

# CALABI-YAU PROBLEM FOR LEGENDRIAN CURVES IN $\mathbb{C}^3$ AND APPLICATIONS

FRANCISCO MARTÍN, MASAOKI UMEHARA, AND KOTARO YAMADA

ABSTRACT. We construct a complete, bounded Legendrian immersion in  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . As direct applications of it, we show the first examples of a weakly complete bounded flat front in hyperbolic 3-space, a weakly complete bounded flat front in de Sitter 3-space, and a weakly complete bounded improper affine front in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In a series of previous papers, the authors have constructed the first examples of complete bounded null holomorphic immersion

$$\nu : \mathbb{D}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$$

of the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}_1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ , where *null* means that  $\nu_z \cdot \nu_z$  vanishes identically, here  $\nu_z := d\nu/dz$  is the derivative of  $\nu$  with respect to the complex coordinate  $z$  of  $\mathbb{D}_1$  and the dot denotes the canonical complex bilinear form. The existence of such an immersion has important consequences. Actually, as a short and direct application of the main result in [14], by using different kinds of transformations, the following objects were constructed:

- (1) complete bounded minimal surfaces in the Euclidean 3-space  $\mathbb{R}^3$  ([14, Theorem A]),
- (2) complete bounded holomorphic curves in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  ([14, Corollary B]).
- (3) weakly complete bounded maximal surfaces in the Lorentz-Minkowski 3-space  $\mathbb{R}_1^3$  ([14, Corollary D]),
- (4) complete bounded constant mean curvature one surfaces in the hyperbolic 3-space  $H^3$  ([14, Theorem C]).

Moreover, we constructed higher genus examples of the first three objects in [15]. Recently, Alarcón and López [1] have constructed a complete bounded null proper holomorphic immersion of a given Riemann surface of an arbitrary topology into a convex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  (see also [2]). Their method is different from ours.

It is known that null curves in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  are closely related to Legendrian curves in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  (cf. Bryant [4] and also Ejiri-Takahashi [5] for the corresponding  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -case). In this paper, we use the techniques develop by the authors in [14] to produce a

---

*Date:* July 4, 2011.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53D10; Secondary 53A10, 53A15, 53A35.

The first author is partially supported by MEC-FEDER Grant no. MTM2007 - 61775 and a Regional J. Andalucía Grant no. P09-FQM-5088. The second and the third authors are partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) No. 22244006, and Scientific Research (B) No. 21340016, respectively, from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

complete bounded Legendrian holomorphic immersion

$$F : \mathbb{D}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^3.$$

Recall that  $F$  is called *Legendrian* if the pull-back of the canonical contact form

$$(1.1) \quad \Omega_{\mathbb{C}} := dx_3 + x_2 dx_1$$

by  $F$  vanishes, where  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  is the canonical complex coordinate system of  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . The existence of such an  $F$  is non-trivial, since the correspondences between null curves and Legendrian curves given in [4] and [5] seem not to preserve neither boundedness nor completeness. Also, the authors do not know whether the method in [1] can be applied for Legendrian holomorphic immersions by using a suitable modification or not.

As applications, we are able to construct the following new examples:

- (1) a weakly complete bounded flat front in  $H^3$  (Theorem 4.1),
- (2) a weakly complete bounded flat front in the de Sitter 3-space  $S_1^3$  (Theorem 4.2),
- (3) a weakly complete bounded improper affine front in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  (Theorem 4.3).

It should be remarked that there are no compact flat fronts in  $H^3$  and  $S_1^3$  (resp. improper affine fronts in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ). See Remark 4.4. A holomorphic map  $E : \mathbb{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  is called *Legendrian* if the pull-back  $E^* \Omega_{\mathrm{SL}}$  vanishes on  $\mathbb{D}_1$ , where  $\Omega_{\mathrm{SL}}$  is the complex contact form on  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  defined as

$$(1.2) \quad \Omega_{\mathrm{SL}} := x_{11} dx_{22} - x_{12} dx_{21}.$$

Here, elements in  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  are represented by matrices  $(x_{ij})_{i,j=1,2}$ . A holomorphic immersion  $E : \mathbb{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  is said to be *complete* if the pull-back metric  $E^* g_{\mathrm{SL}}$  of the canonical Hermitian metric  $g_{\mathrm{SL}}$  on  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  is complete, see (2.2).

To construct the bounded holomorphic immersion  $F : \mathbb{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ , we show the following

**Main Theorem.** *There exists a complete holomorphic Legendrian immersion of the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}_1 \subset \mathbb{C}$  into  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  such that its image is contained an arbitrary bounded domain in  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ .*

By Darboux's theorem, the contact structure of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  is locally Legendrian equivalent to that of  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . Moreover, the following explicit transformation

$$F : \mathbb{C}^3 \ni (x, y, z) \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} e^{-z} & xe^{-z} \\ ye^z & e^z(1+xy) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$$

maps holomorphic contact curves in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  to those in  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . Then if one take a complete Legendrian immersion of  $\mathbb{D}_1$  into  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  with sufficiently small image in  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ , a Legendrian immersion into  $\mathbb{C}^3$  is obtained. Completeness follows from the same argument as [14, Lemma 3.1]. In fact, since the image is bounded, the metrics induced from  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\mathbb{C}^3$  are equivalent.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we establish our formulations and state the key-lemma to prove the main theorem, which is proved in Section 3. In Section 4, we give the applications as above. In the appendix, we prepare a Runge-type theorem for Legendrian curves in  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  which is needed in Section 3.

Finally, we mention the corresponding real problem, that is, the existence of complete bounded Legendrian submanifolds immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ . When  $n = 1$ , there exists a closed Legendrian curve immersed in an arbitrarily given open subset

in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ : In fact, in [9, Section 2], it is shown the existence of a Legendrian curve contained in an arbitrary given open ball of  $P^3 = T_1S^2$  (i.e. the unit cotangent bundle of 2-sphere) as a lift of an eye-figure curve. Since any contact structure is locally rigid, it gives an existence of a closed Legendrian curve immersed in any ball of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Also, as an application of our construction, we can construct a complete bounded Legendrian immersion  $L : \mathbb{D}_1 \rightarrow B(\subset \mathbb{R}^5)$ : There exists a canonical projection (cf. [11, Page 159])

$$\pi : \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow T_1^*H^3,$$

where  $T_1^*H^3$  is a unit cotangent bundle of the hyperbolic 3-space  $H^3$ . Then the projection of our complete bounded holomorphic Legendrian curve gives a complete bounded Legendrian submanifold immersed in an arbitrarily given open subset of  $T_1^*H^3$ . By Darboux's rigidity theorem, this implies the existence of a complete Legendrian immersion  $L : \mathbb{D}_1 \rightarrow B$ , where  $B$  is an arbitrary ball in  $\mathbb{R}^5$ .

## 2. THE MAIN LEMMA

In this section, we state the main lemma, which is an analogue of [14, Main Lemma in page 121]. The main theorem in the introduction can be obtained as a direct conclusion of the main lemma in the same way as in [14].

**2.1. Preliminaries.** We denote  $i = \sqrt{-1}$  and

$$\mathbb{D}_r := \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < r\}, \quad \overline{\mathbb{D}}_r := \mathbb{D}_r := \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| \leq r\}$$

for a positive number  $r$ . Throughout this paper, the prime  $'$  means the derivative with respect to the complex coordinate  $z$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *A holomorphic immersion  $X : \overline{\mathbb{D}}_1 \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  is Legendrian if and only if  $X^{-1}X'$  is anti-diagonal;*

$$(2.1) \quad \psi_X dz := X^{-1}dX = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \theta \\ \omega & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi_1 + i\varphi_2 \\ \varphi_1 - i\varphi_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} dz,$$

where  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are holomorphic functions on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_1$ . The metric induced by  $X$  from the canonical Hermitian metric  $g_{\mathrm{SL}}$  of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  is represented as

$$(2.2) \quad ds_X^2 := |\omega|^2 + |\theta|^2 = (|\varphi_1|^2 + |\varphi_2|^2)|dz|^2.$$

In particular,  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  have no common zeros on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_r$ .

The holomorphic 1-forms  $\omega$  and  $\theta$  in (2.1) are called the *canonical one forms* for the flat front corresponding to  $X$ , see [12].

**Definition 2.2.** A pair of holomorphic functions  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_1$  is called *non-degenerate* if  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  have no common zeroes. The pair  $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  given by (2.1) is called the *holomorphic data* of  $X$ . The matrix valued function

$$(2.3) \quad M_\varphi := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi_1 + i\varphi_2 \\ \varphi_1 - i\varphi_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is called the *matrix form* of the pair  $\varphi$ .

**2.2. The Main Lemma.** To state the lemma, we define the matrix norm  $|A|$  of a  $2 \times 2$ -matrix  $A$  as

$$(2.4) \quad |A| := \sqrt{\text{trace}(AA^*)} = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1,2} |A_{ij}|^2} \quad (A = (A_{ij})_{i,j=1,2}).$$

Note that if  $A \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ , then  $|A| \geq \sqrt{2}$  holds. The equality holds if and only if  $A$  is the identity matrix.

For a vector  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ , we set  $|\mathbf{v}| = \sqrt{|v_1|^2 + |v_2|^2}$ .

**Main Lemma.** *Let  $X: \overline{\mathbb{D}}_1 \rightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  be a holomorphic Legendrian immersion  $X: \overline{\mathbb{D}}_1 \rightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  satisfies the following properties:*

- (1)  $X(0) = \text{id}$ , where  $\text{id}$  is the identity matrix.
- (2)  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_1$  contains the geodesic disc of radius  $\rho$  centered at the origin with respect to the induced metric  $ds_X^2$ .
- (3) There exists a number  $\tau > \sqrt{2}$  such that  $|X| \leq \tau$  holds on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_1$ .

*Then, for any positive numbers  $\varepsilon$  and  $s$ , there exists a holomorphic Legendrian immersion  $Y: \overline{\mathbb{D}}_1 \rightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  such that*

- (i)  $Y(0) = \text{id}$ ,
- (ii)  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_1$  contains the geodesic disc of radius  $\rho + s$  centered at the origin with respect to the induced metric  $ds_Y^2$ ,
- (iii)  $|Y| \leq \tau\sqrt{1 + 32s^2 + \varepsilon}$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_1$ ,
- (iv)  $|Y - X| < \varepsilon$  and  $|\varphi_Y - \varphi_X| < \varepsilon$  in  $\mathbb{D}_{1-\varepsilon}$ , where  $\varphi_X$  and  $\varphi_Y$  denote holomorphic data of  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively.

The main theorem in the introduction is obtained by the same argument as [14, Section 3.4]).

**2.3. Key Lemma.** Now we state the key lemma, as an analogue of [14, Key Lemma in page 129]. The main lemma in the previous subsection can be obtained directly from the key lemma.

We work on the Nadirashvili's labyrinth [17]. Let us give a brief description of this labyrinth: Let  $N$  be a (sufficiently large) positive number. For  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2N^2$ , we set

$$(2.5) \quad r_k = 1 - \frac{k}{N^3} \quad \left( r_0 = 1, r_1 = 1 - \frac{1}{N^3}, \dots, r_{2N^2} = 1 - \frac{2}{N} \right),$$

and let

$$(2.6) \quad \mathbb{D}_{r_k} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < r_k\} \quad \text{and} \quad S_{r_k} = \partial\mathbb{D}_{r_k} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| = r_k\}.$$

We define an annular domain  $\mathcal{A}$  as

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{A} := \mathbb{D}_1 \setminus \mathbb{D}_{r_{2N^2}} = \mathbb{D}_1 \setminus \mathbb{D}_{1-\frac{2}{N}},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= \bigcup_{k=0}^{N^2-1} \mathbb{D}_{r_{2k}} \setminus \mathbb{D}_{r_{2k+1}}, & \tilde{A} &:= \bigcup_{k=0}^{N^2-1} \mathbb{D}_{r_{2k+1}} \setminus \mathbb{D}_{r_{2k+2}}, \\ L &= \bigcup_{k=0}^{N-1} l_{\frac{2k\pi}{N}}, & \tilde{L} &= \bigcup_{k=0}^{N-1} l_{\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{N}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $l_\theta$  is the ray  $l_\theta = \{re^{i\theta}; r \geq 0\}$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be a compact set defined as

$$\Sigma := L \cup \tilde{L} \cup S, \quad S = \bigcup_{j=0}^{2N^2} \partial \mathbb{D}_{r_j} = \bigcup_{j=0}^{2N^2} S_{r_j},$$

and define a compact set  $\Omega$  by

$$\Omega = \mathcal{A} \setminus U_{1/(4N^3)}(\Sigma),$$

where  $U_\varepsilon(\Sigma)$  denotes the  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood (of the Euclidean plane  $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{C}$ ) of  $\Sigma$ . Each connected component of  $\Omega$  has width  $1/(2N^3)$ . For each number  $j = 1, \dots, 2N$ , we set

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_j &:= (l_{\frac{j\pi}{N}} \cap \mathcal{A}) \cup (\text{connected components of } \Omega \text{ which intersect with } l_{\frac{j\pi}{N}}), \\ \varpi_j &:= U_{1/(4N^3)}(\omega_j). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\omega_j$ 's are compact sets.

**Key Lemma.** *Assume that a holomorphic Legendrian immersion  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0: \overline{\mathbb{D}}_1 \rightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  satisfies:*

- (A-1)  $\mathcal{L}(0) = \text{id}$ ,
- (A-2)  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_1$  contains the geodesic disc of radius  $\rho$  centered at the origin with respect to the metric  $ds_{\mathcal{L}}^2$ .

*Then for any positive number  $\varepsilon$  and positive number  $s \in (0, 1/3)$ , there exists a sufficiently large integer  $N$  and a sequence of holomorphic Legendrian immersions  $\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{2N}$  of  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_1$  such that*

- (C-1)  $\mathcal{L}_j(0) = \text{id}$  ( $j = 0, \dots, 2N$ ),
- (C-2) for each  $j = 1, \dots, 2N$ ,  $|\varphi_j - \varphi_{j-1}| < \varepsilon/(2N^2)$  holds on  $\mathbb{D}_1 \setminus \varpi_j$ , where  $\varphi_j$  is the non-degenerate holomorphic data of  $\mathcal{L}_j$ ,
- (C-3) for each  $j = 1, \dots, 2N$ ,

$$|\varphi_j| \geq \begin{cases} cN^{3.5} & \text{on } \omega_j \\ cN^{-0.5} & \text{on } \varpi_j \end{cases}$$

*holds, where  $c$  is a positive constant depending only on  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0$ ,*

- (C-4)  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_1$  contains the geodesic disc of radius  $\rho + s$  centered at the origin with respect to the metric  $ds_{\mathcal{L}_{2N}}^2$ ,
- (C-5) on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_g$  as in (C-4), it holds that

$$|\mathcal{L}_{2N}| \leq \left( \max_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}_1} |\mathcal{L}_0| \right) \sqrt{1 + 32s^2 + (b/\sqrt{N})},$$

*where  $b$  is a positive constant depending only on  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0$ .*

The proof is given in Section 3.

### 3. PROOF OF THE KEY LEMMA

**3.1. Flat fronts in hyperbolic 3-space.** We denote by  $H^3$  the hyperbolic 3-space, that is, the connected and simply connected 3-dimensional space form of constant sectional curvature  $-1$ , which is represented as

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} H^3 &= \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) / \text{SU}(2) = \{aa^*; a \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})\} \\ &= \{X \in \text{Herm}(2); \det X = 1, \text{trace } X > 0\}, \quad (a^* = {}^t \bar{a}). \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{Herm}(2)$  is the set of  $2 \times 2$  Hermitian matrices. Identifying  $\text{Herm}(2)$  with the Lorentz-Minkowski 4-space  $\mathbb{R}_1^4$  as

$$(3.2) \quad \text{Herm}(2) \ni \begin{pmatrix} x_0 + x_3 & x_1 + ix_2 \\ x_1 - ix_2 & x_0 - x_3 \end{pmatrix} \longleftrightarrow (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}_1^4,$$

the hyperbolic space  $H^3$  can be considered as the connected component of the two-sheeted hyperboloid

$$\{(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}_1^4; -(x_0)^2 + (x_1)^2 + (x_2)^2 + (x_3)^2, x_0 > 0\}.$$

A Legendrian immersion  $\mathcal{L}: \overline{\mathbb{D}}_1 \rightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  induces a flat front

$$l = \mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}^*: \overline{\mathbb{D}}_1 \longrightarrow H^3.$$

Here flat fronts in  $H^3$  are flat surfaces with certain kind of singularities, see Section 4.1. The pull-back of the metric of  $H^3$  by  $l$  is computed as

$$(3.3) \quad ds_l^2 := |\omega|^2 + |\theta|^2 + \omega\theta + \bar{\omega}\bar{\theta} = |\omega + \bar{\theta}|^2 \quad \left( \mathcal{L}^{-1}d\mathcal{L} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \theta \\ \omega & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

which is positive semi-definite and may degenerate. On the other hand, let  $ds_{\mathcal{L}}^2$  be the pull-back of the canonical Hermitian metric of  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  by  $\mathcal{L}$ . Then by (2.2), we have

$$ds_{\mathcal{L}}^2 - \frac{1}{2}ds_l^2 = \frac{1}{2}(|\omega|^2 + |\theta|^2 - \omega\theta - \bar{\omega}\bar{\theta}) = \frac{1}{2}|\omega - \bar{\theta}|^2 \geq 0,$$

and hence

$$(3.4) \quad ds_l^2 \leq 2ds_{\mathcal{L}}^2$$

holds. For any path  $\gamma$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_1$  joining  $x$  and  $y \in \mathbb{D}_1$ , it holds that

$$(3.5) \quad \text{Length}_{ds_l^2} \gamma := \int_{\gamma} ds_l \geq \text{dist}_{H^3}(l(x), l(y)),$$

where  $\text{dist}_{H^3}$  denotes the distance in the hyperbolic 3-space. On the other hand,

$$(3.6) \quad 2 \cosh \text{dist}_{H^3}(o, l(x)) = |\mathcal{L}(x)|^2$$

holds (see [14, Lemma A.2]), where we set

$$o := \text{id} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

namely  $o$  is the point on  $H^3$  which corresponds to the origin of the Poincaré ball model.

**3.2. Inductive construction of  $\mathcal{L}_j$ 's.** In this section, we describe the recipe to construct a sequence  $\mathcal{L}_0, \mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{2N}$  in Key Lemma. Assume  $\mathcal{L}_0, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{j-1}$  are already obtained, and we shall now construct  $\mathcal{L}_j$  as follows: Let

$$(3.7) \quad \zeta_j := \left(1 - \frac{2}{N} - \frac{4}{N^3}\right) e^{i\pi j/N}$$

be the base point of the compact set  $\omega_j$  given in [14, Fig. 1]. We set

$$E_0(z) := \mathcal{L}_{j-1}(\zeta_j)^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{j-1}(z), \quad f_0(z) := E_0(z)E_0^*(z).$$

That is,  $E_0$  is the Legendrian immersion with the same holomorphic data as  $\mathcal{L}_{j-1}$  such that  $E_0(\zeta_j) = \text{id}$ , and  $f_0$  the corresponding flat front. Here, if we write

$$(3.8) \quad f_0(0) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_0 + \xi_3 & \xi_1 + i\xi_2 \\ \xi_1 - i\xi_2 & \xi_0 - \xi_3 \end{pmatrix} \in H^3,$$

then there exist real numbers  $t$  and  $\hat{\xi}_1$  such that

$$(3.9) \quad af_0(0)a^* = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_0 + \xi_3 & \hat{\xi}_1 \\ \hat{\xi}_1 & \xi_0 - \xi_3 \end{pmatrix} \in H^3 \quad \left( a = \begin{pmatrix} e^{it} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-it} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \right).$$

We now set

$$(3.10) \quad E := aE_0a^*, \quad \psi := E^{-1}E'.$$

Then it holds that

$$\psi = a^*\psi_{j-1}a \quad (\psi_{j-1} := \mathcal{L}_{j-1}^{-1}\mathcal{L}'_{j-1}).$$

Let  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  be the holomorphic data induced from  $E$  such that  $\psi = M_\varphi$  (see (2.3)). Applying Lemma A.1 in the appendix to this  $\varphi$ , we get a new holomorphic data  $\tilde{\varphi}$ . Take  $\tilde{E}$  such that

$$(3.11) \quad \tilde{E}^{-1}\tilde{E}' = \tilde{\psi}, \quad \tilde{E}(\zeta_j) = \mathrm{id} \quad (\tilde{\psi} = M_{\tilde{\varphi}}).$$

Finally, we set

$$(3.12) \quad \mathcal{L}_j := a^*\{\tilde{E}(0)\}^{-1}\tilde{E}a,$$

which gives the desired Legendrian immersion.

**3.3. Estimation of interior distance.** Applying the inductive constriction, we get a sequence of Legendrian immersion  $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{2N}$ . Then one can prove (C-1), (C-2), (C-3) and (C-4) by the exactly same argument as in [14, Page 129]. In fact, although the data  $\varphi$  (a pair of holomorphic functions) is different from that in [14] (a triple of holomorphic functions), the proof of the key lemma (as in [14, Page 129]) only needs the norm  $|\varphi|$  of the data  $\varphi$ . In [14], we were working on the metric  $ds_{\mathbb{B}_j}^2 = |\psi_j|^2 dz d\bar{z}$ . In this paper, we now use the metric  $ds_{\mathcal{L}_j}^2 = |\psi_j|^2 dz d\bar{z}$ , where  $\psi_j$  is given in (3.10). If one replaces  $|\psi_j|$  in [14] by this new  $|\psi_j|$  as above, then the completely same argument as [14] works in our case.

**3.4. Extrinsic distance.** Thus, the only remaining assertion we should prove is (C-5) of Key Lemma. We shall now prove it: By (C-4),  $(\mathbb{D}_1, ds_{\mathcal{L}_{2N}}^2)$  contains a geodesic disc  $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_g$  centered at origin with radius  $\rho + s$ . By the maximum principle, it is sufficient to show that for each  $p \in \partial\mathcal{D}_g$ , it holds that

$$(3.13) \quad |\mathcal{L}_{2N}(p)| \leq \left( \max_{\mathbb{D}_1} |\mathcal{L}_0| \right) \sqrt{1 + 32s^2 + (b/\sqrt{N})} \quad (p \in \partial\mathcal{D}_g),$$

where  $b$  is a positive constant depending only on the initial immersion  $\mathcal{L}_0$ .

If  $p \in \partial\mathcal{D}_g$  is not in  $\varpi_1 \cup \dots \cup \varpi_{2N}$ , the same argument as [14, Page 129] implies the conclusion. So, it is sufficient to consider the case that there exists  $j \in \{1, \dots, 2N\}$  such that

$$(3.14) \quad p \in \partial\mathcal{D}_g \cap \varpi_j.$$

We fix such a  $p$ . From now on, the symbols  $c_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) denote suitable positive constants, which depend only on the initial data  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0$ .

Like as in the proof of the inequality [14, (4.8)], we can apply [14, Corollary A.6] for  $X := \mathcal{L}_j$  and  $Y := \mathcal{L}_{j-1}$ . Then we have

$$(3.15) \quad \mathrm{dist}_{H^3}(l_j(z), l_{j-1}(z)) \leq \frac{c_1\varepsilon}{2N^2} \quad (\text{on } \mathbb{D}_1 \setminus \varpi_j),$$

where  $l_j := \mathcal{L}_j \mathcal{L}_j^*$  and  $l_{j-1} := \mathcal{L}_{j-1} \mathcal{L}_{j-1}^*$ . Taking (3.15) into account, inequality (3.13) reduces to the following inequality

$$(3.16) \quad |\mathcal{L}_j(p)| \leq \left( \max_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}_1} |\mathcal{L}_0| \right) \sqrt{1 + 32s^2 + (c_2/\sqrt{N})} \quad (p \in \partial \mathcal{D}_g \cap \varpi_j).$$

Take the  $ds_{\mathcal{L}_{2N}}^2$ -geodesic  $\gamma_0$  joining  $p$  and the origin  $0 \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}_1$  and denote by  $\hat{p}$  the first point on  $\gamma_0$  which meets  $\partial \varpi_j$ . Then we have

$$(3.17) \quad \text{dist}_{ds_{\mathcal{L}_{2N}}^2}(\hat{p}, p) \leq s + \frac{c_3}{\sqrt{N}}.$$

In fact, let  $\gamma_1$  (resp.  $\gamma_2$ ) be the subarc of  $\gamma_0$  which joins  $0$  and  $\hat{p}$  (resp.  $\hat{p}$  and  $p$ ). Since  $\gamma_0$  is the geodesic and  $\mathcal{D}_g$  is the disc with radius  $\rho + s$ , (C-2) and (C-3) yields that

$$\rho + s = \int_{\gamma_0} ds_{\mathcal{L}_{2N}} = \int_{\gamma_0} |\varphi_{2N}| |dz| \geq \frac{c_4}{\sqrt{N}} L_{\gamma_0},$$

where  $L_{\gamma_0}$  is the length of  $\gamma_0$  with respect to the Euclidean metric of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Thus, we have  $L_{\gamma_0} \leq c_6 \sqrt{N}(\rho + s)$ . On the other hand, let  $\sigma$  be the shortest line segment on  $\mathbb{C}$  joining  $\hat{p}$  and  $\partial \overline{\mathbb{D}}_1$ . Then the Euclidean length  $L_\sigma$  of  $\sigma$  satisfies  $L_\sigma \leq c_7/N$ . Thus, by (A-2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho + s &= \int_{\gamma_0} |\varphi_{2N}| |dz| \geq \int_{\gamma_1} |\varphi_0| |dz| - \int_{\gamma_1} |\varphi_{2N} - \varphi_0| |dz| + \int_{\gamma_2} |\varphi_{2N}| |dz| \\ &\geq \int_{\gamma_1 \cup \sigma} |\varphi_0| |dz| - \int_{\sigma} |\varphi_0| |dz| - L_{\gamma_0} \frac{\varepsilon}{N} + \text{dist}_{ds^2 \mathcal{L}_{2N}}(\hat{p}, p) \\ &\geq \rho - \frac{c_3}{\sqrt{N}} + \text{dist}_{ds^2 \mathcal{L}_{2N}}(\hat{p}, p) \end{aligned}$$

which implies (3.17).

Moreover, since  $\zeta_j$  and  $\hat{p}$  can be joined by a path  $\gamma$  on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_1 \setminus (\varpi_1 \cup \dots \cup \varpi_{2N})$  whose Euclidean length is not greater than  $c_8/N$  (see [14, Fig. 1]), we have

$$(3.18) \quad \text{dist}_{ds_{\mathcal{L}_{2N}}^2}(\zeta_j, p) \leq s + \frac{c_9}{\sqrt{N}}$$

(see [14, (4.9)]).

**Lemma 3.1.** *In the above setting, the following inequalities hold:*

$$(3.19) \quad |\mathcal{L}_{j-1}(\zeta_j)|^2 \leq \left( \max_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}_1} |\mathcal{L}_0(z)|^2 \right) \left( 1 + \frac{c_{10}}{N} \right),$$

$$(3.20) \quad \text{dist}_{H^3}(l_{j-1}(\zeta_j), l_j(\zeta_j)) \leq \frac{c_{11}}{N^2}.$$

*Proof.* The first inequality holds because

$$|\mathcal{L}_{j-1}(\zeta_j)|^2 = 2 \cosh(\text{dist}_{H^3}(o, l_{j-1}(\zeta_j))) \leq 2 \cosh\left(\text{dist}_{H^3}(o, l_0(\zeta_j)) + \frac{c_{12}}{N}\right),$$

see (3.6). The second inequality directly follows from (3.15).  $\square$

Now, recall the procedure constructing  $\mathcal{L}_j$  from  $\mathcal{L}_{j-1}$ : Two Legendrian immersions  $E, \tilde{E}$  in (3.10) and (3.11) are congruent to  $\mathcal{L}_{j-1}, \mathcal{L}_j$ , respectively, and satisfy  $E(\zeta_j) = \tilde{E}(\zeta_j) = \text{id}$ . Take flat fronts  $f := EE^*$  and  $\tilde{f} = \tilde{E}\tilde{E}^*$  associated to  $E$  and



$\tilde{E}$ , respectively. By a choice of the matrix  $a$  in (3.9), the points  $f(\zeta_j) = o (= \text{id})$  and  $f(0)$  lie on the “ $x_1x_3$ -plane”  $\Pi$ , i.e.

$$\Pi := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_0 + x_3 & x_1 \\ x_1 & x_0 - x_3 \end{pmatrix} \in H^3; x_0, x_1, x_3 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Let  $\Lambda$  be the geodesic of  $H^3$  passing through the origin  $o (= \text{id})$  and perpendicular to  $\Pi$  (i.e., the  $x_2$ -axis), and let  $q$  be the foot of the perpendicular from  $\tilde{f}(p)$  to the line  $\Lambda$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *In the above circumstances, one has:*

$$\text{dist}_{H^3}(o, q) \leq 2s + \frac{c_{13}}{\sqrt{N}}.$$

*Proof.* The triangle  $\Delta oq\tilde{f}(p)$  is a right triangle such that the angle  $q$  is the right angle. Then by (3.20), (3.18) and (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}_{H^3}(o, q) &\leq \text{dist}_{H^3}(o, \tilde{f}(p)) = \text{dist}_{H^3}(l_{j-1}(\zeta_j), l_j(p)) \\ &\leq \text{dist}_{H^3}(l_{j-1}(\zeta_j), l_j(\zeta_j)) + \text{dist}_{H^3}(l_j(\zeta_j), l_j(p)) \leq \frac{c_{11}}{N} + \text{dist}_{ds^2_{i_j}}(\zeta_j, p) \\ &\leq \frac{c_{11}}{N} + 2 \text{dist}_{ds^2_{\mathcal{L}_j}}(\zeta_j, p) \leq \frac{c_{14}}{N} + 2 \text{dist}_{ds^2_{\mathcal{L}_{2N}}}(\zeta_j, p) \\ &\leq \frac{c_{15}}{N} + 2 \left( s + \frac{c_8}{\sqrt{N}} \right) \leq 2s + \frac{c_{13}}{\sqrt{N}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the conclusion.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Under the hypotheses above, one has:*

$$\text{dist}_{H^3}(q, \tilde{f}(p)) \leq 14s^2 + \frac{c_{10}}{\sqrt{N}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  and  $\tilde{\varphi} = (\tilde{\varphi}_1, \tilde{\varphi}_2)$  be the holomorphic data of the Legendrian immersions  $E$  and  $\tilde{E}$ , respectively, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &:= E^{-1}E' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi_1 + i\varphi_2 \\ \varphi_1 - i\varphi_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = M_\varphi, \\ \tilde{\psi} &:= \tilde{E}^{-1}\tilde{E}' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{\varphi}_1 + i\tilde{\varphi}_2 \\ \tilde{\varphi}_1 - i\tilde{\varphi}_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = M_{\tilde{\varphi}} \end{aligned}$$

hold. Set

$$F(z) := \int_{\zeta_j}^z \psi(z) dz, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{F}(z) := \int_{\zeta_j}^z \tilde{\psi}(z) dz.$$

We define two values  $\Delta$  and  $\tilde{\Delta}$  by

$$E(p) = \text{id} + F(p) + \Delta, \quad \tilde{E}(p) = \text{id} + \tilde{F}(p) + \tilde{\Delta}.$$

Since  $E(\zeta_j) = \tilde{E}(\zeta_j) = \text{id}$ , [14, Appendix A.4] yields that

$$|\Delta| \leq \left[ \left( \max_{\gamma} |E| \right) \int_{\gamma} |\psi| |dz| \right]^2, \quad |\tilde{\Delta}| \leq \left[ \left( \max_{\gamma} |\tilde{E}| \right) \int_{\gamma} |\tilde{\psi}| |dz| \right]^2.$$

Here  $\gamma$  is a path joining  $\zeta_j$  and  $p$  as in [14, Fig. 1]. This argument is completely parallel to that in [14, Page 132]. Since the Euclidean length of  $\gamma$  in  $\mathbb{D}_1$  is bounded by  $c_{17}/N$ , we have

$$|F(p)| \leq \int_{\gamma} |\psi| |dz| \leq \frac{c_{18}}{N}.$$

On the other hand, let  $\tilde{\gamma}$  be the  $ds_{\mathcal{L}_j}^2$ -geodesic joining  $\zeta_j$  and  $p$ . Then noticing that  $ds_{\mathcal{L}_j}^2 = ds_{\tilde{E}}^2$ , (3.18) implies that

$$|\tilde{F}(p)| \leq \int_{\tilde{\gamma}} |\tilde{\psi}| |dz| = \int_{\tilde{\gamma}} ds_{\tilde{F}} \leq s + \frac{c_{19}}{\sqrt{N}}.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\gamma} |E|^2 &= \max_{\gamma} \{2 \cosh \operatorname{dist}_{H^3}(o, f(z))\} \\ &= \max_{\gamma} \{2 \cosh \operatorname{dist}_{H^3}(l_{j-1}(\zeta_j), l_{j-1}(z))\} \leq 2 \cosh \frac{c_{20}}{N} \leq 2 \left(1 + \frac{c_{21}}{N}\right), \\ \max_{\gamma} |\tilde{E}|^2 &\leq 2 \cosh \operatorname{dist}_{H^3}(o, \tilde{f}(p)) = 2 \cosh \operatorname{dist}_{H^3}(l_{j-1}(\zeta_j), l_j(p)) \\ &\leq 2 \cosh \{ \operatorname{dist}_{H^3}(l_{j-1}(\zeta_j), l_j(\zeta_j)) + \operatorname{dist}_{H^3}(l_{j-1}(\zeta_j), l_j(p)) \} \\ &\leq 2 \cosh \left(2s + \frac{c_{22}}{\sqrt{N}}\right) \leq 2 \left(1 + 4s^2 + \frac{c_{23}}{\sqrt{N}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$|\Delta| \leq \frac{c_{24}}{N}, \quad |\tilde{\Delta}| \leq 4s^2 + \frac{c_{25}}{\sqrt{N}},$$

whenever  $s < 1/3$ . Now, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 + x_3 & x_1 + ix_2 \\ x_1 - ix_2 & x_0 - x_3 \end{pmatrix} &:= \tilde{f}(p) = (\operatorname{id} + \tilde{F} + \tilde{\Delta})(\operatorname{id} + \tilde{F}^* + \tilde{\Delta}^*) \\ &= \operatorname{id} + \tilde{F} + \tilde{F}^* - F - F^* + \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Then, reasoning as in [14, Page 133], we have

$$|\delta| = |F + F^* + \tilde{F}\tilde{F}^* + \Delta + \tilde{\Delta} + \tilde{\Delta}\tilde{F}^* + \tilde{F}\tilde{\Delta}^* + \tilde{\Delta}\tilde{\Delta}^*| < 14s^2 + \frac{c_{26}}{\sqrt{N}}.$$

Moreover, if we define

$$\begin{aligned} h(z) &:= F(z) + F^*(z) = \sqrt{2} \int_{\zeta_j}^z \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \operatorname{Re} \varphi_1 + i \operatorname{Re} \varphi_2 \\ \operatorname{Re} \varphi_1 - i \operatorname{Re} \varphi_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \tilde{h}(z) &:= \tilde{F}(z) + \tilde{F}^*(z) = \sqrt{2} \int_{\zeta_j}^z \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \operatorname{Re} \tilde{\varphi}_1 + i \operatorname{Re} \tilde{\varphi}_2 \\ \operatorname{Re} \tilde{\varphi}_1 - i \operatorname{Re} \tilde{\varphi}_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

the  $x_3$ -component of  $\tilde{h} - h$  vanishes, and the  $x_1$ -component is

$$\sqrt{2} \int \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{\varphi}_1 - \varphi_1) = |\tilde{h}(z) - h(z)| \frac{u_2}{u_1} \leq \left(s + \frac{c_{27}}{\sqrt{N}}\right) \frac{u_2}{u_1} \leq \frac{c_{28}}{N},$$

because of the property of  $\mathbf{u}$  in Lemma A.1. Thus, we have

$$|x_1| \leq 14s^2 + \frac{c_{29}}{\sqrt{N}}, \quad |x_3| \leq 14s^2 + \frac{c_{30}}{\sqrt{N}}.$$

Since  $\operatorname{dist}_{H^3}(\tilde{f}(p), q)$  is the distance between  $\tilde{f}(p)$  and  $x_2$ -axis, we have

$$\operatorname{dist}_{H^3}(\tilde{f}(p), q) = \sinh^{-1} \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_3^2} \leq 14s^2 + \frac{c_{16}}{\sqrt{N}},$$

which proves the conclusion.  $\square$

**3.5. Proof of Key Lemma.** Note that for any positive numbers  $x$  and  $y$ , it holds that

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \cosh(x+y) &= \cosh x \cosh y + \sinh x \sinh y \\ &\leq \cosh x (\cosh y + \sinh y) = e^y \cosh x. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.15), we have

$$(3.22) \quad \text{dist}_{H^3}(o, l_{j-1}(\zeta_j)) \leq \text{dist}_{H^3}(o, l_0(\zeta_j)) + \frac{c_{31}}{N^2}.$$

Under the situation here,  $f(\zeta_j)$  and  $o(= \text{id})$  lie on the  $x_1x_3$ -plane, and  $q$  is on the  $x_2$ -axis, the geodesic triangle  $\triangle f(\zeta_j) o q$  in  $H^3$  is a right triangle. Then by the hyperbolic Pythagorean theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \cosh \text{dist}_{H^3}(f(0), q) &= \cosh \text{dist}_{H^3}(f(0), o) \cosh \text{dist}_{H^3}(o, q) \\ &= \cosh \text{dist}_{H^3}(f(0), f(\zeta_j)) \cosh \text{dist}_{H^3}(o, q) \\ &= \cosh \text{dist}_{H^3}(l_{j-1}(0), l_{j-1}(\zeta_j)) \cosh \text{dist}_{H^3}(o, q) \\ &= \cosh \text{dist}_{H^3}(o, l_{j-1}(\zeta_j)) \cosh \text{dist}_{H^3}(o, q) \\ &= \cosh \left( \text{dist}_{H^3}(o, l_0(\zeta_j)) + \frac{c_{31}}{N^2} \right) \cosh \text{dist}_{H^3}(o, q) \\ &\leq \exp \left( \frac{c_{31}}{N^2} \right) \cosh \text{dist}_{H^3}(o, l_0(\zeta_j)) \cosh \text{dist}_{H^3}(o, q) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} |\mathcal{L}_0(\zeta_j)|^2 \exp \left( \frac{c_{31}}{N^2} \right) \cosh \text{dist}_{H^3}(o, q) \\ &\leq \left( \frac{1}{2} \max_{\mathbb{D}_1} |\mathcal{L}_0|^2 \right) \cosh \left( 2s + \frac{c_{13}}{\sqrt{N}} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{c_{32}}{N^2} \right) \\ &\leq \left( \frac{1}{2} \max_{\mathbb{D}_1} |\mathcal{L}_0|^2 \right) \left( 1 + \frac{c_{32}}{N^2} \right) \left( 1 + 4s^2 + \frac{c_{33}}{\sqrt{N}} \right) \\ &\leq \left( \frac{1}{2} \max_{\mathbb{D}_1} |\mathcal{L}_0|^2 \right) \left( 1 + 4s^2 + \frac{c_{34}}{\sqrt{N}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by using Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} |\mathcal{L}_j(p)|^2 &= \cosh \text{dist}_{H^3}(o, l_j(p)) = \cosh \text{dist}_{H^3}(\tilde{f}(0), \tilde{f}(p)) \\ &\leq \cosh \left( \text{dist}_{H^3}(\tilde{f}(0), f(0)) + \text{dist}_{H^3}(f(0), q) + \text{dist}_{H^3}(q, \tilde{f}(p)) \right) \\ &\leq \cosh \left( \text{dist}_{H^3}(l_j(\zeta_j), l_{j-1}(\zeta_j)) + \text{dist}_{H^3}(f(0), q) + \text{dist}_{H^3}(q, \tilde{f}(p)) \right) \\ &\leq \cosh \left( \frac{c_{11}}{N^2} + \text{dist}_{H^3}(f(0), q) + \text{dist}_{H^3}(q, \tilde{f}(p)) \right) \\ &\leq \exp \left( \frac{c_{11}}{N^2} \right) \cosh \left( \text{dist}_{H^3}(f(0), q) + \text{dist}_{H^3}(q, \tilde{f}(p)) \right) \\ &\leq \left( 1 + \frac{c_{35}}{N^2} \right) \cosh \left( \text{dist}_{H^3}(f(0), q) + \text{dist}_{H^3}(q, \tilde{f}(p)) \right) \\ &\leq \left( 1 + \frac{c_{35}}{N^2} \right) \exp \left( \text{dist}_{H^3}(q, \tilde{f}(p)) \right) \cosh \text{dist}_{H^3}(f(0), q) \\ &\leq \left( 1 + \frac{c_{35}}{N^2} \right) \exp \left( 14s^2 + \frac{c_{16}}{\sqrt{N}} \right) \cosh \text{dist}_{H^3}(f(0), q) \\ &\leq \left( 1 + \frac{c_{35}}{N^2} \right) \left( 1 + 2 \left( 14s^2 + \frac{c_{16}}{\sqrt{N}} \right) \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} \max_{\mathbb{D}_1} |\mathcal{L}_0|^2 \right) \left( 1 + 4s^2 + \frac{c_{36}}{\sqrt{N}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \left( \frac{1}{2} \max_{\mathbb{D}_1} |\mathcal{L}_0|^2 \right) \left( 1 + 32s^2 + \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{N}} \right)$$

which proves the conclusion.

#### 4. APPLICATIONS

This section is devoted to prove some applications of the main theorem as we stated in the introduction.

A smooth map  $f: \mathbb{D}_1 \rightarrow M^3$  into a 3-manifold  $M^3$  is called a (*wave*) *front* if there exists a Legendrian immersion  $L_f: \mathbb{D}_1 \rightarrow P(T^*M^3)$  with respect to the canonical contact structure of the projective cotangent bundle  $\pi: P(T^*M^3) \rightarrow M^3$  such that  $\pi \circ L_f = f$ .

**4.1. Flat fronts in hyperbolic 3-space.** Recall that

$$H^3 := \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) / \mathrm{SU}(2) = \{aa^* ; a \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})\} \quad (a^* = {}^t\bar{a}).$$

For a Legendrian immersion  $\mathcal{L}: \mathbb{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ , the projection

$$(4.1) \quad f := \mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}^*: \mathbb{D}_1 \longrightarrow H^3$$

gives a *flat front* in  $H^3$  (see [11, 12] for the definition of flat fronts). We call  $\mathcal{L}$  in (4.1) the *holomorphic lift* of  $f$ . A flat front  $f$  is called *weakly complete* if its holomorphic lift is complete with respect to the induced metric  $ds_{\mathcal{L}}^2$  [13, 19].

Let  $f: \mathbb{D}_1 \rightarrow H^3$  be a flat front and  $\mathcal{L}$  its holomorphic lift. Take  $\omega$  and  $\theta$  as in (3.3) and set

$$(4.2) \quad \rho := \frac{\theta}{\omega}: \mathbb{D}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Then a point  $z \in \mathbb{D}_1$  is a singular point if and only if  $|\rho(z)| = 1$ . We denote by  $\Sigma_f$  the singular set of  $f$ ;

$$\Sigma_f := \{z \in \mathbb{D}_1 ; |\rho(z)| = 1\}.$$

We have the following

**Theorem 4.1.** *There exists a weakly complete flat front  $f: \mathbb{D}_1 \rightarrow H^3$  whose image is bounded in  $H^3$  such that  $\mathbb{D}_1 \setminus \Sigma_f$  is open dense in  $\mathbb{D}_1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{L}: \mathbb{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  be a Legendrian immersion as in the main theorem, and set  $f := \mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}^*: \mathbb{D}_1 \rightarrow H^3$ , which gives a flat front. The boundedness of  $f$  follows from that of  $\mathcal{L}$ , and the weak completeness of  $f$  follows from the completeness of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Finally, we shall prove that  $\mathbb{D}_1 \setminus \Sigma_f$  is open dense: If  $\Sigma_f$  has an interior point, then  $\rho$  in (4.2) satisfies  $|\rho| = 1$  identically because of the analyticity of  $\rho$ . However, if we take a initial immersion so that  $|\rho|$  is not constant, then the resulting bounded weakly complete flat front has the same property, since our iteration can be taken to be small enough near the origin of  $\mathbb{D}_1$ .  $\square$

**4.2. Flat fronts in de Sitter 3-space.** The de Sitter 3-space  $S_1^3$  is the connected and simply connected Lorentzian space form of constant curvature 1, which is represented as

$$S_1^3 = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) / \mathrm{SU}(1, 1) = \{ae_3a^* ; a \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})\} \quad \left( e_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Let  $\mathcal{L}: \mathbb{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  be a Legendrian immersion. Then the projection

$$f: \mathcal{L}e_3\mathcal{L}^*: \mathbb{D}_1 \longrightarrow S_1^3$$

gives a flat front. We remark that  $f$  is not an immersion at  $z$  if and only if  $|\rho(z)| = 1$ , where  $\rho = \theta/\omega$  as in (4.2). The singular set  $\Sigma_f$  of  $f$  is characterized by  $|\rho(z)| = 1$ . Similar to the case of flat fronts in  $H^3$ ,  $f$  is said to be *weakly complete* if the metric induced from the canonical Hermitian metric of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  by  $\mathcal{L}$  is complete. Then we have

**Theorem 4.2.** *There exists a weakly complete flat front  $f: \mathbb{D}_1 \rightarrow H^3$  whose image is bounded in  $S_1^3$  such that  $\mathbb{D}_1 \setminus \Sigma_f$  is open dense in  $\mathbb{D}_1$ , where  $\Sigma_f$  is the set of singular points of  $f$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{L}: \mathbb{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  be a Legendrian immersion as in the main theorem, and set  $f := \mathcal{L}e_3\mathcal{L}^*$ . Then  $f$  is a weakly complete flat front in  $S_1^3$ . Moreover, one can see that  $\mathbb{D}_1 \setminus \Sigma_f$  is open dense by the same argument as in Theorem 4.1.  $\square$

See [7], [10] and [3] for the relationships between flat surfaces and linear Weingarten surfaces in  $H^3$  or  $S_1^3$ .

**4.3. Improper Affine front in affine 3-space.** A notion of *IA-maps* in the affine 3-space has been introduced by A. Martínez in [16]. IA-maps are improper affine spheres with a certain kind of singularities. Since all of IA-maps are wave fronts (see [18, 19]), we call them *improper affine fronts* (The terminology ‘improper affine fronts’ has been already used in Kawakami-Nakajo [8]). The precise definition of improper affine fronts is given in [19, Remark 4.3]. The set of singular points  $\Sigma_f$  of an improper affine front  $f: \mathbb{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  is represented as  $\Sigma_f = \{z \in \mathbb{D}_1 \mid |\rho(z)| = 1\}$ , where  $\rho(z) := dG/dF$ . In [19], *weak completeness* of improper affine fronts is introduced. Then we have

**Theorem 4.3.** *There exists a weakly complete improper affine front  $f: \mathbb{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  whose image is bounded such that  $\mathbb{D}_1 \setminus \Sigma_f$  is open dense in  $\mathbb{D}_1$ , where  $\Sigma_f$  is the set of the singular points of  $f$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{L} = (F, G, H)$  be a complete bounded Legendrian immersion into  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}$  is Legendrian, (1.1) yields that  $dH = -F dG$ . Here, by completeness of  $\mathcal{L}$ , the induced metric

$$ds_{\mathcal{L}}^2 = |dF|^2 + |dG|^2 + |dH|^2 = |dF|^2 + |dG|^2 + |FdG|^2 = |dF|^2 + (|F|^2 + 1)|dG|^2$$

is complete. Moreover, since the image of  $\mathcal{L}$  is bounded, we have

$$ds_{\mathcal{L}}^2 \leq C(|dF|^2 + |dG|^2) \quad (C > 0 \text{ is a constant}).$$

Thus, the metric

$$(4.3) \quad d\tau^2 := |dF|^2 + |dG|^2$$

is complete. Hence, we have an improper affine front  $f$  using Martínez’ representation formula [16] with respect to  $(F, G)$ ;

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} f &= \left( G + \overline{F}, \frac{1}{2}(|G|^2 - |F|^2) + \mathrm{Re} \left( GF - 2 \int F dG \right) \right) \\ &= \left( G + \overline{F}, \frac{1}{2}(|G|^2 - |F|^2) + \mathrm{Re}(GF + 2H) \right) : \mathbb{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $d\tau^2$  in (4.3) is complete,  $f$  is weakly complete, by definition of weak completeness given in [19]. Boundedness of  $f$  follows from that of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

We next prove that  $\mathbb{D}_1 \setminus \Sigma_f$  is open dense: If we take a initial immersion so that  $|dG/dF|$  is not constant, then the resulting bounded weakly complete improper affine front has the same property, since the singular set is characterized by  $|dG/dF| = 1$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.4.** As mentioned in the introduction, there exist no compact flat fronts in  $H^3$  (resp.  $S_1^3$ ). In fact, [11, Proposition 3.6] implies non-existence of compact flat front in  $H^3$ . On the other hand, suppose that there exists a flat front  $f: \Sigma^2 \rightarrow S_1^3$  where  $\Sigma^2$  is a compact 2-manifold. Then the unit normal vector  $\nu$  of  $f$  induces a flat front  $\nu: \Sigma^2 \rightarrow H^3$ , which makes a contradiction.

Next, we shall show the non-existence of compact improper affine fronts as mentioned in the introduction. An improper affine front  $f: \Sigma^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  defined on a Riemann surface  $\Sigma^2$  is represented as in (4.4), where  $F$  and  $G$  are holomorphic functions on  $\Sigma^2$ . If  $\Sigma^2$  is compact,  $G + \bar{F}$  is equal to a constant  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  because it is harmonic. Then we have that  $G = -\bar{F} + c$ , where the left-hand side is holomorphic whereas the right-hand side is anti-holomorphic. Hence we can conclude that  $F$  and  $G$  are both constants. Hence  $d\tau^2$  as in (4.3) vanishes identically, which contradicts to the definition of improper affine fronts.

#### APPENDIX A. AN APPLICATION OF RUNGE'S THEOREM

To prove the Key Lemma, we prepare the following assertion, which is an analogue of [14, Lemma 4.1].

**Lemma A.1.** *Let  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  be a non-degenerate pair of holomorphic functions on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_1$  (see Definition 2.2), and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $N$  be a positive number and a sufficiently large integer  $N$ , respectively. Then for each  $j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, 2N$ ), there exists a non-degenerate pair  $\tilde{\varphi} = (\tilde{\varphi}_1, \tilde{\varphi}_2)$  of holomorphic functions satisfying the following three conditions:*

- (a)  $|\tilde{\varphi} - \varphi| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2N^2}$  on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_1 \setminus \varpi_j$ .
  - (b)  $|\tilde{\varphi}| \geq \begin{cases} CN^{3.5} & (\text{on } \omega_j), \\ CN^{-0.5} & (\text{on } \varpi_j), \end{cases}$
- where  $C$  is a constant depending only on  $\varphi$ .
- (c) There exists a unit vector  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  ( $|\mathbf{u}| = 1$ ) such that

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot (\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}) = 0, \quad |u_1| > 1 - \frac{2}{N},$$

where we set  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = v_1w_1 + v_2w_2$  for  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2)$  and  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2)$ .

As pointed out in Remark A.2 below, we can prove the lemma as a modification of [14, Lemma 4.1] for null holomorphic curves in  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . However, we believe that the theory of Legendrian curves should be established independently from the theory of null curves. So we give here a self-contained proof of the lemma, which might be convenient for the readers. In fact, our proof is easier than that of [14, Lemma 4.1].

*Proof.* In the proof, the symbols  $c_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) denote suitable positive constants, which depend only on the initial data  $\varphi$ . Since  $\varphi$  has no zeroes, we can take  $\nu$  and

$m$  such that

$$(A.1) \quad 0 < \nu \leq |\varphi| \leq m \quad (\text{on } \overline{\mathbb{D}}_1).$$

Set

$$\hat{\varphi} = (\hat{\varphi}_1, \hat{\varphi}_2) = ((\cos t)\varphi_1 + (\sin t)\varphi_2, -(\sin t)\varphi_1 + (\cos t)\varphi_2)$$

for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . To prove the lemma, we need to prove that one can choose  $t \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$  such that

$$(A.2) \quad \sin t \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}} \quad |\hat{\varphi}_k| \geq \frac{\nu}{2\sqrt{N}} \quad (\text{on } \varpi_j, k = 1, 2).$$

In fact, if  $|\varphi_k| \geq \nu/(2\sqrt{N})$  ( $k = 1, 2$ ) holds on  $\varpi_j$ , (A.2) holds obviously for  $t = 0$ . By exchanging the roles of  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$ , we may assume that there exists  $x \in \varpi_j$  such that  $|\varphi_1(x)| < \nu/(2\sqrt{N})$  without loss of generality. Here, notice that the diameter (as a subset of  $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$ ) of  $\varpi_j$  satisfies  $\text{diam}_{\mathbb{R}^2}(\varpi_j) \leq c_1/N$ , where  $c_1$  is a positive constant. Since the derivative of  $\varphi: \overline{\mathbb{D}}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  is bounded, it holds that,  $\text{diam}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(\varphi(\varpi_j)) \leq c_2/N$  for  $c_2 > 0$ . Then it holds that

$$|\varphi_1(y)| \leq |\varphi_1(x)| + |\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)| < \frac{\nu}{2\sqrt{N}} + \frac{c_2}{N}$$

for any  $y \in \varpi_j$ . Here, noticing  $|\varphi|^2 \geq \nu^2$ , we have

$$|\varphi_2(y)| \geq \sqrt{\nu^2 - |\varphi_1(y)|^2} \geq \sqrt{\nu^2 - \left(\frac{\nu}{2\sqrt{N}} + \frac{c_2}{N}\right)^2} \geq \nu \left(1 - \frac{1}{4N}\right)$$

since  $N$  is sufficiently large. We choose  $t$  as  $\sin t = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}}$ . Then noticing

$$1 - \frac{2}{N} \leq \cos t \leq 1 - \frac{1}{N},$$

we have

$$(A.3) \quad \begin{aligned} |\hat{\varphi}_1(y)| &= |(\cos t)\varphi_1(y) + (\sin t)\varphi_2(y)| \geq (\sin t)|\varphi_2(y)| - (\cos t)|\varphi_1(y)| \\ &\geq \frac{\sqrt{2}\nu}{\sqrt{N}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4N}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right) \left(\frac{\nu}{2\sqrt{N}} + \frac{c_2}{N}\right) \geq \frac{\nu}{2\sqrt{N}}, \\ |\hat{\varphi}_2(y)| &\geq (\cos t)|\varphi_2(y)| - (\sin t)|\varphi_1(y)| \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{2}{N}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{4N}\right) \nu - \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}} \left(\frac{\nu}{2\sqrt{N}} + \frac{c_2}{N}\right) \geq \frac{\nu}{2\sqrt{N}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have (A.2).

We now fix a real number  $t$  in (A.2) and will prove (a), (b) and (c): Since  $\omega_j$  and  $\mathbb{D}_1 \setminus \varpi_j$  are compact set such that  $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\omega_j \cup (\mathbb{D}_1 \setminus \varpi_j))$  is connect, Runge's theorem implies that there exists a holomorphic function  $h$  (cf. [17, (4)]) such that  $h \neq 0$  on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_1$  and

$$(A.4) \quad \begin{cases} |h - 2N^4| < \frac{1}{2N^2} & (\text{on } \omega_j) \\ |h - 1| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2N^2m} & (\text{on } \overline{\mathbb{D}}_1 \setminus \varpi_j), \end{cases}$$

where  $m$  is as in (A.1). We set

$$\check{\varphi} = (\check{\varphi}_1, \check{\varphi}_2) := (\hat{\varphi}_1, h\hat{\varphi}_2).$$

Then

$$\tilde{\varphi} := ((\cos t)\tilde{\varphi}_1 - (\sin t)\tilde{\varphi}_2, (\sin t)\tilde{\varphi}_1 + (\cos t)\tilde{\varphi}_2)$$

satisfies the desired properties: In fact,

$$|\tilde{\varphi} - \varphi| = |\tilde{\varphi} - \hat{\varphi}| = |h - 1| |\hat{\varphi}_2| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2N^2m} |\varphi| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2N^2}$$

which implies (a). On the other hand, by (A.3),

$$|\tilde{\varphi}| = |\hat{\varphi}| \geq |\hat{\varphi}_1| = |\hat{\varphi}_1| \geq \frac{\nu}{2\sqrt{N}}$$

holds on  $\varpi_j$ , which proves the first inequality of (b).

It holds on  $\omega_j$  that

$$|\tilde{\varphi}| = |\hat{\varphi}| \geq |h| |\hat{\varphi}_2| \geq |2N^4 - |h - 2N^4|| |\hat{\varphi}_2| \geq \left(2N^4 - \frac{1}{2N^2}\right) \frac{\nu}{2\sqrt{N}} \geq \frac{\nu}{2} N^{3.5}.$$

Hence we have the second inequality of (b). Finally, we set  $\mathbf{u} = (\cos t, \sin t)$ . Then (c) holds.  $\square$

**Remark A.2.** Lemma A.1 can be proved directly from the corresponding assertion for null curves in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  given in [14, Lemma 4.1] as follows: Let  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  be as in Lemma A.1. Since  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  have no common zeros, there exists a holomorphic function  $\varphi_3$  defined on  $\mathbb{D}_1$  such that  $(\varphi_1)^2 + (\varphi_2)^2 + (\varphi_3)^2 = 0$ . We apply [14, Lemma 4.1] for  $\Phi := (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3)$  and get a new Weierstrass data  $\tilde{\Phi} = (\tilde{\varphi}_1, \tilde{\varphi}_2, \tilde{\varphi}_3)$ . Then  $\tilde{\varphi} := (\tilde{\varphi}_1, \tilde{\varphi}_2)$  satisfies (a) which follows immediately from (a) of [14, Lemma 4.1]. Next, by the proof of [14, Corollary B] it holds that

$$4(|\tilde{\varphi}_1|^2 + |\tilde{\varphi}_2|^2) \geq |\tilde{\varphi}_1|^2 + |\tilde{\varphi}_2|^2 + |\tilde{\varphi}_3|^2,$$

which implies that (b) of our lemma follows from (b) of [14, Lemma 4.1]. (c) of our lemma does not follow from (c) of [14, Lemma 4.1] directly. However, we can choose  $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$  in the proof of [14, Lemma 4.1] in such a way that  $u \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $u_3 = 0$  without loss of generality. So this gives an alternative proof of the lemma.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Alarcón and F. J. López, *Null curves in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  and Calabi-Yau conjectures*, preprint, arXiv:0912.2847.
- [2] A. Alarcón and F. J. López, *Compact complete null curves in Complex 3-space*, preprint, arXiv:1106.0684.
- [3] J. Aledo and J. Espinar, *A conformal representation for linear Weingarten surfaces in the de Sitter space*, J. Geom. Phys. **57** (2007), 1669–1677.
- [4] R. L. Bryant, *Surfaces in conformal geometry*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, Volume 48 (1988), 227–240.
- [5] N. Ejiri and M. Takahashi, *The Lie transform between null curves and contact curves in  $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$* , Proceedings of the 16th OCU International Academic Symposium 2008, OCAMI Studies, Volume 3 (2009), 265–277.
- [6] J. A. Gálvez, A. Martínez and F. Milán, *Flat surfaces in hyperbolic 3-space*, Math. Ann., **316** (2000) 419–435.
- [7] J. A. Gálvez, A. Martínez and F. Milán, *Complete linear Weingarten surfaces of Bryant type. A Plateau problem at infinity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356** (2004), 3405–3428.
- [8] Y. Kawakami and D. Nakajo, *Value distribution of the Gauss map of improper affine spheres*, preprint, arXiv:1004.1484.
- [9] Y. Kitagawa and M. Umehara, *Extrinsic diameter of immersed flat tori in  $S^3$* , to appear in Geom. Dedicata, DOI 10.1007/s10711-011-9580-5.
- [10] M. Kokubu and M. Umehara, *Orientability of linear Weingarten surfaces, spacelike CMC-1 surfaces and maximal surfaces*, to appear in Math. Nachrichten, arXiv:0907.2284.



- [11] M. Kokubu, M. Umehara and K. Yamada, *Flat fronts in hyperbolic 3-space*, Pacific J. Math., **216** (2004) 149–175.
- [12] M. Kokubu, W. Rossman, M. Umehara and K. Yamada, *Flat fronts in hyperbolic 3-space and their caustics*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **59** (2007) 265–299.
- [13] M. Kokubu, W. Rossman, M. Umehara and K. Yamada, *Asymptotic behavior of flat surfaces in hyperbolic 3-space*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **61** (2009) 799–852.
- [14] F. Martín, M. Umehara and K. Yamada, *Complete bounded null curves immersed in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  and  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$* , Calculus of Variations and PDE's, **36** (2009), 119–139.
- [15] F. Martín, M. Umehara and K. Yamada, *Complete bounded holomorphic curves immersed in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with arbitrary genus*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), 3437–3450.
- [16] A. Martínez, *Improper Affine maps*, Math. Z., **249** (2005) 755–766.
- [17] N. Nadirashvili, *Hadamard's and Calabi-Yau's conjectures on negatively curved and minimal surfaces*, Invent. Math., **126** (1996), 457–465.
- [18] D. Nakajo, *A representation formula for indefinite improper affine spheres*, Result. Math., **55** (2009), 139–159.
- [19] M. Umehara and K. Yamada, *Applications of a completeness lemma in minimal surface theory to various classes of surfaces*, Bull. of the London Math. Soc., **43** (2011), 191–199.

(Martin) DEPARTAMENTO DE GEOMETRÍA Y TOPOLOGÍA, UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA, 18071 GRANADA, SPAIN.

*E-mail address:* `fmartin@ugr.es`

(Umehara) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL AND COMPUTING SCIENCES, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY 2-12-1-W8-34, O-OKAYAMA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO 152-8552, JAPAN.

*E-mail address:* `umehara@is.titech.ac.jp`

(Yamada) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY 2-12-1-H-7, O-OKAYAMA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO 152-8551, JAPAN.

*E-mail address:* `kotaro@math.titech.ac.jp`