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#### Abstract

We prove that the derivative of a non-linear entire function is unbounded on the preimage of an unbounded set.
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## 1 Introduction and results

The main result of this paper is the following theorem conjectured by Allen Weitsman (private communication):

Theorem 1. Let $f$ be a non-linear entire function and $M$ an unbounded set in $\mathbf{C}$. Then $f^{\prime}\left(f^{-1}(M)\right)$ is unbounded.

We note that there exist entire functions $f$ such that $f^{\prime}\left(f^{-1}(M)\right)$ is bounded for every bounded set $M$, for example, $f(z)=e^{z}$ or $f(z)=\cos z$.

Theorem 1 is a consequence of the following stronger result:
Theorem 2. Let $f$ be a transcendental entire function and $\varepsilon>0$. Then there exists $R>0$ such that for every $w \in \mathbf{C}$ satisfying $|w|>R$ there exists $z \in \mathbf{C}$ with $f(z)=w$ and $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq|w|^{1-\varepsilon}$.

[^0]The example $f(z)=\sqrt{z} \sin \sqrt{z}$ shows that that the exponent $1-\varepsilon$ in the last inequality cannot be replaced by 1 . The function $f(z)=\cos \sqrt{z}$ has the property that for every $w \in \mathbf{C}$ we have $f^{\prime}(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow \infty, z \in f^{-1}(w)$.

We note that the Wiman-Valiron theory [20, 12, 4] says that there exists a set $F \subset[1, \infty)$ of finite logarithmic measure such that if

$$
\left|z_{r}\right|=r \notin F \quad \text { and } \quad\left|f\left(z_{r}\right)\right|=\max _{|z|=r}|f(z)|,
$$

then

$$
f(z) \sim\left(\frac{z}{z_{r}}\right)^{\nu(r, f)} f\left(z_{r}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad f^{\prime}(z) \sim \frac{\nu(r, f)}{r} f(z)
$$

for $\left|z-z_{r}\right| \leq r \nu(r, f)^{-1 / 2-\delta}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Here $\nu(r, f)$ denotes the central index and $\delta>0$. This implies that the conclusion of Theorem 2 holds for all $w$ satisfying $|w|=M(r, f)$ for some sufficiently large $r \notin F$. However, in general the exceptional set in the Wiman-Valiron theory is non-empty (see, e.g., [3]) and thus it seems that our results cannot be proved using Wiman-Valiron theory.
Acknowledgment. We thank Allen Weitsman for helpful discussions.

## 2 Preliminary results

One important tool in the proof is the following result known as the Zalcman Lemma [21]. Let

$$
g^{\#}=\frac{\left|g^{\prime}\right|}{1+|g|^{2}}
$$

denote the spherical derivative of a meromorphic function $g$.
Lemma 1. Let $F$ be a non-normal family of meromorphic functions in a region $D$. Then there exist a sequence $\left(f_{n}\right)$ in $F$, a sequence $\left(z_{n}\right)$ in $D$, a sequence $\left(\rho_{n}\right)$ of positive real numbers and a non-constant function $g$ meromorphic in $\mathbf{C}$ such that $\rho_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $f_{n}\left(z_{n}+\rho_{n} z\right) \rightarrow g(z)$ locally uniformly in $\mathbf{C}$. Moreover, $g^{\#}(z) \leq g^{\#}(0)=1$ for $z \in \mathbf{C}$.

We say that $a \in \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ is a totally ramified value of a meromorphic function $f$ if all $a$-points of $f$ are multiple. A classical result of Nevanlinna says that a non-constant function meromorphic in the plane can have at most 4 totally ramified values, and that a non-constant entire function can have at most 2 finite totally ramified values. Together with Zalcman's Lemma this yields the following result [5, 13, 14; cf. [22, p. 219].

Lemma 2. Let $F$ be a family of functions meromorphic in a domain $D$ and $M$ a subset of $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ with at least 5 elements. Suppose that there exists $K \geq 0$ such that for all $f \in F$ and $z \in D$ the condition $f(z) \in M$ implies $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq K$. Then $F$ is a normal family.

If all functions in $F$ are holomorphic, then the conclusion holds if $M$ has at least 3 elements.

Applying Lemma 2 to the family $\{f(z+c): c \in \mathbf{C}\}$ where $f$ is an entire function, we obtain the following result.

Lemma 3. Let $f$ be an entire function and $M$ a subset of $\mathbf{C}$ with at least 3 elements. If $f^{\prime}$ is bounded on $f^{-1}(M)$, then $f^{\#}$ is bounded in $\mathbf{C}$.

It follows from Lemma 3 that the conclusion of Theorems 1 and 2 holds for all entire functions for which $f^{\#}$ is unbounded.

We thus consider entire functions with bounded spherical derivative. The following result is due to Clunie and Hayman [6]. Let

$$
M(r, f)=\max _{|z| \leq r}|f(z)| \quad \text { and } \quad \rho(f)=\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{\log r}
$$

denote the maximum modulus and the order of $f$.
Lemma 4. Let $f$ be an entire function for which $f^{\#}$ is bounded. Then $\log M(r, f)=O(r)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, $\rho(f) \leq 1$.

We will include a proof of Lemma 4 after Lemma 6.
The following result is due to Valiron [20, III.10] and H. Selberg [17, Satz II].

Lemma 5. Let $f$ be a non-constant entire function of order at most 1 for which 1 and -1 are totally ramified. Then $f(z)=\cos (a z+b)$, where $a, b \in \mathbf{C}$, $a \neq 0$.

We sketch the proof of Lemma 5. Put $h(z)=f^{\prime}(z)^{2} /\left(f(z)^{2}-1\right)$. Then $h$ is entire and the lemma on the logarithmic derivative [9, p.94, (1.17)], together with the hypothesis that $\rho(f) \leq 1$, yields that $m(r, h)=o(\log r)$ and hence that $h$ is constant. This implies that $f$ has the form given. Another proof is given in [10]

The next lemma can be extracted from the work of Pommerenke [16, Sect. 5], see [8, Theorem 5.2].

Lemma 6. Let $f$ be an entire function and $C>0$. If $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq C$ whenever $|f(z)|=1$, then $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq C|f(z)|$ whenever $|f(z)| \geq 1$.

Lemma 6 implies the theorem of Clunie and Hayman mentioned above (Lemma 4). For the convenience of the reader we include a proof of a slightly more general statement, which is also more elementary than the proofs of Clunie, Hayman and Pommerenke; see also [1, Lemma 1].

Let $G=\{z:|f(z)|>1\}$ and $u=\log |f|$. Then $\left|f^{\prime} / f\right|=|\nabla u|$ and our statement which implies Lemmas 4 and 6 is the following.

Proposition. Let $G$ be a region in the plane, $u$ a harmonic function in $\bar{G}$, positive in $G$, and such that for $z \in \partial G$ we have $u(z)=0$ and $|\nabla u(z)| \leq 1$. Then $|\nabla u(z)| \leq 1$ for $z \in G$, and $u(z) \leq|z|+O(1)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. It is enough to consider the case of unbounded $G$ with non-empty boundary. For $a \in G$, consider the largest disc $B$ centered at $a$ and contained in $G$. The radius $d=d(a)$ of this disc is the distance from $a$ to $\partial G$. There is a point $z_{1} \in \partial B$ such that $u\left(z_{1}\right)=0$. Put $z(r)=a+r\left(z_{1}-a\right)$, where $r \in(0,1)$. Harnack's inequality gives

$$
\frac{u(a)}{d(1+r)} \leq \frac{u(z(r))}{d(1-r)}=\frac{u(z(r))-u\left(z_{1}\right)}{d(1-r)} .
$$

Passing to the limit as $r \rightarrow 1$ we obtain

$$
u(a) \leq 2 d(a)\left|\nabla u\left(z_{1}\right)\right| \leq 2 d(a)
$$

This holds for all $a \in G$. Now we take the gradient of both sides of the Poisson formula and, noting that $u\left(a+d(a) e^{i t}\right) \leq 2 d\left(a+d(a) e^{i t}\right) \leq 4 d(a)$, obtain the estimate

$$
|\nabla u(a)| \leq \frac{1}{\pi d(a)} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|u\left(a+d(a) e^{i t}\right)\right| d t \leq 8
$$

So $\nabla u$ is bounded in $G$. As the complex conjugate of $\nabla u$ is holomorphic in $G$ and $|\nabla u(z)| \leq 1$ at all boundary points $z$ of $G$, except infinity, the Phragmén-Lindelöf theorem [15, III, 335] gives that $|\nabla u(z)| \leq 1$ for $z \in G$. This completes the proof of the Proposition.

We recall that for a non-constant entire function $f$ the maximum modulus $M(r)=M(r, f)$ is a continuous strictly increasing function of $r$. Denote by
$\varphi$ the inverse function of $M$. Clearly, for $|w|>|f(0)|$ the equation $f(z)=w$ has no solutions in the open disc of radius $\varphi(|w|)$ around 0 . The following result of Valiron ([18, [19], see also [7]) says that for functions of finite order this equation has solutions in a somewhat larger disc.

Lemma 7. Let $f$ be a transcendental entire function of finite order and $\eta>0$. Then there exists $R>|f(0)|$ such that for all $w \in \mathbf{C},|w| \geq R$, the equation $f(z)=w$ has a solution $z$ satisfying $|z|<\varphi(|w|)^{1+\eta}$.

We note that Hayman ([11], see also [2, Theorem 3]) has constructed examples which show that the assumption about finite order is essential in this lemma.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 2

Suppose that the conclusion is false. Then there exists $\varepsilon>0$, a transcendental entire function $f$ and a sequence $\left(w_{n}\right)$ tending to $\infty$ such that $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|w_{n}\right|^{1-\varepsilon}$ whenever $f(z)=w_{n}$. By Lemma 3, the spherical derivative of $f$ is bounded, and we may assume without loss of generality that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\#}(z) \leq 1 \quad \text { for } z \in \mathbf{C} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may also assume that $f(0)=0$. It follows from (1) that $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq 2$ if $|f(z)|=1$, and thus Lemma 6 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right| \leq 2 \quad \text { if }|f(z)| \geq 1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It also follows from (1), together with Lemma 4 , that $\rho(f) \leq 1$. We may thus apply Lemma 7 and find that if $\eta>0$ and if $n$ is sufficiently large, then there exists $\xi_{n}$ satisfying

$$
\left|\xi_{n}\right| \leq \varphi\left(\left|w_{n}\right|\right)^{1+\eta} \quad \text { and } \quad f\left(\xi_{n}\right)=w_{n} .
$$

We put

$$
\tau_{n}=\varphi\left(\left|w_{n}\right|\right)^{1+2 \eta}
$$

and define

$$
\Phi_{n}(z)=\frac{w_{n}-2 f\left(\tau_{n} z\right)}{w_{n}}=1-2 \frac{f\left(\tau_{n} z\right)}{w_{n}} .
$$

Then $\Phi_{n}(0)=1, \Phi_{n}\left(\xi_{n} / \tau_{n}\right)=-1$, and $\xi_{n} / \tau_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus the sequence $\left(\Phi_{n}\right)$ is not normal at 0 , and we may apply Zalcman's Lemma (Lemma 1) to it. Replacing $\left(\Phi_{n}\right)$ by a subsequence if necessary, we thus find that

$$
g_{n}(z)=\Phi_{n}\left(z_{n}+\rho_{n} z\right)=1-\frac{2}{w_{n}} f\left(\tau_{n} z_{n}+\tau_{n} \rho_{n} z\right) \rightarrow g(z)
$$

locally uniformly in $\mathbf{C}$, where $\left|z_{n}\right| \leq 1, \rho_{n}>0, \rho_{n} \rightarrow 0$, and $g$ is a nonconstant entire function with bounded spherical derivative. With $\zeta_{n}=\tau_{n} z_{n}$ and $\mu_{n}=\tau_{n} \rho_{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{n}(z)=1-\frac{2}{w_{n}} f\left(\zeta_{n}+\mu_{n} z\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{n}^{\prime}(z)=-\frac{2 \mu_{n}}{w_{n}} f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{n}+\mu_{n} z\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may assume that $\rho_{n} \leq 1$ and hence $\left|\zeta_{n}\right| \leq \tau_{n}$ and $\mu_{n} \leq \tau_{n}$ for all $n$.
If $g_{n}(z)=1$, then $f\left(\zeta_{n}+\mu_{n} z\right)=0$, hence $\left|f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{n}+\mu_{n} z\right)\right| \leq 1$ by (1). Since $\mu_{n} \leq \tau_{n}$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{2 \tau_{n}}{w_{n}} \quad \text { if } g_{n}(z)=1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $g_{n}(z)=-1$, then $f\left(\zeta_{n}+\mu_{n} z\right)=w_{n}$, and hence $\left|f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{n}+\mu_{n} z\right)\right| \leq\left|w_{n}\right|^{1-\varepsilon}$ by our assumption. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{2 \mu_{n}}{\left|w_{n}\right|}\left|w_{n}\right|^{1-\varepsilon} \leq \frac{2 \tau_{n}}{\left|w_{n}\right|^{\varepsilon}} \quad \text { if } g_{n}(z)=-1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the definition of $\tau_{n}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\tau_{n}=o\left(\left|w_{n}\right|\right)^{\delta}\right) \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any given $\delta>0$.
We deduce from (5), (6) and (7) that $g^{\prime}(z)=0$ whenever $g(z)=1$ or $g(z)=-1$. Since $g$ has bounded spherical derivative, we conclude from Lemmas 3 and 4 that $g(z)=\cos (a z+b)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $g(z)=\cos z$ so that $g^{\prime}(z)=-\sin z$. In particular, there exist sequences $\left(a_{n}\right)$ and $\left(b_{n}\right)$ both tending to 0 , such that $g_{n}\left(a_{n}\right)=1$ and $g_{n}^{\prime}\left(b_{n}\right)=0$. From (5) we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g_{n}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}\right)\right| \leq \frac{2 \tau_{n}}{\left|w_{n}\right|} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $g^{\prime \prime}(z)=-\cos z$ we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{n}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}\right)=g_{n}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}\right)-g_{n}^{\prime}\left(b_{n}\right)=\int_{b_{n}}^{a_{n}} g_{n}^{\prime \prime}(z) d z \sim b_{n}-a_{n} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{n}-a_{n}\right| \leq \frac{3 \tau_{n}}{\left|w_{n}\right|} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large $n$, by (8). This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g_{n}\left(b_{n}\right)-1\right|=\left|g_{n}\left(b_{n}\right)-g_{n}\left(a_{n}\right)\right|=\left|\int_{a_{n}}^{b_{n}} g_{n}^{\prime}(z) d z\right| \leq 2\left|b_{n}-a_{n}\right| \leq \frac{6 \tau_{n}}{\left|w_{n}\right|} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large $n$.
We put

$$
h_{n}(z)=g_{n}\left(z+b_{n}\right)-g_{n}\left(b_{n}\right)
$$

and note that $h_{n}(0)=0, h_{n}^{\prime}(0)=g_{n}^{\prime}\left(b_{n}\right)=0$ and

$$
h_{n}(z) \rightarrow \cos z-1 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

It follows that

$$
\frac{h_{n}(z)}{z^{2}} \rightarrow \frac{\cos z-1}{z^{2}} \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

which implies that there exists $r>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4} \leq \frac{\left|h_{n}(z)\right|}{\left|z^{2}\right|} \leq \frac{3}{4} \quad \text { for }|z| \leq r \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and large $n$.
Now we fix any $\gamma \in(0,1 / 2)$ and put

$$
c_{n}=b_{n}+\frac{1}{\left|w_{n}\right|^{\gamma}} .
$$

Then

$$
g_{n}\left(c_{n}\right)-1=h_{n}\left(\left|w_{n}\right|^{-\gamma}\right)+g\left(b_{n}\right)-1
$$

and thus, using (11) and (12) we obtain for large $n$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g_{n}\left(c_{n}\right)-1\right| \leq\left|h_{n}\left(\left|w_{n}\right|^{-\gamma}\right)\right|+\left|g\left(b_{n}\right)-1\right| \leq \frac{3}{4\left|w_{n}\right|^{2 \gamma}}+\frac{6 \tau_{n}}{\left|w_{n}\right|} \leq \frac{1}{\left|w_{n}\right|^{2 \gamma}} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g_{n}\left(c_{n}\right)-1\right| \geq\left|h_{n}\left(\left|w_{n}\right|^{-\gamma}\right)\right|-\left|g\left(b_{n}\right)-1\right| \geq \frac{1}{5\left|w_{n}\right|^{2 \gamma}} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, arguing as in (9), we have

$$
g_{n}^{\prime}\left(c_{n}\right)=g_{n}^{\prime}\left(c_{n}\right)-g_{n}^{\prime}\left(b_{n}\right)=\int_{b_{n}}^{c_{n}} g_{n}^{\prime \prime}(z) d z \sim b_{n}-c_{n}=-\frac{1}{\left|w_{n}\right|^{\gamma}},
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g_{n}^{\prime}\left(c_{n}\right)\right| \geq \frac{1}{2\left|w_{n}\right|^{\gamma}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large $n$. Put $v_{n}=\zeta_{n}+\mu_{n} c_{n}$. Then

$$
f\left(v_{n}\right)=\frac{w_{n}}{2}\left(1-g_{n}\left(c_{n}\right)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad f^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right)=\frac{w_{n}}{2 \mu_{n}} g_{n}^{\prime}\left(c_{n}\right),
$$

by (3) and (4). Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{10}\left|w_{n}\right|^{1-2 \gamma} \leq\left|f\left(v_{n}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|w_{n}\right|^{1-2 \gamma} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (13) and (14) while

$$
\left|f^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right)\right| \geq \frac{\left|w_{n}\right|^{\gamma}}{2 \mu_{n}}
$$

Since $\left|f\left(v_{n}\right)\right| \geq 1$ for large $n$, by (16), this contradicts (2) and (7).
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