

A NEW CY ELLIPTIC FIBRATION AND TADPOLE CANCELLATION

SERGIO L. CACCIATORI, ANDREA CATTANEO, AND BERT VAN GEEMEN

ABSTRACT. Tadpole cancellation in Sen limits in F-theory was recently studied by Aluffi and Esole. We extend their results, generalizing the elliptic fibrations they used and obtaining a new case of universal tadpole cancellation, at least numerically. We could not find an actual Sen limit having the correct brane content, and we argue that such a limit may not exist. We also give a uniform description of the fibration used by Aluffi and Esole as well as a new, simple, fibration which has non-Kodaira type fibers.

INTRODUCTION

Over the last years, F-theory has provided the most promising connections between string theories and particle physics phenomenology [BHV1, BHV2, HV, HKS, HTV]. It allows a good tunability of the parameters in order to generate realistic physical scenarios, permits the stabilization of the moduli at high mass scales, and maintains a classical Calabi Yau geometry also in presence of nontrivial fluxes. The Klebanov-Stressler mechanism can be implemented in order to face the hierarchy problem. Cosmological models can be accommodated, and the D-branes engineering includes a natural unification. See [D, H] for a review.

However, the theory suffers the drawback of being intrinsically non perturbative, thus making the connection to weak coupling perturbative physics quite hard to realize. Indeed, F-theory has been proposed by Vafa [V] as the strong coupling version of type IIB strings, in a similar way as M-theory is the strong coupling limit of type IIA strings. But whereas S-duality, which interchanges low and strong couplings, maps IIA strings to M-theory and vice versa, IIB string theory is self S-dual. The dynamics of IIB strings is governed by the axion-dilaton field

$$\tau = C_{(0)} + i e^{-\phi}.$$

When this field acquires a constant vacuum expectation value (vev), the string coupling constant is determined by the dilaton as $g_s = e^\phi$. So a weak coupling corresponds to a large value of the imaginary part of the axion-dilaton field: $Im \tau = g_s^{-1}$. S-duality acts on this field as $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ in the usual modular way

$$\tau \longrightarrow \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z}).$$

The idea of Vafa has been to interpret τ as the modulus of an elliptic curve in the same way as scalar fields can appear from the moduli space of compactification varieties in higher dimensional theories. In this case the extra dimensions should then correspond to a family of elliptic

curves parameterized by $\tau(x)$, $x \in M^{1,9}$, the ten dimensional spacetime. One should be able to recover type IIB strings from the compactification over the elliptic curves of a twelve dimensional theory defined over an elliptic fibration, which is F-theory [V]. The actual construction is not so simple because it is not possible to construct a twelve dimensional supergravity with the right Lorentzian signature, so that a chain of dualities must replace the naive twelve dimensional construction. F-theory is thus realized by starting from M-theory over an elliptic fibration. S-duality relates the M-theory to IIA strings on the reduction over one of the cycles of the fibers. T-duality next maps to IIB strings compactified over a circle and finally recovers Lorentz invariance in the large radius limit. We refer to [D] and references therein for more details, and only note that from this construction it follows that IIB string theory compactified on a CY threefold X is equivalent to F-theory over an elliptically fibred CY fourfold Y . In general, the base B of the fibration is not necessarily a CY threefold, but X can be obtained as a double covering $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ of B .

The low energy physics is obtained by including D7- and D3-branes filling the extended spacetime direction and then wrapping four-dimensional cycles and points in the compact fourfold Y . The presence of the branes implies the generation of fluxes that give rise to tadpoles in general, as the total flux should vanish along the compact directions. The tadpoles can be canceled by adding extra fluxes that, however, in general break supersymmetry. In order to save supersymmetry, it is convenient to allow only brane configurations satisfying vanishing tadpole conditions. This is part of the problem: as we said, taking the weak coupling limit corresponds to considering a very large imaginary part of the elliptic modulus τ . However, in F-theory, τ is not constant so that condition can be true for example at the position of a single brane. We can choose which brane can be tuned at the weak coupling limit by means of an S-duality transformation, but this cannot be done for all branes simultaneously. Thus, the weak coupling limit can generically be performed only locally and not globally. This makes computability in F-theory quite a problem from the perturbative point of view.

In order to recover perturbative type IIB string theory on X one has to take a limit, in F-theory, such that the axion-dilaton field becomes constant along the whole base B , with divergent imaginary part. Thus the elliptic fibration acquires an O7-orientifold singularity in B and the D7 branes collapse on the orientifold in X . This is the Sen limit [S1, S2]. It is still the only convincing mechanism to get the limit. Recently Sen limits were studied in [AE2] and [BHT].

This paper is in a sense a sequel (or maybe a footnote) to the paper [AE2] by Aluffi and Esole. In that paper important new insights into Sen limits and tadpole cancellation were obtained. Moreover, new examples of tadpole cancellation were found.

These new examples of tadpole cancellation show that it is important to have detailed descriptions of elliptic fibrations and their degenerations. In this paper we introduce a new family which from many points of view is similar to the E_i , $i = 6, 7, 8$, families used in in [AE2]. As we show in section 1, these three families are all realized as subvarieties of projective plane

bundles $\mathbf{P}E$, where E is a direct sum of three line bundles on the base. Our description of the E_7 fibration in particular is simpler than the original one.

The new family is also of this type. This led us to compute the Chern classes for fibrations of this type in a uniform manner, using and generalizing the methods from [AE2]. These allow us to study universal (i.e. independent of the base) tadpole cancellation in Sen limits in a uniform manner. The results are, in a sense, disappointing: there is only one new case where one could have tadpole cancellation. The fibration involved happens to be the new fibration we introduced. However, it seems to be impossible to get the correct brane configuration in the Sen limit which is required for tadpole cancellation. It would be interesting to understand if this means that this configuration is thus intrinsically strongly coupled or if there exists a generalization of Sen's mechanism which provides a geometric realization of the weak coupling limit for this new example.

The new family has another feature: even when the total space of the fibration is smooth, it can have singular fibers which are not of Kodaira type whenever the base has dimension at least two. This is not a contradiction to anything, as Kodaira's classification applies only to elliptic fibrations over a curve. Till recently (in particular, before the appearance of [EY]), such fibers have not received much attention and they are still problematic in F-theory. For example, in [MSS1, MSS2] and [DMSS] the authors look for suitable geometric constructions in order to avoid non-Kodaira fibers. Indeed, in such fibers (which in general can appear over points or along curves in the three dimensional base) the spectral cover becomes singular and the usual techniques for reading the physical spectrum are not available anymore. However, we hope that a better understanding of non-Kodaira fibers will lead to new insights in physics. The fact that the new family is so simple (it is defined by just one equation in a \mathbf{P}^2 -bundle) and that it has an even simpler local equation near a non-Kodaira fiber, should make it very suitable for further study.

In a sense, our family is not new at all. If the base is a toric variety, then the projective plane bundle is also a toric variety. Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces of toric varieties have been studied extensively in the last decades. As far as we know, no special attention has been paid to this example till now however.

In the Appendix we review Sen's limit. The limit fibration is shown to have a natural orbifold interpretation. It has a double cover which is the product of the Calabi-Yau double cover of the base and a fixed singular elliptic curve. In Sen's example of a weak coupling limit, the local monodromy around two I_1 fibers is the inverse of the one of an I_4^* fibre. The monodromy is essential for identifying the branes in the weak coupling limit. We give a toy model which has this monodromy. It is a fibration over the projective line with three singular fibers.

1. A NEW MODEL FOR THE E_7 FAMILY

1.1. Aluffi and Esole showed in [AE2] that certain elliptic fibrations, the E_6 , E_7 and E_8 families, are quite useful in the study of tadpole cancellation. The E_6 fibration is defined by a

cubic equation in $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L})$, cf. [AE2], eqn. (1) and section 4.2 below. The E_8 fibration can also be given by the Weierstrass model

$$y^2z = x^3 + fxz^2 + gz^3 \quad \text{in } \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 3}), \quad f \in H^0(B, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}), \quad g \in H^0(B, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 3})$$

(although in equation (3) of [AE2] a different ambient bundle is chosen). We show that the E_7 families are hypersurfaces in a \mathbf{P}^2 bundle $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2})$, just like the E_6 and E_8 family. This uniform description of these three fibrations is quite helpful in (re)checking the tadpole cancellation, see section 4.3.

1.2. The E_7 family. Let B be a complex manifold, and let \mathcal{L} be a line bundle on B . An E_7 -fibration over B is defined by a quartic equation

$$y^2 = x^4 + ex^2z^2 + fxz^3 + gz^4$$

with $(z : x : y)$ coordinates on $\mathbf{P}_{1,1,2}(\mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2})$. The coefficients are global sections of the line bundles:

$$e \in H^0(B, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}), \quad f \in H^0(B, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 3}), \quad g \in H^0(B, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 4}).$$

A quartic equation actually has more terms, as one can add x^2y, xyz, x^3z, z^2y with suitable coefficients. However, a general quartic equation can be transformed in the one above. If one chooses $\mathcal{L} = \omega_B^{-1}$, the equation defines a Calabi-Yau variety.

1.3. A \mathbf{P}^3 bundle. The weighted projective plane $\mathbf{P}_{1,1,2}$ is isomorphic to a quadric cone in \mathbf{P}^3 . The E_7 fibration can also be obtained as the intersection of a fixed (singular) quadric fibration with another quadric fibration inside a \mathbf{P}^3 -bundle over B . The isomorphism is induced by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{1,1,2}(\mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}) &\longrightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}), \\ (z : x : y) &\longmapsto (X_0 : \dots : X_3) := (z^2 : xz : x^2 : y). \end{aligned}$$

The image of the map is defined by two quadrics

$$X_0X_2 - X_1^2 = 0, \quad X_3^2 = X_2^2 + eX_1^2 + fX_0X_1 + gX_0^2,$$

the first defines the image of the weighted projective plane bundles.

1.4. The new model. The idea is now to project this fibration from the (constant) section $(0 : 0 : 1 : 1)$ to a \mathbf{P}^2 bundle. Such a projection is

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}) \dashrightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}),$$

where, recycling the coordinates x, y, z :

$$(X_0 : X_1 : X_2 : X_3) \longmapsto (z : x : y) := (X_0 : X_1 : X_2 - X_3).$$

The image of the intersection of the two quadric bundles is the family of cubic curves

$$gz^3 + fz^2y + ezy^2 + 2x^2y - zy^2 = 0 \quad (\subset \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2})).$$

This is our new description of the E_7 family.

The inverse of the projection on this curve is the map

$$(z : x : y) \longmapsto (z^2 : xz : x^2 : x^2 - yz).$$

We refer to [C], §8 for these computations.

2. A NEW FAMILY

2.1. As the dimension of the base is typically three for applications to F -theory, Kodaira's standard classification of singular fibers of an elliptic fibration over a curve can no longer be applied. New fiber types can arise over codimension two subvarieties of the base. In this section we provide an example with non-Kodaira type fibers. The associated physics is not yet clear to us, but see the recent preprint [EY]. In section 4.3 we will see that this family is of particular interest for a strong form of tadpole cancellation.

2.2. **Equations.** Our new example consists of the elliptic fibrations $\phi : Y \rightarrow B$ defined by a cubic equation $F = 0$, where

$$F = a_0x^2y + a_1x^2z + b_0xy^2 + b_1xyz + b_2xz^2 + c_0y^3 + c_1y^2z + c_2yz^2 + c_3z^3$$

with $a_i \in H^0(B, \mathcal{O})$, $b_i \in H^0(B, \mathcal{L})$ and $c_i \in H^0(B, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2})$,

$$(1) \quad Y : (F = 0) \subset Z := \mathbf{P}E, \quad E := \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{O}_B.$$

Let H be the class of $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$, let L be the class of \mathcal{L} and let $p : Z \rightarrow B$ be the projection. Then Y has class $3H + 2p^*L$.

Assuming Y to be smooth (this implies $(a_0, a_1) \neq (0, 0)$), there are changes of coordinates such that the equation has the simpler form

$$(2) \quad F := x^2y + b_2xz^2 + c_0y^3 + c_1y^2z + c_2yz^2 + c_3z^3.$$

The fibration Y defined by F has a (constant) section

$$S : B \longrightarrow Y, \quad b \longmapsto ((1 : 0 : 0), b).$$

This allows one to put the equation in Weierstrass form, for example using the algorithm given in [C]. A Weierstrass form is $y^2 = x^3 + fx + g$ with

$$\begin{aligned} f &= 36b_2^2c_0 + 36c_1c_3 - 12c_2^2, \\ g &= -144b_2^2c_0c_2 + 54b_2^2c_1^2 - 216c_0c_3^2 + 72c_1c_2c_3 - 16c_2^3. \end{aligned}$$

In general, the discriminant $4f^3 + 27g^2$ is rather complicated, but in case $b_2 = 0$ it reduces to the discriminant of the binary cubic form $c_0y^3 + c_1y^2z + c_2yz^2 + c_3z^3$.

2.3. Smooth fibrations. In case one has one smooth fibration, defined by $F = 0$, any small deformation of the coefficients b_i, c_j will be also be a smooth fibration. We will show that if $c_0 = 0$ and $c_1 = 0$ define two smooth subvarieties of B which intersect transversely, then

$$(3) \quad G = x^2y + c_0y^3 + c_1(y^2z + z^3)$$

defines a smooth fibration.

In fact, assume that $p := (x : y : z) \in p^{-1}(b)$ is a singular point. The x -derivative shows that $xy = 0$ hence $x = 0$ and $c_0y^3 + c_1(y^2z + z^3) = 0$ or we have $y = 0$ and $c_1z^3 = 0$. In the last case, if $y = z = 0$ we get $p = (1 : 0 : 0)$, which is always a smooth point however. Thus if $y = 0$ we must have $c_1 = 0$. The y -derivative is $x^2 + 3c_0y^2 + 2c_1yz$ so if $y = 0$ also $x = 0$ and $p = (0 : 0 : 1) \in p^{-1}(b)$ with $c_1(b) = 0$. As $c_1 = 0$ is assumed to be smooth, there is a (local) derivation ∂ on B such that $(\partial c_1)(b) \neq 0$. Since $(\partial G)(p) = (\partial c_1)(b)$ it follows that a singular point has $y \neq 0$ and $x = 0$. If $z = 0$ we would have $c_0(b) = 0$ and $(\partial c_0)(b)y^3 = 0$ which contradicts smoothness of $c_0 = 0$. Thus $y, z \neq 0$ and we may assume $p = (0 : y : 1)$.

The z -derivative is $c_1(y^2 + 3z^2)$, so if $c_1(b) \neq 0$ then $y = \pm\sqrt{-3}$. Now the x and y derivatives in p show that $c_0(b)y^3 + c_1(b)(y^2 + 1) = 0$ and $3c_0(b)y + 2c_1(b)z = 0$, which is a contradiction. So a singular point of Y is a point of a fiber over $b \in (c_0 = c_1 = 0)$. These varieties intersect transversely, hence there are derivations ∂_i on the base, $i = 1, 2$ where the matrix $(\partial_i c_j)(b)$ has rank two and thus, for at least one of these derivations, $(\partial G)((0 : y : 1), b) \neq 0$.

2.4. Calabi-Yau fibrations. The family Y will be a Calabi-Yau variety if its class $3H + 2p^*L$ in Z is the anticanonical class $-K_Z$ of Z . As $-K_Z = 3H + p^*L + p^*c_1(T_B)$ (cf. [F], B.5.8), this occurs if we choose $L = c_1(B)$. Thus if $\mathcal{L} \cong \omega_B^{-1}$, the inverse of the canonical line bundle on B , we get Calabi-Yau fibrations.

For example, if $B = \mathbf{P}^n$ we take $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(-n - 1)$. Sections $c_0, c_1 \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(2n + 2))$ which give smooth, transversally intersecting subvarieties are for example $c_0 = \sum x_i^{2n+2}$ and $c_1 = \sum 2^i x_i^{2n+2}$ and these give smooth CY fibrations over \mathbf{P}^n with equation G as in section 2.3.

2.5. Non-Kodaira fibers. We consider again the elliptic fibration $\phi : Y \rightarrow B$ given by the equation (3) from section 2.3 and we assume that Y is smooth. The fiber of ϕ over a point $b \in B$ in the codimension two subvariety $c_0(b) = c_1(b) = 0$ is defined by $x^2y = 0$. It is a singular fiber with two irreducible components, which does not occur in Kodaira's list. In fact, Kodaira listed the possible singular fibers in case the base has dimension one. For higher dimensions his methods still apply to the generic points of codimension one subvarieties, but they do not extend to codimension two subvarieties of the base. So, a priori there is no reason not to expect non-Kodaira fibers over codimension two subvarieties. A fibration with non-Kodaira fibers appeared in [GGO], but their nature and physical significance is not clear. We wonder if there is interesting physics associated to non-Kodaira fibers. We will not consider this aspect here, leaving it for future work. See also the recent preprint [EY] for non-Kodaira fibers.

Assuming that $c_0 = 0$ and $c_1 = 0$ are smooth and intersect transversally, we can use c_0, c_1 as part of a coordinate system in a point of intersection. So locally on B we are basically dealing

with the two parameter family

$$x^2y + sy^3 + t(y^2z + z^3) = 0 \quad (\subset \mathbf{P}_{(x:y:z)}^2 \times \mathbf{C}_{(s,t)}^2)$$

near $(s, t) = (0, 0)$. The threefold defined by this equation is smooth, the projection to \mathbf{C}^2 is an elliptic fibration with (constant) section $(1 : 0 : 0)$ and the fiber over $s = t = 0$ is not of Kodaira type. The discriminant and j-invariant are

$$\Delta = t^4(4t^2 + 27s^2), \quad j = 6912 \frac{t^2}{4t^2 + 27s^2}.$$

For $(s, t) \neq (0, 0)$, the singular fibers are of type *IV* (three concurrent lines) if $t = 0$, and they are nodal cubics if $4t^2 + 27s^2 = 0$, this subvariety is the union of two lines defined by $2t \pm \sqrt{-27}s = 0$. Thus the non-Kodaira fiber arises from a collision of three singular Kodaira fibers. In [M2] it is shown that collisions can be avoided at the cost of repeatedly blowing up the base (which will in general imply that a CY fibration is modified in a non-CY fibration). The fibers of the modified fibration will be of Kodaira type.

One should notice that when we restrict the fibration to a smooth curve passing through $(0, 0)$, the fibration restricts to give a singular surface (in fact, else we *would* have a Kodaira fiber over $(0, 0)$). To see this, let us consider for simplicity a line through $(0, 0)$ parametrized by $(s, t) = (au, bu)$. Now the family restricts to the surface defined by $x^2y + u(ay^3 + b(y^2z + z^3)) = 0$ over this line. It is singular in the points (u, x, y) with $u = x = ay^3 + b(y^2z + z^3) = 0$. For general (a, b) one gets three singular points and the surface is minimally desingularized by blowing them up. The resulting Kodaira fiber has type I_0^* . Note that the position of the singular points on the surface depends on a, b .

To deal with all possible (a, b) , one might guess that one could blow up the local family in the line $s = t = x = 0$, but doing so will introduce an exceptional divisor of dimension 2 which will be in the fiber over the point $(0, 0)$. So the fiber dimension jumps and we do not have an elliptic fibration anymore.

2.6. Remark. The second author has obtained various other results on CY elliptic fibrations Y in $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \mathcal{L}_2)$ over a base B . In particular, for $B = \mathbf{P}^2$, all possible line bundles \mathcal{L}_i , the cohomology of Y and the cubic intersection form on $Pic(Y)$ have been determined. These results will appear elsewhere.

3. CHERN CLASSES

3.1. Motivation. Let $\phi : Y \rightarrow B$ be an elliptic fibration. Aluffi and Esole ([AE2], Thm 4.3, see also [AE1], §4.2 for the E_8 -case) found that the push-forward of the total Chern class $c(T_Y)$ of Y along the fibration $\phi : Y \rightarrow B$ is equal to the total Chern class of a codimension one

subvariety C_d in B , up to an integer m , for certain fibrations:

$$\phi_*c(T_Y) = m \cdot c(T_{C_d}),$$

	E_8	E_7	E_6
m	2	3	4
d	6	4	3
(d_1, d_2)	(2, 3)	(1, 2)	(1, 1)

here $C_d \subset B$ is a smooth hypersurface in B , with class dL . Notice that in these three cases $d = d_1 + d_2 + 1$ and that one assumes that $c_1(\mathcal{L}) = c_1(B)$. We will generalize this formula. Although the result is not as concise, it will be very useful for determining the Chern classes of Y and for applications to tadpole cancellation.

3.2. Computing the Chern classes. We recall the method used in [AE2] to obtain the results we just recalled, and then we provide the generalization.

Let Y be a (smooth) Calabi-Yau hypersurface in a bundle of projective planes $Z := \mathbf{P}E$ where E is a rank three vector bundle over a base manifold B . We will assume that $E = \bigoplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d_i}$ is a direct sum of three line bundles on B . Replacing E by $E \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-d_3}$ does not change $\mathbf{P}E$ and we are in the case $E \cong \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d_1} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d_2}$. We write $d_i L := c_1(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes d_i})$ for the first Chern classes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{i} & Z = \mathbf{P}E \\ & \searrow \phi & \downarrow p \\ & & B \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} E \cong \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d_1} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d_2}, \\ L := c_1(\mathcal{L}), \quad H := c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(E)}(1)). \end{array}$$

We will assume that $[Y] = -K_Z$ in $H^*(Z, \mathbf{Q})$, where K_Z is the canonical class of Z , this implies that Y is Calabi-Yau. Moreover, we assume that $c_1(B)$ is a multiple of L , we simply write $c_1(B) = c_1 L$ for some $c_1 \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then

$$-K_Z = 3H + (c_1 + \sum d_i)p^*L, \quad \text{with } c_1(B) = c_1 L.$$

The relative tangent bundle sequence on Z gives:

$$c(T_Z) = c(T_{Z/B})p^*c(T_B) = c(p^*E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(E)}(1))p^*c(T_B) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^3 (1 + d_i p^*L + H) \right) p^*c(T_B).$$

Slightly generalizing the computations in [AE2], proof of Theorem 4.3, omitting i_* we get:

$$c(T_Y) = \left(\frac{c(T_Z)}{1 - K_Z} \right) \Big|_Y = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^3 (1 + d_i p^*L + H)}{1 + 3H + (c_1 + \sum d_i)p^*L} (3H + (c_1 + \sum d_i)p^*L) p^*c(T_B).$$

Note that we put $d_3 = 0$. The general result is that

$$(4) \quad \phi_*(T_Y) = \frac{P}{Q}c(T_B),$$

with polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} P &:= 3L(4c_1 + P_2L + P_3L^2), \\ P_2 &:= 2(-d_1^2 + d_1d_2 - d_2^2 + c_1^2), \\ P_3 &:= -2d_1^3 + 3d_1^2d_2 + 3d_1d_2^2 - 2d_2^3 - 3(d_1^2 - d_1d_2 + d_2^2)c_1 + c_1^3, \\ Q &:= (1 + (c_1 + d_1 - 2d_2)L)(1 + (c_1 + d_1 + d_2)L)(1 + (c_1 - 2d_1 + d_2)L). \end{aligned}$$

To obtain a formula more similar to the one from [AE2], notice that if $c_1 = 1$, so $\mathcal{L} \cong \omega_B^{-1}$, and $d_1d_2(d_1 - d_2) \neq 0$ (so Q is a product of three distinct factors), then this formula implies:

$$\phi_*c(T_Y) = m_p c(T_{C_p}) + m_q c(T_{C_q}) + m_r c(T_{C_r}) \quad \text{with} \quad c(T_{C_d}) = \frac{dL}{1+dL}c(T_B)$$

with integers:

$$p := -2d_1 + d_2 + 1, \quad q := d_1 + d_2 + 1, \quad r := d_1 - 2d_2 + 1,$$

and rational numbers:

$$m_p := \frac{(d_1 - 1)(d_1 - d_2 - 1)}{d_1(d_1 - d_2)}, \quad m_q := \frac{(d_1 + 1)(d_2 + 1)}{d_1d_2}, \quad m_r := -\frac{(d_2 - 1)(d_2 - d_1 - 1)}{d_2(d_1 - d_2)}.$$

The formulas from [AE2], cf. section 3.1 above, can now be derived by simply substituting $c_1 = 1$, $d_1 = 2$, $d_2 = 3$, $c_1 = 1$, $d_1 = 1$, $d_2 = 2$ and $c_1, d_1 = d_2 = 1$ in P, Q for the E_8, E_7 and E_6 case respectively.

The new family we introduced in section 2 has $d_1 = 0, d_2 = 1$, taking also $c_1 = 1$ we get

$$\phi_*c(T_Y) = \frac{3L(4 - 4L^2)}{(1 - L)(1 + 2L)^2}c(T_B) = \frac{12L(1 + L)}{(1 + 2L)^2}c(T_B).$$

3.3. Verifying the formula. Recall the defining relation of the Chern classes of E , where $H := c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}E}(1))$:

$$H^3 = -(p^*c_1(E)H^2 + p^*c_2(E)H + p^*c_3(E)).$$

Note that we don't have alternating signs since, following the convention in physics, $\mathbf{P}E$ denotes the projective bundle of one dimensional subspaces in E rather than codimension one subspaces.

For dimension reasons, $p_*1_Z = 0$ and $p_*H = 0$, moreover $p_*H^2 = 1_B$ and more generally one can define the Segre classes $s_i(E)$ of E by

$$s_i(E) = p_*H^{i+2} \quad (\in H^{2i}(B, \mathbf{Q})).$$

Using the projection formula, $p_*(ap^*b) = (p_*a)b$ for classes a, b on Z and B respectively, we can compute $s_i(E) = p_*H^{i+2}$ by induction from the defining relation:

$$\begin{aligned} s_{i+1}(E) &= -p_*(p^*c_1(E)H^{i+2} - p^*c_2(E)H^{i+1} + p^*c_3(E)H^i) \\ &= -(c_1(E)s_i(E) + c_2(E)s_{i-1}(E) + c_3(E)s_{i-2}(E)). \end{aligned}$$

This implies the following identity in the cohomology ring $\oplus_i H^{2i}(B, \mathbf{Q})$:

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} s_i(E) \right) (1 + c_1(E) + c_2(E) + c_3(E)) = 1.$$

In our case, $E = \oplus \mathcal{L}^{d_i}$ and $L := c_1(\mathcal{L})$, so $1 + c_1(E) + c_2(E) + c_3(E) = \prod (1 + d_i L)$ and

$$p_* H^j = n_{j-2} L^{j-2} \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{1}{\prod (1 + d_i L)} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_j L^j.$$

The formula (4) can now be derived with lengthy computations.

3.4. Euler characteristics of elliptic fibrations. In section 3.2 we expressed $\phi_* c(Y)$, the push-forward of the total Chern class of Y to X , as a rational function multiplied by $c(T_B)$. Let $d = \dim Y$, then the Euler characteristic $\chi(Y)$ of Y is equal to $\int_Y c_d(Y)$ (Gauss-Bonnet) which is the same as $\int_B \phi_* c_d(Y)$.

Thus $\chi(Y)$ is the term of degree $\dim B$ in the product of $P/Q = 1 + a_1 L + a_2 L^2 + \dots$ with $c(T_B) := 1 + c_1(B) + c_2(B) + \dots$ (where L has degree 1 and $c_i(B)$ has degree i). We found:

$$\chi(Y) = -6((d_1^2 - d_1 d_2 + d_2^2)L^2 + 3c_1^2),$$

in case $\dim B = 2$, whereas for $\dim B = 3$ we found (with $c_i = c_i(B)$):

$$\chi(Y) = 27c_1^3 + 12c_1 c_2 + 39(d_1^2 - d_1 d_2 + d_2^2)c_1 L^2 + 3(-2d_1^3 + 3d_1^2 d_2 + 3d_1 d_2^2 - 2d_2^3)L^3.$$

To recover the formula for the E_7 case from [AE2], Proposition 4.2, we substitute $L = c_1$ and $(d_1, d_2) = (1, 2)$, which gives $\chi(Y) = 12c_1(B)c_2(B) + 144c_1(B)^3$. For the new family, with $L = c_1$, we put $(d_1, d_2) = (0, 1)$ and we get $\chi(Y) = -24c_1(B)^2$ and $\chi(Y) = 12c_1(B)c_2(B) + 60c_1(B)^3$ in case $\dim Y = 3, 4$ respectively.

3.5. The push-forward from X to B . In order to check the tadpole relations, we need to understand the push forward along a double cover $\rho : X \rightarrow B$ of Chern classes of subvarieties of X . Here $X \subset \mathcal{L}$ is a subvariety of the total space of the line bundle \mathcal{L} defined by an equation $\xi^2 = h$, where $h \in H^0(B, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2})$. We denote by $\tilde{\rho} : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow B$ the bundle projection, which restricts to ρ on $X \subset \mathcal{L}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathcal{L} \\ & \searrow \rho & \downarrow \tilde{\rho} \\ & & B \end{array}$$

First of all we consider the Chern class of X . From the normal bundle sequence associated to $X \subset \mathcal{L}$ we get $c(T_X) = (c(T_{\mathcal{L}})/c(N_{X/\mathcal{L}}))|_X$. As $N_{X/\mathcal{L}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}(X) \cong \tilde{\rho}^* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}$, we get $c(T_X) =$

$(c(T_{\mathcal{L}})/c(\tilde{\rho}^*\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}))|_X$. The inclusion of the zero section of \mathcal{L} , which we identify with B , gives the relation $c(T_{\mathcal{L}}) = \tilde{\rho}^*c(T_B)c(N_{B/\mathcal{L}})$. As $N_{B/\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L}$ one has $c(T_{\mathcal{L}}) = \tilde{\rho}^*c(T_B)(1 + \tilde{\rho}^*L)$ thus:

$$c(T_X) = \left(\frac{c(T_{\mathcal{L}})}{c(N_{X/\mathcal{L}})} \right) |_X = \left(\frac{\tilde{\rho}^*c(T_B)(1 + \tilde{\rho}^*L)}{1 + 2\tilde{\rho}^*L} \right) |_X = \frac{1 + \rho^*L}{1 + 2\rho^*L} \rho^*c(T_B).$$

As ρ is a 2:1 map, the projection formula implies that $\rho_*\rho^*Z = 2Z$ for any cohomology class Z on B . Thus if $D \subset X$ is a smooth hypersurface in X of class $a\rho^*L$ then (cf. [AE2], 4.3.3)

$$\rho_*c(T_D) = \rho_* \left(\frac{a\rho^*L}{1 + a\rho^*L} c(T_X) \right) = \frac{2aL(1 + L)}{(1 + aL)(1 + 2L)} c(T_B).$$

4. TADPOLE CANCELLATION

4.1. In [AE2], Aluffi and Esole established general results on tadpole cancellation. We recall one of their examples to illustrate the general approach. See also the Appendix for more background on Sen limits. In section 4.3 we use our results on Chern classes for elliptic fibrations in \mathbf{P}^2 -bundles to find all numerical examples of generalized strong tadpole cancellation for such fibrations in the case that $c_1(B) = c_1L$ for a rational number c_1 .

There are only three cases, two of which were already done in [AE2]. The other case occurs for the new family we introduced in section 2. However, we could not find a Sen limit of such a family which has the correct limiting geometry and we argue that such a family may not exist.

4.2. **The E_6 -example from [AE2].** The E_6 -fibration (cf. [AE2], §2) over a complex manifold B is defined by an equation

$$y^3 + x^3 - dxyz - exz^2 - fyz^2 - gz^3$$

where $(z : x : y)$ are coordinates on the fibers of the projective plane bundle $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L})$,

$$d \in H^0(B, \mathcal{L}), \quad e, f \in H^0(B, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}), \quad g \in H^0(B, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 3}).$$

This family has three (constant) sections: $(x : y : z) = (1 : \rho : 0)$ with $\rho^3 = -1$.

In [AE2], §3.4 the following Sen limit is considered:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} d = 6k \\ e = 9k^2 + 3h \\ f = 9k^2 + 3h + C\phi \\ g = 2k(5k^2 + 3h) + C(\gamma + k\phi) \end{array} \right. \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} k \in H^0(B, \mathcal{L}) \\ h, \phi \in H^0(B, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}) \\ \gamma \in H^0(B, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 3}). \end{array} \right.$$

The discriminant and the j -invariant of the corresponding fibration Y_C are:

$$\Delta_C = C^2 h^2 (h + 3k^2) (\gamma^2 - h\phi^2) + C^3 \dots, \quad j \sim \frac{1}{C^2} \frac{h^4}{(h + 3k^2) (\gamma^2 - h\phi^2)}.$$

The limiting discriminant is $\Delta_h = h^2(h + 3k^2)(\gamma^2 - h\phi^2)$, the lowest order term in C in Δ_C . It is, like Δ , a global section of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 12}$.

Let X be the hypersurface in the total space of the line bundle \mathcal{L} defined by $\xi^2 = h$, with 2:1 map $\rho : X \rightarrow B$, and let Δ_0 be the divisor in B given by $\Delta_h = 0$:

$$X : \quad \xi^2 = h \quad (\subset \mathcal{L}), \quad \Delta_0 : \quad \Delta_h = 0 \quad (\subset B).$$

The preimage in X of Δ_0 is reducible (we omit some of the ρ^* for simplicity's sake):

$$\rho^* \Delta_h = \xi^4 (\xi + \sqrt{-3k})(\xi - \sqrt{-3k})(\gamma + \xi\phi)(\gamma - \xi\phi).$$

The zero locus of ξ in X is the ramification locus of the 2:1 map $\rho : X \rightarrow B$, which is denoted by O . The orientifold in the theory is supported on O and there are two brane-image-brane pairs.

We denote by D_1, \dots, D_r the components, with multiplicity one but not necessarily distinct, of this preimage in X and we denote their classes by $a_i \rho^* L$. Note that $\sum a_i = 12$ since $\rho^* \Delta_h$ has class $12\rho^* L$. We can take $D_1 = \dots = D_4 = O$, with $a_i = 1$, D_5, D_6 defined by $\xi \pm \sqrt{-3k} = 0$, also with $a_i = 1$, and D_7, D_8 defined by $\gamma \pm \xi\phi = 0$ with $a_i = 3$. Hence

$$\rho^* \Delta_0 = D_1 + \dots + D_8, \quad [D_i] = a_i \rho^* L, \quad (a_1, \dots, a_8) = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 3).$$

In this case, for generic choice of the sections involved, the D_i are smooth. Then one expects the ordinary tadpole relation for the Euler characteristics of these varieties to hold:

$$2\chi(Y_C) = \sum_{i=1}^8 \chi(D_i),$$

for any value of $C \in \mathbf{C}$ for which Y_C is smooth.

Actually in [AE2] §4.3.4 a much stronger relation between Chern classes has been shown to hold. Moreover, this stronger relation is valid for any choice of the base B . Also the E_7 and E_8 families as well as the case of singular D_i were considered in [AE2]. In the next section we will determine all the values of c_1, d_1, d_2 for which these stronger, base independent, tadpole relations from [AE2] hold.

4.3. Numerical tadpole cancellation. Following [AE2] §4.4, we now determine all the cases in which we have a (numerical) generalized strong tadpole relation:

$$2\phi_* c(Y) = \sum_{i=1}^r \rho_* c(D_i)$$

where $Y \subset Z := \mathbf{P}E$, $E = \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d_1} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d_2}$ is a subvariety with class $[Y] = -K_Z$, so we have a Calabi-Yau elliptic fibration $\phi : Y \rightarrow B$ and $\rho : X \rightarrow B$ is a double cover defined by a global section h of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}$ and we assume that $c_1(B) = c_1 L$, where L is the class of \mathcal{L} and c_1 is a rational number. We assume that the D_i are nonsingular, with class $a_i \rho^* L$ in X and that $r \leq 12$, $\sum a_i = 12$ and $a_i > 0$.

The ‘generalized’ refers to the fact that we do not assume that $\dim B = 3$ nor that the D_i are irreducible components of $\rho^* \Delta_h$ in a Sen limit. We will see that it may be impossible to actually find a Sen family which has the a_i required for tadpole cancellation.

The left hand side was computed in equation 4 in section 3.2, the right hand side in section 3.5:

$$2\frac{P}{Q}c(B) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \frac{2a_i L}{1+a_i L} \right) \frac{1+L}{1+2L} c(B).$$

Here P, Q are polynomials in L, c_1, d_1, d_2 multiplied by $c(B)$. Omitting the factor $c(B)$ on both sides and fixing a partition $12 = a_1 + \dots + a_r$ we obtain, after clearing denominators, a polynomial in L with coefficients which are polynomials c_1, d_1, d_2 . Using a computer, we found that these polynomials have a common zero with $c_1, d_1, d_2 \in \mathbf{Q}$ only if $c_1 = 1$ and then there are only the cases

(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)	$(1, 1, 1, 1, 4, 4)$	$(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 3)$	$(2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2)$
(d_1, d_2)	$(2, 1)$	$(1, 1)$	$(1, 0)$

(One should note that

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d_1} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d_2}) \cong \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes -d_1} \oplus \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes (d_2-d_1)}) \cong \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes -d_2} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes (d_1-d_2)} \oplus \mathcal{O})$$

and we list only one of these cases.) In the three cases we listed, the generalized strong tadpole relation is universally satisfied, that is, we omitted the factors $c(B)$ on both sides. Such relations thus imply generalized strong tadpole relations for any base B .

The first two cases were already found in [AE2] § 4.3.3 and 4.3.4, they occur in the E_7 and E_6 case respectively. In particular, the other case listed in their Theorem 4.9 does not occur for the elliptic fibrations we consider here (that is, $2\phi_*c(Y) \neq 12L/(1+2L)c(B)$ for the Y we considered). This case thus remains without a geometric interpretation.

It is in fact trivial to verify the universal strong tadpole cancellation in the new $(1, 0)$ -case: if $c_1 = d_1 = 1, d_2 = 0$ then, up to a common factor, $P = 12L(1+L), Q = (1+2L)^2$ and

$$2\phi_*c(Y) = \frac{24L(1+L)}{(1+2L)^2}c(B) = \frac{24L}{1+2L} \cdot \frac{1+L}{1+2L}c(B) = 6 \cdot \frac{2 \cdot 2L}{1+2L} \cdot \frac{1+L}{1+2L}c(B).$$

4.4. No geometrical example? In section 4.2 we copied the Sen limit with $(c_1, d_1, d_2) = (1, 1, 1)$, the E_6 -case, which realizes $(1, \dots, 1, 3, 3)$, from [AE2]. Now we want to find a Sen limit in the $(c_1, d_1, d_2) = (1, 0, 1)$ case which realizes $(a_1, \dots, a_6) = (2, \dots, 2)$. The main issue is that in the examples we tried, the limiting discriminant Δ_h always has a factor h :

$$\Delta_h = h^a \underline{D}, \quad \text{so} \quad \rho^* \Delta_h = \xi^{2a} \rho^* \underline{D},$$

with $a > 0$ and a section \underline{D} of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes (12-2a)}$. This implies that the components of the preimage of $\Delta_h = 0$ in X has components $D_1 = \dots = D_{2a} = \mathcal{O}$ with multiplicity one. As $[D_i] = [\mathcal{O}] = 1 \cdot \rho^* L$ this gives $a_1, \dots, a_{2a} = 1$. Thus it seems impossible to get a limit with all $a_i = 2$.

In a Weierstrass model $y^2 = x^3 + fx + g$, this can be seen rather easily. A Sen family would be given by $f = f_0 + f_1 C + f_2 C^2 + \dots, g = g_0 + g_1 C + g_2 C^2 + \dots$. Since for $C = 0$ one requires $\Delta = 4f^3 + 27g^2 = 0$, one can put (at least locally) $f_0 = -3h^2, g_0 = -2h^3$. But then $\Delta = h^2 \Delta_1(h, f_1, g_1)C + \dots$, so if Δ_1 is not identically zero on the base, we get $\Delta_h = h^2 \Delta_1(h, f_1, g_1)$

and thus $a \geq 2$. If Δ_1 is identically zero, one finds conditions on f_1, g_1 which then imply that $\Delta = h^a \Delta_2(h, \dots) C^2 + \dots$ with $a > 0$ etc. See also [KMSS] for transformations to the Weierstrass model and the (local) divisibility argument.

5. APPENDIX: SEN'S WEAK COUPLING LIMIT REVISITED

5.1. In this appendix we collect some observations on Sen limits. The weak coupling limit, also called a Sen limit, of an elliptic fibration Y over a base B is a family of elliptic fibrations $Y_C \rightarrow B$, with $C \in \mathbf{C}$, such that Y is a deformation of the general Y_C and such that the general fiber of Y_0 has j -invariant ∞ .

5.2. **The limit fibration Y_0 .** The simplest limit fibrations Y_0 already present a non-trivial geometry. In particular, an auxiliary Calabi-Yau manifold on which the type IIB theory is usually studied, appears naturally.

The Weierstrass model of an elliptic fibration, its discriminant and j -invariant are:

$$y^2 = x^3 + fx + g, \quad \Delta = 4f^3 + 27g^2 = 0, \quad j = 4(24f)^3/\Delta.$$

Here f, g are global sections of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 4}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 6}$ for some line bundle \mathcal{L} on the base. In case $\mathcal{L} = \omega_B^{-1}$, the anti-canonical bundle, the Y_C are Calabi-Yau.

A limit fibration has $j(b) = \infty$ and thus has $f(b) \neq 0, \Delta(b) = 0$ for the general $b \in B$. The simplest way to get such limits is to take a section h of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}$ and to put

$$f := -3h^2, \quad g := -2h^3.$$

We will consider this limit fibration Y_0 in the remainder of this section,

$$Y_0 : \quad y^2 = x^3 - 3h^2x - 2h^3.$$

Notice that $x^3 - 3h^2x - 2h^3 = (x+h)^2(x-2h)$.

The first observation we'd like to make is that these limits are quotients of a product $X \times E_\infty$, here X is double cover of B and E_∞ is a singular cubic curve with a node in the point $(x, y) = (-1, 0)$:

$$E_\infty : \quad y^2 = x^3 - 3x - 2, \quad (\text{note } x^3 - 3x - 2 = (x+1)^2(x-2)).$$

In fact, the fiber of Y_0 over any point $b \in B$ with $h(b) \neq 0$ is isomorphic to E_∞ . However, Y_0 is not the product of $B \times E_\infty$ (and is not even birational to this product if h is not a square), due to a global twist. In fact, for $b \in B$ with $h(b) \neq 0$, the fiber is nodal cubic with equation $y^2 = -3h(b)u^2 + u^3$, where $u = x + h(b)$. Thus the two tangent lines at the node are $y = \pm \sqrt{-3h(b)}u$ and there is a non-trivial monodromy around $h = 0$ if h is not a square. Note that $h = 0$ is also the locus where the fibers are no longer nodal, but are cuspidal, so they are not stable anymore. This aspect is emphasized in [AE2], who single out the points where $\Delta(b) = f(b) = 0$.

We trivialize the monodromy on the double cover of the base B , branched over $h = 0$, defined by

$$X : \quad \xi^2 = h, \quad \rho : X \longrightarrow B, \quad (\xi, b) \longmapsto b.$$

This double cover naturally lives inside the total space of the line bundle \mathcal{L} . If $h = 0$ is a smooth subvariety of B , then X is also smooth and X is a Calabi-Yau variety if $\mathcal{L} = \omega_B^{-1}$.

Now we pull-back the fibration Y_0 along ρ to X , so we consider the fibration defined by the Weierstrass equation $y^2 = x^3 - 3h^2x - 2h^3$ as a fibration \tilde{Y}_0 over X . This fibration is independent of ξ , but on X we can substitute $h := \xi^2$. Doing so, and substituting also

$$x := \xi^2 u, \quad y := \xi^3 v, \quad h := \xi^2, \quad \text{we get} \quad v^2 = u^3 - 3u - 2.$$

So we see that, at least over the open subset of X where $\xi \neq 0$, the pull-back \tilde{Y}_0 is isomorphic to the product $X \times E_\infty$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X \times E_\infty & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \tilde{Y}_0 & \longrightarrow & Y_0 \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & X & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

Conversely, starting from the double cover X and the nodal cubic E_∞ , we can recover Y_0 as a quotient of $X \times E_\infty$ by the action of an involution $\tilde{\sigma}$. The covering group of $\rho : X \rightarrow B$ has only one non-trivial element

$$\sigma : X \longrightarrow X, \quad (\xi, b) \longmapsto (-\xi, b).$$

Now we define an automorphism, of order two,

$$\tilde{\sigma} : X \times E_\infty \longrightarrow X \times E_\infty, \quad ((\xi, b), (u, v)) \longmapsto ((-\xi, b), (u, -v)).$$

The non-trivial invariants for this action are $\xi^2 = h$, $v^2 = u^3 - 3u - 2$ and $t := \xi v$. Thus the quotient is the fibration on B defined by $(\xi v)^2 = h(u^3 - 3u - 2)$. It can be put in Weierstrass form by multiplying both sides by h^2 and defining $y := h\xi v = \xi^3 v$ and $x := hu$. This gives the equation of Y_0 , so we established a birational isomorphism (not well-defined where $h = 0$ for example):

$$(X \times E_\infty)/\tilde{\sigma} \simeq Y_0.$$

The non-semistable fibers, i.e. those with $\Delta = f = 0$, arise from the fixed points in the action of $\tilde{\sigma}$. These are the cuspidal fibers in the Weierstrass model and they occur over the $b \in B$ with $h(b) = 0$.

A second observation is that the points $((\xi, b), (2, 0)) \in X \times E_\infty$ map to a section of the quotient fibration, given by

$$s_2 : B \longrightarrow Y_0, \quad b \longmapsto (b, (x = 2h, y = 0)),$$

in fact $y^2 = x^3 - 3h^2x - 2h^3 = (x + h)^2(x - 2h)$. This section has order two in the Mordell-Weil group of Y_0 over B (recall that the smooth points of a nodal cubic form a group isomorphic to the multiplicative group of complex numbers, [C], §9.).

5.3. Sen's weak coupling limit. Starting from Y_0 and an elliptic fibration Y over B in Weierstrass form $y^2 = x^3 + fx + g$ one can obtain a weak coupling limit simply by defining Y_C to be the fibration defined by $y^2 = x^3 + (Cf - 3h^2)x + (Cg - 2h^3)$. Unfortunately, the physics of this general limit is hard to understand.

In Sen's limit, one considers the simpler family:

$$f := C\eta, \quad g := Ch\eta \quad \text{so} \quad Y_C : \quad y^2 = x^3 + (C\eta - 3h^2)x + (Ch\eta - 2h^3).$$

A consequence of this choice is that the cubic polynomial factors:

$$x^3 + (C\eta - 3h^2)x + (Ch\eta - 2h^3) = (x + h)(x^2 - hx + C\eta - 2h^2).$$

Thus Sen's family has a section, of order two in the Mordell-Weil group, given by $b \mapsto (x, y) = (-h, 0)$. This section specializes to the node in the fibers over $C = 0$.

5.4. Global aspects of Sen's limit. We now consider some global aspects of Sen's family, which we view as an elliptic fibration defined by the Weierstrass model above. To simplify the computations, we change the coordinates by putting $x := x + h$, so the order two section becomes $x = 0$ and Sen's family Y is defined by the Weierstrass equation

$$Y : \quad y^2 = x(x^2 - 3hx + C\eta).$$

We consider Y as an elliptic fibration over $B \times \mathbf{C}$. It has a natural compactification in the bundle of projective planes $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 4} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 6} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B)$ over $B \times \mathbf{C}$, by homogenizing the equation to a cubic in x, y, z .

The total space Y of this fibration is singular in general. For example, the points where $x = y = \eta = 0$ are easily seen to be singular on Y . Over $C = 0$ we have additional singular points which are due to the fact that for each $b \in B$ the section $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ meets the node in the fiber over b . Since the limit $C \rightarrow 0$ is the most important feature of this family, we show how to get a better model.

Put $x := Cx$, $y := Cy$ in the equation for Y and divide the result by C^2 . This gives, after homogenization, the equation

$$Y' : \quad y^2z = x(Cx^2 - 3hxz + \eta z^2)$$

which defines an elliptic fibration Y' over $B \times \mathbf{C}$. Obviously, Y and Y' are isomorphic over $B \times (\mathbf{C} - \{0\})$, where $C \neq 0$. However, the fibers over points (b, C) with $C = 0$ are now reducible cubic curves, consisting of a conic $y^2 = -3h(b)x^2 + \eta(b)xz$ and the line at infinity $z = 0$. These are fibers of type I_2 if $(h(b), \eta(b)) \neq (0, 0)$. The points of Y' over (b, C) with b general in B and $C = 0$ are smooth on Y' , so this is a better model than Y .

5.5. A toy model. A key feature of Sen's limit is the presence of $-T^{-4}$ -monodromy over a path which encloses two I_1 -fibers. This monodromy indicates the presence of a $D7$ brane in the limit. Here we consider a Sen limit of a family of elliptic fibrations $Y_C \rightarrow B = \mathbf{P}^1$, for $C \in \mathbf{C}$, where this monodromy is easily visible. In fact, each Y_C , for $C \neq 0$, has only three bad fibers. Over $s = \pm 2/3\sqrt{C}$ where s is the parameter on the base \mathbf{P}^1 , we have I_1 fibers, that is nodal cubic curves, whereas over $s = \infty$ we have an I_4^* -fiber. Thus the local monodromy around

infinity is $-T^4$, but a path around infinity is also a path, transversed in the opposite direction, which encloses the two points $s = \pm 2/3\sqrt{C}$. Thus the monodromy over a path, transversed in the positive direction, which encloses these two points will be $(-T^4)^{-1} = -T^{-4}$.

The example is as follows. Let $(s : t)$ be the homogeneous coordinates on \mathbf{P}^1 and consider the rational elliptic fibration given by the Weierstrass model

$$Y_C : y^2 = x^3 + (Ct^4 - 3(st)^2)x + (Cst^5 - 2(st)^3), \quad \Delta = C^2t^{10}(4Ct^2 - 9s^2)$$

Here $\Delta = 4f^3 + 27g^2$ is the discriminant of $y^2 = x^3 + fx + g$. The j -invariant is

$$j = \frac{4(24f)^3}{\Delta} = \frac{4(24(Ct^4 - 3(st)^2))^3}{C^2t^{10}(4Ct^2 - 9s^2)}.$$

From the order of vanishing of Δ and j one deduces immediately that for $C \neq 0$ the fibers over $s = \pm 2/3\sqrt{C}t$ are of type I_1 and that the fiber over $t = 0$ (i.e. $s = \infty$) is of type I_4^* .

A peculiar feature of this example is that the Y_C , for $C \neq 0$, are all isomorphic. In fact, we have isomorphisms

$$\phi_C : Y_1 \xrightarrow{\cong} Y_C, \quad ((x, y), (s : t)) \mapsto ((\sqrt{C}x, \sqrt{C^3}y), (s : \sqrt{C}t)).$$

The existence of such a family of fibrations is related to the finer points of the moduli theory of Weierstrass models and is discussed in [M1].

REFERENCES

- [AE1] P. Aluffi, M. Esole, *Chern class identities from tadpole matching in type IIB and F-theory*, (arXiv:0710.2544), JHEP **03** (2009) 032.
- [AE2] P. Aluffi, M. Esole, *New Orientifold Weak Coupling Limits in F-theory*, (arXiv:0908.1572v3), JHEP 1002:020, 2010.
- [BHV1] C. Beasley, J. J. Heckman and C. Vafa, *GUTs and Exceptional Branes in F-theory - I*, JHEP **0901** (2009) 058.
- [BHV2] C. Beasley, J. J. Heckman and C. Vafa, *GUTs and Exceptional Branes in F-theory - II: Experimental Predictions*, JHEP **0901** (2009) 059.
- [BHT] A. P. Braun, A. Hebecker, H. Triendl, *D7-Brane Motion from M-Theory Cycles and Obstructions in the Weak Coupling Limit*, Nucl. Phys. **B800** (2008) 298-329.
- [C] J.W.S. Cassels, *Lectures on elliptic curves*. London Mathematical Society Student Texts 24. Cambridge University Press 1991.
- [D] F. Denef, *Les Houches Lectures on Constructing String Vacua*, arXiv:0803.1194 [hep-th].
- [DMSS] M.J. Dolan, J. Marsano, N. Saulina, S. Schafer-Nameki, *F-theory GUTs with U(1) Symmetries: Generalities and Survey*, arXiv:1102.0290.
- [EY] M. Esole, S-T. Yau, *Small resolutions of SU(5)-models in F-theory*, arXiv:1107.0733.
- [F] W. Fulton, *Intersection Theory*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1984.
- [GGO] A. Grassi, Z. Guralnik, B. Ovrut, *Five-brane BPS states in heterotic M-theory*, JHEP **0101** (2001) 037.
- [H] J. J. Heckman, *Particle Physics Implications of F-theory*, arXiv:1001.0577 [hep-th].
- [HKSV] J. J. Heckman, G. L. Kane, J. Shao and C. Vafa, *The Footprint of F-theory at the LHC*, JHEP **0910** (2009) 039.
- [HTV] J. J. Heckman, A. Tavanfar and C. Vafa, *Cosmology of F-theory GUTs*, JHEP **1004** (2010) 054.

- [HV] J. J. Heckman and C. Vafa, *From F-theory GUTs to the LHC*, arXiv:0809.3452 [hep-ph].
- [KMSS] S. Katz, D. R. Morrison, S. Schäfer-Nameki, J. Sully, *Tate's algorithm and F-theory*, arXiv:1106.3854.
- [MSS1] J. Marsano, N. Saulina, S. Schäfer-Nameki, *Compact F-theory GUTs with $U(1)_{PQ}$* . JHEP 1004:095,2010 (arXiv:0912.0272).
- [MSS2] J. Marsano, N. Saulina, S. Schäfer-Nameki, *A Note on G-Fluxes for F-theory Model Building*, JHEP 1011:088,2010 (arXiv:1006.0483).
- [M1] R. Miranda, *The Moduli of Weierstrass Fibrations Over P^1* , Math. Ann. **255** (1981) 379–394.
- [M2] R. Miranda, *Smooth models for elliptic threefolds*, in: The birational geometry of degenerations (Cambridge, Mass., 1981), pp. 85–133, Progr. Math., 29, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., 1983.
- [S1] A. Sen, *F theory and orientifolds*, Nucl. Phys. B **475** (1996) 562.
- [S2] A. Sen, *Orientifold Limit of F-Theory Vacua*, Phys. Rev. D (3) **55** (1997) 7345–7349 (arXiv:hep-th/9702165).
- [V] C. Vafa, *Evidence for F theory*, Nucl. Phys. B **469** (1996) 403.

DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE FISICHE E MATEMATICHE, UNIVERSITÀ DELL'INSUBRIA, VIA VALLEGGIO 11, I-22100 COMO, ITALIA, AND INFN, SEZIONE DI MILANO, VIA CELORIA 16, I-20133 MILANO, ITALIA
E-mail address: `sergio.cacciatori@uninsubria.it`

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI MILANO, VIA SALDINI 50, I-20133 MILANO, ITALIA
E-mail address: `andrea.cattaneo1@unimi.it`

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI MILANO, VIA SALDINI 50, I-20133 MILANO, ITALIA
E-mail address: `geemen@mat.unimi.it`