

Fractional Laplacian with singular drift

Tomasz Jakubowski

Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science

Wrocław University of Technology

Wybrzeże Wyspiańskiego 27, 50-370 Wrocław, Poland

e-mail: Tomasz.Jakubowski@pwr.wroc.pl

Abstract

For $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ we consider the equation $\partial_t u = \Delta^{\alpha/2} u - rb \cdot \nabla u$, where b is a divergence free singular vector field not necessarily belonging to the Kato class. We show that for sufficiently small $r > 0$ the fundamental solution is globally in time comparable with the density of the isotropic stable process.

keywords: fractional Laplacian, gradient perturbations.

AMS Subject Classification: 60J35, 47A55, 47D06

1 Introduction

Let $d \geq 1$ be a natural number and $\alpha \in (1, 2)$. We denote by $p(t, x)$ the density of the isotropic α -stable Lévy process, i.e.

$$p(t, x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} d\xi, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (1)$$

For $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we define the operator

$$\Delta^{\alpha/2} \phi(x) = \mathcal{A}_{d,\alpha} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{|y| > \varepsilon} \frac{\phi(x+y) - \phi(x)}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} dy,$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{d,\alpha} > 0$ is a constant depending only on α and d . $\Delta^{\alpha/2}$ is the infinitesimal generator of the isotropic α -stable process with the time and space homogeneous transition density $p(t, x, y) = p(t, y - x)$, i.e.

$$\Delta^{\alpha/2} \phi(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t, x, y) (\phi(y) - \phi(x)) dy.$$

The research was partially supported by ANR-09-BLAN-0084-01 and grants MNiSW N N201 397137 and N N201 422539. Fellowship co-financed by European Union within European Social Fund.

Let $b(x) = (b_1(x), \dots, b_d(x))$ be a vector field satisfying the following conditions

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t, x, y) |b(y)| dy < C_b t^{1/\alpha-1}, \quad t \in (0, \infty), \quad (2)$$

$$\operatorname{div} b = 0, \quad (\text{in the sense of distribution theory}), \quad (3)$$

for some constant $C_b > 0$. We will study the equation

$$\partial_t u - \Delta^{\alpha/2} u + rb \cdot \nabla u = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 0. \quad (4)$$

The condition (2) allows for functions b not belonging to the usual Kato class $\mathcal{K}_d^{\alpha-1}$, see (7 below). For example our results apply to $d = 2$ and

$$b(y) = (y_2 |y|^{-\alpha}, -y_1 |y|^{-\alpha}).$$

We note that $\operatorname{div} b = 0$ in the sense of distributions, $|b(y)| = |y|^{1-\alpha}$ and $b \notin \mathcal{K}_d^{\alpha-1}$. The main result of the paper is the following.

Theorem 1. *There is a constant $R = R(\alpha, d, C_b)$ such that for all $0 \leq r \leq R$ there exists a function $\tilde{p}(t, x, y)$ such that for $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\int_s^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(u-s, x, z) (\partial_u \phi(u, z) + \Delta_z^{\alpha/2} \phi(u, z) + rb(z) \cdot \nabla_z \phi(u, z)) dz du = -\phi(s, x), \quad (5)$$

and there is a constant $C > 0$ depending only on d, α, b, R such that

$$C^{-1} p(t, x, y) \leq \tilde{p}(t, x, y) \leq C p(t, x, y), \quad t > 0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (6)$$

According to (5), \tilde{p} is the integral kernel of the left inverse of $-(\partial_t + \Delta_z^{\alpha/2} + rb \cdot \nabla_z)$. Put differently, since $\operatorname{div} b = 0$, a function $f: (u, z) \mapsto \tilde{p}(u-s, x, z)$ solves $(\partial_t - \Delta_z^{\alpha/2} + rb \cdot \nabla_z) f = \delta_{(s, x)}$ in the sense of distributions. Thus, \tilde{p} is the fundamental solution of (4). As a corollary we obtain that \tilde{p} is the integral kernel of the Markov semigroup with the (weak) generator $\Delta^{\alpha/2} + b \cdot \nabla$ (Corollary 14).

Equations similar to (4) were widely studied for the Laplacian and more general elliptic operators (see e.g. [2], [19], [20], [14]). The authors considered also drifts b depending on time and satisfying various conditions similar to (7) and called the generalized Kato conditions. In general, the comparability of the fundamental solution with the Gaussian density holds only locally in time (i.e. with constants depending on time). To obtain global estimates, the additional assumption on divergence of b is necessary. For example in [17] Osada proved that the fundamental solution of $\partial_t u = Au + b \cdot \nabla u$ has upper and lower Gaussian bounds, where A is a uniformly elliptic operator in divergence form, b is the derivative of a bounded function and $\operatorname{div} b = 0$. These results were later obtained in [15] for much more singular drifts (exceeding generalized Kato class) with some smallness assumption on divergence.

Additive perturbations of the fractional Laplacian were intensely studied in recent years (e.g. [9], [10], [5], [13], [4], [7], [6], [1], [8]). In particular,

the equation (4) was considered in [5] for $b \in \mathcal{K}_d^{\alpha-1}$ but with no condition on divergence. Recall that $b \in \mathcal{K}_d^{\alpha-1}$ if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} s^{-1/\alpha} p(s, x, y) |b(y)| dy ds = 0 \quad (7)$$

(see also [12] and [13] for further developments). The authors obtained local in time comparability of \tilde{p} and p for each $r \in \mathbb{R}$. The function \tilde{p} was constructed as the perturbation series $\tilde{p} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n$, where

$$p_0(t, x, y) = p(t, x, y), \quad (8)$$

$$p_n(t, x, y) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{n-1}(t-s, x, z) b(z) \cdot \nabla_z p(s, z, y) dz ds. \quad (9)$$

The Kato conditions on b assures local in time smallness of p_1 with respect to p , and in consequence the perturbation series converges. Similar methods was used to study Schrödinger perturbations of transition densities ([3], [11]) and the Green function of $\Delta^{\alpha/2} + b(x) \cdot \nabla_x$ ([4]).

In the present paper we will also use the technique of perturbation series, but in our case the conditions on b only assures the finiteness of p_1 (see Lemma 5). In addition, the integral in (9) is not absolutely convergent as the integral over time and space, which makes the proofs much more complicated and delicate. In particular, it is not obvious that the functions p_n are well defined. In order to prove it we introduce functions $P_n(t, x, y, \underline{s}, \underline{z})$ (see (20) for definition) and integrate it separately over $(\mathbb{R}^d)^n$ and n -dimensional simplex $S_n(0, t)$. Namely, we consider

$$|p|_n(t, x, y) = \int_{S_n(0, t)} \left| \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} P_n(t, x, y, \underline{s}, \underline{z}) d\underline{z} \right| d\underline{s},$$

the majorants of the functions p_n (see (22) and (35)). To estimate $|p|_n$ for $n \geq 2$ we split the integral over the simplex $S_n(0, t)$ into suitable $n+1$ parts. As a consequence Motzkin numbers appear in the estimates of p_n (see [11] for another connection of the perturbation series with combinatorics). In order to assure the convergence of the perturbation series, smallness of p_1 is needed and this is why we multiply b by small constant in Theorem 1. We like to note that Theorem 1 should hold for $R = \infty$, but such an extension calls for different methods.

One of the tool used in this paper is so called 3P theorem (see [5], [12], [13]). It allows to suitably split a ratio of three functions p , and in consequence to estimate p_n . Since for $\alpha = 2$ (Gaussian case) 3P theorem does not hold, our method cannot be applied to perturbations of the classical Laplacian. Similarly as in previous papers we also exclude the case of $\alpha \leq 1$. Although Lemma 5 holds in this case, Lemma 8 does not seem to extend to $\alpha \leq 1$ and consequently our approach does not work for this case.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we collect basic properties of the transition density $p(t, x, y)$. In Section 3 we define and estimate functions p_n . In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.

All the functions considered in the sequel are Borel measurable. When we write $f(x) \approx g(x)$, we mean that there is a number $0 < C < \infty$ independent of x , i.e. a *constant*, such that for every x we have $C^{-1}f(x) \leq g(x) \leq Cf(x)$. As usual we write $a \wedge b = \min(a, b)$ and $a \vee b = \max(a, b)$. The notation $C = C(a, b, \dots, c)$ means that C is a constant which depends *only* on a, b, \dots, c .

2 Preliminaries

Throughout the paper $d \geq 1$, and unless stated otherwise, $\alpha \in (1, 2)$. In Lemmas 1, 2, 3 we recall well-known results about the density $p(t, x, y)$ of the isotropic d -dimensional α -stable process (see [5] for details).

Lemma 1. *There exist a constant C_1 such that*

$$C_1^{-1} \left[t^{-d/\alpha} \wedge \frac{t}{|x|^{d+\alpha}} \right] \leq p(t, x) \leq C_1 \left[t^{-d/\alpha} \wedge \frac{t}{|x|^{d+\alpha}} \right], \quad t \in (0, \infty), x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (10)$$

Lemma 2 (3P). *There exist a constant C_2 such that*

$$p(t, x, z)p(s, z, y) \leq C_2 p(t+s, x, y)[p(t, x, z) + p(s, z, y)], \quad s, t \in (0, \infty), x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

Let $p^{(m)}$ be the α -stable density in dimension m .

Lemma 3. *For all $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\nabla_x p^{(d)}(t, x) = -2\pi x p^{(d+2)}(t, \tilde{x}), \quad (11)$$

where $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d+2}$ is such that $|\tilde{x}| = |x|$.

Applying (10) to (11) we get

$$|\nabla_x p(t, x)| \leq C_3 t^{-1/\alpha} p(t, x), \quad t \in (0, \infty), x \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad (12)$$

Our aim is to prove that functions p_n defined in (8) and (9) satisfy $p_n(t, x, y) \leq C_n p(t, x, y)$, where C_n are the constants such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n < \infty$. Since the condition (2) does not guarantee convergence of the integral

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t-s, x, z) |b(z)| |\nabla_z p(s, z, y)| dz ds, \quad (13)$$

we cannot follow the proofs from [5] and [13]. However the inner integral of (13) is convergent. Indeed by (12), Lemma 2 and (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t-s, x, z) |b(z)| |\nabla_z p(s, z, y)| dz \\ & \leq c_1 s^{-1/\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t-s, x, z) p(s, z, y) |b(z)| dz \\ & \leq c_2 s^{-1/\alpha} p(t, x, y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (p(t-s, x, z) + p(s, z, y)) |b(z)| dz \\ & \leq c_2 C_b s^{-1/\alpha} [(t-s)^{1/\alpha-1} + s^{1/\alpha-1}] p(t, x, y) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Therefore instead of (13) we will consider

$$\int_0^t \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t-s, x, z) b(z) \cdot \nabla_z p(s, z, y) dz \right| ds. \quad (15)$$

In order to estimate (15) we will use the following lemma.

Lemma 4. *For all $s, t \geq 0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t, x, z) b(z) \cdot \nabla_z p(s, z, y) dz = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_z p(t, x, z) \cdot b(z) p(s, z, y) dz. \quad (16)$$

Proof. Let $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be such that

$$g(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } |z| \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } |z| \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Let $f_n(z) = g(z/n)p(t, x, z)p(s, z, y) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $\nabla_z f_n(z) \rightarrow \nabla_z(p(t, x, z)p(s, z, y))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore

$$|\nabla_z f_n(z)| \leq c(|\nabla_z(p(t, x, z)p(s, z, y))| + p(t, x, z)p(s, z, y))$$

for some constant $c > 0$. By (12), Lemma 2 and (2)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [|\nabla_z(p(t, x, z)p(s, z, y))| + p(t, x, z)p(s, z, y)] |b(z)| dz < \infty.$$

Therefore by (3) and Lebesgue theorem

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_z f_n(z) \cdot b(z) dz = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_z(p(t, x, z)p(s, z, y)) \cdot b(z) dz,$$

which ends the proof. \square

Similarly condition (3) yields that for all $s, t > 0$ and $\xi, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [b(\xi) \cdot \nabla_\xi p(t, \xi, z)] b(z) \cdot \nabla_z p(s, z, y) dz \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_z [b(\xi) \cdot \nabla_\xi p(t, \xi, z)] \cdot b(z) p(s, z, y) dz. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

In the following lemma we will use (16) to show that the function p_1 introduced in (9) is well defined. In a similar way we will apply (17) to estimate other functions p_n .

Lemma 5. *There exists a constant C such that for all $t > 0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\int_0^t \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t-s, x, z) b(z) \cdot \nabla_z p(s, z, y) dz \right| ds \leq Cp(t, x, y). \quad (18)$$

Proof. By Lemma 4 and (14) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t-s, x, z) b(z) \cdot \nabla_z p(s, z, y) dz \right| ds \\
&= \int_0^{t/2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t-s, x, z) b(z) \cdot \nabla_z p(s, z, y) dz \right| ds \\
&+ \int_{t/2}^t \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t-s, x, z) b(z) \cdot \nabla_z p(s, z, y) dz \right| ds \\
&= \int_0^{t/2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_z p(t-s, x, z) \cdot b(z) p(s, z, y) dz \right| ds \\
&+ \int_{t/2}^t \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t-s, x, z) b(z) \cdot \nabla_z p(s, z, y) dz \right| ds \leq cp(t, x, y)
\end{aligned}$$

□

We will also need the following two auxiliary lemmas

Lemma 6. *There exists a constant C_4 such that for all $t > 0$ and $z, w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have*

$$|b(z) \cdot \nabla_z (b(w) \cdot \nabla_w p(t, z, w))| \leq C_4 |b(z)| |b(w)| p(t, z, w) t^{-2/\alpha}.$$

Proof. From (11) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_w p^{(d)}(t, z, w) &= 2\pi(z-w)p^{(d+2)}(t, \tilde{z}, \tilde{w}), \\
\nabla_z p^{(d+2)}(t, \tilde{z}, \tilde{w}) &= -2\pi(z-w)p^{(d+4)}(t, \hat{z}, \hat{w}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{z} = (z, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+2}$ and $\hat{z} = (\tilde{z}, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+4}$ (we use similar notation for \tilde{w} and \hat{w}). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
b(z) \cdot \nabla_z (b(w) \cdot \nabla_w p(t, z, w)) &= 2\pi b(z) \cdot \nabla_z [b(w) \cdot (z-w)p^{(d+2)}(t, \tilde{z}, \tilde{w})] \\
&= 2\pi b(z) \cdot [b(w)p^{(d+2)}(t, \tilde{z}, \tilde{w}) - 2\pi(b(w) \cdot (z-w))(z-w)p^{(d+4)}(t, \hat{z}, \hat{w})] \\
&= 2\pi b(z) \cdot b(w)p^{(d+2)}(t, \tilde{z}, \tilde{w}) - 4\pi^2(b(w) \cdot (z-w))b(z) \cdot (z-w)p^{(d+4)}(t, \hat{z}, \hat{w})
\end{aligned}$$

Applying (10) we obtain the assertion of the lemma. □

Lemma 7. *There exists a constant C_5 such that for all $t > 0$*

$$\int_0^{t/2} \int_{t/2}^t (r-u)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} ((t-r)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} + (r-u)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}) ((t-u)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} + u^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}) dr du < C_5.$$

Proof. First we note that $a^p + b^p \leq 2^{1-p}(a+b)^p$ for $a, b \geq 0$ and $0 < p < 1$. Consequently $a^{-p} + b^{-p} \leq 2^{1-p}(a+b)^p(ab)^{-p}$. Hence it suffices to show

$$\int_0^{t/2} \int_{t/2}^t (r-u)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} (t-r)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} u^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} t^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} dr du < c.$$

Splitting the second integral into intervals $(t/2, 3t/4)$ and $(3t/4, t)$ we get

$$t^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_0^{t/2} \int_{t/2}^t (r-u)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} (t-r)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} u^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} dr du \leq c_1 \int_0^{t/2} (t/2-u)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} u^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} < c_2.$$

□

We note that Lemma 5 extends to $\alpha \leq 1$, however the following lemma does not and this is why we generally assume $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ in the paper. Lemma 8 will allow us to estimate the functions p_n for $n \geq 2$.

Lemma 8. *There exists a constant C such that for all $t > 0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\int_0^{t/2} \int_{t/2}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dw d\xi dr du \\ p(u, x, \xi) |\nabla_w (b(\xi) \cdot \nabla_\xi p(r-u, \xi, w)) \cdot b(w)| p(t-r, w, y) < Cp(t, x, y). \quad (19)$$

Proof. By Lemma 2 and (2)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(s, x, z) |b(z)| p(t, z, y) dz \leq cp(s+t, x, y) (s^{1/\alpha-1} + t^{1/\alpha-1}).$$

Hence by Lemma 6 we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(u, x, \xi) |\nabla_w (b(\xi) \cdot \nabla_\xi p(r-u, \xi, w)) \cdot b(w)| p(t-r, w, y) dw d\xi \\ \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(u, x, \xi) |b(\xi)| (r-u)^{-2/\alpha} p(r-u, \xi, w) |b(w)| p(t-r, w, y) dw d\xi \\ \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |b(\xi)| (r-u)^{-2/\alpha} p(u, x, \xi) p(t-u, \xi, y) ((t-r)^{1/\alpha-1} + (r-u)^{1/\alpha-1}) dw \\ \leq p(t, x, y) (r-u)^{-2/\alpha} ((t-r)^{1/\alpha-1} + (r-u)^{1/\alpha-1}) ((t-u)^{1/\alpha-1} + u^{1/\alpha-1}).$$

Now (19) follows from Lemma 7. □

3 Perturbation series

In this section we introduce functions $|p|_n$ which will be majorants of the functions p_n (see (9)). For any $a < b$ and $n \geq 1$ we denote

$$S_n(a, b) = \{(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : a \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \dots \leq s_n \leq b\}.$$

For any $t > 0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ let

$$P_n(t, x, y, \underline{s}, \underline{z}) = p(s_1, x, z_1) b(z_1) \cdot \nabla_{z_1} p(s_2 - s_1, z_1, z_2) \dots b(z_n) \cdot \nabla_{z_n} p(t - s_n, z_n, y), \quad (20)$$

where $\underline{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in S_n(0, t)$ and $\underline{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n$. We recall that the integrals in (9) may not be absolutely convergent as the integrals over $[0, t] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, and the approach from the paper [5] cannot be used. Therefore we separate integrals over time and space in the following definition.

Definition 9. For any $t > 0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we define

$$|p|_0(t, x, y) = p(t, x, y) \quad (21)$$

$$|p|_n(t, x, y) = \int_{S_n(0,t)} \left| \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} P_n(t, x, y, \underline{s}, \underline{z}) d\underline{z} \right| d\underline{s}. \quad (22)$$

We note that by Lemma 2, (2) and (12)

$$\int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} |P_n(t, x, y, \underline{s}, \underline{z})| d\underline{z} < \infty, \quad (23)$$

hence the functions $|p|_n$ are well-defined (at most they are equal to infinity). However the integral $\int_{S_n(0,t)} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} |P_n(t, x, y, \underline{s}, \underline{z})| d\underline{z} d\underline{s}$ may not be convergent because singularities of the gradient of the functions p in (20) may be not integrable in the whole simplex $S_n(0, t)$. Therefore in order to estimate (22) we use the following representation

$$S_n(0, t) = S_n(0, t/2) \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} S_{n-k}(0, t/2) \times S_k(t/2, t) \right) \cup S_n(t/2, t), \quad (24)$$

Lemma 4 and (17) to move these singularities off the region of integration.

Lemma 10. For any $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, $t > 0$, and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S_{n-k}(0,t/2) \times S_k(t/2,t)} \left| \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} P_n(t, x, y, \underline{s}, \underline{z}) d\underline{z} \right| d\underline{s} \\ & \leq \int_0^{t/2} \int_{t/2}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dw d\xi \\ & |p|_{n-k-1}(u, x, \xi) |\nabla_w (b(\xi) \cdot \nabla_\xi p(r-u, \xi, w)) \cdot b(w)| |p|_{k-1}(t-r, w, y) dr du. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Proof. By (23) and Fubini's theorem we may change the order of integration in integrals over $(\mathbb{R}^d)^n$. We note that

$$|p|_m(t-r, x, y) = \int_{S_m(r,t)} \left| \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^m} P_m(t-r, x, y, \underline{s}, \underline{z}) d\underline{z} \right| d\underline{s}. \quad (26)$$

Changing the order of variables in the following way,

$$\int_{S_m(a,b)} f(\underline{s}) ds_m ds_{m-1} \dots ds_1 = \int_{(a,b) \times S_{m-1}(a,s_m)} f(\underline{s}) ds_{m-1} \dots ds_1 ds_m,$$

using (17) and Fubini's theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{S_{n-k}(0,t/2) \times S_k(t/2,t)} \left| \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} P_n(t, x, y, \underline{s}, \underline{\xi}) d\underline{\xi} \right| d\underline{s} \\
&= \int_0^{t/2} \int_{S_{n-k-1}(0,r_{n-k})} \int_{t/2}^t \int_{S_{k-1}(u_k,t)} d\underline{u} du_k d\underline{r} dr_{n-k} \\
& \left| \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} P_{n-k-1}(r_{n-k}, x, z_{n-k}, \underline{r}, \underline{z}) b(z_{n-k}) \cdot \nabla_{z_{n-k}} p(u_1 - r_{n-k}, z_{n-k}, w_1) \right. \\
& \left. b(w_1) \cdot \nabla_{w_1} P_{k-1}(t - u_1, w_1, y, \underline{u}, \underline{w}) d\underline{w} dw_1 d\underline{z} dz_{n-k} \right| \\
&= \int_0^{t/2} \int_{S_{n-k-1}(0,r_{n-k})} \int_{t/2}^t \int_{S_{k-1}(u_k,t)} d\underline{u} du_k d\underline{r} dr_{n-k} \\
& \left| \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} P_{n-k-1}(r_{n-k}, x, z_{n-k}, \underline{r}, \underline{z}) P_{k-1}(t - u_1, w_1, y, \underline{u}, \underline{w}) \right. \\
& \left. b(w_1) \cdot \nabla_{w_1} [b(z_{n-k}) \cdot \nabla_{z_{n-k}} p(u_1 - r_{n-k}, z_{n-k}, w_1)] d\underline{w} dw_1 d\underline{z} dz_{n-k} \right|,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\underline{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_{n-k-1})$, $\underline{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_{k-1})$, $\underline{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_{n-k-1})$, $\underline{w} = (w_2, \dots, w_k)$. Now splitting integral over $(\mathbb{R}^d)^n$ into integrals over $(\mathbb{R}^d)^{n-k-1}$, $(\mathbb{R}^d)^{k-1}$, and $(\mathbb{R}^d)^2$ and applying (26), we get (25) \square

Lemma 11. For $n \geq 2$, $t > 0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|p|_n(t, x, y) &\leq \int_0^{t/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |p|_{n-1}(u, x, z) |b(z) \cdot \nabla_z p(t - u, z, y)| dz du \\
&+ \int_{t/2}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_z p(u, x, z) \cdot b(z)| |p|_{n-1}(t - u, z, y) dz du \\
&+ \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \int_0^{t/2} \int_{t/2}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dw dz dr du |p|_k(u, x, z) \times \\
&\quad \times |b(z) \cdot \nabla_z (b(w) \cdot \nabla_w p(r - u, z, w))| |p|_{n-2-k}(t - r, w, y).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By (24) we get

$$|p|_n(t, x, y) = \int_{S_n(0,t/2)} \left| \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} P_n(t, x, y, \underline{s}, \underline{\xi}) d\underline{\xi} \right| d\underline{s} \quad (27)$$

$$+ \int_{S_n(t/2,t)} \left| \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} P_n(t, x, y, \underline{s}, \underline{\xi}) d\underline{\xi} \right| d\underline{s} \quad (28)$$

$$+ \int_{\bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} S_{n-k}(0,t/2) \times S_k(t/2,t)} \left| \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} P_n(t, x, y, \underline{s}, \underline{\xi}) d\underline{\xi} \right| d\underline{s} \quad (29)$$

The integral (27) is estimated as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{S_n(0,t/2)} \left| \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} P_n(t, x, y, \underline{s}, \underline{\xi}) d\underline{\xi} \right| d\underline{s} \\
&= \int_0^{t/2} \int_{S_{n-1}(0,s_n)} \left| \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} P_{n-1}(s_n, x, \xi_n, \underline{s}^*, \underline{\xi}^*) b(\xi_n) \cdot \nabla_{\xi_n} p(t - s_n, \xi_n, y) d\underline{\xi} \right| d\underline{s} \\
&\leq \int_0^{t/2} \int_{S_{n-1}(0,s_n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} d\xi_n d\underline{s}^* ds_n \times \\
&\times |b(\xi_n) \nabla_{\xi_n} p(t - s_n, \xi_n, y)| \left| \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^{n-1}} P_{n-1}(s_n, x, \xi_n, \underline{s}^*, \underline{\xi}^*) d\underline{\xi}^* \right| \\
&\leq \int_0^{t/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |p|_{n-1}(s_n, x, \xi_n) |b(\xi_n) \cdot \nabla_{\xi_n} p(t - s_n, \xi_n, y)| d\xi_n ds_n,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\underline{s}^* = (s_1, \dots, s_{n-1})$ and $\underline{\xi}^* = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1})$. Applying Lemma 4 and using similar method we estimate (28). Next, we split (29) into $n - 1$ integrals over the sets $S_{n-k}(0, t/2) \times S_k(t/2, t)$ and apply Lemma 10 to each integral. \square

By the lemmas from the previous section and induction we will obtain that all functions $|p|_n$ are finite and in consequence the functions p_n are well defined. Detailed estimates will we given in the next section.

4 Proof of Theorem 1

Before we pass to the proofs of the main theorem we briefly introduce the Motzkin numbers. In combinatorics Motzkin number M_n represents the number of different ways of drawing non-intersecting chords on a circle between n points ([16]). Their generating function is (see [18])

$$M(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n x^n = \frac{1 - x - \sqrt{1 - 2x - 3x^2}}{2x^2}, \quad (30)$$

and the following recurrence relation holds,

$$M_n = M_{n-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} M_k M_{n-2-k}, \quad M_0 = M_1 = 1. \quad (31)$$

We may now prove the main estimates of this paper

Lemma 12. *There is a constant C such that for all $t > 0$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $n \geq 1$,*

$$|p|_n(t, x, y) \leq M_n C^n p(t, x, y). \quad (32)$$

Proof. Let c_1 be the constant such that (see the proof of Lemma 5)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{t/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(s, x, z) |b(z) \cdot \nabla_z p(t-s, z, y)| dz ds \\ & + \int_{t/2}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_z p(s, x, z) \cdot b(z)| p(t-s, z, y) dz ds \leq c_1 p(t, x, y). \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Let $C = c_1 \vee \sqrt{c_2}$, where c_2 is the constant from Lemma 8.

We use induction. For $n = 1$ we apply Lemma 5. Suppose (32) holds for $n = 1, \dots, k-1$. By Lemma 11 we get

$$\begin{aligned} |p|_k(t, x, y) & \leq C^{k-1} M_{k-1} \int_0^{t/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(u, x, z) |b(z) \cdot \nabla_z p(t-u, z, y)| dz du \\ & + C^{k-1} M_{k-1} \int_{t/2}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_z p(u, x, z) \cdot b(z)| p(t-u, z, y) dz du \\ & + \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} C^j M_j C^{k-2-j} M_{k-2-j} \int_0^{t/2} \int_{t/2}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dw dz dr du \\ & |p(u, x, z) |b(z) \cdot \nabla_z (b(w) \cdot \nabla_w p(r-u, z, w))| \times p(t-r, w, y). \end{aligned}$$

Now by (33), Lemma 8 and (31) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |p|_k(t, x, y) & \leq \left(C^{k-1} c_1 M_{k-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} C^j M_j C^{k-2-j} M_{k-2-j} c_2 \right) p(t, x, y) \\ & \leq C^k \left(M_{k-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} M_j M_{k-2-j} \right) p(t, x, y) = C^k M_k p(t, x, y). \end{aligned}$$

□

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let p_n be functions satisfying (8) and (9).

Corollary 13. *Functions p_n are well defined and there is a constant C such that for all $t > 0$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $n \geq 1$*

$$|p_n(t, x, y)| \leq M_n C^n p(t, x, y). \quad (34)$$

Proof. We simultaneously prove the estimates of p_n and that they are well defined. It suffices to show that for $n \geq 1$

$$p_n(t, x, y) = \int_{S_n(0, t)} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} P_n(t, x, y, \underline{s}, \underline{z}) d\underline{z} d\underline{s}, \quad t > 0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (35)$$

We use induction. For $n = 1$ (35) matches the definition of p_1 . Suppose (35)

holds for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemmas 12, 2 and 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{S_n(0, t-u)} \left| \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} P_n(t-u, x, \xi, \underline{s}, \underline{z}) d\underline{z} \right| |b(\xi)| |\nabla_\xi p(u, \xi, y)| d\underline{s} d\xi \\
& \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t-u, x, \xi) |b(\xi)| u^{-1/\alpha} p(u, \xi, y) d\xi \\
& \leq c((t-u)^{1/\alpha-1} + u^{1/\alpha-1}) u^{-1/\alpha} p(t, x, y).
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Therefore by Fubini's theorem and (36)

$$\begin{aligned}
& p_{n+1}(t, x, y) \\
& = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_n(t-u, x, \xi) b(\xi) \cdot \nabla_\xi p(u, \xi, y) d\xi du \\
& = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{S_n(0, t-u)} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} P_n(t-u, x, \xi, \underline{s}, \underline{z}) d\underline{z} d\underline{s} b(\xi) \cdot \nabla_\xi p(u, \xi, y) d\xi du \\
& = \int_0^t \int_{S_n(0, t-u)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} P_n(t-u, x, \xi, \underline{s}, \underline{z}) b(\xi) \cdot \nabla_\xi p(u, \xi, y) d\underline{z} d\xi d\underline{s} du \\
& \leq |p|_{n+1}(t, x, y),
\end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Let C be the constant from Corollary 13. Let r be such that $rC < (\sqrt{5} - 1)/4$. Then

$$|p_n(t, x, y)| \leq M_n(rC)^n p(t, x, y). \tag{37}$$

Denote $\eta = rC$. We define $\tilde{p}(t, x, y)$ as

$$\tilde{p}(t, x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(t, x, y) \tag{38}$$

By (37) and (30), the series converges, and

$$\tilde{p}(t, x, y) \leq \frac{1 - \eta - \sqrt{1 - 2\eta - 3\eta^2}}{2\eta^2} p(t, x, y).$$

Furthermore,

$$\tilde{p}(t, x, y) \geq p(t, x, y) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |p|_n(t, x, y) \geq \frac{4\eta^2 - 1 + \eta + \sqrt{1 - 2\eta - 3\eta^2}}{2\eta^2} p(t, x, y)$$

We next prove that for $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\int_s^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(u-s, x, z) (\partial_u \phi(u, z) + \Delta_z^{\alpha/2} \phi(u, z) + b(z) \cdot \nabla_z \phi(u, z)) dz du = -\phi(s, x).$$

By (38) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{p}(t, x, y) &= p(t, x, y) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n(t, x, y) \\
&= p(t, x, y) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{n-1}(t-s, x, z) b(z) \cdot \nabla_z p(s, z, y) dz ds \\
&= p(t, x, y) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(t-s, x, z) b(z) \cdot \nabla_z p(s, z, y) dz ds \quad (39)
\end{aligned}$$

Here Fubini theorem is justified by similar arguments as in the proof of (35). The rest of the proof is the same as in [13, Theorem 1] \square

Corollary 14. The function \tilde{p} satisfies the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(s, x, z) \tilde{p}(t, z, y) dz = \tilde{p}(t+s, x, y), \quad s, t > 0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (40)$$

and a family of operators

$$\tilde{P}_t f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(t, x, y) f(y) dy$$

forms a Markov semigroup with a (weak) generator $\Delta^{\alpha/2} + b(x) \cdot \nabla_x$.

Proof. For the proof of (40) see [13, Lemmas 15, 16]. By (39), (6) and Fubini theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(t, x, y) dy &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(p(t, x, y) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(t-s, x, z) b(z) \cdot \nabla_z p(s, z, y) dz ds \right) dy \\
&= 1 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(t-s, x, z) b(z) \cdot \nabla_z \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(s, z, y) dy \right) dz ds = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We will show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\tilde{P}_t f(x) - f(x)}{t} g(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Delta^{\alpha/2} f(x) + b(x) \cdot \nabla f(x)) g(x) dx \quad (41)$$

By (39)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\tilde{P}_t f(x) - f(x)}{t} g(x) dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{p(t, x, y)(f(y) - f(x))}{t} g(x) dy dx \\
&+ \frac{1}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(t-s, x, z) b(z) \cdot \nabla_z p(s, z, y) f(y) g(x) dz ds dy dx \\
&= I_1(t) + I_2(t).
\end{aligned}$$

The first summand converges to $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta^{\alpha/2} f(x)g(x)dx$. By careful use of the Fubini theorem

$$I_2(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^t \tilde{p}(t-s, x, z) p(s, z, y) b(z) \cdot \nabla_y f(y) g(x) dz ds dy dx .$$

If we denote by $p^{(b)}$ the function p perturbed by b then $\tilde{p}(t, x, y) = p^{(b)}(t, x, y) = p^{(-b)}(t, y, x)$. Hence $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(t, x, y) dx = 1$ for $t > 0$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Therefore by (6)

$$\begin{aligned} \left| I_2(t) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} b(z) \cdot \nabla f(z) g(z) dz \right| &\leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^t \frac{p(t-s, x, z) p(s, z, y)}{t} |b(z)| \times \\ &\quad \times |\nabla_y f(y) g(x) - \nabla_z f(z) g(z)| dz ds dy dx, \end{aligned}$$

and the last expression converges to 0 as $t \rightarrow 0$ (for details see the proof of [5, Theorem1]). \square

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Krzysztof Bogdan, Grzegorz Karch, Jacek Zienkiewicz and Wojciech Mydlarczyk for many helpful comments on this paper.

References

- [1] N. Alibaud and C. Imbert. Fractional semi-linear parabolic equations with unbounded data. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 361(5):2527–2566, 2009.
- [2] D. G. Aronson. Non-negative solutions of linear parabolic equations. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3)*, 22:607–694, 1968.
- [3] K. Bogdan, W. Hansen, and T. Jakubowski. Time-dependent Schrödinger perturbations of transition densities. *Studia Math.*, 189(3):235–254, 2008.
- [4] K. Bogdan and T. Jakubowski. Estimates of the Green function for the fractional Laplacian perturbed by gradient. accepted in *Potential Anal.* (2011), <http://arxiv.org/abs/1009.2472>.
- [5] K. Bogdan and T. Jakubowski. Estimates of heat kernel of fractional Laplacian perturbed by gradient operators. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 271(1):179–198, 2007.
- [6] L. Brandolese and G. Karch. Far field asymptotics of solutions to convection equation with anomalous diffusion. *J. Evol. Equ.*, 8(2):307–326, 2008.
- [7] Z.-Q. Chen, P. Kim, and R. Song. Dirichlet heat kernel estimates for fractional Laplacian with gradient perturbation. To appear in *Ann. Probab.*, 2011.

- [8] J. Droniou and C. Imbert. Fractal first-order partial differential equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 182(2):299–331, 2006.
- [9] T. Jakubowski. The estimates of the mean first exit time from a ball for the α -stable Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 117(10):1540–1560, 2007.
- [10] T. Jakubowski. On Harnack inequality for α -stable Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. *Math. Z.*, 258(3):609–628, 2008.
- [11] T. Jakubowski. On combinatorics of Schrödinger perturbations. *Potential Anal.*, 31(1):45–55, 2009.
- [12] T. Jakubowski and K. Szczypkowski. Estimates of gradient perturbation series. preprint, 2011.
- [13] T. Jakubowski and K. Szczypkowski. Time-dependent gradient perturbations of fractional Laplacian. *J. Evol. Equ.*, 10(2):319–339, 2010.
- [14] V. Liskevich and Y. Semenov. Estimates for fundamental solutions of second-order parabolic equations. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 62(2):521–543, 2000.
- [15] V. Liskevich and Q. S. Zhang. Extra regularity for parabolic equations with drift terms. *Manuscripta Math.*, 113(2):191–209, 2004.
- [16] T. Motzkin. Relations between hypersurface cross ratios, and a combinatorial formula for partitions of a polygon, for permanent preponderance, and for non-associative products. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 54:352–360, 1948.
- [17] H. Osada. Diffusion processes with generators of generalized divergence form. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 27(4):597–619, 1987.
- [18] R. P. Stanley. *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 2*, volume 62 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999. With a foreword by Gian-Carlo Rota and appendix 1 by Sergey Fomin.
- [19] Q. Zhang. A Harnack inequality for the equation $\nabla(a\nabla u) + b\nabla u = 0$, when $|b| \in K_{n+1}$. *Manuscripta Math.*, 89(1):61–77, 1996.
- [20] Q. S. Zhang. Gaussian bounds for the fundamental solutions of $\nabla(A\nabla u) + B\nabla u - u_t = 0$. *Manuscripta Math.*, 93(3):381–390, 1997.