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#### Abstract

A complete classification of binary self-dual codes of length 36 is given.


## 1 Introduction

As described in [9, self-dual codes are an important class of linear codes for both theoretical and practical reasons. It is a fundamental problem to classify self-dual codes of modest lengths and much work has been done towards classifying self-dual codes over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ for $q=2$ and 3 , where $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ denotes the finite field of order $q$ and $q$ is a prime power (see [9).

Codes over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ are called binary and all codes in this paper are binary unless otherwise noted. The dual code $C^{\perp}$ of a code $C$ of length $n$ is defined as $C^{\perp}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n} \mid x \cdot y=0\right.$ for all $\left.y \in C\right\}$, where $x \cdot y$ is the standard inner product. A code $C$ is called self-orthogonal if $C \subset C^{\perp}$, and $C$ is called self-dual if $C=C^{\perp}$. A self-dual code $C$ is doubly even if all codewords of $C$ have weight divisible by four, and singly even if there is at least one codeword of weight $\equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. It is known that a self-dual code of length $n$ exists if and only if $n$ is even, and a doubly even self-dual code of length $n$ exists if and only if $n$ is divisible by eight. Two codes are equivalent if one can be

[^0]obtained from the other by permuting the coordinates. An automorphism of $C$ is a permutation of the coordinates of $C$ which preserves $C$. The set consisting of all automorphisms of $C$ is called the automorphism group of $C$ and it is denoted by $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$.

A classification of self-dual codes of lengths up to 30 and doubly even self-dual codes of length 32 is known (see [9, Table I]). A classification of singly even self-dual codes of length 32 is given in [3]. The classification is extended to length 34 [2]. Using the classification of self-dual codes of length 34 and minimum weight 6 , extremal self-dual codes of length 36 , that is, those with minimum weight 8 , were classified in [8].

The main aim of this paper is to give a complete classification of self-dual codes of length 36, confirming in particular, the result of [8].

Theorem 1. There are 519492 inequivalent self-dual codes of length 36. Of these 41 are extremal, 58671 have minimum weight 6, 436633 have minimum weight 4, and 24147 have minimum weight 2.

Generator matrices of all inequivalent self-dual codes of length 36, as well as those of shorter lengths, can be obtained electronically from [6]. As a summary, we list in Table 1 the total number $\#_{T}$ of inequivalent self-dual codes of length $n$ and the number $\#_{d}$ of inequivalent self-dual codes of length $n$ and minimum weight $d$ for $n=2,4, \ldots, 36$. All computer calculations in this paper were done by Magma [4].

Table 1: Numbers of self-dual codes

| $n$ | $\#_{T}$ | $\#_{2}$ | $\#_{4}$ | $n$ | $\#_{T}$ | $\#_{2}$ | $\#_{4}$ | $\#_{6}$ | $\#_{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 16 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 22 | 25 | 16 | 8 | 1 | 0 |
| 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 55 | 25 | 28 | 1 | 1 |
| 8 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 26 | 103 | 55 | 47 | 1 | 0 |
| 10 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 28 | 261 | 103 | 155 | 3 | 0 |
| 12 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 30 | 731 | 261 | 457 | 13 | 0 |
| 14 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 32 | 3295 | 731 | 2482 | 74 | 8 |
| 16 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 34 | 24147 | 3295 | 19914 | 938 | 0 |
| 18 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 36 | 519492 | 24147 | 436633 | 58671 | 41 |

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Classification method

Here we describe a method for classifying self-dual codes. This method is similar to that given in [7].

Suppose that $C$ is a self-dual $[n, n / 2, d]$ code with $d \geq 4$. Define a subcode of $C$ as follows

$$
C_{0}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in C \mid x_{n-1}=x_{n}\right\} .
$$

Since $C^{\perp}$ has no codeword of weight $2, C_{0}$ has dimension $n / 2-1$. We may assume that there is a codeword $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ of weight $d$ in $C$ with $x_{n-1}=x_{n} \neq 0$. Then, the following code

$$
C_{1}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-2}\right) \mid\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in C_{0}\right\}
$$

is a self-dual $[n-2, n / 2-1, d-2]$ code. Thus, the subcode $C_{0}$ has generator matrix of the form

$$
G_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{c|cc} 
& a_{1} & a_{1}  \tag{1}\\
G_{1} & \vdots & \vdots \\
& a_{n / 2-1} & a_{n / 2-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $G_{1}$ is a generator matrix of $C_{1}$ and $a_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}(i=1, \ldots, n / 2-1)$. It follows that every self-dual $[n, n / 2, d]$ code is constructed as the code $\left\langle C_{0}, x\right\rangle$ for some code $C_{0}$ with generator matrix of the form (1) and some vector $x \in C_{0}^{\perp} \backslash C_{0}$, where $\left\langle C_{0}, x\right\rangle$ denotes the code generated by the codewords of $C_{0}$ and $x$. Note that there is essentially a unique choice for $\left\langle C_{0}, x\right\rangle$, for a given $C_{0}$. Indeed, among the three self-dual codes lying between $C_{0}^{\perp}$ and $C_{0}$, two of them are equivalent, while the remaining code has minimum weight 2.

In this way, all self-dual $[n, n / 2, d]$ codes $D$, which must be checked further for equivalence, are constructed, by taking generator matrices of all inequivalent self-dual $\left[n-2, n / 2-1, d-2\right.$ ] codes $D_{1}$ as matrices $G_{1}$, and by considering $a_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}(i=1, \ldots, n / 2-1)$ in (1).

As described in [7], the number of possibilities for $a_{i}(i=2, \ldots, n / 2-1)$ is decreased by applying elements of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(D_{1}\right)$ to the first $n-2$ coordinates of $D$. This can be made more precise and more general as follows. Two codes $C$ and
$C^{\prime}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ are monomially equivalent if there is some monomial matrix $M$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ such that $C^{\prime}=C M=\{c M \mid c \in C\}$. The monomial automorphism group of $C$ is the set of monomial matrices $M$ with $C=C M$ and it is denoted by $\operatorname{MAut}(C)$. Let $D_{1}$ be a linear $[n, k]$ code over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with $k \times n$ generator matrix $G_{1}$. Then there exists a homomorphism $f: \operatorname{MAut}\left(D_{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}(k, q)$ defined by $f(P) G_{1}=G_{1} P$, where $P \in \operatorname{MAut}\left(D_{1}\right)$. The image $\operatorname{Im}(f)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(k, q)$. With this notation, we have the following sufficient condition for monomial equivalence.

Lemma 2. Let $m$ be a positive integer, and let $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{k}$. Suppose that $a^{T}$ and $b^{T}$ belong to the same $\operatorname{Im}(f)$-orbit (under the left action), where $a^{T}$ denotes the transpose of $a$. Then the $[n+m, k]$ codes over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with generator matrices

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
G_{1} & a^{T} & \cdots & a^{T}
\end{array}\right) \text { and }\left(\begin{array}{llll}
G_{1} & b^{T} & \cdots & b^{T}
\end{array}\right)
$$

are monomially equivalent.
Proof. There exists a monomial matrix $P \in \operatorname{MAut}\left(D_{1}\right)$ such that $a^{T}=$ $f(P) b^{T}$. Then the monomial matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
P & O \\
O^{T} & I_{m}
\end{array}\right)
$$

gives a monomial equivalence of the two codes above, where $I_{m}$ denotes the identity matrix of order $m$ and $O$ denotes the $n \times m$ zero matrix.

In our case $(n, q)=(36,2)$, we only need to consider $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{17}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{17}$ in (1), up to the action of $\operatorname{Im}(f)$ by Lemma 2. Orbit representatives for a subgroup of GL $(17,2)$ can easily be found by Magma [4].

### 2.2 Mass formula for weight enumerators

Now we give a mass formula for weight enumerators of self-dual codes.
Lemma 3 (Thompson [10]). Let $n$ be an even positive integer. Let $W_{C}(y)$ denote the weight enumerator of a code $C$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{C} W_{C}(y)=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n / 2-1}\left(2^{i}+1\right)\right)\left(1+y^{n}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n / 2-1}\binom{n}{2 j} \prod_{i=1}^{n / 2-2}\left(2^{i}+1\right) y^{2 j} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ runs through the set of all self-dual codes of length $n$.

As a consequence, we have the following:
Lemma 4. Let $n$ and $d$ be even positive integers. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a family of inequivalent self-dual codes of length $n$ and minimum weight at most $d$. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is a complete set of representatives for equivalence classes of self-dual codes of length $n$ and minimum weight at most $d$, if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{n!}{\# \operatorname{Aut}(C)} \#\{x \in C \mid \operatorname{wt}(x)=d\}=\binom{n}{d}^{n / 2-2} \prod_{i=1}\left(2^{i}+1\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Consider the coefficient of $y^{d}$ in the formula (2) in Lemma 3 .

## 3 Classification of self-dual codes of length 36

In this section, we give a complete classification of self-dual codes of length 36.

Any self-dual code of length $n+2$ and minimum weight 2 is decomposable as $i_{2} \oplus C_{n}$, where $i_{2}$ is the unique self-dual code of length 2 and $C_{n}$ is some self-dual code of length $n$. Since there are 24147 inequivalent self-dual codes of length 34 [2], there are 24147 inequivalent self-dual [36, 18, 2] codes. We denote the set of these 24147 codes by $\mathcal{C}_{36,2}$.

For each self-dual $[34,17,2]$ code given in [2], the method given in Subsection 2.1 produces a number of self-dual $[36,18,4]$ codes. We continue the process until we obtain a set $\mathcal{C}_{36,4}$ of inequivalent self-dual [36,18,4] codes such that $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}_{36,2} \cup \mathcal{C}_{36,4}$ satisfies (3). Lemma 4 implies that there is no other self-dual $[36,18,4]$ code.

Similarly, we found the set $\mathcal{C}_{36,6}$ of the 58671 inequivalent self-dual $[36,18,6]$ codes from the set of inequivalent self-dual $[34,17,4]$ codes. Setting $\mathcal{C}=$ $\mathcal{C}_{36,2} \cup \mathcal{C}_{36,4} \cup \mathcal{C}_{36,6}$ in Lemman, one can verify that there is no other self-dual [36, 18, 6] code.

From our results, together with the set of extremal self-dual codes found by [8], we obtain the set $\mathcal{C}_{36}$ of 519492 inequivalent self-dual codes satisfying

$$
\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}_{36}} \frac{36!}{\# \operatorname{Aut}(C)}=\prod_{i=1}^{17}\left(2^{i}+1\right)
$$

which is the usual mass formula appearing as the constant term of (2). Since this constant term gives the number of distinct self-dual codes of length 36,
it follows that there is no other self-dual code of length 36 . Therefore, we have Theorem [1.

## 4 Some properties

The weight enumerator of a self-dual code of length 36 can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1+\alpha y^{2}+(12 \alpha+\beta) y^{4}+(64 \alpha+6 \beta+\gamma) y^{6}+(33+196 \alpha+11 \beta+64 \delta) y^{8} \\
& +(3168+364 \alpha-4 \beta-6 \gamma-384 \delta) y^{10}+(7059+364 \alpha-39 \beta+832 \delta) y^{12} \\
& +(30336-38 \beta+15 \gamma-512 \delta) y^{14}+(58443-572 \alpha+27 \beta-896 \delta) y^{16} \\
& +(64064-858 \alpha+72 \beta-20 \gamma+1792 \delta) y^{18}+\cdots+y^{36},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are integers. The numbers of distinct weight enumerators of self-dual codes of length 36 are listed in Table 2 for each minimum weight $d$. In particular, we list in Table 3 the numbers of self-dual codes with $d=6$ for each weight enumerator, where the numbers \# of codes and $(\gamma, \delta)$ are listed.

Table 2: Numbers of weight enumerators

| $d$ | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\#$ | 1264 | 2210 | 28 | 2 |

Table 3: Numbers of weight enumerators for $d=6$

| $\#$ | $(\gamma, \delta)$ | $\#$ | $(\gamma, \delta)$ | $\#$ | $(\gamma, \delta)$ | $\#$ | $(\gamma, \delta)$ |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 107 | $(2,3)$ | 257 | $(8,4)$ | 8493 | $(16,3)$ | 146 | $(28,3)$ |
| 41 | $(2,4)$ | 7710 | $(10,3)$ | 1 | $(16,4)$ | 122 | $(30,3)$ |
| 559 | $(4,3)$ | 183 | $(10,4)$ | 6432 | $(18,3)$ | 20 | $(32,3)$ |
| 111 | $(4,4)$ | 9739 | $(12,3)$ | 3773 | $(20,3)$ | 25 | $(34,3)$ |
| 1971 | $(6,3)$ | 82 | $(12,4)$ | 2319 | $(22,3)$ | 4 | $(36,3)$ |
| 214 | $(6,4)$ | 10262 | $(14,3)$ | 954 | $(24,3)$ | 5 | $(38,3)$ |
| 4535 | $(8,3)$ | 22 | $(14,4)$ | 579 | $(26,3)$ | 5 | $(42,3)$ |

The smallest order \# Aut ${ }_{s}$ and the largest order \# Aut among automorphism groups of self-dual codes of length 36 are listed in Table 4 for each
minimum weight $d$. In particular, for $d=6$, the number $N$ of the codes with an automorphism group of order \# Aut is listed in Table 5. There is no selfdual code with a trivial automorphism group for lengths up to 32 (see [3]). At length 34, there are 159 inequivalent self-dual $[34,17,6]$ codes with trivial automorphism groups. Compared to self-dual codes of length 34, there are a great number of self-dual codes with trivial automorphism groups for length 36.

Table 4: Orders of the automorphism groups

| $d$ | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# Aut $_{s}$ | 2 | 4 | 1 | 6 |
| \# Aut $_{l}$ | $2^{18} \cdot 18!$ | $2^{17} \cdot 18!$ | 21504 | 34560 |

Table 5: Orders of the automorphism groups for $d=6$

| \# Aut | $N$ | \# Aut | $N$ | \# Aut | $N$ | \# Aut | $N$ | \# Aut | $N$ | \# Aut | $N$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 41019 | 14 | 1 | 56 | 1 | 192 | 25 | 576 | 2 | 3456 | 1 |
| 2 | 11242 | 16 | 643 | 64 | 118 | 240 | 3 | 768 | 12 | 4608 | 1 |
| 3 | 37 | 18 | 3 | 72 | 7 | 256 | 21 | 864 | 1 | 5376 | 1 |
| 4 | 3368 | 20 | 2 | 80 | 1 | 288 | 7 | 1152 | 4 | 5760 | 1 |
| 6 | 137 | 24 | 59 | 96 | 43 | 336 | 1 | 1344 | 1 | 12960 | 2 |
| 7 | 2 | 32 | 251 | 108 | 1 | 384 | 18 | 1536 | 5 | 21504 | 1 |
| 8 | 1297 | 36 | 21 | 128 | 45 | 432 | 1 | 1728 | 3 |  |  |
| 12 | 166 | 48 | 78 | 144 | 9 | 512 | 8 | 2304 | 1 |  |  |

Let $C$ be a singly even self-dual code and let $C_{0}$ denote the subcode of codewords having weight $\equiv 0(\bmod 4)$. Then $C_{0}$ is a subcode of codimension 1. The shadow $S$ of $C$ is defined to be $C_{0}^{\perp} \backslash C$. Let $d$ and $s$ denote the minimum weights of a self-dual code of length 36 and its shadow, respectively. It was shown in [1] that $2 d+s \leq 22$. The numbers $\#_{s}$ of self-dual codes with shadows of minimum weight $s$ are listed in Table 6 for each minimum weight $d$. Note that there is no self-dual $[36,18,4]$ code meeting the bound. A classification of self-dual $[36,18,6]$ codes meeting the bound can be found in [8].

The covering radius $R(C)$ of a code $C$ is the smallest integer $R$ such that spheres of radius $R$ around codewords of $C$ cover the space $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$. The covering

Table 6: Minimum weights of the shadows

| $d$ | $\#_{2}$ | $\#_{6}$ | $\#_{10}$ | $\#_{14}$ | $\#_{18}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 679 | 22883 | 577 | 7 | 1 |
| 4 | 22541 | 414068 | 24 | 0 | - |
| 6 | 911 | 57755 | 5 | - | - |
| 8 | 16 | 25 | - | - | - |

radius is a basic and important geometric parameter of a code (see [5]). Let $C$ be a self-dual $[36,18, d]$ code. By [5, Eq. (2)] and the Delsarte bound (see [5, Theorem 2]),

$$
6 \leq R(C) \leq 20-d
$$

The numbers $\# R_{r}$ of self-dual codes of length 36 with covering radii $r$ are listed in Table 7 for each minimum weight $d$. There is a unique self-dual $[36,18,6]$ code with covering radius 6 . This code $C_{36}$ has generator matrix $\left(I_{18}, M\right)$ where $M$ is listed in Figure 1 . The code $C_{36}$ has weight enumerator with $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)=(0,0,12,4)$, it has shadow of minimum weight 2 and it has automorphism group of order 5760 .

Table 7: Covering radii of self-dual codes of length 36

| $d$ | $\# R_{6}$ | $\# R_{7}$ | $\# R_{8}$ | $\# R_{9}$ | $\# R_{10}$ | $\# R_{11}$ | $\# R_{12}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 0 | 23 | 20148 | 3010 | 830 | 87 | 34 |
| 4 | 23 | 372396 | 63599 | 587 | 28 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 1 | 53226 | 5439 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 3 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $d$ | $\# R_{13}$ | $\# R_{14}$ | $\# R_{15}$ | $\# R_{16}$ | $\# R_{17}$ | $\# R_{18}$ |  |
| 2 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |  |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - |  |

We end this paper with some remark on the classification of self-dual codes of length 38. Since

$$
\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{18}\left(2^{i}+1\right)}{38!}>13644432.203
$$

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{l}
001100000010100010 \\
001100000010101101 \\
000110000001110101 \\
000110000001000110 \\
001000000001100101 \\
001000000010011001 \\
101110001000111111 \\
10111011011111100 \\
110001000100100110 \\
110010111011010110 \\
010011011000110011 \\
011100010111001111 \\
001011100111000000 \\
111011101000000011 \\
010000101111100101 \\
101111011111011010 \\
110111110111101010 \\
001011111011100110
\end{array}\right)
$$

Figure 1: A self-dual $[36,18,6]$ code with covering radius 6
there are at least 13644433 inequivalent self-dual codes of length 38 . It seems infeasible to classify self-dual codes of that length.
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