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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be a finite field of $q=p^{a}$ elements. Let $X$ be a smooth quasi-projective subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ of dimension $m \geq 0$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. N. Katz asked for a finite field analogue of the Bertini smoothness theorem, and in particular asked whether one could always find a hypersurface $H$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ such that $H \cap X$ is smooth of dimension $m-1$. A positive answer was proved in Gab01] and [Poo04] independently. The latter paper proved also that in a precise sense, a positive fraction of hypersurfaces have the required property.

The classical Bertini theorem was extended in Blo70, KA79] to show that the hypersurface can be chosen so as to contain a prescribed closed smooth subscheme $Z$, provided that the condition $\operatorname{dim} X>2 \operatorname{dim} Z$ is satisfied. (The condition arises naturally from a dimensioncounting argument.) The goal of the current paper is to prove an analogous result over finite fields. In fact, our result is stronger than that of [KA79] in that we do not require $Z \subseteq X$, but weaker in that we assume that $Z \cap X$ be smooth. (With a little more work and complexity, we could prove a version for a non-smooth intersection as well, but we restrict to the smooth case for simplicity.) One reason for proving our result is that it is used by [SS07.

Let $S=\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be the homogeneous coordinate ring of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. Let $S_{d} \subseteq S$ be the $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$ subspace of homogeneous polynomials of degree $d$. For each $f \in S_{d}$, let $H_{f}$ be the subscheme $\operatorname{Proj}(S /(f)) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n}$. For the rest of this paper, we fix a closed subscheme $Z \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n}$. For $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let $I_{d}$ be the $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-subspace of $f \in S_{d}$ that vanish on $Z$. Let $I_{\text {homog }}=\bigcup_{d>0} I_{d}$. We want to measure the density of subsets of $I_{\text {homog }}$, but under the definition in Poo04, the set $I_{\text {homog }}$ itself has density 0 whenever $\operatorname{dim} Z>0$; therefore we use a new definition of density, relative to $I_{\text {homog }}$. Namely, we define the density of a subset $\mathcal{P} \subseteq I_{\text {homog }}$ by

$$
\mu_{Z}(\mathcal{P}):=\lim _{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\left(\mathcal{P} \cap I_{d}\right)}{\# I_{d}}
$$

if the limit exists. For a scheme $X$ of finite type over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, define the zeta function Wei49]

$$
\zeta_{X}(s)=Z_{X}\left(q^{-s}\right):=\prod_{\text {closed } P \in X}\left(1-q^{-s \operatorname{deg} P}\right)^{-1}=\exp \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\# X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{r}}\right)}{r} q^{-r s}\right) ;
$$

the product and sum converge when $\operatorname{Re}(s)>\operatorname{dim} X$.

[^0]Theorem 1.1. Let $X$ be a smooth quasi-projective subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ of dimension $m \geq 0$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Let $Z$ be a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. Assume that the scheme-theoretic intersection $V:=Z \cap X$ is smooth of dimension $\ell$. (If $V$ is empty, take $\ell=-1$.) Define

$$
\mathcal{P}:=\left\{f \in I_{\text {homog }}: H_{f} \cap X \text { is smooth of dimension } m-1\right\} .
$$

(i) If $m>2 \ell$, then

$$
\mu_{Z}(\mathcal{P})=\frac{\zeta_{V}(m+1)}{\zeta_{V}(m-\ell) \zeta_{X}(m+1)}=\frac{1}{\zeta_{V}(m-\ell) \zeta_{X-V}(m+1)} .
$$

In this case, in particular, for $d \gg 1$, there exists a degree-d hypersurface $H$ containing $Z$ such that $H \cap X$ is smooth of dimension $m-1$.
(ii) If $m \leq 2 \ell$, then $\mu_{Z}(\mathcal{P})=0$.

The proof will use the closed point sieve introduced in [Poo04. In fact, the proof is parallel to the one in that paper, but changes are required in almost every line.

## 2. Singular points of low degree

Let $\mathcal{I}_{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}$ be the ideal sheaf of $Z$, so $I_{d}=H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathcal{I}_{Z}(d)\right)$. Tensoring the surjection

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}^{\oplus(n+1)} & \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \\
\left(f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) & \mapsto x_{0} f_{0}+\cdots+x_{n} f_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\mathcal{I}_{Z}$, twisting by $\mathcal{O}(d)$, and taking global sections shows that $S_{1} I_{d}=I_{d+1}$ for $d \gg 1$. Fix $c$ such that $S_{1} I_{d}=I_{d+1}$ for all $d \geq c$.

Before proving the main result of this section (Lemma 2.3), we need two lemmas.
Lemma 2.1. Let $Y$ be a finite subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. Let

$$
\phi_{d}: I_{d}=H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathcal{I}_{Z}(d)\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{I}_{Z} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{Y}(d)\right)
$$

be the map induced by the map of sheaves $\mathcal{I}_{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{Y}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. Then $\phi_{d}$ is surjective for $d \geq c+\operatorname{dim} H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)$,

Proof. The map of sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ is surjective so $\mathcal{I}_{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{Y}$ is surjective too. Thus $\phi_{d}$ is surjective for $d \gg 1$.

Enlarging $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ if necessary, we can perform a linear change of variable to assume $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{n}:=$ $\left\{x_{0} \neq 0\right\}$. Dehomogenization (setting $x_{0}=1$ ) identifies $S_{d}$ with the space $S_{d}^{\prime}$ of polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ of total degree $\leq d$. and identifies $\phi_{d}$ with a map

$$
I_{d}^{\prime} \rightarrow B:=H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathcal{I}_{Z} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)
$$

By definition of $c$, we have $S_{1}^{\prime} I_{d}^{\prime}=I_{d+1}^{\prime}$ for $d \geq c$. For $d \geq b$, let $B_{d}$ be the image of $I_{d}^{\prime}$ in $B$, so $S_{1}^{\prime} B_{d}=B_{d+1}$ for $d \geq c$. Since $1 \in S_{1}^{\prime}$, we have $I_{d}^{\prime} \subseteq I_{d+1}^{\prime}$, so

$$
B_{c} \subseteq B_{c+1} \subseteq \cdots
$$

But $b:=\operatorname{dim} B<\infty$, so $B_{j}=B_{j+1}$ for some $j \in[c, c+b]$. Then

$$
B_{j+2}=S_{1}^{\prime} B_{j+1}=S_{1}^{\prime} B_{j}=B_{j+1}
$$

Similarly $B_{j}=B_{j+1}=B_{j+2}=\ldots$, and these eventually equal $B$ by the previous paragraph. Hence $\phi_{d}$ is surjective for $d \geq j$, and in particular for $d \geq c+b$.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X}$ is the ideal sheaf of a closed point $P \in X$. Let $Y \subseteq X$ be the closed subscheme whose ideal sheaf is $\mathfrak{m}^{2} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X}$. Then for any $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

$$
\# H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{I}_{Z} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{Y}(d)\right)= \begin{cases}q^{(m-\ell) \operatorname{deg} P}, & \text { if } P \in V \\ q^{(m+1) \operatorname{deg} P}, & \text { if } P \notin V\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Since $Y$ is finite, we may now ignore the twisting by $\mathcal{O}(d)$. The space $H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)$ has a two-step filtration whose quotients have dimensions 1 and $m$ over the residue field $\kappa$ of $P$. Thus $\# H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)=(\# \kappa)^{m+1}=q^{(m+1) \operatorname{deg} P}$. If $P \in V$ (or equivalently $P \in Z$ ), then $H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Z \cap Y}\right)$ has a filtration whose quotients have dimensions 1 and $\ell$ over $\kappa$; if $P \notin V$, then $H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Z \cap Y}\right)=0$. Taking cohomology of

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z \cap Y} \rightarrow 0
$$

on the 0 -dimensional scheme $Y$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\# H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{I}_{Z} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right) & =\frac{\# H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)}{\# H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Z \cap Y}\right)} \\
& = \begin{cases}q^{(m+1) \operatorname{deg} P} / q^{(\ell+1) \operatorname{deg} P}, & \text { if } P \in V, \\
q^{(m+1) \operatorname{deg} P}, & \text { if } P \notin V .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $U$ is a scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, let $U_{<r}$ be the set of closed points of $U$ of degree $<r$. Similarly define $U_{>r}$.

Lemma 2.3 (Singularities of low degree). Let notation and hypotheses be as in Theorem 1.1, and define

$$
\mathcal{P}_{r}:=\left\{f \in I_{\text {homog }}: H_{f} \cap X \text { is smooth of dimension } m-1 \text { at all } P \in X_{<r}\right\} .
$$

Then

$$
\mu_{Z}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r}\right)=\prod_{P \in V_{<r}}\left(1-q^{-(m-\ell) \operatorname{deg} P}\right) \cdot \prod_{P \in(X-V)_{<r}}\left(1-q^{-(m+1) \operatorname{deg} P}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $X_{<r}=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{s}\right\}$. Let $\mathfrak{m}_{i}$ be the ideal sheaf of $P_{i}$ on $X$. let $Y_{i}$ be the closed subscheme of $X$ with ideal sheaf $\mathfrak{m}_{i}^{2} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X}$, and let $Y=\bigcup Y_{i}$. Then $H_{f} \cap X$ is singular at $P_{i}$ (more precisely, not smooth of dimension $m-1$ at $P_{i}$ ) if and only if the restriction of $f$ to a section of $\mathcal{O}_{Y_{i}}(d)$ is zero.

By Lemma 2.1, $\mu_{Z}(\mathcal{P})$ equals the fraction of elements in $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{Z} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{Y}(d)\right)$ whose restriction to a section of $\mathcal{O}_{Y_{i}}(d)$ is nonzero for every $i$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{Z}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r}\right) & =\prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\# H^{0}\left(Y_{i}, \mathcal{I}_{Z} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{Y_{i}}\right)-1}{\# H^{0}\left(Y_{i}, \mathcal{I}_{Z} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{Y_{i}}\right)} \\
& =\prod_{P \in V_{<r}}\left(1-q^{-(m-\ell) \operatorname{deg} P}\right) \cdot \prod_{P \in(X-V)_{<r}}\left(1-q^{-(m+1) \operatorname{deg} P}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 2.2.

Corollary 2.4. If $m>2 \ell$, then

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{Z}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r}\right)=\frac{\zeta_{V}(m+1)}{\zeta_{X}(m+1) \zeta_{V}(m-\ell)}
$$

Proof. The products in Lemma 2.3 are the partial products in the definition of the zeta functions. For convergence, we need $m-\ell>\operatorname{dim} V=\ell$, which is equivalent to $m>2 \ell$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1(ii). We have $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{r}$. By Lemma 2.3,

$$
\mu_{Z}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r}\right) \leq \prod_{P \in V_{<r}}\left(1-q^{-(m-\ell) \operatorname{deg} P}\right)
$$

which tends to 0 as $r \rightarrow \infty$ if $m \leq 2 \ell$. Thus $\mu_{Z}(\mathcal{P})=0$ in this case.
From now on, we assume $m>2 \ell$.

## 3. Singular points of medium degree

Lemma 3.1. Let $P \in X$ is a closed point of degree e, where $e \leq \frac{d-c}{m+1}$. Then the fraction of $f \in I_{d}$ such that $H_{f} \cap X$ is not smooth of dimension $m-1$ at $P$ equals

$$
\begin{cases}q^{-(m-\ell) e}, & \text { if } P \in V, \\ q^{-(m+1) e}, & \text { if } P \notin V\end{cases}
$$

Proof. This follows by applying Lemma 2.1 to the $Y$ in Lemma [2.2, and then applying Lemma 2.2.

Define the upper and lower densities $\bar{\mu}_{Z}(\mathcal{P}), \underline{\mu}_{Z}(\mathcal{P})$ of a subset $\mathcal{P} \subseteq I_{\text {homog }}$ as $\mu_{Z}(\mathcal{P})$ was defined, but using limsup and liminf in place of lim.

Lemma 3.2 (Singularities of medium degree). Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Q}_{r}^{\text {medium }}:=\bigcup_{d \geq 0}\left\{f \in I_{d}:\right. & \text { there exists } P \in X \text { with } r \leq \operatorname{deg} P \leq \frac{d-b}{m+1} \\
& \text { such that } \left.H_{f} \cap X \text { is not smooth of dimension } m-1 \text { at } P\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\mu}_{Z}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{r}^{\text {medium }}\right)=0$.
Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\#\left(\mathcal{Q}_{r}^{\text {medium }} \cap I_{d}\right)}{\# I_{d}} & \leq \sum_{\substack{P \in Z \\
r \leq \operatorname{deg} P \leq \frac{d-b}{m+1}}} q^{-(m-\ell) \operatorname{deg} P}+\sum_{\substack{P \in X-Z \\
r \leq \operatorname{deg} P \leq \frac{d-b}{m+1}}} q^{-(m+1) \operatorname{deg} P} \\
& \leq \sum_{P \in Z_{\geq r}} q^{-(m-\ell) \operatorname{deg} P}+\sum_{P \in(X-Z)_{\geq r}} q^{-(m+1) \operatorname{deg} P}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the trivial bound that an $m$-dimensional variety has at most $O\left(q^{e m}\right)$ closed points of degree $e$, as in the proof of Poo04, Lemma 2.4], we show that each of the two sums converges to a value that is $O\left(q^{-r}\right)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, under our assumption $m>2 \ell$.

## 4. Singular points of high degree

Lemma 4.1. Let $P$ be a closed point of degree e in $\mathbb{P}^{n}-Z$. For $d \geq c$, the fraction of $f \in I_{d}$ that vanish at $P$ is at most $q^{-\min (d-c, e)}$.

Proof. Equivalently, we must show that the image of $\phi_{d}$ in Lemma 2.1 for $Y=P$ has $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$ dimension at least $\min (d-c, e)$. The proof of Lemma 2.1 shows that as $d$ runs through the integers $c, c+1, \ldots$, this dimension increases by at least 1 until it reaches its maximum, which is $e$.

Lemma 4.2 (Singularities of high degree off $V$ ). Define
$\mathcal{Q}_{X-V}^{\text {high }}:=\bigcup_{d \geq 0}\left\{f \in I_{d}: \exists P \in(X-V)_{>\frac{d-c}{m+1}}\right.$ such that $H_{f} \cap X$ is not smooth of dimension $m-1$ at $\left.P\right\}$
Then $\bar{\mu}_{Z}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{X-V}^{\mathrm{high}}\right)=0$.
Proof. It suffices to prove the lemma with $X$ replaced by each of the sets in an open covering of $X-V$, so we may assume $X$ is contained in $\mathbb{A}^{n}=\left\{x_{0} \neq 0\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n}$, and that $V=\emptyset$. Dehomogenize by setting $x_{0}=1$, to identify $I_{d} \subseteq S_{d}$ with subspaces of $I_{d}^{\prime} \subseteq S_{d}^{\prime} \subseteq A:=$ $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$.

Given a closed point $x \in X$, choose a system of local parameters $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} \in A$ at $x$ on $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ such that $t_{m+1}=t_{m+2}=\cdots=t_{n}=0$ defines $X$ locally at $x$. Multiplying all the $t_{i}$ by an element of $A$ vanishing on $Z$ but nonvanishing at $x$, we may assume in addition that all the $t_{i}$ vanish on $Z$. Now $d t_{1}, \ldots, d t_{n}$ are a $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^{n}, x^{-}}$-basis for the stalk $\Omega_{\mathbb{A}^{n} / \mathbb{F}_{q}, x}^{1}$. Let $\partial_{1}, \ldots, \partial_{n}$ be the dual basis of the stalk $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{A}^{n} / \mathbb{F}_{q}, x}$ of the tangent sheaf. Choose $s \in A$ with $s(x) \neq 0$ to clear denominators so that $D_{i}:=s \partial_{i}$ gives a global derivation $A \rightarrow A$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Then there is a neighborhood $N_{x}$ of $x$ in $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ such that $N_{x} \cap\left\{t_{m+1}=t_{m+2}=\cdots=t_{n}=0\right\}=N_{x} \cap X$, $\Omega_{N_{x} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}^{1}=\oplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{N_{x}} d t_{i}$, and $s \in \mathcal{O}\left(N_{u}\right)^{*}$. We may cover $X$ with finitely many $N_{x}$, so we may reduce to the case where $X \subseteq N_{x}$ for a single $x$. For $f \in I_{d}^{\prime} \simeq I_{d}, H_{f} \cap X$ fails to be smooth of dimension $m-1$ at a point $P \in U$ if and only if $f(P)=\left(D_{1} f\right)(P)=\cdots=\left(D_{m} f\right)(P)=0$.

Let $\tau=\max _{i}\left(\operatorname{deg} t_{i}\right), \gamma=\lfloor(d-\tau) / p\rfloor$, and $\eta=\lfloor d / p\rfloor$. If $f_{0} \in I_{d}^{\prime}, g_{1} \in S_{\gamma}^{\prime}, \ldots, g_{m} \in S_{\gamma}^{\prime}$, and $h \in I_{\eta}^{\prime}$ are selected uniformly and independently at random, then the distribution of

$$
f:=f_{0}+g_{1}^{p} t_{1}+\cdots+g_{m}^{p} t_{m}+h^{p}
$$

is uniform over $I_{d}^{\prime}$, because of $f_{0}$. We will bound the probability that an $f$ constructed in this way has a point $P \in X_{>\frac{d-c}{m+1}}$ where $f(P)=\left(D_{1} f\right)(P)=\cdots=\left(D_{m} f\right)(P)=0$. We have $D_{i} f=\left(D_{i} f_{0}\right)+g_{i}^{p} s$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$. We will select $f_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}, h$ one at a time. For $0 \leq i \leq m$, define

$$
W_{i}:=X \cap\left\{D_{1} f=\cdots=D_{i} f=0\right\} .
$$

Claim 1: For $0 \leq i \leq m-1$, conditioned on a choice of $f_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{i}$ for which $\operatorname{dim}\left(W_{i}\right) \leq$ $m-i$, the probability that $\operatorname{dim}\left(W_{i+1}\right) \leq m-i-1$ is $1-o(1)$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$. (The function of $d$ represented by the $o(1)$ depends on $X$ and the $D_{i}$.)

Proof of Claim 1: This is completely analogous to the corresponding proof in Poo04.
Claim 2: Conditioned on a choice of $f_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}$ for which $W_{m}$ is finite, $\operatorname{Prob}\left(H_{f} \cap W_{m} \cap\right.$ $\left.X_{>\frac{d-c}{m+1}}=\emptyset\right)=1-o(1)$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of Claim 2: By Bézout's theorem as in [Ful84, p. 10], we have $\# W_{m}=O\left(d^{m}\right)$. For a given point $P \in W_{m}$, the set $H^{\text {bad }}$ of $h \in I_{\eta}^{\prime}$ for which $H_{f}$ passes through $P$ is either $\emptyset$ or a coset of $\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathrm{ev}_{P}: I_{\eta}^{\prime} \rightarrow \kappa(P)\right)$, where $\kappa(P)$ is the residue field of $P$, and $\mathrm{ev}_{P}$ is the evaluation-at- $P$ map. If moreover $\operatorname{deg} P>\frac{d-c}{m+1}$, then Lemma 4.1 implies $\# H^{\text {bad }} / \# I_{\eta}^{\prime} \leq q^{-\nu}$ where $\nu=\min \left(\eta, \frac{d-c}{m+1}\right)$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{Prob}\left(H_{f} \cap W_{m} \cap X_{>\frac{d-c}{m+1}} \neq \emptyset\right) \leq \# W_{m} q^{-\nu}=O\left(d^{m} q^{-\nu}\right)=o(1)
$$

as $d \rightarrow \infty$, since $\nu$ eventually grows linearly in $d$. This proves Claim 2 .
End of proof: Choose $f \in I_{d}$ uniformly at random. Claims 1 and 2 show that with probability $\prod_{i=0}^{m-1}(1-o(1)) \cdot(1-o(1))=1-o(1)$ as $d \rightarrow \infty, \operatorname{dim} W_{i}=m-i$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, m$ and $H_{f} \cap W_{m} \cap X_{>\frac{d-c}{m}}^{m+1}=\emptyset$. But $H_{f} \cap W_{m}$ is the subvariety of $X$ cut out by the equations $f(P)=\left(D_{1} f\right)(P)=\cdots=\left(D_{m} f\right)(P)=0$, so $H_{f} \cap W_{m} \cap X_{>\frac{d-c}{m+1}}$ is exactly the set of points of $H_{f} \cap X$ of degree $>\frac{d-c}{m+1}$ where $H_{f} \cap X$ is not smooth of dimension $m-1$. Thus $\bar{\mu}_{Z}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{X-V}^{\text {high }}\right)=0$.

Lemma 4.3 (Singularities of high degree on $V$ ). Define
$\mathcal{Q}_{V}^{\text {high }}:=\bigcup_{d \geq 0}\left\{f \in I_{d}: \exists P \in V_{>\frac{d-c}{m+1}}\right.$ such that $H_{f} \cap X$ is not smooth of dimension $m-1$ at $\left.P\right\}$.
Then $\bar{\mu}_{Z}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{V}^{\text {high }}\right)=0$.
Proof. As before, we may assume $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{n}$ and we may dehomogenize. Given a closed point $x \in X$, choose a system of local parameters $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} \in A$ at $x$ on $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ such that $t_{m+1}=t_{m+2}=$ $\cdots=t_{n}=0$ defines $X$ locally at $x$, and $t_{1}=t_{2}=\cdots=t_{m-\ell}=t_{m+1}=t_{m+2}=\cdots=t_{n}=0$ defines $V$ locally at $x$. If $\mathfrak{m}_{w}$ is the ideal sheaf of $w$ on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$, then $\mathcal{I}_{Z} \rightarrow \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{w}}{\mathfrak{m}_{w}^{2}}$ is surjective, so we may adjust $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m-\ell}$ to assume that they vanish not only on $V$ but also on $Z$.

Define $\partial_{i}$ and $D_{i}$ as in the proof of Lemma 4.2. Then there is a neighborhood $N_{x}$ of $x$ in $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ such that $N_{x} \cap\left\{t_{m+1}=t_{m+2}=\cdots=t_{n}=0\right\}=N_{x} \cap X, \Omega_{N_{x} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}^{1}=\oplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{N_{x}} d t_{i}$, and $s \in \mathcal{O}\left(N_{u}\right)^{*}$. Again we may assume $X \subseteq N_{x}$ for a single $x$. For $f \in I_{d}^{\prime} \simeq I_{d}, H_{f} \cap X$ fails to be smooth of dimension $m-1$ at a point $P \in V$ if and only if $f(P)=\left(D_{1} f\right)(P)=\cdots=$ $\left(D_{m} f\right)(P)=0$.

Again let $\tau=\max _{i}\left(\operatorname{deg} t_{i}\right), \gamma=\lfloor(d-\tau) / p\rfloor$, and $\eta=\lfloor d / p\rfloor$. If $f_{0} \in I_{d}^{\prime}, g_{1} \in S_{\gamma}^{\prime}, \ldots$, $g_{\ell+1} \in S_{\gamma}^{\prime}$, are chosen uniformly at random, then

$$
f:=f_{0}+g_{1}^{p} t_{1}+\cdots+g_{\ell+1}^{p} t_{\ell+1}
$$

is a random element of $I_{d}^{\prime}$, since $\ell+1 \leq m-\ell$.
For $i=0, \ldots, \ell+1$, the subscheme

$$
W_{i}:=V \cap\left\{D_{1} f=\cdots=D_{i} f=0\right\}
$$

depends only on the choices of $f_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{i}$. The same argument as in the previous proof shows that for $i=0, \ldots, \ell$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Prob}\left(\operatorname{dim} W_{i} \leq \ell-i\right)=1-o(1)
$$

as $d \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, $W_{\ell}$ is finite with probability $1-o(1)$.

To prove that $\bar{\mu}_{Z}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{V}^{\text {high }}\right)=0$ ，it remains to prove that conditioned on choices of $f_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{\ell}$ making $\operatorname{dim} W_{\ell}$ finite，

$$
\operatorname{Prob}\left(W_{\ell+1} \cap V_{>\frac{d-c}{m+1}}=\emptyset\right)=1-o(1)
$$

By Bézout＇s theorem，$\# W_{\ell}=O\left(d^{\ell}\right)$ ．The set $H^{\text {bad }}$ of choices of $g_{\ell+1}$ making $D_{\ell+1} f$ vanish at a given point $P \in W_{\ell}$ is either empty or a coset of $\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathrm{ev}_{P}: S_{\gamma}^{\prime} \rightarrow \kappa(P)\right)$ ．Lemma 2.5 of Poo04］implies that the size of this kernel（or its coset）as a fraction of $\# S_{\gamma}^{\prime}$ is at most $q^{-\nu}$ where $\nu:=\min \left(\gamma, \frac{d-c}{m+1}\right)$ ．Since $\# W_{\ell} q^{\nu}=o(1)$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$ ，we are done．

## 5．Conclusion

Proof of Theorem 1.1 （i）．We have

$$
\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{r} \subseteq \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}_{r}^{\text {medium }} \cup \mathcal{Q}_{X-V}^{\text {high }} \cup \mathcal{Q}_{V}^{\text {high }}
$$

so $\bar{\mu}_{Z}(\mathcal{P})$ and $\underline{\mu}_{Z}(\mathcal{P})$ each differ from $\mu_{Z}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r}\right)$ by at most $\bar{\mu}_{Z}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{r}^{\text {medium }}\right)+\bar{\mu}_{Z}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{X-V}^{\text {high }}\right)+\bar{\mu}_{Z}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{V}^{\text {high }}\right)$ ． Applying Corollary 2.4 and Lemmas 3．2，4．2，and 4．3，we obtain

$$
\mu_{Z}(\mathcal{P})=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{Z}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r}\right)=\frac{\zeta_{V}(m+1)}{\zeta_{V}(m-\ell) \zeta_{X}(m+1)}
$$
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