

CYCLIC CONTRACTIONS AND BEST PROXIMITY PAIR THEOREMS

G. SANKARA RAJU KOSURU AND P. VEERAMANI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce a notion called cyclically complete pair for a pair (A, B) of subsets of a metric space. A necessary condition is given for a cyclic contraction T on $A \cup B$ to have a unique point x in A satisfying $d(x, Tx) = \text{dist}(A, B)$, known as best proximity point. We also prove that for any $x_0 \in A$, the Picard's iterates $\{T^{2n}x_0\}$ converges to the unique best proximity point x in A and the Picard's iterates $\{T^{2n+1}x_0\}$ converges to Tx .

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let (A, B) be a pair of subsets of a metric space X . We consider a mapping $T : A \cup B \rightarrow X$ satisfying $TA \subset B$ and $TB \subset A$ (or $TA \subset A$ and $TB \subset B$). If T is a contraction, that is there is an $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y), \quad \text{for } x \in A \text{ and } y \in B$$

then $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ and for any $x_0 \in A \cap B$ the iterates $\{T^n x_0\}$ converges to the unique fixed point of T ([5]).

We extend the Banach contraction theorem to a class of mappings, called *cyclic contraction mappings* (see Definition 1.1). Let T be a self map on $A \cup B$ with $TA \subset B$ and $TB \subset A$. In [2], Eldred and Veeramani gave a sufficient condition (Theorem 3.10, [2]) for the existence and uniqueness of a best proximity point for a cyclic contraction map T on a uniformly convex Banach space. In [1], Sadiq Basha introduced a class of mappings called *proximal contraction mappings* (see Definition 1.2) $T : A \rightarrow B$, and there by obtained a sequence (Theorem 3.1, [1]) in A , which converges to the unique best proximity point

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47H10, 46C20, 54H25.

Key words and phrases. cyclic contraction, semi sharp proximal pair, cyclically complete pair, best proximity points.

The first author would like to thank the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) for the financial support (File No: 09/084(506)/2009-EMR-1).

under suitable assumptions. It is easy to observe that, results in [1] are not applicable, if $\text{dist}(A, B) \geq \frac{1}{2}\delta(A_0)$. We prove an extension of the Banach contraction theorem for cyclic contraction mappings in a metric space setting. We give a necessary condition for the existence of a unique best proximity point x in A for such a cyclic contraction mapping T . Also we prove that the Picard's iterates $\{T^{2n}x_0\}$, for any $x_0 \in A$, converges to the unique best proximity point x in A for such a mapping T . This recovers the main result of [2]. Further the main theorem of this work (Theorem 3.8) proves that, for any $x_0 \in A$ the sequences $\{T^{2n}x_0\}$, $\{T^{2n+1}x_0\}$ converge to x , Tx respectively and x , Tx are the unique fixed points of T^2 in A , B respectively. We also prove that the sequences $\{T^n x_0\}$ and $\{T^n y_0\}$, for any $(x_0, y_0) \in A \times B$, converge to the unique fixed points x and y of a cyclic contraction $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ satisfying $TA \subset A$, $TB \subset B$ in A and B respectively with $d(x, y) = \text{dist}(A, B)$.

In this direction we introduce a notion called cyclically complete pair for a pair (A, B) of subsets of a metric space (which coincides with the classical notion of completeness, if $A = B$). We also investigate some of the basic properties of (A, B) in this situation.

Let (X, d) be a metric space and A, B be nonempty subsets of X . We shall say that (A, B) satisfies a property p if each of the sets A and B has the same property p . Also (A, B) is said to be a **semi sharp proximal pair** if for each $x \in A$ there exists at most one $x' \in B$ such that $d(x, x') = \text{dist}(A, B) := \inf\{d(u, v) : u \in A, v \in B\}$. Using a result (Lemma 2.5, [6]) proved in [6] we infer that any closed convex pair (A, B) in a strictly convex Banach space is a semi sharp proximal pair. Also such examples are given, in section 2, in nonstrictly convex Banach spaces. Let T be a self map on $A \cup B$ with $TA \subset B$ and $TB \subset A$. We say that a point $x \in A \cup B$ is a best proximity point for T , if $d(x, Tx) = \text{dist}(A, B)$. In this case we say that the pair (x, Tx) is best proximity pair for T . If $\text{dist}(A, B) = 0$ then a best proximity point of T turns out to be a fixed point of T . In this work we adopt the following notations and definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= \{x \in A : d(x, y) = \text{dist}(A, B), \text{ for some } y \text{ in } B\}; \\ B_0 &= \{y \in B : d(x, y) = \text{dist}(A, B), \text{ for some } x \text{ in } A\}; \\ \delta(A, B) &= \sup\{d(x, y) : x \in A, y \in B\} \text{ and } \delta(A) = \delta(A, A). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.1. [2] A mapping $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ is said to be a **cyclic contraction**, if it satisfies:

- (1) $TA \subset B$ and $TB \subset A$.
- (2) For some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ we have $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y) + (1 - \alpha) \text{dist}(A, B)$, for all $x \in A, y \in B$.

It is easy to see that, if T is a cyclic contraction on $A \cup B$, then $d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y)$, for any $x \in A$ and $y \in B$. Further if $\text{dist}(A, B) < d(x, y)$ then $d(Tx, Ty) < d(x, y)$.

Definition 1.2. [1] A mapping $T : A \rightarrow B$ is said to be a **proximal contraction**, if there exists a nonnegative real number $\alpha < 1$ such that

$$d(u, Tx) = d(Tx, Ty) + d(v, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y)$$

whenever x and y are distinct elements in A satisfying the conditions

$$d(u, Tx) = \text{dist}(A, B) \text{ and } d(v, Ty) = \text{dist}(A, B)$$

for some $u, v \in A$.

If $A_0 = \{x\}$ then x is the best proximity point of T . Further if $x \neq y \in A_0$, then there exists $u, v \in A$ such that $d(Tx, u) = \text{dist}(A, B)$ and $d(Ty, v) = \text{dist}(A, B)$. In this case, $2 \text{dist}(A, B) \leq d(Tx, u) + d(Tx, Ty) + d(Ty, v) \leq \alpha d(x, y) \leq \alpha \delta(A_0)$. Hence under the conditions stated in the above definition (Definition 1.2) and with the assumption $TA_0 \subset B_0$, we have $\text{dist}(A, B) < \frac{1}{2} \delta(A_0)$. In this sense the results obtained in [1] are very restrictive.

2. CYCLICALLY COMPLETENESS

Let (A, B) be a pair of nonempty subsets of a metric space X . In this section we give some properties of cyclically Cauchy sequences. The notion of *cyclically Cauchy sequences* (see Definition 2.1) was introduced in [4]. Also the author proposed a version of completeness on (A, B) . In this paper an extension of the Banach contraction principle for cyclic contraction mappings is given. To achieve this we introduce a notion of cyclically complete pair and investigate some basic properties for such pairs.

Definition 2.1. [4] Let X be a metric space, A and B nonempty subsets of X . A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in $A \cup B$ with $x_{2n} \in A$ and $x_{2n+1} \in B$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is said to be **cyclically Cauchy sequence** if, for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exist an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d(x_n, x_m) < \text{dist}(A, B) + \epsilon, \text{ when } n \text{ is even, } m \text{ is odd and } n, m \geq N.$$

Remark 2.2. If $\text{dist}(A, B) = 0$, then a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $A \cup B$ is cyclically Cauchy if and only if the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Before stating some properties of cyclically Cauchy sequences we look at some example.

Example 2.3. Let $X = (l_p, \|\cdot\|_p)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $A = \{0\}$, $B = \{x \in X : \|x\| \geq 1\}$. Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined as

$$x_n := \begin{cases} (1 + \frac{1}{n})e_n & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

is a cyclically Cauchy sequence.

Example 2.4. Let $A = \{(x, y) : x \leq 0, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $B = \{(x, y) : x \geq 1, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ in $(\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|_2)$. Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is not cyclically Cauchy even though $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow \text{dist}(A, B)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, if $x_{2n} = (-\frac{1}{n}, n)$ and $x_{2n+1} = (1, n + \frac{1}{n})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The following Lemma ensures the boundedness of a cyclically Cauchy sequence.

Lemma 2.5. Any cyclically Cauchy sequence in a pair (A, B) of subsets of metric space is bounded.

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a cyclically Cauchy sequence in $A \cup B$. There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $d(x_{2n}, x_{2N+1}) < \text{dist}(A, B) + 1$ for all $n \geq N$. Therefore for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_{2n} \in B(x_{2N+1}, r)$, where $r = \max\{d(x_2, x_{2N+1}), d(x_4, x_{2N+1}), \dots, d(x_{2N}, x_{2N+1}), \text{dist}(A, B) + 1\}$. So that $\{x_{2n}\}$ is bounded. similarly one can prove that the sequences $\{x_{2n+1}\}$ is a bounded sequence and hence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. □

In general the converse of the above statement need not be true.

Example 2.6. Let $A = \{\lambda(0, 0) + (1 - \lambda)(0, 1) : \lambda \in [0, 1]\}$ and $B = \{\lambda(1, 0) + (1 - \lambda)(1, 1) : \lambda \in [0, 1]\}$ in $(\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|_2)$. The sequences $\{x_n\}$ is a bounded sequence but not a cyclically Cauchy sequence, where

$$x_n := \begin{cases} (0, 1 - \frac{1}{n}) & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ (1, \frac{1}{n}) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

It is to be noted that a cyclically Cauchy sequence need not have convergent subsequence even if A and B are closed subsets of a complete metric space.

Example 2.7. Let $X = (l_p, \|\cdot\|_p)$, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $A = \{e_{2n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $B = \{e_{2n+1} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then The cyclic Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ does not have any convergent subsequence, where $x_n = e_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now we define the notion of cyclically complete pair for a pair of sets in a metric space..

Definition 2.8. A pair (A, B) of subsets of a metric space is said to be **cyclically complete** if every cyclically Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $A \cup B$ has one of the following:

- (1) Both sequences $\{x_{2n}\}$ and $\{x_{2n+1}\}$ have convergent subsequences in A and B respectively.
- (2) There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_{2n}, x_{2m+1}) = \text{dist}(A, B)$, $\forall n, m \geq N$.

Before proving main properties of cyclically complete pair, we look at some examples.

Examples 2.9.

- (1) If A and B are closed subset of a complete metric space X with $\text{dist}(A, B) = 0$, then (A, B) is a cyclically complete pair (in particular (A, A) is a cyclically complete pair, if A is a closed subset of X).
- (2) Any boundedly compact pair in a metric space is cyclically complete.
- (3) The pair in Example 2.7 is a cyclically complete because $d(x, y) = \text{dist}(A, B)$ for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$.
- (4) Let $(\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|_2)$ and $A := \{(x, 0) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$, $B := \{(x, y) : y \geq \frac{1}{x} \text{ and } x \geq 0\}$. One can notice that even though $\text{dist}(A, B) = 0$, there is no cyclically Cauchy sequence in $A \cup B$ and hence (A, B) is cyclically complete.

- (5) Let $(\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|_2)$ and $A := \{(x, y) : y \geq \frac{1}{-x} \text{ and } x < 0\}$, $B := \{(x, 1 + y) : y \geq \frac{1}{x} \text{ and } x \geq 0\}$. One can notice that even though $\text{dist}(A, B) = 1$, there is no cyclically Cauchy sequence in $A \cup B$ and hence (A, B) is cyclically complete.
- (6) The pair (A, B) in Example 2.3 is not a cyclically complete pair, because neither the sequence $\{x_{2n+1}\}$ has a convergent subsequence nor $d(x_{2n}, x_{2m+1}) = 0$ for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

The following Theorem gives a necessary and sufficient condition for A_0 to be a nonempty.

Theorem 2.10. *Let (A, B) be a cyclically complete pair of subsets of a metric space X . Then there exists a cyclically Cauchy sequence if and only if A_0 is a non empty subset of X .*

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a cyclically Cauchy sequence in $A \cup B$. Suppose there exists convergent subsequences $\{x_{2n_k}\}$ and $\{x_{2m_k+1}\}$ of $\{x_{2n}\}$ and $\{x_{2n+1}\}$, that converges to $x \in A$ and $y \in B$ respectively. Then $\text{dist}(A, B) \leq d(x, y) \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k+1}) = \text{dist}(A, B)$. That is $d(x, y) = \text{dist}(A, B)$. Also if there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_{2n}, x_{2m+1}) = \text{dist}(A, B)$. Hence $A_0 \neq \emptyset$ and so is B_0 . For sufficiency, let $x \in A$ and $y \in B$ be such that $d(x, y) = \text{dist}(A, B)$. If for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $x_{2n} = x$ and $x_{2n+1} = y$, then $\{x_n\}$ is a cyclically Cauchy sequence. \square

If A and B are closed subsets in a complete metric space, the pair (A, B) need not be a cyclically complete pair. The following example illustrates the same.

Example 2.11. *Let $(l_p, \|\cdot\|_p)$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $A := \{0\}$, $B := \{(1 + \frac{1}{n})e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. It is easy to see that (A, B) is closed pair of l_p . It is easy see that (A, B) is not cyclically complete, because for the cyclically Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\} \in A \cup B$, neither the sequence $\{x_{2n+1}\}$ has any convergent subsequence nor $d(x_{2n}, x_{2m+1}) = \text{dist}(A, B)$, where*

$$x_n := \begin{cases} (1 + \frac{1}{n})e_n & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

The following Theorem ensure the closedness of A_0 and B_0 , for a cyclically complete pair.

Theorem 2.12. *Let A and B be a subsets of a metric space. If (A, B) is cyclically complete, then A_0 and B_0 are closed subsets of X .*

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in A_0 such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ in X . For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ get $x'_n \in B_0$ such that $d(x_n, x'_n) = \text{dist}(A, B)$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$y_n := \begin{cases} x_m & \text{if } n = 2m \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N}, \\ x'_m & \text{if } n = 2m + 1 \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Now $d(y_{2n}, y_{2m+1}) = d(x_n, x'_m) \leq d(x_n, x) + d(x, x_m) + d(x_m, x'_m)$ and hence $\{y_n\}$ is a cyclically Cauchy sequence. Suppose $\{x_n\}$ and $\{x'_n\}$ has convergent subsequences, converges to x and y respectively, then $d(x, y) = \text{dist}(A, B)$. If not, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_n, x'_N) = \text{dist}(A, B)$ for all $n \geq N$, then $d(x, x'_N) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x'_N) = \text{dist}(A, B)$. That is $x \in A_0$. In a similar fashion one can prove B_0 is also a closed set. \square

Example 2.3 show that the converse of Theorem 2.12 need not be true. For a cyclically complete pair (A, B) in a metric space X , there may exist a cyclically Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $A \cup B$ such that either $\{x_{2n}\}$ have two different convergent subsequences which converges to different points. Following Examples illustrates the same.

Examples 2.13.

- (1) Let $X = (\mathbb{R}^3, \|\cdot\|_2)$. $A := \{(x, y, z) \in X : x \leq 0, y^2 + z^2 = 1\}$, $B := \{(0, 0, 0)\}$. It is easy to see that $\text{dist}(A, B) = 1$. Then $\{x_{2n}\}$ has two different different convergent subsequences $\{(\frac{-1}{n}, 1, 0)\}$ and $\{(\frac{1}{n}, -1, 0)\}$ which converge to $(0, 1, 0)$ and $(0, -1, 0)$ respectively, for the cyclically Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$, where

$$x_{2n} := \begin{cases} (\frac{-1}{n}, 1, 0) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ (\frac{1}{n}, -1, 0) & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

$$x_{2n+1} = (0, 0, 0) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

- (2) Let $A := \{e_{2n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $B := \{e_{2n+1} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be subsets in $(l_\infty, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$. The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a cyclically Cauchy, where $x_n = e_n, \forall n$. Also one can observe that neither $\{x_{2n}\}$ nor $\{x_{2n+1}\}$ have a convergent subsequence. Also the sequence

$\{y_n\}$ is cyclically Cauchy, where

$$y_n := \begin{cases} e_n & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ e_2 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Then one can observe that $\{y_{2n}\}$ does not have convergent subsequence even though $\{y_{2n+1}\}$ is a convergent sequence in B .

Now we prove that every closed convex pair is cyclically complete in the setting of a uniformly convex Banach space.

Proposition 2.14. *Any nonempty closed convex pair (A, B) in a uniformly convex Banach spaces is cyclically complete. Further, for any of cyclic Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$, the sequences $\{x_{2n}\}$ and $\{x_{2n+1}\}$ converge to $x \in A$ and $y \in B$ respectively.*

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a cyclically Cauchy sequence in $A \cup B$. Suppose $\{x_{2n}\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence. Then there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and subsequences $\{x_{2n_k}\}$ and $\{x_{2m_k}\}$ of $\{x_{2n}\}$ such that

$$d(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k}) \geq \epsilon_0, \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Also one can observe that $d(x_{2n_k}, x_{2k+1}) \rightarrow \text{dist}(A, B)$ and $d(x_{2m_k}, x_{2k+1}) \rightarrow \text{dist}(A, B)$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 3.7, in [2], there exists $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k}) < \epsilon_0$ for all $k \geq N_1$, a contradiction. That is $\{x_{2n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, and hence $\{x_{2n}\}$ converges in A . Therefore $x_{2n} \rightarrow x$ for some $x \in A$. In a similar fashion one can prove that $x_{2n+1} \rightarrow y$ in A . \square

Theorem 2.15. *Let (A, B) be a cyclically complete semi sharp proximal pair in a metric space X . If $\{x_n\}$ is a cyclically Cauchy sequence then $x_{2n} \rightarrow x$, for some $x \in A$ and $x_{2n+1} \rightarrow y$, for some $y \in B$. Further $d(x, y) = \text{dist}(A, B)$.*

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a cyclically Cauchy sequence in $A \cup B$. If there exist an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_{2n}, x_{2m+1}) = \text{dist}(A, B)$, for all $n, m \geq N$. Then by semi sharp proximality of (A, B) , $x_{2n} = x_{2N}$ and $x_{2n+1} = x_{2N+1}$ for all $n \geq N$. Therefore $x_{2n} \rightarrow x_{2N}$ and $x_{2n+1} \rightarrow x_{2N+1}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence it is enough to prove in the case when $\{x_{2n}\}$ and $\{x_{2n+1}\}$ have convergent subsequences. Fix a convergent subsequence $\{x_{2n_k+1}\}$ of $\{x_{2n+1}\}$,

that converge to $y \in B$. Let $\{x_{2m_k}\}$ and $\{x_{2l_k}\}$ be convergent subsequence of $\{x_{2n}\}$, that converges to x_1 and $x_2 \in B$ respectively. Now $d(x_1, y) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{2m_k}, y) = \text{dist}(A, B) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{2l_k}, y) = d(x_2, y)$. By the semi sharp proximality of (A, B) , $x_1 = x_2$. That is any two convergent subsequences of $\{x_{2n}\}$ converges to a point say to x , with $d(x, y) = \text{dist}(A, B)$. Suppose $\{x_{2n}\}$ is not Cauchy, then there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and two subsequences $\{x_{2n_p}\}, \{x_{2m_p}\}$ of $\{x_{2n}\}$ such that

$$d(x_{2n_p}, x_{2m_p}) \geq \epsilon_0, \text{ for all } p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now consider the sequence $\{y_p\}$, where

$$y_p := \begin{cases} x_{2n_p} & \text{if } p \text{ is even} \\ x_p & \text{if } p \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to see that the sequence $\{y_p\}$ is a cyclically Cauchy sequence and hence $\{x_{2n_p}\}$ has a convergent subsequence. Similarly $\{x_{2m_p}\}$ has a convergent subsequence. Since (A, B) is a cyclically complete pair, $\{x_{2n_p}\}$ and $\{x_{2m_p}\}$ have convergent subsequences, that converges to x . Hence there exists $P \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_{2n_P}, x) < \frac{\epsilon_0}{2}$ and $d(x_{2m_P}, x) < \frac{\epsilon_0}{2}$. Now $d(x_{2n_P}, x_{2m_P}) \leq d(x_{2n_P}, x) + d(x_{2m_P}, x) < \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} + \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} = \epsilon_0$, a contraction. That is $\{x_{2n}\}$ Cauchy. Also $\{x_{2n}\}$ has a convergent subsequence and hence $x_{2n} \rightarrow x$ in A . In a similar fashion one can show $x_{2n+1} \rightarrow y$ in B . \square

As a particular case we get the following Corollary.

Corollary 2.16. *Let (A, B) be a nonempty convex cyclically complete pair in a strictly convex Banach space X . If $\{x_n\}$ is cyclically Cauchy then $x_n \rightarrow x$, for some $x \in A$ and $x_{2n+1} \rightarrow y$, for some $y \in B$, with $d(x, y) = \text{dist}(A, B)$.*

We conclude this section by giving an example to illustrate Theorem 2.15

Examples 2.17.

- (1) Let X be \mathbb{R}^3 with the l_1 norm. If A is the line segment joining points $(0, 0, 0)$ and $(0, 1, 0)$ and B is the line segment joining points $(0, 0, 1)$ and $(1, 1, 0)$, then it is shown in [3] that for each $x \in A$ (or $\in B$) there exists a unique $x' \in B$ (respectively $\in A$) such that $d(x, x') = \text{dist}(A, B)$. Hence (A, B) is a semi

sharp proximal pair. The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a cyclically Cauchy sequence and $x_{2n} \rightarrow (0, 1, 0)$ and $x_{2n+1} \rightarrow (1, 1, 0)$, where

$$x_n := \begin{cases} (0, 1 - \frac{1}{n}, 0) & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ (1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n}, 0) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Hence (A, B) is a cyclically complete pair in (\mathbb{R}^3, l_1) and for any cyclically Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$, the subsequence $\{x_{2n}\}$ and $\{x_{2n+1}\}$ converges in A and B respectively.

- (2) Consider the space X of all complex valued continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ with sup norm, i.e., $X = (\mathcal{C}[0, 1], \|\cdot\|_\infty)$.

$A := \{f_\alpha : \alpha \in [0, 1]\}$ and $B := \{g_\alpha : \alpha \in [0, 1]\}$, where

$$f_\alpha(t) := \begin{cases} 2i\alpha t, & \text{if } t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ 2i\alpha(1-t), & \text{if } t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{cases}$$

$$g_\alpha(t) := \begin{cases} 1 + \alpha(t - \frac{1}{2}) + 2i\alpha t, & \text{if } t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ 1 - \alpha(t - \frac{1}{2}) + 2i\alpha(1-t), & \text{if } t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{cases}$$

It is shown in [6] that for each $x \in A$ (or $\in B$) there exists a unique $x' \in B$ (respectively $\in A$) such that $d(x, x') = \text{dist}(A, B)$ and the pair (A, B) is a compact convex. Hence (A, B) is a cyclically complete pair in $\mathcal{C}[0, 1]$ and for any cyclically Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$, the subsequence $\{x_{2n}\}$ and $\{x_{2n+1}\}$ converges in A and B respectively.

3. EXISTENCE OF BEST PROXIMITY POINTS

Let (A, B) be a pair of subsets of a metric space X . Suppose $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ is a map satisfying $TA \subset B$ and $TB \subset A$. We prove the existence of a best proximity point for such a cyclic contraction T .

Theorem 3.1. *Let (A, B) be a pair of subsets of a metric space X and let $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ be a cyclic contraction satisfying $TA \subset B$ and $TB \subset A$. If (A, B) is cyclically complete then there exists $(x, y) \in A \times B$ such that $d(x, Tx) = \text{dist}(A, B)$ and $d(y, Ty) = \text{dist}(A, B)$ with $d(x, y) = \text{dist}(A, B)$.*

Proof. Let $x_0 \in A$, define $x_n = Tx_{n-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is clear that $\{x_{2n}\} \subset A$ and $\{x_{2n+1}\} \subset B$.

claim: Any convergent subsequences of $\{x_{2n}\}$ and $\{x_{2n+1}\}$ converges to best proximity points say $x \in A$ and $y \in B$ respectively with $d(x, y) = \text{dist}(A, B)$.

Let $\{x_{2n_k}\}$ be a convergent subsequence of $\{x_{2n}\}$, which converges to $x \in A$. Now $\text{dist}(A, B) \leq d(x_{2n_k-1}, x) \leq d(x_{2n_k-1}, x_{2n_k}) + d(x_{2n_k}, x)$, that is $d(x_{2n_k-1}, x) \rightarrow \text{dist}(A, B)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Now $\text{dist}(A, B) \leq d(x, Tx) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{2n_k}, Tx) \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{2n_k-1}, x) = \text{dist}(A, B)$. In a similar fashion one can prove, if $\{x_{2m_k+1}\}$ is a convergent subsequence of $\{x_{2n+1}\}$, which converges to $y \in B$ then $d(y, Ty) = \text{dist}(A, B)$. Also $d(x, y) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k+1}) = \text{dist}(A, B)$. Now we prove that the sequence $\{x_{2n}\}$ is bounded. Suppose not, for $M = \frac{2\alpha^2 d(x_1, x_2)}{1 - \alpha^2} + \text{dist}(A, B)$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$d(x_3, x_{2n-2}) \leq M \text{ and } d(x_3, x_{2n}) > M.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} M < d(x_3, x_{2n}) &\leq \alpha^2 d(x_1, x_{2n-2}) + (1 - \alpha^2) \text{dist}(A, B) \\ &\leq \alpha^2 (d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, x_{2n-2})) + (1 - \alpha^2) \text{dist}(A, B) \\ &\leq \alpha^2 (d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, x_3) + M) + (1 - \alpha^2) \text{dist}(A, B) \\ &\leq \alpha^2 (d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_1, x_2) + M) + (1 - \alpha^2) \text{dist}(A, B) \\ &\leq \alpha^2 (2d(x_0, x_1) + M) + (1 - \alpha^2) \text{dist}(A, B) \\ &\leq \alpha^2 M + (1 - \alpha^2) M = M \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. A similar way one can prove $\{x_{2n+1}\}$ is bounded and hence the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. For any $n \geq m$ in \mathbb{N} , $d(x_{2n}, x_{2m+1}) \leq \alpha^m d(x_0, x_{2(n-m)+1}) + (1 - \alpha^m) \text{dist}(A, B)$. Therefore the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a cyclically Cauchy sequence in $A \cup B$ as $0 < \alpha < 1$. Since (A, B) is cyclically complete, either both the sequences $\{x_{2n}\}$ and $\{x_{2n+1}\}$ have convergent subsequences or there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_{2n}, x_{2m+1}) = \text{dist}(A, B)$, $\forall n, m \geq N$. For the second case, the pair (x_{2N}, x_{2N+1}) satisfies the conclusions. Suppose both the sequences $\{x_{2n}\}$ and $\{x_{2n+1}\}$ have convergent subsequences, converges to x and y respectively, then by claim, $d(x, Tx) = \text{dist}(A, B) = d(y, Ty)$ and $d(x, y) = \text{dist}(A, B)$. \square

The following examples illustrates Theorem 3.1.

Example 3.2. Let $X = (l_\infty, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ and let $A = \{e_{2n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $B = \{e_{2n+1} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Since $d(x, y) = \text{dist}(A, B)$, for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$ any map $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ satisfying $TA \subset B$, $TB \subset A$ is a cyclic contraction. One can notice that each point of A is a best proximity point for T .

Now we look at a generalization of the Banach contraction theorem in this situation. For $x \in A$, define $[x] = \{y \in B : d(x, y) = \text{dist}(A, B)\}$ and a similar way for we have $[y] = \{u \in A : d(u, y) = \text{dist}(A, B)\}$, for $y \in B$. It is easy to see that, if $x_i \in [x]$ for $i = 1, 2$ for some $x \in A \cup B$, Then $x \in \bigcap_{i=1,2} [x_i]$. Suppose $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ is a cyclic contraction satisfying $TA \subset B$ and $TB \subset A$. The following Proposition gives a necessary condition for the existence of a unique best proximity point of T .

Proposition 3.3. Let (A, B) be a pair of subsets of a metric space X . If there exists $x \in A$ such that $[x]$ contains two different points say x_1 and x_2 with $\bigcap_{i=1,2} [x_i]$ contains a point other than x . Then there exists a map $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ such that $TA \subset B$ and $TB \subset A$ satisfying:

- (1) T is a cyclic contraction mapping.
- (2) T has two distinct best proximity points in A .
- (3) For any $x_0 \in A$, neither of the sequences $\{T^{2n}x_0\}$ and $\{T^{2n+1}x_0\}$ converges.

Proof. Let $x \in A$ such that $x_1 \neq x_2$ in $[x]$ and $\bigcap_{i=1,2} [x_i]$ contains an element say $y \neq x$. Define $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ as

$$T(u) := \begin{cases} x_1 & \text{if } u \in A \text{ and } u = x \\ x_2 & \text{if } u \in A \text{ and } u \neq x \\ y & \text{if } u \in B \text{ and } u = x_1 \\ x & \text{if } u \in B \text{ and } u \neq x_1. \end{cases}$$

Notice that $TA \subset B$ and $TB \subset A$. Also for any $u \in A$ and $v \in B$, $d(Tu, Tv) = \text{dist}(A, B) = \alpha \text{dist}(A, B) + (1 - \alpha) \text{dist}(A, B) \leq \alpha d(u, v) + (1 - \alpha) \text{dist}(A, B)$, for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Hence T is a cyclic contraction. It is easy see that x and y are different best proximity points of T in A . For any fixed $u \in A$, either $Tu = x_1$ or $Tu = x_2$. If $Tu = x_1$,

then $T^2u = Tx_1 = y$, $T^3u = Ty = x_2$, $T^4u = Tx_2 = x, \dots$. Therefore the sequence $\{T^{2n}u\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is (u, y, x, y, x, \dots) and hence $\{T^{2n}u\}$ diverges. In a similar fashion, one can prove $\{T^{2n}u\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is (u, x, y, x, y, \dots) and $\{T^{2n}u\}$ diverges, if $Tu = x_2$. Hence $\{T^{2n}u\}$ is a divergent sequence for all $u \in A$. In a similar fashion one can show that $\{T^{2n+1}u\}$ is a divergent sequence for all $u \in A$. \square

In the same way one can see that if there exists $y \in B$ such that $y_1 \neq y_2 \in [y]$ and $y \neq z$ in $\bigcap_{i=1,2} [y_i]$ then for any $v \in B$ the sequence $\{T^{2n}v\}$ does not converge.

The following Examples illustrates Proposition 3.3.

Examples 3.4.

- (1) Let A be the line segment joining the points $(0, 0)$, $(0, 1)$ and B be the line segment joining the points $(1, 0)$, $(1, 1)$ in $(\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$. One can observe that $\text{dist}(A, B) = 1$ and for any $x \in A$, $[x] = B$ and $\bigcap_{x' \in [x]} [x'] = A$. It is easy to see that every map T on $A \cup B$ satisfying $TA \subset B$ and $TB \subset A$ is a cyclic contraction. Also $d(x, y) = \text{dist}(A, B)$ for all $x \in A$, $y \in B$ and hence each point in A is a best proximity point for T .
- (2) Let A be the line segment joining the points $(0, 1, 0)$, $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$ and B be the line segment joining the points $(0, 0, 0)$, $(\frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{1}{2})$ in $(\mathbb{R}^3, \|\cdot\|_1)$. Then it is easy to see that $\text{dist}(A, B) = 1$. For $(0, 0, 0) \in B$, $d((0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0)) = 1 = \text{dist}(A, B) = d((0, 0, 0), (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0))$. Also $d((\frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{1}{2}), (0, 1, 0)) = 1 = \text{dist}(A, B) = d((\frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{1}{2}), (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0))$. That is $(0, 1, 0), (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0) \in [(0, 0, 0)]$ and $(\frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{1}{2}) \in [(0, 1, 0)] \cap [(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0)]$. Hence one can construct a cyclic contraction T on $A \cup B$ with $TA \subset B$ and $TB \subset A$, which satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 3.5. Let (A, B) be a cyclically complete semi sharp proximal pair in a metric space X . Suppose $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ is a cyclic contraction such that $TA \subset B$ and $TB \subset A$, then following holds:

- (1) There exists a unique $x \in A$ such that $d(x, Tx) = \text{dist}(A, B)$.
- (2) For any $x_0 \in A$, the sequence $T^{2n}x_0$ and $T^{2n+1}x_0$ converge to x and Tx respectively.
- (3) x and Tx are the unique fixed points of T^2 in A and B respectively.

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, there exists $x \in A$ such that $d(x, Tx) = \text{dist}(A, B)$. Notice that $\text{dist}(A, B) \leq d(Tx, T^2x) \leq d(x, Tx) = \text{dist}(A, B)$. Since (A, B) is a semi sharp proximal, $T^2x = x$. For uniqueness, if there exists $x \neq x' \in A$ satisfying $d(x', Tx') = \text{dist}(A, B)$ then $T^2x' = x'$. Since $x \neq x'$, and by semi sharp proximality of (A, B) , $d(x', Tx) > \text{dist}(A, B)$. Hence $d(T^2x, Tx') < d(Tx, x')$. Now $d(x, Tx') = d(T^2x, Tx') < d(Tx, x') = d(Tx, T^2x) \leq d(x, Tx')$, a contradiction. Hence there a unique $x \in A$ such that $d(x, Tx) = \text{dist}(A, B)$. Fix $x_0 \in A$. Define $x_n = Tx_{n-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It has been proved in Theorem 3.1 that $\{x_n\}$ is a cyclically Cauchy sequence and hence by Theorem 2.15 $\{x_{2n}\}$ and $\{x_{2n+1}\}$ are convergent sequences. Also by the claim in the proof of Theorem 3.1 $\{x_{2n}\}$ converge to the best proximity point x in A and $\{x_{2n+1}\}$ converges to the best proximity point y in B , with $d(x, y) = \text{dist}(A, B)$. By semi sharp proximality of (A, B) , $y = Tx$. Now we prove that x is a unique fixed point of T^2 in A . We have $T^2x = x$. If $y \in A$ satisfying $T^2y = y$ then $T^{2n}y = y$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We have $T^{2n}y \rightarrow x$ and hence $y = x$. In a similar fashion one can show that Tx is a unique fixed point of T^2 in B . \square

It is to be noticed that, if $\text{dist}(A, B) = 0$ then the pair (A, B) is a semi sharp proximal pair. The above theorem 3.5 generalizes the Banach contraction theorem for cyclic contraction mappings satisfying $TA \subset B$ and $TB \subset A$. As a particular case we get the following:

Corollary 3.6. [2] *Let A and B be nonempty closed and convex subsets of a uniformly convex Banach space. Suppose $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ is a cyclic contraction map, then there exists a unique best proximity point x in A (that is with $\|x - Tx\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$). Further, if $x_0 \in A$ and $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$, then $\{x_{2n}\}$ converges to the best proximity point.*

Let $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ satisfying $TA \subset A$ and $TB \subset B$. The following Proposition 3.7 gives a necessary condition for the existence of a fixed point such a mapping T .

Proposition 3.7. *Let (A, B) be a pair of subsets of a metric space X . If there exists $x \in A \cup B$ such that $[x]$ contains two different points say x_1 and x_2 with $\bigcap_{i=1,2} [x_i]$ contains*

a point other than x then there exists a map $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ such that $TA \subset A$ and $TB \subset B$ satisfying:

- (1) T is a cyclic contraction mapping.
- (2) There is no fixed point for T .
- (3) For any $x_0 \in A$, the sequences $\{T^n x_0\}$ diverges.

Proof. Let $x \in A$ such that $x_1 \neq x_2$ in $[x]$ and $\cap_{i=1,2}[x_i]$ contains more than one element say $x \neq y$ (It is easy to see that $x \in \cap_{i=1,2}[x_i]$). Define $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ as

$$T(u) := \begin{cases} y & \text{if } u \in A \text{ and } u = x \\ x & \text{if } u \in A \text{ and } u \neq x \\ x_2 & \text{if } u \in B \text{ and } u = x_1 \\ x_1 & \text{if } u \in B \text{ and } u \neq x_1. \end{cases}$$

Notice that $TA \subset A$ and $TB \subset B$. Also for any $u \in A$ and $v \in B$, $d(Tu, Tv) = \text{dist}(A, B) \leq \alpha d(u, v) + (1 - \alpha) \text{dist}(A, B)$, for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Hence T is cyclic contraction. Also it is easy to see that there is no fixed point for T in $A \cup B$. For any fixed $u \in A$, either $Tu = x$ or $Tu = y$. If $Tu = x$, then $T^2u = Tx = y$, $T^3u = Ty = x$, $T^4u = Tx = y, \dots$. Therefore the sequence $\{T^n u\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is (x, y, x, y, x, \dots) . In a similar fashion one can show that, If $Tu = y$, $\{T^n u\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is (y, x, y, x, y, \dots) . Hence $\{T^n u\}$ diverges. \square

In the same way one can see that, with the same assumptions of Proposition 3.7, for any $v \in B$ the sequence $\{T^n v\}$ diverges.

Theorem 3.8. *Let (A, B) be a cyclically complete semi sharp proximinal pair in a metric space X . Suppose $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ is a cyclic contraction such that $TA \subset A$ and $TB \subset B$, then the following holds:*

- (1) There exists a unique pair $(x, y) \in A \times B$ such that x and y are fixed points of T .
- (2) $d(x, y) = \text{dist}(A, B)$.
- (3) For any $(x_0, y_0) \in A \times B$, $T^n x_0 \rightarrow x$ and $T^n y_0 \rightarrow y$.

Proof. Let $(x_0, y_0) \in A \times B$. Define $x_{2n} := T^n x_0$ and $x_{2n+1} := T^n y_0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. First we prove that the sequence $\{T^n x_0\}$ is bounded. Suppose not, for $M = \frac{\alpha d(y_0, T y_0)}{1 - \alpha} +$

$\text{dist}(A, B)$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$d(Ty_0, T^n x_0) \leq M \text{ and } d(Ty_0, T^{n+1} x_0) > M.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} M < d(Ty_0, T^{n+1} x_0) &\leq \alpha d(y_0, T^n x_0) + (1 - \alpha) \text{dist}(A, B) \\ &\leq \alpha(d(y_0, Ty_0) + d(Ty_0, T^n x_0)) + (1 - \alpha) \text{dist}(A, B) \\ &\leq \alpha(d(y_0, Ty_0) + M) + (1 - \alpha) \text{dist}(A, B) \\ &= \alpha M + \alpha(d(y_0, Ty_0)) + (1 - \alpha) \text{dist}(A, B) \\ &= \alpha M + (1 - \alpha)M = M \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. A similar way one can prove $\{T^n y_0\}$ is bounded and hence the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. For any $n \geq m$ in \mathbb{N} , $d(x_{2n}, x_{2m+1}) \leq \alpha^m d(x_0, x_{2(n-m)+1}) + (1 - \alpha^m) \text{dist}(A, B)$. Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a cyclically Cauchy sequence in $A \cup B$, as $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Since (A, B) is cyclically complete, either both the sequences $\{x_{2n}\}$ and $\{x_{2n+1}\}$ have convergent subsequences or there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_{2n}, x_{2m+1}) = \text{dist}(A, B)$, $\forall n, m \geq N$. For the second case $x_{2n} = x_{2N}$ and $x_{2n+1} = x_{2N+1}$ for all $n \geq N$ and so $\{x_{2N}\}, \{x_{2N+1}\}$ satisfies the conclusions. Therefore it is enough to prove in the case that the both sequences $\{T^n x_0\}$ and $\{T^n y_0\}$ have convergent subsequences. By Theorem 3.1, one can show that the both sequences $\{T^n x_0\}$ and $\{T^n y_0\}$ convergent sequences, say converges to $x \in A$ and $y \in B$ respectively. Also $d(x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(T^n x_0, T^n y_0) = \text{dist}(A, B)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(A, B) \leq d(x, Ty) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(T^n x_0, Ty) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(T^{n-1} x_0, y) = d(x, y) = \text{dist}(A, B). \end{aligned}$$

That is $d(x, Ty) = \text{dist}(A, B)$, and by sharp proximality of (A, B) $y = Ty$. In a similar fashion one can prove $x = Tx$. \square

The above Theorem 3.8 generalizes the Banach contraction theorem for cyclic contraction mappings satisfying $TA \subset A$ and $TB \subset B$. As a particular case we get the following:

Corollary 3.9. *Let A and B be nonempty closed and convex subsets of a uniformly convex Banach space. Suppose $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ is a cyclic contraction map satisfying $TA \subset A$ and $TB \subset B$, then the following holds:*

- (1) *There exists a unique fixed point x in A and a unique fixed point $y \in B$ for T ;*
- (2) $\|x - y\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$;
- (3) *For any $(x_0, y_0) \in A \times B$, $T^n x_0 \rightarrow x$ and $T^n y_0 \rightarrow y$.*

Now we prove the existence and uniqueness of a best proximity point for a map T , if T^n is a cyclic contraction.

Theorem 3.10. *Let (A, B) be a cyclically complete semi sharp proximal pair in a metric space X . Suppose $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ is a map satisfying $TA \subset B$ and $TB \subset A$. If there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that T^n is cyclic contraction then there exists a unique $x \in A$ such that $d(x, Tx) = \text{dist}(A, B)$.*

Proof. If $n = 2m + 1$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then by Theorem 3.5 there exists a unique $x \in A$ such that $d(x, T^{2m+1}x) = \text{dist}(A, B)$. By semi sharp proximality of (A, B) one can get $T^{2(2m+1)}x = x$. Suppose if $d(x, Tx) > \text{dist}(A, B)$ then $d(T^{2m+1}x, T^{2m+2}x) < d(x, Tx)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, Tx) &= d(T^{2(2m+1)}x, T^{2(2m+1)+1}x) \\ &\leq d(T^{2m+1}x, T^{2m+2}x) \\ &< d(x, Tx) \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. That is $d(x, Tx) = \text{dist}(A, B)$. If $n = 2m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then by Theorem 3.8 there exists a unique $x \in A$ such that $x = T^{2m}x$. Suppose if $d(x, Tx) > \text{dist}(A, B)$ then $d(T^{2m}x, T^{2m+1}x) < d(x, Tx)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, Tx) &= d(T^{2m}x, T^{2m+1}x) \\ &< d(x, Tx) \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. That is $d(x, Tx) = \text{dist}(A, B)$. For uniqueness, if there exists $x \neq x' \in A$ such that $d(x', Tx') = \text{dist}(A, B)$, then $T^{2n}x' = x'$. Also $T^{2n}x = x$. By semi sharp

proximality of (A, B) , $d(x', Tx) > \text{dist}(A, B)$ so that $d(T^n x', T^{n+1}x) < d(x', Tx)$. Now $d(x', Tx) = d(T^{2n}x', T^{2n+1}x) \leq d(T^n x', T^{n+1}x) < d(x', Tx)$, a contradiction. \square

Now we prove the existence and uniqueness of a fixed point in A for a map T on $A \cup B$ satisfying $TA \subset A$ and $TB \subset B$ if T^n is a cyclic contraction. .

Theorem 3.11. *Let (A, B) be a cyclically complete semi sharp proximal pair in a metric space X . Suppose $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ is a map satisfying $TA \subset A$ and $TB \subset B$. If there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that T^n is cyclic contraction then there exists a unique pair $(x, y) \in A \times B$ such that x and y are fixed points for T and $d(x, y) = \text{dist}(A, B)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.8 there exists a unique pair $(x, y) \in A \times B$ such that $T^n x = x$, $T^n y = y$ and $d(x, y) = \text{dist}(A, B)$. If $x \neq Tx$, by semi sharp proximality $d(Tx, y) > \text{dist}(A, B)$ and hence $d(T^{n+1}x, Ty) > d(Tx, y)$. Now $d(Tx, y) = d(T^{n+1}x, T^n yx) < d(Tx, y)$, a contradiction. In a similar fashion one can prove that $y = Ty$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Sadiq Basha, Extensions of Banach's contraction principle, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **31** (2010), no. 4-6, 569–576. MR2682830
- [2] A. A. Eldred and P. Veeramani, Existence and convergence of best proximity points, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **323** (2006), no. 2, 1001–1006. MR2260159 (2007f:46009)
- [3] R. Espínola, A new approach to relatively nonexpansive mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), no. 6, 1987–1995. MR2383505 (2009b:47096)
- [4] Karpagam. S, On fixed point theorems and best proximity point theorems, Ph.D. Thesis, Ramaniujan Institute for Advanced Study of Mathematics, University of Madras, Chennai, INDIA.
- [5] W. A. Kirk, S. Reich and P. Veeramani, Proximinal retracts and best proximity pair theorems, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **24** (2003), no. 7-8, 851–862. MR2011594 (2004i:47098)
- [6] G. Sankara Raju Kosuru and P. Veeramani, On existence of best proximity pair theorems for relatively nonexpansive mappings, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **11** (2010), no. 1, 71–77.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS, CHENNAI-600 036, INDIA.

E-mail address: rajuiitmadr@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS, CHENNAI-600 036, INDIA.

E-mail address: pvmani@iitm.ac.in