

Genus-1 Virasoro conjecture along quantum volume direction

Xiaobo Liu *

Abstract

In this paper, we show that the derivative of the genus-1 Virasoro conjecture for Gromov-Witten invariants along the direction of quantum volume element holds for all smooth projective varieties. This result provides new evidence for the Virasoro conjecture.

1 Introduction

The Virasoro conjecture predicts that the generating functions of the Gromov-Witten invariants of smooth projective varieties are annihilated by a sequence of differential operators which form a half branch of the Virasoro algebra. This conjecture was proposed by Eguchi-Hori-Xiong [EHX] and modified by S. Katz [CX]. In case the underlying manifold is a point, this conjecture is equivalent to Witten's conjecture [W], proved by Kontsevich [K], that the generating function of intersection numbers on the moduli spaces of stable curves is a τ -function of the KdV hierarchy. Together with Tian, we proved that the genus-0 part of the Virasoro conjecture holds for all compact symplectic manifolds (cf. [LT]). For manifolds with semisimple quantum cohomology, the genus-1 part of this conjecture was proved by Dubrovin and Zhang [DZ]. Without assuming semisimplicity, the genus-1 Virasoro conjecture was studied in [L1] and [L2]. Among other results, it was proved in [L1] that the genus-1 Virasoro conjecture can be reduced to the L_1 -constraint. Using the genus-1 topological recursion relation, it was also proved that Virasoro constraints can be reduced to equations on the *small phase space*, i.e. the space of cohomology classes of the underlying manifold. Compatibility conditions for Virasoro conjectures were studied in [L2]. Despite these efforts, the general case of the genus-1 Virasoro conjecture is still largely open. In this paper, we give more evidence to the genus-1 Virasoro conjecture without any assumption on the quantum cohomology of the underlying manifold.

Let M be a smooth projective variety. Choose a basis $\{\gamma_\alpha \mid \alpha = 1, \dots, N\}$ of the space of cohomology classes $H^*(M; \mathbb{C})$. For simplicity, we assume $H^{\text{odd}}(M; \mathbb{C}) = 0$. We choose the basis in such a way that γ_1 is the identity of the cohomology ring and $\gamma_\alpha \in H^{p_\alpha, q_\alpha}(M)$ for some integers p_α and q_α . Let $\{t^1, \dots, t^N\}$ be the coordinates on $H^*(M; \mathbb{C})$ with respect to this basis. We can identify each γ_α with the vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial t^\alpha}$ and further identify each cohomology class with a constant vector field on $H^*(M; \mathbb{C})$. Let

$$b_\alpha = p_\alpha - \frac{1}{2}(d-1) \quad (1)$$

where d is the complex dimension of M . Then the *Euler vector field* (on the small phase space) is defined to be

$$E := c_1(M) + \sum_{\alpha} (b_1 + 1 - b_\alpha) t^\alpha \gamma_\alpha.$$

*Research was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0905227.

We refer to [LiT] [RT] for definitions of Gromov-Witten invariants. In genus-1 case, it suffices to study only primary Gromov-Witten invariants since all genus-1 descendant invariants can be reduced to primary invariants due to the genus-1 topological recursion relation. Therefore we only consider primary Gromov-Witten invariants in this paper. Let F_g be the generating function of genus- g primary Gromov-Witten invariants of M . The k -point function is defined to be

$$\langle\langle v_1 \cdots v_k \rangle\rangle_g := \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k} f_{\alpha_1}^1 \cdots f_{\alpha_k}^k \frac{\partial^k F_g}{\partial t^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial t^{\alpha_k}},$$

for vector fields $v_i = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}^i \gamma_{\alpha}$ where f_{α}^i are functions on $H^*(M; \mathbb{C})$. Note that F_g and $\langle\langle \cdots \rangle\rangle_g$ in this paper corresponds to F_g^s and $\langle\langle \cdots \rangle\rangle_{g,s}$ in [L1]. Let $\eta_{\alpha\beta} = \int_M \gamma_{\alpha} \cup \gamma_{\beta}$ be the intersection form on $H^*(M, \mathbb{C})$. We will use $\eta = (\eta_{\alpha\beta})$ and $\eta^{-1} = (\eta^{\alpha\beta})$ to lower and raise indices. For example $\gamma^{\alpha} := \eta^{\alpha\beta} \gamma_{\beta}$ where repeated indices should be summed over entire range. We recall that the *quantum product* of two vector fields v_1 and v_2 is defined by

$$v_1 \circ v_2 := \langle\langle v_1 v_2 \gamma^{\alpha} \rangle\rangle_0 \gamma_{\alpha}.$$

Define

$$\Psi := \langle\langle E^2 \rangle\rangle_1 + \frac{1}{24} \sum_{\alpha} \langle\langle EE \gamma_{\alpha} \gamma^{\alpha} \rangle\rangle_0 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha} \left(b_{\alpha}(1 - b_{\alpha}) - \frac{b_1 + 1}{6} \right) \langle\langle \gamma_{\alpha} \gamma^{\alpha} \rangle\rangle_0 \quad (2)$$

where $E^2 = E \circ E$ is the quantum square of the Euler vector field. It was proved in [L1] that, for any smooth projective variety M , the *genus-1 Virasoro conjecture* can be reduced to a single equation on $H^*(M; \mathbb{C})$:

$$\Psi = 0. \quad (3)$$

Moreover, since $E\Psi = \Psi$ (cf. [L1, Lemma 6.3]), the genus-1 Virasoro conjecture holds if and only if

$$E\Psi = 0. \quad (4)$$

Therefore, to prove the genus-1 Virasoro conjecture, it suffices to show that $v\Psi = 0$ for all vector field v on $H^*(M; \mathbb{C})$. It follows from the string equation that $\gamma_1\Psi = 0$ where $\gamma_1 = E^0$ is the identity of the ordinary cohomology ring. In this paper we will give another vector field which always annihilates Ψ .

Define the vector field

$$\Delta := \gamma^{\alpha} \circ \gamma_{\alpha}. \quad (5)$$

If, in the definition of Δ , we replace the quantum product "o" by the ordinary cup product, we get a vector field proportional to the volume element. Therefore we call Δ the *quantum volume element*. The main result of this paper is the following

Theorem 1.1 *For all smooth projective varieties,*

$$\Delta\Psi = 0.$$

This result provides a new evidence for the genus-1 Virasoro conjecture.

2 Properties of Euler vector fields

We first recall some basic properties of the Euler vector field E . We start with the *quasi-homogeneity equation*

$$\langle\langle E \rangle\rangle_g = (3-d)(1-g)F_g + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{g,0} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta} t_0^\alpha t_0^\beta - \frac{1}{24}\delta_{g,1} \int_M c_1(M) \cup c_{d-1}(M).$$

This equation is a consequence of the divisor equation. Define the grading operator G by

$$G(v) := \sum_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} \gamma_{\alpha}$$

for any vector field $v = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} \gamma_{\alpha}$. Derivatives of quasi-homogeneity equation has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle E v_1 \cdots v_k \rangle\rangle_g &= \sum_{i=1}^k \langle\langle v_1 \cdots G(v_i) \cdots v_k \rangle\rangle_g \\ &\quad - (2g+k-2)(b_1+1) \langle\langle v_1 \cdots v_k \rangle\rangle_g \\ &\quad + \delta_{g,0} \nabla_{v_1, \dots, v_k}^k \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta} t_0^\alpha t_0^\beta \right) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}$ is defined by $c_1(M) \cup \gamma_{\alpha} = \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}^{\beta} \gamma_{\beta}$, and ∇ is the trivial connection on $H^*(M; \mathbb{C})$ defined by $\nabla \gamma_{\alpha} = 0$ for all α . In particular,

$$\langle\langle E v_1 v_2 \gamma^{\alpha} \rangle\rangle_0 \gamma_{\alpha} = G(v_1) \circ v_2 + v_1 \circ G(v_2) - G(v_1 \circ v_2) - b_1 v_1 \circ v_2. \quad (7)$$

Combining with [L1, Lemma 4.2], we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{E^k} \Delta &= \left\langle\left\langle E^k \gamma^{\alpha} \gamma_{\alpha} \gamma^{\beta} \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 \gamma_{\beta} \\ &= (k-b_1) E^{k-1} \circ \Delta - G(E^{k-1} \circ \Delta) - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \Delta \circ E^{i-1} \circ G(E^{k-i}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} G(\Delta \circ E^{i-1}) \circ E^{k-i} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

for $k \geq 1$. Covariant derivative of E is given by

$$\nabla_v E = -G(v) + (b_1+1)v. \quad (9)$$

Using the fact that

$$\nabla_w(v_1 \circ v_2) = (\nabla_w v_1) \circ v_2 + v_1 \circ (\nabla_w v_2) + \langle\langle w v_1 v_2 \gamma^{\alpha} \rangle\rangle_0 \gamma_{\alpha},$$

we can also show that

$$\nabla_{\Delta} E^2 = \Delta \circ G(E) - G(\Delta) \circ E - G(\Delta \circ E) + (b_1+2)\Delta \circ E. \quad (10)$$

Combining equations (8) and (10), we have

$$[E^2, \Delta] = -2b_1 E \circ \Delta - 2G(E) \circ \Delta.$$

3 Proof of the main theorem

For any vector fields v_1, \dots, v_4 on the small phase space, we define

$$G_0(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) = \sum_{g \in S_4} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left\{ \frac{1}{6} \langle \langle v_{g(1)} v_{g(2)} v_{g(3)} \gamma^\alpha \rangle \rangle_0 \langle \langle \gamma_\alpha v_{g(4)} \gamma_\beta \gamma^\beta \rangle \rangle_0 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{24} \langle \langle v_{g(1)} v_{g(2)} v_{g(3)} v_{g(4)} \gamma^\alpha \rangle \rangle_0 \langle \langle \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\beta \gamma^\beta \rangle \rangle_0 \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{4} \langle \langle v_{g(1)} v_{g(2)} \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \rangle \rangle_0 \langle \langle \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\beta v_{g(3)} v_{g(4)} \rangle \rangle_0 \right\},$$

and

$$G_1(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) = \sum_{g \in S_4} 3 \langle \langle \{v_{g(1)} \circ v_{g(2)}\} \{v_{g(3)} \circ v_{g(4)}\} \rangle \rangle_1 \\ - \sum_{g \in S_4} 4 \langle \langle \{v_{g(1)} \circ v_{g(2)} \circ v_{g(3)}\} v_{g(4)} \rangle \rangle_1 \\ - \sum_{g \in S_4} \sum_{\alpha} \langle \langle \{v_{g(1)} \circ v_{g(2)}\} v_{g(3)} v_{g(4)} \gamma^\alpha \rangle \rangle_0 \langle \langle \gamma_\alpha \rangle \rangle_1 \\ + \sum_{g \in S_4} \sum_{\alpha} 2 \langle \langle v_{g(1)} v_{g(2)} v_{g(3)} \gamma^\alpha \rangle \rangle_0 \langle \langle \{v_{g(4)} \circ \gamma_\alpha\} \rangle \rangle_1.$$

Note that G_0 is completely determined by genus-0 data, while each term in G_1 contains genus-1 information. These two tensors are connected by Getzler's equation (cf. [Ge]):

$$G_0 + G_1 = 0. \tag{11}$$

Theorem 1.1 is obtained by applying this equation to $v_1 = v_2 = E$, $v_3 = \gamma^\alpha$, $v_4 = \gamma_\alpha$, and summing over α .

We first consider the genus-1 part of Equation (11).

Lemma 3.1

$$\sum_{\alpha} G_1(E, E, \gamma^\alpha, \gamma_\alpha) = 24\Delta \langle \langle E^2 \rangle \rangle_1.$$

Proof: We will use the convention that repeated indices should be summed over their entire range. Therefore we will omit \sum_{α} in the left hand of this formula. To compute $G_1(E, E, \gamma^\alpha, \gamma_\alpha)$, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \langle \{E \circ \gamma_\alpha\} \{\gamma^\alpha \circ E\} \rangle \rangle_1 &= \langle \langle E \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\beta \rangle \rangle_0 \langle \langle E \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\mu \rangle \rangle_0 \langle \langle \gamma_\beta \gamma_\mu \rangle \rangle_1 \\ &= \langle \langle EE \gamma_\alpha \rangle \rangle_0 \langle \langle \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \gamma^\mu \rangle \rangle_0 \langle \langle \gamma_\beta \gamma_\mu \rangle \rangle_1 \\ &= \langle \langle \{E^2 \circ \gamma^\mu\} \gamma_\mu \rangle \rangle_1 = \langle \langle \{E^2 \circ \gamma^\alpha\} \gamma_\alpha \rangle \rangle_1. \end{aligned}$$

In the second equality, we have used the associativity of the quantum product. This observation

enables us to simplify the formula for $G_1(E, E, \gamma^\alpha, \gamma_\alpha)$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& G_1(E, E, \gamma^\alpha, \gamma_\alpha) \\
&= 24 \langle\langle E^2 \Delta \rangle\rangle_1 - 48 \langle\langle \{E \circ \Delta\} E \rangle\rangle_1 - 4 \langle\langle E^2 \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\beta \rangle\rangle_0 \langle\langle \gamma_\beta \rangle\rangle_1 \\
&\quad - 16 \langle\langle \{E \circ \gamma^\alpha\} \gamma_\alpha E \gamma^\beta \rangle\rangle_0 \langle\langle \gamma_\beta \rangle\rangle_1 - 4 \langle\langle \Delta E E \gamma^\beta \rangle\rangle_0 \langle\langle \gamma_\beta \rangle\rangle_1 \\
&\quad + 24 \langle\langle E E \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \rangle\rangle_0 \langle\langle \{\gamma_\alpha \circ \gamma_\beta\} \rangle\rangle_1 + 24 \langle\langle E \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \rangle\rangle_0 \langle\langle \{\gamma_\beta \circ E\} \rangle\rangle_1. \quad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

We now use formulas in Section 2 to compute each term on the right hand side of this equation. Using equation (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\langle E^2 \Delta \rangle\rangle_1 &= \Delta \langle\langle E^2 \rangle\rangle_1 - \langle\langle \{\nabla_\Delta E^2\} \rangle\rangle_1 \\
&= \Delta \langle\langle E^2 \rangle\rangle_1 - \langle\langle \{\Delta \circ G(E) - G(\Delta) \circ E - G(\Delta \circ E) + (b_1 + 2)\Delta \circ E\} \rangle\rangle_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\langle\langle E \rangle\rangle_1$ is a constant due to the quasi-homogeneity equation, by equation (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\langle \{E \circ \Delta\} E \rangle\rangle_1 &= \{E \circ \Delta\} \langle\langle E \rangle\rangle_1 - \langle\langle \{\nabla_{E \circ \Delta} E\} \rangle\rangle_1 \\
&= \langle\langle \{G(E \circ \Delta) - (b_1 + 1)E \circ \Delta\} \rangle\rangle_1.
\end{aligned}$$

By equation (8), we have

$$\langle\langle E^2 \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\beta \rangle\rangle_0 \langle\langle \gamma_\beta \rangle\rangle_1 = \langle\langle \{(2 - b_1)E \circ \Delta - G(E \circ \Delta) - G(E) \circ \Delta - E \circ G(\Delta)\} \rangle\rangle_1.$$

By equation (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle\langle \{E \circ \gamma^\alpha\} \gamma_\alpha E \gamma^\beta \rangle\rangle_0 \langle\langle \gamma_\beta \rangle\rangle_1 \\
&= \langle\langle \{G(E \circ \gamma^\alpha) \circ \gamma_\alpha + E \circ \gamma^\alpha \circ G(\gamma_\alpha) - G(E \circ \Delta) - b_1 E \circ \Delta\} \rangle\rangle_1.
\end{aligned}$$

As a convention, we arrange the basis $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N\}$ of $H^*(M, \mathbb{C})$ in such a way that the degree $p_\alpha + q_\alpha$ of $\gamma_\alpha \in H^{p_\alpha, q_\alpha}$ is non-decreasing with respect to α and if two cohomology classes have the same dimension, we also require that the holomorphic dimension p_α is non-decreasing. Under this convention, we have

$$G(\gamma^\alpha) = (1 - b_\alpha)\gamma^\alpha$$

for all α , and

$$G(\gamma^\alpha) \circ \gamma_\alpha = \Delta - \gamma^\alpha \circ G(\gamma_\alpha).$$

On the other hand,

$$G(\gamma^\alpha) \circ \gamma_\alpha = \eta^{\alpha\beta} G(\gamma_\beta) \circ \gamma_\alpha = G(\gamma_\beta) \circ \gamma^\beta = \gamma^\alpha \circ G(\gamma_\alpha).$$

So we must have

$$G(\gamma^\alpha) \circ \gamma_\alpha = \gamma^\alpha \circ G(\gamma_\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta. \quad (13)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
G(E \circ \gamma^\alpha) \circ \gamma_\alpha &= \langle\langle E \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \rangle\rangle_0 G(\gamma_\beta) \circ \gamma_\alpha = G(\gamma_\beta) \circ (E \circ \gamma^\beta) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} E \circ \Delta. \quad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\left\langle\left\langle \{E \circ \gamma^\alpha\} \gamma_\alpha E \gamma^\beta \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 \langle\langle \gamma_\beta \rangle\rangle_1 = \langle\langle \{(1 - b_1)E \circ \Delta - G(E \circ \Delta)\} \rangle\rangle_1.$$

Similarly,

$$\left\langle\left\langle \Delta E E \gamma^\beta \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 \langle\langle \gamma_\beta \rangle\rangle_1 = \langle\langle \{G(\Delta) \circ E + \Delta \circ G(E) - G(\Delta \circ E) - b_1 \Delta \circ E\} \rangle\rangle_1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle\left\langle E E \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 \langle\langle \{\gamma_\alpha \circ \gamma_\beta\} \rangle\rangle_1 \\ &= \langle\langle \{\gamma_\alpha \circ (G(E) \circ \gamma^\alpha + E \circ G(\gamma^\alpha)) - G(E \circ \gamma^\alpha) - b_1 E \circ \gamma^\alpha\} \rangle\rangle_1 \\ &= \langle\langle \{G(E) \circ \Delta - b_1 E \circ \Delta\} \rangle\rangle_1. \end{aligned}$$

To compute the last term in equation (12), we first compute

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle\left\langle E \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 \gamma_\beta &= G(\gamma_\alpha) \circ \gamma^\alpha + \gamma_\alpha \circ G(\gamma^\alpha) - G(\Delta) - b_1 \Delta \\ &= (1 - b_1) \Delta - G(\Delta) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

by equation (13). So the last term in equation (12) is

$$\left\langle\left\langle E \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 \langle\langle \{\gamma_\beta \circ E\} \rangle\rangle_1 = \langle\langle \{(1 - b_1)E \circ \Delta - E \circ G(\Delta)\} \rangle\rangle_1.$$

After plugging the above formulas into equation (12), all terms on the right hand side cancel except the term $24\Delta \langle\langle E^2 \rangle\rangle_1$. The lemma is thus proved. \square

Now we consider the genus-0 part of Equation (11). Let

$$\Phi := -\frac{1}{24} \sum_\alpha \langle\langle E E \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\alpha \rangle\rangle_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_\alpha \left(b_\alpha (1 - b_\alpha) - \frac{b_1 + 1}{6} \right) \langle\langle \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\alpha \rangle\rangle_0. \quad (16)$$

Then

$$\Psi = \langle\langle E^2 \rangle\rangle_1 - \Phi \quad (17)$$

and the genus-1 Virasoro conjecture can be reduced to

$$\langle\langle E^2 \rangle\rangle_1 = \Phi.$$

Lemma 3.2

$$\sum_\alpha G_0(E, E, \gamma^\alpha, \gamma_\alpha) = -24\Delta\Phi.$$

Proof: Again we will assume that repeated indices will be summed over their entire range. First, by definition of G_0 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_0(E, E, \gamma^\alpha, \gamma_\alpha) &= 2 \left\langle\left\langle E E \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 \langle\langle \gamma_\beta \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\mu \gamma_\mu \rangle\rangle_0 + 2 \left\langle\left\langle E \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\beta \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 \langle\langle \gamma_\beta E \gamma^\mu \gamma_\mu \rangle\rangle_0 \\ &\quad + \langle\langle E E \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \Delta \rangle\rangle_0 - 2 \left\langle\left\langle E E \gamma^\beta \gamma^\mu \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 \langle\langle \gamma_\beta \gamma_\mu \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \rangle\rangle_0 \\ &\quad - 4 \left\langle\left\langle E \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \gamma^\mu \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 \langle\langle \gamma_\beta \gamma_\mu E \gamma_\alpha \rangle\rangle_0. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Note that the first and the fourth terms on the right hand side are canceled with each other. Applying equation (15) to the second term, we have

$$\left\langle\left\langle E \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\beta \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 \left\langle\left\langle \gamma_\beta E \gamma^\mu \gamma_\mu \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 = \left\langle\left\langle \{(1-b_1)\Delta - G(\Delta)\} E \gamma^\mu \gamma_\mu \right\rangle\right\rangle_0. \quad (19)$$

Using equation (15) again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle\left\langle \Delta E \gamma^\mu \gamma_\mu \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 &= \left\langle\left\langle E \gamma^\mu \gamma_\mu \gamma^\beta \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 \left\langle\left\langle \gamma_\beta \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 \\ &= \left\langle\left\langle \{(1-b_1)\Delta - G(\Delta)\} \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \right\rangle\right\rangle_0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle\left\langle G(\Delta) \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 &= b_\mu \left\langle\left\langle \gamma^\beta \gamma_\beta \gamma^\mu \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 \left\langle\left\langle \gamma_\mu \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 \\ &= \left\langle\left\langle \gamma^\beta \gamma_\beta \{\gamma^\mu - G(\gamma^\mu)\} \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 \left\langle\left\langle \gamma_\mu \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 \\ &= \left\langle\left\langle \Delta \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 - \left\langle\left\langle \gamma^\beta \gamma_\beta G(\Delta) \right\rangle\right\rangle_0. \end{aligned}$$

Moving the second term on the right hand to the left hand, we obtain

$$\left\langle\left\langle G(\Delta) \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left\langle\left\langle \Delta \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \right\rangle\right\rangle_0. \quad (20)$$

Hence, we have

$$\left\langle\left\langle \Delta E \gamma^\mu \gamma_\mu \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 = \left(\frac{1}{2} - b_1\right) \left\langle\left\langle \Delta \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \right\rangle\right\rangle_0. \quad (21)$$

By equation (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\langle\left\langle E \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\beta \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 \left\langle\left\langle \gamma_\beta E \gamma^\mu \gamma_\mu \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 \\ &= (1-b_1) \left(\frac{1}{2} - b_1\right) \left\langle\left\langle \Delta \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 - \left\langle\left\langle G(\Delta) E \gamma^\mu \gamma_\mu \right\rangle\right\rangle_0. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

To compute the last term on the right hand side of equation (18), we set

$$f := \left\langle\left\langle E \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \gamma^\mu \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 \left\langle\left\langle \gamma_\beta \gamma_\mu E \gamma_\alpha \right\rangle\right\rangle_0.$$

Applying equation (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \left\langle\left\langle \{G(\gamma^\alpha) \circ \gamma^\mu + \gamma^\alpha \circ G(\gamma^\mu) - G(\gamma^\alpha \circ \gamma^\mu) - b_1 \gamma^\alpha \circ \gamma^\mu\} \gamma_\mu E \gamma_\alpha \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 \\ &= (2 - b_\alpha - b_\mu - b_1) \left\langle\left\langle \{\gamma^\alpha \circ \gamma^\mu\} \gamma_\mu E \gamma_\alpha \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 - \left\langle\left\langle G(\gamma^\alpha \circ \gamma^\mu) \gamma_\mu E \gamma_\alpha \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 \\ &= (2 - 2b_\alpha - b_1) \left\langle\left\langle \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\mu \gamma^\beta \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 \left\langle\left\langle \gamma_\beta \gamma_\mu E \gamma_\alpha \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 - \left\langle\left\langle \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\mu \gamma^\beta \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 \left\langle\left\langle G(\gamma_\beta) \gamma_\mu E \gamma_\alpha \right\rangle\right\rangle_0. \end{aligned}$$

Switching α and β in the last term, we have

$$f = (2 - b_1) \left\langle\left\langle E \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\beta \gamma^\mu \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 \left\langle\left\langle \gamma_\mu \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 - 3 \left\langle\left\langle E G(\gamma_\alpha) \gamma_\beta \gamma^\mu \right\rangle\right\rangle_0 \left\langle\left\langle \gamma_\mu \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \right\rangle\right\rangle_0. \quad (23)$$

Applying equation (7) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle\langle E \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\beta \gamma^\mu \rangle\rangle_0 \langle\langle \gamma_\mu \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \rangle\rangle_0 \\ &= \langle\langle \{G(\gamma_\alpha) \circ \gamma_\beta + \gamma_\alpha \circ G(\gamma_\beta) - G(\gamma_\alpha \circ \gamma_\beta) - b_1 \gamma_\alpha \circ \gamma_\beta\} \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \rangle\rangle_0. \end{aligned}$$

By the associativity of the quantum product and equation (13),

$$\langle\langle \{G(\gamma_\alpha) \circ \gamma_\beta\} \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \rangle\rangle_0 = \langle\langle \{G(\gamma_\alpha) \circ \gamma^\alpha\} \gamma_\beta \gamma^\beta \rangle\rangle_0 = \frac{1}{2} \langle\langle \Delta \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \rangle\rangle_0 \quad (24)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle G(\gamma_\alpha \circ \gamma_\beta) \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \rangle\rangle_0 &= \langle\langle \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\beta \gamma^\mu \rangle\rangle_0 \langle\langle G(\gamma_\mu) \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \rangle\rangle_0 \\ &= \langle\langle \gamma_\alpha \{G(\gamma_\mu) \circ \gamma^\alpha\} \gamma^\mu \rangle\rangle_0 = \frac{1}{2} \langle\langle \Delta \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \rangle\rangle_0. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

So we have

$$\langle\langle E \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\beta \gamma^\mu \rangle\rangle_0 \langle\langle \gamma_\mu \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \rangle\rangle_0 = \left(\frac{1}{2} - b_1\right) \langle\langle \Delta \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \rangle\rangle_0. \quad (26)$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle\langle E G(\gamma_\alpha) \gamma_\beta \gamma^\mu \rangle\rangle_0 \langle\langle \gamma_\mu \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \rangle\rangle_0 \\ &= \langle\langle \{G(G(\gamma_\alpha)) \circ \gamma_\beta + G(\gamma_\alpha) \circ G(\gamma_\beta) - G(G(\gamma_\alpha) \circ \gamma_\beta) - b_1 G(\gamma_\alpha) \circ \gamma_\beta\} \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \rangle\rangle_0. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle\langle \{G(\gamma_\alpha) \circ G(\gamma_\beta)\} \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \rangle\rangle_0 \\ &= \langle\langle \{G(\gamma_\alpha) \circ \gamma^\alpha\} G(\gamma_\beta) \gamma^\beta \rangle\rangle_0 = \frac{1}{2} \langle\langle \{\gamma_\alpha \circ \gamma^\alpha\} G(\gamma_\beta) \gamma^\beta \rangle\rangle_0 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \langle\langle \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\alpha \{G(\gamma_\beta) \circ \gamma^\beta\} \rangle\rangle_0 = \frac{1}{4} \langle\langle \Delta \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \rangle\rangle_0 \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle\langle G(G(\gamma_\alpha) \circ \gamma_\beta) \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \rangle\rangle_0 \\ &= \langle\langle G(\gamma_\alpha) \gamma_\beta \gamma^\mu \rangle\rangle_0 \langle\langle G(\gamma_\mu) \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \rangle\rangle_0 = \langle\langle \{G(\gamma_\alpha) \circ \gamma^\mu\} G(\gamma_\mu) \gamma^\alpha \rangle\rangle_0 \\ &= \langle\langle \{G(\gamma_\alpha) \circ \gamma^\alpha\} G(\gamma_\mu) \gamma^\mu \rangle\rangle_0 = \frac{1}{2} \langle\langle \{\gamma_\alpha \circ \gamma^\alpha\} G(\gamma_\mu) \gamma^\mu \rangle\rangle_0 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \langle\langle \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\alpha \{G(\gamma_\mu) \circ \gamma^\mu\} \rangle\rangle_0 = \frac{1}{4} \langle\langle \Delta \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \rangle\rangle_0, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

together with equation (24), we have

$$\langle\langle E G(\gamma_\alpha) \gamma_\beta \gamma^\mu \rangle\rangle_0 \langle\langle \gamma_\mu \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \rangle\rangle_0 = \left(b_\alpha^2 - \frac{1}{2} b_1\right) \langle\langle \Delta \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \rangle\rangle_0. \quad (29)$$

Combining results of equations (23), (26), and (29), we obtain that the last term on the right hand side of equation (18) is

$$f = (-3b_\alpha^2 + b_1^2 - b_1 + 1) \langle\langle \Delta \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \rangle\rangle_0. \quad (30)$$

Together with equation (22), we can simplify equation (18) as

$$\begin{aligned} G_0(E, E, \gamma^\alpha, \gamma_\alpha) &= \langle\langle E E \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \Delta \rangle\rangle_0 - 2 \langle\langle G(\Delta) E \gamma^\mu \gamma_\mu \rangle\rangle_0 \\ &\quad + (12b_\alpha^2 - 2b_1^2 + b_1 - 3) \langle\langle \Delta \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \rangle\rangle_0. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

On the other hand, by the definition of Φ in equation (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 24\Delta\Phi &= - \langle\langle \Delta E E \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\alpha \rangle\rangle_0 - 2 \langle\langle \{\nabla_\Delta E\} E \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\alpha \rangle\rangle_0 \\ &\quad + 12 \left(b_\alpha(1 - b_\alpha) - \frac{b_1 + 1}{6} \right) \langle\langle \Delta \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\alpha \rangle\rangle_0. \end{aligned}$$

By equations (9) and (21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle \{\nabla_\Delta E\} E \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\alpha \rangle\rangle_0 &= \langle\langle \{-G(\Delta) + (b_1 + 1)\Delta\} E \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\alpha \rangle\rangle_0 \\ &= - \langle\langle G(\Delta) E \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\alpha \rangle\rangle_0 + (b_1 + 1) \left(\frac{1}{2} - b_1 \right) \langle\langle \Delta \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \rangle\rangle_0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$b_\alpha \langle\langle \Delta \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \rangle\rangle_0 = \langle\langle \Delta \gamma^\alpha G(\gamma_\alpha) \rangle\rangle_0 = \frac{1}{2} \langle\langle \Delta \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha \rangle\rangle_0.$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} 24\Delta\Phi &= - \langle\langle \Delta E E \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\alpha \rangle\rangle_0 + 2 \langle\langle G(\Delta) E \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\alpha \rangle\rangle_0 \\ &\quad + (-12b_\alpha^2 + 2b_1^2 - b_1 + 3) \langle\langle \Delta \gamma_\alpha \gamma^\alpha \rangle\rangle_0. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing with equation (31), we obtain

$$G_0(E, E, \gamma^\alpha, \gamma_\alpha) = -24\Delta\Phi.$$

The lemma is thus proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Since $\Psi = \langle\langle E^2 \rangle\rangle_1 - \Phi$, this theorem follows from Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and Equation (11). \square

References

- [CX] Cox, D., and Katz, S., *Mirror symmetry and algebraic geometry*. Providence, R.I. AMS, 1999.
- [DZ] Dubrovin, B., Zhang, Y., *Bihamiltonian hierarchies in 2D topological field theory at one-loop approximation*, Comm. Math. Phys. 198 (1998) 311 - 361.
- [EHX] Eguchi, T., Hori, K., and Xiong, C., *Quantum Cohomology and Virasoro Algebra*, Phys. Lett. B402 (1997) 71-80.

- [Ge] Getzler, E., *Intersection theory on $\bar{M}_{1,4}$ and elliptic Gromov-Witten Invariants*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 10 (1997) 973-998
- [K] Kontsevich, M., *Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix airy function*, Comm. Math. Phys., 147 (1992).
- [LiT] Li, J. and Tian, G., *Virtual moduli cycles and Gromov-Witten invariants of general symplectic manifolds*, Topics in symplectic 4-manifolds (Irvine, CA, 1996), 47-83.
- [L1] Liu, X., *Elliptic Gromov-Witten invariants and Virasoro conjecture*. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 216 (2001), 705-728.
- [L2] Liu, X., *Genus-1 Virasoro conjecture on the small phase space*, *Symplectic Geometry and Mirror Symmetry*, Proceedings of the 4th KIAS Annual International Conference, World Scientific, (2001), 265-279.
- [LT] Liu, X., and Tian, G., *Virasoro constraints for quantum cohomology*. *J. Diff. Geom.* 50 (1998), 537 - 591.
- [RT] Ruan, Y. and Tian, G., *Higher genus symplectic invariants and sigma models coupled with gravity*, Invent. Math. 130 (1997), 455-516.
- [W] Witten, E., *Two dimensional gravity and intersection theory on Moduli space*, Surveys in Diff. Geom., 1 (1991), 243-310.

Xiaobo Liu

Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research,
Beijing University, Beijing, China.

&

Department of Mathematics,
University of Notre Dame,
Notre Dame, IN 46556, USA

Email: *xliu3@nd.edu*