

# STANLEY CONJECTURE ON INTERSECTION OF THREE MONOMIAL PRIMARY IDEALS

ANDREI ZAROJANU

**ABSTRACT.** We show that the Stanley's Conjecture holds for an intersection of three monomial primary ideals of a polynomial algebra  $S$  over a field.

*Key words* : Monomial Ideals, Stanley decompositions, Stanley depth.  
*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 13C15, Secondary 13F20, 13F55, 13P10.

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $K$  be a field and  $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be the polynomial ring over  $K$  in  $n$  variables. Let  $I \subset S$  be a monomial ideal of  $S$ ,  $u \in I$  a monomial and  $uK[Z]$ ,  $Z \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  the linear  $K$ -subspace of  $I$  of all elements  $uf$ ,  $f \in K[Z]$ . A presentation of  $I$  as a finite direct sum of spaces  $\mathcal{D} : I = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r u_i K[Z_i]$  is called a Stanley decomposition of  $I$ . Set  $\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}) = \min\{|Z_i| : i = 1, \dots, r\}$  and

$\text{sdepth } I := \max\{\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}) : \mathcal{D} \text{ is a Stanley decomposition of } I\}$ .

The Stanley's Conjecture [11] says that  $\text{sdepth } I \geq \text{depth } I$ . This is proved if either  $I$  is an intersection of four monomial prime ideals by [6, Theorem 2.6] and [8, Theorem 4.2], or  $I$  is the intersection of two monomial irreducible ideals by [10, Theorem 5.6], or a square free monomial ideal of  $K[x_1, \dots, x_5]$  by [7] (a short exposition on this subject is given in [9]). It is the purpose of our paper to show that the Stanley's Conjecture holds for intersections of three monomial primary ideals (see Theorem 2.2).

## 1. COMPUTING DEPTH

Let  $I \subset S$  be a monomial ideal and  $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^s Q_i$  an irredundant primary decomposition of  $I$ , where the  $Q_i$  are monomial primary ideals. Set  $P_i = \sqrt{Q_i}$ . According to Lyubeznik [5]  $\text{size } I$  is the number  $v + (n - h) - 1$ , where  $h = \text{height } \sum_{j=1}^s Q_j$  and  $v$  is the minimum number  $t$  such that there exist  $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_t \leq s$  with

$$\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^t Q_{j_k}} = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^s Q_j}.$$

In [5] it shows that  $\text{depth}_S I \geq 1 + \text{size } I$ .

In the study of the Stanley's Conjecture, we may always assume that  $h = n$ , that is  $\sum_{i=1}^s P_i = m =: (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , because each free variable on  $I$  increases depth and sdepth with 1.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $I \subset S$  be a monomial ideal and  $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^3 Q_i$  an irredundant primary decomposition of  $I$ , where each  $Q_i$  is  $P_i$  - primary. Suppose that  $P_i \neq m$  for all  $i \in [3]$ . Then*

- (a) *If  $Q_1 \subset Q_2 + Q_3$  and  $P_1 \not\subset P_i$  for  $i = 2, 3$ , then*  
 $\text{depth}_S S/I = 1 + \min\{\dim S/(P_1 + P_2), \dim S/(P_1 + P_3)\}.$
- (b) *If  $Q_1 \subset Q_2 + Q_3$  and  $P_1 \subset P_2, P_1 \not\subset P_3$ , then*  
 $\text{depth}_S S/I = \min\{\dim S/P_2, 1 + \dim S/(P_1 + P_3)\}.$
- (c) *If  $Q_1 \subset Q_2 + Q_3$  and  $P_1 \subset P_i$  for  $i = 2, 3$  then*  
 $\text{depth}_S S/I = \min\{\dim S/P_2, \dim S/P_3\}.$
- (d) *If  $Q_i \not\subset \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^3 Q_j$ , for all  $i$  then  $\text{depth}_S S/I = 1$  if and only if  $\text{size } I = 1$ .*
- (e) *If  $Q_i \not\subset \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^3 Q_j$ , for all  $i$  then  $\text{depth}_S S/I = 2$  if and only if  $\text{size } I = 2$ .*

*Proof.* As  $\text{Ass}_S S/I = \{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$  we get  $\text{depth}_S S/I > 0$  by assumptions. We have the following exact sequences

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \frac{S}{I} \rightarrow \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_2} \oplus \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_3} \rightarrow \frac{S}{Q_1} \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_2} \rightarrow \frac{S}{Q_1} \oplus \frac{S}{Q_2} \rightarrow \frac{S}{Q_1 + Q_2} \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_3} \rightarrow \frac{S}{Q_1} \oplus \frac{S}{Q_3} \rightarrow \frac{S}{Q_1 + Q_3} \rightarrow 0.$$

Apply Depth Lemma in (2) and (3). If  $P_1$  is not properly contained in  $P_2$  or  $P_3$  then  $\text{depth} \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_3} = 1 + \text{depth} \frac{S}{Q_1 + Q_3}$  and  $\text{depth} \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_2} = 1 + \text{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1 + Q_2}$ . If  $P_1 \subset P_2$  then  $\text{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_2} \geq \text{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_2} = \dim \frac{S}{P_2}$ . But  $\text{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_2} \leq \dim \frac{S}{Q_2}$ , that is  $\text{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_2} = \dim \frac{S}{P_2}$ . Similarly,  $\text{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_3} = \dim \frac{S}{P_3}$  if  $P_1 \subset P_3$ .

The statements (a),(b), (c) follow if we show that

$$\text{depth}_S S/I = \min\{\text{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_2}, \text{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_3}\}.$$

If  $\text{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1} > \min\{\text{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_2}, \text{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_3}\}$  then by Depth Lemma applied in (1) we get the above equality. If  $\text{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1} = \min\{\text{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_2}, \text{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_3}\}$  then we get similarly  $\text{depth}_S S/I \geq \text{depth}_S S/Q_1 = \text{depth}_S S/P_1$ . As  $P_1 \in \text{Ass } S/I$  then  $\text{depth}_S S/I \leq \dim S/P_1 = \text{depth}_S S/Q_1$ . Thus  $\text{depth}_S S/I = \text{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1}$ , which is enough.

(d) If  $\text{depth}_S S/I = 1$  then  $2 = \text{depth}_S I \geq 1 + \text{size } I$ , that is  $1 \geq \text{size } I \geq 0$ . But  $\text{size } I \neq 0$  because the primary decomposition is irredundant. Conversely, if  $\text{size } I = 1$  then  $v = 2$  and we may assume that  $P_2 + P_3 = P_1 + P_2 + P_3 = m$ . We consider the exact sequences

$$(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \frac{S}{I} \rightarrow \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_2} \oplus \frac{S}{Q_3} \rightarrow \frac{S}{Q_3 + (Q_1 \cap Q_2)} \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_2} \rightarrow \frac{S}{Q_1} \oplus \frac{S}{Q_2} \rightarrow \frac{S}{Q_1 + Q_2} \rightarrow 0.$$

From (5) we have  $\text{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_2} = 1 + \text{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1 + Q_2} \geq 1$  by Depth Lemma. Note that  $\text{depth}_S S/Q_3 \geq 1$  and  $\text{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_3 + (Q_1 \cap Q_2)} = \text{depth}_S \frac{S}{(Q_1 + Q_3) \cap (Q_2 + Q_3)} = 0$  because  $\sqrt{Q_2 + Q_3} = m$ , and  $Q_1 \not\subset Q_2 + Q_3$ . Thus Depth Lemma applied in (4) gives  $\text{depth}_S S/I = 1$ .

(e) If  $\text{depth}_S S/I = 2$ , then  $\text{depth}_S I = 3 \geq 1 + \text{size } I$ . But  $\text{size } I \leq 1$  was the subject of (d), so  $\text{size } I = 2$ . Conversely, suppose that  $\text{size } I = 2$ , that is  $v = 3$ .

Then  $P_i \not\subset \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^3 P_j$ , for all  $i$  and by [4, Proposition 2.1] we get  $\text{depth}_S I \leq 3$ . As  $\text{depth}_S I \geq 1 + \text{size } I$  we get  $\text{depth}_S S/I = 2$ .  $\square$

## 2. STANLEY'S DEPTH

In this section we introduce a new way of splitting, inspired from [4], that helps us to prove the Stanley Conjecture when  $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^3 Q_i$  is an irredundant primary decomposition of  $I$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $I$  be a monomial ideal and  $I = Q_1 \cap Q_2$  an irredundant primary decomposition of  $I$ , where  $Q_i$  is  $P_i$  primary. Then the Stanley conjecture holds for  $I$ .*

*Proof.* As usual we may suppose that  $P_1 + P_2 = m$ . Also we may suppose that  $P_i \neq m$  for all  $i$ , because otherwise  $\text{depth}_S I = 1$  and there exists nothing to show. Applying Depth Lemma in the above exact sequence (2) we get  $\text{depth}_S S/I = 1$ , so  $\text{depth}_S I = 2 = 1 + \text{size } I$ . By [3, Theorem 3.1] we have  $\text{sdepth}_S I \geq \text{depth}_S I$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $I$  be a monomial ideal and  $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^3 Q_i$  an irredundant primary decomposition of  $I$ , where  $Q_i$  is  $P_i$  primary. Then the Stanley conjecture holds for  $I$ .*

*Proof.* We may suppose as above  $P_1 + P_2 + P_3 = m$  and  $P_i \neq m$  for all  $i$ . If  $Q_i \not\subset \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^3 Q_j$ , for all  $i \in [3]$  we have according to Lemma 1.1 minimal depth

that is  $\text{depth } I = 1 + \text{size } I$ . Then by [3, Theorem 3.1] we get  $\text{sdepth}_S I \geq \text{depth}_S I$ . Now suppose that  $Q_1 \subset Q_2 + Q_3$ . It follows that  $\text{size } I = 1$ . If  $P_1 + P_2 = m$  or  $P_1 + P_3 = m$  then  $\dim \frac{S}{Q_1+Q_2} = 0$  or  $\dim \frac{S}{Q_1+Q_3} = 0$  therefore  $\text{depth}_S S/I = 1$  that is  $\text{depth}_S I = 2$ . Then again we get  $\text{sdepth}_S I \geq 1 + \text{size } I = 2 = \text{depth}_S I$  by [3, Theorem 3.1].

Otherwise  $P_1 + P_2 \neq m \neq P_1 + P_3$ . Let  $P_1 = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$  and  $P_3 = (x_{e+1}, \dots, x_t)$ ,  $2 \leq r \leq n-1, e+1 \leq t$ . If  $r = 1$  then  $Q_1 \subset Q_2$  or  $Q_1 \subset Q_3$  because  $Q_1 \subset Q_2 + Q_3$ . This is false since the primary decomposition is irredundant. If  $r = n$  then  $P_1 = m$ , which is not possible. If  $e+1 > r$  then  $Q_1 \subset Q_2$ , also a contradiction. We will prove this case by induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 3$ , then  $\text{sdepth}_S I \geq 1 + \text{size } I = 2 \geq \text{depth}_S I$ , because  $I$  is not principal. Assume now  $n > 3$ . We set  $S' = K[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ ,  $\bar{S} := K[x_1, \dots, x_e, x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n]$  and  $J_3 = \bigoplus_w ((I : w) \cap \bar{S})$ , where  $w$  runs in the finite set of monomials of  $K[x_{e+1}, \dots, x_r] \setminus Q_3$ .

We claim that  $I = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap (Q_3 \cap S')S \oplus J_3$ . It is enough to see the inclusion " $\supset$ ". Let  $a \in I$  be a monomial, then  $a = uv$ , where  $u \in \bar{S}$  and  $v \in K[x_{e+1}, \dots, x_r]$  are monomials. If  $v \notin Q_3$  then  $u \in (I : v) \cap \bar{S}$ , so  $a \in J_3$ . If  $v \in Q_3$  then  $a \in (Q_3 \cap S')S$ . As  $a \in I$  we get  $a \in Q_1 \cap Q_2$  therefore  $a \in Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap (Q_3 \cap S')S$ . The above sum is direct. Indeed, let  $a = uv \in Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap (Q_3 \cap S')S \cap J_3$  be as above. Then  $v \notin Q_3$  because  $a \in J_3$ . But  $v$  must be in  $(Q_3 \cap S')S$ . Contradiction!

The ideal  $I' := Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap (Q_3 \cap S')S \subset P_1 + P_2 \neq m$  and so is an extension of an ideal from less than  $n$ -variables and we may apply the induction hypothesis for  $I'$ , that is  $\text{sdepth}_S I' \geq \text{depth}_S I'$ . Since  $\text{sdepth}_S I \geq \min\{\text{sdepth}_S I', \{\text{sdepth}_{\bar{S}}((I : w) \cap \bar{S})\}_w\}$  it remains to show that  $\text{depth}_S I' \geq \text{depth}_S I$  and  $\text{depth}_{\bar{S}}((I : w) \cap \bar{S}) \geq \text{depth}_S I$ , applying again the induction hypothesis since  $\bar{S}$  has less than  $n$ -variables. The first inequality follows because  $\dim S/(P_3 \cap S')S \geq \dim S/P_3$ ,  $\dim S/(P_1 + (P_3 \cap S')S) \geq \dim S/P_1 + P_3$  using Lemma 1.1 (a), (b), (c).

For the second inequality note that for  $w \notin Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_3$  we have  $(Q_i : w)$  primary and so  $L_i := (Q_i : w) \cap \bar{S}$  is  $\bar{P}_i := P_i \cap \bar{S}$ -primary too. We have  $\dim \bar{S}/\bar{P}_i = \dim S/P_i$  for  $i = 1, 3$  because  $(x_{e+1}, \dots, x_r) \subset P_1 \cap P_3$ . Thus  $\dim \bar{S}/(\bar{P}_1 + \bar{P}_i) = \dim S/(P_1 + P_i)$  for all  $i = 2, 3$ . Using Lemma 1.1 we are done because  $\dim S/P_2$  appears in the formulas only when  $P_1 \subset P_2$ , that is when  $\dim \bar{S}/\bar{P}_2 = \dim S/P_2$ .

If  $w \in Q_2 \setminus (Q_1 \cup Q_3)$  then

$$\text{depth}_{\bar{S}} \bar{S}/(L_1 \cap L_3) = 1 + \dim \bar{S}/(\bar{P}_1 + \bar{P}_3) = 1 + \dim S/(P_1 + P_3) \geq \text{depth}_S S/I$$

by the same lemma, the only problem could appear when  $P_1 \subset P_3$ , but in this case

$$\dim \bar{S}/(\bar{P}_1 + \bar{P}_3) = \dim S/(P_1 + P_3) = \bar{S}/\bar{P}_3 = \dim S/P_3$$

and it follows

$$\text{depth}_{\bar{S}} \bar{S}/(L_1 \cap L_3) = 1 + \dim \bar{S}/(\bar{P}_1 + \bar{P}_3) > \dim S/P_3 \geq \text{depth}_S S/I.$$

If  $w \in (Q_1 \cap Q_2) \setminus Q_3$  then  $\text{depth}_{\bar{S}} \bar{S}/L_3 = \dim S/P_3 \geq \text{depth}_S S/I$  by [1].  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings* Revised edition. Cambridge University Press (1998).
- [2] J. Herzog, M. Vladioiu, X. Zheng, *How to compute the Stanley depth of a monomial ideal*, J. Algebra, 322 (2009), 3151-3169.
- [3] J. Herzog, D. Popescu, M. Vladioiu, *Stanley depth and size of a monomial ideal*, arXiv:AC/1011.6462v1, 2010, to appear in Proceed. AMS.
- [4] M. Ishaq, *Values and bounds of the Stanley depth*, to appear in Carpathian J. Math., arXiv:AC/1010.4692.
- [5] G. Lyubeznik, *On the Arithmetical Rank of Monomial ideals*, J. Algebra **112**, 86-89 (1988).
- [6] A. Popescu, *Special Stanley Decompositions*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumanie, 53(101), no 4 (2010), arXiv:AC/1008.3680.
- [7] D. Popescu, *An inequality between depth and Stanley depth*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumanie 52(100), (2009), 377-382, arXiv:AC/0905.4597v2.
- [8] D. Popescu, *Stanley conjecture on intersections of four monomial prime ideals*, arXiv:AC/1009.5646.
- [9] D. Popescu, *Bounds of Stanley depth*, An. St. Univ. Ovidius. Constanta, 19(2),(2011), 187-194.
- [10] D. Popescu, I. Qureshi, *Computing the Stanley depth*, J. Algebra, 323 (2010), 2943-2959.
- [11] R. P. Stanley, *Linear Diophantine equations and local cohomology*, Invent. Math. 68 (1982) 175-193.

ANDREI ZAROJANU , FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF BUCHAREST, STR. ACADEMIEI 14, BUCHAREST, ROMANIA  
*E-mail address:* andrei.zarojanu@yahoo.com