\lambda_k \in C^{*0}$ such that

$$\lambda_k(h(x_k, y)) \geq -\epsilon_k, \forall y \in X_0.$$

Since C^{*0} is ω^* -compact, we can assume without loss of generality that

$$\omega^* - \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_k = \bar{\lambda} \in C^{*0}$$

By the C -monotonicity of $h(x, y)$ on X_0 , we have that

$$\lambda(h(x_k, y) + h(y, x_k)) \leq 0, \forall y \in X_0, \lambda \in C^{*0}.$$

For $\lambda_k \in C^{*0}$, one has that

$$\lambda_k(h(y, x_k)) \leq \epsilon_k, \forall y \in X_0.$$

We can assume without loss of generality that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{x_k - y}{\|x_k - y\|} = d$$

Thus, $d \in X_1(\delta_1)^\infty$ (In fact, it can be easily shown that $d \in X_0^\infty$) and $\|d\| = 1$. For any $y \in X_0$ and $x_k \in X_1(\delta_1)$ and $0 < t < \|x_k - y\|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\lambda}(h(y, y + td)) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_k(h(y, y + t \frac{x_k - y}{\|x_k - y\|})) \\ &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \left[\frac{\|x_k - y\| - t}{\|x_k - y\|} \lambda_k(h(y, y)) + \frac{t}{\|x_k - y\|} \lambda_k(h(y, x_k)) \right] \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $h(y, y) = 0$ and $\lambda_k(h(y, x_k)) \leq \epsilon_k$. That is, $0 \neq d \in R_{\bar{\lambda}}$, contradicting the fact that the solution set of the problem $EP_{\bar{\lambda}}$ is compact. Consequently, $\{x_k\}$ is bounded. There exist a subsequence $\{x_{k_j}\}$ of $\{x_k\}$ and $\bar{x} \in X_1(\delta_1)$ such that $x_{k_j} \rightarrow \bar{x}$. Taking the limit in (1) (with x_k replaced by x_{k_j}), we have $\bar{x} \in X_0$. Moreover, Taking the limit in (2) (with x_k replaced by x_{k_j}), we obtain $h(\bar{x}, y) \notin -intC, \forall y \in X_0$. So $\bar{x} \in \bar{X}$. \square

Corollary 2.2. Let Assumption 2.1 hold. If for any $\lambda \in C^{*0}$, the solution set \bar{X}_λ of EP_λ is nonempty and compact, then (VEP) is generalized Levitin-Polyak well-posed.

Remark 2.1. Necessary and/or sufficient conditions for the nonemptiness and compactness of a scalar monotone equilibrium problem were given in [2]. By Theorem 2.2, the sufficient conditions can be applied to verify (generalized) Levitin-Polyak well-posedness of (VEP).

References

- [1] G.Y. Chen, X.X. Huang, and X.Q. Yang, *Vecotr Optimization, Set-Valued and Variational Analysis*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2005.
- [2] B.F. Flores, Existence theorems for generalized noncoercive equilibrium problems: the quasiconvex case, *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 11(2000), 675-690, 2000.
- [3] X.X. Huang and X.Q. Yang, Levitin-Polyak well-posedness of constrained vector optimization problems, *Journal of Global Optimization*, 37(2007), 287-304.
- [4] E.S. Levitin and B.T. Polyak, Convergence of minimizing sequences in conditional extremum problems, *Soviet Math.* 7(1966), 764-767.

- [5] S.J. Li and M. H. Li, Levitin-Polyak well-posedness of vector equilibrium problems, *Mathematical Methods of Operations Research*, 69(2009), 125-140.
- [6] X.J. Long, N.J. Huang and K.L. Teo, Levitin-Polyak well-posedness for equilibrium problems with functional constraints, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2008, Article ID 657329, 14 pages.
- [7] J.W. Peng, Y. Wang and L.J. Zhao, Generalized Levitin-Polyak Well-Posedness of Vector Equilibrium Problems, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, 2009, Article ID 684304, 14 pages
- [8] A.N. Tykhonov, On the stability of the functional optimization problem, *USSR Compt.Math. Phys.*, 6(1966),28-33.

Received: November, 2009