

EXPONENTIAL DECAY OF SEMIGROUPS FOR SECOND ORDER NON-SELFADJOINT LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

NIKITA ARTAMONOV

ABSTRACT. The Cauchy problem for second order linear differential equation

$$u''(t) + Du'(t) + Au(t) = 0$$

in Hilbert space H with a sectorial operator A and an accretive operator D is studied. Sufficient conditions for exponential decay of the solutions are obtained.

Many linearized equations of mechanics and mathematical physics can be reduced to a linear differential equation

$$(0.1) \quad u''(t) + Du'(t) + Au(t) = 0,$$

where $u(t)$ is a vector-valued function in an appropriate (finite or infinite dimensional) Hilbert space H , D and A are linear (bounded or unbounded) operators on H . Properties of the differential equation (0.1) are closely connected with spectral properties of a quadric pencil

$$L(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + \lambda D + A, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$$

which is obtained by substituting exponential functions $u(t) = \exp(\lambda t)x$, $x \in H$ into (0.1). In many applications A is a self-adjoint positive definite operator, D is a self-adjoint positive definite or an accretive operator (see definition in section 1). In this case the differential equation (0.1) and spectral properties of the related quadric pencil $L(\lambda)$ are well-studied, see [2, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15] and references therein. It was obtained a localization of the pencil's spectrum, sufficient conditions of the completeness of eigen- and adjoint vectors of the pencil $L(\lambda)$ and it was proved, that all solutions of (0.1) exponentially decay. The exponential decay means, that the total energy exponentially decreases and corresponding mechanical system is stable. In paper [16] was studied spectral properties of the pencil $L(\lambda)$ for a self-adjoint non-positive definite operator A and an accretive operator D .

But some models of continuous mechanics are reduced to differential equation (0.1) with sectorial operator A , see [1, 9, 17] and references therein. In this cases methods, developed for self-adjoint operator A , cannot be applied.

The aim of this paper is the study of a Cauchy problem for second-order linear differential equation (0.1) in a Hilbert space H with initial conditions

$$(0.2) \quad u(0) = u_0 \quad u'(0) = u_1.$$

Date: February 26, 2010.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47D06, 34G10; Secondary 47B44, 35G15.

Key words and phrases. Accretive operator, sectorial operator, C_0 -semigroup, second order linear differential equation, spectrum.

This paper is supported by the Russian Foundation of Basic Research (project No 08-01-00595).

The shiffness operator A is assumed to be a sectorial operator, the damping operator D is assumed to be an accretive operator.

By $\mathcal{L}(H', H'')$ denote a space of bounded operators acting from a Hilbert space H' to a Hilbert space H'' . $\mathcal{L}(H) = \mathcal{L}(H, H)$ is an algebra of bounded operators acting on Hilbert space H .

1. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

First let us recall some definitions [4, 14].

Definition 1.1. Linear operator B with dense domain $\mathcal{D}(B)$ is called *accretive* if $\operatorname{Re}(Bx, x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{D}(B)$ and *m-accretive*, if the range of operator $B + \omega I$ is dense in H for some $\omega > 0$.

An accretive operator B is m-accretive iff B has not accretive extensions [14]. For m-accretive operator

$$\rho(B) \supset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0\}.$$

Definition 1.2. An accretive operator B is called *sectorial* or ω -*accretive* if for some $\omega \in [0, \pi/2)$

$$|\operatorname{Im}(Bx, x)| \leq \tan(\omega) \operatorname{Re}(Bx, x) \quad x \in \mathcal{D}(B).$$

If a sectorial operator has not sectorial extensions, then it's called *m-sectorial* or *m- ω -accretive*.

The sectorial property means that the numerical range of the operator B belongs to a sector

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\operatorname{Im} z| \leq \tan(\omega) \operatorname{Re} z\}.$$

For a sectorial operator B there exist [14] a self-adjoint non-negative operator T_B and a self-adjoint operator $S_B \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, $\|S_B\| \leq \tan(\omega)$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re}(Bx, x) = (T_B^{1/2}x, T_B^{1/2}x), \quad B \subset T_B^{1/2}(I + iS_B)T_B^{1/2}$$

and $B = T_B^{1/2}(I + iS_B)T_B^{1/2}$ iff B is m-sectorial.

Throughout this paper we will assume, that

(A) Operator $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ is m-sectorial and for some positive a_0

$$\operatorname{Re}(Ax, x) \geq a_0(x, x) \quad x \in \mathcal{D}(A).$$

Since A is m-sectorial there exist a self-adjoint positive definite operator T and a self-adjoint $S \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, such that

$$\operatorname{Re}(Ax, x) = (T^{1/2}x, T^{1/2}x) \geq a_0(x, x), \quad x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$$

$$A = T^{1/2}(I + iS)T^{1/2}.$$

The operator A is invertible and

$$A^{-1} = T^{-1/2}(I + iS)^{-1}T^{-1/2}.$$

By H_s ($s \in \mathbb{R}$) denote a collection of Hilbert spaces generated by a self-adjoint operator $T^{1/2}$:

- for $s \geq 0$ $H_s = \mathcal{D}(T^{s/2})$ endowed with a norm $\|x\|_s = \|T^{s/2}x\|$;
- for $s < 0$ H_s is a closure of H with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_s$.

Obviously $H_0 = H$. The operator $T^{1/2}$ can be considered now as an unitary operator mapping H_s on H_{s-1} . A is a bounded operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(H_2, H_0)$ and it can be extended to a bounded operator $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{L}(H_1, H_{-1})$. The inverse operator A^{-1} can be extended to a bounded operator $\tilde{A}^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(H_{-1}, H_1)$.

By $(\cdot, \cdot)_{-1,1}$ denote a duality pairing on $H_{-1} \times H_1$. Note, that for all $x \in H_{-1}$ and $y \in H_1$ we have

$$\left| (x, y)_{-1,1} \right| \leq \|x\|_{-1} \cdot \|y\|_1$$

and $(x, y)_{-1,1} = (x, y)$ if $x \in H$. Further,

$$\operatorname{Re}(\tilde{A}x, x)_{-1,1} = (Tx, x)_{-1,1} = (T^{1/2}x, T^{1/2}x) = \|x\|_1^2, \quad x \in H_1 = \mathcal{D}(T^{1/2}).$$

Denote $\tilde{S} = T^{1/2}ST^{1/2} \in \mathcal{L}(H_1, H_{-1})$. Then, for the operator \tilde{A} we have a representation $\tilde{A} = T + i\tilde{S}$ and

$$\operatorname{Im}(\tilde{A}x, x)_{-1,1} = (\tilde{S}x, x)_{-1,1} \quad x \in H_1.$$

Also $(\tilde{S}x, y)_{-1,1} = \overline{(\tilde{S}y, x)_{-1,1}}$ for all $x, y \in H_1$.

Following paper [11] we will assume

(B) D is a bounded operator $D \in \mathcal{L}(H_1, H_{-1})$, and

$$(1.1) \quad \beta = \inf_{x \in H_1, x \neq 0} \frac{\operatorname{Re}(Dx, x)_{-1,1}}{\|x\|^2} > 0.$$

Operator $T^{-1/2}$ is an unitary operator mapping H_s on H_{s+1} , therefore an operator $D' = T^{-1/2}DT^{-1/2}$, acting on H , is bounded. Let

$$D_1 = \frac{1}{2}T^{1/2}(D' + (D')^*)T^{1/2} \quad D_2 = \frac{1}{2i}T^{1/2}(D' - (D')^*)T^{1/2},$$

Obviously $D_1, D_2 \in \mathcal{L}(H_1, H_{-1})$, $D = D_1 + iD_2$ and for all $x \in H_1$

$$\operatorname{Re}(Dx, x)_{-1,1} = (D_1x, x)_{-1,1} \geq \beta\|x\|^2, \quad \operatorname{Im}(Dx, x)_{-1,1} = (D_2x, x)_{-1,1}.$$

Also $(D_jx, y)_{-1,1} = \overline{(D_jy, x)_{-1,1}}$ for all $x, y \in H_1$ ($j = 1, 2$).

2. MAIN RESULT

Definition 2.1. A vector-valued function $u(t) \in H_1$ is called a solution of the differential equation (0.1) if $u'(t) \in H_1$, $u''(t) \in H$, $Du'(t) + \tilde{A}u(t) \in H$ and

$$(2.1) \quad u''(t) + Du'(t) + \tilde{A}u(t) = 0$$

If $u(t)$ is a solution of (2.1), then a vector-function

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} u'(t) \\ u(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

(formally) satisfies a first-order differential equation

$$(2.2) \quad \mathbf{x}'(t) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(t)$$

with a block operator matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} -D & -\tilde{A} \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From mechanical viewpoint it is most natural to consider the equation (2.2) in an "energy" space $\mathcal{H} = H \times H_1$ with a dense domain of the operator \mathbf{A} [6, 7, 11, 16]

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{A}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \middle| x_1, x_2 \in H_1, Dx_1 + \tilde{A}x_2 \in H \right\}.$$

An inverse of \mathbf{A} is formally defined by a block operator matrix

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -\tilde{A}^{-1} & -\tilde{A}^{-1}D \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2)^\top \in \mathcal{H} = H \times H_1$, then

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} y_2 \\ -\tilde{A}^{-1}y_1 - \tilde{A}^{-1}Dy_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\tilde{A}^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(H_{-1}, H_1)$ and $D \in \mathcal{L}(H_1, H_{-1})$, then $\tilde{A}^{-1}D \in \mathcal{L}(H_1, H_1)$. Therefore $-\tilde{A}^{-1}y_1 - \tilde{A}^{-1}Dy_2 \in H_1$ and $\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{y} \in H_1 \times H_1$. Moreover,

$$Dx_1 + \tilde{A}x_2 = Dy_2 + \tilde{A} \left(-\tilde{A}^{-1}y_1 - \tilde{A}^{-1}Dy_2 \right) = -y_1 \in H.$$

Thus $\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{A})$. Since $I \in \mathcal{L}(H_1, H)$ the operator \mathbf{A}^{-1} is bounded and therefore the operator \mathbf{A} is closed and $0 \in \rho(\mathbf{A})$.

Let $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})_{\mathcal{H}}$ be a natural scalar product on $\mathcal{H} = H \times H_1$ and $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})_{\mathcal{H}}$.

If operator A is self-adjoint, the spectral properties of operator \mathbf{A} are well-studied: $-\mathbf{A}$ is an m-accretive operator in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = H \times H_1$ (see [2, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11] and references therein) and, consequently, \mathbf{A} is a generator of a C_0 -semigroup. Thus, differential equation (2.2) (and equation (2.1)) is correctly solvable in the space \mathcal{H} for all $\mathbf{x}(0) = (u_1, u_0)^\top \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{A})$. Moreover, in this case operator \mathbf{A} is a generator of a contraction semigroup [7]. It implies, that all solutions of (2.2) (and (2.1)) exponentially decay, i.e. for some $C, \omega > 0$

$$\|\mathbf{x}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C \exp(-\omega t) \|\mathbf{x}(0)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \quad t \geq 0.$$

For non-selfadjoint A operator $(-\mathbf{A})$ is not longer accretive in the space \mathcal{H} with respect to the standard scalar product. But, under some assumptions, one can define a new scalar product on \mathcal{H} , which is topologically equivalent to the given one, such that an operator $(-\mathbf{A} - qI)$ (for some $q \geq 0$) is m-accretive and therefore the operator \mathbf{A} is a generator of a C_0 -semigroup on \mathcal{H} . If $q > 0$, then \mathbf{A} is a generator of a contraction semigroup and all solutions of (2.2) exponentially decay.

Let $k \in (0, \beta)$ (β is defined by (1.1)). Consider on the space \mathcal{H} a sesquilinear form

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]_{\mathcal{H}} = & \\ & (T^{1/2}x_2, T^{1/2}y_2) + k(D_1x_2, y_2)_{-1,1} - k^2(x_2, y_2) + (x_1 + kx_2, y_1 + ky_2), \\ & \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)^\top, \mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2)^\top \in \mathcal{H}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] = \overline{[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}]}$ and

$$[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{H}} = \|x_2\|_1^2 + k(D_1x_2, x_2)_{-1,1} + \|x_1\|^2 + 2k \operatorname{Re}(x_1, x_2).$$

Since $(D_1x, x)_{-1,1} = \operatorname{Re}(Dx, x)_{-1,1} \geq \beta\|x\|^2$ and

$$2|\operatorname{Re}(x_1, x_2)| \leq 2|(x_1, x_2)| \leq 2\|x_1\| \cdot \|x_2\| \leq \frac{\|x_1\|^2}{\beta} + \beta\|x_2\|^2,$$

then

$$[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{H}} \geq \|x_2\|_1^2 + k \left((D_1 x, x)_{-1,1} - \beta \|x_2\|^2 \right) + \left(1 - \frac{k}{\beta} \right) \|x_1\|^2 \geq \|x_2\|_1^2 + \left(1 - \frac{k}{\beta} \right) \|x_1\|^2.$$

Inequalities¹ $|(D_1 x, x)_{-1,1}| \leq \|D_1 x\|_{-1} \cdot \|x\|_1 \leq \|D_1\| \cdot \|x\|_1^2$ and $\|x\|_1^2 \geq a_0 \|x\|^2$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq \left(1 + k \|D_1\| \right) \|x_2\|_1^2 + k \beta \|x_2\|^2 + \left(1 + \frac{k}{\beta} \right) \|x_1\|^2 \\ &\leq \left(1 + k \|D_1\| + \frac{k \beta}{a_0} \right) \|x_2\|_1^2 + \left(1 + \frac{k}{\beta} \right) \|x_1\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\left(1 - \frac{k}{\beta} \right) \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \text{const} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

and $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a scalar product on \mathcal{H} , which is topologically equivalent to the given one. Denote $|\mathbf{x}|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let the assumptions (A) and (B) hold and for some $k \in (0, \beta)$ and $m \in (0, 1]$*

$$(2.3) \quad \omega_1 = \inf_{x \in H_1, x \neq 0} \frac{\frac{1}{k}(D_1 x, x)_{-1,1} - \|x\|^2 - \frac{1}{4m} \|(\frac{1}{k}\tilde{S} - D_2)x\|_{-1}}{\|x\|^2} \geq 0.$$

Then the operator \mathbf{A} is a generator of a C_0 -semigroup $\mathcal{T}(t) = \exp\{t\mathbf{A}\}$ ($t \geq 0$) and

$$\|\mathcal{T}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \text{const} \cdot \exp(-tk\theta)$$

where

$$\theta = \min \left\{ \frac{\omega_1}{2}, \frac{1-m}{\omega_2} \right\} \geq 0$$

and²

$$(2.4) \quad \omega_2 = \sup_{x \in H_1, x \neq 0} \frac{\|x\|_1^2 + k(D_1 x, x)_{-1,1} + k^2 \|x\|^2}{\|x\|_1^2}$$

Proof. For $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)^\top \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{A})$ let us consider a quadric form

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{H}} &= (T^{1/2}x_1, T^{1/2}x_2) + k(D_1 x_1, x_2)_{-1,1} - k^2(x_1, x_2) + \\ &\quad (-Dx_1 - \tilde{A}x_2 + kx_1, x_1 + kx_2) = \\ &\quad (Tx_1, x_2)_{-1,1} + k(D_1 x_1, x_2)_{-1,1} - (Dx_1, x_1)_{-1,1} \\ &\quad - (\tilde{A}x_2, x_1)_{-1,1} + k(x_1, x_1) - k(Dx_1, x_2)_{-1,1} - k(\tilde{A}x_2, x_2)_{-1,1} = \\ &\quad - (Dx_1, x_1)_{-1,1} + k(x_1, x_1) - k(\tilde{A}x_2, x_2)_{-1,1} - ik(D_2 x_1, x_2)_{-1,1} + \\ &\quad (Tx_1, x_2)_{-1,1} - (Tx_2, x_1)_{-1,1} - i(\tilde{S}x_2, x_1)_{-1,1} \end{aligned}$$

¹ $\|D_1\|$ is a norm of operator $D_1 \in \mathcal{L}(H_1, H_{-1})$, i.e. $\|D_1\| = \sup_{x \in H_1, x \neq 0} \|D_1 x\|_{-1} / \|x\|_1$

²Obviously, $\omega_2 \leq 1 + k\|D_1\| + k^2/a_0$

We used decompositions $\tilde{A} = T + i\tilde{S}$ and $D = D_1 + iD_2$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}[\mathbf{Ax}, \mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{H}} &= -(D_1x_1, x_1)_{-1,1} + k(x_1, x_1) - k(Tx_2, x_2)_{-1,1} - \\ &\quad \operatorname{Re}\left(ik(D_2x_1, x_2)_{-1,1} + i(\tilde{S}x_2, x_1)_{-1,1}\right) = \\ &\quad -(D_1x_1, x_1)_{-1,1} + k\|x_1\|^2 - k\|x_2\|_1^2 - \\ &\quad \operatorname{Im}\left((\tilde{S}x_1, x_2)_{-1,1} - k(D_2x_1, x_2)_{-1,1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$-\frac{1}{k} \operatorname{Re}[\mathbf{Ax}, \mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{k}(D_1x_1, x_1)_{-1,1} - \|x_1\|^2 + \|x_2\|_1^2 + \operatorname{Im}\left(\left(\frac{1}{k}\tilde{S} - D_2\right)x_1, x_2\right)_{-1,1}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\left(\left(\frac{1}{k}\tilde{S} - D_2\right)x_1, x_2\right)_{-1,1}\right| &\leq \left\|\left(\frac{1}{k}\tilde{S} - D_2\right)x_1\right\|_{-1} \cdot \|x_2\|_1 \leq \\ &\quad \frac{1}{4m} \left\|\left(\frac{1}{k}\tilde{S} - D_2\right)x_1\right\|_{-1}^2 + m\|x_2\|_1^2, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{k} \operatorname{Re}[\mathbf{Ax}, \mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{H}} &\geq \frac{1}{k}(D_1x_1, x_1)_{-1,1} - \|x_1\|^2 - \frac{1}{4m} \left\|\left(\frac{1}{k}\tilde{S} - D_2\right)x_1\right\|_{-1}^2 + \\ &\quad (1-m)\|x_2\|_1^2 \geq \omega_1\|x_1\|^2 + (1-m)\|x_2\|_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

Further, an inequality

$$2k|\operatorname{Re}(x_1, x_2)| \leq 2|(x_1, kx_2)| \leq 2\|x_1\| \cdot \|kx_2\| \leq \|x_1\|^2 + k^2\|x_2\|^2$$

implies

$$(2.5) \quad [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 2\|x_1\|^2 + \|x_2\|_1^2 + k(D_1x_2, x_2)_{-1,1} + k^2\|x_2\|^2 \leq 2\|x_1\|^2 + \omega_2\|x_2\|_1^2.$$

Thus

$$-\frac{1}{k} \operatorname{Re}[\mathbf{Ax}, \mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{H}} \geq \omega_1\|x_1\|^2 + (1-m)\|x_2\|_1^2 \geq \theta(2\|x_1\|^2 + \omega_2\|x_2\|_1^2) \geq \theta[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{H}}$$

and an operator $(-\mathbf{A} - k\theta I)$ is accretive. Moreover, the operator $(-\mathbf{A} - k\theta I)$ is m-accretive (since $0 \in \rho(\mathbf{A})$) and³

$$\rho(-\mathbf{A} - k\theta I) \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0\} \Rightarrow \rho(-\mathbf{A}) \supset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re} \lambda < k\theta\}.$$

Therefore, the operator \mathbf{A} is a generator of a C_0 -semigroup [4, 5] $\mathcal{T}(t) = \exp\{t\mathbf{A}\}$, $t \geq 0$ and

$$|\mathcal{T}(t)|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \exp(-k\theta t), \quad t \geq 0.$$

On the space \mathcal{H} norms $|\mathbf{x}|_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ are equivalent and the inequality

$$\|\mathcal{T}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \operatorname{const} \cdot \exp(-k\theta t), \quad t \geq 0$$

holds for some positive constant. \square

³Obviously, the operator $(-\mathbf{A})$ is m-accretive as well.

Corollary 2.3. *Under the conditions of the theorem 2.2 for all $\mathbf{x}_0 = (u_1, u_0)^\top \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{A})$ vector-function*

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} w(t) \\ u(t) \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{T}(t)\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{A})$$

satisfies the first order differential equation (2.2). $u(t)$ satisfies the second-order differential equation (2.1) with the initial conditions (0.2) and an inequality

$$\|u(t)\|_1^2 + \|u'(t)\|^2 \leq \text{const} \cdot \exp\{-2k\theta t\} (\|u_0\|_1^2 + \|u_1\|^2)$$

holds for all $t \geq 0$.

Consider now a more strong assumption on the operator D :

(C) $D \in \mathcal{L}(H_1, H_{-1})$ and

$$\delta = \inf_{x \in H_1, x \neq 0} \frac{\text{Re}(Dx, x)_{-1,1}}{\|x\|_1^2} > 0.$$

It is easy to show that the assumption (C) implies (B) and $\beta > a_0\delta$.

By $\|\tilde{S}\|$ and $\|D_2\|$ denote norms of the bounded operators $\tilde{S} \in \mathcal{L}(H_1, H_{-1})$ and $D_2 \in \mathcal{L}(H_1, H_{-1})$. Then for all $x \in H_1$

$$\|\tilde{S}x\|_{-1} \leq \|\tilde{S}\| \cdot \|x\|_1, \quad \|D_2x\|_{-1} \leq \|D_2\| \cdot \|x\|_1$$

Theorem 2.4. *Let the assumptions (A) and (C) are fulfilled and for some $k \in (0, \beta)$ and some $p, q > 0$ with $p + q \leq 1$*

$$\omega'_1 = a_0 \left(\frac{\delta}{k} - \frac{1}{4pk^2} \|\tilde{S}\|^2 - \frac{1}{4q} \|D_2\|^2 \right) \geq 1$$

Then the operator \mathbf{A} is a generator of a C_0 -semigroup $\mathcal{T}(t) = \exp\{t\mathbf{A}\}$ ($t \geq 0$) and

$$\|\mathcal{T}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \text{const} \cdot \exp(-tk\theta')$$

where

$$\theta' = \min \left\{ \frac{\omega'_1 - 1}{2}, \frac{1 - p - q}{\omega_2} \right\} \geq 0$$

and ω_2 is defined by (2.4).

Proof. Consider on Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = H \times H_1$ the scalar product $[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]_{\mathcal{H}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{k} \text{Re}[\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{H}} &= \frac{1}{k} (D_1x_1, x_1)_{-1,1} - \|x_1\|^2 + \|x_2\|_1^2 + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{k} \text{Im}(\tilde{S}x_1, x_2)_{-1,1} - \text{Im}(D_2x_1, x_2)_{-1,1} \end{aligned}$$

(see the proof of the theorem 2.2). Since

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Im}(D_2x_1, x_2)_{-1,1}| &\leq |(D_2x_1, x_2)_{-1,1}| \leq \|D_2x_1\|_{-1} \cdot \|x_2\|_1 \leq \\ &\quad \frac{1}{4q} \|D_2x_1\|_{-1}^2 + q \|x_2\|_1^2 \leq \frac{1}{4q} \|D_2\|^2 \cdot \|x_1\|_1^2 + q \|x_2\|_1^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k} |\text{Im}(\tilde{S}x_1, x_2)_{-1,1}| &\leq \left| \left(\frac{1}{k} \tilde{S}x_1, x_2 \right)_{-1,1} \right| \leq \left\| \frac{1}{k} \tilde{S}x_1 \right\|_{-1} \cdot \|x_2\|_1 \leq \\ &\quad \frac{1}{4p} \left\| \frac{1}{k} \tilde{S}x_1 \right\|_{-1}^2 + p \|x_2\|_1^2 \leq \frac{1}{4pk^2} \|\tilde{S}\|^2 \cdot \|x_1\|_1^2 + p \|x_2\|_1^2 \end{aligned}$$

and taking into account $(D_1x, x)_{-1,1} \geq \delta\|x\|_1^2$ and $\|x\|_1^2 \geq a_0\|x\|^2$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{k} \operatorname{Re}[\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{H}} &\geq \frac{1}{k}(D_1x_1, x_1)_{-1,1} - \|x_1\|^2 - \\ &\quad \frac{\|\tilde{S}\|^2}{4pk^2} \cdot \|x_1\|_1^2 - \frac{\|D_2\|^2}{4q} \cdot \|x_1\|_1^2 + (1-p-q)\|x_2\|_1^2 \geq \\ &\quad \left(\frac{\delta}{k} - \frac{\|\tilde{S}\|^2}{4pk^2} - \frac{\|D_2\|^2}{4q}\right) \|x_1\|_1^2 - \|x_1\|^2 + (1-p-q)\|x_2\|_1^2 \geq \\ &\quad (\omega'_1 - 1)\|x_1\|^2 + (1-p-q)\|x_2\|_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.5) we finally have

$$-\frac{1}{k} \operatorname{Re}[\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{H}} \geq \theta'[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Thus an operator $(-\mathbf{A} - k\theta'I)$ is m -accretive (since $0 \in \rho(\mathbf{A})$) and

$$\rho(-\mathbf{A}) \supset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re} \lambda < k\theta'\}.$$

Therefore, the operator \mathbf{A} is a generator of a C_0 -semigroup [4, 5] $\mathcal{T}(t) = \exp\{t\mathbf{A}\}$ ($t \geq 0$) and

$$|\mathcal{T}(t)|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \exp(-k\theta't), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Since the norms $|\mathbf{x}|_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ are equivalent then we have an inequality

$$\|\mathcal{T}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \operatorname{const} \cdot \exp(-k\theta't), \quad t \geq 0$$

for some positive constant. \square

Corollary 2.5. *Under the conditions of the theorem 2.4 for all $\mathbf{x}_0 = (u_1, u_0)^\top \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{A})$ a vector-valued function*

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} w(t) \\ u(t) \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{T}(t)\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{A})$$

satisfies the first order differential equation (2.2). $u(t)$ satisfies the second-order differential equation (2.1) with an initial conditions (0.2) and the inequality

$$\|u(t)\|_1^2 + \|u'(t)\|^2 \leq \operatorname{const} \cdot \exp\{-2k\theta't\} \left(\|u_0\|_1^2 + \|u_1\|^2 \right)$$

holds for all $t \geq 0$.

3. RELATED SPECTRAL PROBLEM

Let us consider a quadric pencil associated with the differential equation (0.1)

$$L(\lambda) = \lambda^2 I + \lambda D + A \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Since $D : H_1 \rightarrow H_{-1}$ it is more naturally to consider an extension of pencil

$$\tilde{L}(\lambda) = \lambda^2 I + \lambda D + \tilde{A}$$

mapping H_1 to H_{-1} . Moreover, $\tilde{L}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{L}(H_1, H_{-1})$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 3.1. The resolvent set of the pencil $\tilde{L}(\lambda)$ is defined as

$$\rho(\tilde{L}) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \exists \tilde{L}^{-1}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{L}(H_{-1}, H_1)\}$$

The spectrum of the pencil is $\sigma(\tilde{L}) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(\tilde{L})$.

In [7, 16] it was proved that $\sigma(\tilde{L}) = \sigma(\mathbf{A})$ and for $\lambda \neq 0$

$$(\mathbf{A} - \lambda I)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{-1} \left(\tilde{L}^{-1}(\lambda)\tilde{A} - I \right) & -\tilde{L}^{-1}(\lambda) \\ \tilde{L}^{-1}(\lambda)\tilde{A} & -\lambda\tilde{L}^{-1}(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}$$

This result allows to obtain a localization of the pencil's spectrum in a half-plane.

Proposition 3.2. 1. *Under the conditions of the theorem 2.2 the spectrum of the pencil $\tilde{L}(\lambda)$ belongs to a half-plane*

$$\sigma(\tilde{L}) \subseteq \{\operatorname{Re} \leq -k\theta\}.$$

2. *Under the conditions of the theorem 2.4 the spectrum of the pencil $\tilde{L}(\lambda)$ belongs to a half-plane*

$$\sigma(\tilde{L}) \subseteq \{\operatorname{Re} \leq -k\theta'\}.$$

Acknowledgement: the author thanks Prof. Carsten Trunk for fruitful discussions during IWOTA 2009 and Prof. A.A. Shkalikov for discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. D. Aglazin, I. A. Kiiko, *Numerical-analytic investigation of the flutter of a panel of arbitrary shape in a desighn*. J. Appl. Math. Mech. **61** (1997), 171–174
- [2] S. Chen, R. Triggiani, *Proof of extensions of two conjectures on structural damping for elastic systems*. Pac. J. Math. **136**(1) (1989), 15-55
- [3] K. Engel, R. Nagel, *One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 194. Springer, New York, 2000
- [4] M. Haase, *The Functional Calculus for Sectorial Operators*. Birkhäuser, Basel (2006)
- [5] E. Hille, R. S. Phillips, *Functional analysis and semigroups* AMS, 1957
- [6] R. O. Hryniv, A. A. Shkalikov, *Operator models in elasticity theory and hydrodynamics and associated analytic semigroups*. Mosc. Univ. Math. Bull. **54**(5) (1999) , 1 - 10
- [7] R. O. Hryniv, A. A. Shkalikov, *Exponential stability of semigroups related to operator models in mechanics*. Math. Notes **73**(5) (2003), 618–624
- [8] F. Huang, *Some problems for linear elastic systems with damping*. Acta Math. Sci. **10**(3) (1990), 319-326
- [9] A. A. Ilyushin, I. A. Kiiko, *Vibrations of a rectangle plate in a supersonic aerodynamics and the problem of panel flutter*. Moscow Univ. Mech, Bull., **49** (1994), 40–44
- [10] B. Jacob, C. Trunk, *Location of the spectrum of operator matrices which are associated to second order equations*. Oper. Matrices **1** (2007), 45-60
- [11] B. Jacob, C. Trunk, *Spectrum and analyticity of semigroups arising in elasticity theory and hydromechanics*. Semigroup Forum **79** (2009), 79-100
- [12] B. Jacob, K. Morris, C. Trunk, *Minimum-phase infinite-dimensional second-order systems*. IEEE Trans. Autom. Control **52** (2007), 1654-1665
- [13] B. Jacob, C. Trunk, M. Winklmeier, *Analyticity and Riesz basis property of semigroups associated to damped vibrations*. J. Evol. Equ. **8**(2) (2008), 263-281
- [14] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966
- [15] A.S. Markus, *Introduction to the spectral theory of polynomial operator pencils*. Russian Math. Survey **26** (1972) **88** (2000), 100–120.
- [16] A. A. Shkalikov, *Operator pencils arising in elasticity and hydrodynamics: the instability index formula* In: Operator Theory: Adv. and Appl., Vol. 87, Birkhäuser, 1996, pp. 358–385.
- [17] N. Artamonov, *Estimates of solutions of certain classes of second-order differential equations in Hilbert space*. Sbornik Mathematics, **194**:8 (2003), 1113–1123

DEPT. FOR ECONOMETRIC AND MATHEMATICAL METHODS IN ECONOMICS,, MOSCOW STATE INSTITUTE OF INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS (UNIVERSITY), 119454 AV. VERNADSKOGO 76, MOSCOW, RUSSIA

E-mail address: nikita.artamonov@gmail.com