

Existence of minimal nodal solutions for the Nonlinear Schroedinger equations with $V(\infty) = 0$

M.Ghimenti*, A.M.Micheletti†

December 30, 2010

Abstract

We consider the problem $\Delta u + V(x)u = f'(u)$ in \mathbb{R}^N . Here the nonlinearity has a double power behavior and V is invariant under an orthogonal involution, with $V(\infty) = 0$. An existence theorem of one pair of solutions which change sign exactly once is given.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J64, 35J20

Keywords: Nonlinear Equations, Variational Methods, Orlicz Spaces, Sign Changing Solutions

1 Introduction

It is well known that stationary states of Nonlinear Schroedinger equations lead to problems of the type

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u = f'(u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N; \\ E_V(u) < \infty. \end{cases} \quad (\mathcal{P})$$

where the energy functional is defined by

$$E_V(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)u^2(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u) dx. \quad (1)$$

We consider a function $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ even with $f(0) = f'(0) = 0$ that satisfies the following requirements:

*Dipartimento di Matematica Applicata, Università di Pisa, via Bonanno 25b, 56100, Pisa, Italy

†Dipartimento di Matematica Applicata, Università di Pisa, via Bonanno 25b, 56100, Pisa, Italy

(f_1) there exists $\mu > 2$ such that

$$0 < \mu f(s) \leq f'(s)s < f''(s)s^2 \text{ for all } s \neq 0 \quad (2)$$

(f_2) there exist positive numbers c_0, c_2, p, q with $2 < p < 2^* < q$ such that

$$\begin{cases} c_0|s|^p \leq f(s) & \text{for } |s| \geq 1; \\ c_0|s|^q < f(s) & \text{for } |s| \leq 1; \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{cases} |f''(s)| \leq c_2|s|^{p-2} & \text{for } |s| \geq 1 \\ |f''(s)| \leq c_2|s|^{q-2} & \text{for } |s| \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$.

We assume $V \in L^{N/2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$$\|V\|_{L^{N/2}} < S := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*}\right)^{2/2^*}} \quad (5)$$

In the case of a single-power nonlinearity some paper has been devoted to the existence of positive solutions when potential V vanishes at infinity. Among others we recall [2, 6] and we quote the references therein.

In pioneering work Berestycki and Lions [11, 12] showed the existence of a positive solution in the case $V \equiv 0$ when $f''(0) = 0$, f has a supercritical growth near the origin and subcritical at infinity.

More recently in the papers [3, 8, 9, 10, 18] the double-power growth condition (f_2) has been used to obtain the existence of positive solutions for different problems of the type (\mathcal{P}). In particular, in [8] the authors proved that if $V \geq 0$ and $V > 0$ on a set of positive measure the problem (\mathcal{P}) has no ground state solution, i.e. there is no solution u of (\mathcal{P}) which minimizes the functional E_V on the Nehari manifold \mathcal{N}_V , defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_V = \{u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2} : \langle \nabla E_V(u), u \rangle = 0, u \neq 0\}. \quad (6)$$

On the contrary there exists a ground state solution either if $V \leq 0$ and $V < 0$ on a set of positive measure, or $V \equiv 0$.

In this paper we are interested in the existence of sign changing solutions. Besides the difficulty posed by the lack of compactness we have another problem: there is no natural regular constraint for sign changing solution of problem (\mathcal{P}). To overcome this difficulty we consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u = f'(u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N; \\ E_V(u) < \infty; \\ u(\tau x) = -u(x), \end{cases} \quad (\mathcal{P}_\tau)$$

where τ is a non trivial orthogonal involution that is a linear orthogonal transformation on \mathbb{R}^N such that $\tau \neq \text{Id}$ and $\tau^2 = \text{Id}$ (Id being the identity on \mathbb{R}^N).

We assume $V(\tau(x)) = V(x)$.

By the nontrivial orthogonal involution τ on \mathbb{R}^N we can define a self adjoint linear isometry on $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}$ which we also denote τ . We define

$$\begin{aligned} \tau : \mathcal{D}^{1,2} &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{1,2}; \\ (\tau u)(x) &:= -u(\tau(x)). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

If $u(\tau x) = -u(x)$, it will be called τ -antisymmetric. Note that non trivial antisymmetric solutions are changing sign or nodal solutions. Nodal solutions which change sign exactly once will be called minimal nodal solutions.

We define

$$\mathcal{N}_V^\tau = \mathcal{N}_V \cap \mathcal{D}_\tau^{1,2} \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{D}_\tau^{1,2} = \{u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2} : \tau u = u\}.$$

The non trivial antisymmetric solutions of (\mathcal{P}_τ) are the critical points of E_V on \mathcal{N}_V^τ

We set now

$$\mu_V = \mu_V(\mathbb{R}^N) := \inf_{\mathcal{N}_V} E_V; \quad \mu_0 = \mu_0(\mathbb{R}^N) := \inf_{\mathcal{N}_0} E_0; \quad (8)$$

$$\mu_V^\tau = \mu_V^\tau(\mathbb{R}^N) := \inf_{\mathcal{N}_V^\tau} E_V; \quad \mu_0^\tau = \mu_0^\tau(\mathbb{R}^N) := \inf_{\mathcal{N}_0^\tau} E_0. \quad (9)$$

We shall prove the following results.

Theorem 1. *If $V(x) > 0$ for almost every x , then*

$$\mu_V^\tau = \mu_0^\tau = 2\mu_0,$$

and μ_V^τ is not achieved. Then the problem (\mathcal{P}_τ) has no solution of minimal energy.

We consider the following class of potentials.

$$V_y(x) = \begin{cases} a|x-y| - 1 & |x-y| < 1; \\ a|x-\tau y| - 1 & |x-\tau y| < 1; \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is chosen such that $\|V\|_{L^{N/2}} < S$, S as in (5). We can prove the following existence result.

Theorem 2. *For the potential V_y such that $|y - \tau y|$ is sufficiently large we have that $\mu_V^\tau < \mu_0^\tau$ and it is achieved. Then the problem (\mathcal{P}_τ) has at least one pair of antisymmetric solutions which change sign exactly once, and the energy of these solutions is minimal.*

We want to mention some recent work about sign changing solutions. The existence of a sequence of nodal solutions and some properties for the number of their nodal domains has been obtained in [5] considering the problem in a bounded smooth domain Ω with $V \equiv 0$, and in [4] in \mathbb{R}^N with $\text{essinf } V > 0$.

In [15] there is a theorem of multiplicity of solutions for the problem $-\Delta u + Vu = q(x)|u|^{p-2}u$ where $V(x)$ and $q(x)$ tend to some positive number V_∞ and q_∞ respectively as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. However no precise information is given whether there are sign changing solutions or not. If $V \equiv 1$ and $q(x)$ suitable chosen, with $\|q - 1\|_\infty$ small, Hirano [16] prove the existence of at least two pairs of sign changing solutions.

In [14] the equation $-\Delta u + \lambda u = |u|^{p^*-2}u$, $\lambda > -\lambda_1$ on a symmetric domain is considered and the effect of the domain topology on the number of minimal nodal solutions is studied.

The plan of the paper is the following.

In section 2 we recall some technical result concerning the appropriate function spaces required by the growth properties of f ; the proof of these results are contained in [7, 8, 10]. In section 3 we prove a *splitting lemma* which is a variant of a well known result of [19]; this lemma is the ingredient to handle the problem with lack of compactness. In section 4 we prove our results.

We will use the following notations

- $u^+ = \max(0, u)$; $u^- = \min(0, u)$;
- $\mathcal{D}^{1,2} = \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) =$ completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^{1/2};$$

- $v_y(x) = v(x + y)$;
- $G_V(u) = \langle \nabla E_V(u), u \rangle = \int |\nabla u|^2 + Vu^2 - f'(u)u$
- $g_u(t) = g_u^V(t) := E_V(tu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{t^2}{2} (|\nabla u|^2 + Vu^2) - f(tu) dx$
- $B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| < R\}$;

- $B_R(z) = B(z, R) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x - z| < R\}$;
- $A^C = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus A$, where $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$.

2 Variational Setting

In order to study the functional E_V , by the growth assumption on f , is useful to consider the functional space $L^p + L^q$, where $2 < p < 2^* < q$. Hereafter we recall some result contained in [7, 8, 10].

Given $p \neq q$, we consider the space $L^p + L^q$ made up of the functions $v : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$v = v_1 + v_2 \quad \text{with} \quad v_1 \in L^p, v_2 \in L^q. \quad (11)$$

The space $L^p + L^q$ is a Banach space equipped with the norm:

$$\|v\|_{L^p+L^q} = \inf\{ \|v_1\|_{L^p} + \|v_2\|_{L^q} : v_1 \in L^p, v_2 \in L^q, v_1 + v_2 = v\}. \quad (12)$$

It is well known (see, for example [13]) that $L^p + L^q$ coincides with the dual of $L^{p'} \cap L^{q'}$. Then:

$$L^p + L^q = \left(L^{p'} \cap L^{q'} \right)' \quad \text{with} \quad p' = \frac{p}{p-1}, \quad q' = \frac{q}{q-1}. \quad (13)$$

We recall some results useful for this paper.

Remark 3. Set $\Gamma_u = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^N : |u(x)| \geq 1\}$. We have

1. if $v \in L^p + L^q$, the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \left[\|v\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Gamma_v)} - 1, \frac{1}{1 + |\Gamma_v|^{\frac{1}{\tau}}} \|v\|_{L^p(\Gamma_v)} \right] \leq \\ & \leq \|v\|_{L^p+L^q} \leq \\ & \leq \max[\|v\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Gamma_v)}, \|v\|_{L^p(\Gamma_v)}] \end{aligned}$$

when $\tau = \frac{pq}{q-p}$;

2. let $\{v_n\} \subset L^p + L^q$. Then $\{v_n\}$ is bounded in $L^p + L^q$ if and only if the sequences $\{|\Gamma_{v_n}|\}$ and $\{\|v\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Gamma_{v_n})} + \|v\|_{L^p(\Gamma_{v_n})}\}$ are bounded.
3. we have $L^{2^*} \subset L^p + L^q$ when $2 < p < 2^* < q$. Then, by Sobolev inequality, we get the continuous embedding

$$\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset L^p + L^q.$$

Remark 4. If f satisfies the hypothesis that we have made in the previous section, we have that

1. f' is a bounded map from $L^p + L^q$ into $L^{p/p-1} \cap L^{q/q-1}$;
2. f'' is a bounded map from $L^p + L^q$ into $L^{p/p-2} \cap L^{q/q-2}$;
3. f'' is a continuous map from $L^p + L^q$ into $L^{p/p-2} \cap L^{q/q-2}$.

At last we recall some result on Nehari manifolds. For the proofs we refer to [8, 10].

Remark 5. The functional E_V is of class C^2 and it holds

$$\langle \nabla E_V(u), v \rangle = \int \nabla u \nabla v + Vuv - f'(u)v dx. \quad (14)$$

Moreover the Nehari manifold defined as

$$\mathcal{N}_V = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2} \setminus 0 : \int |\nabla u|^2 + Vu^2 - f'(u)u dx = 0 \right\} \quad (15)$$

is of class C^1 and its tangent space at the point u is

$$T_u \mathcal{N}_V = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2} : \int 2\nabla u \nabla v + 2Vuv - f'(u)v dx - f''(u)uv = 0 \right\}. \quad (16)$$

Remark 6. We have

$$\inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_V} \|u\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}} > 0. \quad (17)$$

Proof. At first notice that, by 5

$$\exists c > 0 \text{ s.t. } \int |\nabla u|^2 + Vu^2 \geq c \|u\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}}^2, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}. \quad (18)$$

Now, let $\{u_n\}$ a minimizing sequence in \mathcal{N}_V . By contradiction, we suppose that u_n converges to 0. We set $t_n = \|u_n\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}}$, hence we can write $u_n = t_n v_n$ where $\|v_n\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}} = 1$. By claim 3 of Remark 3, the sequence is bounded in

$L^p + L^q$. Since $u_n \in \mathcal{N}_V$ and t_n converges to 0, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
ct_n &= \frac{c}{t_n} \|u_n\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}}^2 \leq \frac{1}{t_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 + V u_n^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f'(t_n v_n) v_n \leq \\
&\leq c_1 t_n^{q-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Gamma_{t_n v_n}} |v_n|^q + c_1 t_n^{p-1} \int_{\Gamma_{t_n v_n}} |v_n|^p \leq \\
&\leq c_1 t_n^{q-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Gamma_{t_n v_n}} |v_n|^q + c_1 t_n^{p-1} \int_{\Gamma_{v_n}} |v_n|^p \leq \\
&\leq c_1 t_n^{q-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Gamma_{v_n}} |v_n|^q + c_1 t_n^{q-1} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Gamma_{t_n v_n}) \cap \Gamma_{v_n}} \frac{|v_n|^p}{t_n^{q-p}} + c_1 t_n^{p-1} \int_{\Gamma_{v_n}} |v_n|^p \leq \\
&\leq c_1 t_n^{q-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Gamma_{v_n}} |v_n|^q + 2c_1 t_n^{p-1} \int_{\Gamma_{v_n}} |v_n|^p.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$c \leq c_1 t_n^{q-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Gamma_{v_n}} |v_n|^q + 2c_1 t_n^{p-2} \int_{\Gamma_{v_n}} |v_n|^p$$

and by claim 2 of Remark 3 we get the contradiction. \square

Remark 7. We have that for any given $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2} \setminus \{0\}$, there exists a unique real number $t_u^V > 0$ such that $t_u^V u \in \mathcal{N}_V$ and $E_V(t_u^V u)$ is the maximum for the function

$$t \rightarrow E_V(tu), \quad t > 0.$$

Proof. Given $u \neq 0$ we set, for $t \geq 0$:

$$g_u(t) = g_u^V(t) := E_V(tu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{t^2}{2} (|\nabla u|^2 + V u^2) - f(tu) dx. \quad (19)$$

We have:

$$g'_u(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} t |\nabla u|^2 + V t u^2 - u f'(tu) dx; \quad (20)$$

$$g''_u(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 + V u^2 - u^2 f''(tu) dx. \quad (21)$$

By hypothesis on f , if $g'_u(\bar{t}) = 0$ we have

$$\bar{t}^2 g''_u(\bar{t}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{t} u f'(\bar{t}u) - \bar{t}^2 u^2 f''(\bar{t}u) dx < 0, \quad (22)$$

then \bar{t} is a maximum point for g_u . Furthermore $0 = g_u(0) = g'_u(0)$ and $g''_u(0) > 0$ by the hypothesis on V , then 0 is a local minimum point for g_u . By (3), for $t \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_u(t) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{t^2}{2} (|\nabla u|^2 + V u^2) dx - c_0 \int_{\{|tu \leq 1\}} |tu|^q dx - c_0 \int_{\{|tu > 1\}} |tu|^p dx \leq \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{t^2}{2} (|\nabla u|^2 + V u^2) dx - c_0 \int_{\{|tu > 1\}} |tu|^p dx \leq \\ &\leq \frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + V u^2) dx - c_0 t^p \int_{\{|u > 1\}} |u|^p dx; \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

the last quantity diverges negatively as $t \rightarrow \infty$, since $p > 2$, and the claim follows. \square

We search antisymmetric solutions of (\mathcal{P}_τ) . To do that, we look for critical points of the restriction of E_V to \mathcal{N}_V^τ . In fact, if $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{N}_V^\tau$ is a critical point of the restriction of E_V to \mathcal{N}_V^τ , then

$$E'_V(\bar{u})\varphi = \langle \nabla E_V(\bar{u}), \varphi \rangle = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in T_{\bar{u}}\mathcal{N}_V \cap \mathcal{D}_\tau^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

But $\nabla E_V(\bar{u}) = \tau \nabla E_V(\tau \bar{u}) = \tau \nabla E_V(\bar{u})$, so we can see that $\nabla E_V(\bar{u}) = 0$.

3 A splitting lemma

We recall that a sequence $\{u_n\}_n \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}$ such that $E_V(u_n) \rightarrow c$, and there exists a sequence $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ s.t. $|E'_V(u_n)\varphi| \leq \varepsilon_n \|\varphi\|$, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}$ is a Palais-Smale sequence at level c for E_V .

In the same way we say that $\{u_n\}_n \in \mathcal{N}_V^\tau$ such that $E_V(u_n) \rightarrow c$, and there exists a sequence $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ s.t. $|E'_V(u_n)\varphi| \leq \varepsilon_n \|\varphi\|$, for all $\varphi \in T_{u_n}\mathcal{N}_V \cap \mathcal{D}_\tau^{1,2}$ is a Palais-Smale sequence at level c for E_V restricted to \mathcal{N}_V^τ .

A functional f satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition if all the Palais-Smale sequences at level c converge.

Unfortunately the functional E_V on \mathcal{N}_V^τ does not satisfy the PS condition in all the energy range. The following lemma provides a description of the PS sequences in $\mathcal{D}_\tau^{1,2}$.

This splitting lemma is a fundamental tool to obtain the claimed results. The main idea of this result spread over a result of M. Struwe [19] that described all the *PS* sequences for E_V on $H^1(\Omega)$ when $f(u) = u|u|^{2^*-2}$ and $V(x) = \lambda u$

Lemma 8. *Let $\{u_n\}_n$ a *PS* sequence at level c for the functional E_V restricted to the manifold \mathcal{N}_V^τ . Then, up to a subsequence, there exist two integers $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$, $k_1 + k_2$ sequences $\{y_n^j\}_n$, an antisymmetric solution u^0 of the problem $-\Delta u + Vu = f'(u)$, and k_1 solutions u^j , $j = 1, \dots, k_1$, and k_2 antisymmetric solutions u^j , $j = k_1 + 1, \dots, k_1 + k_2$, of the problem $-\Delta u = f'(u)$ such that*

1. if $j = 1, \dots, k_1$, then $\tau y_n^j \neq y_n^j$, and $|y_n^j| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
2. if $j = k_1 + 1, \dots, k_2$, then $\tau y_n^j = y_n^j$, and $|y_n^j| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
3. $u_n(x) = u^0(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{k_1} [u^j(x - y_n^j) + \tau u^j(x - y_n^j)] + \sum_{j=k_1+1}^{k_2} u^j(x - y_n^j) + o(1)$
4. $E_V(u_n) \rightarrow E_V(u^0) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{k_1} E_0(u^j) + \sum_{j=k_1+1}^{k_2} E_0(u^j)$

Proof. Since u_n is a *PS* sequence for the functional E_V restricted to the manifold \mathcal{N}_V , then u_n is a *PS* sequence for the functional E_V . For Step 1 of [8, Lemma 3.3] we get that u_n converges to u^0 weakly in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}$ (up to subsequence) and u^0 solves $-\Delta u + Vu = f'(u)$.

Since $\tau u_n = u_n$, we have $\tau u^0 = u^0$. In fact, if $u_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}} u^0$, then, for every $R > 0$, we have that $u_n \xrightarrow{L^2(B_R)} u^0$, so $u_n(x) \rightarrow u^0(x)$ for almost all x .

We set

$$\psi_n(x) = u_n(x) - u^0(x).$$

Then $\tau \psi_n = \psi_n$, and $\psi_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}$. By Steps 2 and 4 of [8, Lemma 3.3], we get that ψ_n is a *PS* sequence for E_0 . If $\psi_n \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}$, then by Steps 3 and 4 of [8, Lemma 3.3] we get that there exists a sequence $\{\xi_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $|\xi_n| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $\psi_n(x + \xi_n) \rightarrow u^1(x)$ where u^1 is a weak solution of $-\Delta u = f'(u)$.

We consider in $\mathbb{R}^N = \Gamma \oplus \Gamma^\perp$, where $\Gamma := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \tau(x) = x\}$. We consider P_Γ the projection on the subspace Γ . At this point we must distinguish two cases

Case I: if $|\xi_n - \tau(\xi_n)|$ is bounded we define $y_n = P_\Gamma \xi_n$.

Case II: if $|\xi_n - \tau(\xi_n)|$ is unbounded we define $y_n = \xi_n$.

Case I In this case there exist a solution $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{D}_\tau^{1,2} \setminus \{0\}$ of $-\Delta u = f'(u)$ and a *PS* sequence $\{\tilde{\psi}_n\}_n$ for E_0 such that

$$\psi_n = \tilde{\psi}_n + \tilde{u}(x + y_n), \quad (24)$$

$\tilde{\psi}_n \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}$, and

$$E_0(\tilde{\psi}_n) = E_0(\psi_n) - E_0(\tilde{u}) + o(1). \quad (25)$$

We can assume, without loss of generality, that $\xi_n = P_\Gamma \xi_n + w$, where $w \in \Gamma^\perp$. We now consider the sequence $\{\psi_n(x + y_n)\}_n$ which is bounded: hence, up to subsequence $\{\psi_n(x + y_n)\}_n$ converges to $\tilde{u}(x)$ weakly in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}$, $\tilde{u}(x) = u^1(x - w) \neq 0$, then $-\Delta \tilde{u} = f'(\tilde{u})$. Furthermore, because $\tau y_n = y_n$ we have that $\tau \tilde{u} = \tilde{u}$. We define

$$\tilde{\psi}_n(x) := \psi_n(x) - \tilde{u}(x - y_n). \quad (26)$$

We will verify that $\tilde{\psi}_n$ is a *PS* sequence for E_0 . Indeed by Lemma 2.11 of [10] we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_0(\tilde{\psi}_n) &= E_0(\psi_n(x) - \tilde{u}(x - y_n)) = E_0(\psi_n(x + y_n) - \tilde{u}(x)) = \\ &= E_0(\psi_n) - E_0(\tilde{u}) + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

and, because $\{\psi_n\}_n$ is a *PS* sequence for E_0 , we have that $E_0(\psi_n)$ converges, so $E_0(\tilde{\psi}_n)$ converges, also.

Again, since $\{\psi_n\}$ is a *PS* sequence, we have that exists an $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ such that, for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (E_0)'(\tilde{\psi}_n)[\varphi] \right| &= \left| \int \nabla \psi_n \nabla \varphi - \int \nabla \tilde{u}_{-y_n} \nabla \varphi - \int f'(\psi_n - \tilde{u}_{-y_n}) \varphi \right| = \\ &= \left| \int [f'(\psi_n(x)) - f'(\tilde{u}(x - y_n)) - f'(\psi_n(x) - \tilde{u}(x - y_n))] \varphi(x) dx + \varepsilon_n \|\varphi\| \right| = \\ &= \left| \int [f'(\psi_n(z + y_n)) - f'(\tilde{u}(z)) - f'(\psi_n(z + y_n) - \tilde{u}(z))] \varphi_{y_n}(z) dz + \varepsilon_n \|\varphi\| \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Now we can choose an $R > 0$ and split this integral as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (E_0)'(\tilde{\psi}_n)[\varphi] \right| &= \left| \int_{B_R} [f'(\psi_n(x + y_n)) - f'(\tilde{u})] \varphi_{y_n}(z) dz + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} [f'(\psi_n(x + y_n)) - f'(\psi_n(x + y_n) - \tilde{u})] \varphi_{y_n} dz - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} f'(\tilde{u}) \varphi_{y_n} - \int_{B_R} f'(\psi_n(x + y_n) - \tilde{u}) \varphi_{y_n} dz + \varepsilon_n \|\varphi\| \right| \leq \\ &\leq A_n \gamma_{n,R} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}} + B_n M_R \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}} + \varepsilon_n \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_n = \|f''(\theta\psi_n(\cdot + y_n) + (1 - \theta)\tilde{u}) - f''(\theta\psi_n(\cdot + y_n) - \theta\tilde{u})\|_{L^{p/p-2}};$$

$$\gamma_{n,R} = \|\psi_n(\cdot - y_n) - \tilde{u}\|_{L^p(B_R)};$$

$$B_n = \|f''(\psi_n(\cdot + y_n) + \theta\tilde{u}) - f''(\theta\tilde{u})\|_{L^{p/p-2} \cap L^{q/q-2}};$$

$$M_R = \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^p + L^q(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R)},$$

for some $0 < \theta < 1$.

By [10, Lemma 2.11] we have that both A_n and B_n are bounded. Since $M_R \rightarrow 0$ as $R \rightarrow +\infty$ and, given R , $\gamma_{n,R} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we get the claim.

Case II In this case there exist a solution $u^1 \neq 0$ of $-\Delta u = f'(u)$ and a *PS* sequence $\{\tilde{\psi}_n\}_n \subset \mathcal{D}_\tau^{1,2}$ for E_0 such that

$$\psi_n(x) = \tilde{\psi}_n(x) + u^1(x - y_n) - u^1(\tau x - y_n) + o(1); \quad (27)$$

$$E_0(\tilde{\psi}_n) = E_0(\psi_n) - 2E_0(u^1) + o(1). \quad (28)$$

We define $\tilde{\psi}_n = \psi_n - \gamma_n$,

$$\gamma_n(x) = u^1(x - y_n)\chi\left(\frac{|x - y_n|}{\rho_n}\right) - u^1(\tau x - y_n)\chi\left(\frac{|x - \tau y_n|}{\rho_n}\right), \quad (29)$$

where $\rho_n := \frac{|y_n - \tau y_n|}{2} \rightarrow \infty$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$, and, as usual, $\chi : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a C^∞ function such that $\chi(s) \equiv 0$ for all $s \geq 2$, $\chi(s) \equiv 1$ for all $s \leq 1$ and $|\chi'(s)| \leq 2$ for all s .

It is trivial that $\tau\gamma_n = \gamma_n$, so $\tau\tilde{\psi}_n = \tilde{\psi}_n$. Furthermore, easily we have

$$\psi_n(x) = \tilde{\psi}_n(x) + u^1(x - y_n) - u^1(\tau x - y_n) + o(1).$$

Now we have to prove (28), and to show that $\tilde{\psi}_n$ is a *PS* sequence.

At first we prove that

$$\|\tilde{\psi}_n\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}}^2 = \|\psi_n - \gamma_n\|^2 = \|\psi_n\|^2 + 2\|u^1\|^2 + o(1). \quad (30)$$

In fact we have that $\|\psi_n - \gamma_n\|^2 = \|\psi_n\|^2 + \|\gamma_n^2\|^2 - 2(\psi_n, \gamma_n)_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}}$, and it is easy to see that $\|\gamma_n^2\|^2 \rightarrow 2\|u^1\|^2$. Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_n, \gamma_n)_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}} &= \int \nabla \psi_n \nabla \left(u^1(x - y_n)\chi\left(\frac{|x - y_n|}{\rho_n}\right) \right) + \\ &+ \int \nabla \psi_n \nabla \left(u^1(\tau x - y_n)\chi\left(\frac{|\tau x - y_n|}{\rho_n}\right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

and the first term converges to $\int |\nabla u^1|$. For the second term we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \nabla \psi_n \nabla \left(u^1(\tau x - y_n) \chi \left(\frac{|\tau x - y_n|}{\rho_n} \right) \right) = \\ & = \int (\nabla \psi_n \nabla u^1(\tau x - y_n)) \chi(\cdot) + \int (\nabla \psi_n \nabla \chi(\cdot)) u^1(\tau x - y_n). \end{aligned}$$

The last term of the equation vanishes when $n \rightarrow \infty$, while, remembering that ψ_n is symmetric, and setting $z = \tau x - y_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int (\nabla \psi_n(x) \nabla u^1(\tau x - y_n)) \chi(\cdot) dx = \\ & \int (\tau \nabla \psi_n(z + y_n) \nabla u^1(z)) \chi \left(\frac{z}{\rho_n} \right) dz \rightarrow - \int |\nabla u^1|^2, \end{aligned}$$

so we have proved (30).

We want now to estimate

$$\int f(\tilde{\psi}_n) = \int f(\psi_n - \gamma_n).$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_{|x-y_n| < 2\rho_n} f \left(\psi_n(x) - u^1(x - y_n) \chi \left(\frac{|x - y_n|}{\rho_n} \right) \right); \\ I_2 &= \int_{|\tau x - y_n| < 2\rho_n} f \left(\psi_n(x) + u^1(\tau x - y_n) \chi \left(\frac{|\tau x - y_n|}{\rho_n} \right) \right); \\ I_3 &= \int_{\{B(y_n)_{2\rho_n} \cup B(\tau y_n)_{2\rho_n}\}^C} f(\psi_n(x)), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\int f(\tilde{\psi}_n) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \quad (32)$$

We have that

$$\left[\psi_n(z + y_n) - u^1(z) \chi \left(\frac{|z|}{\rho_n} \right) \right] \chi \left(\frac{|z|}{\rho_n} \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}^{1,2}. \quad (33)$$

By [10, Lemma 2.11], then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_{|z| < 2\rho_n} f \left(\psi_n(z + y_n) - u^1(z) \chi \left(\frac{|z|}{\rho_n} \right) \right) = \\ &= \int_{|z| < 2\rho_n} f(\psi_n(z + y_n)) - \int_{|z| < 2\rho_n} f \left(u^1(z) \chi \left(\frac{|z|}{\rho_n} \right) \right) + o(1) = \\ &= \int_{|z| < 2\rho_n} f(\psi_n(z + y_n)) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(u^1(z)) + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, because ψ_n is symmetric,

$$\left[\psi_n(\tau z + \tau y_n) - u^1(z) \chi \left(\frac{|z|}{\rho_n} \right) \right] \chi \left(\frac{|z|}{\rho_n} \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}^{1,2}, \quad (34)$$

and

$$I_2 = \int_{|\tau x - y_n| < 2\rho_n} f(\psi_n(x)) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(u^1(x)) + o(1).$$

At last we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int f(\tilde{\psi}_n) &= \int_{|x-y_n| < 2\rho_n} f(\psi_n(x)) + \int_{|\tau x - y_n| < 2\rho_n} f(\psi_n(x)) + \\ &+ \int_{\{B(y_n)_{2\rho_n} \cup B(\tau y_n)_{2\rho_n}\}^C} f(\psi_n(x)) - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(u^1(x)) + o(1) = \quad (35) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\psi_n(x)) - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(u^1(x)) + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

From (30) and (35) we obtain, as claimed

$$E_0(\tilde{\psi}_n) = E_0(\psi_n - \gamma_n) = E_0(\psi_n) - 2E_0(u^1) + o(1); \quad (36)$$

furthermore, because $\{\psi_n\}_n$ is a *PS* sequence for E_0 , we have that $E_0(\tilde{\psi}_n) \rightarrow c$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

To complete the proof we must show that

$$|(E_0)'(\tilde{\psi}_n)\varphi| \leq \varepsilon_n \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}}, \quad (37)$$

where $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} I_n^1 &= \int_{|x-y_n| < 2\rho} \left[f'(\psi_n(x)) - f' \left(\psi_n(x) - u^1(x - y_n) \chi \left(\frac{|x - y_n|}{\rho_n} \right) \right) \right] \varphi(x) dx - \\ &\quad - \int \nabla \left(u^1(x - y_n) \chi \left(\frac{|x - y_n|}{\rho_n} \right) \right) \nabla \varphi(x); \\ I_n^2 &= \int_{|\tau x - y_n| < 2\rho} \left[f'(\psi_n(x)) - f' \left(\psi_n(x) - u^1(\tau x - y_n) \chi \left(\frac{|\tau x - y_n|}{\rho_n} \right) \right) \right] \varphi(x) dx - \\ &\quad - \int \nabla \left(u^1(\tau x - y_n) \chi \left(\frac{|\tau x - y_n|}{\rho_n} \right) \right) \nabla \varphi(x); \\ I_n^3 &= \int_{\{B(y_n)_{2\rho_n} \cup B(\tau y_n)_{2\rho_n}\}^C} [\nabla \psi_n - f'(\psi_n)] \varphi, \end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$|(E_0)'(\tilde{\psi}_n)\varphi| = I_n^1 + I_n^2 + I_n^3. \quad (38)$$

Immediately we have that $I_n^3 \leq \varepsilon_n \|\varphi\|$; furthermore, we can estimate I_n^1 as before, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} I_n^1 &= \int_{|z| < 2\rho_n} \left[f'(\psi_n(z + y_n)) - f' \left(\psi_n(z + y_n) - u^1(z) \chi \left(\frac{|z|}{\rho_n} \right) \right) \right] \varphi(z + y_n) dz - \\ &\quad - \int_{|z| < 2\rho_n} f'(u^1 \chi) \varphi(z + y_n) dz + \varepsilon_n \|\varphi\|. \end{aligned}$$

Setting

$$\alpha_n(z) := \psi_n(z + y_n) - u^1(z) \chi \left(\frac{|z|}{\rho_n} \right), \quad (39)$$

we have

$$|I_n^1| = \left| \int_{B_{\rho_n}} [f'(u^1 \chi + \alpha_n) - f'(\alpha_n) - f'(u^1 \chi)] \varphi_{y_n} dz + \varepsilon_n \|\varphi\| \right|,$$

and, chosen an $R > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_n^1| &= \left| \int_{B_{\rho_n}} [f'(u^1 \chi + \alpha_n) - f'(u^1 \chi)] \varphi_{y_n} dz + \right. \\ &\quad + \int_{(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R) \cap B_{2\rho_n}} [f'(u^1 \chi + \alpha_n) - f'(\alpha_n)] \varphi_{y_n} dz - \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R) \cap B_{2\rho_n}} f'(u^1 \chi) \varphi_{y_n} dz - \int_{B_{\rho_n}} f'(\alpha_n) \varphi_{y_n} dz + \varepsilon_n \|\varphi\| \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Using that $f'(0) = 0$ at last we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_n^1| &\leq \|f''(u^1 \chi + \theta_1 \alpha_n) - f''(\theta_1 \alpha_n)\|_{L^{p/p-2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|\varphi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|\alpha_n\|_{L^p(B_R)} + \\ &\quad + \|f''(\alpha_n + \theta u^1 \chi) - f''(\theta u^1 \chi)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-2}} \cap L^{\frac{q}{q-2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|\varphi\|_{L^p + L^q} \|u^1 \chi\|_{L^p + L^q(B_R^c)} + \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_n \|\varphi\|, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \theta, \theta_1 < 1$. By Remark 4 we get

$$|I_n^1| \leq \varepsilon_n \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}}. \quad (40)$$

In the same way we can estimate $|I_n^2|$, and this concludes the proof. \square

Remark 9. If $u_n \in \mathcal{N}_V^\tau$ is a Palais-Smale sequence of the restriction of E_V to \mathcal{N}_V^τ , that is $E_V(u_n)$ converges and

$$|E'_V(u_n)w| \leq \varepsilon_n \|w\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}} \quad \forall w \in T_{u_n} \mathcal{N}_V \cap \mathcal{D}_\tau^{1,2},$$

where $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, then u_n is a Palais-Smale sequence for the functional E_V .

This remark, combined with the splitting lemma, provides a complete description of the *PS* sequences in our case.

4 The main result

At this point we prove some technical lemmas.

Let $u \in \mathcal{N}_V$, then u^+ and u^- belong to \mathcal{N}_V . Furthermore, if u is antisymmetric, we have $E_V(u^+) = E_V(u^-)$. So, if $u \in \mathcal{N}_V^\tau$, we get

$$E_V(u) = E_V(u^+) + E_V(u^-) = 2E_V(u^+) \geq 2 \inf_{\mathcal{N}_V} E_V = 2\mu_V.$$

This implies that

$$\mu_V^\tau \geq 2\mu_V; \tag{41}$$

$$\mu_0^\tau \geq 2\mu_0. \tag{42}$$

Remark 10. We have $\mu_0^\tau = 2\mu_0$

Proof. We have to proof that $\mu_0^\tau \leq 2\mu_0$. It is possible to find a sequence $\{u_k\}_k \subset \mathcal{N}_0^\tau$ such that $E_0(u_k) \rightarrow 2\mu_0$. So

$$\mu_0^\tau \leq \inf_k E_0(u_k) \leq 2\mu_0.$$

The construction of $\{u_k\}_k$ is quite similar to the construction of $\{z_k\}_k$ in the next theorem. So also the proof that $E_0(u_k) \rightarrow 2\mu_0$. Therefore, for the sake of simplicity, we omit the detailed proof of this result. \square

We are ready now to prove the main lemma of this section

Lemma 11. *We have that $\mu_V^\tau \leq \mu_0^\tau$*

Proof. We prove it by steps

Step I We know that w exists such that $\mu_0 = E_0(w)$. Let $\chi(x)$ a smooth, real function such that

$$\chi = \begin{cases} 1 & B(0, 1); \\ 0 & \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0, 3). \end{cases}$$

We also ask that $\chi(x) = \chi(|x|)$ and that $|\nabla\chi| \leq 1$.

Let $\{y_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ s.t. $|y_k| \rightarrow \infty$ and $|\tau(y_k) - y_k| \rightarrow \infty$. Let ρ_k be defined as

$$\rho_k := \frac{|\tau(y_k) - y_k|}{6}.$$

At last we define a function in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}$

$$z_k = z_k^1 + z_k^2, \quad (43)$$

where

$$z_k^1 = w(x - y_k) \chi\left(\frac{x - y_k}{\rho_k}\right); \quad (44)$$

$$z_k^2 = -w(\tau(x) - y_k) \chi\left(\frac{x - \tau(y_k)}{\rho_k}\right). \quad (45)$$

Obviously we have that $\tau z_k^1 = z_k^2$ and $\tau z_k^2 = z_k^1$, so $z_k \in \mathcal{D}_\tau^{1,2} \forall k$. Furthermore z_k^1 and z_k^2 have disjoint supports, so

$$E_V(t \cdot z_k) = E_V(t \cdot z_k^1) + E_V(t \cdot z_k^2) \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (46)$$

We know, from Remark 7, that it exists a $t_k > 0$ s.t. $t_k \cdot z_k^1 \in \mathcal{N}_V$. It's easy to see that, for such t_k we have that $t_k \cdot z_k^2 \in \mathcal{N}_V$ and $t_k \cdot z_k \in \mathcal{N}_V^\tau$.

In the next we will prove that $E_V(t_k z_k) \rightarrow 2\mu_0$, when $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Step II We prove that $\|z_k^1(x) - w(x - y_k)\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}} \rightarrow 0$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Set $w_k := w(x - y_k)$, and $\gamma_k := \left(1 - \chi\left(\frac{x - y_k}{\rho_k}\right)\right)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_k^1(x) - w_k\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}}^2 &= \int |\nabla [\gamma_k w_k]|^2 \leq 2 \int \gamma_k^2 |\nabla w_k|^2 + 2 \int |\nabla \gamma_k|^2 w_k^2 \leq \\ &\leq \int_{|x - y_k| > 3\rho_k} |\nabla w_k|^2 + \frac{2}{\rho_k^2} \int_{\rho_k < |x - y_k| < 3\rho_k} w_k^2 \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Step III We prove that it exists $c, C > 0$ such that $c < t_k < C$ for all k .

By Remark 6, we know that, if $t_k z_k^1 \in \mathcal{N}_V$, then it exists $M > 0$ such that, for all k , $M \leq \|t_k z_k^1\|$. Furthermore, for the above step

$$\|z_k^1\| \rightarrow \|w_k\| = \|w\|. \quad (47)$$

This implies that c exists such that $t_k > c > 0$ for all k .

For the other inequality we must prove that

$$\int V(x)w_k^2(x)dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ when } k \rightarrow \infty, \quad (48)$$

in fact, fixed an $R > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int V w_k^2 \right| &\leq \int_{B_R} |V| w_k^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} |V| w_k^2 \leq \\ &\leq \|V\|_{L^{\frac{N}{2}}(B_R)} \|w_k\|_{L^{2^*}(B_R)}^2 + \|V\|_{L^{\frac{N}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R)} \|w_k\|_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We have that $\|V\|_{L^{\frac{N}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R)} \rightarrow 0$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$; furthermore

$$\int_{B_R} w^{2^*}(x - y_k) dx = \int_{B_R(-y_k)} w^{2^*}(s) ds \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{(|y_k| - R)}} w^{2^*}(s) ds, \quad (49)$$

thus $\|w_k\|_{L^{2^*}}^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, that proves (48).

Now set a function

$$g_{z_k^1}^V(t) := E_V(tz_k^1). \quad (50)$$

Obviously $g_w^0(t) = E_0(tw) = \frac{1}{2}t^2 \int |\nabla w|^2 - \int f(tw)$. For Remark 7 we know that there exists a \bar{t} such that $g_{w_k}^0(\bar{t}) = g_w^0(\bar{t}) < 0$ for all k . We want to prove that, for k sufficiently big, we have also $g_{z_k^1}^V(\bar{t}) < 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} g_{z_k^1}^V(\bar{t}) - g_w^0(\bar{t}) &= g_{z_k^1}^V(\bar{t}) - g_{w_k}^0(\bar{t}) = \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla \bar{t} z_k^1|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int V(x) \bar{t}^2 (z_k^1)^2 - \\ &\quad - \int f(\bar{t} z_k^1) - \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla \bar{t} w_k|^2 + \int f(\bar{t} w_k) = \\ &= \frac{\bar{t}^2}{2} \left[\int |\nabla z_k^1|^2 - |\nabla w_k|^2 + V(x) (z_k^1)^2 \right] - \int f(\bar{t} z_k^1) - f(\bar{t} w_k). \end{aligned}$$

By (47) and by (48) we have that the first integral of the right hand side of the equation vanishes when $k \rightarrow \infty$. We estimate the last term.

$$\begin{aligned} \int f(\bar{t} z_k^1) - f(\bar{t} w_k) &= \int_{|x - y_k| > \rho_k} f\left(\bar{t} w_k \chi\left(\frac{x - y_k}{\rho_k}\right)\right) - f(\bar{t} w_k) = \\ &= \int_{|s| > \rho_k} f\left(\bar{t} w \chi\left(\frac{s}{\rho_k}\right)\right) - f(\bar{t} w) = \\ &= \int_{|s| > \rho_k} f'\left(\left[\theta \chi\left(\frac{s}{\rho_k}\right) + (1 - \theta)\right] \bar{t} w\right) \left(\chi\left(\frac{s}{\rho_k}\right) - 1\right) \bar{t} w = \\ &\leq \bar{t} \int_{|s| > \rho_k} w f'\left(\left[\theta \chi\left(\frac{s}{\rho_k}\right) + (1 - \theta)\right] \bar{t} w\right) \left(\chi\left(\frac{s}{\rho_k}\right) - 1\right), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\left| \int f(\bar{t}z_k^1) - f(\bar{t}w_k) \right| \leq \bar{t} \int_{|s| > \rho_k} \left| f' \left(\left[\theta \chi \left(\frac{s}{\rho_k} \right) + (1 - \theta) \right] \bar{t}w \right) \right| \left| \chi \left(\frac{s}{\rho_k} \right) - 1 \right| w$$

and the last term vanishes when $k \rightarrow \infty$. In fact, for Remark 4, f' is bounded in $L^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \cap L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}$ and $\left| \chi \left(\frac{s}{\rho_k} \right) - 1 \right| w \rightarrow 0$ in L^{2^*} . So, for k_0 big enough, we have that

$$\exists c, C \in \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ s.t. } 0 < c < t_k < C, \forall k > k_0$$

Step IV We want to prove that $E_V(t_k z_k^1) \rightarrow \mu_0$. We have

$$|E_V(t_k z_k^1) - E_V(w_k)| = |E'_V(\theta t_k z_k^1 + (1 - \theta)w_k)(z_k^1 - w_k)|.$$

We know, for Step 2, that $\|z_k^1 - w_k\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}} \rightarrow 0$.

Furthermore

$$\|\theta t_k z_k^1 + (1 - \theta)w_k\| \leq \|z_k^1\| t_k + \|w_k\| \quad (51)$$

that is bounded because t_k is bounded and by Step II.

At this point by Remark 4 we get the claim.

We know also that

$$\begin{aligned} E_V(w_k) - \mu_0 &= E_V(w_k) - E_0(w) = E_V(w_k) - E_0(w_k) = \\ &= \int V(x)w_k^2 \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0 \end{aligned}$$

for (48). Then

$$|E_V(t_k z_k^1) - \mu_0| \leq |E_V(t_k z_k^1) - E_V(w_k)| + |E_V(w_k) - \mu_0| \rightarrow 0 \quad (52)$$

as we wanted to prove.

Conclusion We know that $t_k z_k \in \mathcal{N}_V^\tau$. Then

$$E_V(t_k z_k) \geq \mu_V^\tau := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_V^\tau} E_V(u).$$

Hence

$$\mu_V^\tau \leq E_V(t_k z_k) = E_V(t_k z_k^1) + E_V(t_k z_k^2) \rightarrow 2\mu_0 = \mu_0^\tau$$

that gives us the proof. \square

We are ready, now, to prove the first result claimed in the introduction.

Proof of Theorem 1. First, we prove that

$$\forall u \in \mathcal{N}_0 \exists t_u^V u \in \mathcal{N}_V \text{ s.t. } E_V(t_u^V u) \geq E_0(u). \quad (53)$$

In fact, by Remark 7, we have that for every $u \in \mathcal{N}_0$, there exist $t_u^V > 0$ such that $t_u^V u \in \mathcal{N}_V$. Then we have:

$$0 = g'_u(t_u^V u) = \langle \nabla E_0(t_u^V u), u \rangle + t_u^V \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V u^2.$$

Since $V > 0$ we have that $\int V u^2 > 0$ and $\langle \nabla E_0(t_u^V u), u \rangle < 0$. Hence $t_u^V > t_u^0 = 1$. Let us observe that by (2) the function $s \rightarrow \int \frac{1}{2} f'(su) su - f(su) dx$ is strictly increasing, then, remembering that $t_u^V u \in \mathcal{N}_V$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} E_V(t_u^V u) &= \frac{1}{2} \int f'(t_u^V u) t_u^V u - f(t_u^V u) dx \geq \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int f'(u) u - f(u) dx = E_0(u). \end{aligned}$$

If $u \in \mathcal{N}_0^\tau$, we can prove in the same way that $t_u^V u \in \mathcal{N}_V^\tau$ and that

$$E_V(t_u^V u) \geq E_0(u) \geq \mu_0^\tau. \quad (54)$$

So

$$\inf_{w \in \mathcal{N}_V^\tau} E_V(w) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_0^\tau} E_V(t_u^V u) \geq E_0(u) \geq \mu_0^\tau. \quad (55)$$

Theorem 11 provides us the other inequality.

Suppose now that there exists $v \in \mathcal{N}_V^\tau$ such that $\mu_V^\tau = E_V(v)$. We know that $\int V(x)v(x)^2 > 0$ and

$$0 = \langle \nabla E_0(v), v \rangle + \int V(x)v(x)^2 dx,$$

so, consequently $\langle \nabla E_0(v), v \rangle < 0$. Then, by Remark 7, we get $t_v^0 < t_v^V = 1$. As said before, the function $s \mapsto \int \frac{1}{2} f'(sv) sv - f(sv) dx$ is strictly increasing, so we have

$$E_0(v t_v^0) = \int \frac{1}{2} f'(t_v^0) t_v^0 v - f(t_v^0 v) dx < \int \frac{1}{2} f'(v) v - f(v) dx = E_V(v) = \mu_V^\tau$$

and we get a contradiction. □

Now we prove the following preliminary result.

Proposition 12. *There exists a class of potential $V(x)$ such that $\mu_V^\tau < \mu_0^\tau$.*

Proof. We consider the class of potentials defined in (10). We want to show that, when $|y| \rightarrow \infty$ and $|y - \tau y| \rightarrow \infty$, then $\mu_{V_y}^\tau < \mu_0^\tau$. We prove it by steps.

Take $w \in \mathcal{N}_0$ such that $E_0(w) = \mu_0$, w radially symmetric and $w > 0$ (see [10, 11, 12]). By means of w , we define

$$z_y(x) = w(x - y) - w(x - \tau y). \quad (56)$$

Step I We prove that, for $|y - \tau y| \rightarrow \infty$,

$$g_{z_y}^{V_y}(t) \rightarrow t^2 \int |\nabla w(x)|^2 dx + at^2 \int [|x| - 1] w^2(x) dx - 2 \int f(tw(x)) dx,$$

where

$$g_{z_y}^{V_y}(t) = E_{V_y}(tz_y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{t^2}{2} (|\nabla z_y|^2 + V_y z_y^2) - f(tz_y) dx. \quad (57)$$

Now

$$\frac{t^2}{2} \int |\nabla z_y|^2 = \frac{t^2}{2} \int |\nabla w(x-y)|^2 + |\nabla w(\tau x-y)|^2 + \nabla w(x-y) \nabla w(x-\tau y). \quad (58)$$

After a change of variables, the first two terms are equals to $\frac{t^2}{2} \int |\nabla w|^2$, and the last term vanishes. So we have that, for all t ,

$$\frac{t^2}{2} \int |\nabla z_y|^2 \rightarrow t^2 \int |\nabla w|^2 \quad \text{when } |y - \tau y| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (59)$$

In a similar way consider

$$\begin{aligned} \int V_y z_y^2 &= a \int_{|x-y|<1} (|x-y|-1) z_y^2 + a \int_{|x-\tau y|<1} (|x-\tau y|-1) z_y^2 = \\ &= a \int_{|x-y|<1} (|x-y|-1) [w(x-y) - w(x-\tau y)]^2 + \\ &\quad + a \int_{|x-\tau y|<1} (|x-\tau y|-1) [w(x-y) - w(x-\tau y)]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

By means of a change of variables we obtain

$$\int_{|x-y|<1} (|x-y|-1) z_y^2 = \int_{|s|<1} (|s|-1) [w(s) + w(s+y-\tau y)]^2.$$

It is not difficult to prove that

$$\int_{|s|<1} (|s| - 1)w^2(s + y - \tau y) \rightarrow 0; \quad (61)$$

$$\int_{|s|<1} (|s| - 1)w(s)w(s + y - \tau y) \rightarrow 0. \quad (62)$$

In the same way we proceed for the second term of the (60), obtaining

$$\frac{t^2}{2} \int V_v z_y^2 \rightarrow at^2 \int_{|x|<1} (|x| - 1)w^2(x)dx \text{ when } |y| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (63)$$

We have to estimate now $\int f(tw)$. Fixed an $R > 0$, we have

$$\int f(tz_y) = \int_{B_R(y)} f(tz_y) + \int_{B_R(\tau y)} f(tz_y) + \int_{(B_R(y) \cup B_R(\tau y))^C} f(tz_y). \quad (64)$$

For the first term we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R(y)} f(tz_y) &= \int_{B_R} f(tw(s) - tw(s + y - \tau y)) = \int_{B_R} f(tw) + \\ &+ \int_{B_R} f'(\theta tw(s) + (1 - \theta)tw(s + y - \tau y))[tw(s + y - \tau y)], \end{aligned}$$

for some $\theta \in [0, 1]$.

Now, for Remark 4, we have that $f'(\theta tw(x - y) + (1 - \theta)tw(\tau x - y))$ is bounded in $L^{p'} \cap L^q$, in fact $\theta tw(x - y) + (1 - \theta)tw(\tau x - y)$ is bounded in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}$ and so in $L^p + L^q$. Furthermore, $w(s + y - \tau y) \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $L^p(B_R)$ when $|y - \tau y| \rightarrow +\infty$.

Concluding we get

$$\int_{B_R(y)} f(tz_y) = \int_{B_R} f(tw(s))ds + I_1(R, y), \quad (65)$$

where, given $R > 0$, $I_1(R, y) \rightarrow 0$ when $|y - \tau y| \rightarrow \infty$. In the same way we can conclude that

$$\int_{B_R(\tau y)} f(tz_y) = \int_{B_R} f(tw(s))ds + I_2(R, y), \quad (66)$$

where, again, given $R > 0$, $I_2(R, y) \rightarrow 0$ when $|y - \tau y| \rightarrow \infty$.

For the last term we have that there exist a $\theta \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{(B_R(y) \cup B_R(\tau y))^C} f(tz_y) &= \int_{(B_R(y) \cup B_R(\tau y))^C} f(t(w(x-y))) + \\
&\quad + \int_{(B_R(y) \cup B_R(\tau y))^C} f'(\theta t(w(x-y)) - (1-\theta)w(x-\tau y))tw(x-\tau y) = \\
&= \int_{(B_R \cup B_R(y-\tau y))^C} f(t(w(s))) + \\
&\quad + \int_{(B_R \cup B_R(\tau y-y))^C} f'(\theta t(w(\xi + \tau y - y)) - (1-\theta)w(\xi))tw(\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\left| \int_{(B_R \cup B_R(\tau y-y))^C} f'(\cdot)tw(\xi) \right| \leq t \|f'(\cdot)\|_{L^{p'} \cap L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|w\|_{L^p + L^q(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R)}, \quad (67)$$

and we use that $\|w\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R)}$ goes to zero when $R \rightarrow \infty$ and that $\|f'(\cdot)\|_{L^{p'} \cap L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ is bounded by Remark 4.

At this point we have that

$$\int f(tz_y) \rightarrow 2 \int f(w) \quad \text{when } |y - \tau y| \rightarrow \infty, \quad (68)$$

and we get the claim.

Step II There exists a $\bar{t} < 1$ such that

$$t_{z_y}^{V_y} \rightarrow \bar{t} \quad \text{when } |y - \tau y| \rightarrow \infty, \quad (69)$$

where $t_{z_y}^{V_y}$ is the maximum point of $g_{z_y}^{V_y}(t)$.

We set

$$\varphi(t) = t^2 \int |\nabla w(x)|^2 dx + at^2 \int [|x| - 1]w^2(x) dx - 2 \int f(tw(x)) dx. \quad (70)$$

By Remark 7 there exists a unique maximizer $\bar{t} > 0$ for the function $\varphi(t)$.

We know that

$$g_w^0(t) = \frac{1}{2}t^2 \int \nabla w^2 - \int f(tw) \quad (71)$$

reach its maximum for $t = 1$. Thus the maximum of the function

$$\varphi(t) = 2g_w^0(t) + at^2 \int [|x| - 1]w^2(x)dx \quad (72)$$

is achieved for \bar{t} , with $0 < \bar{t} < 1$.

Given $t_1 < \bar{t} < t_2$, we can choose a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\max\{\varphi(t_1), \varphi(t_2)\} + \delta < \varphi(\bar{t}) - \delta. \quad (73)$$

By Step I, for $|y - \tau y|$ sufficiently large, we obtain

$$g_{z_y}^{V_y}(t_i) < \varphi(t_i) + \delta < \varphi(\bar{t}) - \delta < g_{z_y}^{V_y}(\bar{t}). \quad (74)$$

By Remark 7, we know that $g_{z_y}^{V_y}(t)$ has an unique maximum point $t_{z_y}^{V_y}$, thus we conclude that

$$t_1 < t_{z_y}^{V_y} < t_2. \quad (75)$$

Since t_1 and t_2 are arbitrarily chosen, we get the claim.

Step III For $|y - \tau y|$ sufficiently large we have

$$\mu_{V_y}^\tau < \mu_0^\tau. \quad (76)$$

We know that

$$E_{V_y}(t_{z_y}^{V_y} z_y) = g_{z_y}^{V_y}(t_{z_y}^{V_y}) \rightarrow \varphi(\bar{t}) \quad \text{for } |y - \tau y| \rightarrow \infty, \quad (77)$$

in fact, for all $\varepsilon > 0$ we have that, for $|y - \tau y|$ sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned} |g_{z_y}^{V_y}(t_{z_y}^{V_y}) - \varphi(\bar{t})| &= |g_{z_y}^{V_y}(t_{z_y}^{V_y}) - g_{z_y}^{V_y}(\bar{t}) + g_{z_y}^{V_y}(\bar{t}) - \varphi(\bar{t})| \leq \\ &\leq |g_{z_y}^{V_y}(t_{z_y}^{V_y}) - g_{z_y}^{V_y}(\bar{t})| + |g_{z_y}^{V_y}(\bar{t}) - \varphi(\bar{t})| \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

By Step I the second term goes to zero when $|y - \tau y| \rightarrow \infty$. By Step II, $t_{z_y}^{V_y} \rightarrow \bar{t}$, so, arguing as in Step I, we get the claim. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\bar{t}) &= \bar{t} \int |\nabla w|^2 + a\bar{t}^2 \int [|x| - 1]w^2 - 2 \int f(\bar{t}w) < \\ &< 2E_0(\bar{t}w) < 2\mu_0, \end{aligned}$$

because $\bar{t} < 1$ and $E_0(w) = \mu_0$. By (77) we get

$$\mu_{V_y}^\tau \leq E_{V_y}(t_{z_y}^{V_y} z_y) < 2\mu_0 = \mu_0^\tau \quad \text{for } |y - \tau y| \text{ large enough,} \quad (78)$$

that concludes the proof \square

Now we are ready to prove the second result claimed in the introduction.

Proof of theorem 2. By the Splitting Lemma and the above Proposition, we get the existence of a minimizer for E_{V_y} , for the class of potential V_y defined by (10), when $|y - \tau y|$ large enough.

Let ω be this minimizer. We know that ω changes sign, because it is antisymmetric by construction. We have to prove that ω changes sign exactly once. Suppose that the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \omega(x) > 0\}$ has k connected components $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_k$. Set

$$\omega_i = \begin{cases} \omega(x) & x \in \Omega_i \cup \tau\Omega_i; \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases} \quad (79)$$

For all i , $\omega_i \in \mathcal{N}_{V_y}^\tau$. Furthermore we have

$$E_{V_y}(\omega) = \sum_i E_{V_y}(\omega_i), \quad (80)$$

thus

$$\mu_{V_y}^\tau = E_{V_y}(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^k E_{V_y}(\omega_i) \geq k\mu_{V_y}^\tau, \quad (81)$$

so $k = 1$, that concludes the proof. \square

References

- [1] Antonio Ambrosetti, Marino Badiale, and Silvia Cingolani, *Semiclassical states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **140** (1997), no. 3, 285–300.
- [2] Antonio Ambrosetti, Veronica Felli, and Andrea Malchiodi, *Ground states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials vanishing at infinity*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **7** (2005), no. 1, 117–144.
- [3] Marino Badiale and Sergio Rolando, *Elliptic problems with singular potential and double-power nonlinearity*, Preprint of the University of Torino.
- [4] Thomas Bartsch, Zhaoli Liu, and Tobias Weth, *Sign changing solutions of superlinear Schrödinger equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **29** (2004), no. 1-2, 25–42.

- [5] Thomas Bartsch and Tobias Weth, *A note on additional properties of sign changing solutions to superlinear elliptic equations*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **22** (2003), no. 1, 1–14.
- [6] Vieri Benci and Giovanna Cerami, *Existence of positive solutions of the equation $-\Delta u + a(x)u = u^{(N+2)/(N-2)}$ in \mathbb{R}^N* , J. Funct. Anal. **88** (1990), no. 1, 90–117.
- [7] Vieri Benci and Donato Fortunato, *Towards a unified field theory for classical electrodynamics*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **173** (2004), no. 3, 379–414.
- [8] Vieri Benci, Carlo R. Grisanti, and Anna Maria Micheletti, *Existence and non existence of the ground state solution for the nonlinear schrodinger equations with $V(\infty) = 0$* , Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal., to appear.
- [9] ———, *Existence of solutions for the nonlinear schrodinger equations with $V(\infty) = 0$* , Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications **66** (2005), 53–65.
- [10] Vieri Benci and Anna Maria Micheletti, *Solutions in exterior domains of null mass nonlinear field equations*, Quaderni del Dipartimento di Matematica Applicata **22** (2004).
- [11] Henry Berestycki and Pierre-Louis Lions, *Nonlinear scalar field equations. I. Existence of a ground state*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **82** (1983), no. 4, 313–345.
- [12] ———, *Nonlinear scalar field equations. II. Existence of infinitely many solutions*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **82** (1983), no. 4, 347–375.
- [13] Jöran Bergh and Jörgen Löfström, *Interpolation spaces. An introduction*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, No. 223.
- [14] Alfonso Castro and Mónica Clapp, *The effect of the domain topology on the number of minimal nodal solutions of an elliptic equation at critical growth in a symmetric domain*, Nonlinearity **16** (2003), no. 2, 579–590.
- [15] Mónica Clapp and Tobias Weth, *Multiple solutions of nonlinear scalar field equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **29** (2004), no. 9–10, 1533–1554.

- [16] Norimichi Hirano, *Multiple existence of sign changing solutions for semi-linear elliptic problems on \mathbb{R}^N* , *Nonlinear Anal.* **46** (2001), no. 7, Ser. A: Theory Methods, 997–1020.
- [17] Zeev Nehari, *On a class of nonlinear second-order differential equations*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **95** (1960), 101–123.
- [18] Lorenzo Pisani, *Remark on the sum of lebesgue spaces*, Preprint of the University of Bari.
- [19] Michael Struwe, *A global compactness result for elliptic boundary value problems involving limiting nonlinearities*, *Math. Z.* **187** (1984), no. 4, 511–517.