

Generalized GCD matrices

Antal Bege

Sapientia–Hungarian University of Transylvania
 Department of Mathematics and Informatics,
 Târgu Mureş, Romania
 email: abege@ms.sapientia.ro

Abstract. Let f be an arithmetical function. The matrix $[f(i, j)]_{n \times n}$ given by the value of f in greatest common divisor of (i, j) , $f((i, j))$ as its i, j entry is called the greatest common divisor (GCD) matrix. We consider the generalization of this matrix where the elements are in the form $f(i, (i, j))$.

1 Introduction

The classical Smith determinant was introduced in 1875 by H. J. S. Smith [14] who also proved that

$$\det[(i, j)]_{n \times n} = \begin{vmatrix} (1, 1) & (1, 2) & \cdots & (1, n) \\ (2, 1) & (2, 2) & \cdots & (2, n) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ (n, 1) & (n, 2) & \cdots & (n, n) \end{vmatrix} = \varphi(1)\varphi(2)\cdots\varphi(n), \quad (1)$$

where (i, j) represents the greatest common divisor of i and j , and $\varphi(n)$ denotes the Euler's totient function.

The GCD matrix with respect to f is

$$[f(i, j)]_{n \times n} = \begin{bmatrix} f((1, 1)) & f((1, 2)) & \cdots & f((1, n)) \\ f((2, 1)) & f((2, 2)) & \cdots & f((2, n)) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ f((n, 1)) & f((n, 2)) & \cdots & f((n, n)) \end{bmatrix}.$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11C20, 11A25, 15A36

Key words and phrases: GCD matrix, Smith determinant, arithmetical function

If we consider the GCD matrix $[f(i, j)]_{n \times n}$, where

$$f(n) = \sum_{d|n} g(d),$$

H. J. Smith proved that

$$\det[f(i, j)]_{n \times n} = g(1) \cdot g(2) \cdots g(n).$$

For $g = \varphi$

$$f(i, j) = \sum_{d|(i, j)} \varphi(d) = (i, j),$$

this formula reduces to (1). Many generalizations of Smith determinants have been presented in literature, see [1, 5, 7, 10, 13].

If we consider the GCD matrix $[f(i, j)]_{n \times n}$ where $f(n) = \sum_{d|n} g(d)$ Pólya and Szegő [12] proved that

$$[f(i, j)]_{n \times n} = G \cdot C^T, \tag{2}$$

where G and C are lower triangular matrices given by

$$g_{ij} = \begin{cases} g(j), & j | i \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$c_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & j | i \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

L. Carlitz [4] in 1960 gave a new form of (2)

$$[f(i, j)]_{n \times n} = C_n \text{diag}(g(1), g(2), \dots, g(n)) C_n^T, \tag{3}$$

where $C_n = [c_{ij}]_{n \times n}$,

$$c_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & j | i \\ 0, & j \nmid i \end{cases},$$

$D = [d_{ij}]_{n \times n}$ diagonal matrix

$$d_{ij} = \begin{cases} g(i), & i = j \\ 0, & i \neq j \end{cases}.$$

From (3) it follows that the value of the determinant is

$$\det[f(i, j)]_{n \times n} = g(1)g(2) \cdots g(n). \tag{4}$$

There are quite a few generalized forms of GCD matrices, which can be found in several references [2, 3, 6, 8, 9, 11].

In this paper we study matrices which have as variables the greatest common divisor and the indices:

$$[f(i, j)]_{n \times n} = \begin{bmatrix} f(1, (1, 1)) & f(1, (1, 2)) & \cdots & f(1, (1, n)) \\ f(2, (2, 1)) & f(2, (2, 2)) & \cdots & f(2, (2, n)) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ f(n, (n, 1)) & f(n, (n, 2)) & \cdots & f(n, (n, n)) \end{bmatrix}.$$

2 Generalized GCD matrices

Theorem 1 For a given arithmetical function g let

$$f(n, m) = \sum_{d|n} g(d) - \sum_{d|(n, m)} g(d).$$

Then

$$[f(i, j)]_{n \times n} = C_n \operatorname{diag}[g(1), g(2), \dots, g(n)] D_n^T,$$

where $C_n = [c_{ij}]_{n \times n}$,

$$c_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & j | i \\ 0, & j \nmid i \end{cases},$$

$D_n = [d_{ij}]_{n \times n}$,

$$d_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & j \nmid i \\ 0, & j | i \end{cases}.$$

Proof. After multiplication, the general element of

$$A = [a_{ij}]_{n \times n} = C \operatorname{diag}[g(1), g(2), \dots, g(n)] D^T$$

is

$$a_{ij} = \sum_{\substack{k | i \\ k \nmid j}} g(k) = \sum_{d|n} g(d) - \sum_{d|(n, m)} g(d) = f(i, j).$$

□

Particular cases

1. If $g(n) = \varphi(n)$ then

$$f(n, m) = \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) - \sum_{d|(n, m)} \varphi(d) = n - (n, m).$$

We have the following decomposition:

$$[i - (i, j)]_{n \times n} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - (1, 1) & 1 - (1, 2) & \cdots & 1 - (1, n) \\ 2 - (2, 1) & 2 - (2, 2) & \cdots & 2 - (2, n) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ n - (n, 1) & n - (n, 2) & \cdots & n - (n, n) \end{bmatrix}.$$

2. If $g(n) = 1$ then

$$f(n, m) = \tau(n) - \tau(n, m)$$

and

$$[\tau(i) - \tau(i, j)]_{n \times n} = C_n \text{diag}(1, 1, \dots, 1) D_n^T.$$

3. Let $g(n) = \mu(n)$. From

$$f(n, m) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) - \sum_{d|(n, m)} \mu(d) = \begin{cases} 0, & n = 1 \\ 0, & n > 1, m > 1, (n, m) > 1 \\ -1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

we have

$$[f(i, j)]_{n \times n} = C_n \text{diag}(\mu(1), \mu(2), \dots, \mu(n)) D_n^T.$$

4. For $g(n) = n$, $f(n, m) = \sigma(n) - \sigma((n, m))$ and

$$[f(i, j)]_{n \times n} = C_n \text{diag}(1, 2, \dots, n) D_n^T.$$

Remarks

1. Due to the fact that the first line of the matrix $[f(i, j)]_{n \times n}$ contains only 0-s, the determinant of the matrix will always be 0.

2. We can determine the value of the matrix associated with f , if the function f is of the form

$$f(n, m) = h(n) - h((n, m)).$$

By using the Möbius inversion formula, we get

$$g(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) h\left(\frac{n}{d}\right),$$

consequently by using Theorem 1, the matrix can be decomposed according to the function $h(n)$:

$$[f(i, j)]_{n \times n} = C_n \text{diag}[(\mu * h)(1), (\mu * h)(2), \dots, (\mu * h)(n)] D_n^T.$$

Theorem 2 For a given arithmetical function g let

$$f(i, j) = \sum_{k=1}^n g(k) - \sum_{d|i} g(d) - \sum_{d|j} g(d) + \sum_{d|(i,j)} g(d).$$

Then

$$[f(i, j)]_{n \times n} = D_n \text{diag}[g(1), g(2), \dots, g(n)] D_n^T,$$

where $D_n = [d_{ij}]_{n \times n}$,

$$d_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & j \nmid i \\ 0, & j | i \end{cases}.$$

Proof. After multiplication, the general element of the matrix

$$A = [a_{ij}]_{n \times n} = D_n \text{diag}[g(1), g(2), \dots, g(n)] D_n^T$$

is

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= \sum_{\substack{k \nmid n \\ k \nmid m}} g(k) = \sum_{k=1}^n g(k) - \sum_{k|n \text{ OR } k|m} g(k) = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n g(k) - \sum_{k|n} g(k) - \sum_{k|m} g(k) + \sum_{k|(n,m)} g(k) = f(i, j). \end{aligned}$$

□

Particular cases

1. If $g(n) = \varphi(n)$ then

$$f(i, j) = \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi(k) - i - j + (i, j),$$

$$[f(i, j)]_{n \times n} = D_n \text{diag}[\varphi(1), \varphi(2), \dots, \varphi(n)] D_n^T.$$

2. If $g(n) = 1$ then

$$f(i, j) = n - \tau(i) - \tau(j) + \tau(i, j)$$

and

$$[f(i, j)]_{n \times n} = D_n \text{diag}(1, 1, \dots, 1) D_n^T.$$

3. $g(n) = n$. Then

$$f(i, j) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - \sigma(n) - \sigma(m) + \sigma((n, m))$$

and

$$[f(i, j)]_{n \times n} = D_n \text{diag}(1, 2, \dots, n) D_n^T.$$

Another generalization is the following:

Theorem 3 For a given arithmetical function g let

$$f(i, j) = \sum_{k=1}^n g(k) - \sum_{d|i} g(d) - \sum_{d|j} g(d) + \sum_{d|(i,j)} g(d).$$

We define the following $A = [a_{ij}]_{n \times n}$ matrix

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} f(i, j), & i, j > 1 \\ g(1) + f(i, j), & i = 1 \text{ or } j = 1 \end{cases}.$$

Then

$$A = D'_n \text{diag}[g(1), g(2), \dots, g(n)] D_n'^T,$$

where $D'_n = [d'_{ij}]_{n \times n}$,

$$d'_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j = 1 \\ d_{ij}, & ij \neq 1 \end{cases}.$$

Proof.

We calculate the general element of the matrix

$$B = [a_{ij}]_{n \times n} = D'_n \text{diag}[g(1), g(2), \dots, g(n)] D_n'^T.$$

If $i > 1$ or $j > 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_{ij} &= \sum_{\substack{k \nmid n \\ k \nmid m}} g(k) = \sum_{k=1}^n g(k) - \sum_{k|n \text{ OR } k|m} g(k) = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n g(k) - \sum_{k|n} g(k) - \sum_{k|m} g(k) + \sum_{k|(n,m)} g(k) = a_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

If $i = j = 1$

$$b_{11} = g(1) = a_{11}.$$

□

Particular cases

1. If $g(n) = \varphi(n)$ then

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi(k) - i - j + (i, j), & i, j > 1 \\ \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi(k) - i - j + (i, j) + 1, & i = 1 \text{ or } j = 1 \end{cases} .$$

2. If $g(n) = 1$ then

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} n - \tau(i) - \tau(j) + \tau(i, j), & i, j > 1 \\ n - \tau(i) - \tau(j) + \tau(i, j) + 1, & i = 1 \text{ or } j = 1 \end{cases} .$$

The following problems remain open:

Problem 1 Let $F(n, m)$ be an arithmetical function with two variables. Determine the structure and the determinant of modified GCD matrices $A = [a(i, j)]_{n \times n}$, where

$$a_{ij} = F(i, (i, j)).$$

Problem 2 Determine the structure and the determinant of modified GCD matrices $A = [a(i, j)]_{n \times n}$, where

$$a_{ij} = F(n, i, j, (i, j)).$$

Acknowledgement

This research was supported by the grant of Sapientia Foundation, Institute of Scientific Research.

References

- [1] S. Beslin, S. Ligh, Another generalisation of Smith's determinant, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **40** (1989), 413–415.
- [2] S. J. Beslin, S. Ligh, Greatest common divisor matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **118** (1989), 69–76.
- [3] K. Bourque, S. Ligh, Matrices associated with classes of arithmetical functions, *J. Number Theory*, **45** (1993), 367–376.

-
- [4] Carlitz, L. Some matrices related to the greatest integer function, *J. Elisha Mitchell Sci. Soc.*, **76** (1960), 5–7.
- [5] B. Gyires, Über eine Verallgemeinerung des Smith'schen Determinantensatzes, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **5** (1957), 162–171.
- [6] P. Haukkanen, Higher-dimensional GCD matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **170** (1992), 53–63.
- [7] P. Haukkanen, J. Wang and J. Sillanpää, On Smiths determinant, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **258** (1997), 251–269.
- [8] S. Hong, Factorization of matrices associated with classes of arithmetical functions, *Colloq. Math.*, **98** (2003), 113–123.
- [9] S. Hong, Nonsingularity of matrices associated with classes of arithmetical functions, *J. Algebra*, **281** (2004), 1–14.
- [10] P. J. McCarthy, A generalization of Smith's determinant. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **29** (1986), 109–113.
- [11] J. S. Owall, An analysis of GCD and LCM matrices via the LDL^T -factorization, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra*, **11** (2004), 51–58.
- [12] Gy. Pólya, G. Szegő, *Problems and theorems in analysis*, Vol. II, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1998.
- [13] B. V. Rajarama Bhat, On greatest common divisor matrices and their applications, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **158** (1991), 77–97.
- [14] H. J. S. Smith, On the value of a certain arithmetical determinant, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **7** (1875/76), 208–212.

Received: June 12, 2010