C*-ALGEBRAS NEARLY CONTAINED IN TYPE I ALGEBRAS

ERIK CHRISTENSEN, ALLAN M. SINCLAIR, ROGER R. SMITH, AND STUART WHITE

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider near inclusions $A \subseteq_{\gamma} B$ of C*-algebras. We show that if B is a separable type I C*-algebra and A satisfies Kadison's similarity problem, then A is also type I and use this to obtain an embedding of A into B.

1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with obtaining embeddings from near inclusions of C*-algebras. Given two C*-algebras A and B concretely represented on the same Hilbert space, we say that A is nearly contained in B if every element of the unit ball of A can be well approximated by an operator from B (see Definition 2.1 below for the precise definition). One natural way to produce a near containment of C*-algebras is to take a genuine inclusion $A_0 \subseteq B$ and then set $A = uA_0u^*$ for some unitary $u \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ which is close to $I_{\mathcal{H}}$. In this case, A certainly embeds into B and in general it is an open question whether, given any sufficiently small near inclusion of C*-algebras, there must be an embedding of the 'smaller' algebra into the nearly containing algebra. In this paper we investigate the situation when the 'larger' algebra is separable and type I. Inspired by earlier work of Phillips [Ph], we provide conditions which imply that the 'smaller' algebra is also type I. From this we obtain an embedding of the 'smaller' algebra into the 'larger algebra'.

Near containments of operator algebras were introduced by the first named author in his work [C3] on perturbations of operator algebras. In [KK], Kadison and Kastler introduced a metric on the collection of all operator subalgebras of $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$, conjecturing that sufficiently close C*-algebras should be spatially isomorphic. Qualitatively, two algebras are close in this metric if and only if they are nearly contained in each

Date: July 12, 2011.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46L05; 46L45.

Key words and phrases. C*-algebras; Near inclusions; Perturbations; Type I C*-algebras; Similarity Problem.

other. Combining Raeburn and Taylor's work [RT] (or alternatively Johnson's work [J1]) with [C1] gives a complete answer to Kadison and Kastler's conjecture when one algebra is an injective von Neumann algebra. Another approach to this result was obtained in [C3] via near inclusions and this shows that any algebra close to an injective von Neumann algebra is spatially isomorphic to it via a unitary which is close to the identity. Recently the authors and Winter have shown that sufficiently close C*-algebras on a separable Hilbert space are spatially isomorphic when one algebra is separable and nuclear, [CSSWW2] (see also [CSSWW1]). This provides a C*-algebraic analogue of the original result for injective von Neumann algebras. Separability is a necessary hypothesis in the C*-algebraic situation due to the examples of [ChC]. Another key difference which arises in the C*-algebraic setting is that one cannot expect to produce a unitary close to the identity implementing a spatial isomorphism between close C*-algebras; counterexamples are given in [J2].

We note that for pairs of separable C*-algebras or of von Neumann algebras, no examples are known of close algebras that are not isomorphic, or of near containments $A \subseteq_{\gamma} B$ that do not admit an embedding of A into B. Positive results have been obtained by Phillips and Raeburn [PhR1, PhR2] for the cases of AF C*-algebras and algebras with continuous trace, and more generally for all separable nuclear C*-algebras in [CSSWW2].

For von Neumann subalgebras of $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$, [C3] gives two general situations in which near containments give rise to embeddings. We choose a formulation in (1) which is different from the original one but equivalent to it.

- (1) Suppose that M is a von Neumann algebra satisfying Kadison's similarity property (for example, a properly infinite von Neumann algebra, or a Π_1 factor with Property Γ) and M is nearly contained in an injective von Neumann algebra N. Then there is a spatial embedding of M into N. This embedding can be implemented by a unitary close to the identity, where the estimates depend on the size of the original near inclusion and constants that arise in Kadison's similarity property.
- (2) Suppose that an injective von Neumann algebra M is nearly contained in a von Neumann algebra N. Then there is a spatial embedding of M into N, and a unitary implementing this embedding can be chosen close to the identity operator (in terms of the size of the original near inclusion).

These statements are equivalent. Indeed [C3] deduces the second from the first, using a commutation argument involving the double commutant theorem and a very similar argument can be used to show that the first statement implies the second statement. Given the complete analogue for separable nuclear C*-algebras of the results for close von Neumann algebras, it is natural to also ask for C*-algebraic versions of (1) and (2). For item (2) this is achieved in [HKW, Section 2]; in this paper we make progress towards a C*-algebraic version of (1).

As the double commutant theorem does not apply, our strategy is to find conditions under which we can apply the results from [CSSWW2] for near inclusions of pairs of nuclear C*-algebras, i.e. a separable nuclear C*-algebra which is nearly contained in a nuclear C*-algebra B must embed into B. Thus we look for additional hypotheses on a nuclear C*-algebra B that imply that every algebra with the similarity property which is nearly contained in B is automatically nuclear. The class of type I C*-algebras is the largest class of C*-algebras for which every C*-subalgebra is nuclear and so this is the least restrictive hypothesis on B for which this method could apply. In Theorem 4.3 we show that a C*-algebra which has the similarity property and is nearly contained in a separable type I C*-algebra is also type I. We do this by showing that algebras nearly contained in a separable liminary C*-algebra are also liminary (Theorem 3.3), and then using Phillips's methods to transfer a decomposition series for a type I C^* -algebra B to algebras nearly contained in B. At this stage we need to assume the 'smaller algebra' has the similarity property in order to produce ideals in the 'smaller' algebra corresponding to those in B and this is a new feature compared with Phillips's original result that C*-algebras close to separable type I algebras are also type I.

Acknowledgments. Our study of type I algebras was initiated after a visit by SW to Leeds University. He would like to thank Garth Dales, Matt Daws and Charles Read for stimulating conversations. This paper was completed while SW was a visiting researcher at the CRM in Barcelona. He would like to thank the CRM for its hospitality.

2. Near Inclusions and Property D_k

In this section we recall the definitions of a near inclusion and give formulation of Kadison's similarity property suitable for use in Section 4.

Definition 2.1. Let A and B be C*-subalgebras of $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$. For $\gamma > 0$, write $A \subseteq_{\gamma} B$ if, for each $x \in A$, there exists $y \in B$ with $||x-y|| \leq \gamma ||x||$.

Write $A \subset_{\gamma} B$ if there exists $\gamma' < \gamma$ with $A \subseteq_{\gamma'} B$. At one point in the paper we need near inclusions when A and B are concrete operator spaces; these are defined in exactly the same way.

In general it is unknown whether a near inclusion $A \subseteq_{\gamma} B$ for two C*-algebras on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} induces a near inclusion $B' \subseteq_{\gamma'} A'$ of their commutants. If $A \subseteq \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a C*-algebra then each $x \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ induces a spatial derivation $\mathrm{ad}(x) : A \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$, where $\mathrm{ad}(x)(a) = [a, x] = ax - xa$ for $a \in A$, and a simple calculation shows that $\|\mathrm{ad}(x)\|_A \| \leq 2d(x, A')$. If, for some k > 0, the reverse inequality

$$(2.1) d(x, A') \le k \|\operatorname{ad}(x)|_A\|$$

holds for all $x \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then A is said to have the local distance property LD_k on \mathcal{H} . This property was used in [C3] to define the distance property D_k for a C*-algebra in terms of representations. However, there was an implicit assumption of nondegeneracy of representations, and so we now refine this definition. This is important for our work here as potentially degenerate representations will arise subsequently.

Definition 2.2. A C*-algebra A has the distance property D_k for some k > 0 if, for every nondegenerate representation $\pi : A \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}), \pi(A)$ has property LD_k on \mathcal{H} . If A is also a von Neumann algebra, then restriction to normal degenerate representations will define the normal version of property D_k , denoted D_k^* .

One consequence of property LD_k , which we will use below, is that a near inclusion $A \subseteq_{\gamma} B$ induces a near inclusion $B' \subseteq_{2k\gamma} A'$ when A has property LD_k , see [CSSW, Proposition 2.5].

Considerations of distance properties first arose in Arveson's study of nest algebras [A] where he showed that

(2.2)
$$d(x, A') = \frac{1}{2} \| \operatorname{ad}(x)|_A \|_{\operatorname{cb}}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}),$$

for nest algebras A (see also [C2]). The significance of this concept is that all derivations $\delta \colon A \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are inner if and only if A has property LD_k on \mathcal{H} for some k > 0. In this way, the distance property characterizes when all bounded derivations of a C*-algebra A into the bounded operators on some Hilbert space are inner. In [Ki], Kirchberg shows that this property is in turn equivalent to Kadison's similarity property for A, i.e. every bounded representation $A \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is similar to a *-representation. In summary, A has Kadison's similarity property if and only if there is some k > 0 such that A has the distance property D_k .

The following three lemmas handle the adjustments which are necessary to consider algebras in general position. The disparity between the numbers k and k+1 below is accounted for by the issue of degenerate versus nondegenerate representations. All ideals in the paper are assumed to be norm closed.

Lemma 2.3. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a \mathbb{C}^* -algebra with an ideal J.

- (i) If A has property LD_k then J has property LD_{k+1} .
- (ii) If A has property D_k then J has property D_k .

Proof. (i) We first consider the special case when A is a von Neumann algebra and J is a weakly closed ideal. Then there is a central projection $z \in A$ so that J = Az. It will be convenient to write operators in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ as 2×2 matrices relative to the decomposition $I_{\mathcal{H}} = z + z^{\perp}$. Then operators in A have the form $\begin{pmatrix} j & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$ for $j \in J$. Consider an element $t = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & t_2 \\ t_3 & t_4 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\mathrm{ad}(t)|_J$ has norm 1. We must find an operator $j' \in J'$ such that $||t-j'|| \leq k+1$. Since $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t_4 \end{pmatrix} \in J'$ we can assume that $t_4 = 0$. For any $j \in J$ of norm 1, its commutator with t is $\begin{pmatrix} [j,t_1] & jt_2 \\ -t_3 & j & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and so $\begin{pmatrix} t_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ induces a derivation on J of norm at most 1. This agrees with the induced derivation on A and so it is within a distance k of some operator $j' \in A' \subseteq J'$. Taking $j = z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ above, we see that $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_2 \\ -t_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ has norm at most 1, and the same is then true for $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_2 \\ t_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. It follows that $||t-j'|| \leq k+1$.

For a general inclusion $J \subseteq A$, property LD_k passes to the weak closure, and the result follows from the special case applied to the weak closures of $J \subseteq A$.

(ii) If $\pi \colon J \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a nondegenerate representation, then it extends to a nondegenerate representation, also denoted π , of A on the same Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , [D, Prop. 2.10.4]. Since A has property D_k , $\pi(A)$ has property LD_k on \mathcal{H} , so $\pi(J)$ has property LD_k on \mathcal{H} because the weak closures of $\pi(J)$ and of $\pi(A)$ coincide in this situation. Since π was arbitrary, we see that J has property D_k .

Lemma 2.4. Let A be a \mathbb{C}^* -algebra with property D_k . Then, for any (possibly degenerate) representation $\pi: A \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\pi(A)$ has property LD_{k+1} on \mathcal{H} .

Proof. Consider a representation $\pi: A \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and let p be the support projection of $\pi(A)$, which is the unit for the weak closure M. The restriction of π to $p\mathcal{H}$ is nondegenerate so $\pi(A)$ has property LD_k on $p\mathcal{H}$, as does M. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2.3 (i), we see that M has property LD_{k+1} on \mathcal{H} , and it follows that $\pi(A)$ has property LD_{k+1} on \mathcal{H} .

Lemma 2.5. Let M be a properly infinite von Neumann algebra, let A be a C^* -algebra and let H be a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space.

- (i) M has property $D_{3/2}$.
- (ii) $A \otimes \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$ has property $D_{3/2}$.

Proof. (i) In our notation, [C2, Theorem 2.4] shows that M has property $D_{3/2}^*$. To show that it has property $D_{3/2}$, consider a nondegenerate representation π of M on some Hilbert space \mathcal{K} . Then the weak closure $\overline{\pi(M)}^{\text{wot}}$ must be properly infinite, otherwise M would have a nonzero tracial state. Thus $\overline{\pi(M)}^{\text{wot}}$ has property $LD_{3/2}$ on \mathcal{K} . Since π was arbitrary, we conclude that M has property $D_{3/2}$.

(ii) Consider an arbitrary nondegenerate representation π of $A \otimes \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$. Its weak closure is properly infinite otherwise there would be a nonzero tracial state on this tensor product. The result now follows from (i). \square

3. Liminary C*-algebras

Recall that a C*-algebra A is liminary if every irreducible representation $\pi:A\to\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ has $\pi(A)=\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$, the algebra of compact operators on \mathcal{H} . These form the building blocks of type I C*-algebras as discussed in the next section. Our objective in this section is to establish that C*-algebras that are nearly contained in separable liminary C*-algebras must themselves be liminary. We start by recording an easy observation for later use.

- **Lemma 3.1.** (i) Let J be an ideal in a C^* -algebra B and let A be a C^* -subalgebra of B. If $A \subset_1 J$, then $A \subseteq J$.
 - (ii) If A is a C*-subalgebra of $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $A \subset_1 \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$, then $A \subseteq \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$.

Proof. Let $\pi: B \to B/J$ be the quotient map. By hypothesis, the restriction of π to A is strictly contractive and so $\pi(A) = 0$. Thus $A \subseteq J$. This proves (i), and the second part is a special case that we have stated separately for future reference.

We will need to decompose general representations in terms of irreducible ones, leading naturally to direct integral theory for which a standard reference is [KR, Chapter 14]. We briefly review the facts that we will need, and all are taken from the first two sections of [KR, Chapter 14].

A direct integral decomposition of a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} consists of a measure space (X, μ) and Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_t , $t \in X$, so that $\mathcal{H} = \int_X^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_t d\mu(t)$. Operators in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are diagonalizable if they act

as scalar multiples of the identity on each \mathcal{H}_t , and are decomposable if they can be written $T = \int_X^{\oplus} T_t d\mu(t)$ for operators $T_t \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_t)$ with suitable measurability requirements. For such a decomposable T, we have that ||T|| is the essential supremum of the measurable function $t \to ||T_t||$. The diagonalizable operators form a von Neumann algebra which can be identified with $L^{\infty}(X,\mu)$, and whose commutant is the von Neumann algebra of decomposable operators. Given an abelian von Neumann algebra C on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , there is a direct integral decomposition of \mathcal{H} for which C becomes the algebra of diagonalizable operators.

Given a direct integral decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \int_X^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_t d\mu(t)$ and a separable C*-algebra A contained in the algebra of decomposable operators, we fix a countable dense set $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in A and write each a_n as $\int_X^{\oplus} a_n(t) d\mu(t)$. Then we define $A(t) \subseteq \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_t)$ to be the C*-algebra generated by $\{a_n(t)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for each $t \in X$. Any element $a \in A$ has a decomposition $\int_X^{\oplus} a_t d\mu(t)$ where $a_t \in A(t)$ for almost all $t \in X$. More generally, for a separable C*-algebra A, each representation $\pi \colon A \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with range in the algebra of decomposable operators has a decomposition $\pi_t \colon A \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_t)$ such that $\pi = \int_X^{\oplus} \pi_t d\mu(t)$, and $\pi(A)(t) = \pi_t(A)$ for almost all $t \in X$. Moreover, $\pi(A)' = \int_X^{\oplus} \pi_t(A)' d\mu(t)$, and $\pi(A)'$ is the algebra of diagonalizable operators if and only if almost all π_t 's are irreducible. The following lemma establishes the link between near inclusions and direct integrals.

Lemma 3.2. Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space with direct integral decomposition $\int_X^{\oplus} H_t d\mu(t)$. Let A and E be respectively a separable C^* -subalgebra and a separable operator subspace of the algebra of decomposable operators. If $A \subseteq_{\delta} E$ for some constant δ , then $A(t) \subseteq_{\delta} E(t)$ for almost all $t \in X$.

Proof. Let D be the separable C^* -algebra generated by A and E, and fix a countable dense set $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in D which includes countable dense subsets of A and E. By taking their span over the rational field, we may assume that this is a listing of the elements in a countable \mathbb{Q} -subspace. Choose representations $d_n(t)$, $t \in X$ for each d_n . By removing a countable number of null sets, we may assume that $\|d_n\| = \sup\{\|d_n(t)\| : t \in X\}$. This ensures that any Cauchy sequence from this set is pointwise Cauchy, allowing us to choose representations d(t) for each $d \in D$ to satisfy $\|d\| = \sup\{\|d(t)\| : t \in X\}$. Removal of another countable collection of null sets allows us to assume that $d \mapsto d(t)$ defines a *-homomorphism for $d \in D$.

Now let A(t) be the C*-algebra generated by $\{a(t): a \in A\}$ for $t \in X$, while E(t) is the operator space generated by $\{e(t): e \in E\}$. By proximinality of ideals in C*-algebras [HWW, Prop. II.1.1], given $t \in X$ and $y \in A(t)$, ||y|| = 1, there exists $a \in A$, ||a|| = 1, such that a(t) = y. By hypothesis we can choose $e \in E$ so that $||a - e|| \le \delta$, and so $||a(t) - e(t)|| \le \delta$. This shows that $A(t) \subseteq_{\delta} E(t)$ for all $t \in X$ with the possible exception of the countable number of deleted null sets. \square

We can now prove the main theorem in this section.

Theorem 3.3. Let B be a separable liminary C*-algebra and let A be a C*-algebra such that $A \subseteq_{\delta} B$ for some $\delta < 1/201$. Then A is liminary.

Proof. We first observe that the hypotheses imply that A is separable (see [CSSWW2, Proposition 2.10], for example). We fix an arbitrary irreducible representation $\pi\colon A\to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and we must now show that $\pi(A)\subseteq \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$. Since irreducible representations arise from the GNS representations of pure states, there is a pure state ϕ on A and a unit vector $\xi\in\mathcal{H}$ so that

(3.1)
$$\phi(a) = \langle \pi(a)\xi, \xi \rangle, \quad a \in A.$$

The GNS representation of any Hahn–Banach extension of ϕ to a state on $C^*(A, B)$ gives a representation $\sigma \colon C^*(A, B) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{K})$ where $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ and $\pi(a)$ is the restriction to \mathcal{H} of $\sigma(a)$ for each $a \in A$. Since B is separable, so also is \mathcal{K} .

Let q denote the orthogonal projection from \mathcal{K} onto \mathcal{H} , which lies in $\sigma(A)'$. Let e be the central support of q in $\sigma(A)'$, which also lies in $\overline{\sigma(A)}^{\text{wot}}$. Now $\overline{\sigma(A)}^{\text{wot}} e \cong \overline{\sigma(A)}^{\text{wot}} q = \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and hence $\overline{\sigma(A)}^{\text{wot}} e$ is a type I von Neumann algebra and so is injective. Since $\sigma(A) \subseteq_{\delta} \sigma(B)$, a near inclusion version of the Kaplansky density argument of [KK, Lemma 5] (which works in exactly the same way as the original argument) gives

(3.2)
$$\overline{\sigma(A)}^{\text{wot}} e \subseteq \overline{\sigma(A)}^{\text{wot}} \subseteq_{\delta} \overline{\sigma(B)}^{\text{wot}}$$

Adjoining units as in [C3, Theorem 6.1], it follows that

$$(3.3) W^*(\overline{\sigma(A)}^{\text{wot}}e, I_{\mathcal{K}}) \subseteq_{2\delta} W^*(\overline{\sigma(B)}^{\text{wot}}, I_{\mathcal{K}}) = \sigma(B)''.$$

Write $R = W^*(\overline{\sigma(A)}^{\text{wot}}e, I_{\mathcal{K}})$. Since $2\delta < 1/100$ by hypothesis, Theorem 4.3 of [C3] (which has an implicit shared unit hypothesis) applies to the near inclusion $R \subseteq_{2\delta} \sigma(B)''$. Thus there is a unitary $u \in (R \cup \sigma(B))''$ such that $uRu^* \subseteq \sigma(B)''$ and

$$||uru^* - r|| \le 200 \,\delta \,||r||, \qquad r \in R.$$

Let $\tilde{\sigma}$ be the representation of B given by $\tilde{\sigma}(b) = u^*\sigma(b)u$ so that $R \subseteq \tilde{\sigma}(B)''$. We now show that $\sigma(A) \subseteq_{\gamma} \tilde{\sigma}(B)$, where $\gamma = 201\delta < 1$, by the choice of the bound on δ . Indeed, for $a \in A$, choose $b \in B$ with $||a-b|| \le \delta ||a||$, giving the inequalities

$$\|\sigma(a) - \tilde{\sigma}(b)\| \le \|\sigma(a) - u^*\sigma(a)u\| + \|u^*\sigma(a)u - u^*\sigma(b)u\|$$

$$\le 200\delta \|\sigma(a)\| + \|\sigma(a) - \sigma(b)\| \le 201\delta \|\sigma(a)\|.$$

Since B is liminary, both $\tilde{\sigma}(B)''$ and $\tilde{\sigma}(B)'$ are type I. Let f be an abelian projection in $\tilde{\sigma}(B)'$ with central support I in this algebra. As $\tilde{\sigma}(B)' \subseteq R'$, the central support of f in R' is also I, and hence $ef \neq 0$. Consider the representation $\beta: B \to \mathbb{B}(f(\mathcal{K}))$ given by $\beta(b) = \tilde{\sigma}(b)|_{f(\mathcal{K})} = f\tilde{\sigma}(b)$ for $b \in B$. Identifying the abelian von Neumann algebra $\beta(B)'$ with $L^{\infty}(X,\mu)$ for some measure space (X,μ) , we can decompose $f(\mathcal{K})$ as the direct integral

(3.6)
$$f(\mathfrak{K}) = \int_{X}^{\oplus} \mathfrak{K}_{t} d\mu(t).$$

In this way $\beta(B)''$ is the algebra of decomposable operators and β decomposes as a direct integral

(3.7)
$$\beta = \int_{X}^{\oplus} \beta_t \, d\mu(t),$$

where, after deleting a null set we may assume that each $\beta_t : B \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{K}_t)$ is irreducible so that $\beta_t(B) = \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{K}_t)$.

As $R \subseteq \tilde{\sigma}(B)''$, the representation $\alpha : A \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{K})$ given by $\alpha(a) = \sigma(a)ef$ maps A into the decomposable operators. Thus we may disintegrate α as

(3.8)
$$\alpha = \int_{X}^{\oplus} \alpha_t \, d\mu(t).$$

Similarly we decompose ef as $\int_X e_t d\mu(t)$. Since $\sigma(A) \subseteq_{\gamma} \tilde{\sigma}(B)$, we have $\alpha(A) = \sigma(A)ef \subseteq_{\gamma} ef\tilde{\sigma}(B)ef = e\beta(B)e$, noting that $e\beta(B)e$ is an operator space but not necessarily a C*-algebra because e need not commute with $\tilde{\sigma}(B)$. Then for almost all t, Lemma 3.2 (which is formulated to allow near inclusions with operator spaces) gives

(3.9)
$$\alpha_t(A) = \alpha_t(A)e_t \subseteq_{\gamma} e_t \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{K}_t)e_t = \mathbb{K}(e_t(\mathcal{K}_t)).$$

As π is irreducible, the projection q is minimal in $\sigma(A)'e$ and has central support e by definition. Since ef is also in $\sigma(A)'e$ with central support e, comparison theory gives a partial isometry $v \in \sigma(A)'e$ with $v^*v = q$ and $vv^* \leq fe$. Let $\eta = v\xi$, so that $||\eta|| = 1$ and

$$(3.10) \ \phi(a) = \langle \sigma(a)q\xi, q\xi \rangle = \langle \sigma(a)ev^*\eta, v^*\eta \rangle = \langle \alpha(a)\eta, \eta \rangle, \qquad a \in A.$$

Writing the decomposition of η as $\eta = \int_X \eta_t d\mu(t)$, it follows that

(3.11)
$$\phi(a) = \int_X \langle \alpha_t(a) \eta_t, \eta_t \rangle \, d\mu(t), \qquad a \in A.$$

Recall that a left ideal J in a C^* -algebra A is regular if there exists $e \in A$ with $xe-x \in J$ for all $x \in A$. Consider the left ideal $J = \{a \in A : \phi(a^*a) = 0\}$. Since ϕ is a pure state on A, J is a maximal regular left ideal of A (see [Pe, Proposition 3.13.6]). Since this ideal is separable, we may remove a set of measure zero to ensure that $\langle \alpha_t(a^*a)\eta_t, \eta_t \rangle = 0$ for all $a \in J$ and all t. It then follows that, for each t, the positive linear functional $\psi_t(a) = \langle \alpha_t(a)\eta_t, \eta_t \rangle$ satisfies $\psi_t(a^*a) = 0$ for all $a \in J$. Fix t_0 such that $\psi_{t_0} \neq 0$; such a t_0 must exist by (3.11). By maximality of J, the ideal $\{a \in A : \psi_{t_0}(a^*a) = 0\}$ must be J so that, by [Pe, Proposition 3.13.6], (which sets out the non-unital version of [K, Theorem 2]) ψ_{t_0} is a scalar multiple of ϕ . Thus there is a constant c > 0 so that

(3.12)
$$\phi(a) = c \langle \alpha_{t_0}(a) \eta_{t_0}, \eta_{t_0} \rangle, \qquad a \in A.$$

Consider the projection p_{t_0} onto $\overline{\alpha_{t_0}(A)\eta_{t_0}}$ in \mathcal{K}_{t_0} . By uniqueness of the GNS construction, $\alpha_{t_0}(\cdot)p_0$ is equivalent to π . Since $\alpha_{t_0}(A) \subseteq \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{K}_{t_0})$, it follows that $\pi(A) \subseteq \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$, completing the proof that A is liminary. \square

4. Type I C*-algebras

In this section we consider near inclusions of C*-algebras $A \subseteq_{\gamma} B$ where B is type I. The main objective is to prove that A is also of type I under suitable hypotheses. It will then follow from [CSSWW2, Corollary 4.4] that A embeds into B.

Recall that a positive element x in a C*-algebra B is abelian if the norm closure of the algebra xBx is commutative. We then say that B is type I if each nonzero quotient of B contains a nonzero abelian element. A composition series for a C*-algebra B is a strictly increasing set of ideals I_{α} indexed by a segment $\{0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta\}$ of the ordinals such that $I_0 = 0$, $I_{\beta} = B$, and for each limit ordinal γ , I_{γ} is the norm closure of $\bigcup_{\alpha < \gamma} I_{\alpha}$. The connection between type I C*-algebras and liminary C*-algebras is exhibited in [Pe, Theorem 6.2.6] (see also [F]) where it is shown that B is type I if and only if it has a composition series such that $I_{\alpha+1}/I_{\alpha}$ is liminary for each $\alpha < \beta$. There are many other equivalent formulations in the separable case, [Pe, Theorem 6.8.7], many of which hold generally.

To make use of the composition series, we will need to consider the ideal structures of A and B when $A \subseteq_{\gamma} B$. In the related context of close C*-algebras with $d(A, B) \leq \gamma$, this has already been done by Phillips, [Ph], who showed that the lattices of ideals in A and B are

isomorphic. For genuine containments $A \subseteq B$, every ideal I in B induces an ideal $I \cap A$ in A. Given a near containment $A \subseteq_{\gamma} B$ and an ideal I in B, we aim to produce a corresponding ideal J in A which is nearly contained in I so that we can represent A/J and B/I on the same space with A/J nearly contained in B/I. Our methods rely heavily on those of Phillips, but with some modifications. In particular, we will require a near containment of B' in A' to produce these ideals. This was not needed in [Ph] as close C*-algebras have close centres.

Lemma 4.1. Let γ and k be positive constants. Suppose that A and B are C^* -algebras such that $A \subseteq_{\gamma} B$ and A has property D_k . Given an ideal I in B, there exists an ideal J in A with the following properties:

- (i) $J \subseteq_{\gamma'} I$, where $\gamma' = (4k+6)\gamma$.
- (ii) There exist faithful representations ρ of A/J and σ of B/I on the same Hilbert space \mathcal{K} such that $\rho(A/J) \subseteq_{\gamma''} \sigma(B/I)$, where $\gamma'' = (4k+5)\gamma$.
- (iii) Let $\lambda > 0$ be a fixed but arbitrary constant. If $x \in A$ satisfies $d(x, I) \leq \lambda \gamma ||x||$, then $d(x, J) \leq (4k + 4 + \lambda) \gamma ||x||$.

Under an additional assumption, the following also holds:

(iv) Suppose now that $(8k+10)\gamma < 1$. Let $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq B$ be ideals and let J_1 and J_2 be corresponding choices of ideals in A satisfying (i)–(iii). Then $J_1 \subseteq J_2$, and these choices of ideals in A are unique.

Proof. Take a representation π of B with kernel I and extend to a representation $\tilde{\pi}$ of $C^*(A, B)$ on some larger Hilbert space \mathcal{K} , so that $\tilde{\pi}|_B$ contains π as a summand. Thus there is a projection $p \in \tilde{\pi}(B)'$ so that $\pi = p\tilde{\pi}|_B p$. Since A has property D_k , $\tilde{\pi}(A)$ has property LD_{k+1} from Lemma 2.4, so $\tilde{\pi}(B)' \subseteq_{(2k+2)\gamma} \tilde{\pi}(A)'$ from [CSSW, Proposition 2.5]. Thus there is a projection $q \in \tilde{\pi}(A)'$ such that $||p-q|| \leq (4k+4)\gamma$ from [CSSW, Proposition 3.1]. Let J be the kernel of the representation $x \mapsto \tilde{\pi}(x)q$ for $x \in A$.

We now show that J is nearly contained in I, and to this end consider $x \in J$, ||x|| = 1. We may choose $y \in B$ such that $||y - x|| \le \gamma$, since $J \subseteq_{\gamma} B$. Then

$$||p\tilde{\pi}(y)|| = ||p\tilde{\pi}(y) - q\tilde{\pi}(x)|| \le ||p\tilde{\pi}(y) - p\tilde{\pi}(x)|| + ||p - q||$$
(4.1)
$$\le \gamma + (4k + 4)\gamma.$$

Since ideals are proximinal [HWW, Prop. II.1.1], we may choose $z \in I$ so that $||p\tilde{\pi}(y)|| = ||y - z||$. Then

$$(4.2) ||x - z|| \le ||x - y|| + ||y - z|| \le 2\gamma + (4k + 4)\gamma,$$

showing that $J \subseteq_{(4k+6)\gamma} I$. This establishes (i).

The representations $a \mapsto \tilde{\pi}(a)q$, $a \in A$, and $b \mapsto \tilde{\pi}(b)p$, $b \in B$, induce faithful representations ρ of A/J and σ of B/I on \mathcal{K} . We now show that $\rho(A/J)$ is nearly contained in $\sigma(B/I)$. By proximinality of ideals we need only consider an element $x \in A$ such that $||x|| = ||x||_{A/J} = 1$. Then choose $y \in B$ so that $||x - y|| \le \gamma$. It follows that

(4.3)
$$\|\tilde{\pi}(x)q - \tilde{\pi}(y)p\| \le \|\tilde{\pi}(x-y)p + \tilde{\pi}(x)(q-p)\|$$
$$\le \gamma + (4k+4)\gamma,$$

showing that $\rho(A) \subseteq_{(4k+5)\gamma} \sigma(B)$. This proves (ii).

Now fix $\lambda > 0$ and consider $x \in A$ such that $d(x, I) \leq \lambda \gamma ||x||$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $i \in I$ such that $||x - i|| < (\lambda + \varepsilon)\gamma ||x||$. It follows that

(4.4)
$$\|\tilde{\pi}(x)p - \tilde{\pi}(i)p\| < (\lambda + \varepsilon)\gamma \|x\|.$$

Since $\tilde{\pi}(i)p = 0$, we obtain $\|\tilde{\pi}(x)p\| < (\lambda + \varepsilon)\gamma \|x\|$, so

$$\|\tilde{\pi}(x)q\| \le \|\tilde{\pi}(x)(p-q)\| + \|\tilde{\pi}(x)p\| < (4k+4)\gamma\|x\| + (\lambda+\varepsilon)\gamma\|x\|$$
(4.5)
$$= (4k+4+\lambda+\varepsilon)\gamma\|x\|.$$

Now $\|\tilde{\pi}(x)q\| = d(x, J)$, and (iii) follows from (4.5) since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary.

For the fourth part, we now make the additional assumption that $(8k+10)\gamma < 1$. Consider ideals $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq B$, and let J_1 and J_2 be ideals in A so that the pairs (I_1, J_1) and (I_2, J_2) satisfy (i)–(iii). Let $j_1 \in J_1$, $||j_1|| = 1$. From (i) we may choose $i_1 \in I_1$ with $||i_1 - j_1|| \le (4k+6)\gamma = (4k+6)\gamma||j_1||$. Since $i_1 \in I_2$, this gives $d(j_1, I_2) \le (4k+6)\gamma||j_1||$, and it follows from (iii) with $\lambda = 4k+6$ that $d(j_1, J_2) \le (8k+10)\gamma < 1$. Thus $J_1 \subseteq_{(8k+10)\gamma} J_2$, and the containment $J_1 \subseteq J_2$ follows from Lemma 3.1 (i).

Finally suppose that I is an ideal in B so that there are two ideals J_1 and J_2 in A satisfying (i)–(iii). The last argument, with $I_1 = I_2 = I$, gives $J_1 \subseteq J_2$ and $J_2 \subseteq J_1$, proving uniqueness of the choice of ideal in A. This establishes (iv).

The following lemma will be used to transfer composition series between the algebras of a near inclusion.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\varepsilon < 1$ be a fixed positive constant. Let γ and k be positive constants satisfying

$$(4.6) (16k^2 + 52k + 40)\gamma \le \varepsilon.$$

Let A and B be C*-algebras with $A \subseteq_{\gamma} B$, and suppose that A has property D_k . Let $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq B$ be ideals and let $J_1 \subseteq J_2 \subseteq A$ be the

ideals constructed in Lemma 4.1 (iv), noting that $(8k+10)\gamma < 1$. Then there is a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} and faithful representations $\sigma \colon I_2/I_1 \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{K})$ and $\rho \colon J_2/J_1 \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{K})$ such that

$$(4.7) \rho(J_2/J_1) \subseteq_{\varepsilon} \sigma(I_2/I_1).$$

Proof. From Lemma 4.1 (i), $J_2 \subseteq_{\gamma'} I_2$ where $\gamma' = (4k+6)\gamma$. Since J_2 is an ideal in A, Lemma 2.3 (ii) shows that J_2 has property D_k . Thus we may apply Lemma 4.1 to $J_2 \subseteq_{\gamma'} I_2$ and the ideal $I_1 \subseteq I_2$ with γ replaced by γ' to obtain an ideal $\widetilde{J_1} \subseteq J_2 \subseteq A$ satisfying conditions (i)–(iii) with the following changes of constants:

- (I) $\widetilde{J}_1 \subseteq_{\delta} I_1$ where $\delta = (4k+6)\gamma'$.
- (II) There exist faithful representations ρ of J_2/\widetilde{J}_1 and σ of I_2/I_1 on the same Hilbert space \mathcal{K} such that $\rho(J_2/\widetilde{J}_1) \subseteq_{\delta'} \sigma(I_2/I_1)$ where $\delta' = (4k+5)\gamma'$.
- (III) Let $\lambda > 0$ be a fixed but arbitrary constant. If $x \in J_2$ satisfies $d(x, I_1) \leq \lambda \gamma' ||x||$, then

(4.8)
$$d(x, \widetilde{J}_1) \le (4k + 4 + \lambda)\gamma' ||x||.$$

The result will follow from (II) provided that we can show that $\widetilde{J}_1 = J_1$. To this end, consider $x \in J_1 \subseteq J_2$ with ||x|| = 1. From Lemma 4.1 (i),

(4.9)
$$d(x, I_1) \le (4k+6)\gamma = (4k+6)\gamma ||x||.$$

Taking $\lambda = (4k + 6)\gamma/\gamma'$ in (III), we obtain

$$d(x, \widetilde{J}_1) \le (4k + 4 + (4k + 6)\gamma/\gamma')\gamma'$$

$$= (4k + 6)(4k + 5)\gamma$$

$$= (16k^2 + 44k + 30)\gamma < \varepsilon < 1.$$

Lemma 3.1 (i) then gives $J_1 \subseteq \widetilde{J}_1$.

Now consider $x \in \widetilde{J}_1$, ||x|| = 1. From (I),

$$(4.11) d(x, I_1) \le (4k+6)\gamma' = (4k+6)^2 \gamma ||x||.$$

From Lemma 4.1 (iii) with $\lambda = (4k+6)^2$, we see that

$$d(x, J_1) \le (4k + 4 + (4k + 6)^2)\gamma$$

$$= (16k^2 + 52k + 40)\gamma \le \varepsilon < 1.$$

Lemma 3.1 (i) then gives the reverse containment $\widetilde{J}_1 \subseteq J_1$. This proves that $J_1 = \widetilde{J}_1$, and it now follows from (II) that there are faithful representations ρ of J_2/J_1 and σ of I_2/I_1 on a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} such that

(4.13)
$$\rho(J_1/J_1) \subseteq_{(16k^2+44k+30)\gamma} \sigma(I_2/I_1).$$

Since
$$(16k^2+44k+30)\gamma < (16k^2+52k+40)\gamma \leq \varepsilon$$
, the result follows.

We now come to the main result of the paper. The inequality in the hypotheses is to ensure that applications of Theorem 3.3, Lemma 4.1, and Lemma 4.2 are valid.

Theorem 4.3. Let k and γ be positive constants satisfying

$$(4.14) (16k^2 + 52k + 40)\gamma < 1/201.$$

Let B be a separable type I C*-algebra and let A be a C*-algebra such that A has property D_k and $A \subseteq_{\gamma} B$. Then A is a type I C*-algebra.

Proof. From the discussion of type I C*-algebras at the beginning of this section, B has a composition series I_{α} , $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$, where $I_0 = 0$, $I_{\beta} = B$, and for each limit ordinal α , I_{α} is the norm closure of $\bigcup_{\alpha' < \alpha} I_{\alpha'}$. Moreover, each quotient $I_{\alpha+1}/I_{\alpha}$ is liminary. Since $(8k+10)\gamma < 1$, the ideals $J_{\alpha} \subseteq A$ constructed from $I_{\alpha} \subseteq B$ in Lemma 4.1 are nested, and $J_{\beta} = A$ from the uniqueness of each J_{α} . Applying Lemma 4.2 with $\varepsilon = 16k^2 + 52k + 40 < 1/201 < 1$, there exist faithful representations ρ_{α} of $J_{\alpha+1}/J_{\alpha}$ and σ_{α} of $I_{\alpha+1}/I_{\alpha}$ on the same Hilbert space so that

$$(4.15) \rho_{\alpha}(J_{\alpha+1}/J_{\alpha}) \subseteq_{\varepsilon} \sigma_{\alpha}(I_{\alpha+1}/I_{\alpha}).$$

Since $I_{\alpha+1}/I_{\alpha}$ is separable and liminary, it follows from Theorem 3.3 that each quotient $J_{\alpha+1}/J_{\alpha}$ is also liminary.

For a fixed limit ordinal α , let $J = \overline{\bigcup_{\alpha' < \alpha} J_{\alpha'}}$. Then $J \subseteq J_{\alpha}$, and we must establish the reverse inclusion. Consider $x \in J_{\alpha}$ with ||x|| = 1. Then there exists $y \in I_{\alpha}$ such that $||x-y|| \le (4k+6)\gamma$ from Lemma 4.1 (i). At the cost of an error of say γ , we may assume that y lies in some $I_{\alpha'}$ for $\alpha' < \alpha$, so that $||x-y|| \le (4k+7)\gamma$. Applying Lemma 4.1 (iii) with $\lambda = (4k+7)$, we obtain $d(x,J) \le d(x,J_{\alpha'}) \le (8k+11)\gamma < 10^{-3}$. The containment $J_{\alpha} \subseteq J$ follows from Lemma 3.1 (i), proving equality. After deleting any duplicates from the list, $\{J_{\alpha}\colon 0 \le \alpha \le \beta\}$ is a composition series for A with liminary quotients. We have now proved that A is type I.

Corollary 4.4. Let k and γ be positive constants satisfying

$$(4.16) (16k^2 + 52k + 40)\gamma < 1/201, \quad \gamma < 1/420000.$$

Let B be a separable type I C*-algebra and let A be a C*-algebra such that A has property D_k and $A \subseteq_{\gamma} B$. Then A embeds into B.

Proof. From Theorem 4.3, A is a type I C*-algebra and so it is nuclear. The result now follows from [CSSWW2, Corollary 4.4].

The similarity problem asks whether every representation of a C*-algebra is similar to a *-representation. This is an open question which is equivalent to the existence of a constant k_0 so that every C*-algebra has property D_{k_0} . This would allow us to remove the property D_k hypothesis from Theorem 4.3. We now discuss another situation where this is possible.

It is also natural to consider closeness and near inclusions in the completely bounded sense (see the discussion at the end of Section 4 of [CSSW]). Specifically, we say that $d_{cb}(A, B) = d(A \otimes \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}), B \otimes \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}))$, and define a cb-near inclusion $A \subseteq_{cb,\gamma} B$ to mean $A \otimes \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq_{\gamma} B \otimes \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$ where \mathcal{H} is a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space. In this setting we have the following.

Theorem 4.5. Let $\gamma < 1/420000$, let B be a separable type I C*-algebra, and let A be a C*-algebra such that $A \subseteq_{\mathrm{cb},\gamma} B$. Then A is type I and embeds into B.

Proof. By definition, we have $A \otimes \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq_{\gamma} B \otimes \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$. By part (ii) of Lemma 2.5, $A \otimes \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$ has property $D_{3/2}$, so direct calculation shows that the hypotheses of Theorem 4.3 are satisfied with k = 3/2. Thus $A \otimes \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$ is type I and so A is nuclear. It follows from [CSSWW2, Corollary 4.4] that A embeds into B, whereupon we also see that it is type I.

We conclude with some remarks on the paper [J3] by Johnson and the connections to our results. There the author considers near containments $A \subseteq_{\gamma} B$ where B is a separable n-subhomogeneous C*-algebra (which is automatically type I). For suitably small γ , A is also n-subhomogeneous, so an embedding of A into B follows from Corollary 4.4 since nuclear C*-algebras have property D_1 . Johnson obtains such an embedding, but also shows that it can be achieved with conjugation by a unitary u satisfying $||u-I|| \leq f(\gamma,n)$, where f is a function satisfying $\lim_{\gamma\to 0} f(\gamma,n) = 0$ for each fixed value of n. Such a result cannot hold in the type I or even liminary situation due to the examples of $c_0 \subseteq_{\gamma} C[0,1] \otimes \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$ presented in [J2]. It would be interesting to characterise exactly which near inclusions can be spatially implemented by a unitary close to I.

References

- [A] W. B. Arveson. Interpolation problems in nest algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 20(3):208–233, 1975.
- [ChC] M.-D. Choi and E. Christensen. Completely order isomorphic and close C*-algebras need not be *-isomorphic. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 15:604-610, 1983.

- [C1] E. Christensen. Perturbations of operator algebras. Invent. Math., 43 (1977), 1–13.
- [C2] E. Christensen. Perturbations of operator algebras II. Indiana Univ. Math. J., 26:891–904, 1977.
- [C3] E. Christensen. Near inclusions of C*-algebras. Acta Math., 144(3-4):249–265, 1980.
- [CSSW] E. Christensen, A. M. Sinclair, R. R. Smith and S. A. White. Perturbations of C*-algebraic invariants. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 20:368-397, 2010.
- [CSSWW1] E. Christensen, A. M. Sinclair, R. R. Smith, S. A. White and W. Winter. The spatial isomorphism problem for close separable nuclear C*-algebras. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 107:587-591, 2010.
- [CSSWW2] E. Christensen, A. M. Sinclair, R. R. Smith, S. A. White and W. Winter. Perturbations of Nuclear C*-algebras. *Acta Math.*, to appear. arXiv:0910.4953v1, 2009.
- [D] J. Dixmier. C*-algebras. North Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.
- [F] J. M. G. Fell. The structure of algebras of operator fields. Acta Math., 106:233-280, 1961.
- [HWW] P. Harmand, D. Werner and W. Werner. M-ideals in Banach spaces and Banach algebras. Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. 1547, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [HKW] I. Hirshberg, E. Kirchberg and S. White. Decomposable approximations of nuclear C*-algebras, 2011. CRM Preprint 1028, http://www.crm.es/Publications/11/Pr1028.pdf.
- [J1] B. E. Johnson. Perturbations of Banach algebras. *Proc. London. Math. Soc.* (3), 34:439–458, 1977.
- [J2] B. E. Johnson. A counterexample in the perturbation theory of C*-algebras. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 25:311-316, 1982.
- [J3] B. E. Johnson. Near inclusions for subhomogeneous C^* -algebras. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3), 68(2):399–422, 1994.
- [K] R. V. Kadison Irreducible operator algebras. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 43:273–276, 1957.
- [KK] R. V. Kadison and D. Kastler. Perturbations of von Neumann algebras. I. Stability of type. *Amer. J. Math.*, 94:38–54, 1972.
- [KR] R. V. Kadison and J. Ringrose. Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras. Vol. II. Academic Press, Orlando Florida, 1986.
- [Ki] E. Kirchberg. The derivation problem and the similarity problem are equivalent. J. Operator Theory, 36(1):59–62, 1996.
- [Pe] G. K. Pedersen. C*-algebras and their automorphism groups. Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [Ph] J. Phillips. Perturbations of C^* -algebras. Indiana Univ. Math. J., 23:1167–1176, 1973/74.
- [PhR1] J. Phillips and I. Raeburn. Perturbations of AF-algebras. *Canad. J. Math.*, 31(5):1012–1016, 1979.
- [PhR2] J. Phillips and I. Raeburn. Perturbations of C^* -algebras. II. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 43(1):46–72, 1981.
- [RT] I. Raeburn and J. L. Taylor. Hochschild cohomology and perturbations of Banach algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 25:258-266, 1977.

ERIK CHRISTENSEN, INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATISKE FAG, UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN, COPENHAGEN, DEMARK.

E-mail address: echris@math.ku.dk

ALLAN M. SINCLAIR, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH, JCMB, KING'S BUILDINGS, MAYFIELD ROAD, EDINBURGH, EH9 3JZ, SCOTLAND.

 $E\text{-}mail\ address{:}\ \texttt{a.sinclair@ed.ac.uk}$

ROGER R. SMITH, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION TX 77843-3368, U.S.A.

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: rsmith@math.tamu.edu}$

STUART WHITE, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF GLASGOW, UNIVERSITY GARDENS, GLASGOW Q12 8QW, SCOTLAND.

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: stuart.white@glasgow.ac.uk}$