

# On the existence of a unique periodic solution to a second-order p-Laplacian equation with deviating argument

Liu Bingzhuo, Che Xiaofei, Chen Chunxiang

Department of Mathematics China University of Mining and Technology, Jiangsu Xuzhou (221116)

E-mail: [tuteng3839@163.com](mailto:tuteng3839@163.com) [cxfsx0401@126.com](mailto:cxfsx0401@126.com) [ccxxsw@sina.com](mailto:ccxxsw@sina.com)

## Abstract

In this paper, we study a kind of Liénard type p-Laplacian equation with deviating arguments as follows  $(\varphi_p(x'(t)))' + f(x(t))x'(t) + g(t, x(t - \tau(t, |x|_\infty))) = e(t)$ . A new result on the existence and uniqueness of periodic solutions of this equation is given by Mawhin continuation theorem and some new techniques.

**Keywords:** Periodic solutions; Existence and uniqueness; Mawhin continuation theory; p-Laplacian.

## 1 Introduction

In this present paper, we study the existence and uniqueness of periodic solutions of the following Liénard type p-Laplacian non-autonomous equation with deviating arguments

$$(\varphi_p(x'(t)))' + f(x(t))x'(t) + g(t, x(t - \tau(t, |x|_\infty))) = e(t) \tag{1.1}$$

or an equivalent system:

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) = |y(t) - \psi(x(t))|^{q-1} \operatorname{sgn}(y(t) - \psi(x(t))), \\ y'(t) = -g(t, x(t - \tau(t, |x|_\infty))) + e(t), \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

Where  $p, q > 1, 1/p + 1/q = 1; \varphi_p : R \rightarrow R, \varphi_p(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$  is a one-dimensional p-Laplacian;

$\psi(x) = \int_0^x f(u)du, y(t) = \varphi_p(x'(t))\psi(x(t)); f, e \in C(R, R), g \in C(R^2, R), g(t, x), e(t)$  are T-periodic functions with respect to t,  $T > 0$ .

As we know, Liénard equation appears in a number of physical modes and is always used to describe fluid mechanical and nonlinear elastic mechanical phenomena [1~5].

For example, In [1], Huang and Xiang studied the following type of Duffing equation with a single constant deviating argument

$$x''(t) + g(x(t - \tau)) = p(t). \tag{1.3}$$

In [2], Ma studied a kind of delay Duffing equation of type

$$x''(t) + m^2 x(t) + g(x(t - \tau)) = p(t). \tag{1.4}$$

In [3], Shipeng Lu and Weigao Ge considered Periodic solutions of a kind Liénard equation with a deviating argument

$$x''(t) + f(x(t))x'(t) + g(x(t - \tau(t))) = e(t). \tag{1.5}$$

On the other hand, some other p-Laplacian equation also received much attention lately. In [6], Yong Wang, Xianzhi Dai and Xiaoxu Xia studied Liénard type p-Laplacian non-autonomous equation as follows

$$(\varphi_p(x'(t)))' + f(x(t))x'(t) + g(t, x(t)) = e(t). \tag{1.6}$$

As far as we know, there exist much fewer results on the existence and unique of periodic solution of (1.1) The main difficulty lies in the first term  $(\varphi_p(x'(t)))'$  of (1.1) (the p-Laplacian

operator  $\varphi_p : R \rightarrow R, \varphi_p(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$  is nonlinear when  $p \neq 2$ ). For example,

In [7], Cheung and Ren first studied the following p-Laplacian Rayleigh delay equation

$$(\varphi_p(x'(t)))' + f(x(t)) + \beta g(x(t - \tau(t))) = e(t). \tag{1.7}$$

In [8], Peng and Zhu considered the Reyleigh type p-Laplacian delay equation

$$(\varphi_p(x'(t)))' + f(x'(t)) + g(x(t - \tau(t))) = e(t). \tag{1.8}$$

The main purpose in this work is to give some sufficient conditions for securing the existence of a unique T-periodic solution to (1.1) by using Mawhin continuation theorem[10,11,12,13,14,15] and some new techniques. Our results are new and extend some preciously known results.

## 2 Main Lemmas

Set  $C_T^1 = \{x \in C^1(R, R), x(t+T) = x(t)\}$ , Which is a Banach space endowed with the norm  $\|\bullet\|$  defined by  $\|x\| = \max\{|x|_\infty, |x'|_\infty\}$ , and

$$|x|_\infty = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |x(t)|, |x'|_\infty = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |x'(t)|, |x|_k = (\int_0^T |x(t)|^k)^{1/k}.$$

Let

$$g_t(t, x(t - \tau(t, |x|_\infty))) = \frac{\partial g(t, x)}{\partial t}, g_x(t, x(t - \tau(t, |x|_\infty))) = \frac{\partial g(t, x)}{\partial x}, \bar{e} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e(t) dt.$$

The following conditions will be used later:

(H1) there exists a constant  $d \geq 0$  such that  $x(g(t, x) - \bar{e}) < 0$  for all  $|x| > d$  and  $t \in R$ .

(H2)  $g \in C^1(R^2, R), \tau(t, |x|_\infty) \equiv \tau, \tau \in R$ , and  $g_x(t, x) < 0$ , for all  $t, x \in R$ .

For the periodic boundary value problem

$$(\varphi_p(x'(t)))' = h(t, x, x'), x(0) = x(T), x'(0) = x'(T), \tag{2.1}$$

where  $h \in C(R^3, R)$  is T-periodic in the first variable. The following continuation theorem can be induced directly from the theory in [16], and is cited as Lemma 1 in [19].

**Lemma 1.(Mawhin [16]).** Let  $B = \{x \in C_T^1 : \|x\| < r\}$  for some  $r > 0$ . Suppose the following two conditions hold:

(i) For each  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  the problem  $(\varphi_p(x'(t)))' = \lambda h(t, x, x')$  has no solution on  $\partial B$ .

(ii) The continuous function  $F$  defined on  $R$  by  $F(a) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T h(t, a, 0) dt$  is such that  $F(-r)F(r) < 0$ .

Then the periodic boundary value problem (2.1) has at least one T-periodic solution on  $\bar{B}$

Consider the homotopic equation of (1.1):

$$(\varphi_p(x'(t)))' + \lambda f(x(t))'x(t) + \lambda g(t, x(t - \tau(t, |x|_\infty))) = \lambda e(t), \lambda \in (0, 1) \tag{2.2}$$

We have the following lemma,

**Lemma 2.** Suppose (H1) holds. Then the set of T-periodic solutions of (2.2) are bounded in  $C_T^1$ .

**Proof.** Let  $S \subset C_T^1$  be the set of T-periodic solutions of (2.2). If  $S = \emptyset$ , the proof is ended.

Suppose  $S \neq \emptyset$ , and let  $x \in S$ . Noticing that  $x(0) = x(T)$ ,  $x'(0) = x'(T)$  and  $\varphi_p(0) = 0$ , it follows from (2.2) that

$$\int_0^T g(t, x(t - \tau(t, |x|_\infty))) dt = T\bar{e}.$$

Which implies that there exists  $t_0 \in [0, T]$  such that

$$g(t_0, x(t_0 - \tau(t_0, |x|_\infty))) = \bar{e}$$

By (H1), we have

$$|x(t_0 - \tau(t_0, |x|_\infty))| \leq d \tag{2.3}$$

Let

$$t_0 - \tau(t_0, |x|_\infty) = kT + \xi$$

where  $k$  is an integer and  $\xi \in [0, T]$ .

Then, for any  $t \in [t_0, t_0 + T]$

$$\begin{aligned} |x(t)| &= \left| x(\xi) + \int_\xi^t x'(s) ds \right| \\ &\leq d + \int_0^T |x'(s)| ds \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$|x|_\infty \leq d + |x'|_1 \tag{2.4}$$

Define  $E_1 = \{t : t \in [0, T], |x(t)| > d\}$ ,  $E_2 = \{t : t \in [0, T], |x(t)| \leq d\}$ . Multiplying two sides of (2.2) with  $x(t)$  and integrating from 0 to  $T$ , by (H1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T |x'(t)|^p dt &= -\int_0^T (\varphi_p(x'(t)))' x(t) dt \\ &= \lambda \int_0^T (g(t, x(T)) - \bar{e}) x(t) dt - \lambda \int_0^T (e(t) - \bar{e}) x(t) dt \\ &= \lambda \int_{E_1} (g(t, x(T)) - \bar{e}) x(t) dt + \lambda \int_{E_2} (g(t, x(T)) - \bar{e}) x(t) dt \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_0^T (e(t) - \bar{e}) x(t) dt \\ &\leq \lambda \int_{E_2} (g(t, x(T)) - \bar{e}) x(t) dt - \lambda \int_0^T (e(t) - \bar{e}) x(t) dt \\ &\leq \left( \max_{t \in [0, T], |x| < d} (g(t, x) - \bar{e}) + |e - \bar{e}|_\infty \right) T |x|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

Let  $M_0 = \left( \max_{t \in [0, T], |x| < d} (g(t, x) - \bar{e}) + |e - \bar{e}|_\infty \right) T$ . Then we obtain

$$|x'|_p \leq M_0^{1/p} |x|_\infty^{1/p}. \tag{2.5}$$

Let  $q > 1$  such that  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ . Then by the holder inequality we have

$$|x'|_1 \leq |x'|_p |1|_q = T^{1/q} |x'|_p \tag{2.6}$$

By (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), we can get

$$|x'|_1 \leq T^{1/q} M_0^{1/p} (d + |x'|_1)^{1/p}.$$

Which yields that there exists  $M_1 > 0$  such that  $|x'|_1 \leq M_1$  since  $p > 1$ , and this together with (2.4) implies that

$$|x|_\infty \leq d + M_1. \tag{2.7}$$

Meanwhile, there exists  $\bar{t}_0 \in [0, T]$  such that  $x'(\bar{t}_0) = 0$  since  $x(0) = x(T)$ . Then by (2.2) we have, for  $t \in [\bar{t}_0, \bar{t}_0 + T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_p(x'(t))| &= \left| \int_{\bar{t}_0}^t (\varphi_p(x'(s)))' ds \right| \\ &= \lambda \left| \int_{\bar{t}_0}^t (f(x(s))x'(s) + g(s, x(s - \tau(s), |x|_\infty))) + e(s) ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^T (|f(x(s))||x'(s)| + |g(s, x(s - \tau(s), |x|_\infty))| + |e(s)|) ds \\ &\leq FM_1 + (G + |e|_\infty)T \end{aligned}$$

where  $F = \max\{|f(x)| : |x| \leq d + M_1\}$ ,  $G = \max\{|g(t, x)| : t \in [0, T], |x| \leq d + M_1\}$ . So we obtain

$$|x'|_\infty = \max_{t \in [0, T]} \left\{ |\varphi_p(x'(t))|^{1/(p-1)} \right\} \leq (FM_1 + (G + |e|_\infty)T)^{1/(p-1)}.$$

Let  $M = \max\{d + M_1, (FM_1 + (G + |e|_\infty)T)^{1/(p-1)}\}$ . Then  $\|x\| \leq M$ . This completes the proof.

**Lemma 3.** Suppose (H2) holds, if (1.1) has at most one T-periodic solutions.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $x_1(t)$  and  $x_2(t)$  are two T-periodic solutions of (1.1). Then, from (1.2), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} x_i'(t) = |y_i(t) - \psi(x_i(t))|^{q-1} \operatorname{sgn}(y_i(t) - \psi(x_i(t))), \\ y_i'(t) = -g(t, x_i(t - \tau)) + e(t), i = 1, 2. \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

Setting

$$v(t) = x_1(t) - x_2(t), \quad u(t) = y_1(t) - y_2(t). \tag{2.9}$$

It follows from (2.8) that

$$\begin{cases} v'(t) = |y_1(t) - \psi(x_1(t))|^{q-1} \operatorname{sgn}(y_1(t) - \psi(x_1(t))) - |y_2(t) - \psi(x_2(t))|^{q-1} \operatorname{sgn}(y_2(t) - \psi(x_2(t))), \\ u'(t) = -[g(t, x_1(t - \tau)) - g(t, x_2(t - \tau))]. \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

Now, we prove that

$$u(t) \leq 0 \text{ for all } t \in R.$$

Contrarily, in view of  $u \in C^2[0, T]$  and  $u(t) = u(t + T)$  for all  $t \in R$ , we obtain

$$\max_{t \in R} u(t) > 0.$$

Then, there exists  $t^* \in R$  (for convenience, we can choose  $t^* \in [0, T]$ ) such that

$$u(t^*) = \max_{t \in [0, T]} u(t) = \max_{t \in R} u(t) > 0,$$

which implies that

$$u'(t^*) = -[g(t^*, x_1(t^* - \tau)) - g(t, x_2(t^* - \tau))] = 0 \tag{2.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} u''(t^*) &= -[g_t(t, x_1(t - \tau)) + g_x(t, x_1(t - \tau))x_1'(t)] \Big|_{t=t^*} \\ &\quad + [g_t(t, x_2(t - \tau)) + g_x(t, x_2(t - \tau))x_2'(t)] \Big|_{t=t^*} \\ &= -[g_t(t^*, x_1(t^* - \tau)) + g_x(t^*, x_1(t^* - \tau))x_1'(t^*)] \\ &\quad + [g_t(t, x_2(t^* - \tau)) + g_x(t, x_2(t^* - \tau))x_2'(t^*)] \\ &\leq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Since  $g_x(t, x) < 0$  for all  $t \in R$ , from (2.10) and (2.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(t^* - \tau) &= x_2(t^* - \tau) \\ g(t^*, x_1(t^* - \tau)) &= g(t^*, x_2(t^* - \tau)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u''(t^*) &= -g_x(t^*, x_1(t^* - \tau))[x_1'(t^*) - x_2'(t^*)] \\ &= -g_x(t^*, \tau)[|y_1(t) - \psi(x_1(t))|^{q-1} \operatorname{sgn}(y_1(t) - \psi(x_1(t))) \\ &\quad - |y_2(t) - \psi(x_2(t))|^{q-1} \operatorname{sgn}(y_2(t) - \psi(x_2(t)))] \\ &= -g_x(t^*, \tau)[|y_1(t) - \psi(x_1(t))|^{q-1} \operatorname{sgn}(y_1(t) - \psi(x_1(t))) \\ &\quad - |y_2(t) - \psi(x_1(t))|^{q-1} \operatorname{sgn}(y_2(t) - \psi(x_1(t)))] \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

In view of

$$-g_x(t^*, \tau) > 0, u(t^*) = y_1(t^*) - y_2(t^*) > 0 \tag{2.14}$$

It follows from (2.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} u''(t^*) &= -g_x(t^*, \tau)[|y_1(t) - \psi(x_1(t))|^{q-1} \operatorname{sgn}(y_1(t) - \psi(x_1(t))) \\ &\quad - |y_2(t) - \psi(x_1(t))|^{q-1} \operatorname{sgn}(y_2(t) - \psi(x_1(t)))] \\ &> 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

which contradicts (2.12). This contradiction implies that

$$u(t) = y_1(t) - y_2(t) \leq 0 \text{ for all } t \in R$$

By using a similar argument, we can also show that

$$y_2(t) - y_1(t) \leq 0 \text{ for all } t \in R$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$y_1(t) \equiv y_2(t) \text{ for all } t \in R$$

Then, from (2.10), we get

$$g(t, x_1(t - \tau)) = g(t, x_2(t - \tau))$$

again from  $g_x(t, x) < 0$ , which implies that

$$x_1(t) \equiv x_2(t) \text{ for all } t \in R$$

Hence

$$(\varphi_p(x'(t)))' + f(x(t))'x(t) + g(t, x(t-\tau)) = e(t)$$

has at most one T-periodic solution. The proof of lemma 3 is now completed.

### 3 Main Results

Now we are in the position to give our main results.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose (H1) hold. Then (1.1) has at least a T-periodic solution.

**Proof.** Set

$$h(t, x(t), x(t-\tau(t, |x|_\infty)), x'(t)) = -f(x(t))'x(t) - g(t, x(t-\tau(t, |x|_\infty))) + e(t). \quad (3.1)$$

then (2.2) is equivalent to the following equation

$$(\varphi_p(x'(t)))' = h(t, x(t), x(t-\tau(t, |x|_\infty)), x'(t)) \quad (3.2)$$

By Lemma 2, there exists a constant  $r > d$  such that, for any T-periodic solution  $x(t)$  of (3.2)

$$\|x\| < r \quad (3.3)$$

Set

$$B = \{x : x \in C_T^1, \|x\| < r\} \quad (3.4)$$

By (3.1), we know that (3.2) has no solution on  $\partial B$  as  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , so condition (i) of Lemma 1 is satisfied. By the definition of  $F$  in Lemma 1 we get

$$F(a) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T h(t, a, 0) dt = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T [e(t) - g(t, a)] dt = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T [\bar{e} - g(t, a)] dt$$

This together with (H1) yields that  $F(r)F(-r) < 0$ , condition (ii) of Lemma 1 is satisfied.

Therefore, it follows from Lemma that there exists a T-periodic solution  $x(t)$  of (1.1)

**Theorem 2.** Suppose (H1) and (H2) hold. Then

$$(\varphi_p(x'(t)))' + f(x(t))'x(t) + g(t, x(t-\tau)) = e(t) \quad (3.5)$$

has a unique T-periodic solution.

**Proof.** If (H1) and (H2) hold, it follows from Lemm3 and Theorem1 that (3.5) exists a unique T-periodic solution.

### 4 Example

**Example1.** Let  $p = \sqrt{2}$ ,  $g(t, x) = -1/(100 + \cos^2 t)|x|^{p-2}x$  for all  $t \in R, x > 0$  and

$g(t, x) = -x^{32}(x-1)$  for a ll  $t \in R, x \leq 0$ . Then, t he f ollowing L ienard t ypep-Laplacian equation with a deviating argument

$$(\varphi_p(x'(t)))' + x^4(t)x'(t) + g(t, x(t-|\cos(t)|)) = \cos t$$

Has at least one  $2\pi$ -periodic solution.

### References

- [1] Hang X iankai, X iang Z higu, O n the e xistence o f  $2\pi$ -periodic s olutions o f D uffing t ype equation  $x''(t) + g(x(t-\tau)) = p(t)$ , Chinese Sci.Bill.39(1)(1994)201-203, in Chinese.
- [2] Ma Shiwang, Wang Zhicheng, Yu Jianshe, Coincidence degree and periodic solutions of Duffing equations, Nonlinear Anal. 34(1998)443-460.
- [3] S hipeng L u, Wei gao G e, P eriodic s olutions of a ki nd Lienard e quation w ith a d eviating a rgument, J.Math.Anal.Appl.289(2004)231-243.
- [4] Shipeng Lu, Weigao Ge, Periodic solutions for a kind of second order differential equation with multiple

deviating arguments, Appl. Math. Comput.146(2003)195-209.

[5] Bing Liu, Periodic solutions of a nonlinear second-order differential equation with deviating argument, J.Math.Anal.Appl.309(2005)313-321.

[6] Yong Wang, Xianzhong Dai, Xiaoxu Xia, On the existence of a unique periodic solution to a Liénard type  $p$ -Laplacian non-autonomous equation, Nonlinear Anal. Doi:10.1016/j.na.2008.10.006.

[7] Wang-Sum Cheung, Jingli Ren, Periodic solutions for  $p$ -Laplacian Rayleigh equation, Nonlinear Anal. TMA65(2006)2003-2012.

[8] Shiguo Peng, Siming Zhu, periodic solutions for  $p$ -Laplacian Rayleigh equation with a deviating argument, Nonlinear Anal. TMA67(2007)138-146.

[9] Shiping Lu, New results on the existence of periodic solutions to a  $p$ -Laplacian equation with a deviating argument, J.Math.Anal.Appl.336(2007)1107-1123.

[10] B. Liu, Solvability of multi-point boundary value problem at resonance (IV), Appl. Math. Comput. 143 (2003) 275-299.

[11] Zengji Du, Xiaojie Lin, Weigao Ge, on a third-order multi-point boundary value problem at resonance. J.Math.Anal.Appl.302(2005)217-229.

[12] J. Mawhin, Topological degree and boundary value problems for nonlinear differential equations, in: M. Furi, P. Zecca (Eds.), Topological Methods for Ordinary Differential Equations, in: Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1537, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1993, pp. 74-142.

[13] J. Mawhin, Topological degree methods in nonlinear boundary value problems, in: N SFCBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979.

[14] MAWHIN J., Topological degree and boundary value problems for nonlinear differential equations, in Topological methods for ordinary differential equations (Edited by P. M. FITZPATRICK, M. MARTELLI, J. MAWHIN, R.NUSSBAUM), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1537, Springer Verlag, 74-142 (1991).

[15] GUPTA C.P., A Dirichlet type multi-point boundary value problem for second order ordinary differential equations, Nonlinear Analysis, TMA, 26, 925-931 (1996).

#### Author Brief Introduction:

Bingzhuo Liu

Department of Mathematics

China University of Mining and Technology

Xuzhou 221118, Jiangsu, PR China

The direction of ordinary differential equations