

# Incomplete Hypergeometric Systems Associated to 1-Simplex $\times$ $(n - 1)$ -Simplex

Kenta Nishiyama \*

December 17, 2010

## Abstract

The  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric system was introduced by Gel'fand, Kapranov and Zelevinsky in the 1980's. Among several classes of  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric functions, those for 1-simplex  $\times$   $(n - 1)$ -simplex are known to be a very nice class. We will study an incomplete analog of this class.

## 1 Introduction

The  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric systems was introduced by Gel'fand, Kapranov and Zelevinsky in the 1980's ([1]). It is a system of homogeneous differential equations with parameters associated to an integer matrix  $A$  and contains a broad class of hypergeometric functions as solutions. Recently, the incomplete  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric system was proposed toward applications to statistics and a detailed study was given in the case of  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1\text{-simplex} \times 1\text{-simplex}$  ([6]). The system includes the incomplete Gauss' hypergeometric integral  $I_{(a,b)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; x) = \int_a^b t^{\beta-1}(1-t)^{\gamma-\beta-1}(1-xt)^\alpha dt$  and the incomplete elliptic integral of the first kind  $F(z; k) = \int_0^z \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-t^2)(1-k^2t^2)}} dt$  as solution. It is interesting to describe properties of these functions in a general framework. Among several classes of (complete)  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric functions, those for  $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_{n-1}$  (1-simplex  $\times$   $(n - 1)$ -simplex) are known to be a very nice class (see, e.g., [9, Section 1.5]).

In this paper, we study an incomplete analog of this class. In the section 2, we give a definition of an incomplete  $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_{n-1}$ -hypergeometric system and prove that the existence of a solution of the system. In the section 3, we give

---

\*Department of Mathematics, Kobe University and JST CREST.

a particular solution of the system and describe general solutions by combining with a base of the solutions of (homogeneous)  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric system. In the last section 4, we give the complete list of contiguity relations for the incomplete  $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_{n-1}$ -hypergeometric function.

## 2 Incomplete $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_{n-1}$ -hypergeometric system

We will work over the Weyl algebra in  $2n$  variables  $D = \mathbf{C} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} x_{11}, \dots, x_{1n}, \partial_{11}, \dots, \partial_{1n} \\ x_{21}, \dots, x_{2n}, \partial_{21}, \dots, \partial_{2n} \end{array} \right\rangle$ .

**Definition 1** We call the following system of differential equations the *incomplete  $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_{n-1}$ -hypergeometric system*:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (\theta_{i1} + \theta_{i2} - \alpha_i) \bullet f = 0, & (1 \leq i \leq n) \\ \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{2i} + \gamma + 1 \right) \bullet f = [g(t, x)]_{t=a}^{t=b}, & \\ (\partial_{1i} \partial_{2j} - \partial_{1j} \partial_{2i}) \bullet f = 0, & (1 \leq i < j \leq n) \end{array} \right. \quad (1)$$

where  $g(t, x) = t^{\gamma+1} \prod_{k=1}^n (x_{1k} + x_{2k}t)^{\alpha_k}$  and  $\alpha_i, \gamma \in \mathbf{C}$  are parameters. The operator  $\theta_{ij} = x_{ij} \partial_{ij}$  is called the Euler operator.

If  $g(t, x) = 0$  in (1), the system agrees with the  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric or GKZ hypergeometric system associated to  $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_{n-1}$ .

**Remark 1** The incomplete  $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_{n-1}$ -hypergeometric system introduced in Definition 1 is a special but interesting case of the incomplete  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric system (see appendix, [6]). Let  $A$  be the following  $(n+1) \times 2n$  matrix:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & & & & & 0 \\ & & 1 & 1 & & & \\ & & & & \ddots & & \\ & 0 & & & & & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We set  $\beta = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, -\gamma - 1) \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$  and  $g = (0, \dots, 0, [g(t, x)]_{t=a}^{t=b})$ . Then the incomplete  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric system associated to  $A, \beta, g$  is the incomplete  $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_{n-1}$ -hypergeometric system.

We note that the ideal  $\langle \partial_{1i} \partial_{2j} - \partial_{1j} \partial_{2i} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n \rangle$  generated by the third operators of (1) is called the affine toric ideal associated to the matrix  $A$  and it is denoted by  $I_A$ . Moreover,  $I_A$  is Cohen-Macaulay because  $A$  is normal ([2]).

We note that the inhomogeneous system (1) does not necessarily have a solution  $f$ , when the inhomogeneous part  $[g(t, x)]_{t=a}^{t=b}$  is randomly given.

**Proposition 1** *For any  $\alpha_i, \gamma \in \mathbf{C}$ , there exists a classical solution of the incomplete  $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_{n-1}$ -hypergeometric system.*

*Proof.* We may verify conditions (7) and (8) in Theorem 5 in the appendix with respect to  $g = (0, \dots, 0, [g(t, x)]_{t=a}^{t=b})$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\theta_{1i} + \theta_{2i} - \alpha_i) \bullet t^{\gamma+1} \prod_{k=1}^n (x_{1k} + x_{2k}t)^{\alpha_k} \\
&= \alpha_i x_{1i} t^{\gamma+1} (x_{1i} + x_{2i}t)^{\alpha_i-1} \prod_{k \neq i}^n (x_{1k} + x_{2k}t)^{\alpha_k} \\
&\quad + \alpha_i x_{2i} t^{\gamma+2} (x_{1i} + x_{2i}t)^{\alpha_i-1} \prod_{k \neq i}^n (x_{1k} + x_{2k}t)^{\alpha_k} - \alpha_i t^{\gamma+1} \prod_{k=1}^n (x_{1k} + x_{2k}t)^{\alpha_k} \\
&= \{x_{1i} + x_{2i}t - (x_{1i} + x_{2i}t)\} \alpha_i t^{\gamma+1} (x_{1i} + x_{2i}t)^{\alpha_i-1} \prod_{k \neq i}^n (x_{1k} + x_{2k}t)^{\alpha_k} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the condition (7) holds.

For  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{1i} \partial_{2j} \bullet g(t, x) &= \partial_{1i} \bullet \alpha_j t^{\gamma+2} (x_{1j} + x_{2j}t)^{\alpha_j-1} \prod_{k \neq j}^n (x_{1k} + x_{2k}t)^{\alpha_k} \\
&= \alpha_i \alpha_j t^{\gamma+2} (x_{1i} + x_{2i}t)^{\alpha_i-1} (x_{1j} + x_{2j}t)^{\alpha_j-1} \prod_{k \neq i, j}^n (x_{1k} + x_{2k}t)^{\alpha_k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since this expression is symmetric in the indices  $i$  and  $j$ , we have  $(\partial_{1i} \partial_{2j} - \partial_{1j} \partial_{2i}) \bullet g(t, x) = 0$ . Thus the condition (8) holds.  $\blacksquare$

Our definition of the incomplete  $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_{n-1}$  hypergeometric system is natural in terms of a definite integral with parameters.

**Proposition 2** *If  $\operatorname{Re} \gamma, \operatorname{Re} \alpha_i > 0$ , then the integral*

$$\Phi(\beta; x) = \int_a^b t^\gamma \prod_{k=1}^n (x_{1k} + x_{2k}t)^{\alpha_k} dt \quad (2)$$

*is a solution of the incomplete  $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_{n-1}$  hypergeometric system (Definition 1).*

*Proof.* From the general theory of  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric systems,  $\Phi(\beta; x)$  is annihilated by the elements of  $I_A$  and  $\theta_{i1} + \theta_{i2} - \alpha_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  (see, e.g., [9, Section 5.4]). We will prove that

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{2i} + \gamma + 1 \right) \bullet \Phi(\beta; x) = [g(t, x)]_{t=a}^{t=b}.$$

Applying  $\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{2i}$  to the integrand, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{2i} \right) \bullet t^\gamma \prod_{k=1}^n (x_{1k} + x_{2k}t)^{\alpha_k} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_{2i} (x_{1i} + x_{2i}t)^{\alpha_i-1} t^{\gamma+1} \prod_{k \neq i}^n (x_{1k} + x_{2k}t)^{\alpha_k} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t^{\gamma+1} \frac{\partial (x_{1i} + x_{2i}t)^{\alpha_i}}{\partial t} \prod_{k \neq i}^n (x_{1k} + x_{2k}t)^{\alpha_k} \\ &= t^{\gamma+1} \frac{\partial \left( \prod_{k=1}^n (x_{1k} + x_{2k}t)^{\alpha_k} \right)}{\partial t}. \end{aligned}$$

By Stokes' theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{2i} \right) \bullet \Phi(\beta; x) &= \int_a^b \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{2i} \right) \bullet t^\gamma \prod_{k=1}^n (x_{1k} + x_{2k}t)^{\alpha_k} dt \\ &= \int_a^b t^{\gamma+1} \frac{\partial \left( \prod_{k=1}^n (x_{1k} + x_{2k}t)^{\alpha_k} \right)}{\partial t} dt \\ &= \left[ t^{\gamma+1} \prod_{k=1}^n (x_{1k} + x_{2k}t)^{\alpha_k} \right]_{t=a}^{t=b} - (\gamma+1) \Phi(\beta; x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proposition is proved. ■

**Example 1** We consider the following system of differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_{11}\partial_{22} - \partial_{12}\partial_{21}) \bullet f &= 0, \\ (\theta_{11} + \theta_{21} - \alpha_1) \bullet f &= 0, \\ (\theta_{12} + \theta_{22} - \alpha_2) \bullet f &= 0, \\ (\theta_{21} + \theta_{22} + \gamma + 1) \bullet f &= [g(t, x)]_{t=a}^{t=b}. \end{cases}$$

Here,  $g(t, x) = t^{\gamma+1}(x_{11} + x_{21}t)^{\alpha_1}(x_{12} + x_{22}t)^{\alpha_2}$ .

This is the incomplete  $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_1$  hypergeometric system for  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,

$\beta = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, -\gamma - 1)$ , and  $g_1 = 0, g_2 = 0, g_3 = [g(t, x)]_{t=a}^{t=b}$ .

A detailed study on the system is given in [6].

### 3 Series Solution

The Lauricella function  $F_D$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &F_D(a, b_1, \dots, b_n, c; z_1, \dots, z_n) \\ &= \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m_1+\dots+m_n} (b_1)_{m_1} \cdots (b_n)_{m_n}}{(c)_{m_1+\dots+m_n} (1)_{m_1} \cdots (1)_{m_n}} z_1^{m_1} \cdots z_n^{m_n}. \end{aligned}$$

It is well-known that the Lauricella function  $F_D$  of  $n - 1$  variables gives a series solution of  $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_{n-1}$ -hypergeometric system. We can give series solutions of our incomplete system in terms of the Lauricella series when parameters are generic. We need  $F_D$  of  $n$  variables to give a solution.

**Theorem 1** *If  $\gamma$  is not negative integer, the incomplete  $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_{n-1}$ -hypergeometric system has a series solution which can be expressed in terms of the Lauricella function  $F_D$  as*

$$F(\beta; x) = \prod_{k=1}^n x_{1k}^{\alpha_k} \left( \frac{b^{\gamma+1}}{\gamma+1} F_D \left( \gamma+1; -\alpha_1, \dots, -\alpha_n; \gamma+2; \frac{-x_{21}b}{x_{11}}, \dots, \frac{-x_{2n}b}{x_{1n}} \right) - \frac{a^{\gamma+1}}{\gamma+1} F_D \left( \gamma+1; -\alpha_1, \dots, -\alpha_n; \gamma+2; \frac{-x_{21}a}{x_{11}}, \dots, \frac{-x_{2n}a}{x_{1n}} \right) \right).$$

*Proof.* For simplicity, we introduce some multi-index notations. An  $n$ -dimensional multi-index is an  $n$ -tuple  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$  of non-negative integers. The norm of a multi-index is defined by  $|m| = m_1 + \dots + m_n$ . For a vector  $x_i = (x_{i1}, \dots, x_{in})$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), define  $x_i^m = x_{i1}^{m_1} \dots x_{in}^{m_n}$  and for a vector  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n$ , define the Pochhammer symbol by  $(\alpha)_m = (\alpha_1)_{m_1} \dots (\alpha_n)_{m_n}$ . By using these notations, the series  $F$  can be written as

$$F = x_1^\alpha \sum_{m \geq 0} c_m \left( \frac{x_2}{x_1} \right)^m, \quad c_m = \frac{(-1)^{|m|} (-\alpha)_m}{(\gamma + |m| + 1)(1)_m} (b^{\gamma+|m|+1} - a^{\gamma+|m|+1}).$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{1k} \bullet F &= (\alpha_k - m_k)F, \\ \theta_{2k} \bullet F &= m_k F. \end{aligned}$$

We now prove that the series  $F$  satisfies the incomplete system (1). Firstly,  $(\theta_{1i} + \theta_{2i} - \alpha_i) \bullet F = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  follows from above fact immediately.

Secondly, we will prove  $\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{2i} + \gamma + 1\right) \bullet F = [g(t, x)]_{t=a}^{t=b}$ , which can be shown as

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{2i} + \gamma + 1\right) \bullet F &= (|m| + \gamma + 1)F \\
&= x_1^\alpha \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{|m|} (-\alpha)_m}{(1)_m} (b^{\gamma+|m|+1} - a^{\gamma+|m|+1}) \left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)^m \\
&= \left[ t^{\gamma+1} x_1^\alpha \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{(-\alpha)_m}{(1)_m} \left(-\frac{x_2 t}{x_1}\right)^m \right]_{t=a}^{t=b} \\
&= \left[ t^{\gamma+1} x_1^\alpha \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{x_{2k} t}{x_{1k}}\right)^{\alpha_k} \right]_{t=a}^{t=b} \\
&= \left[ t^{\gamma+1} \prod_{k=1}^n (x_{1k} + x_{2k} t)^{\alpha_k} \right]_{t=a}^{t=b}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last two steps, we take a branch such that the equality holds.

Finally, we will prove  $(\partial_{1i} \partial_{2j} - \partial_{1j} \partial_{2i}) \bullet F = 0$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ . This follows from the following two calculations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\theta_{1i} \theta_{2j} - \frac{x_{2j} x_{1i}}{x_{1j} x_{2i}} \theta_{1j} \theta_{2i}\right) \bullet F &= x_1^\alpha \sum_{m \geq 0} (\alpha_i - m_i) m_j c_m \left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)^m \\
&\quad - x_1^\alpha \sum_{m \geq 0} (\alpha_j - m_j) m_i c_m \left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)^{m-e_i+e_j} \\
&= x_1^\alpha \sum_{m \geq 0} (\alpha_i - m_i) (m_j + 1) c_{m+e_j} \left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)^{m+e_j} \\
&\quad - x_1^\alpha \sum_{m \geq 0} (\alpha_j - m_j) (m_i + 1) c_{m+e_i} \left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)^{m+e_j}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(\alpha_i - m_i) (m_j + 1) c_{m+e_j} &= (\alpha_i - m_i) (m_j + 1) \frac{(-1)^{|m+e_j|} (-\alpha)_{m+e_j}}{(\gamma + |m + e_j| + 1) (1)_{m+e_j}} (b^{\gamma+|m+e_j|+1} - a^{\gamma+|m+e_j|+1}) \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{|m|+1} (-\alpha)_{m+e_j+e_i}}{(\gamma + |m| + 2) (1)_m} (b^{\gamma+|m|+2} - a^{\gamma+|m|+2}) \\
&= (\alpha_j - m_j) (m_i + 1) \frac{(-1)^{|m+e_i|} (-\alpha)_{m+e_i}}{(\gamma + |m + e_i| + 1) (1)_{m+e_i}} (b^{\gamma+|m+e_i|+1} - a^{\gamma+|m+e_i|+1}) \\
&= (\alpha_j - m_j) (m_i + 1) c_{m+e_i}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the theorem is proved. ■

Gel'fand, Kapranov and Zelevinsky ([1]) gave a base of the solutions of the (complete)  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric system. We will give a base of solutions of our incomplete system by utilizing their result and Theorem 1.

For a parameter  $\beta = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, -\gamma - 1) \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ , we set a  $2 \times n$  matrix

$$s^{(\ell)} = (s_{ij}^{(\ell)}) = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 & \cdots & \beta_{\ell-1} & \sum_{j=\ell}^{n+1} \beta_j & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\sum_{j=\ell+1}^{n+1} \beta_j & \beta_{\ell+1} & \cdots & \beta_n \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $1 \leq \ell \leq n$ . Let  $M^{(\ell)}$  be a set of  $2 \times n$  matrices

$$M^{(\ell)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell-1} \mathbf{N}_0 \cdot (e_{2k} + e_{1\ell} - e_{1k} - e_{2\ell}) + \sum_{k=\ell+1}^n \mathbf{N}_0 \cdot (e_{1k} + e_{2\ell} - e_{2k} - e_{1\ell}),$$

where  $e_{ij}$  is the  $2 \times n$  matrix whose  $(i, j)$ -entry is 1 and the other entries are 0. We suppose that the condition of parameter  $\beta$  called “ $T$ -nonresonant”, that is the sets  $s^{(\ell)} \pm M^{(\ell)}$  ( $1 \leq \ell \leq n$ ) are pairwise disjoint ([1, Definition 3]). Define series  $\Psi^{(\ell)}(x)$  as

$$\Psi^{(\ell)}(x) = \Gamma(s^{(\ell)} + 1) \sum_{k \in M^{(\ell)}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(s^{(\ell)} + k + 1)} x^{s^{(\ell)} + k},$$

where  $\Gamma(s^{(\ell)} + k + 1) = \prod_{i=1}^2 \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(s_{ij}^{(\ell)} + k_{ij} + 1)$  and  $x^{s^{(\ell)} + k} = \prod_{i=1}^2 \prod_{j=1}^n x_{ij}^{s_{ij}^{(\ell)} + k_{ij}}$ . These series are linearly independent and have the open domain

$$\left| \frac{x_{21}}{x_{11}} \right| < \left| \frac{x_{22}}{x_{12}} \right| < \cdots < \left| \frac{x_{2n}}{x_{1n}} \right|$$

as a common domain of convergence. Moreover they span the solution space of (complete)  $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_{n-1}$ -hypergeometric system ([1, Theorem 3]).

By using this result, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2** *Suppose the parameter  $\beta$  is  $T$ -nonresonant and  $\gamma$  is not negative integer.*

1. *The common domain of convergence of  $F(\beta; x)$  and  $\Psi^{(\ell)}(x)$  is*

$$U : \left| \frac{x_{21}}{x_{11}} \right| < \left| \frac{x_{22}}{x_{12}} \right| < \cdots < \left| \frac{x_{2n}}{x_{1n}} \right| < \frac{1}{\max(|a|, |b|)}.$$

2. *Any holomorphic solution of the incomplete system (1) on  $U$  can be written as*

$$F(\beta; x) + \sum_{\ell=1}^n c_\ell \Psi^{(\ell)}(x), \quad c_\ell \in \mathbf{C}.$$

*Proof.* Since the domain of convergence of the series  $F$  is

$$U_0 : \left| \frac{x_{21}}{x_{11}} \right| < \frac{1}{\max(|a|, |b|)}, \left| \frac{x_{22}}{x_{12}} \right| < \frac{1}{\max(|a|, |b|)}, \dots, \left| \frac{x_{2n}}{x_{1n}} \right| < \frac{1}{\max(|a|, |b|)},$$

we have the statement 1. The statement 2 is clear. ■

**Theorem 3** For  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ , we suppose the parameter  $\sigma(\beta)$  is  $T$ -nonresonant and  $\gamma$  is not negative integer.

1. The domain of convergence of the series  $F(\beta; x)$  and  $\sigma(\Psi^{(\ell)}(x))$  is

$$\sigma(U) : \left| \frac{x_{2\sigma(1)}}{x_{1\sigma(1)}} \right| < \left| \frac{x_{2\sigma(2)}}{x_{1\sigma(2)}} \right| < \cdots < \left| \frac{x_{2\sigma(n)}}{x_{1\sigma(n)}} \right| < \frac{1}{\max(|a|, |b|)}.$$

2. Any holomorphic solution of the incomplete system (1) on  $\sigma(U)$  can be written as

$$F(\beta; x) + \sum_{\ell=1}^n c_\ell \sigma(\Psi^{(\ell)}(x)), \quad c_\ell \in \mathbf{C}.$$

Here,  $\sigma(\Psi^{(\ell)}(x))$  is given by the permutations  $x_{ij} \leftrightarrow x_{i\sigma(j)}$ ,  $s_{ij}^{(\ell)} \leftrightarrow s_{i\sigma(j)}^{(\ell)}$  and  $\beta_j \leftrightarrow \beta_{\sigma(j)}$ .

*Proof.* The theorem follows immediately from the  $\sigma$ -invariance of  $F$ . ■

**Remark 2** The closure of the union of  $\sigma(U)$  coincides with the closure of  $U_0$ . That is

$$\overline{U_0} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \overline{\sigma(U)}.$$

## 4 Contiguity Relation

Contiguity relation is a relation among two functions of which parameters are different by integer. Miller ([5]) gave contiguity relations for Lauricella functions and Sasaki ([11]) gave contiguity relations for Aomoto-Gel'fand hypergeometric functions, which include the case of complete  $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_{n-1}$ -hypergeometric functions. In [8] and [9], they give algorithms to compute contiguity relations in the case of  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric functions. These results are for complete functions. In [6], an algorithm of computing contiguity relations under some conditions is given for incomplete  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric functions and the complete list of them for the incomplete  $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_1$ -hypergeometric function is derived.

We will give contiguity relations of our incomplete system in this section. We put  $\delta = -\gamma - 1$  (i.e.,  $\beta = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \delta)$ ) to make formulas of contiguity relations of the incomplete  $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_{n-1}$ -hypergeometric function simpler forms. We put

$$\Phi(\beta; x) = \int_a^b t^{-\delta-1} \prod_{k=1}^n (x_{1k} + x_{2k}t)^{\alpha_k} dt,$$

and assume  $\operatorname{Re}(-\delta - 1), \operatorname{Re} \alpha_k > 0$ . Then, we note that  $\Phi(\beta; x)$  is a solution of the following incomplete  $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_{n-1}$ -hypergeometric system:

$$\begin{cases} z_i \bullet f = 0, & z_i := \theta_{1i} + \theta_{2i} - \alpha_i, & (1 \leq i \leq n) \\ z \bullet f = [g(t, x)]_{t=a}^{t=b}, & z := \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{2i} - \delta, \\ I_A \bullet f = 0, \end{cases}$$



where  $g(t, x) = t^{-\delta} \prod_{k=1}^n (x_{1k} + x_{2k}t)^{\alpha_k}$ . Let  $a_{1k}$  and  $a_{2k}$  be vectors corresponding to the  $(2k-1)$ -st and the  $2k$ -th columns of  $A$  respectively.

**Theorem 4** *The incomplete  $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_{n-1}$ -hypergeometric function  $\Phi(\beta; x)$  satisfies the following contiguity relations.*

- Shifts with respect to  $a_{1k}$ :

$$S(\beta; -a_{1k})\Phi(\beta; x) = \alpha_k \Phi(\beta - a_{1k}; x), \quad (3)$$

$$S(\beta - a_{1k}; +a_{1k})\Phi(\beta - a_{1k}; x) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - \delta \right) \Phi(\beta; x) - [g(t, x)]_{t=a}^{t=b}, \quad (4)$$

where

$$S(\beta; -a_{1k}) = \partial_{1k},$$

$$S(\beta - a_{1k}; +a_{1k}) = \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^n (x_{1i}x_{2k} - x_{1k}x_{2i})\partial_{2i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i x_{1k}.$$

- Shifts with respect to  $a_{2k}$ :

$$S(\beta; -a_{2k})\Phi(\beta; x) = \alpha_k \Phi(\beta - a_{2k}; x), \quad (5)$$

$$S(\beta - a_{2k}; +a_{2k})\Phi(\beta - a_{2k}; x) = \delta \Phi(\beta; x) + [g(t, x)]_{t=a}^{t=b}, \quad (6)$$

where

$$S(\beta; -a_{2k}) = \partial_{2k},$$

$$S(\beta - a_{2k}; +a_{2k}) = \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^n x_{1k}x_{2i}\partial_{1i} + \left( \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^n \theta_{2i} + \alpha_k \right) x_{2k}.$$

*Proof.* The down-step relations (3) and (5) are easily verified. We will prove only the up-step relations (4) and (6).

Let  $L_1$  be the operator

$$\left( \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^n (x_{1i}x_{2k} - x_{1k}x_{2i})\partial_{2i} + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_{1k} \right) \partial_{1k} - \alpha_k \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - \delta \right) + \alpha_k \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{2i} - \delta \right).$$

We now prove that  $L_1 \bullet \Phi(\beta; x) = 0$  which together with (3) will prove the contiguity relation (4). The operator  $L_1$  can be reduced by  $z_k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ) as

follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1 &= \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^n x_{1i} x_{2k} \partial_{2i} \partial_{1k} - \sum_{i=1}^n (\theta_{2i} - \alpha_i) \theta_{1k} + \theta_{2k} \theta_{1k} - \alpha_k \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i + \alpha_k \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{2i} \\
&= \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^n x_{1i} x_{2k} \partial_{2i} \partial_{1k} - \sum_{i=1}^n (\theta_{2i} - \alpha_i) (\theta_{1k} + \theta_{2k} - \alpha_k) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n (\theta_{2i} - \alpha_i) (\theta_{2k} - \alpha_k) + \theta_{2k} \theta_{1k} + \alpha_k \sum_{i=1}^n (\theta_{2i} - \alpha_i) \\
&= \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^n x_{1i} x_{2k} \partial_{2i} \partial_{1k} - \sum_{i=1}^n (\theta_{2i} - \alpha_i) z_k + \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{2k} (\theta_{2i} - \alpha_i) + \theta_{2k} \theta_{1k} \\
&= \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^n x_{1i} x_{2k} \partial_{2i} \partial_{1k} - \sum_{i=1}^n (\theta_{2i} - \alpha_i) z_k + \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{2k} (\theta_{1i} + \theta_{2i} - \alpha_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{2k} \theta_{1i} + \theta_{2k} \theta_{1k} \\
&= \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^n x_{1i} x_{2k} (\partial_{2i} \partial_{1k} - \partial_{2k} \partial_{1i}) - \sum_{i=1}^n (\theta_{2i} - \alpha_i) z_k + \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{2k} z_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the  $\partial_{2i} \partial_{1k} - \partial_{2k} \partial_{1i}$  are elements of the toric ideal  $I_A$ , we obtain  $L_1 \bullet \Phi(\beta; x) = 0$ .

Let  $L_2$  be the operator

$$\left( \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^n x_{1k} x_{2i} \partial_{1i} + \left( \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^n \theta_{2i} + \alpha_k \right) x_{2k} \right) \partial_{2k} - \alpha_k \delta + \alpha_k \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{2i} - \delta \right).$$

Since  $L_2$  can be written as

$$L_2 = \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^n x_{1k} x_{2i} (\partial_{1i} \partial_{2k} - \partial_{2i} \partial_{1k}) + \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{2i} z_k,$$

we obtain  $L_2 \bullet \Phi(\beta; x) = 0$  in an analogous calculation with the case of  $L_1$ .  $\blacksquare$

Theorem 4 gives contiguity relations for  $e_k = a_{1k} = (0, \dots, 0, \overset{k}{1}, 0, \dots, 0)$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ), but it does not give those for  $e_{n+1} = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ . The set of vectors  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}\}$  is the standard basis of  $\mathbf{Z}^{n+1}$ . The contiguity relations for  $e_{n+1}$  can be obtained from Theorem 4 as follows.

**Corollary 1** *The incomplete  $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_{n-1}$ -hypergeometric function  $\Phi(\beta; x)$  satisfies the following contiguity relations.*

- *Shifts with respect to  $e_{n+1}$ :*

$$\begin{aligned}
S(\beta + e_{n+2}; -e_{n+2}) \Phi(\beta + e_{n+2}; x) &= \alpha_k \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - \delta \right) \Phi(\beta; x) - \alpha_k [g(t, x)]_{t=a}^{t=b}, \\
S(\beta - e_{n+2}; +e_{n+2}) \Phi(\beta - e_{n+2}; x) &= \alpha_k \delta \Phi(\beta; x) + \alpha_k [g(t, x)]_{t=a}^{t=b},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S(\beta + e_{n+2}; -e_{n+2}) &= S(\beta - a_{1k}; +a_{1k})\partial_{2k}, \\ S(\beta - e_{n+2}; +e_{n+2}) &= S(\beta - a_{2k}; +a_{2k})\partial_{1k} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n+1. \end{aligned}$$

Although we prove these contiguity relations for the integral representation of the incomplete  $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_{n-1}$  function, they hold for functions which satisfy the system and the two conditions (3) and (5). By an easy calculation to check these conditions for the series solution  $F(\beta; x)$ , we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 2** *The series solution  $F(\beta; x)$  satisfies the same contiguity relations.*

We note that  $\Phi(\beta; x)$  can be formally expanded in  $F(\beta; x)$ .

## 5 Appendix: A solvability of incomplete $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric systems

Let  $D$  be the Weyl algebra in  $n$  variables. We denote by  $A = (a_{ij})$  a  $d \times n$ -matrix whose elements are integers. We suppose that the set of the column vectors of  $A$  spans  $\mathbf{Z}^d$ .

**Definition 2** ([6]) We call the following system of differential equations  $H_A(\beta, g)$  an *incomplete  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric system*:

$$\begin{aligned} (E_i - \beta_i) \bullet f &= g_i, \quad E_i - \beta_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \partial_j - \beta_i, \quad (i = 1, \dots, d) \\ \square_{u,v} \bullet f &= 0, \quad \square_{u,v} = \prod_{i=1}^n \partial_i^{u_i} - \prod_{j=1}^n \partial_j^{v_j} \end{aligned}$$

with  $u, v \in \mathbf{N}_0^n$  running over all  $u, v$  such that  $Au = Av$ .

Here,  $\mathbf{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , and  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d) \in \mathbf{C}^d$  are parameters and  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_d)$  where  $g_i$  are given holonomic functions.

We denote by  $E - \beta$  the sequence  $E_1 - \beta_1, \dots, E_d - \beta_d$  and  $I_A$  the affine toric ideal generated by  $\square_{u,v}$  ( $Au = Av$ ) in  $\mathbf{C}[\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n]$ .

**Lemma 1** *If the first homology of the Euler-Koszul complex vanishes;*

$$H_1(K_\bullet(E - \beta; D/DI_A)) = 0,$$

*then the syzygy module  $\text{syz}(E_1 - \beta_1, \dots, E_d - \beta_d) \subset (D/DI_A)^d$  is generated by  $(E_i - \beta_i)e_j - (E_j - \beta_j)e_i$  ( $1 \leq i < j \leq d$ ).*

*Proof.* The Euler-Koszul complex of  $D/DI_A$  is the following complex

$$0 \longrightarrow D/DI_A \xrightarrow{d_d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_3} (D/DI_A)^{\binom{d}{2}} \xrightarrow{d_2} (D/DI_A)^d \xrightarrow{d_1} D/DI_A \longrightarrow 0$$

and the differential is defined by

$$d_p(e_{i_1, \dots, i_p}) = \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^{k-1} (E_{i_k} - \beta_{i_k}) e_{i_1, \dots, \widehat{i_k}, \dots, i_p}.$$

Here,  $e_{i_1, \dots, i_p}$  are basis vectors of  $(D/DI_A)^{\binom{d}{p}}$ . The kernel of  $d_1$  is  $\text{syz}(E_1 - \beta_1, \dots, E_d - \beta_d)$  and the image of  $d_2$  is generated by  $(E_i - \beta_i)e_j - (E_j - \beta_j)e_i$  ( $1 \leq i < j \leq d$ ) over  $(D/DI_A)^d$ . Since the first homology is zero, the conclusion is obtained.  $\blacksquare$

**Theorem 5 ([12])** *If the first homology  $H_1(K_\bullet(E - \beta; D/DI_A))$  vanishes and the  $g_i$  are holonomic functions satisfying the following relations*

$$(E_i - \beta_i) \bullet g_j = (E_j - \beta_j) \bullet g_i, \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, d) \quad (7)$$

$$\square_{u,v} \bullet g_i = 0, \quad (i = 1, \dots, d, Au = Av, u, v \in \mathbf{N}_0^n) \quad (8)$$

*then the incomplete hypergeometric system has a (classical) solution.*

*Proof.* By virtue of [3, Theorem 4.1],  $\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}H_A(\beta), \mathcal{O})$  vanishes at generic points in  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . Therefore, it is sufficient to prove that  $\ell_1 g_1 + \cdots + \ell_d g_d = 0$  for all  $(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_d, \ell_{d+1}, \dots, \ell_{d+m}) \in \text{syz}(E - \beta, \square)$ , where  $\square$  is a finite sequence  $\square_{u_1, v_1}, \dots, \square_{u_m, v_m}$  which are generators of  $I_A$ . Since for  $(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_d, \ell_{d+1}, \dots, \ell_{d+m}) \in \text{syz}(E - \beta, \square)$ , the relation  $\sum_{i=1}^d \ell_i (E_i - \beta_i) + \sum_{i=1}^m \ell_{d+i} \square_{u_i, v_i} = 0$  holds, we have  $(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_d) \in \text{syz}(E - \beta)$  over  $(D/DI_A)^d$ . By Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_1 g_1 + \cdots + \ell_d g_d &= (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_d) \cdot g \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} c_{ij} \{(E_i - \beta_i)e_j - (E_j - \beta_j)e_i\} \cdot g, \quad c_{ij} \in \mathbf{C} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} c_{ij} \{(E_i - \beta_i)g_j - (E_j - \beta_j)g_i\} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 3** Matusevich, Miller and Walther ([4, Theorem 6.3]) showed that if the toric ideal  $I_A$  is Cohen-Macaulay, the  $i$ -th homology of the Euler-Koszul complex vanishes for all positive integers  $i$ .

The following facts are known about Cohen-Macaulay property of toric ideals.

1. If the initial monomial ideal of  $I_A$  is square-free, then  $A$  is normal (see, e.g., [10, Proposition 13.15]).
2. If the matrix  $A$  is normal, then  $I_A$  is Cohen-Macaulay ([2]).

This is an easy tool for showing Cohen-Macaulayness of toric ideals. When  $A$  is  $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_{n-1}$ , we can easily verify the condition 1.

## References

- [1] I.M. Gel'fand, A.V. Zelevinsky, M.M. Kapranov, Hypergeometric functions and toral manifolds. *Functional Analysis and its Applications* **23** (1989), 94–106.
- [2] M. Hochster, Rings of Invariants of Tori, Cohen-Macaulay Rings Generated by Monomials, and Polytopes, *The Annals of Mathematics* **96** (1972), 318–337.
- [3] M. Kashiwara, On the Maximally Overdetermined System of Linear Differential Equations I, *Publications of RIMS, Kyoto University* **10** (1975), 563–579.
- [4] L.F. Matusevich, E. Miller, U. Walther, Homological methods for hypergeometric families, *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* **18** (2005), 919–941.
- [5] W. Miller, Lie theory and Lauricella functions  $F_D$ , *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **13** (1972), 1393–1399.
- [6] K. Nishiyama, N. Takayama, Incomplete  $\mathcal{A}$ -Hypergeometric Systems, [arXiv:0907.0745](https://arxiv.org/abs/0907.0745).
- [7] M. Saito, Contiguity Relations for the Lauricella Functions, *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj* **38** (1995), 37–58.
- [8] M. Saito, B. Sturmfels, and N. Takayama, Hypergeometric polynomials and integer programming, *Compositio Mathematica* **115** (1999), 185–204.
- [9] M. Saito, B. Sturmfels, and N. Takayama, *Gröbner Deformations of Hypergeometric Differential Equations*, Springer, 2000.
- [10] B. Sturmfels, *Gröbner Bases and Convex Polytopes*, University Lecture Notes, Vol. 8, American Mathematical Society, 1995.
- [11] T. Sasaki, Contiguity relations of Aomoto-Gel'fand hypergeometric functions and applications to Appell's system  $F_3$  and Goursat's system  ${}_3F_2$ , *SIAM Journal of Mathematical Analysis* **22** (1991), 821–846.
- [12] N. Takayama, Private communication, 2010.