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#### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to study the continuity correction for barrier options in jump-diffusion models. For this purpose, we express the pay-off of a barrier option in terms of the maximum of the underlying process. We then condition with respect to the jump times and to the values of the underlying at the jump times and rely on the connection between the maximum of the Brownian motion and Bessel processes.
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1. Introduction. In the Black-Scholes setting, Broadie, Glasserman and Kou (1997) and Kou (2003) derived continuity correction formulas for barrier options. The purpose of this paper is to establish similar results for jump-diffusion models. The approach of Broadie, Glasserman and Kou was based on the expression of the pay-off of a barrier option in terms of the hitting time of the barrier by the underlying stock price. They managed to relate the discrete barrier option price to the continuous one by using classical results on the overshoot asymptotics of the Gaussian random walk.

Our approach is completely different and provides a new proof of the Broadie-Glassserman-Kou results, even in the Black-Scholes case. We start from the expression of the pay-off of barrier options in terms of the maximum process, which essentially involves the cumulative distribution function of the maximum. We then rely on the connection between the maximum of Brownian motion and the Bessel process, following the ideas of Asmussen, Glynn, Pitman (1995), in their study of the weak convergence of the normalized difference between the continuous and discrete maximum of Brownian motion. The extension to jump-diffusions is obtained by conditioning with respect to the jump times and to the values of the process at the jump times.

Note that the Asmussen-Glynn-Pitman Theorem was the basic tool for the derivation by Broadie, Glasserman and Kou (1999) of continuity corrections for lookback options, and we showed in [5] that this approach could be extended to jump-diffusion processes. The dependence of the payoff with respect to the maximum is much less smooth in the case of barriers, and we will need to go deeper into the connection between the maximum and the Bessel process to prove our results. In some sense, our results prove that continuity correction formulas can be obtained in a unified way for barrier and for lookback options.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we present our main results: a continuity correction formula for a general pay-off (see Theorem 2.1), and its application to barrier options (see Proposition (2.2). We also demonstrate the use of these

[^0]results by showing some numerical results for a double-exponential jump-diffusion model. The other sections of the paper are devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.1, In Section 3, we derive some preliminary estimates on the jump times of a Poisson process. In Section 4 by conditioning with respect to the jump times, we reduce the problem to the analysis of discrete vs continuous supremum between the jump times.

In Section 55 we further condition with respect to the values of the underlying process at the jump times. We then have to deal with independent Brownian motions, and we establish a representation of a conditional expectation of a function of the maximum, the discrete maximum and the terminal value in terms of Bessel processes (see Proposition 5.2).

Section 6 is devoted to the derivation of some elementary estimates concerning the transition kernel of the Bessel process which are needed in the last two sections. In Section 7 we derive some bounds for conditional expectations, in order to be able to derive convergence results for the unconditional expectations from the corresponding results for conditional expectations. In Section 8, we establish the continuity correction for conditional expectations.
2. Continuity correction formulas. In a jump-diffusion model, the price of the underlying stock at time $t$ is given by

$$
S_{t}=S_{0} e^{X_{t}}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T
$$

where, under the pricing measure, the process $X=\left(X_{t}\right)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}=\gamma t+\sigma B_{t}+\sum_{i=1}^{N_{t}} Y_{i} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma$ and $\sigma$ are real constants, with $\sigma>0,\left(B_{t}\right)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is a standard Brownian motion, $N$ is a Poisson process with intensity $\lambda>0$, and $\left(Y_{i}\right)_{i>1}$ are i.i.d. random variables. Note that, under the pricing measure, the process $\left(e^{-(\bar{r}-\delta) t} S_{t}\right)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$, where $r$ is the interest rate and $\delta$ the dividend rate is a martingale. This implies the following relation between $\gamma$ and the other parameters

$$
\gamma=r-\delta-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}+\lambda \mathbb{E}\left(e^{Y_{1}}-1\right)
$$

In the terminology of exponential Lévy models, note that $X$ is a Lévy process with a non-zero Brownian part and a finite Lévy measure, given by $\nu(d x)=\lambda \mu(d x)$, where $\mu$ is the distribution of the random variable $Y_{1}$. For more details about Lévy processes see 12 .

We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{t}^{X}=\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t} X_{s}, \quad M_{t}^{X, n}=\max _{0 \leq k \leq n} X_{\frac{k t}{n}} \\
& m_{t}^{X}=\inf _{0 \leq s \leq T} X_{s}, \quad m_{t}^{X, n}=\min _{0 \leq k \leq n} X_{\frac{k t}{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

When there is no ambiguity we can remove the super index $X$.
The options we will consider in the sequel will have as underlying the asset with price $S$. We will denote by $K$ and $H$ the strike and the barrier of the option. The maturity of the options is assumed to be $T$. Figures 2.1 and 2.2 give the payoffs of barrier options. The corresponding prices are the expected values of the discounted payoffs.

| Barrier | Continuous | Discrete |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Up Out | $\left(S_{T}-K\right)^{+} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{S_{0} e^{M_{T}<H}\right\}}$ | $\left(S_{T}-K\right)^{+} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{S_{0} e^{M_{T}^{n}}{ }_{T H}\right\}}$ |
| Up In | $\left.\left(S_{T}-K\right)^{+} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{S_{0} e^{M_{T} \geq H}\right.}\right\}$ | $\left(S_{T}-K\right)^{+} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{S_{0} e^{M_{T}^{n}} \geq H\right\}}$ |
| Down Out | $\left(S_{T}-K\right)^{+} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{S_{0} e^{m_{T}}>H\right\}}$ | $\left(S_{T}-K\right)^{+} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{S_{0} e^{m_{T}^{n}}>H\right\}}$ |
| Down In | $\left(S_{T}-K\right)^{+} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{S_{0} e^{m_{T}} \leq H\right\}}$ | $\left(S_{T}-K\right)^{+} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{S_{0} e^{m_{T}^{n}} \leq H\right\}}$ |

Fig. 2.1. Payoffs of barrier call options

| Barrier | Continuous | Discrete |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Up Out | $\left(K-S_{T}\right)^{+} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{S_{0} e^{M_{T}<H}\right\}}$ | $\left(K-S_{T}\right)^{+} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{S_{0} e^{M_{T}^{n}}<H\right\}}$ |
| Up In | $\left(K-S_{T}\right)^{+} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{S_{0} e^{M_{T}} \geq H\right\}}$ | $\left(K-S_{T}\right)^{+} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{S_{0} e^{M_{T}^{n}} \geq H\right\}}$ |
| Down Out | $\left(K-S_{T}\right)^{+} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{S_{0} e^{\left.m_{T}>H\right\}}\right.}$ | $\left(K-S_{T}\right)^{+} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{S_{0} e^{m_{T}^{n}}>H\right\}}$ |
| Down In | $\left(K-S_{T}\right)^{+} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{S_{0} e^{\left.m_{T} \leq H\right\}}\right.}$ | $\left(K-S_{T}\right)^{+} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{S_{0} e^{m_{T}^{n}}{ }_{T H}\right\}}$ |

Fig. 2.2. Payoffs of barrier put options

Let $U O C(H)$ be the price of a continuous up and out call with barrier $H$, We have

$$
U O C(H)=\mathbb{E} e^{-r T}\left(S_{0} e^{X_{T}}-K\right)^{+} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T} S_{0} e^{\left.X_{t}<H\right\}}\right.}
$$

Define

$$
k=\log \left(\frac{K}{S_{0}}\right), \quad h=\log \left(\frac{H}{S_{0}}\right) .
$$

We can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
U O C(H) & =S_{0} \mathbb{E} e^{-r T} e^{X_{T}} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M_{T}<h, X_{T}>k\right\}}-K e^{-r T} \mathbb{P}\left[M_{T}<h, X_{T}>k\right] \\
& =S_{0} e^{-\delta T} \mathbb{E} e^{-(r-\delta) T} e^{X_{T}} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M_{T}<h, X_{T}>k\right\}}-K e^{-r T} \mathbb{P}\left[M_{T}<h, X_{T}>k\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We know that the process $\left(e^{-(r-\delta) t} e^{X_{t}}\right)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is a martingale. Let $\overline{\mathbb{P}}$ be the probability defined by its density with respect to the pricing probability measure $\mathbb{P}$

$$
\frac{d \overline{\mathbb{P}}}{d \mathbb{P}}=e^{-(r-\delta) T+X_{T}}
$$

Note that (as can be deduced, for instance, from Theorem 3.9 of [10]), the process $X$ remains a Lévy process under probability $\overline{\mathbb{P}}$, and that its Lévy measure under $\overline{\mathbb{P}}$ is given by $\bar{\nu}(d x)=e^{x} \nu(d x)$.

We have

$$
U O C(H)=S_{0} e^{-\delta T} \overline{\mathbb{P}}\left[M_{T}<h, X_{T}>k\right]-K e^{-r T} \mathbb{P}\left[M_{T}<h, X_{T}>k\right]
$$

If we call $U O C^{n}$ the price of a discrete $u p$ and out call with barrier $H$, and $n$ fixing dates (with step $\frac{T}{n}$ ), then we have similarly

$$
U O C^{n}(H)=S_{0} e^{-\delta T} \overline{\mathbb{P}}\left[M_{T}^{n}<h, X_{T}>k\right]-K e^{-r T} \mathbb{P}\left[M_{T}^{n}<h, X_{T}>k\right]
$$

Finding continuity corrections between continuous and discrete barrier options amounts in fact to finding corrections between the above probabilities. This is the aim of the following result.

Theorem 2.1. Let $X$ be an integrable Lévy process of the form (2.1), with $\sigma>0$. For any bounded Borel measurable function $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and for any positive number $x$, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[g\left(X_{T}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M_{T} \geq x>M_{T}^{n}\right\}}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[g\left(X_{T}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M_{T} \geq x>M_{T}-\frac{\left.\sigma \sqrt{T} \beta_{1}\right\}}{\sqrt{n}}\right\}}\right]+o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)
$$

where $\beta_{1}=\mathbb{E} R$ and $R$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\min _{\{j \in \mathbb{Z}\}} \check{R}(U+j) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $(\check{R}(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a two sided three dimensional Bessel process (i.e. $\check{R}(t)=R_{1}(t)$ for $t \geq 0$ and $\check{R}(t)=R_{2}(-t)$ for $t<0$, where $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are independent copies of the usual three dimensional Bessel process, starting from 0) and $U$ is uniformly distributed on $[0,1]$ and independent of $\check{R}$.

Note that the result does not depend on the jump part of the process, so that the continuity correction for jump-diffusion models is the same as for the Black-Scholes model.

The result of Theorem 2.1 can also be written in the form

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[g\left(X_{T}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M_{T}<x+\frac{\sigma \sqrt{T} \beta_{1}}{\sqrt{n}}\right\}}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[g\left(X_{T}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M_{T}^{n}<x\right\}}\right]+o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) .
$$

Moreover, the proof of Theorem 2.1] shows that the theorem is still true if we replace $x$ by a sequence $x_{n}$ which converges to $x$ when $n \rightarrow+\infty$. So, under the assumptions of Theorem [2.1] we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{P}\left(M_{T}<x+\frac{\sigma \sqrt{T} \beta_{1}}{\sqrt{n}}, X_{T}>y\right) & =\mathbb{P}\left(M_{T}^{n}<x, X_{T}>y\right)+o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)  \tag{2.3}\\
\mathbb{P}\left(M_{T}<x, X_{T}>y\right) & =\mathbb{P}\left[M_{T}^{n}<x-\frac{\sigma \sqrt{T} \beta_{1}}{\sqrt{n}}, X_{T}>y\right]+o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \cdot(2.4)
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we deduce from Theorem 2.1 the relations between continuous and discrete barrier options.

Proposition 2.2. Let $X$ be a Lévy process with generating triplet $\left(\gamma, \sigma^{2}, \nu\right)$ satisfying $\sigma>0$ and $\nu(\mathbb{R})<\infty, V(H)$ be the price of a continuous option with barrier $H$, and $V^{n}(H)$ be the price of the corresponding discrete barrier option. We assume that the process $\left(e^{X_{t}-(r-\delta) t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{n}(H) & =V\left(H e^{ \pm \frac{\sigma \sqrt{T} \beta_{1}}{\sqrt{n}}}\right)+o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\
V(H) & =V^{n}\left(H e^{\mp \frac{\sigma \sqrt{T} \beta_{1}}{\sqrt{n}}}\right)+o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where in $\pm$ and $\mp$, the top case applies for Up options and the bottom case applies for Down options.

Remark 2.3. Under the assumptions of Proposition 2.2, we can prove that

$$
V(H)-V^{n}(H)=\frac{C}{\sqrt{n}}+o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) .
$$

See Remark 8.7
Proof of Proposition 2.2. For the proof, we will consider only barrier options without rebate, since the latter can be easily deduced from the former. Theorem 2.1 and (2.3) lead obviously to the following results

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left[M_{T}<y+\frac{\sigma \sqrt{T} \beta_{1}}{\sqrt{n}}, X_{T} \leq x\right]=\mathbb{P}\left[M_{T}^{n}<y, X_{T} \leq x\right]+o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\
& \mathbb{P}\left[M_{T} \geq y+\frac{\sigma \sqrt{T} \beta_{1}}{\sqrt{n}}, X_{T} \leq x\right]=\mathbb{P}\left[M_{T}^{n} \geq y, X_{T} \leq x\right]+o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\
& \mathbb{P}\left[M_{T}<y+\frac{\sigma \sqrt{T} \beta_{1}}{\sqrt{n}}, X_{T}<x\right]=\mathbb{P}\left[M_{T}^{n}<y, X_{T}<x\right]+o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The price of barrier options can be written in terms of the above probabilities (as in the case of the call Up and Out studied in the beginning of the section). Recall that in the Down case, the infimum process $m$ of $X$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{t} & =\inf _{0 \leq s \leq t} X_{s} \\
& =-\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left(-X_{s}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We deduce the first result of the proposition. For the second part of the proposition, we proceed in the same way and use (2.4).

We will test the performance of Proposition 2.2 with the double exponential jumpdiffusion model (see [8]). So, we have

$$
X_{s}=\gamma s+\sigma B_{s}+\sum_{i=1}^{N_{s}} Y_{i}
$$

where $N$ is a poisson process with intensity $\lambda$, and $Y_{1}$ follows an asymmetric double exponential distribution with probability density function

$$
f_{Y}(y)=p \eta_{1} e^{-\eta_{1} y} \mathbb{1}_{\{y \geq 0\}}+q \eta_{2} e^{\eta_{2} y} \mathbb{1}_{\{y<0\}}
$$

where $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}$ are positive numbers (with $\eta_{1}>1$ to ensure integrability of the exponential), and the non-negative real numbers $p$ and $q$ satisfy $p+q=1$. In our numerical examples, the values of the parameters are the following: $\sigma=0.3, p=0.6, \lambda=7$, $\eta_{1}=50$ and $\eta_{2}=25$. We will consider the up and out put option with parameters $S_{0}=100, r=0.05, \delta=0, T=1, K=100, H=110$ and rebate $=10$. The continuous price, computed by the method used in [9, is equal to 13.240. The discrete prices are computed by Monte Carlo methods. In Table 2.1 we study the convergence of the discrete price and the corrected discrete price (using the second equality in Proposition (2.2) to the continuous price.

As expected, the discrete price converges slowly, while the corrected price converges rapidly to the continuous price. The reverse problem is studied in Table 2.2, We approximate the discrete barrier price by the corrected continuous price according to our correction formula (see the first result of Proposition (2.2). In the last column we give the relative error made by approximating the discrete price by the corrected continuous price. The latter clearly is a good approximation of the discrete price, compared to the continuous price.

| n | Discrete price | Relative error | Corrected discrete price | Relative error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 14.193 | $7.201 \%$ | 13.883 | $4.857 \%$ |
| 6 | 14.160 | $6.945 \%$ | 13.772 | $4.016 \%$ |
| 7 | 14.128 | $6.707 \%$ | 13.667 | $3.379 \%$ |
| 8 | 14.095 | $6.459 \%$ | 13.627 | $2.923 \%$ |
| 9 | 14.072 | $6.278 \%$ | 13.577 | $2.544 \%$ |
| 10 | 14.048 | $6.101 \%$ | 13.542 | $2.273 \%$ |
| 15 | 13.957 | $5.410 \%$ | 13.429 | $1.430 \%$ |
| 25 | 13.851 | $4.619 \%$ | 13.358 | $0.896 \%$ |

Performance of the continuity correction in double exponential jump-diffusion model.

| n | Discrete price | Corrected continuous price | Relative Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 14.193 | 13.964 | $1.613 \%$ |
| 10 | 14.048 | 13.894 | $1.096 \%$ |
| 15 | 13.957 | 13.829 | $0.917 \%$ |
| 20 | 13.896 | 13.780 | $0.834 \%$ |
| 25 | 13.851 | 13.742 | $0.787 \%$ |
| 30 | 13.816 | 13.711 | $0.760 \%$ |
| 35 | 13.789 | 13.685 | $0.754 \%$ |
| 40 | 13.764 | 13.664 | $0.726 \%$ |
| 45 | 13.743 | 13.645 | $0.713 \%$ |
| 50 | 13.726 | 13.629 | $0.707 \%$ |

Performance of the continuity correction in double exponential jump-diffusion model.
3. Estimates for the Poisson process. In this section, we give some estimates for the jump times of a Poisson process. These estimates will be used to derive domination conditions in order to justify the convergence of some expectations.

Proposition 3.1. Let $\left(N_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ be a homogeneous Poisson process, with jump times $\left(T_{l}\right)_{l \geq 1}$. For $t>0$ fixed and for any integer $l \geq 1$, we have, for $i=1, \ldots, l$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\left.\frac{1}{\sqrt{T_{i}-T_{i-1}}} \right\rvert\, N_{t}=l\right) \leq \frac{2 l}{\sqrt{t}}
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\left.\frac{1}{\sqrt{t-T_{l}}} \right\rvert\, N_{t}=l\right) \leq \frac{2 l}{\sqrt{t}}
$$

Proof. Using the conditional distribution of the jump times $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{l}$, given $\left\{N_{t}=l\right\}$,
we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\left.\frac{1}{\sqrt{T_{i}-T_{i-1}}} \right\rvert\, N_{t}=l\right) & =\int_{\left\{0<t_{1}<\ldots<t_{l}<t\right\}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_{i}-t_{i-1}}} \frac{l!}{t^{l}} d t_{1} \ldots d t_{l} \\
& =\int_{\left\{u_{1}>0, \ldots, u_{l}>0, \sum_{j=1}^{l} u_{j}<t\right\}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{u_{i}}} \frac{l!}{t^{l}} d u_{1} \ldots d u_{l} \\
& \leq \frac{2 l}{\sqrt{t}} \int_{\left\{u_{1}>0, \ldots, u_{l}>0, \sum_{j \neq i} u_{j}<t\right\}} \frac{(l-1)!}{t^{l-1}} d u_{1} \ldots \mathrm{~d} t_{i} \ldots d u_{l} \\
& =\frac{2 l}{\sqrt{t}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used $\int_{0}^{t} \frac{d u_{i}}{\sqrt{u_{i}}}=2 / \sqrt{t}$ and, in the last integral, $u_{i}$ is omitted. The proof of the second inequality is similar.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\left(N_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ be a homogeneous Poisson process, with jump times $\left(T_{l}\right)_{l \geq 1}$. For $t>0$ fixed and for any integer $l \geq 1$, we have, for $i=1, \ldots, l$ and for any $\alpha>0$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(T_{i}-T_{i-1} \leq \alpha t \mid N_{t}=l\right) \leq l \alpha
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(t-T_{l} \leq \alpha t \mid N_{t}=l\right) \leq l \alpha
$$

Proof. We can assume that $\alpha<1$ and write, for $i=1, \ldots, l$, using the conditional distribution of jump times given $\left\{N_{t}=l\right\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(T_{i}-T_{i-1} \leq \alpha t \mid N_{t}=l\right) & =\int_{\left\{0<t_{1}<\ldots<t_{l}<t\right\}} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{t_{i}-t_{i-1} \leq \alpha t\right\}} \frac{l!}{t^{l}} d t_{1} \ldots d t_{l} \\
& =\int_{\left\{u_{1}>0, \ldots, u_{l}>0, \sum_{j=1}^{l} u_{j}<t\right\}} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{u_{i} \leq \alpha t\right\}} \frac{l!}{t^{l}} d u_{1} \ldots d u_{l} \\
& \leq l \alpha \int_{\left\{u_{1}>0, \ldots, u_{l}>0, \sum_{j \neq i} u_{j}<t\right\}} \frac{(l-1)!}{t^{l-1}} d u_{1} \ldots \mathrm{~d} t_{i} \ldots d u_{l} \\
& =l \alpha,
\end{aligned}
$$

where, in the last integral, the variable $u_{i}$ is omitted. The proof of the second inequality is similar.
4. Conditioning with respect to the jump times. For the proof of Theorem 2.1 we will first condition with respect to the jump times of the Poisson process. Fix $x>0$ and $t>0$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M_{t} \geq x\right\}}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M_{t}^{n} \geq x\right\}}\right) & =\mathbb{E}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M_{t} \geq x>M_{t}^{n}\right\}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M_{t} \geq x>M_{t}^{n}\right\}} \mid N_{t}=l\right) \mathbb{P}\left(N_{t}=l\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Conditionally on $\left\{N_{t}=0\right\}$, we have $X_{s}=\gamma s+\sigma B_{s}$, for $s \in[0, t]$, and

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M_{t} \geq x>M_{t}^{n}\right\}} \mid N_{t}=0\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{0} \geq x>M^{0, n}\right\}} \mid N_{t}=0\right)
$$

with $M^{0}=\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left(\gamma s+\sigma B_{s}\right), M^{0, n}=\max _{k=0, \ldots, n} X_{k t / n}$.
Conditionally on $\left\{N_{t}=l\right\}$ and $\left\{T_{1}=t_{1}, \ldots, T_{l}=t_{l}\right\}$, with $0<t_{1}<\ldots<t_{l}<t$, we set $t_{l+1}=t$ and, for $j=0, \ldots, l$,

$$
M^{j}=\sup _{s \in\left[t_{j}, t_{j+1}\right)} X_{s}, \quad M^{j, n}=\max _{k, k t / n \in\left[t_{j}, t_{j+1}\right)} X_{k t / n}
$$

with, by convention $M^{j, n}=-\infty$ if there is no integer $k$ such that $k t / n \in\left[t_{j}, t_{j+1}\right)$. In the sequel, we denote by $\theta$ the vector $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l}\right)$ and by $\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta}$ the conditional expectation given $\left\{N_{t}=l, T_{1}=t_{1}, \ldots, T_{l}=t_{l}\right\}$. Conditionally on the values of $X$ at times $t_{j}$, the random variables $M^{j}$ are independent and have a density. So they are almost surely pairwise distinct and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M_{t} \geq x>M_{t}^{n}\right\}}\right) & =\sum_{j=0}^{l} \mathbb{E}_{l, \theta}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M_{t} \geq x>M_{t}^{n}, M^{j}>\max _{i \neq j} M^{i}\right\}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{l} \mathbb{E}_{l, \theta}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{j} \geq x>M_{t}^{n}, M^{j}>\max _{i \neq j} M^{i}\right\}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M_{t} \geq x>M_{t}^{n}\right\}}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{l}\left(\alpha_{l}^{j, n}(\theta)-\beta_{l}^{j, n}(\theta)\right)
$$

with

$$
\alpha_{l}^{j, n}(\theta)=\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{j} \geq x>M^{j, n}, M^{j}>\max _{i \neq j} M^{i}\right\}}\right)
$$

and

$$
\beta_{l}^{j, n}(\theta)=\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{j} \geq x>M^{j, n}, M^{j}>\max _{i \neq j} M^{i}, \max _{i \neq j} M^{i, n} \geq x\right\}}\right)
$$

Integrating with respect to the jump times, we get

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M_{t} \geq x>M_{t}^{n}\right\}}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(\alpha_{N_{t}}^{n}\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{N_{t}}\right)-\beta_{N_{t}}^{n}\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{N_{t}}\right)\right)
$$

where for $l \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\alpha_{l}^{n}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l}\right)=\mathbb{1}_{\{l \geq 1\}} \sum_{j=0}^{l} \alpha_{l}^{j, n}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l}\right)
$$

and

$$
\beta_{l}^{n}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l}\right)=\mathbb{1}_{\{l \geq 1\}} \sum_{j=0}^{l} \beta_{l}^{j, n}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l}\right)
$$

With these notations, we can state the following proposition.
Proposition 4.1. We have $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \sqrt{n} \mathbb{E}\left(\beta_{N_{t}}^{n}\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{N_{t}}\right)\right)=0$.

For the proof of this proposition, we will use the following reformulation of the Asmussen-Glynn-Pitman Theorem. It can be deduced from a careful reading of the proof of Theorem 1 in [1] (see particularly pages 879 to 883 , and Remark 2).

Theorem 4.2. Consider four real numbers $a, b, x$ and $y$, with $0 \leq a<b$. Let $\beta=\left(\beta_{s}\right)_{a \leq s \leq b}$ be a Browian bridge from $x$ to $y$ over the time interval $[a, b]$ (so that $\beta_{a}=x$ and $\bar{\beta}_{b}=y$ ) and let $t$ be a fixed positive number. Denote by $M$ the supremum of $\beta$ and, for any positive integer $n$, by $M^{n}$ the discrete supremum associated with a mesh of size $t / n$, so that

$$
M=\sup _{a \leq s \leq b} \beta_{s} \quad \text { and } \quad M^{n}=\sup _{k \in I_{n}} \beta_{\frac{k t}{n}} \text {, where } I_{n}=\left\{k \in \mathbb{N} \left\lvert\, \frac{k t}{n} \in[a, b]\right.\right\}
$$

Then, as $n$ goes to infinity, the pair $\left(\sqrt{n}\left(M-M^{n}\right), \beta\right)$ converges in distribution to the pair $(\sqrt{t} R, \beta)$ where $R$, defined in Theorem 2.1, is independent of $\beta$.
Proof of Proposition 4.1. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\beta_{l}^{j, n}(\theta)\right| & \leq\|g\|_{\infty} \sum_{i \neq j} \mathbb{P}_{l, \theta}\left(M^{j} \geq x>M^{j, n}, M^{j}>M^{i} \geq M^{i, n} \geq x\right) \\
& \leq\|g\|_{\infty} \sum_{i \neq j} \mathbb{P}_{l, \theta}\left(x \leq M^{j}<x+\left(M^{j}-M^{j, n}\right), x \leq M^{i}<x+\left(M^{j}-M^{j, n}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Conditionally on $\left\{N_{t}=l\right\},\left\{\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{l}\right)=\theta\right\}$ and $\left\{X_{T_{k}}=x_{k}, k=1, \ldots l\right\}$, the processes $\left(X_{s}-X_{t_{i}}\right)_{t_{i} \leq s<t_{i+1}}$ and $\left(X_{s}-X_{t_{j}}\right)_{t_{j} \leq s<t_{j+1}}($ for $i \neq j)$ are independent Brownian motions. The pairs of random variables $\left(M^{j}-x_{j}, M^{j}-M^{j, n}\right)$ and ( $M^{i}-$ $x_{i}, M^{i}-M^{i, n}$ ) are independent and we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\left\{x \leq M^{i}<x+M^{j}-M^{j, n}\right\}} \mid M^{j}, M^{j, n}\right)=\int_{x-x_{i}}^{x-x_{i}+M^{j}-M^{j, n}} f_{i}(u) d u
$$

where $f_{i}$ is the probability density function of the random variable $\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t_{i+1}-t_{i}}\left(\gamma s+\sigma B_{s}\right)$. We know (see for example Lemma 2.22 of [4]) that the function $f_{i}$ is bounded by $C / \sqrt{t_{i+1}-t_{i}}$, where the constant $C$ depends only on $\gamma, \sigma$ and $t$. We deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}_{l, \theta}\left(x \leq M^{j}<x+\left(M^{j}-M^{j, n}\right), x \leq M^{i}<x+\left(M^{j}-M^{j, n}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{t_{i+1}-t_{i}}} \mathbb{E}_{l, \theta}\left(\left(M^{j}-M^{j, n}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{x \leq M^{j}<x+\left(M^{j}-M^{j, n}\right)\right\}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that by Theorem 4.2 and the fact that $M^{j}$ has a continuous distribution, the sequence $\left(\sqrt{n}\left(M^{j}-M^{j, n}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{x \leq M^{j}<x+\left(M^{j}-M^{j, n}\right)\right\}}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0 in probability and, since $\left(\sqrt{n}\left(M^{j}-M^{j, n}\right)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly integrable (see [1], Lemma 6), we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \sqrt{n} \mathbb{E}_{l, \theta}\left(\left(M^{j}-M^{j, n}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{x \leq M^{j}<x+\left(M^{j}-M^{j, n}\right)\right\}}\right)=0
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j=0}^{l} \beta_{l}^{j, n}(\theta) & \leq \sum_{j=0}^{l} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{C}{\sqrt{t_{i+1}-t_{i}}} \sqrt{\frac{t}{n}}  \tag{4.1}\\
& \leq C l \sqrt{\frac{t}{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{l} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_{i+1}-t_{i}}} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

We deduce that the sequence of random variables $\sqrt{n} \beta_{N_{t}}^{n}\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{N_{t}}\right)$ is dominated by an integrable random variable by Proposition 3.1. This concludes the proof.
5. Conditioning with respect to the positions at jump times and representation using the Bessel process. It follows from the discussion of Section 4 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M_{t} \geq x>M_{t}^{n}\right\}}\right)= & \mathbb{E}_{0}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{0} \geq x>M_{t}^{0, n}\right\}}\right) \mathbb{P}\left(N_{t}=0\right) \\
& +\mathbb{E}\left(\alpha_{N_{t}}^{n}\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{N_{t}}\right)\right)+o(1 / \sqrt{n}),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbb{E}_{0}=\mathbb{E}\left(. \mid N_{t}=0\right)$ and $\alpha_{l}^{n}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{l} \alpha_{l}^{j, n}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l}\right)$. We have
$\alpha_{l}^{j, n}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{j} \geq x>M^{j, n}, M^{j}>\max _{i \neq j} M^{i}\right\}} \mid N_{t}=l, T_{1}=t_{1}, \ldots, T_{l}=t_{l}\right)$.
For $j=0, \ldots, l$, we set

$$
\hat{\beta}_{u}^{j}=\frac{X_{t_{j}+u\left(t_{j+1}-t_{j}\right)}}{\sigma \sqrt{t_{j+1}-t_{j}}}, \text { for } u \in[0,1), \quad \text { and } \hat{\beta}_{1}^{j}=\frac{X_{t_{j+1}^{-}}}{\sigma \sqrt{t_{j+1}-t_{j}}} .
$$

We have, putting $\sigma_{j}=\sigma \sqrt{t_{j+1}-t_{j}}$,

$$
M^{j}=\sigma_{j} \hat{M}^{j} \quad \text { and } \quad M^{j, n}=\sigma_{j} \hat{M}^{j, n},
$$

where

$$
\hat{M}^{j}=\sup _{u \in[0,1]} \hat{\beta}_{u}^{j} \quad \text { and } \quad \hat{M}^{j, n}=\sup _{k \in I_{n}^{j}} \hat{\beta}_{\frac{\lambda_{j} k}{j}}^{j}-\hat{t}_{j},
$$

with the notations $\lambda_{j}=t /\left(t_{j+1}-t_{j}\right), \hat{t}_{j}=t_{j} /\left(t_{j+1}-t_{j}\right)$ and

$$
I_{n}^{j}=\left\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid t_{j} \leq k t / n<t_{j+1}\right\} \text { for } j=0, \ldots, l-1
$$

and $I_{n}^{l}=\left\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid t_{j} \leq k t / n \leq t_{l+1}=t\right\}$. Here again we use the convention $\hat{M}^{j, n}=-\infty$ if $I_{n}^{j}=\emptyset$.

For the computation of $\alpha_{l}^{j, n}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l}\right)$, we will further condition with respect to $\left\{X_{T_{1}}=x_{1}, \ldots, X_{T_{l}}=x_{l}\right\}$, where $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{l}$ are arbitrary real numbers. So, we introduce the notations

$$
\theta=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l}\right), \quad \xi=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{l}\right),
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{P}_{l, \theta, \xi}=\mathbb{P}\left(\cdot \mid N_{t}=l, T_{k}=t_{k}, X_{T_{k}}=x_{k}, k=1, \ldots, l\right) .
$$

The expectation under $\mathbb{P}_{l, \theta, \xi}$ will be denoted by $\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}$. Note that, under $\mathbb{P}_{l, \theta, \xi}$, the processes $\hat{\beta}^{j}$ are independent Brownian motions on the interval $[0,1]$, with initial values $\hat{\beta}_{0}^{j}=\hat{x}_{j}$, with $\hat{x}_{j}=x_{j} / \sigma_{j}, j=0, \ldots, l$.

With these notations, we can state the following lemma.
Lemma 5.1. We have

$$
\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{j} \geq x>M^{j, n}, M^{j}>\max _{i \neq j} M^{i}\right\}}\right)=\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{j} \geq x>M^{j, n}\right\}} \alpha_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j}\left(X_{t_{j+1}^{-}}, M^{j}\right)\right),
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j}(y, m)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\max _{i \neq j} M^{i}<m\right\}}\right) \text { if } j=0, \ldots, l-1 \\
g(y) \mathbb{P}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(\max _{i \neq j} M^{i}<m\right) \text { if } j=l .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. Note that, if $j<l$, under $\mathbb{P}_{l, \theta, \xi}$, the pair $\left(M^{j}, M^{j, n}\right)$ on the one hand, and the random variables $X_{t}, M^{i}$ for $i \neq j$ on the other hand are independent, so that

$$
\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{j} \geq x>M^{j, n}, M^{j}>\max _{i \neq j} M^{i}\right\}}\right)=\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{j} \geq x>M^{j, n}\right\}} \alpha_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j}\left(M^{j}\right)\right),
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j}(m)=\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\max _{i \neq j} M^{i}<m\right\}}\right) .
$$

Note that in this case the function $\alpha_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j}$ does not depend on $y$. Now, if $j=l$, we have $X_{t}=X_{t_{j+1}^{-}}$and the random variables $M^{i}$ for $i<l$ are independent of the pair $\left(X_{t_{l+1}}, M^{l}\right)$, so that
$\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{j} \geq x>M^{j, n}, M^{j}>\max _{i \neq j} M^{i}\right\}}\right)=\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{j} \geq x>M^{j, n}\right\}} \alpha_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j}\left(X_{t_{j}+1}, M^{j}\right)\right)$,
with

$$
\alpha_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j}(y, m)=g(y) \mathbb{P}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(\max _{i \neq j} M^{i}<m\right) .
$$

We will now give a representation of the expectations in Lemma 5.1 in terms of Bessel processes. Set $\tau^{j}=\sup \left\{u \in[0,1] \mid \hat{\beta}_{u}^{j}=\hat{M}^{j}\right\}$. Conditionally on $\tau^{j}=s$ and $\hat{M}^{j}=m$, we set $R_{1}^{j}(u)=m-\hat{\beta}_{s-u}^{j}$, for $u \in[0, s]$ and $R_{2}^{j}(v)=m-\hat{\beta}_{s+v}^{j}$, for $v \in[0,1-s]$. We know that, conditionally on $\left\{\tau^{j}=s, \hat{M}^{j}=m, \hat{\beta}_{1}^{j}=y\right\}$, the processes $R_{1}^{j}$ et $R_{2}^{j}$ are independent Bessel bridges of dimension 3 (cf. [1], Proposition 2). We can write, conditionally on $\left\{\tau^{j}=s, \hat{M}^{j}=m\right\}$,

$$
\hat{M}^{j}-\hat{M}^{j, n}=\min _{k \in I_{n}^{-}} R_{1}^{j}\left(s+\hat{t}_{j}-\lambda_{j}(k / n)\right) \wedge \min _{k \in I_{n}^{+}} R_{2}^{j}\left(\lambda_{j}(k / n)-\hat{t}_{j}-s\right),
$$

with

$$
I_{n}^{-}=\left\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid 0 \leq s+\hat{t}_{j}-\lambda_{j}(k / n) \leq s\right\}, \quad I_{n}^{+}=\left\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid s \leq \lambda_{j}(k / n)-\hat{t}_{j} \leq 1\right\}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{M}^{j}-\hat{M}^{j, n}=\min _{0 \leq k \leq N_{n}^{1}} R_{1}^{j}\left(d_{n}^{j}(s)+\lambda_{j}(k / n)\right) \wedge \min _{1 \leq k \leq N_{n}^{2}} R_{2}^{j}\left(\lambda_{j}(k / n)-d_{n}^{j}(s)\right), \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $d_{n}^{j}(s)=\hat{t}_{j}+s-\frac{\lambda_{j}}{n}\left[\frac{n\left(\hat{t}_{j}+s\right)}{\lambda_{j}}\right]$ (where $[x]$ is the greatest integer in $x$; note that $\left.0 \leq d_{n}^{j}(s) \leq \lambda_{j} / n\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{n}^{1}=\max \left\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid d_{n}^{j}(s)+\lambda_{j}(k / n) \leq s\right\}, \\
& N_{n}^{2}=\max \left\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid-d_{n}^{j}(s)+\lambda_{j}(k / n) \leq 1-s\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $N_{n}^{1}$ is a well defined non-negative integer if $I_{n}^{-}$is not empty and $N_{n}^{2}$ is a well defined positive integer if $I_{n}^{+}$is not empty. When one of the two sets is empty and not the other, the minimum in (5.1) is considered on the non-empty set. Note that, if $\lambda_{j} / n<1$ (or, equivalently, $t_{j+1}-t_{j}>t / n$ ), at least one of the two sets is non-empty. In the following proposition, we will also use the notation

$$
\gamma_{j}=\gamma \sqrt{t_{j+1}-t_{j}} / \sigma
$$

It should be emphasized that, in the next statement, there is no conditioning on the terminal values of the Bessel processes, in contrast with the statement of Proposition 2 of 1].

Proposition 5.2. Assume $\lambda_{j} / n<1$. For any bounded Borel measurable function $F: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi} F\left(X_{t_{j+1}^{-}}, M^{j}, M^{j}-M^{j, n}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} d s \mathbb{E}\left(\hat{F}\left(R_{1}(s), R_{2}(1-s), R_{s}^{j, n}\right)\right)
$$

where

$$
\hat{F}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \rho\right)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{\gamma_{j}\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)-\left(\gamma_{j}^{2} / 2\right)}}{r_{1} r_{2}} F\left(x_{j}+\sigma_{j}\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right), x_{j}+\sigma_{j} r_{1}, \sigma_{j} \rho\right)
$$

$R_{1}$ et $R_{2}$ are independent three-dimensional Bessel processes, starting from 0, and

$$
R_{s}^{j, n}=\min _{-N_{n}^{2} \leq k \leq N_{n}^{1}} \check{R}\left(d_{n}^{j}(s)+\lambda_{j}(k / n)\right)
$$

with $\check{R}(u)=R_{1}(u)$ for $u \geq 0$ and $\check{R}(u)=R_{2}(-u)$ for $u<0$.
Proof. Note that $F\left(X_{t_{j+1}^{-}}, M^{j}, M^{j}-M^{j, n}\right)=F\left(\sigma_{j} \hat{\beta}_{1}, \sigma_{j} \hat{M}^{j}, \sigma_{j}\left(\hat{M}^{j}-\hat{M}^{j, n}\right)\right)$. In view of the discussion before the statement of Proposition 5.2, we observe that the conditional distribution of $\hat{M}^{j}-\hat{M}^{j, n}$ given $\left\{\tau^{j}=s, \hat{M}^{j}=m, \hat{\beta}_{1}^{j}=y\right\}$ is the same as the conditional distribution of $R_{s}^{j, n}$ given $\left\{R_{1}(s)=m-\hat{x}_{j}, R_{2}(1-s)=m-y\right\}$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(F\left(X_{t_{j+1}^{-}}, M^{j}, M^{j}-M^{j, n}\right) \mid \tau^{j}=s, \hat{M}^{j}=m, \hat{\beta}_{1}^{j}=y\right)= \\
& \quad \mathbb{E}\left(F\left(\sigma_{j} y, \sigma_{j} m, \sigma_{j} R_{s}^{j, n}\right) \mid R_{1}(s)=m-\hat{x}_{j}, R_{2}(1-s)=m-y\right) \\
& =\psi_{s}^{j}\left(m-\hat{x}_{j}, m-y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\psi_{s}^{j}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(G\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, R_{s}^{j, n}\right) \mid R_{1}(s)=r_{1}, R_{2}(1-s)=r_{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
G\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \rho\right)=F\left(x_{j}+\sigma_{j}\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right), x_{j}+\sigma_{j} r_{1}, \sigma_{j} \rho\right)
$$

Recall that, under probability $\mathbb{P}_{l, \theta, \xi}$, the process $\left(\hat{\beta}_{u}^{j}\right)_{u \in[0,1]}$ is a Brownian motion, starting from $\hat{x}_{j}=x_{j} / \sigma_{j}$, with drift $\gamma_{j}$ and with unit variance coefficient. It follows that the conditional probability density function of the pair $\left(\tau^{j}, \hat{M}^{j}\right)$ given $\hat{\beta}_{1}^{j}=y$ can be written

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\tau^{j} \in d s, \hat{M}^{j} \in d m \mid \hat{\beta}_{1}^{j}=y\right)=\frac{u\left(s, m-\hat{x}_{j}\right) u(1-s, m-y)}{n\left(y-\hat{x}_{j}\right)} d s d m
$$

where $n$ is the probability density function of the standard normal distribution and

$$
u(s, m)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{m}{s^{3 / 2}} e^{-m^{2} /(2 s)}, \quad m>0
$$

The above expression of the conditional distribution of $\left(\tau^{j}, \hat{M}^{j}\right)$ follows from Proposition 8.15 in Chapter II of [6].

We now have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi} F\left(X_{t_{j+1}^{-}}, M^{j}, M^{j}-M^{j, n}\right)= \\
& \quad \int \mathbb{P}\left(\tau^{j} \in d s, \hat{M}^{j} \in d m, \hat{\beta}_{1}^{j} \in d y\right) \psi_{s}^{j}\left(m-\hat{x}_{j}, m-y\right) \\
& =\int \mathbb{P}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}^{j} \in d y\right) \int_{0}^{1} d s \int_{\hat{x}_{j} \vee y}^{\infty} \frac{d m}{n\left(y-\hat{x}_{j}\right)} u\left(s, m-\hat{x}_{j}\right) u(1-s, m-y) \psi_{s}^{j}\left(m-\hat{x}_{j}, m-y\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathbb{P}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}^{j} \in d y\right)=n\left(y-\hat{x}_{j}-\gamma_{j}\right) d y$, we can write, with the substitution $r_{1}=m-\hat{x}_{j}$, $r_{2}=m-y$ in the integral with respect to $y$ and $m$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi} F\left(X_{t_{j+1}^{-}}, M^{j}, M^{j}-M^{j, n}\right)= \\
& \int_{0}^{1} d s \int_{0}^{\infty} d r_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} d r_{2} e^{\gamma_{j}\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)-\left(\gamma_{j}^{2} / 2\right)} u\left(s, r_{1}\right) u\left(1-s, r_{2}\right) \psi_{s}^{j}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) . \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that the transition density of the three-dimensional Bessel process is given by

$$
\tilde{q}_{t}(x, y)=\frac{1}{x} q_{t}(x, y) y, \quad x, y>0, t>0
$$

where $q_{t}(x, y)$ is the transition density of Brownian motion (on $[0,+\infty)$ ) killed when it hits 0 , which can be written

$$
q_{t}(x, y)=g_{t}(x-y)-g_{t}(x+y)
$$

where $g_{t}$ is the density of the normal distribution with mean 0 and variance $t$. For these properties of the Bessel process, see [11] (Chapter VI, Section 3). For $x=0$, we have

$$
\tilde{q}_{t}(0, y)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{y^{2}}{t^{3 / 2}} e^{-y^{2} /(2 t)}, \quad y>0, \quad t>0
$$

Note that, for any $m>0$ and for any $s>0$,

$$
u(s, m)=\frac{1}{m \sqrt{2}} \tilde{q}_{s}(0, m)
$$

Hence, (5.2) can be written as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi} F\left(X_{t_{j+1}^{-}}, M^{j}, M^{j}-M^{j, n}\right)= \\
& \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} d s \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(R_{1}^{j}(s) \in d r_{1}, R_{2}^{j}(1-s) \in d r_{2}\right) \frac{e^{\gamma_{j}\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)-\left(\gamma_{j}^{2} / 2\right)}}{r_{1} r_{2}} \psi_{s}^{j}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} d s \mathbb{E}\left(L_{s}^{j} \mathbb{E}\left(G\left(R_{1}(s), R_{2}(1-s), R_{s}^{j, n}\right) \mid R_{1}(s), R_{2}(1-s)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{s}^{j}=\frac{e^{\gamma_{j}\left(R_{1}(s)-R_{2}(1-s)\right)-\left(\gamma_{j}^{2} / 2\right)}}{2 R_{1}(s) R_{2}(1-s)} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proposition then follows from the equality

$$
\hat{F}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \rho\right)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{\gamma_{j}\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)-\left(\gamma_{j}^{2} / 2\right)}}{r_{1} r_{2}} G\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \rho\right)
$$

6. Transition density of the Bessel process. In this section, we give some estimates for the transition density $\left(\tilde{q}_{t}(x, y)\right)_{t>0}, x, y \geq 0$ of the three-dimensional Bessel process. As noted previously, we have

$$
\tilde{q}_{t}(x, y)=\frac{1}{x} q_{t}(x, y) y, \quad x, y>0, t>0
$$

where $q_{t}(x, y)$ is the density of the Brownian motion killed at 0 , which can be written

$$
q_{t}(x, y)=g_{t}(x-y)-g_{t}(x+y)
$$

where $g_{t}$ is the density of the normal distribution with mean 0 and variance $t$. For $x=0$, we have

$$
\tilde{q}_{t}(0, y)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{y^{2}}{t^{3 / 2}} e^{-y^{2} /(2 t)}, \quad y>0, t>0
$$

We set, for $r>0, m>0$,

$$
\bar{q}_{t}(r, m)=\frac{\tilde{q}_{t}(r, m)}{m}=\frac{1}{r} q_{t}(r, m)
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{q}_{t}(r, m) & =\frac{1}{r}\left(g_{t}(r-m)-g_{t}(r+m)\right) \\
& =-\int_{-1}^{+1} g_{t}^{\prime}(m+r \xi) d \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

This last expression allows to extend by continuity the definition of $\bar{q}_{t}(r, m)$ for $r=0$ or $m=0$, so that

$$
\bar{q}_{t}(0, m)=-\int_{-1}^{+1} g_{t}^{\prime}(m) d \xi=-2 g_{t}^{\prime}(m)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{m}{t^{3 / 2}} e^{-m^{2} /(2 t)}
$$

Notice that, for any $r \geq 0, \bar{q}_{t}(r, 0)=0$.
Proposition 6.1. We have the following estimates, for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.

1. For any $s, r, m>0$,

$$
\bar{q}_{s}(r, m) e^{\gamma m-\left(\gamma^{2} s / 2\right)} \leq \frac{1}{s} e^{\gamma_{+} r}\left(C_{1}+C_{2} \gamma_{+} \sqrt{s}\right)
$$

with $C_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi e}}$ and $C_{2}=\sqrt{2 / \pi}$.
2. For any $r, m>0$,

$$
\int_{0}^{1} d s e^{\gamma m-\left(\gamma^{2} s / 2\right)} \bar{q}_{s}(r, m) \leq 2^{3 / 2} e^{r \gamma_{+}}
$$

3. For any $s, r>0$,

$$
\int_{0}^{+\infty} d m e^{\gamma m-\left(\gamma^{2} s / 2\right)} \bar{q}_{s}(r, m) \leq \frac{4 \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi s}} e^{r \gamma_{+}}
$$

Proof. Note that we can assume that $\gamma \geq 0$ because, for $\gamma<0$, $e^{\gamma m-\left(\gamma^{2} s / 2\right)} \leq 1$. We have, using the equalities $g_{t}(x)=g_{1}(x / \sqrt{t}) / \sqrt{t}$ and $g_{1}^{\prime}(x)=-x g_{1}(x)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{q}_{s}(r, m) & =-\int_{-1}^{+1} g_{s}^{\prime}(m+r \xi) d \xi \\
& =\frac{1}{s} \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{m+r \xi}{\sqrt{s}} g_{1}\left(\frac{m+r \xi}{\sqrt{s}}\right) d \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
e^{\gamma m-\left(\gamma^{2} s / 2\right)} g_{1}\left(\frac{m+r \xi}{\sqrt{s}}\right)=e^{-\gamma r \xi} g_{1}\left(\frac{m+r \xi-\gamma s}{\sqrt{s}}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{\gamma m-\left(\gamma^{2} s / 2\right)} \bar{q}_{s}(r, m) & =\frac{1}{s} \int_{-1}^{+1} e^{-\gamma r \xi} \frac{m+r \xi}{\sqrt{s}} g_{1}\left(\frac{m+r \xi-\gamma s}{\sqrt{s}}\right) d \xi  \tag{6.1}\\
& \leq \frac{e^{r \gamma}}{s} \int_{-1}^{+1}\left|\frac{m+r \xi-\gamma s}{\sqrt{s}}+\gamma \sqrt{s}\right| g_{1}\left(\frac{m+r \xi-\gamma s}{\sqrt{s}}\right) d \xi \\
& \leq 2 \frac{e^{r \gamma}}{s}\left(\sup _{x>0} x g_{1}(x)+\gamma \sqrt{s} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

which gives the first inequality. For the second and third inequality, we start from (6.1) and notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(m+r \xi-\gamma s)^{2} & =(m+r \xi)^{2}+\gamma^{2} s^{2}-2 \gamma s(m+r \xi) \\
& \geq(m+r \xi)^{2}+\gamma^{2} s^{2}-\left(2 \gamma^{2} s^{2}+\frac{(m+r \xi)^{2}}{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{(m+r \xi)^{2}}{2}-\gamma^{2} s^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We deduce

$$
g_{1}\left(\frac{m+r \xi-\gamma s}{\sqrt{s}}\right) \leq e^{\gamma^{2} s / 2} g_{1}\left(\frac{m+r \xi}{\sqrt{2 s}}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\gamma m-\left(\gamma^{2} s / 2\right)} \bar{q}_{s}(r, m) \leq e^{\gamma r} \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{|m+r \xi|}{s^{3 / 2}} g_{1}\left(\frac{m+r \xi}{\sqrt{2 s}}\right) d \xi \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, integrating with respect to $s$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} d s e^{\gamma m-\left(\gamma^{2} s / 2\right)} \bar{q}_{s}(r, m) & \leq e^{\gamma r} \int_{-1}^{+1}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{|m+r \xi|}{s^{3 / 2}} g_{1}\left(\frac{m+r \xi}{\sqrt{2 s}}\right) d s\right) d \xi \\
& =2^{3 / 2} e^{r \gamma} \int_{-1}^{+1}\left(\int_{\mid m+r \xi] / \sqrt{2}}^{+\infty} g_{1}(u) d u\right) d \xi \\
& \leq 2^{3 / 2} e^{r \gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have set $u=|m+r \xi| / \sqrt{2 s}$. Integrating (6.2) with respect to $m$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{\gamma m-\left(\gamma^{2} s / 2\right)} \bar{q}_{s}(r, m) d m & \leq e^{\gamma r} \int_{-1}^{+1}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{|m+r \xi|}{s^{3 / 2}} g_{1}\left(\frac{m+r \xi}{\sqrt{2 s}}\right) d m\right) d \xi \\
& \leq e^{\gamma r} \int_{-1}^{+1}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|m+r \xi|}{s^{3 / 2}} g_{1}\left(\frac{m+r \xi}{\sqrt{2 s}}\right) d m\right) d \xi \\
& =4 \frac{e^{\gamma r}}{s^{1 / 2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}|z| g_{1}(z) d z=4 \sqrt{2} \frac{e^{\gamma r}}{\sqrt{s \pi}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have set $z=(m+r \xi) / \sqrt{2 s}$.
We complete this section with a lemma concerning the minimum of the Bessel process. This result is a consequence of Lemma 3 of [1].

Lemma 6.2. Let $(R(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be a three-dimensional Bessel process starting from 0 and let $t_{1}, t_{2}, y, m, b$ be positive numbers, with $t_{1}<t_{2}$. We have, using the notation $R^{\sharp}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=\min _{u \in\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]} R(u)$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(R^{\sharp}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \leq b \mid R\left(t_{1}\right)=y, R\left(t_{2}\right)=m\right) \leq \frac{b(m+y)}{y m}
$$

Proof. We assume that $b<y \wedge m$, since if $b \geq y \wedge m$, the upper bound is larger than or equal to 1 . We then have, using Lemma 3 of [1] (and the fact that the Bessel bridge can be viewed as a Brownian bridge conditioned to remain positive: see the proof of Lemma 4 of [1]),

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(R^{\sharp}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \leq b \mid R\left(t_{1}\right)=y, R\left(t_{2}\right)=m\right)=\frac{e^{2(b-y)(m-b) / T}-e^{-2 y m / T}}{1-e^{-2 y m / T}},
$$

with $T=t_{2}-t_{1}$. Hence, using the convexity of the exponential function and the inequality $b(m-b+y) \leq y m$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(R^{\sharp}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \leq b \mid R\left(t_{1}\right)=y, R\left(t_{2}\right)=m\right) & =\frac{e^{2((b-y)(m-b)+y m) / T}-1}{e^{2 y m / T}-1} \\
& =\frac{e^{2 b(m-b+y) / T}-1}{e^{2 y m / T}-1} \\
& \leq \frac{b(m-b+y)}{y m} \leq \frac{b(m+y)}{y m}
\end{aligned}
$$

7. Domination of the conditional probability. The following proposition will be used to ensure the domination of conditional expectations.

Proposition 7.1. There exists a constant $C_{t, \gamma, \sigma}$ (depending only on $t, \gamma$ and $\sigma$ ) such that, for any $l \in \mathbb{N}, \theta=\left(0<t_{1}<\ldots<t_{l}<t\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{l}$ et $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{l}$, we have, for $j=0, \ldots, l$,

$$
\mathbb{P}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(M^{j} \geq x>M^{j, n}\right) \leq \mathbb{1}_{\left\{t_{j+1}-t_{j} \leq 8 t / n\right\}}+\frac{C_{t, \gamma, \sigma}}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_{j+1}-t_{j}}}
$$

Proof. Note that $\mathbb{P}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(M^{j} \geq x>M^{j, n}\right)=\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi} F\left(M^{j}, M^{j}-M^{j, n}\right)$, if we define the function $F$ by $F(m, \rho)=\mathbb{1}_{\{x \leq m<x+\rho\}}$. It follows from Proposition 5.2 that

$$
\mathbb{P}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(M^{j} \geq x>M^{j, n}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} d s \mathbb{E}\left(L_{s}^{j} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{x}_{j} \leq R_{1}(s) \leq \tilde{x}_{j}+R_{s}^{j, n}\right\}}\right)
$$

where $L_{s}^{j}$ is given by (5.3) and

$$
\tilde{x}_{j}=\frac{x-x_{j}}{\sigma_{j}}
$$

We can obviously assume that $t_{j+1}-t_{j}>8 t / n$, which, with the notations of Section 5 can be written $\lambda_{j} / n<1 / 8$ and ensures that at least one of the sets $I_{n}^{-}$and $I_{n}^{+}$is nonempty. So we can bound the random variable $R_{s}^{j, n}$ by $R^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)$ where, for $u \in[0,1]$, we set

$$
R^{*}(u)=\max _{-u \leq v \leq+u} \check{R}(v)
$$

Hence

$$
\mathbb{P}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(M^{j} \geq x>M^{j, n}\right) \leq \int_{0}^{1} d s \mathbb{E}\left(L_{s}^{j} I_{j}\left(R_{1}(s), R^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right)\right)
$$

where

$$
I_{j}(r, \rho)=\mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{x}_{j} \leq r \leq \tilde{x}_{j}+\rho\right\}}
$$

We have

$$
\int_{0}^{\lambda_{j} / n} d s \mathbb{E}\left(L_{s}^{j} I_{j}\left(R_{1}(s), R^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right)\right) \leq \int_{0}^{\lambda_{j} / n} d s \mathbb{E}\left(L_{s}^{j}\right)
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(L_{s}^{j}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{e^{\gamma_{j} R_{1}(s)-\frac{\gamma_{j}^{2} s}{2}}}{\sqrt{2} R_{1}(s)}\right) \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{e^{\gamma_{j} R_{2}(1-s)-\frac{\gamma_{j}^{2}(1-s)}{2}}}{\sqrt{2} R_{2}(1-s)}\right)
$$

By scaling, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{e^{\gamma_{j} R_{1}(s)-\frac{\gamma_{j}^{2} s}{2}}}{\sqrt{2} R_{1}(s)}\right) & =\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{e^{\gamma_{j} \sqrt{s} R_{1}(1)-\frac{\gamma_{j}^{2} s}{2}}}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{s} R_{1}(1)}\right) \\
& \leq C_{t, \gamma, \sigma} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{e^{\gamma_{j} R_{2}(1-s)-\frac{\gamma_{j}^{2}(1-s)}{2}}}{\sqrt{2} R_{2}(1-s)}\right) \leq C_{t, \gamma, \sigma} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-s}}
$$

Therefore

$$
\int_{0}^{\lambda_{j} / n} d s \mathbb{E}\left(L_{s}^{j}\right) \leq C_{t, \gamma, \sigma} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{j}}{n}}=\frac{C_{t, \gamma, \sigma}}{\sqrt{t_{j+1}-t_{j}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}
$$

and, by a similar argument, $\int_{1-\lambda_{j} / n}^{1} d s \mathbb{E}\left(L_{s}^{j}\right) \leq \frac{C_{t, \gamma, \sigma}}{\sqrt{t_{j+1}-t_{j}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$. It remains to study the integral on the interval $\left[\lambda_{j} / n, 1-\lambda_{j} / n\right]$. Denote by $\left(\mathcal{F}_{s}\right)_{s \geq 0}$ the natural filtration of the pair $\left(R^{1}, R^{2}\right)$. For $s \in\left[\lambda_{j} / n, 1-\lambda_{j} / n\right]$, we have, by conditioning with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_{j} / n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left(L_{s}^{j} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{R_{1}(s) \in\left[\tilde{x}_{j}, \tilde{x}_{j}+R^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right]\right\}}\right) \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} d m \bar{q}_{s-\lambda_{j} / n}^{j}\left(R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right), m\right) \phi_{1-s-\lambda_{j} / n}^{j}\left(R_{2}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right) I_{j}\left(m, R^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\bar{q}_{s}^{j}(r, m)=e^{\gamma_{j} m-\gamma_{j}^{2} / 2} \bar{q}_{s}(r, m) \quad \text { and } \quad \phi_{s}^{j}(r)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d m e^{-\gamma_{j} m} \bar{q}_{s}(r, m)
$$

By Proposition 6.1, we have

$$
\phi_{s}^{j}(r) \leq \frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi s}} e^{r\left|\gamma_{j}\right|+\left(\gamma_{j}^{2} / 2\right)} \leq \frac{C_{t, \gamma, \sigma}}{\sqrt{s}} e^{r\left|\gamma_{j}\right|}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\lambda_{j} / n}^{1-\lambda_{j} / n} d s \bar{q}_{s-\lambda_{j} / n}^{j}\left(R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right), m\right) \phi_{1-s-\lambda_{j} / n}^{j}\left(R_{2}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{1-2 \lambda j / n} d s \bar{q}_{s}^{j}\left(R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right), m\right) \phi_{1-s-2 \frac{\lambda_{j}}{n}}^{j}\left(R_{2}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $s \in\left[0,1 / 2-\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right]$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-s-2 \lambda_{j} / n}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 / 2-\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)}} \leq 2
$$

because $\lambda_{j} / n<1 / 4$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\lambda_{j} / n}^{1 / 2-\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)} d s \bar{q}_{s-\lambda_{j} / n}^{j}\left(R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right), m\right) \phi_{1-s-\lambda_{j} / n}^{j}\left(R_{2}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right) \\
& \leq C_{t, \gamma, \sigma} \int_{0}^{1} d s \bar{q}_{s}^{j}\left(R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right), m\right) e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right| R_{2}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)} \\
& \leq C_{t, \gamma, \sigma} e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right|\left(R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)+R_{2}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from Proposition 6.1 On the other hand, for $s \in$ [1/2-( $\left.\left.\lambda_{j} / n\right), 1-\lambda_{j} / n\right]$, we have, using the first inequality of Proposition 6.1,

$$
\bar{q}_{s-\lambda_{j} / n}^{j}(r, m) \leq \frac{C_{t, \gamma, \sigma}}{s-\lambda_{j} / n} e^{r\left|\gamma_{j}\right|} \leq 4 C_{t, \gamma, \sigma} e^{r\left|\gamma_{j}\right|}
$$

Here, the last inequality follows from the condition $\lambda_{j} / n<1 / 8$. We deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{1 / 2-\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)}^{1-\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)} d s \bar{q}_{s-\lambda_{j} / n}^{j}\left(R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right), m\right) \phi_{1-s-\lambda_{j} / n}^{j}\left(R_{2}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right) \\
& \leq C_{t, \gamma, \sigma} e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right| R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)} \int_{1 / 2}^{1} d s \phi_{1-s}^{j}\left(R_{2}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right) \\
& \leq C_{t, \gamma, \sigma} e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right|\left(R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)+R_{2}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right)} \int_{1 / 2}^{1} \frac{d s}{\sqrt{1-s}} \\
& \leq C_{t, \gamma, \sigma} e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right|\left(R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)+R_{2}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\lambda_{j} / n}^{1-\lambda_{j} / n} d s \mathbb{E}\left(L_{s}^{j} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{R_{1}(s) \in\left[\tilde{x}_{j}, \tilde{x}_{j}+R^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right]\right\}}\right) \\
& \leq C_{t, \gamma, \sigma} \mathbb{E}\left(e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right|\left(R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)+R_{2}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} d m I_{j}\left(m, R^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right)\right)  \tag{7.1}\\
& \leq C_{t, \gamma, \sigma} \mathbb{E}\left(R^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right) e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right|\left(R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)+R_{2}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right)}\right) \\
& =C_{t, \gamma, \sigma} \sqrt{\lambda_{j} / n} \mathbb{E}\left(R^{*}(1) e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right| \sqrt{\lambda_{j} / n}\left(R_{1}(1)+R_{2}(2)\right)}\right) \\
& =\frac{C_{t, \gamma, \sigma}}{\sqrt{t_{j+1}-t_{j}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the scaling property of the Bessel process, $\sqrt{\lambda_{j}}=\sqrt{t /\left(t_{j+1}-t_{j}\right)}$, and $\left|\gamma_{j}\right| \sqrt{\lambda_{j}}=|\gamma| \sqrt{t} / \sigma$.

REMARK 7.2. It follows from the proof of the proposition that, for any $\delta>0$, we have

$$
\mathbb{P}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(M^{j} \geq x>M^{j}-\delta / \sqrt{n}\right) \leq \mathbb{1}_{\left\{t_{j+1}-t_{j} \leq 8 t / n\right\}}+\frac{C_{t, \gamma, \sigma, \delta}}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_{j+1}-t_{j}}}
$$

Indeed, we have $\mathbb{P}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(M^{j} \geq x>M^{j}-\delta / \sqrt{n}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} d s \mathbb{E}\left(L_{s}^{j} I_{j}\left(R_{1}(s), \delta /\left(\sigma_{j} \sqrt{n}\right)\right)\right)$, and we can replace $R^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)$ with $\delta /\left(\sigma_{j} \sqrt{n}\right)$ in (7.1).
8. Convergence of the conditional expectation. The aim of this section is to prove the following result and to deduce Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 8.1. Let $F: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded Borel measurable function, such that $m \mapsto F(y, m)$ is continuous for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$.

We have, with the notation $\mathbb{E}_{0}=\mathbb{E}\left(. \mid N_{t}=0\right)$,
$\mathbb{E}_{0}\left(F\left(X_{t}, M^{0}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{0} \geq x>M^{0, n}\right\}}\right)=\mathbb{E}_{0}\left(F\left(X_{t}, M^{0}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{0} \geq x>M^{0}-\sigma \beta_{1} \sqrt{t / n}\right\}}\right)+o(1 / \sqrt{n})$.

Moreover, for any positive integer $l$, and for any $\theta=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{l}$, with $0<t_{1}<\ldots<t_{l}<t, \xi=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{l}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{l}$, we have, for $j \in\{0,1, \ldots, l\}$, if $x_{j} \neq x$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(F\left(X_{t_{j+1}^{-}}, M^{j}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{j} \geq x>M^{j, n}\right\}}\right)= & \mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(F\left(X_{t_{j+1}^{-}}, M^{j}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{j} \geq x>M^{j}-\sigma \beta_{1} \sqrt{t / n}\right\}}\right) \\
& +o(1 / \sqrt{n}),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta_{1}$ is defined as in Theorem 2.1.
We will first show how Theorem 2.1] can be deduced from Theorem 8.1.
Proof of Theorem 2.1. Observe that, with the notation $\mathbb{E}_{0}=\mathbb{E}\left(\cdot \mid N_{t}=0\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M_{t} \geq x>M_{t}^{n}\right\}}\right)= & \mathbb{E}_{0}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{0} \geq x>M^{0, n}\right\}}\right) \mathbb{P}\left(N_{t}=0\right) \\
& +\mathbb{E}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M_{t} \geq x>M_{t}^{n}\right\}} \mid N_{t} \geq 1\right) \mathbb{P}\left(N_{t} \geq 1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Theorem 8.1, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}_{0}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{0} \geq x>M^{0, n}\right\}}\right)=\mathbb{E}_{0}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{0} \geq x>M^{0}-\sigma \beta_{1} \sqrt{t / n}\right\}}\right)+o(1 / \sqrt{n})
$$

On the other hand, we deduce from Proposition 4.1 that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M_{t} \geq x>M_{t}^{n}\right\}} \mid N_{t} \geq 1\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{N_{t}} \alpha_{N_{t}}^{j, n}\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right) \mid N_{t} \geq 1\right)+o(1 / \sqrt{n})
$$

where, for any positive integer $l$, and for $j=0, \ldots, l$,

$$
\alpha_{l}^{j, n}(\theta)=\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{j} \geq x>M^{j, n}, M^{j}>\max _{i \neq j} M^{i}\right\}}\right) .
$$

Note that, as a consequence of Lemma 5.1] we have, assuming $x_{j} \neq x$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi} \alpha_{l}^{j, n}(\theta) & =\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{j} \geq x>M^{j, n}\right\}} \alpha_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j}\left(X_{t_{j+1}^{-}}, M^{j}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{j} \geq x>M^{j}-\beta_{1} \sigma \sqrt{t / n}\right\}} \alpha_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j}\left(X_{t_{j+1}^{-}}, M^{j}\right)\right)+o(1 / \sqrt{n}) \\
& =\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{j} \geq x>M^{j}-\beta_{1} \sigma \sqrt{t / n}, M^{j}>\max _{i \neq j} M^{i}\right\}}\right)+o(1 / \sqrt{n})
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second equality follows from Theorem8.1, and the last one from the expression of $\alpha_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j}$ (see Lemma 5.1 and its proof; note that $m \mapsto \alpha_{l, \theta, \xi}^{i}(y, m)$ is continuous because $\left.\mathbb{P}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(\max _{i \neq j} M_{i}=m\right)=0\right)$. By taking the sum over $j=0, \ldots, l$, we deduce that, for $l, \theta$ and $\xi$ fixed, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M_{t} \geq x>M_{t}^{n}\right\}}\right)=\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M_{t} \geq x>M_{t}-\beta_{1} \sigma \sqrt{t / n}\right\}}\right)+o(1 / \sqrt{n}) \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that $\mathbb{P}\left(X_{T_{j}}=x\right)=0$ for all jump times $T_{j}$ (including $T_{0}=0$, since $\left.x>0\right)$. Therefore, in order to get the resut for the unconditional expectations, we only need to check a domination condition. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M_{t} \geq x>M_{t}^{n}\right\}}\right)\right| & \leq\|g\|_{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(M_{t} \geq x>M_{t}^{n}\right) \\
& \leq\|g\|_{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{l} \mathbb{P}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(M^{j} \geq x>M^{j, n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{P}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(M_{t} \geq x>M_{t}-\beta_{1} \sigma \sqrt{t / n}\right) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{l} \mathbb{P}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(M^{j} \geq x>M^{j}-\beta_{1} \sigma \sqrt{t / n}\right)
$$

Using Proposition 7.1 and Remark 7.2, we deduce that

$$
\mathbb{P}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(M_{t} \geq x>M_{t}^{n}\right)+\mathbb{P}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(M_{t} \geq x>M_{t}-\beta_{1} \sigma \sqrt{t / n}\right) \leq P(l, \theta)
$$

where

$$
P(l, \theta)=P_{l}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{l} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{t_{j+1}-t_{j} \leq 8 t / n\right\}}+\frac{C_{t, \gamma, \sigma}}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=0}^{l} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_{j+1}-t_{j}}}
$$

It follows from Proposition 3.2 that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{N_{t}} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{T_{j+1} \wedge t-T_{j} \leq 8 t / n\right\}}\right) \leq \frac{8 t}{n} \mathbb{E}\left(N_{t}\left(N_{t}+1\right)\right)
$$

and, from Proposition 3.1, that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{N_{t}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T_{j+1} \wedge t-T_{j}}}\right) \leq 2 \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(N_{t}\left(N_{t}+1\right)\right)}{\sqrt{t}}
$$

The last two inequalities are sufficient to extend the estimate (8.1) to unconditional expectations.

For the proof of Theorem 8.1 we start from the representation given by Proposition 5.2, which reads

$$
\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(F\left(X_{t_{j+1}^{-}}, M^{j}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{j} \geq x>M^{j, n}\right\}}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, n}(s) d s
$$

with

$$
E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, n}(s)=\mathbb{E}\left(L_{s}^{j} \alpha_{j}\left(R_{1}(s), R_{2}(1-s)\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{x}_{j} \leq R_{1}(s) \leq \tilde{x}_{j}+R_{s}^{j, n}\right\}}\right)
$$

where $L_{s}^{j}=\frac{e^{\gamma_{j}\left(R_{1}(s)-R_{2}(1-s)\right)-\left(\gamma_{j}^{2} / 2\right)}}{2 R_{1}(s) R_{2}(1-s)}$,

$$
\alpha_{j}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=F\left(x_{j}+\sigma_{j}\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right), x_{j}+\sigma_{j} r_{1}\right), \text { and } \tilde{x}_{j}=\frac{x-x_{j}}{\sigma_{j}}
$$

Note that the function $\alpha_{j}$ is bounded, and $\left\|\alpha_{j}\right\|_{\infty}=\|F\|_{\infty}$. For any integer $J \geq 1$, we can write, for $n$ large enough,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, n}(s) d s=\int_{0}^{\frac{\lambda_{j}(J+1)}{n}} E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, n}(s) d s+\int_{1-\frac{\lambda_{j} J}{n}}^{1} E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, n}(s) d s+\int_{\frac{\lambda_{j}(J+1)}{n}}^{1-\frac{\lambda_{j} J}{n}} E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, n}(s) d s \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first two terms of this decomposition are controlled via the following lemma.

Lemma 8.2. For any integer $J \geq 1$, for any $(l, \theta, \xi)$, and for $j=0,1 \ldots, l$, we have, if $x_{j} \neq 0$

$$
\int_{0}^{\frac{\lambda_{j}(J+1)}{n}}\left|E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, n}(s)\right| d s+\int_{1-\frac{\lambda_{j} J}{n}}^{1}\left|E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, n}(s)\right| d s=o(1 / \sqrt{n})
$$

Proof. We will only consider the first integral, the argument is similar for the second term. Note that for $n$ large enough and $s \in\left[0, \lambda_{j}(J+1) / n\right]$, we have $1-s>\lambda_{j} / n$ and we can bound $R_{s}^{j, n}$ from above by $R_{2}^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)$ (with $R_{2}^{*}(s)=\max _{0 \leq u \leq s} R_{2}^{*}(u)$. Then we have, using the boundedness of $F$,

$$
\left|E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, n}(s)\right| \leq\|F\|_{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\tilde{L}_{s}^{j} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{x}_{j} \leq R_{1}(s) \leq \tilde{x}_{j}+R_{2}^{*}\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{n}\right)\right\}}\right),
$$

where $\tilde{L}_{s}^{j}=\frac{e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right|\left(R_{1}(s)+R_{2}(1-s)\right)}}{2 R_{1}(s) R_{2}(1-s)}$. Hence, with the substitution $s^{\prime}=n s$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{n} \int_{0}^{\lambda_{j}(J+1) / n}\left|E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, n}(s)\right| d s & \leq \sqrt{n}\|F\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{\lambda_{j}(J+1) / n} d s \mathbb{E}\left(\tilde{L}_{s}^{j} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{x}_{j} \leq R_{1}(s) \leq \tilde{x}_{j}+R_{2}^{*}\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{n}\right)\right\}}\right) \\
& =\|F\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{\lambda_{j}(J+1)} \frac{d s^{\prime}}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbb{E}\left(\tilde{L}_{s^{\prime} / n}^{j} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{x}_{j} \leq R_{1}\left(s^{\prime} / n\right) \leq \tilde{x}_{j}+R_{2}^{*}\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{n}\right)\right\}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By scaling, we can write, using the notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{j}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \rho\right)= & \frac{e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right|\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)}}{2 r_{1} r_{2}} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{x}_{j} \leq r_{1} \leq \tilde{x}_{j}+\rho\right\}} \\
\mathbb{E}\left(\tilde{L}_{s / n}^{j} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{x}_{j} \leq R_{1}(s / n) \leq \tilde{x}_{j}+R_{2}^{*}\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{n}\right)\right\}}\right) & =\mathbb{E}\left(\Lambda_{j}\left(R_{1}(s / n), R_{2}\left(1-\frac{s}{n}\right), R_{2}^{*}\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{n}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left(\Lambda_{j}\left(R_{1}(s) / \sqrt{n}, R_{2}\left(1-\frac{s}{n}\right), R_{2}^{*}\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{n}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \leq \sqrt{n} \mathbb{E}\left(\Lambda_{j}^{s, n}\left(R_{1}(s), R_{2}(1), R_{2}^{*}\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{n-s}\right)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\Lambda_{j}^{s, n}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \rho\right)=\frac{e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right|\left(\frac{r_{1}}{\sqrt{n}}+\sqrt{1-\frac{s}{n}} r_{2}\right)}}{2 r_{1} \sqrt{1-\frac{s}{n}} r_{2}} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{x}_{j} \leq \frac{r_{1}}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \tilde{x}_{j}+\rho\right\}}
$$

Hence

$$
\sqrt{n} \int_{0}^{\lambda_{j}(J+1) / n}\left|E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, n}(s)\right| d s \leq\|F\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{\lambda_{j}(J+1)} d s \mathbb{E}\left(\Lambda_{j}^{s, n}\left(R_{1}(s), R_{2}(1), R_{2}^{*}\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{n-s}\right)\right)\right)
$$

Now, if $n>2 \lambda_{j}(J+1)$, we have, for $s \in\left[0, \lambda_{j}(J+1)\right], n-s \geq n / 2$, so that $R_{2}^{*}\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{n-s}\right) \leq R_{2}^{*}\left(\frac{2 \lambda_{j}}{n}\right)$, and $\sqrt{1-s / n} \geq 1 / \sqrt{2}$, so that

$$
\Lambda_{j}^{s, n}\left(R_{1}(s), R_{2}(1), R_{2}^{*}\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{n-s}\right)\right) \leq \frac{e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right|\left(R_{1}(s)+R_{2}(1)\right)}}{\sqrt{2} R_{1}(s) R_{2}(1)} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{x}_{j} \leq R_{1}(s) / \sqrt{n} \leq \tilde{x}_{j}+R_{2}^{*}\left(\frac{2 \lambda_{j}}{n}\right)\right\}}
$$

If $x \neq x_{j}$, we have $\tilde{x}_{j} \neq 0$, and the right hand side of the inequality goes to 0 almost surely as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for all $s \in(0,1)$. Since $\int_{0}^{1} d s \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right|\left(R_{1}(s)+R_{2}(1)\right)}}{\sqrt{2} R_{1}(s) R_{2}(1)}\right)<\infty$, we conclude that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sqrt{n} \int_{0}^{\lambda_{j}(J+1) / n} E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, n}(s) d s\right)=0
$$

We will now examine the case $x<x_{j}$.
Lemma 8.3. If $x<x_{j}$, we have,

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left|E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, n}(s)\right| d s=o(1 / \sqrt{n})
$$

Proof. In view of Lemma8.2 it suffices to show that $\int_{\lambda_{j} / n}^{1-\lambda_{j} / n}\left|E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, n}(s)\right| d s=o(1 / \sqrt{n})$.
From (7.1), we have, for $n$ large enough,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\lambda_{j} / n}^{1-\lambda_{j} / n}\left|E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, n}(s)\right| d s & \leq C \mathbb{E}\left(e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right|\left(R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)+R_{2}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} d m \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{x}_{j} \leq m \leq \tilde{x}_{j}+R^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right\}}\right) \\
& \leq C \mathbb{E}\left(e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right|\left(R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)+R_{2}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right)} R^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{R^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right) \geq-\tilde{x}_{j}\right\}}\right) \\
& =C \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{j}}{n}} \mathbb{E}\left(e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right| \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{j}}{n}}\left(R_{1}(1)+R_{2}(1)\right)} R^{*}(1) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{R^{*}(1) \geq-\tilde{x}_{j} \sqrt{n / \lambda_{j}}\right\}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\tilde{x}_{j}<0$, the right hand side of the last equality is $o(1 / \sqrt{n})$.
We will now study the case $x_{j}<x$. We go back to the decomposition (8.2) and assume that $n$ is large enough, so that $\lambda_{j} J / n<1 / 4$. Note that, for $s \in\left[\lambda_{j}(J+\right.$ 1) $/ n, 1-\lambda_{j} J / n$ ], we have $N_{n}^{1} \geq J$ and $N_{n}^{2} \geq J$. So, we have

$$
R_{s}^{j, n} \leq R_{s}^{j, J, n}
$$

where

$$
R_{s}^{j, J, n}=\min _{-J \leq k \leq J} \check{R}\left(d_{n}^{j}(s)+\lambda_{j}(k / n)\right)
$$

Lemma 8.4. If $x_{j}<x$, we have,

$$
\lim _{J \rightarrow+\infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n}\left(\int_{\frac{\lambda_{j}(J+1)}{n}}^{1-\frac{\lambda_{j} J}{n}}\left(E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)-E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, n}(s)\right) d s\right)=0
$$

where

$$
E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)=\mathbb{E}\left(L_{s}^{j} \alpha_{j}\left(R_{1}(s), R_{2}(1-s)\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{x}_{j} \leq R_{1}(s) \leq \tilde{x}_{j}+R_{s}^{j, J, n}\right\}}\right)
$$

Proof. Note that

$$
E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)-E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, n}(s)=\mathbb{E}\left(L_{s}^{j} \alpha_{j}\left(R_{1}(s), R_{2}(1-s)\right) \mathbb{1}_{\Delta_{s}^{j, J, n}}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{s}^{j, J, n}= & \left\{\tilde{x}_{j} \leq R_{1}(s) \leq \tilde{x}_{j}+R_{s}^{j, J, n} \text { and } \exists k \in\left[0, N_{n}^{1}\right] \cup\left[-N_{n}^{2},-1\right]\right. \\
& \left.\tilde{x}_{j}+\check{R}\left(d_{n}^{j}(s)+\lambda_{j}(k / n)\right)<R_{1}(s)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Introducing the notation, for $i=1,2$, and for any real numbers $s_{1}$, $s_{2}$ with $0<s_{1}<s_{2}$,

$$
R_{i}^{\sharp}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)=\min _{u \in\left[s_{1}, s_{2}\right]} R_{i}(u)
$$

we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{s}^{j, J, n} \subset & \left\{\tilde{x}_{j} \leq R_{1}(s) \leq \tilde{x}_{j}+R_{s}^{j, J, n}\right\} \\
& \cap\left\{R_{1}^{\sharp}\left(\lambda_{j} J / n, s\right)<R_{s}^{j, J, n} \text { or } R_{2}^{\sharp}\left(\lambda_{j} J / n, 1-s\right)<R_{s}^{j, J, n}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $R_{s}^{j, J, n} \leq R_{1}^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right) \wedge R_{2}^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)$ and $R_{2}^{\sharp}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \leq R_{2}^{\sharp}\left(s_{1}\right)$, where

$$
R_{2}^{\sharp}(s)=\min _{u \in[s,+\infty[ } R_{2}^{\sharp}(u), \quad s \geq 0
$$

So, we have

$$
\left|E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)-E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, n}(s)\right| \leq\|F\|_{\infty}\left(F_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)+G_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)\right)
$$

where

$$
F_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)=\mathbb{E}\left(L_{s}^{j} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{x}_{j} \leq R_{1}(s) \leq \tilde{x}_{j}+R_{1}^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right), R_{2}^{\sharp}\left(\lambda_{j} J / n\right)<R_{1}^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right\}}\right)
$$

and

$$
G_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)=\mathbb{E}\left(L_{s}^{j} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{x}_{j} \leq R_{1}(s) \leq \tilde{x}_{j}+R_{2}^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right), R_{1}^{\sharp}\left(\lambda_{j} J / n, s\right)<R_{2}^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right\}}\right)
$$

In the sequel we denote by $\left(\mathcal{F}_{s}^{i}\right)_{s \geq 0}(i=1,2)$ the natural filtration of the process $\left(R_{i}(s)\right)_{s \geq 0}$ and by $\mathcal{F}^{i}$ the $\sigma$-algebra generated by the union of the $\sigma$-algebras $\mathcal{F}_{s}^{i}$, $s \geq 0$.

In order to estimate $F_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)$, we write $L_{s}^{j}=\frac{e^{\gamma_{j} R_{1}(s)-\frac{\gamma_{j}^{2}}{2}(s)}}{R_{1}(s)} M_{1-s}^{j}$, with

$$
M_{1-s}^{j}=\frac{e^{-\gamma_{j} R_{2}(1-s)-\frac{\gamma_{j}^{2}}{2}(1-s)}}{2 R_{2}(1-s)}
$$

By conditioning with respect to $\mathcal{F}^{1}$ and using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left(\left.\frac{e^{-\gamma_{j} R_{2}(1-s)-\frac{\gamma_{j}^{2}}{2}(1-s)}}{2 R_{2}(1-s)} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{R_{2}^{\sharp}\left(\lambda_{j} J / n\right)<R_{1}^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right\}} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}^{1}\right) \\
& \leq\left\|M_{1-s}^{j}\right\|_{2}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\left\{R_{2}^{\sharp}\left(\lambda_{j} J / n\right)<R_{1}^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right\}} \mid \mathcal{F}^{1}\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =\left\|M_{1-s}^{j}\right\|_{2} \sqrt{f_{J}^{j, n}\left(R_{1}^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f_{J}^{j, n}$ is the cumulative distribution function of the random variable $R_{2}^{\sharp}\left(\lambda_{j} J / n\right)$. Note that, by scaling, we have, for any $r>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{J}^{j, n}(r)=f_{J}\left(r \sqrt{n / \lambda_{j}}\right) \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{J}$ denotes the cumulative distribution function of $R_{2}^{\sharp}(J)$. On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|M_{1-s}^{j}\right\|_{2} & =\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{1-s}}\left\|\frac{e^{-\gamma_{j} \sqrt{1-s} R_{2}(1)-\frac{\gamma_{j}^{2}}{2}(1-s)}}{R_{2}(1)}\right\|_{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{1-s}}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2 \gamma_{j} \sqrt{1-s} m-\gamma_{j}^{2}(1-s)-\frac{m^{2}}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} d m\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{1-s}}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2 \gamma_{j} \sqrt{1-s} m-\gamma_{j}^{2}(1-s)-\frac{m^{2}}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} d m\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{1-s}}\left(2 e^{\gamma_{j}^{2}(1-s)}\right)^{1 / 2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1-s)}} e^{\gamma_{j}^{2}(1-s) / 2} \tag{8.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the inequalities (8.3) and (8.4) in the expression of $F_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)$, we get, after conditioning with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_{j} / n}^{1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s) \leq & \frac{e^{\gamma_{j}^{2} / 2}}{\sqrt{2(1-s)}} \mathbb{E}\left(\sqrt{f_{J}^{j, n}\left(R_{1}^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right)}\right. \\
& \left.\times \int_{0}^{\infty} \bar{q}_{s-\lambda_{j} / n}^{j}\left(R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right), m\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{x}_{j} \leq m \leq \tilde{x}_{j}+R_{1}^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right\}} d m\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\bar{q}_{s-\lambda_{j} / n}^{j}(r, m)=e^{\gamma_{j} m} \bar{q}_{s-\lambda_{j} / n}(r, m) .
$$

We can prove (by the same arguments as in the proof of Proposition 7.1) that

$$
\int_{\lambda_{j}(J+1) / n}^{1-\lambda_{j} J / n} \bar{q}_{s-\lambda_{j} / n}^{j}(r, m) \frac{d s}{\sqrt{2(1-s)}} \leq C_{t, \gamma, \sigma} e^{r\left|\gamma_{j}\right|} .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\lambda_{j}(J+1) / n}^{1-\lambda_{j} J / n} F_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s) d s & \leq C_{t, \gamma, \sigma} \mathbb{E}\left(\sqrt{f_{J}^{j, n}\left(R_{1}^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right)} e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right| R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)} R_{1}^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right) \\
& =C_{t, \gamma, \sigma} \mathbb{E}\left(\sqrt{f_{J}\left(R_{1}^{*}(1)\right)} e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right| \sqrt{\lambda_{j} / n} R_{1}(1)} \sqrt{\lambda_{j} / n} R_{1}^{*}(1)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from (8.3) and the scaling invariance of $R_{1}$. Hence

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} \int_{\lambda_{j}(J+1) / n}^{1-\lambda_{j} J / n} F_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s) d s \leq C_{t, \gamma, \sigma} \sqrt{\lambda_{j}} \mathbb{E}\left(\sqrt{f_{J}\left(R_{1}^{*}(1)\right)} R_{1}^{*}(1)\right) .
$$

We have $f_{J}(r)=\mathbb{P}\left(R_{2}^{\sharp}(J) \leq r\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(R_{2}^{\sharp}(1) \leq r / \sqrt{J}\right)$. So, for any $r>0$, $\lim _{J \rightarrow \infty} f_{J}(r)=0$. We deduce, by dominated convergence that

$$
\lim _{J \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} \int_{\lambda_{j}(J+1) / n}^{1-\lambda_{j} J / n} F_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s) d s=0
$$

We will now prove the same property for $G_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)$. We have, by conditioning with respect to the $\sigma$-algebra generated by $\mathcal{F}^{2}$ and the pair $\left(R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} J / n\right), R_{1}(s)\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s) & =\mathbb{E}\left(L_{s}^{j} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{x}_{j} \leq R_{1}(s) \leq \tilde{x}_{j}+R_{2}^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right), R_{1}^{\sharp}\left(\lambda_{j} J / n, s\right)<R_{2}^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right\}}\right) \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left(L_{s}^{j} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{x}_{j} \leq R_{1}(s) \leq \tilde{x}_{j}+R_{2}^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right\}} \Delta\left(\frac{\lambda_{j} J}{n}, s, R_{1}\left(\frac{\lambda_{j} J}{n}\right), R_{1}(s), R_{2}^{*}\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{n}\right)\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

with the notation, for $0<t_{1}<t_{2}$ and $b, y, m>0$,

$$
\Delta\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, y, m, b\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(R_{1}^{\sharp}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \leq b \mid R_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)=y, R_{1}\left(t_{2}\right)=m\right)
$$

By Lemma 6.2, we have $\Delta\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, y, m, b\right) \leq \bar{\Delta}(y, m, b)$, with

$$
\bar{\Delta}(y, m, b)=\frac{b(m+y)}{y m} \wedge 1
$$

Therefore

$$
G_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s) \leq \mathbb{E}\left(L_{s}^{j} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{x}_{j} \leq R_{1}(s) \leq \tilde{x}_{j}+R_{2}^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right\}} \bar{\Delta}\left(R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} J / n\right), R_{1}(s), R_{2}^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right)\right)
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\left.\frac{e^{-\gamma_{j} R_{2}(1-s)-\frac{\gamma_{j}^{2}}{2}(1-s)}}{2 R_{2}(1-s)} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_{j} / n}^{2} \vee \mathcal{F}^{1}\right)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d m e^{-\gamma_{j} m-\frac{\gamma_{j}^{2}}{2}(1-s)} \\
& \times \bar{q}_{1-s-\lambda_{j} / n}\left(R_{2}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right), m\right) \\
\leq & \frac{C}{\sqrt{1-s-\lambda_{j} / n}} e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right| R_{2}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the third estimate of Proposition6.1. Now, condition with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_{j} J / n}^{1} \vee \mathcal{F}^{2}$ to get (introducing the random interval $I_{n}^{* j}=\left[\tilde{x}_{j}, \tilde{x}_{j}+R_{2}^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right]$ in notations)

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s) \leq & C \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right|\left(R_{2}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)+R_{1}(s)\right)}}{\sqrt{1-s-\lambda_{j} / n} R_{1}(s)} \mathbb{1}_{I_{n}^{* j}}\left(R_{1}(s)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times \bar{\Delta}\left(R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} J / n\right), R_{1}(s), R_{2}^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right)\right) \\
= & C \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right| R_{2}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)}}{\sqrt{1-s-\lambda_{j} / n}} \int_{0}^{\infty} d m \bar{q}_{s-\lambda_{j}} J / n\left(R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} J / n\right), m\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times \bar{\Delta}_{n}^{j}\left(R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} J / n\right), m, R_{2}^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have set

$$
\bar{\Delta}_{n}^{j}\left(r_{1}, m, r_{2}\right)=e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right| m} \mathbb{1}_{I_{n}^{* j}}(m) \bar{\Delta}\left(r_{1}, m, r_{2}\right)
$$

Note that (with the arguments of the proof of Proposition 7.1)

$$
\int_{\lambda_{j}(J+1) / n}^{1-\lambda_{j} J / n} e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right| m} \bar{q}_{s-\lambda_{j} J / n}(r, m) \frac{d s}{\sqrt{1-s-\lambda_{j} / n}} \leq C_{t, \gamma, \sigma} e^{r\left|\gamma_{j}\right|}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\lambda_{j}(J+1) / n}^{1-\lambda_{j} J / n} d s G_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s) \leq & C_{t, \gamma, \sigma} \mathbb{E}\left(e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right|\left(R_{2}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)+R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} J / n\right)\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} d m \mathbb{1}_{I_{n}^{* j}}(m)\right. \\
& \left.\times \bar{\Delta}\left(R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} J / n\right), m, R_{2}^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right)\right)\right) \\
= & C_{t, \gamma, \sigma} \mathbb{E}\left(e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right| \sqrt{\lambda_{j} / n}\left(R_{1}(J)+R_{2}(1)\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} d m \mathbb{1}_{\tilde{I}_{n}^{* j}}(m)\right. \\
& \left.\times \tilde{\Delta}_{n}^{j}\left(R_{1}(J), m, R_{2}^{*}(1)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\tilde{I}_{n}^{* j}=\left[\tilde{x}_{j}, \tilde{x}_{j}+\sqrt{\lambda_{j} / n} R_{2}^{*}(1)\right], \quad \tilde{\Delta}_{n}^{j}\left(r_{1}, m, r_{2}\right)=\bar{\Delta}\left(\sqrt{\lambda_{j} / n} r_{1}, m, \sqrt{\lambda_{j} / n} r_{2}\right)
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Delta}_{n}^{j}\left(R_{1}(J), m, R_{2}^{*}(1)\right) & =\left[R_{2}^{*}(1)\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}(J)}+\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{j} / n}}{m}\right)\right] \wedge 1 \\
& \leq \frac{R_{2}^{*}(1)}{R_{1}(J)}+\left(R_{2}^{*}(1) \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{j} / n}}{m}\right) \wedge 1
\end{aligned}
$$

By assumption, $x_{j}<x$, so that $\tilde{x}_{j}>0$. Therefore, for $m \in I_{n}^{* j}$, we have $1 / m \leq 1 / \tilde{x}_{j}$, so that

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} d m \mathbb{1}_{\tilde{I}_{n}^{* j}}(m) \tilde{\Delta}_{n}^{j}\left(R_{1}(J), m, R_{2}^{*}(1)\right) \leq \sqrt{\lambda_{j} / n} R_{2}^{*}(1)\left(\frac{R_{2}^{*}(1)}{R_{1}(J)}+R_{2}^{*}(1) \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{j} / n}}{\tilde{x}_{j}}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} \int_{\lambda_{j}(J+1) / n}^{1-\lambda_{j} J / n} d s G_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s) \leq C_{t, \gamma, \sigma} \sqrt{\lambda_{j}} \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{R_{2}^{*}(1)^{2}}{R_{1}(J)}\right)
$$

Since $\lim _{J \rightarrow \infty} R_{1}(J)=0$, we have

$$
\lim _{J \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} \int_{\lambda_{j}(J+1) / n}^{1-\lambda_{j} J / n} d s G_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)=0
$$

We will now study the asymptotic behavior of $\int_{\frac{\lambda_{j}(J+1)}{n}}^{1-\frac{\lambda_{j} J}{n}} E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s) d s$. Note that, by conditioning with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_{j} J / n}^{1} \vee \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_{j} J / n}^{2}$, we have ${ }^{n}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)= & \mathbb{E}\left(L_{s}^{j} \alpha_{j}\left(R_{1}(s), R_{2}(1-s)\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{x}_{j} \leq R_{1}(s) \leq \tilde{x}_{j}+R_{s}^{j, J, n}\right\}}\right) \\
= & \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{\infty} d m \bar{q}_{s-\lambda_{j} J / n}^{j}\left(R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} J / n\right), m\right) \bar{\phi}_{1-s-\lambda_{j} J / n}^{j}\left(R_{2}\left(\lambda_{j} J / n\right), m\right) \\
& \times \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{x}_{j} \leq m \leq \tilde{x}_{j}+R_{s}^{j, J, n}\right\}},
\end{aligned}
$$

with the notations

$$
\bar{q}_{s}^{j}(r, m)=e^{\gamma_{j} m-\frac{\gamma_{j}^{2}}{2}} \bar{q}_{s}(r, m), \quad \bar{\phi}_{s}^{j}(r, m)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \bar{q}_{s}(r, y) e^{-\gamma_{j} y} \alpha_{j}(m, y) d y
$$

Lemma 8.5. Assume $\tilde{x}_{j}>0$. We have, for any integer $J \geq 1$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} \int_{\frac{\lambda_{j}(J+1)}{n}}^{1-\frac{\lambda_{j} J}{n}}\left|E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)-\hat{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)\right| d s=0
$$

where

$$
\hat{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)=\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} d m \bar{q}_{s}^{j}(0, m) \bar{\phi}_{1-s}^{j}(0, m) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{x}_{j} \leq m \leq \tilde{x}_{j}+R_{s}^{j, J, n}\right\}}\right)
$$

Proof. We first consider

$$
\tilde{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)=\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} d m \bar{q}_{s-\lambda_{j} J / n}^{j}(0, m) \bar{\phi}_{1-s-\lambda_{j} J / n}^{j}(0, m) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{x}_{j} \leq m \leq \tilde{x}_{j}+R_{s}^{j, J, n}\right\}}\right)
$$

Let $Z_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)=E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)-\tilde{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)$ and

$$
\zeta_{s}^{j}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, m\right)=\bar{q}_{s-\lambda_{j} J / n}^{j}\left(r_{1}, m\right) \bar{\phi}_{1-s-\lambda_{j} J / n}^{j}\left(r_{2}, m\right)-\bar{q}_{s-\lambda_{j} J / n}^{j}(0, m) \bar{\phi}_{1-s-\lambda_{j} J / n}^{j}(0, m)
$$

We have

$$
Z_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)=\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} d m \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{x}_{j} \leq m \leq \tilde{x}_{j}+R_{s}^{j, J, n}\right\}} \zeta_{s}^{j}\left(R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} J / n\right), R_{2}\left(\lambda_{j} J / n\right), m\right)\right)
$$

Now, for all non-negative $r_{1}, r_{2}, m$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\zeta_{s}^{j}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, m\right)\right| \leq & \left|\bar{q}_{s-\lambda_{j} J / n}^{j}\left(r_{1}, m\right)-\bar{q}_{s-\lambda_{j} J / n}^{j}(0, m) \| \bar{\phi}_{1-s-\lambda_{j} J / n}^{j}\left(r_{2}, m\right)\right| \\
& +\bar{q}_{s-\lambda_{j} J / n}^{j}(0, m)\left|\bar{\phi}_{1-s-\lambda_{j} J / n}^{j}\left(r_{2}, m\right)-\bar{\phi}_{1-s-\lambda_{j} J / n}^{j}(0, m)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

By the arguments of Proposition 6.1 we can easily prove that

$$
\left|\frac{\partial \bar{q}_{s}^{j}}{\partial r}(r, m)\right| \leq \frac{C}{s^{3 / 2}} e^{r\left|\gamma_{j}\right|}, \quad\left|\frac{\partial \bar{\phi}_{s}^{j}}{\partial r}(r, m)\right| \leq \frac{C}{s} e^{r\left|\gamma_{j}\right|+\left(\gamma_{j}^{2} / 2\right)}
$$

We deduce that

$$
\left|\zeta_{s}^{j}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, m\right)\right| \leq C e^{\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)\left|\gamma_{j}\right|+\left(\gamma_{j}^{2} / 2\right)} r_{1} \vee r_{2} \delta_{j}^{n}(s)
$$

with

$$
\delta_{j}^{n}(s)=\frac{1}{\left(s-\lambda_{j} J / n\right)^{3 / 2}\left(1-s-\lambda_{j} J / n\right)^{1 / 2}}+\frac{1}{\left(s-\lambda_{j} J / n\right)\left(1-s-\lambda_{j} J / n\right)}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|Z_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)\right| \leq & C \mathbb{E}\left(R_{s}^{j, J, n} e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right|\left(R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} J / n\right)+R_{2}\left(\lambda_{j} J / n\right)\right)+\left(\gamma_{j}^{2} / 2\right)}\right. \\
& \left.\times R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} J / n\right) \vee R_{2}\left(\lambda_{j} J / n\right) \delta_{j}^{n}(s)\right) \\
\leq & C_{t, \gamma, \sigma} \mathbb{E}\left(R^{*}\left(\lambda_{j} / n\right) e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right|\left(R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} J / n\right)+R_{2}\left(\lambda_{j} J / n\right)\right)}\right. \\
& \left.\times R_{1}\left(\lambda_{j} J / n\right) \vee R_{2}\left(\lambda_{j} J / n\right) \delta_{j}^{n}(s)\right) \\
= & C_{t, \gamma, \sigma} \frac{\lambda_{j}}{n} \mathbb{E}\left(R^{*}(1) e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right| \sqrt{\lambda_{j} / n}\left(R_{1}(J)+R_{2}(J)\right)} R_{1}(J) \vee R_{2}(J) \delta_{j}^{n}(s)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows by scaling, and $R^{*}(u)=R_{1}^{*}(u) \vee R_{2}^{*}(u)$.
Now, fix $\rho \geq 2$. It can easily be verified that, for $n$ large enough, we have

$$
\int_{\frac{\lambda_{j} \rho J}{n}}^{1-\frac{\lambda_{j} \rho J}{n}} \delta_{j}^{n}(s) d s \leq C\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{j}(\rho-1) J}}+\log \left(\frac{n}{\lambda_{j}(\rho-1) J}\right)\right)
$$

so that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} \int_{\frac{\lambda_{j} \rho J}{n}}^{1-\frac{\lambda_{j} \rho J}{n}}\left|Z_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)\right| d s \leq \frac{C_{t, \gamma, \sigma}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{j}(\rho-1) J}}
$$

On the other hand, it can be proved (as in Lemma 8.2) that, for any fixed $\rho \geq 2$,

$$
\int_{\frac{\lambda_{j}(J+1)}{n}}^{\frac{\lambda_{j} \rho J}{n}}\left|Z_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)\right| d s+\int_{1-\frac{\lambda_{j} \rho J}{n}}^{1-\frac{\lambda_{j} J}{n}}\left|Z_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)\right| d s=o(1 / \sqrt{n}) .
$$

Therefore

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} \int_{\frac{\lambda_{j}(J+1)}{n}}^{1-\frac{\lambda_{j} J}{n}}\left|Z_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)\right| d s \leq \frac{C_{t, \gamma, \sigma}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{j}(\rho-1) J}}
$$

and, by letting $\rho$ go to infinity, we conclude that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} \int_{\frac{\lambda_{j}(J+1)}{n}}^{1-\frac{\lambda_{j} J}{n}}\left|E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)-\tilde{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)\right| d s=0
$$

It remains to show that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} \int_{\frac{\lambda_{j}(J+1)}{n}}^{1-\frac{\lambda_{j} J}{n}}\left|\hat{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)-\tilde{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)\right| d s=0
$$

We have, for $s \in\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}(J+1)}{n}, 1-\frac{\lambda_{j} J}{n}\right)$,

$$
\left|\hat{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)-\tilde{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)\right|=\left|\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tilde{x}_{j}}^{\tilde{x}_{j}+R_{s}^{j, J, n}} d m \eta_{j}^{n}(s, m)\right)\right| \leq \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\tilde{x}_{j}}^{\tilde{x}_{j}+\frac{R^{*}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)}{\sqrt{n}}} d m\left|\eta_{j}^{n}(s, m)\right|\right)
$$

with

$$
\eta_{j}^{n}(s, m)=\bar{q}_{s}^{j}(0, m) \bar{\phi}_{1-s}^{j}(0, m)-\bar{q}_{s-\lambda_{j} J / n}^{j}(0, m) \bar{\phi}_{1-s-\lambda_{j} J / n}^{j}(0, m)
$$

Recall that $\bar{q}_{s}(0, m)=\sqrt{2 / \pi} \frac{m}{s^{3 / 2}} e^{-m^{2} / 2 s}$. Note that, if $\tilde{x}_{j} \leq m \leq \tilde{x}_{j}+\frac{R^{*}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)}{\sqrt{n}}$, we have $e^{-m^{2} / 4 s} \leq e^{-\tilde{x}_{j}^{2} / 4 s}$, so that, for $s \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{q}_{s}^{j}(0, m) \leq \frac{e^{-\tilde{x}_{j}^{2} / 4 s}}{s^{3 / 2}} m e^{\left|\gamma_{j}\right| m-\left(m^{2} / 4\right)} \leq C_{j} \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constant $C_{j}$ (depending on $\tilde{x}_{j}$, but not on $s$ or $m$ ).

Furthermore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\phi}_{s}^{j}(0, m) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d y \bar{q}_{s}(0, y) e^{-\gamma_{j} y} \alpha_{j}(m, y) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{s}} \int_{0}^{\infty} d y \sqrt{2 / \pi} y e^{-y^{2} / 2-\gamma_{j} \sqrt{s} y} \alpha_{j}(m, \sqrt{s} y)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\left|\bar{\phi}_{s}^{j}(0, m)\right| \leq C / \sqrt{s}$, for some $C>0$.
We deduce thereof that, for $s \in\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}(J+1)}{n}, 1-\frac{\lambda_{j} J}{n}\right)$,

$$
\int_{\tilde{x}_{j}}^{\tilde{x}_{j}+\frac{R^{*}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)}{\sqrt{n}}}{ }^{d m}\left|\eta_{j}^{n}(s, m)\right| \leq C_{j} \frac{R^{*}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-s-\lambda_{j} J / n}}
$$

From this estimate, together with the fact that for a fixed $s$ we have $\int_{\tilde{x}_{j}}^{\tilde{x}_{j}+\frac{R^{*}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} d m}\left|\eta_{j}^{n}(s, m)\right|=$ $o(1 / \sqrt{n})$ a.s., we easily deduce that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} \int_{\frac{\lambda_{j}(J+1)}{n}}^{1-\frac{\lambda_{j} J}{n}}\left|\eta_{j}^{n}(s, m)\right| d s=0
$$

Lemma 8.6. We have, if $\tilde{x}_{j}>0$,

$$
\int_{\frac{\lambda_{j}(J+1)}{n}}^{1-\frac{\lambda_{j} J}{n}} \hat{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s) d s=\sqrt{\lambda_{j} / n} \mathbb{E}\left(R^{J}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \varphi_{s}^{j}\left(\tilde{x}_{j}\right) d s+o(1 / \sqrt{n}),
$$

where

$$
\varphi_{s}^{j}(m)=\bar{q}_{s}^{j}(0, m) \bar{\phi}_{1-s}^{j}(0, m), \quad R^{J}=\min _{|k| \leq J} \check{R}(U+k)
$$

and the random variable $U$ is uniformly distributed on $[0,1]$ and independent of $\check{R}$.
Proof. We have

$$
\hat{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)=\mathbb{E} \int_{\tilde{x}_{j}}^{\tilde{x}_{j}+R_{s}^{j, J, n}} d m \varphi_{s}^{j}(m)
$$

so that, intoducing the notation

$$
\bar{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)=\mathbb{E}\left(R_{s}^{j, J, n}\right) \bar{q}_{s}^{j}\left(0, \tilde{x}_{j}\right) \bar{\phi}_{1-s}^{j}\left(0, \tilde{x}_{j}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(R_{s}^{j, J, n}\right) \varphi_{s}^{j}\left(\tilde{x}_{j}\right)
$$

we can write, for $s \in\left(\lambda_{j}(J+1) / n, 1-\lambda_{j} J / n\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\hat{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)-\bar{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s)\right| & \leq \mathbb{E} \int_{\tilde{x}_{j}}^{\tilde{x}_{j}+R_{s}^{j, J, n}} d m\left|\varphi_{s}^{j}(m)-\varphi_{s}^{j}\left(\tilde{x}_{j}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \mathbb{E} \int_{\tilde{x}_{j}}^{\tilde{x}_{j}+\frac{R^{*}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)}{\sqrt{n}}} d m\left|\varphi_{s}^{j}(m)-\varphi_{s}^{j}\left(\tilde{x}_{j}\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that, using (8.5) and the estimate $\left|\bar{\phi}_{s}^{j}(0, m)\right| \leq C / \sqrt{s}$, we have, for $\tilde{x}_{j} \leq m \leq$ $\tilde{x}_{j}+R^{*}\left(\lambda_{j}\right) / \sqrt{n}$,

$$
\left|\varphi_{s}^{j}(m)\right| \leq \frac{C_{j}}{\sqrt{1-s}}
$$

Now, for a fixed $s \in(0,1), m \mapsto \varphi_{s}^{j}(m)$ is continuous. Indeed the continuity of $\bar{q}_{s}^{j}(0,$. is obvious and the continuity of $\bar{\phi}_{s}^{j}(0,$.$) follows from the continuity assumption on F$ and the equalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\phi}_{s}^{j}(0, m) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d y \bar{q}_{s}(0, y) e^{-\gamma_{j} y} \alpha_{j}(m, y) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d y \bar{q}_{s}(0, y) e^{-\gamma_{j} y} F\left(x_{j}+\sigma_{j}(m-y), x_{j}+\sigma_{j} m\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{m} d z \bar{q}_{s}(0, m-z) e^{-\gamma_{j}(m-z)} F\left(x_{j}+\sigma_{j} z, x_{j}+\sigma_{j} m\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to the continuity of $m \mapsto \bar{\phi}_{s}^{j}(m)$ we have, for a fixed $s \in(0,1)$,

$$
\int_{\tilde{x}_{j}}^{\tilde{x}_{j}+\frac{R^{*}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)}{\sqrt{n}}} d m\left|\varphi_{s}^{j}(m)-\varphi_{s}^{j}\left(\tilde{x}_{j}\right)\right|=o(1 / \sqrt{n}) \text { a.s. }
$$

Hence

$$
\int_{\frac{\lambda_{j}(J+1)}{n}}^{1-\frac{\lambda_{j} J}{n}} \hat{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s) d s=\int_{\frac{\lambda_{j}(J+1)}{n}}^{1-\frac{\lambda_{j} J}{n}} \mathbb{E}\left(R_{s}^{j, J, n}\right) \varphi_{s}^{j}\left(\tilde{x}_{j}\right) d s+o(1 / \sqrt{n}) .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(R_{s}^{j, J, n}\right) & =\mathbb{E}\left(\min _{|k| \leq J} \check{R}\left(d_{n}^{j}(s)+\lambda_{j} k / n\right)\right) \\
& =\sqrt{\lambda_{j} / n \mathbb{E}}\left(\min _{|k| \leq J} \check{R}\left(n d_{n}^{j}(s) / \lambda_{j}+k\right)\right) \\
& =\sqrt{\lambda_{j} / n} f\left(\frac{n d_{n}^{j}(s)}{\lambda_{j}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where, for $u \in[0,1], f(u)=\mathbb{E}\left(\min _{|k| \leq J} \check{R}(u+k)\right)$. Using the definition of $d_{n}^{j}(s)$ and classical arguments, one can show that, for any integrable function $g$ on $[0,1]$, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\frac{\lambda_{j}(J+1)}{n}}^{1-\frac{\lambda_{j} J}{n}} f\left(\frac{n d_{n}^{j}(s)}{\lambda_{j}}\right) g(s) d s=\int_{0}^{1} g(s) d s \int_{0}^{1} f(u) d u
$$

Therefore

$$
\int_{\frac{\lambda_{j}(J+1)}{n}}^{1-\frac{\lambda_{j} J}{n}} \hat{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s) d s=\sqrt{\lambda_{j} / n} \mathbb{E}\left(R^{J}\right) \int_{0}^{1} d s \varphi_{s}^{j}\left(\tilde{x}_{j}\right)+o(1 / \sqrt{n}),
$$

which proves the Lemma.

Proof of Theorem 8.1. Recall that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(F\left(X_{t_{j+1}^{-}}, M^{j}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{j} \geq x>M^{j, n}\right\}}\right) & =\int_{0}^{1} E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, n}(s) d s \\
& =\int_{\frac{\lambda_{j}(J+1)}{n}}^{1-\frac{\lambda_{j} J}{n}} E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, n}(s) d s+o(1 / \sqrt{n}) \tag{8.6}
\end{align*}
$$

for any positive integer $J$. Here, we have used Lemma 8.2. Note that, if $x<x_{j}$, we have, due to Lemma 8.3. $\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(F\left(X_{t_{j+1}^{-}}, M^{j}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{j} \geq x>M^{j, n}\right\}}\right)=o(1 / \sqrt{n})$.

We now assume $x>x_{j}$ and fix a positive integer $J$. We have, using Lemma 8.5 and Lemma 8.6 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\frac{\lambda_{j}(J+1)}{n}}^{1-\frac{\lambda_{j} J}{n}} E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s) d s & =\int_{\frac{\lambda_{j}(J+1)}{n}}^{1-\frac{\lambda_{j} J}{n}} \hat{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s) d s+o(1 / \sqrt{n}) \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{j}}{n}} \mathbb{E}\left(R^{J}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \varphi_{s}^{j}\left(\tilde{x}_{j}\right) d s+o(1 / \sqrt{n})
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\lim _{J \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\left(R^{J}\right)=\beta_{1}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{J \rightarrow+\infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n}\left(\int_{\frac{\lambda_{j}(J+1)}{n}}^{1-\frac{\lambda_{j} J}{n}} E_{l, \theta, \xi}^{j, J, n}(s) d s-\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{j}}{n}} \beta_{1} \int_{0}^{1} \varphi_{s}^{j}\left(\tilde{x}_{j}\right) d s\right)=0 . \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By combining (8.6), (8.7) and Lemma 8.4 we derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(F\left(X_{t_{j+1}^{-}}, M^{j}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{j} \geq x>M^{j, n}\right\}}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{j}}{n}} \beta_{1} \int_{0}^{1} \varphi_{s}^{j}\left(\tilde{x}_{j}\right) d s+o(1 / \sqrt{n}) . \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for any $\rho>0$, we have (using Proposition 5.2 for a function which does not depend on the difference $M^{j}-M^{j, n}$ )

$$
\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(F\left(X_{t_{j+1}^{-}}, M^{j}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{j} \geq x>M^{j}-\rho\right\}}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} d s \int_{0}^{\infty} d m \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tilde{x}_{j} \leq m \leq \tilde{x}_{j}+\frac{\rho}{\left.\sigma_{j}\right\}}\right.} d m \varphi_{s}^{j}(m)
$$

If $\tilde{x}_{j}<0$, we get $\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(\left|F\left(X_{t_{j+1}^{-}}, M^{j}\right)\right| \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{j} \geq x>M^{j}-\rho\right\}}\right)=0$ for $\rho<\sigma_{j}\left|\tilde{x}_{j}\right|$, so that

$$
\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(F\left(X_{t_{j+1}^{-}}, M^{j}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{j} \geq x>M^{j}-\sigma \beta_{1} \sqrt{t / n}\right\}}\right)=o(1 / \sqrt{n})
$$

If $\tilde{x}_{j}>0$, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(\left|F\left(X_{t_{j+1}^{-}}, M^{j}\right)\right| \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{j} \geq x>M^{j}-\rho\right\}}\right)=\frac{\rho}{\sigma_{j}} \int_{0}^{1} \varphi_{s}^{j}\left(\tilde{x}_{j}\right) d s+o(\rho)
$$

as $\rho$ goes to 0 . Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{l, \theta, \xi}\left(F\left(X_{t_{j+1}^{-}}, M^{j}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M^{j} \geq x>M^{j}-\sigma \beta_{1} \sqrt{t / n}\right\}}\right) & =\frac{\sigma \beta_{1} \sqrt{t}}{\sigma_{j} \sqrt{n}} \int_{0}^{1} \varphi_{s}^{j}\left(\tilde{x}_{j}\right) d s+o(1 / \sqrt{n}) \\
& =\frac{\beta_{1} \sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{t_{j+1}-t_{j}} \sqrt{n}} \int_{0}^{1} \varphi_{s}^{j}\left(\tilde{x}_{j}\right) d s+o(1 / \sqrt{n}) \\
& =\beta_{1} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{j}}{n}} \int_{0}^{1} \varphi_{s}^{j}\left(\tilde{x}_{j}\right) d s+o(1 / \sqrt{n})
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof of the second statement of the Theorem. The first one can be proved by the same method.

REmark 8.7. It can be deduced from (8.8) that we have an expansion

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(g\left(X_{t}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{M_{t} \geq x>M_{t}^{n}\right\}}\right)=\frac{C}{\sqrt{n}}+o(1 / \sqrt{n})
$$

for some constant $C$. This can be used to derive an expansion for the difference between continuous and discrete barrier option prices.
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