

# PROGRESS TOWARDS COUNTING $D_5$ QUINTIC FIELDS

ERIC LARSON AND LARRY ROLEN

ABSTRACT. Let  $N(5, D_5, X)$  be the number of quintic number fields whose Galois closure has Galois group  $D_5$  and whose discriminant is bounded by  $X$ . By a conjecture of Malle, we expect that  $N(5, D_5, X) \sim C \cdot X^{\frac{1}{2}}$  for some constant  $C$ . The best known upper bound is  $N(5, D_5, X) \ll X^{\frac{3}{4}+\varepsilon}$ , and we show this could be improved by counting points on a certain variety defined by a norm equation; computer calculations give strong evidence that this number is  $\ll X^{\frac{2}{3}}$ . Finally, we show how such norm equations can be helpful by reinterpreting an earlier proof of Wong on upper bounds for  $A_4$  quartic fields in terms of a similar norm equation.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Let  $K$  be a number field and  $G \leq S_n$  a transitive permutation group on  $n$  letters. In order to study the distribution of fields with given degree and Galois group, we introduce the following counting function:

$$N(d, G, X) := \#\{\text{degree } d \text{ number fields } K \text{ with } \text{Gal}(K^{\text{gal}}/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq G \text{ and } |D_K| \leq X\}.$$

Here  $D_K$  denotes the discriminant of  $K$ , counting conjugate fields as one. Our goal is to study this function for  $d = 5$  and  $G = D_5$ . In [6], Malle conjectured that

$$(1) \quad N(d, G, X) \sim C(G) \cdot X^{a(G)} \cdot \log(X)^{b(G)-1}$$

for some constant  $C(G)$  and for explicit constants  $a(G)$  and  $b(G)$ , and this has been proven for all abelian groups  $G$ . Although this conjecture seems to be close to the truth on the whole, Klüners found a counterexample when  $G = C_3 \wr C_2$  by showing that the conjecture predicts the wrong value for  $b(G)$  in [5]. This conjecture has been modified to explain all known counter-examples in [8].

We now turn to the study of  $N(5, D_5, X)$ . By Malle's conjecture, we expect that

$$(2) \quad N(5, D_5, X) \stackrel{?}{\sim} C \cdot X^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This question is closely related to average 5-parts of class numbers of quadratic fields. In general, let  $\ell$  be a prime,  $D$  range over fundamental discriminants, and

---

The authors are grateful for the support of the NSF in funding the Emory 2011 REU. The authors would like to thank our advisor Andrew Yang, as well as Ken Ono for their guidance, useful conversations, improving the quality of exposition of this article, and hosting the REU.

$r_D := \text{rk}_\ell(\text{Cl}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})})$ . Then the heuristics of Cohen-Lenstra predicts that the average of  $\ell^{r_D} - 1$  over all imaginary quadratic fields is 1, and the average of  $\ell^{r_D} - 1$  over all real quadratic fields is  $\ell^{-1}$ .

In fact, one can show using class field theory that the Cohen-Lenstra heuristics imply that Malle's conjecture is true for  $D_5$  quintic fields. Conversely, the best known upper bound for  $N(5, D_5, X)$  is proved using the "trivial" bound ([4]):

$$(3) \quad \ell^{r_D} \leq \# \text{Cl}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})} = O(D^{\frac{1}{2}} \log D).$$

This gives  $N(5, D_5, X) \ll X^{\frac{3}{4}+\epsilon}$ , and any improved bound would give non-trivial information on average 5-parts of class groups in a similar manner.

In this paper, we consider a method of point counting on varieties to give upper bounds on  $N(5, D_5, X)$ . Our main result is the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** *To any quintic number field  $K$  with Galois group  $D_5$ , there corresponds a triple  $(A, B, C)$  with  $A, B \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{5}]}$  and  $C \in \mathbb{Z}$ , such that*

$$(4) \quad \text{Nm}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{5}]}(B^2 - 4 \cdot \bar{A} \cdot A^2) = 5 \cdot C^2$$

and which satisfies the following under any archimedean valuation:

$$(5) \quad |A| \ll D_K^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad |B| \ll D_K^{\frac{3}{8}}, \quad \text{and} \quad |C| \ll D_K^{\frac{3}{4}}.$$

Conversely, the triple  $(A, B, C)$  uniquely determines  $K$ .

In Section 6, we further provide numerical evidence that  $N(5, D_5, X) \ll X^{\frac{2}{3}+\alpha}$  for very small  $\alpha$ ; in particular the exponent appears to be much lower than  $\frac{3}{4}$ .

Before we prove Theorem 1.1, we show that earlier work of Wong [9] in the case of  $G = A_4$  can be handled in a similar fashion. Namely, we give a shorter proof of the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.2** (Wong). *To any quartic number field  $K$  with Galois group  $A_4$ , there corresponds a tuple  $(a_2, a_3, a_4, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ , such that*

$$(4a_2^2 + 48a_4)^3 = \text{Nm}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-3}]}(32a_2^3 + 108a_3^2 - 6a_2(4a_2^2 + 48a_4) - 12\sqrt{-3}y),$$

and which satisfies the following under any archimedean valuation:

$$|a_2| \ll D_K^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad |a_3| \ll D_K^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad |a_4| \ll D_K^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad \text{and} \quad |y| \ll D_K.$$

Conversely, given such a tuple, there corresponds at most one  $A_4$ -quartic field. In particular, we have that  $N(4, A_4, X) \ll X^{\frac{5}{6}+\epsilon}$ .

## 2. UPPER BOUNDS VIA POINT COUNTING

Let  $G$  be a transitive permutation group. If  $K$  is a number field of discriminant  $D_K$  and degree  $n$  for which  $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{gal}}/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq G$ , then Minkowski theory implies there is an element  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$  of trace zero with

$$|\alpha| \ll D_K^{\frac{1}{2(n-1)}} \quad (\text{under any archimedean valuation}),$$

where the implied constant depends only on  $n$ . In particular, if  $K$  is a primitive extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ , so the characteristic polynomial of  $\alpha$  will determine  $K$ . One can use this to give an upper bound on  $N(n, G, X)$  (at least in the case where  $K$  is primitive), since every pair  $(K, \alpha)$  as above gives a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -point of  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^G / (s_1)$ , where  $s_1 = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n$  (here  $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^G$  denotes the ring of  $G$ -invariant polynomials in  $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ ).

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we sketch a simplified (although essentially equivalent) version of Wong's proof [9] that  $N(4, A_4, X) \ll X^{\frac{5}{6} + \epsilon}$  as motivation for our main theorem. In this section, we assume that the reader is familiar with the arguments in Wong's paper. As noted in the last section, it suffices to count triples  $(a_2, a_3, a_4)$  for which  $|a_k| \ll X^{\frac{k}{6}}$  under any archimedean valuation and

$$256a_4^3 - 128a_2^2a_4^2 + (16a_2^4 + 144a_2a_3^2)a_4 - 4a_2^3a_3^2 - 27a_3^4 = \text{Disc}(x^4 + a_2x^2 + a_3x + a_4) = y^2$$

for some  $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ . (See equation 4.2 of [9].)

The key observation of Wong's paper (although he does not state it in this way) is that this equation can be rearranged as

$$(6) \quad (4a_2^2 + 48a_4)^3 = \text{Nm}_{\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-3}]}^{\mathbb{Q}} (32a_2^3 + 108a_3^2 - 6a_2(4a_2^2 + 48a_4) - 12\sqrt{-3}y).$$

One now notes that there are  $\ll X^{\frac{2}{3}}$  possibilities for  $4a_2^2 + 48a_4$ , and for each of these choices  $(4a_2^2 + 48a_4)^3$  can be written in  $\ll X^\epsilon$  ways as a norm of an element of  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-3}]$ . Thus, it suffices to count the number of points  $(a_2, a_3)$  for which

$$32a_2^3 + 108a_3^2 - 6a_2(4a_2^2 + 48a_4) - 12\sqrt{-3}y \quad \text{and} \quad 4a_2^2 + 48a_4$$

are fixed. But the above equation defines an elliptic curve, on which the number of integral points can be bounded by Theorem 3 in [3]. This then gives Wong's bound (as well as the conditional bound assuming standard conjectures as Wong shows).

## 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. As explained in Section 2, it suffices to understand the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -points of

$$\text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5]^{D_5} / (x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5)$$

inside a particular box. Write  $\zeta$  for a primitive 5th root of unity, and define

$$V_j = \sum_{i=1}^5 \zeta^{ij} x_i.$$

In terms of the  $V_j$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} A &= V_2 \cdot V_3 \\ B &= V_1 \cdot V_2^2 + V_3^2 \cdot V_4 \\ C &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \cdot (V_1 \cdot V_2^2 - V_3^2 \cdot V_4) \cdot (V_2 \cdot V_4^2 - V_1^2 \cdot V_3). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 4.1.** *The expressions  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  are invariant under the action of  $D_5$ .*

*Proof.* Note that the generators of  $D_5$  act by  $V_j \mapsto V_{5-j}$  and  $V_j \mapsto \zeta^j V_j$ ; the result follows immediately.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *We have  $A, B \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{5}]}$  and  $C \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* To see the first assertion, it suffices to show that  $A$  and  $B$  are invariant by the element of  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}[\zeta]/\mathbb{Q})$  given by  $\zeta \mapsto \zeta^{-1}$ . But this induces the map  $V_j \mapsto V_{5-j}$ , so this is clear.

To see that  $C$  is in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , we observe that the generator of  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}[\zeta]/\mathbb{Q})$  given by  $\zeta \mapsto \zeta^2$  acts by  $C\sqrt{5} \mapsto -C\sqrt{5}$ . Since  $C\sqrt{5}$  is an algebraic integer, it follows that  $C\sqrt{5}$  must be a rational integer times  $\sqrt{5}$ , so  $C \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

Now, we compute

$$B^2 - 4 \cdot \bar{A} \cdot A^2 = (V_1 \cdot V_2^2 + V_3^2 \cdot V_4)^2 - 4 \cdot V_1 \cdot V_4 \cdot (V_2 \cdot V_3)^2 = (V_1 \cdot V_2^2 - V_3^2 \cdot V_4)^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Nm}_{\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{5}]}^{\mathbb{Q}}(B^2 - 4 \cdot \bar{A} \cdot A^2) = (V_1 \cdot V_2^2 - V_3^2 \cdot V_4)^2 \cdot (V_2 \cdot V_4^2 - V_1^2 \cdot V_3)^2 = 5 \cdot C^2,$$

which verifies the identity claimed in Theorem 1.1.

To finish the proof of Theorem 1.1, it remains to show that to each triple  $(A, B, C)$ , there corresponds at most one  $D_5$ -quintic field. To do this, we begin with the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.3.** *None of the  $V_j$  are zero.*

*Proof.* Suppose that some  $V_j$  is zero. Since  $\overline{A} \cdot A^2 = V_1 \cdot V_2^2 \cdot V_3^2 \cdot V_4$ , it follows that  $\overline{A} \cdot A^2 = 0$ , and hence

$$\text{Nm}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{5}]}(B^2) = 5 \cdot C^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad B = C = 0.$$

Using  $B = 0$ , we have  $V_1V_2^2 \cdot V_3^2V_4 = V_1V_2^2 + V_3^2V_4 = 0$ , so  $V_1V_2^2 = V_3^2V_4 = 0$ . Similarly, using  $\overline{B} = 0$ , we have  $V_2V_4^2 = V_1^2V_3 = 0$ . Thus, all pairwise products  $V_iV_j$  with  $i \neq j$  are zero, so at most one  $V_k$  is nonzero. Solving for the  $x_i$ , we find  $x_i = \zeta^{-ik}c$  for some constant  $c$ . (It is easy to verify that this is a solution, since  $\sum \zeta^i = 0$ ; it is unique up to rescaling because the transformation  $(x_i) \mapsto (V_i)$  is given by a Vandermonde matrix of rank 4). Hence, the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  is  $t^5 - c^5 = 0$ , which is visibly not a  $D_5$  extension.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *For fixed  $(A, B, C)$ , there are at most two possibilities for the ordered quadruple*

$$(V_1V_2^2, V_3^2V_4, V_2V_4^2, V_1^2V_3).$$

*Proof.* Since  $V_1V_2^2 + V_3^2V_4 = B$  and  $V_1V_2^2 \cdot V_3^2V_4 = \overline{A} \cdot A^2$  are determined, there are at most two possibilities for the ordered pair  $(V_1V_2^2, V_3^2V_4)$ . Similarly, there are at most two possibilities for the ordered pair  $(V_2V_4^2, V_1^2V_3)$ ; thus if  $V_1V_2^2 = V_3^2V_4$ , then we are done. Otherwise,

$$V_2 \cdot V_4^2 - V_1^2 \cdot V_3 = \frac{C\sqrt{5}}{V_1 \cdot V_2^2 - V_3^2 \cdot V_4}.$$

Since  $V_2V_4^2 + V_1^2V_3 = \overline{B}$ , this shows that the ordered pair  $(V_1V_2^2, V_3^2V_4)$  determines  $(V_2V_4^2, V_1^2V_3)$ . Hence there are at most two possibilities for our ordered quadruple.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** *For fixed  $(A, B, C)$ , there are at most ten possibilities for  $(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4)$ .*

*Proof.* In light of Lemmas 4.4 and 4.3, it suffices to show there are at most five possibilities for  $(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4)$  when we have fixed nonzero values for

$$(V_1V_4, V_2V_3, V_1V_2^2, V_3^2V_4, V_2V_4^2, V_1^2V_3).$$

But this follows from the identities

$$V_1^5 = \frac{V_1V_2^2 \cdot (V_1^2V_3)^2}{(V_2V_3)^2} \quad V_3 = \frac{V_1^2V_3}{V_1^2} \quad V_4 = \frac{V_3^2V_4}{V_3^2} \quad V_2 = \frac{V_2V_4^2}{V_4^2}. \quad \square$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1, because  $|D_5| = 10$ , so each  $D_5$ -quintic field corresponds to ten ordered quadruples  $(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4)$ , each of which can be seen to correspond to the same triple  $(A, B, C)$ . Thus, the triple  $(A, B, C)$  uniquely determines the  $D_5$ -quintic field, since otherwise we would have at least 20 quadruples  $(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4)$  corresponding to  $(A, B, C)$ , contradicting Lemma 4.5.

## 5. THE QUADRATIC SUBFIELD

**Proposition 5.1.** *Suppose that  $K$  is a  $D_5$ -quintic field corresponding to a triple  $(A, B, C)$  with  $C \neq 0$ . Then the composite of  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{5}]$  with the unique quadratic subfield  $F \subset K^{gal}$  is generated by adjoining to  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{5}]$  the square root of*

$$(2\sqrt{5} - 10) \cdot (B^2 - 4 \cdot \bar{A} \cdot A^2).$$

*Proof.* Using the results of the previous section, we note that

$$\sqrt{(2\sqrt{5} - 10) \cdot (B^2 - 4 \cdot \bar{A} \cdot A^2)} = 2 \cdot (\zeta - \zeta^{-1}) \cdot (V_1 \cdot V_2^2 - V_3^2 \cdot V_4).$$


By inspection, the  $D_5$ -action on the above expression is by the sign representation, and the action of  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}[\zeta]/\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{5}])$  is trivial. Hence, adjoining the above quantity to  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{5}]$  generates the composite of  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{5}]$  with the quadratic subfield  $F$ .  $\square$

## 6. DISCUSSION OF COMPUTATIONAL RESULTS

Numerical evidence indicates that the number of triples  $(A, B, C)$  satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.1 is  $O(X^{\frac{2}{3}+\alpha})$  for a small number  $\alpha$  (in particular, much less than  $O(X^{\frac{3}{4}})$ ). More precisely, we have the following table of results. The computation took approximately four hours on a 3.3 GHz CPU, using the program available at <http://web.mit.edu/~elarson3/www/d5-count.py>.

$X$	$\#(A, B, C)$
10	17
31	103
100	266
316	717
1000	1553
3162	3473
10000	8985
31622	19735
100000	41445
316227	89395
1000000	189993
3162277	405263

The following log plot shows that after the first few data points, the least squares best fit to the last four data points given by  $y = 0.698x + 0.506$  with slope a little more than  $\frac{2}{3}$  is quite close.



## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Cohen. *A Survey of Discriminant Counting*. Algorithmic Number Theory (Sydney 2002), 80–94.
- [2] H. Cohen and H.W. Lenstra Jr. *Heuristics on Class Groups of Number Fields*. In: Number Theory, Noordwijkerhout 1983, volume **1068** of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 33–62. Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [3] D. R. Heath-Brown. *The Density of Rational Points on Curves and Surfaces*. Ann. Math. **155** (2002) 553–595.
- [4] J. Klüners. *Asymptotics of Number Fields and the Cohen-Lenstra Heuristics*. J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux 18 (2006), no. 3, 607–615.
- [5] J. Klüners. *A Counterexample to Malle’s Conjecture on the Asymptotics of Discriminants*. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 340 (2005), no. 6, 411–414.
- [6] G. Malle. *On the Distribution of Galois Groups II*. J. Number Theory 92 (2002), no. 2, 315–329.
- [7] J. Neukirch. *Algebraic Number Theory*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 322. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [8] S. Turkelli. *Connected Components of Hurwitz Schemes and Malle’s Conjecture*. Submitted for publication.
- [9] S. Wong. *Densities of Quartic Fields with Even Galois Groups*. Proceedings of the Amer. Math. Soc., 133 (2005), no. 10, 2873–2881.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS. HARVARD UNIVERSITY, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138.  
*E-mail address:* elarson3@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, EMORY UNIVERSITY, ATLANTA, GA 30322.  
*E-mail address:* larry.rolen@mathcs.emory.edu