On AZ-style identity

Cheng Yeaw Ku * Kok Bin Wong [†]

July 8, 2011

Abstract

The AZ identity is a generalization of the LYM-inequality. In this paper, we will give a generalization of the AZ identity.

KEYWORDS: LYM-inequality, AZ-identity MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 05D05

1 Introduction

Let $[n] = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, Ω_n be the family of all subsets of [n], and \emptyset be the empty set. Let $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{F} \subseteq \Omega_n$. If $A \notin B$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ with $A \neq B$, then \mathcal{F} is called a *Sperner family* or *antichain*. For any antichain \mathcal{F} , the following inequality holds:

$$\sum_{X \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{\binom{n}{|X|}} \le 1.$$
(1)

The inequality (1) is called the LYM-inequality (Lubell, Yamamoto, Meshalkin) (see [5, Chapter 13]). Many generalizations of the LYM-inequality have been obtained (see [4, 6, 7, 9]). In particular, Ahlswede and Zhang [3] discovered an identity (see equation (2)) in which the LYM-inequality is a consequence of it.

Let \mathbf{G}_n be the family of all \mathcal{F} such that $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{F} \subseteq \Omega_n$. For every $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{G}_n$, the set

$$D_n(\mathcal{F}) = \{Y \subseteq [n] : Y \subseteq F \text{ for some } F \in \mathcal{F}\},\$$

is called the *downset*, while the set

$$U_n(\mathcal{F}) = \{ Y \subseteq [n] : Y \supseteq F \text{ for some } F \in \mathcal{F} \},\$$

is called the *upset*. For each $X \subseteq [n]$, we set

$$Z_{\mathcal{F}}(X) = \begin{cases} \varnothing & \text{if } X \notin U_n(\mathcal{F}), \\ \bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}, F \subseteq X} F & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

^{*}Department of Mathematics, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117543. E-mail: matkcy@nus.edu.sg

[†]Institute of Mathematical Sciences, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. E-mail: kb-wong@um.edu.my.

Theorem 1.1. [3] For any $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{G}_n$ with $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$,

$$\sum_{X \in U_n(\mathcal{F})} \frac{|Z_{\mathcal{F}}(X)|}{|X|\binom{n}{|X|}} = 1.$$
(2)

Equation (2) is called the *AZ-identity*. Note that when \mathcal{F} is an antichain, $Z_{\mathcal{F}}(F) = F$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$. So equation (2) becomes

$$\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{\binom{n}{|F|}} + \sum_{X \in U_n(\mathcal{F}) \setminus \mathcal{F}} \frac{|Z_{\mathcal{F}}(X)|}{|X|\binom{n}{|X|}} = 1,$$

and as the second term on the left is non-negative, we obtain inequality (1).

Later, Ahlswede and Cai discovered an identity for two set systems.

Theorem 1.2. [1] Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_q\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_q\}$ be elements in \mathbf{G}_n . Suppose that $A_i \neq \emptyset$ for all i, and $A_j \subseteq B_k$ if and only if j = k. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} \frac{1}{\binom{n-|B_i|+|A_i|}{|A_i|}} + \sum_{X \in U_n(\mathcal{A}) \setminus D_n(\mathcal{B})} \frac{|Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X)|}{|X|\binom{n}{|X|}} = 1.$$
(3)

Ahlswede and Cai [2] also discovered AZ type of identities of several other posets. For the duality of equations (2) and (3), we refer the readers to [8, 10].

Recently, Thu discovered the following generalizations of equations (2) and (3).

Theorem 1.3. [12] Let m be an integer, and $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{G}_n$ with $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$. If |F| + m > 0 for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$, then

$$\sum_{X \in U_n(\mathcal{F})} \frac{|Z_{\mathcal{F}}(X)| + m}{(|X| + m) \binom{n+m}{|X| + m}} = 1.$$
(4)

Theorem 1.4. [12] Let *m* be an integer, and $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_q\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_q\}$ be elements in \mathbf{G}_n . Suppose that $A_i \neq \emptyset$ for all *i*, and $A_j \subseteq B_k$ if and only if j = k. If |A| + m > 0 for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} \frac{1}{\binom{n+m-|B_i|+|A_i|}{|A_i|+m}} + \sum_{X \in U_n(\mathcal{A}) \setminus D_n(\mathcal{B})} \frac{|Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X)| + m}{(|X|+m)\binom{n+m}{|X|+m}} = 1.$$
(5)

In this paper, we will give generalizations of equations (4) and (5) (see Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.7).

2 Main theorems

Let us denote the set of real numbers by \mathbb{R} and the set of natural numbers by \mathbb{N} . Let $a, m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $ak + m \neq 0$ for k = l, l + 1, ..., n. We set

$$g_{a,m}(n,l) = \frac{(n-l)!a^{n-l}}{\prod_{k=l}^{n}(ak+m)}.$$

Lemma 2.1. Suppose l < n. If $ak + m \neq 0$ for $k = l, l + 1, \ldots, n$, then

$$g_{a,m}(n,l) + g_{a,m}(n,l+1) = g_{a,m}(n-1,l)$$

Proof. Note that

$$g_{a,m}(n,l) + g_{a,m}(n,l+1) = \frac{(n-l)!a^{n-l}}{\prod_{k=l}^{n}(ak+m)} + \frac{(n-l-1)!a^{n-l-1}}{\prod_{k=l+1}^{n}(ak+m)}$$
$$= \frac{(n-l)!a^{n-l} + (al+m)(n-l-1)!a^{n-l-1}}{\prod_{k=l}^{n}(ak+m)}$$
$$= \frac{n(n-l-1)!a^{n-l} + m(n-l-1)!a^{n-l-1}}{\prod_{k=l}^{n}(ak+m)}$$
$$= \frac{(n-l-1)!a^{n-l-1}}{\prod_{k=l}^{n-1}(ak+m)}$$
$$= g_{a,m}(n-1,l).$$

The following lemma can be verified easily.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $ak + m \neq 0$ for k = l, l + 1, ..., n.

(a) If a = 1 and m is an integer, then

$$g_{1,m}(n,l) = \frac{1}{(l+m)\binom{n+m}{l+m}}.$$

(b) If a = 1 and m = 0, then

$$g_{1,0}(n,l) = \frac{1}{(l)\binom{n}{l}}$$

| - | | |
|---|---|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| L | _ | |

We shall need the following lemma (see equation (3) of [11], or Lemma 2 of [8]). Lemma 2.3. Let $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{A} \in \mathbf{G}_n$ and $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{B} \in \mathbf{G}_n$. Set

$$\mathcal{A} \lor \mathcal{B} = \{ A \cup B : A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B} \}.$$

Then for each $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq [n]$,

$$Z_{\mathcal{A}\cup\mathcal{B}}(X)| = |Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X)| + |Z_{\mathcal{B}}(X)| - |Z_{\mathcal{A}\vee\mathcal{B}}(X)|$$

| | - | - | - | |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Theorem 2.4. Let $a, m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{A} \in \mathbf{G}_n$. Suppose that $ak + m \neq 0$ for all $\min_{A \in \mathcal{A}} |A| \leq k \leq n$. Then

$$\sum_{X \in U_n(\mathcal{A})} \left(a |Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X)| + m \right) g_{a,m}(n, |X|) = 1.$$
(6)

Proof. Case 1. Suppose $\mathcal{A} = \{A\}$. We may assume that $A = \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$.

Note that if r = n, then $U_n(\mathcal{A}) = \{A\}$, $Z_{\mathcal{A}}(A) = A$, and $\sum_{X \in U_n(\mathcal{A})} (a|Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X)| + m) g_{a,m}(n, |X|) = (an + m)g_{a,m}(n, n) = 1$. Suppose r < n. Note that $U_n(\mathcal{A}) = U_{n-1}(\mathcal{A}) \cup \{X \cup \{n\} : X \in U_{n-1}(\mathcal{A})\}$, and $Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X) = Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X \cup \{n\})$. Therefore by Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{X \in U_n(\mathcal{A})} & (a|Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X)| + m) \, g_{a,m}(n, |X|) \\ &= \sum_{X \in U_{n-1}(\mathcal{A})} (a|Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X)| + m) \, g_{a,m}(n, |X|) \\ &+ \sum_{X \in U_{n-1}(\mathcal{A})} (a|Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X \cup \{n\})| + m) \, g_{a,m}(n, |X| + 1) \\ &= \sum_{X \in U_{n-1}(\mathcal{A})} (a|Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X)| + m) \, (g_{a,m}(n, |X|) + g_{a,m}(n, |X| + 1)) \\ &= \sum_{X \in U_{n-1}(\mathcal{A})} (a|Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X)| + m) \, g_{a,m}(n - 1, |X|). \end{split}$$

If r = n - 1, then $U_{n-1}(\mathcal{A}) = \{A\}$, $Z_{\mathcal{A}}(A) = A$, and $\sum_{X \in U_{n-1}(\mathcal{A})} (a|Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X)| + m) g_{a,m}(n-1, |X|) = (a(n-1)+m)g_{a,m}(n-1, n-1) = 1$. So the theorem holds. Suppose r < n-1. Again by Lemma 2.1,

$$\sum_{X \in U_{n-1}(\mathcal{A})} (a|Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X)| + m) g_{a,m}(n-1, |X|)$$
$$= \sum_{X \in U_{n-2}(\mathcal{A})} (a|Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X)| + m) g_{a,m}(n-2, |X|).$$

By continuing this way, we see that

$$\sum_{X \in U_n(\mathcal{A})} (a|Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X)| + m) g_{a,m}(n, |X|)$$

$$= \sum_{X \in U_r(\mathcal{A})} (a|Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X)| + m) g_{a,m}(r, |X|)$$

$$= (ar + m)g_{a,m}(r, r)$$

$$= 1$$

Case 2. Suppose $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \ldots, A_q\}, q \geq 2$. Assume that the theorem holds for all q' with $1 \leq q' < q$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{A_1, \ldots, A_{q-1}\}$ and $\mathcal{C} = \{A_q\}$. Then $\mathcal{B} \vee \mathcal{C} = \{A_1 \cup A_q, \ldots, A_{q-1} \cup A_q\}, U_n(\mathcal{A}) = U_n(\mathcal{B}) \cup U_n(\mathcal{C})$ and $U_n(\mathcal{B} \vee \mathcal{C}) = U_n(\mathcal{B}) \cap U_n(\mathcal{C})$. By Lemma 2.3,

$$|Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X)| = |Z_{\mathcal{B}}(X)| + |Z_{\mathcal{C}}(X)| - |Z_{\mathcal{B}\vee\mathcal{C}}(X)|.$$

So if $X \in U_n(\mathcal{B}) \setminus U_n(\mathcal{C})$, then $|Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X)| = |Z_{\mathcal{B}}(X)|$, if $X \in U_n(\mathcal{C}) \setminus U_n(\mathcal{B})$, then $|Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X)| = |Z_{\mathcal{C}}(X)|$, and if $X \in U_n(\mathcal{B}) \cap U_n(\mathcal{C})$, then $|Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X)| = |Z_{\mathcal{B}}(X)| + |Z_{\mathcal{C}}(X)| - |Z_{\mathcal{B} \vee \mathcal{C}}(X)|$.

Therefore

$$\begin{split} \sum_{X \in U_n(\mathcal{A})} & (a|Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X)| + m) \, g_{a,m}(n, |X|) \\ &= \sum_{X \in U_n(\mathcal{B}) \setminus U_n(\mathcal{C})} (a|Z_{\mathcal{B}}(X)| + m) \, g_{a,m}(n, |X|) \\ &+ \sum_{X \in U_n(\mathcal{C}) \setminus U_n(\mathcal{B})} (a|Z_{\mathcal{C}}(X)| + m) \, g_{a,m}(n, |X|) \\ &+ \sum_{X \in U_n(\mathcal{B} \lor \mathcal{C})} (a \, (|Z_{\mathcal{B}}(X)| + |Z_{\mathcal{C}}(X)| - |Z_{\mathcal{B} \lor \mathcal{C}}(X)|) + m) \, g_{a,m}(n, |X|) \\ &= \sum_{X \in U_n(\mathcal{B})} (a|Z_{\mathcal{B}}(X)| + m) \, g_{a,m}(n, |X|) \\ &+ \sum_{X \in U_n(\mathcal{C})} (a|Z_{\mathcal{C}}(X)| + m) \, g_{a,m}(n, |X|) \\ &- \sum_{X \in U_n(\mathcal{B} \lor \mathcal{C})} (a \, |Z_{\mathcal{B} \lor \mathcal{C}}(X)| + m) \, g_{a,m}(n, |X|), \end{split}$$

and by induction,

$$\sum_{X \in U_n(\mathcal{A})} \left(a |Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X)| + m \right) g_{a,m}(n, |X|) = 1 + 1 - 1 = 1.$$

Note that by Lemma 2.2, equations (2) and (4) are consequence of Theorem 2.4.

We shall need the following lemma (see Lemma 4 of [12]).

Lemma 2.5. Let $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2 \in \mathbf{G}_n$ and $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2 \cup \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2$. Suppose that $U_n(\mathcal{A}_1) \cap D_n(\mathcal{B}_2) = \emptyset = U_n(\mathcal{A}_2) \cap D_n(\mathcal{B}_1)$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$ and $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2$. If F is a non-zero function defined on $U_n(\mathcal{A})$, then

$$\sum_{X \in U_n(\mathcal{A}) \setminus D_n(\mathcal{B})} \frac{a|Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X)| + m}{F(X)} = \sum_{X \in U_n(\mathcal{A}_1) \setminus D_n(\mathcal{B}_1)} \frac{a|Z_{\mathcal{A}_1}(X)| + m}{F(X)}$$
$$+ \sum_{X \in U_n(\mathcal{A}_2) \setminus D_n(\mathcal{B}_2)} \frac{a|Z_{\mathcal{A}_2}(X)| + m}{F(X)}$$
$$- \sum_{X \in U_n(\mathcal{A}_1 \lor \mathcal{A}_1)} \frac{a|Z_{\mathcal{A}_1 \lor \mathcal{A}_2}(X)| + m}{F(X)}$$

In fact Lemma 2.5 can be proved easily by noting that

$$U_n(\mathcal{A}) \setminus D_n(\mathcal{B}) = (U_n(\mathcal{A}_1) \setminus (D_n(\mathcal{B}_1) \cup U_n(\mathcal{A}_2))) \cup (U_n(\mathcal{A}_2) \setminus (D_n(\mathcal{B}_2) \cup U_n(\mathcal{A}_1))) \cup U_n(\mathcal{A}_1 \lor \mathcal{A}_2),$$

and by applying Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 2.6. Let $a, m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let A, B be non-empty subsets of [n]. If $A \subseteq B$, and $ak+m \neq 0$ for all $|A| \leq k \leq n$, then

$$\sum_{A \subseteq X \subseteq B} g_{a,m}(n, |X|) = g_{a,m}(n - |B| + |A|, |A|).$$

Proof. We may assume that $A = \{1, 2, \dots, r_1\}$ and $B = \{1, 2, \dots, r_1, r_1 + 1, \dots, r_2\}$. We shall prove by induction on $p = r_2 - r_1$.

Suppose p = 0, i.e., A = B. Then

$$\sum_{A \subseteq X \subseteq B} g_{a,m}(n, |X|) = g_{a,m}(n, |A|).$$

Suppose p > 1. Assume that the lemma holds for p' < p.

Note that $A \subsetneq B$ and $r_2 \notin A$. Set $B' = B \setminus \{r_2\}$. Then $A \subseteq B'$, and by Lemma 2.1,

$$\sum_{A\subseteq X\subseteq B} g_{a,m}(n,|X|) = \sum_{A\subseteq X\subseteq B'} g_{a,m}(n,|X|) + \sum_{A\subseteq X\subseteq B'} g_{a,m}(n,|X\cup\{r_2\}|)$$
$$= \sum_{A\subseteq X\subseteq B'} (g_{a,m}(n,|X|) + g_{a,m}(n,|X|+1))$$
$$= \sum_{A\subseteq X\subseteq B'} g_{a,m}(n-1,|X|).$$

By induction $\sum_{A \subseteq X \subseteq B'} g_{a,m}(n-1, |X|) = g_{a,m}(n-1-|B'|+|A|, |A|) = g_{a,m}(n-|B|+|A|, |A|)$. Hence $\sum_{A \subseteq X \subseteq B} g_{a,m}(n, |X|) = g_{a,m}(n-|B|+|A|, |A|)$.

Theorem 2.7. Let $a, m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_q\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_q\}$ be elements in \mathbf{G}_n . Suppose that $A_i \neq \emptyset$ for all i, and $A_j \subseteq B_k$ if and only if j = k. If $ak + m \neq 0$ for all $\min_{A \in \mathcal{A}} |A| \leq k \leq n$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} (a|A_i| + m)g_{a,m}(n - |B_i| + |A_i|, |A_i|) + \sum_{X \in U_n(\mathcal{A}) \setminus D_n(\mathcal{B})} (a|Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X)| + m) g_{a,m}(n, |X|) = 1.$$
(7)

Proof. Case 1. Suppose q = 1. Then $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1\}, \mathcal{B} = \{B_1\}, \emptyset \neq A_1 \subseteq B_1$, and $a|A_1| + m \neq 0$. Furthermore if $X \in U_n(\mathcal{A})$, then $Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X) = A_1$. By Theorem 2.4,

$$\sum_{X \in U_n(\mathcal{A}) \cap D_n(\mathcal{B})} \left(a | Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X) | + m \right) g_{a,m}(n, |X|) + \sum_{X \in U_n(\mathcal{A}) \setminus D_n(\mathcal{B})} \left(a | Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X) | + m \right) g_{a,m}(n, |X|) = 1.$$

Note that by Lemma 2.6

$$\sum_{X \in U_n(\mathcal{A}) \cap D_n(\mathcal{B})} (a|Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X)| + m) g_{a,m}(n, |X|) = (a|A_1| + m) \sum_{A \subseteq X \subseteq B} g_{a,m}(n, |X|)$$
$$= (a|A_1| + m) g_{a,m}(n - |B_1| + |A_1|, |A_1|)$$

Hence the theorem holds.

Case 2. Suppose q > 1. Assume that the theorem holds for all q' with $1 \le q' < q$. Let

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \{A_1, \dots, A_{q-1}\}, \qquad \qquad \mathcal{A}_2 = \{A_q\}, \\ \mathcal{B}_1 = \{B_1, \dots, B_{q-1}\}, \qquad \qquad \mathcal{B}_2 = \{B_q\}.$$

Note that $U_n(\mathcal{A}_1) \cap D_n(\mathcal{B}_2) = \emptyset = U_n(\mathcal{A}_2) \cap D_n(\mathcal{B}_1)$. By Lemma 2.5 and induction,

$$\sum_{X \in U_n(\mathcal{A}) \setminus D_n(\mathcal{B})} \frac{a|Z_{\mathcal{A}}(X)| + m}{F(X)} = \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} (a|A_i| + m)g_{a,m}(n - |B_i| + |A_i|, |A_i|) \right) \\ + (1 - (a|A_q| + m)g_{a,m}(n - |B_q| + |A_q|, |A_q|)) \\ - \sum_{X \in U_n(\mathcal{A}_1 \lor \mathcal{A}_1)} (a|Z_{\mathcal{A}_1 \lor \mathcal{A}_2}(X)| + m)g_{a,m}(n, |X|).$$

Note that by Theorem 2.4, the $\sum_{X \in U_n(\mathcal{A}_1 \vee \mathcal{A}_1)} (a|Z_{\mathcal{A}_1 \vee \mathcal{A}_2}(X)| + m) g_{a,m}(n, |X|) = 1$. Hence the theorem holds.

Note that by Lemma 2.2, equations (3) and (5) are consequence of Theorem 2.7.

References

- [1] R. Ahlswede, and N. Cai, A generalization of the AZ identity, Combinatorica 13 (1993), 241–247.
- [2] R. Ahlswede, and N. Cai, Incomparability and intersection properties of Boolean interval lattices and chain posets, European J. Combin. 17 (1996), 677–687.
- [3] R. Ahlswede, and Z. Zhang, An identity in combinatorial extremal theory, Adv. Math. 80 (1990), 137–151.
- [4] C. Bey, *Polynomial LYM inequalities*, Combinatorica **25** (2005), 19–38.
- [5] B. Bollobás, Combinatorics: Set systems, Hypergraphs, Families of Vectors, and Combinatorial Probability, Cambridge University Press (1986).
- [6] F. Chudak, and J.R. Griggs, A new extension of Lubell's inequality to the lattice of divisors, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 35 (1999), 347–351.
- [7] P. L. Erdös, P. Frankl, D. J. Kleitman, M. E. Saks, and L. A. Székely, *Sharpening the LYM inequality*, Combinatorica 12 (1992), 287–293.
- [8] D. E. Daykin, and T. D. Thu The dual of Ahlswede-Zhang identity, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 68 (1994), 246-249.
- [9] P. L. Erdös, and L. A. Székely, *Pseudo-LYM inequalities and AZ identities*, Adv. in Appl. Math. 19 (1997), 431–443.
- [10] A. J. W. Hilton, and D. S. G. Stirling The dual of an identity of Ahlswede and Zhang, Congr. Numer. 130 (1998), 113–126.
- [11] T. D. Thu, An induction proof of the Ahlswede-Zhang identity, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 62 (1993), 168–169.

[12] T. D. Thu, An AZ-style identity and Bollobás deficiency, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 114 (2007), 1504–1514.