

# ESSENTIAL DIMENSION OF SIMPLE ALGEBRAS IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

SANGHOON BAEK

ABSTRACT. Let  $p$  be a prime integer,  $1 \leq s \leq r$  integers,  $F$  a field of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $Dec_{p^r}$  denote the class of the tensor product of  $r$   $p$ -symbols and  $Alg_{p^r, p^s}$  denote the class of central simple algebras of degree  $p^r$  and exponent dividing  $p^s$ . For any integers  $s < r$ , we find a lower bound for the essential  $p$ -dimension of  $Alg_{p^r, p^s}$ . Furthermore, we compute upper bounds for  $Dec_{p^r}$  and  $Alg_{8,2}$  over  $\text{char}(F) = p$  and  $\text{char}(F) = 2$ , respectively. As a result, we show  $\text{ed}_2(Alg_{4,2}) = \text{ed}(Alg_{4,2}) = \text{ed}_2(\mathbf{GL}_4/\mu_2) = \text{ed}(\mathbf{GL}_4/\mu_2) = 3$  and  $3 \leq \text{ed}(Alg_{8,2}) = \text{ed}(\mathbf{GL}_8/\mu_2) \leq 10$  over a field of characteristic 2.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A numerical invariant, essential dimension of algebraic groups was introduced by Reichstein and was generalized to algebraic structures by Merkurjev. We refer to [9] for the definition of essential dimension and denote by  $\text{ed}$  and  $\text{ed}_p$  the essential dimension and essential  $p$ -dimension, respectively.

Let  $F$  be a field,  $\mathbf{Fields}/F$  the category of field extensions over  $F$ , and  $\mathbf{Sets}$  the category of sets. For every integer  $n \geq 1$ , a divisor  $m$  of  $n$  and any field extension  $E/F$ , let

$$Alg_{n,m} : \mathbf{Fields}/F \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$$

be the functor taking a field extension  $K/F$  to the set of isomorphism classes of central simple  $K$ -algebras of degree  $n$  and exponent dividing  $m$ . Then, there is a natural bijection between  $H^1(K, \mathbf{GL}_n/\mu_m)$  and  $Alg_{n,m}(K)$  (see [1, Example 1.1]), thus  $\text{ed}(Alg_{n,m}) = \text{ed}(\mathbf{GL}_n/\mu_m)$  and  $\text{ed}_p(Alg_{n,m}) = \text{ed}_p(\mathbf{GL}_n/\mu_m)$ .

Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p$ . For  $a \in F$  and  $b \in F^\times$ , we write  $[a, b]_p$  for the central simple algebra over  $F$  generated by  $u$  and  $v$  satisfying  $u^p - u = a$ ,  $v^p = b$  and  $vu = uv + v$  (it is called a symbol  $p$ -algebra). For a field extension  $E/F$ , let

$$Dec_{p^r} : \mathbf{Fields}/F \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$$

be the functor taking a field extension  $K/F$  to the set of isomorphism classes of the tensor product of  $r$   $p$ -symbols over  $E$ .

Some computations of the essential dimension and essential  $p$ -dimension of  $Alg_{m,n}$  have been done. But most of them have the restriction  $\text{char}(F) \neq p$  on the base field  $F$ . In this paper, for any integers  $r > s$ , we find a new

---

The work has been supported by NSERC Discovery 385795-2010, Accelerator Supplement 396100-2010 grants, and Erhard Neher's NSERC Discovery grant.

lower bound for  $\text{ed}_p(\text{Alg}_{p^r, p^s})$  over  $\text{char}(F) = p$ . Moreover, we compute upper bounds for  $\text{Dec}_{p^r}$  and  $\text{Alg}_{8,2}$  over  $\text{char}(F) = p$  and  $\text{char}(F) = 2$ , respectively. As a result, we get:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic 2. Then*

$$\text{ed}_2(\text{Alg}_{4,2}) = \text{ed}(\text{Alg}_{4,2}) = \text{ed}_2(\mathbf{GL}_4/\mu_2) = \text{ed}(\mathbf{GL}_4/\mu_2) = 3.$$

*Proof.* The lower bound  $3 \leq \text{ed}_2(\text{Alg}_{4,2})$  follows from Corollary 2.4. By a Theorem of Albert, we have  $\text{Dec}_4 = \text{Alg}_{4,2}$  for  $p = 2$ , thus we get  $\text{ed}(\text{Alg}_{4,2}) \leq 3$  by Proposition 3.2. As  $\text{ed}_2(\text{Alg}_{4,2}) \leq \text{ed}(\text{Alg}_{4,2})$ , the result follows.  $\square$

Corollary 2.4 and Corollary 3.5 give the following:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic 2. Then*

$$3 \leq \text{ed}(\text{Alg}_{8,2}) = \text{ed}(\mathbf{GL}_8/\mu_2) \leq 10.$$

## 2. LOWER BOUNDS

**Theorem 2.1.** (*Tsen*) *Let  $K$  be a field of transcendental degree 1 over an algebraically closed field  $F$ . Then, for any central division algebra  $A$  over  $K$ ,  $\text{ind}(A) = \text{exp}(A) = 1$ , i.e.,  $A = K$ .*

As an application of Theorem 2.1, Reichstein obtained the following result:

**Corollary 2.2.** [10, Lemma 9.4(a)] *Let  $F$  be an arbitrary field and  $A$  be a division algebra of degree  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $\text{ed}(A) \geq 2$ . In particular, for any integers  $r, s$  and any prime  $p$ ,  $\text{ed}_p(\text{Alg}_{p^r, p^s}) \geq 2$ .*

Initially, the following theorem is proved under the additional condition that  $\text{char}(F)$  does not divide  $\text{exp}(A)$  in [5]. In subsequent papers [6, Theorem 1.0.2] and [8, Theorem 4.2.2.3], this condition is removed:

**Theorem 2.3.** (*de Jong*) *Let  $K$  be a field of transcendental degree 2 over an algebraically closed field  $F$ . Then, for any central simple algebra  $A$  over  $K$ ,  $\text{ind}(A) = \text{exp}(A)$ .*

As an application of Theorem 2.3, we have the following result:

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $F$  be an arbitrary field and  $p$  be a prime. For any integers  $r$  and  $s$  with  $s < r$ ,  $\text{ed}_p(\text{Alg}_{p^r, p^s}) \geq 3$ .*

*Proof.* By [9, Proposition 1.5], we may replace the base field  $F$  by an algebraically closure of  $F$ . Let  $K$  be a field extension of  $F$  and  $A$  be a central simple algebra over  $K$  of  $\text{ind}(A) = p^r$  and  $\text{exp}(A)|p^s$ . Let  $E$  be a field extension of  $K$  of degree prime to  $p$ . As  $\text{ind}(A)$  is relatively prime to  $[E : K]$ , we have  $\text{ind}(A_E) = \text{ind}(A) = p^r$ . Suppose that  $A_E \simeq B \otimes E$  for some  $B \in \text{Alg}_{p^r, p^s}(L)$  and  $\text{tr. deg}_F(L) = 2$ . Then, by Theorem 2.3, we have  $\text{ind}(B) = \text{exp}(B)$ . As  $p^r = \text{ind}(A_E) | \text{ind}(B) = \text{exp}(B)$ , we get  $p^r | \text{exp}(B)$ . But it contradicts to  $\text{exp}(B)|p^s$ . By Corollary 2.2, the result follows.  $\square$

**Remark 2.5.** As we see in [2, Theorem], the above lower bound 3 is much less than the best known lower bounds, but these lower bounds are valid only for  $\text{char}(F) \neq p$ . Hence, our main application of Corollary 2.4 is for the case of  $\text{char}(F) = p$ .

### 3. UPPER BOUNDS

#### 3.1. An upper bound for $\text{ed}(\text{Dec}_{p^r})$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** [3, Example 2.3 and page 298] *Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p$  and  $r \geq 1$  be an integer. If  $|F| \geq p^r$ , then  $\text{ed}((\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^r) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* From the exact exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a \xrightarrow{\wp} \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow 0,$$

we have  $H^1(E, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = E/\wp(E)$  for any field extension  $E/F$  where  $\wp(x) = x^p - x$  for  $x \in E$ , hence  $\text{ed}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = 1$ . By [3, Proposition 4.11], we have  $\text{ed}((\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^r) \geq 1$ .

As  $|F| \geq p^r$ , we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^r \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a \xrightarrow{\wp} \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows from  $H^1(E, \mathbb{G}_a) = 0$  that  $\mathbb{G}_a(E) \rightarrow H^1(E, (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^r)$  is surjective. By [9, Proposition 1.3], we have  $\text{ed}((\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^r) \leq \dim(\mathbb{G}_a) = 1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $p$  be a prime integer,  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p$ . If  $|F| \geq p^r$ , then  $\text{ed}(\text{Dec}_{p^r}) \leq r + 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let

$$A = \otimes_{i=1}^r [a_i, b_i]_p \in \text{Dec}_{p^r}(E)$$

for a field extension  $E/F$ . As  $\text{ed}((\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^r) = 1$  by Lemma 3.1, there exists a sub-extension  $E_0/F$  of  $E/F$  and  $c_i \in E_0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq r$  such that  $c_i \equiv a_i \pmod{\wp(E)}$  and  $\text{tr. deg}_F(E_0) \leq 1$ . Therefore,  $A$  is defined over  $L = E_0(b_1, \dots, b_r)$  and  $\text{tr. deg}_F(L) \leq r + 1$ . Hence,  $\text{ed}(A) \leq r + 1$  and  $\text{ed}(\text{Dec}_{p^r}) \leq r + 1$ .  $\square$

**3.2. An upper bound for  $\text{ed}(A/g_{8,2})$ .** In this subsection we assume that the base field  $F$  is of characteristic 2. The upper bound 8 for  $\text{ed}(A/g_{8,2})$  over the base field  $F$  of characteristic different from 2 was determined in [1, Theorem 2.12]. We use a similar method to find an upper bound for  $\text{ed}(A/g_{8,2})$  over the base field  $F$  of characteristic 2.

For a commutative  $F$ -algebra  $R$ ,  $a \in R$  and  $b \in R^\times$  we write  $[a, b]_R$  for the quaternion algebra  $R \oplus Ru \oplus Rv \oplus Rw$  with the multiplication table  $u^2 + u = a, v^2 = b, uv = w = vu + v$ . The class of  $[a, b]_R$  in the Brauer group  $\text{Br}(R)$  will be denoted by  $\{a, b\} = \{a, b\}_R$ .

Let  $a \in R$  and  $S = R[\alpha] := R[t]/(t^2 + t + a)$  with  $\alpha^2 = \alpha + a$  the quadratic extension of  $R$ . We write  $N_R(a)$  for the subgroup of  $R^\times$  of all element of the form  $x^2 + xy + ay^2$  with  $x, y \in R$ , i.e.,  $N_R(a)$  is the image of the norm

homomorphism  $N_{S/R} : S^\times \rightarrow R^\times$ . If  $b \in N_R(a)$ , then the quaternion algebra  $[a, b]_R$  is isomorphic to the matrix algebra  $M_2(R)$ .

**3.2.1. Rowen's construction.** Rowen extended the Tignol's theorem [13] to a field of characteristic 2. We recall Rowen's argument in [11]. Let  $A$  be a central simple  $F$ -algebra in  $\mathbf{Alg}_{8,2}(F)$ . By [11], there is a triquadratic splitting extension  $F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)/F$  of  $A$  such that  $\alpha^2 + \alpha = a, \beta^2 + \beta = b$ , and  $\gamma^2 + \gamma = c$  for some  $a, b, c \in F$ . Let  $L = F(\alpha)$ . By [11, Corollary 5], we have

$$(1) \quad \{A\}_L = \{b, s\} + \{c, t\}$$

in  $\text{Br}(L)$  for some  $s, t \in L^\times$ .

Taking the corestriction for the extension  $L/F$  in (1), we get

$$0 = 2\{A\} = \{b, N_{L/F}(s)\} + \{c, N_{L/F}(t)\}$$

in  $\text{Br}(F)$ , hence  $\{b, N_{L/F}(s)\} = \{c, N_{L/F}(t)\}$ . By the chain lemma [11, Lemma 3], we have

$$\{b, N_{L/F}(s)\} = \{d, N_{L/F}(s)\} = \{d, N_{L/F}(t)\} = \{c, N_{L/F}(t)\}$$

in  $\text{Br}(F)$  for some  $d \in F$ . Therefore, we get  $\{b + d, N_{L/F}(s)\} = \{c + d, N_{L/F}(t)\} = \{d, N_{L/F}(st)\} = 0$ . By the proof of [4, Lemma 2.3],

$$\begin{aligned} \{b + d, s\} &= \{b + d, k\}, \\ \{c + d, t\} &= \{c + d, l\}, \\ \{d, st\} &= \{d, m\}. \end{aligned}$$

in  $\text{Br}(L)$  for some  $k, l, m \in F^\times$ . It follows from (1) that

$$\{A\}_L = \{b + d, k\}_L + \{c + d, l\}_L + \{d, m\}_L$$

in  $\text{Br}(L)$ . Hence

$$\{A\} = \{a, e\} + \{b, k\} + \{c, l\} + \{d, klm\}$$

in  $\text{Br}(F)$  for some  $e \in F^\times$ .

We shall need the following result:

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative  $F$ -algebra,  $a, b \in R$ ,  $T = R[\alpha] := R[t]/(t^2 + t + a)$  and  $x + y\alpha \in T^\times$  such that  $x^2 + xy + ay^2 = u^2 + uv + bv^2$  for some  $u, v \in R$ . If  $v + y \in R^\times$ , then  $(v + y)(x + y\alpha) \in N_T(b)$ . In particular,*

$$\{b, x + y\alpha\}_T = \{b, v + y\}_T.$$

*Proof.* The result comes from the following equality

$$(x + y\alpha + u)^2 + (x + y\alpha + u)v + bv^2 = (x + y\alpha)(v + y).$$

□

3.2.2. *Classifying Azumaya algebra for  $\text{Alg}_{8,2}$ .* Consider the affine space  $\mathbb{A}_F^{13}$  with coordinates  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}$  and define the rational functions:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{f} &= \mathbf{xz} + \mathbf{wz} + \mathbf{xy}, \\ \mathbf{g} &= \mathbf{wy} + \mathbf{xza}, \\ \mathbf{r} &= (\mathbf{g}^2 + \mathbf{gf} + \mathbf{f}^2\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{m}^2 + \mathbf{mn}), \\ \mathbf{h} &= (\mathbf{w}^2 + \mathbf{wx} + \mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{a} + 1 + \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}^2\mathbf{d}), \\ \mathbf{l} &= (\mathbf{y}^2 + \mathbf{yz} + \mathbf{z}^2\mathbf{a} + 1 + \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}^2\mathbf{d}), \\ \mathbf{p} &= (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{z})(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{f}).\end{aligned}$$

Let  $X$  be the quasi-affine variety defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{q} &:= \mathbf{abcdep}(\mathbf{w}^2 + \mathbf{wx} + \mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{a})(\mathbf{y}^2 + \mathbf{yz} + \mathbf{z}^2\mathbf{a})(\mathbf{g}^2 + \mathbf{gf} + \mathbf{f}^2\mathbf{a}) \neq 0, \\ \mathbf{bu}^2 &= \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{cv}^2 = \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{dn}^2 = \mathbf{r}\end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $X = \text{Spec}(R)$  with

$$R = F[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{q}^{-1}] / \langle \mathbf{bu}^2 + \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{cv}^2 + \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{dn}^2 + \mathbf{r} \rangle.$$

Let  $T = R[\alpha]$  and  $S = R[\alpha, \beta, \gamma]$  with  $\alpha^2 = \alpha + \mathbf{a}$ ,  $\beta^2 = \beta + \mathbf{b}$ ,  $\gamma^2 = \gamma + \mathbf{c}$ . Consider the Azumaya  $R$ -algebra

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{B}' = [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e}]_R \otimes [\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u}]_R \otimes [\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{v}]_R \otimes [\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{p}]_R.$$

By Lemma 3.3, we get the followings :

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u})(\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{x}\alpha) &\in N_T(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{d}) \subset N_S(\mathbf{d}), \\ (\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{v})(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}\alpha) &\in N_T(\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{d}) \subset N_S(\mathbf{d}), \\ (\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{f})(\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{x}\alpha)(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}\alpha) &\in N_T(\mathbf{d}) \subset N_S(\mathbf{d}).\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2) that

$$\{\mathcal{B}'\}_T = \{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{x}\alpha\} + \{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}\alpha\}$$

in  $\text{Br}(T)$ .

Since  $\mathbf{p} \in N_S(\mathbf{d})$ ,  $[\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{p}]_S$  is isomorphic to the matrix algebra  $M_2(S)$ . In particular,

$$M_2(R) \subset M_2(S) \simeq [\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{p}]_S \subset \mathcal{B}'$$

and hence  $\mathcal{B}' \simeq M_2(\mathcal{B})$  for the centralizer  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $M_2(R)$  in  $\mathcal{B}'$  by the proof of [7, Theorem 4.4.2]. Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is an Azumaya  $R$ -algebra of degree 8 that is Brauer equivalent to  $\mathcal{B}'$  by [12, Theorem 3.10].

**Proposition 3.4.** *The Azumaya algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  is classifying for  $\text{Alg}_{8,2}$ , i.e., the corresponding  $\mathbf{GL}_8/\mu_2$ -torsor over  $X$  is classifying.*

*Proof.* Let  $A \in \mathbf{Alg}_{8,2}(K)$ , where  $K$  is a field extension of  $F$ . We shall find a point  $p \in X(K)$  such that  $A \simeq \mathcal{B}(p)$ , where  $\mathcal{B}(p) := \mathcal{B} \otimes_R K$  with the  $F$ -algebra homomorphism  $R \rightarrow K$  given by the point  $p$ .

Following Rowen's construction, there is a triquadratic splitting extension  $K(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)/K$  of  $A$  such that  $\alpha^2 + \alpha = a, \beta^2 + \beta = b$ , and  $\gamma^2 + \gamma = c$  for some  $a, b, c \in K$ . Let  $L = K(\alpha)$ , so

$$\{A\}_L = \{b, s\} + \{c, t\}$$

in  $\text{Br}(L)$  for some  $s = w + x\alpha$ , and  $t = y + z\alpha \in L^\times$ . We have

$$\{b, w^2 + wx + x^2a\} = \{d, w^2 + wx + x^2a\} = \{d, y^2 + yz + z^2a\} = \{c, y^2 + yz + z^2a\}$$

in  $\text{Br}(K)$  for some  $d \in K$ , so  $\{b + d, w^2 + wx + x^2a\} = \{c + d, y^2 + yz + z^2a\} = \{d, (w^2 + wx + x^2a)(y^2 + yz + z^2a)\} = 0$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} w^2 + wx + x^2a &= u'^2 + u'u + u^2(b + d), \\ y^2 + yz + z^2a &= v'^2 + v'u + v^2(c + d), \\ (w^2 + wx + x^2a)(y^2 + yz + z^2a) &= m^2 + mn + n^2d \end{aligned}$$

for some  $u, u', v, v', m, n$  in  $K$ . Moreover, we may assume that  $u' \neq 0$ . Replacing  $w, x$  and  $u$  by  $wu', xu'$  and  $u'u$  respectively, we may assume that  $u' = 1$ . Similarly, we may assume that  $v' = 1$ .

We also may assume that  $u \neq x$  by replacing  $u$  by  $u/(b + d)$ . Similarly, we may assume that  $v \neq z$  and  $n + xz + wz + xy \neq 0$ . It follows from Lemma 3.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \{b + d, w + x\alpha\} &= \{b + d, u + x\}_L, \\ \{c + d, y + z\alpha\} &= \{c + d, z + v\}_L, \\ \{d, (w + x\alpha)(y + z\alpha)\} &= \{d, n + xz + wz + xy\}_L \end{aligned}$$

in  $\text{Br}(L)$ . Hence

$$\{A\} = \{a, e\} + \{b, u + x\} + \{c, z + v\} + \{d, (u + x)(z + v)(n + xz + wz + xy)\}$$

in  $\text{Br}(K)$  for some  $e \in K^\times$ .

Let  $p$  be the point  $(a, b, c, d, e, u, v, w, x, y, z, m, n)$  in  $X(K)$ . We have  $\{\mathcal{B}(p)\} = \{A\}$  and hence  $\mathcal{B}(p) \simeq A$  as  $\mathcal{B}(p)$  and  $A$  have the same dimension.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.**  $\text{ed}(\mathbf{Alg}_{8,2}) \leq 10$ .

*Proof.* There is surjective morphism  $X \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{8,2}$  by Proposition 3.4. By [9, Proposition 1.3],  $\text{ed}(\mathbf{Alg}_{8,2}) \leq \dim(X) = 10$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Baek and A. Merkurjev, *Invariants of simple algebras*, Manuscripta Math, Vol. 129, No. 4 (2009), 409–421.
- [2] S. Baek and A. Merkurjev, *Essential dimension of central simple algebras*, to appear in Acta Math.

- [3] G. Berhuy and G. Favi, *Essential dimension: a functorial point of view (after A. Merkurjev)*, Doc. Math. **8** (2003), 279–330 (electronic).
- [4] H. Dherte, *Quadratic descent of involutions in degree 2 and 4*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), no. 7, 1963–1969.
- [5] A. J. de Jong, *The period-index problem for the Brauer group of an algebraic surface*, Duke Math. J. **123** (2004), 71–94.
- [6] A. J. de Jong and J. Starr, *Almost proper GIT-stacks and discriminant avoidance*, <http://www.math.columbia.edu/dejong/papers/5-torsor.pdf>.
- [7] I. N. Herstein, *Noncommutative rings*, Carus Mathematical Monographs, vol. 15, Mathematical Association of America, Washington, DC, 1994, Reprint of the 1968 original, With an afterword by L. W. Small.
- [8] M. Lieblich, *Twisted sheaves and the period-index problem*, Compos. Math. **144** (2008), no. 1, 1–31.
- [9] A. S. Merkurjev, *Essential dimension*, Quadratic forms—algebra, arithmetic, and geometry, Contemp. Math., vol. 493, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2009), pp. 299–325.
- [10] Z. Reichstein, *On the notion of essential dimension for algebraic groups*, Transform. Groups **5** (2000), no. 3, 265–304.
- [11] L. Rowen, *Division algebras of exponent 2 and characteristic 2*, J. Algebra **90** (1984), no. 1, 71–83.
- [12] D. J. Saltman, *Lectures on division algebras*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 94, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [13] J.-P. Tignol, *Sur les classes de similitude de corps à involution de degré 8*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **286** (1978), no. 20, A875–A876.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA, CANADA  
 E-mail address: sbaek@uottawa.ca