SHORT COMMUNICATION Hyperbolic Approximate Form of the Mayadas-Shatzkes Function

C. R. PICHARD, C. R. TELLIER and A. J. TOSSER

Laboratoire d'Electronique, Université de Nancy-I, C.O. 140, 54037-Nancy-Cedex France.

(Received September 30, 1979)

In order to take into account the effect of grain boundaries scattering on the electronic transport properties of metal films, Mayadas and Shatzkes have proposed a conduction model in which the conductivity σ_{g} of an infinitely thick polycrystalline film is expressed by the following equation:

$$
\sigma_g = \sigma_0 \cdot f(\alpha) \tag{1}
$$

where σ_0 is the bulk conductivity, f is the M-S (Mayadas-Shatzkes) function, and α is a parameter defined by:

$$
\alpha = l_0 \ a_g^{-1} \ r(1-r)^{-1} \tag{2}
$$

where l_0 is the electronic mean free path in the bulk material, $a_{\mathbf{g}}$ is the mean grain diameter and r the electronic reflection coefficient at the grain boundary.

The literal expression of $f(\alpha)$ is complicated and a new approximate form of the M-S function is examined in this paper.

The temperature coefficient of the resistivity β is usually defined² by:

 $\beta = -d \ln \sigma/dT$

where T is temperature, and theoretical calculations^{3,4} can be derived from Eq. (1).

The ratio of grain boundary t.c.r. $\beta_{\mathbf{g}}$ and bulk t.c.r. β_0 is then given by:⁴

$$
\beta_g/\beta_0 = 1 + f(\alpha)^{-1} \alpha \frac{\mathrm{d}f(\alpha)}{\mathrm{d}\alpha} \tag{3}
$$

Experiments on polycrystalline metal films^{5,6} have shown that:

$$
\beta_g/\sigma_g \approx \beta_0/\sigma_0 \tag{4}
$$

Eq. (1), (3) and (4) then give:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}f(\alpha)}{f(\alpha)\left[f(\alpha)-1\right]} \approx \frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{\alpha} \tag{5}
$$

whose solution is:

$$
f^*(\alpha) = (1 + C_1 \alpha)^{-1}
$$
 (6)

where C_1 is a constant.

 $f^*(\alpha)$ is an hyperbolic approximate form of the M-S function $f(\alpha)$.

A perfect fit could be obtained if C_1 could vary with α ; but since a variation ΔC_1 in C_1 induces a variation Δf^* in $f^*(\alpha)$, whose value is derived from Eq. (6):

$$
\frac{\Delta f^*}{f^*(\alpha)} = -\left[1 - f^*(\alpha)\right] \frac{\Delta C_1}{C_1} \tag{7}
$$

the deviation from the ideal value is lowest when $f^*(\alpha)$ takes values near unity, i.e. for lowest values of α^1 , the value which gives a good fit for the higher values of α is chosen. In this case, the value of C_1 is chosen in order that approximate and exact values of the M-S function coincide for α = 10; higher values of α are rarely obtained at room temperature.²

For $C_1 = 1.34$, the deviation is less than 4% for

the α values situated between 0.01 and 10 (Table I). In spherical polar coordinates, the conductivity

 $\sigma_{\rm g}$ is expressed by:⁷

$$
\sigma_g/\sigma_0 = \frac{3}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\sin^3 \theta \cos^2 \phi}{1 + l_0 \cdot l^{-1}} d\phi
$$

 $\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{\pi} d\phi \int_0^{\pi} \frac{1 + I_0 I_0 I_0}{1 + I_0 I_0 I_0} d\phi$
he mean free path due to grain bour
g that *l* is independent of θ and ϕ , t where l is the mean free path due to grain boundary scattering.

Assuming that l is independent of θ and ϕ , then:

$$
\sigma_g/\sigma_0 = \frac{1}{1 + l_0 \cdot l^{-1}}
$$
 (8)
Introducing Eq. (6) in Eq. (1) and comparing with

Eq. (8) yields:

$$
(l/l_0)^{-1} = C_1 \alpha \tag{9}
$$

TABLE Comparison between the Mayadas-Shatzkes function, f, and the hyperbolic approximation, f*.

α	$C_1 \alpha$	$1+C_1\alpha$	f*	
0.01	0.0134	1.0134	0.9868	0.985286
0.05	0.067	1.067	0.9372	0.931358
0.1	0.134	1.134	0.8818	0.872806
0.5	0.67	1.67	0.5888	0.588000
	1.34	2.34	0.42735	0.420558
2	2.68	3.68	0.2717	0.268837
3	4.02	5.02	0.1992	0.197752
4	5.36	6.36	0.1572	0.156438
5	6.7	7.7	0.1299	0.129416
10	13.4	14.4	0.0694	0.069461

In the M-S model the exact expression is [Mayadas and Shatzkes¹, Eq. (9)]:

$$
(1/l_0)_{\text{ex}}^{-1} = \frac{\alpha}{\tau} \frac{k_F}{|k_x|} \tag{10}
$$

where τ is the electronic relaxation time in the bulk
material, *k* the wave vector, the index *E* and x being material, k the wave vector, the index F and x being, respectively, related to the Fermi surface and the x-direction.

Comparing Eqs. (9) and (10) shows that the approximate hyperbolic form $f^*(\alpha)$ is obtained when assuming that the scattering is an isotropic process.

Approximate hyperbolic forms of the M-S function can be obtained under the assumption of an isotropic scattering at the grain boundary; slight deviations from the exact M-S values are obtained.

REFERENCES

- 1. A. F. Mayadas and M. Shatzkes, Phys. Rev. B, 1 (1970) 1382-1389.
- 2. K. Chopra, Thin Film Phenomena, McGraw Hill, New York (1965).
- 3. E. E. Mola and J. M. Heras, Thin Solid Films, 18 (1973) $137 - 144.$
- 4. C. R. Tellier and A. J. Tosser, Thin Solid Films, 44 (1977) 141-147.
- 5. C. R. Tellier, C. Boutrit and A. J. Tosser, Thin Solid Films, 44 (1977) 201-208.
- 6. C. R. Pichard, C. R. Tellier and A. J. Tosser, J. Phys. D, 12 (1979) 101-103.
- 7. E. H. Sondheimer, $Adv. Phys., 1 (1952) 1-42.$