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#### Abstract

Let $K$ be a finite field and let $X$ be a subset of a projective space, over the field $K$, which is parameterized by monomials arising from the edges of a clutter. We show some estimates for the degree-complexity, with respect to the revlex order, of the vanishing ideal $I(X)$ of $X$. If the clutter is uniform, we classify the complete intersection property of $I(X)$ using linear algebra. We show an upper bound for the minimum distance of certain parameterized linear codes along with certain estimates for the algebraic invariants of $I(X)$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $K=\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be a finite field with $q$ elements and let $y^{v_{1}}, \ldots, y^{v_{s}}$ be a finite set of square-free monomials with $s \geq 2$. As usual if $v_{i}=\left(v_{i 1}, \ldots, v_{i n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$, then we set

$$
y^{v_{i}}=y_{1}^{v_{i 1}} \cdots y_{n}^{v_{i n}}, \quad i=1, \ldots, s
$$

where $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$ are the indeterminates of a ring of polynomials with coefficients in $K$. We shall always assume that $\mathcal{A}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}\right\}$ is the set of all characteristic vectors of the edges of a clutter (see Definitions 3.1 and 3.2). In particular this means that the entries of $v_{i}$ are in $\{0,1\}$ for all $i$. Consider the following set parameterized by these monomials

$$
X:=\left\{\left[\left(x_{1}^{v_{11}} \cdots x_{n}^{v_{1 n}}, \ldots, x_{1}^{v_{s 1}} \cdots x_{n}^{v_{s n}}\right)\right] \in \mathbb{P}^{s-1} \mid x_{i} \in K^{*} \text { for all } i\right\},
$$

where $K^{*}=K \backslash\{0\}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{s-1}$ is a projective space over the field $K$. Following [18] we call $X$ an algebraic toric set parameterized by $y^{v_{1}}, \ldots, y^{v_{s}}$. Let $S=K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}\right]=\oplus_{d=0}^{\infty} S_{d}$ be a polynomial ring over the field $K$ with the standard grading, let $\left[P_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[P_{m}\right]$ be the points of $X$, and let $f_{0}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}\right)=t_{1}^{d}$. The evaluation map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ev}_{d}: S_{d}=K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}\right]_{d} \rightarrow K^{|X|}, \quad f \mapsto\left(\frac{f\left(P_{1}\right)}{f_{0}\left(P_{1}\right)}, \ldots, \frac{f\left(P_{m}\right)}{f_{0}\left(P_{m}\right)}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines a linear map of $K$-vector spaces. The image of $\mathrm{ev}_{d}$, denoted by $C_{X}(d)$, defines a linear code. Following [17] we call $C_{X}(d)$ a parameterized linear code of order $d$. As usual by a linear code we mean a linear subspace of $K^{|X|}$. The dimension and length of $C_{X}(d)$ are given by $\operatorname{dim}_{K} C_{X}(d)$ and $|X|$ respectively. The dimension and length are two of the basic parameters of a linear code. A third basic parameter is the minimum distance which is given by

$$
\delta_{d}=\min \left\{\|v\|: 0 \neq v \in C_{X}(d)\right\},
$$

where $\|v\|$ is the norm of the Hamming distance, i.e., $\|v\|$ is the number of non-zero entries of $v$. The basic parameters of $C_{X}(d)$ are related by the Singleton bound for the minimum distance

$$
\delta_{d} \leq|X|-\operatorname{dim}_{K} C_{X}(d)+1 .
$$

[^0]Parameterized linear codes are a nice family of evaluation codes (the notion of an evaluation code is introduced in Section (2). They were introduced and studied in [17]. Some other families of evaluation codes have been studied extensively [3, 4, 10, 22].

The vanishing ideal of $X$, denoted by $I(X)$, is the ideal of $S$ generated by the homogeneous polynomials of $S$ that vanish on $X$. The contents of this paper are as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the preliminaries and explain the connection between the invariants of the vanishing ideal of $X$ and the parameters of $C_{X}(d)$.

The ideal $I(X)$ is called a complete intersection if it can be generated by $s-1$ homogeneous polynomials of $S$. In [19] it is shown that $I(X)$ is a complete intersection if and only if $X$ is a projective torus in $\mathbb{P}^{s-1}$ (see Definition 2.4). If the clutter has all its edges of the same cardinality, in Section 3 we classify the complete intersection property of $I(X)$ using linear algebra (see Theorem (3.9).

Let $\succ$ be the reverse lexicographical order on the monomials of $S$. Recall that the ideal $I(X)$ has a unique reduced Gröbner basis with respect to $\succ$. The degree-complexity of $I(X)$, with respect to $\succ$, is the maximum degree in the reduced Gröbner basis of $I(X)$. In Section 4 we study the structure of the reduced Gröbner basis of $I(X)$ and show an upper bound for the degree-complexity of $I(X)$ (see Theorem 4.1). This means that the algebraic methods of [17] to compute the invariants of $I(X)$ will probably work better using the revlex order.

In Section 5 we show upper bounds for the minimum distance of $C_{X}(d)$ for a certain family of algebraic toric sets $X$ arising from normal edge ideals (see Theorem 5.1(b)). For this family we also show estimates for the algebraic invariants of $I(X)$. The bounds on the minimum distance indicate that the codes $C_{X}(d)$ that emerge from unicyclic connected graphs are especially attractive from the point of view of their error-correcting capacity and so are the codes $C_{X}(d)$ with $d=1$ (see Remark 5.3). We give examples, within our family, of parameterized codes having a large minimum distance relative to $|X|$ (see Example 5.4). Such examples of linear codes with large minimum distance are essential, as they show that our construction is attractive in the context of coding theory. The codes $C_{X}(d)$ are only interesting when $d$ lies within a certain range because $\delta_{d}=1$ for $d \gg 0$. This range is determined by $\operatorname{reg}(S / I(X))$, the index of regularity of $S / I(X)$ (see Proposition [2.3). This is one of the motivations to study the index of regularity. Another motivation comes from commutative algebra because, in our situation, $\operatorname{reg}(S / I(X))$ is equal to the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity which is an algebraic invariant of central importance in the area [5]. The problem of finding a good decoding algorithm for our family of parameterized codes is not considered here. The reader is referred to [2, Chapter 9], [15, 27] and the references there for some available decoding algorithms for some families of linear codes.

For all unexplained terminology and additional information we refer to [6] (for the theory of binomial ideals), [1, 23 (for the theory of Gröbner bases and Hilbert functions), and [16, 24, 26] (for the theory of error-correcting codes and algebraic geometric codes).

## 2. Preliminaries

We continue to use the notation and definitions used in the introduction. In this section we introduce the basic algebraic invariants of $S / I(X)$ and recall their connection with the basic parameters of parameterized linear codes. Then, we present a result on complete intersections that will be needed later.

Recall that the projective space of dimension $s-1$ over $K$, denoted by $\mathbb{P}^{s-1}$, is the quotient space

$$
\left(K^{s} \backslash\{0\}\right) / \sim
$$

where two points $\alpha, \beta$ in $K^{s} \backslash\{0\}$ are equivalent if $\alpha=\lambda \beta$ for some $\lambda \in K$. We denote the equivalence class of $\alpha$ by $[\alpha]$. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{s-1}$ be an algebraic toric set parameterized by $y^{v_{1}}, \ldots, y^{v_{s}}$ and let $C_{X}(d)$ be a parameterized code of order $d$. The kernel of the evaluation map $\mathrm{ev}_{d}$, defined in Eq. (1.1), is precisely $I(X)_{d}$ the degree $d$ piece of $I(X)$. Therefore there is an isomorphism of $K$-vector spaces

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{d} / I(X)_{d} \simeq C_{X}(d) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Two of the basic parameters of $C_{X}(d)$ can be expressed using Hilbert functions of standard graded algebras [17, 23, as we explain below. Recall that the Hilbert function of $S / I(X)$ is given by

$$
H_{X}(d):=\operatorname{dim}_{K}(S / I(X))_{d}=\operatorname{dim}_{K} S_{d} / I(X)_{d}
$$

The unique polynomial $h_{X}(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} c_{i} t^{i} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ of degree $k-1=\operatorname{dim}(S / I(X))-1$ such that $h_{X}(d)=H_{X}(d)$ for $d \gg 0$ is called the Hilbert polynomial of $S / I(X)$. The integer $c_{k-1}(k-1)$ !, denoted by $\operatorname{deg}(S / I(X))$, is called the degree or multiplicity of $S / I(X)$. In our situation $h_{X}(t)$ is a non-zero constant because $S / I(X)$ has dimension 1. Furthermore:
Proposition 2.1. ([14, Lecture 13], [8]) $h_{X}(d)=|X|$ for $d \geq|X|-1$.
This result means that $|X|$ is equal to the degree of $S / I(X)$. From Eq. (2.1), we get the equality $H_{X}(d)=\operatorname{dim}_{K} C_{X}(d)$. Thus, we have:

Proposition 2.2. [8, 12] $H_{X}(d)$ and $\operatorname{deg}(S / I(X))$ are equal to the dimension and the length of $C_{X}(d)$ respectively.

There are algebraic methods, based on elimination theory and Gröbner bases, to compute the dimension and the length of $C_{X}(d)$ 17.

The index of regularity of $S / I(X)$, denoted by $\operatorname{reg}(S / I(X))$, is the least integer $p \geq 0$ such that $h_{X}(d)=H_{X}(d)$ for $d \geq p$. The degree and the index of regularity can be read off the Hilbert series as we now explain. The Hilbert series of $S / I(X)$ can be written as

$$
F_{X}(t):=\sum_{d=0}^{\infty} H_{X}(d) t^{d}=\frac{h_{0}+h_{1} t+\cdots+h_{r} t^{r}}{1-t}
$$

where $h_{0}, \ldots, h_{r}$ are positive integers. Indeed $h_{i}=\operatorname{dim}_{K}\left(S /\left(I(X), t_{s}\right)\right)_{i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq r$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{K}\left(S /\left(I(X), t_{s}\right)\right)_{i}=0$ for $i>r$. This follows from the fact that $I(X)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay lattice ideal [17] and by observing that $\left\{t_{s}\right\}$ is a regular system of parameters for $S / I(X)$ (see [23]). The number $r$ is equal to the index of regularity of $S / I(X)$ and the degree of $S / I(X)$ is equal to $h_{0}+\cdots+h_{r}$ (see [23] or [29, Corollary 4.1.12]).

A good parameterized code should have large $|X|$ and with $\operatorname{dim}_{K} C_{X}(d) /|X|$ and $\delta_{d} /|X|$ as large as possible. The following result gives an indication of where to look for non-trivial parameterized codes. Only the codes $C_{X}(d)$ with $1 \leq d<\operatorname{reg}(S / I(X))$ have the potential to be good linear codes.
Proposition 2.3. $\delta_{d}=1$ for $d \geq \operatorname{reg}(S / I(X))$.
Proof. Since $H_{X}(d)$ is equal to the dimension of $C_{X}(d)$ and $H_{X}(d)=|X|$ for $d \geq \operatorname{reg}(S / I(X))$, by a direct application of the Singleton bound we get that $\delta_{d}=1$ for $d \geq \operatorname{reg}(S / I(X))$.

The definition of $C_{X}(d)$ can be extended to any finite subset $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{s-1}$ of a projective space over a field $K$ [10, 12]. In this generality - the resulting linear code- $C_{X}(d)$ is called an evaluation code associated to $X$ (see for instance [10]). It is also called a projective Reed-Muller code over the set $X$ (see [4, 12]). In this paper we will only deal with parameterized codes over finite fields.

The parameters of evaluation codes associated to $X$ have been computed in a number of cases. If $X=\mathbb{P}^{s-1}$, the parameters of $C_{X}(d)$ are described in [22, Theorem 1]. If $X$ is the image of the affine space $\mathbb{A}^{s-1}$ under the map $x \mapsto[(1, x)]$, the parameters of $C_{X}(d)$ are described in [3, Theorem 2.6.2]. If $X$ is a projective torus, the parameters of $C_{X}(d)$ are described in 4 and [19]. In this paper we give upper bounds for the parameters of certain parameterized codes.

As seen above, parameterized codes are a special type of evaluation codes. What makes a parameterized code interesting is the fact that the vanishing ideal of $X$ is a binomial ideal [17], which allows the computation of the dimension and length using the computer algebra system Macaulay2 [13]. The index of regularity of $S / I(X)$ can also be computed using Macaulay2, which is useful to find genuine parameterized codes (see Proposition [2.3).
Definition 2.4. The set $\mathbb{T}=\left\{\left[\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right)\right] \in \mathbb{P}^{s-1} \mid x_{i} \in K^{*}\right.$ for all $\left.i\right\}$ is called a projective torus in $\mathbb{P}^{s-1}$.

An algebraic toric set is a multiplicative group under componentwise multiplication. Thus, a projective torus is a multiplicative group. For future reference we recall the following result on complete intersections.
Proposition 2.5. [11, Theorem 1, Lemma 1] If $\mathbb{T}$ is a projective torus in $\mathbb{P}^{s-1}$, then
(a) $I(\mathbb{T})=\left(\left\{t_{i}^{q-1}-t_{1}^{q-1}\right\}_{i=2}^{s}\right)$.
(b) $F_{\mathbb{T}}(t)=\left(1-t^{q-1}\right)^{s-1} /(1-t)^{s}$.
(c) $\operatorname{reg}(S / I(\mathbb{T}))=(s-1)(q-2)$ and $\operatorname{deg}(S / I(\mathbb{T}))=(q-1)^{s-1}$.

## 3. The complete intersection property of $I(X)$

We continue to use the notation and definitions used in the introduction and in the preliminaries. The main result of this section is a structure theorem for the complete intersection property of $I(X)$.
Definition 3.1. A clutter $\mathcal{C}$ is a family $E$ of subsets of a finite ground set $Y=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\}$ such that if $f_{1}, f_{2} \in E$, then $f_{1} \not \subset f_{2}$. The ground set $Y$ is called the vertex set of $\mathcal{C}$ and $E$ is called the edge set of $\mathcal{C}$, they are denoted by $V_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $E_{\mathcal{C}}$ respectively.

Clutters are special hypergraphs and are sometimes called Sperner families in the literature. One important example of a clutter is a graph with the vertices and edges defined in the usual way for graphs.
Definition 3.2. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a clutter with vertex set $V_{\mathcal{C}}=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\}$ and let $f$ be an edge of $\mathcal{C}$. The characteristic vector of $f$ is the vector $v=\sum_{y_{i} \in f} e_{i}$, where $e_{i}$ is the $i$ th unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Throughout this paper we assume that $\mathcal{A}:=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}\right\}$ is the set of all characteristic vectors of the edges of a clutter $\mathcal{C}$.

Definition 3.3. If $a \in \mathbb{R}^{s}$, its support is defined as $\operatorname{supp}(a)=\left\{i \mid a_{i} \neq 0\right\}$. Note that $a=a^{+}-a^{-}$, where $a^{+}$and $a^{-}$are two non negative vectors with disjoint support called the positive and negative part of $a$ respectively.

Lemma 3.4. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a clutter and let $f \neq 0$ be a homogeneous binomial of $I(X)$ of the form $t_{i}^{b}-t^{c}$ with $b \in \mathbb{N}, c \in \mathbb{N}^{s}$ and $i \notin \operatorname{supp}(c)$. Then
(a) $\operatorname{deg}(f) \geq q-1$.
(b) If $\operatorname{deg}(f)=q-1$, then $f=t_{i}^{q-1}-t_{j}^{q-1}$ for some $j \neq i$.

Proof. For simplicity of notation assume that $f=t_{1}^{b}-t_{2}^{c_{2}} \cdots t_{r}^{c_{r}}$, where $c_{j} \geq 1$ for all $j$ and $b=c_{2}+\cdots+c_{r}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{1}^{v_{11}} \cdots x_{n}^{v_{1 n}}\right)^{b}=\left(x_{1}^{v_{21}} \cdots x_{n}^{v_{2 n}}\right)^{c_{2}} \cdots\left(x_{1}^{v_{r 1}} \cdots x_{n}^{v_{r n}}\right)^{c_{r}} \quad \forall\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in\left(K^{*}\right)^{n} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{i}=\left(v_{i 1}, \ldots, v_{i n}\right)$. Let $\beta$ be a generator of the cyclic group $\left(K^{*}, \cdot\right)$.
(a) We proceed by contradiction. Assume that $b<q-1$. First we claim that if $v_{1 k}=1$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n$, then $v_{j k}=1$ for $j=2, \ldots, r$. To prove the claim assume that $v_{1 k}=1$ and $v_{j k}=0$ for some $j \geq 2$. Then, making $x_{i}=1$ for $i \neq k$ in Eq. (3.1), we get $\left(x_{k}^{v_{1 k}}\right)^{b}=x_{k}^{b}=x_{k}^{m}$, where $m=v_{2 k} c_{2}+\cdots+v_{r k} c_{r}<b$. Then $x_{k}^{b-m}=1$ for $x_{k} \in K^{*}$. In particular $\beta^{b-m}=1$. Hence $b-m$ is a multiple of $q-1$ and consequently $b \geq q-1$, a contradiction. This completes the proof of the claim. Therefore $\operatorname{supp}\left(v_{1}\right) \subset \operatorname{supp}\left(v_{j}\right)$ for $j=2, \ldots, r$. Since $\mathcal{C}$ is a clutter we get that $v_{1}=v_{j}$ for $j=2, \ldots, r$, a contradiction because $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}$ are distinct. Hence $b \geq q-1$.
(b) It suffices to show that $r=2$. Assume $r \geq 3$. We claim that if $v_{2 k}=1$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n$, then $v_{j k}=1$ for $j \geq 3$. Otherwise, if $v_{2 k}=1$ and $v_{j k}=0$ for some $j \geq 3$, making $x_{i}=1$ for $i \neq k$ and $b=q-1$ in Eq. (3.1) we get $1=x_{k}^{m}$ for any $x_{k} \in K^{*}$, for some $0<m<q-1$. A contradiction because $\beta^{m} \neq 1$. This proves the claim. Therefore $\operatorname{supp}\left(v_{2}\right) \subset \operatorname{supp}\left(v_{j}\right)$ for $j \geq 3$. As in part (a) we get $v_{2}=v_{j}$ for $j \geq 3$, a contradiction. Hence $r=2$.

The complete intersection property of $I(X)$ was first studied in [19]. We complement the following result by showing a characterization of this property-valid for uniform cluttersusing linear algebra (see Theorem 3.9).

Theorem 3.5. [19] Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a clutter with $s$ edges and let $\mathbb{T}$ be a projective torus in $\mathbb{P}^{s-1}$. The following are equivalent:
$\left(\mathrm{c}_{1}\right) I(X)$ is a complete intersection.
( $\left.\mathrm{c}_{2}\right) I(X)=\left(t_{1}^{q-1}-t_{s}^{q-1}, \ldots, t_{s-1}^{q-1}-t_{s}^{q-1}\right)$.
(c3) $X=\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{P}^{s-1}$.
For use below recall that the toric ideal associated to $\mathcal{A}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}\right\}$, denoted by $I_{\mathcal{A}}$, is the prime ideal of $S=K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}\right]$ given by (see [25]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\mathcal{A}}=\left(t^{a}-t^{b} \mid a=\left(a_{i}\right), b=\left(b_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{s}, \sum_{i} a_{i} v_{i}=\sum_{i} b_{i} v_{i}\right) \subset S \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A clutter is called uniform if all its edges have the same number of elements.
Proposition 3.6. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a uniform clutter. If $I(X)$ is a complete intersection and $q \geq 3$, then $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}$ are linearly independent.

Proof. To begin with we claim that if $f=t^{a^{+}}-t^{a^{-}}$is any non-zero homogeneous binomial in the lattice ideal $I(X)$, then

$$
a=a^{+}-a^{-} \equiv 0 \bmod (q-1),
$$

that is, any entry of $a$ is a multiple of $q-1$. By Theorem 3.5 the degree of $f$ is at least $q-1$. To show the claim we proceed by induction on $\operatorname{deg}(f)$. If $\operatorname{deg}(f)=q-1$, then by Theorem 3.5 and Lemma 3.4 (b) it is seen that $f=t_{i}^{q-1}-t_{j}^{q-1}$ for some $i, j$, i.e., $a=(q-1) e_{i}-(q-1) e_{j}$. Assume
that $\operatorname{deg}(f)>q-1$. By Theorem 3.5 we obtain that $t^{a^{+}}$and $t^{a^{-}}$are divisible by some $t_{i}^{q-1}$ and $t_{j}^{q-1}$ respectively. Then, $a_{i}^{+} \geq q-1$ and $a_{j}^{-} \geq q-1$ for some $i \in \operatorname{supp}\left(a^{+}\right)$and $j \in \operatorname{supp}\left(a^{-}\right)$. Therefore using that $f \in I(X)$ and the fact that $\left(K^{*}, \cdot\right)$ is a cyclic group of order $q-1$, it follows readily that the binomial

$$
f^{\prime}=\frac{t^{a^{+}}}{t_{i}^{q-1}}-\frac{t^{a^{-}}}{t_{j}^{q-1}}
$$

is homogeneous, of degree $\operatorname{deg}(f)-(q-1)$, and belongs to $I(X)$. Hence by induction hypothesis the vector $\left(a^{+}-(q-1) e_{i}\right)-\left(a^{-}-(q-1) e_{j}\right)$ is a multiple of $q-1$, and so is $a=a^{+}-a^{-}$. This completes de proof of the claim.

To show that $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}$ are linearly independent we proceed by contradiction. Assume that $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}$ are linearly dependent. As $\mathcal{C}$ is uniform, there is a non-zero homogeneous binomial $f=t^{a^{+}}-t^{a^{-}}$of least degree in the toric ideal $I_{\mathcal{A}}$. This means that the degree of $f$ is equal to the initial degree of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ [29, p. 110]. Since $I_{\mathcal{A}} \subset I(X)$ we obtain that $a=a^{+}-a^{-}$is a multiple of $q-1$. Then, we can write $a^{+}=(q-1) b^{+}, a^{-}=(q-1) b^{-}$for some $b^{+}, b^{-}$in $\mathbb{N}^{s}$. We set $u=t^{b^{+}}, v=t^{b^{-}}, g=u-v, h=u^{q-2}+u^{q-3} v+\cdots+v^{q-2}$. From the equality $f=g h$ we obtain that $g \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ or $h \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ because $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a prime ideal and $q \geq 3$, a contradiction to the choice of $f$ because $g$ and $h$ have degree less than that of $f$.

Definition 3.7. For an ideal $I \subset S$ and a polynomial $h \in S$ the saturation of $I$ with respect to $h$ is the ideal

$$
\left(I: h^{\infty}\right):=\left\{f \in S \mid f h^{m} \in I \text { for some } m \geq 1\right\}
$$

We will only deal with the case where $h=t_{1} \cdots t_{s}$.
We call $\mathcal{A}$ homogeneous if $\mathcal{A}$ lies on an affine hyperplane not containing the origin. Notice that if $\mathcal{C}$ is uniform, then $\mathcal{A}$ is homogeneous. Given $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n}$, the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ generated by $\Gamma$ will be denoted by $\mathbb{Z} \Gamma$.

Theorem 3.8. [17, Theorem 2.6] Let $K=\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be a finite field, let $\mathcal{A}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}\right\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n}$, and let $\phi: \mathbb{Z}^{n} / L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n} / L$ be the multiplication $\operatorname{map} \phi(\bar{a})=(q-1) \bar{a}$, where $L=\mathbb{Z}\left\{v_{i}-v_{1}\right\}_{i=2}^{s}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is homogeneous, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(I_{\mathcal{A}}+\left(t_{2}^{q-1}-t_{1}^{q-1}, \ldots, t_{s}^{q-1}-t_{1}^{q-1}\right)\right):\left(t_{1} \cdots t_{s}\right)^{\infty}\right) \subset I(X) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if the map $\phi$ is injective.
We come to the main result of this section, a structure theorem for complete intersections via linear algebra.
Theorem 3.9. Let $\phi: \mathbb{Z}^{n} / L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n} / L$ be the multiplication map $\phi(\bar{a})=(q-1) \bar{a}$, where $L$ is the subgroup generated by $\left\{v_{i}-v_{1}\right\}_{i=2}^{s}$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a uniform clutter and $q \geq 3$, then $I(X)$ is a complete intersection if and only if $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}$ are linearly independent and the map $\phi$ is injective.

Proof. $\Rightarrow)$ By Proposition 3.6 the vectors $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}$ are linearly independent. Then $I_{\mathcal{A}}=(0)$ and by Theorem 3.5 we get the equality $\left.I(X)=\left(\left\{t_{1}^{q-1}-t_{i}^{q-1}\right\}_{i=2}^{s}\right\}\right)$. Hence, we have equality in Eq. (3.3). Therefore using Theorem 3.8 we conclude that $\phi$ is injective.
$\Leftarrow)$ As the map $\phi$ is injective and $\mathcal{C}$ is uniform, using Theorem 3.8, we get the equality

$$
\left(\left(I_{\mathcal{A}}+\left(t_{2}^{q-1}-t_{1}^{q-1}, \ldots, t_{s}^{q-1}-t_{1}^{q-1}\right)\right):\left(t_{1} \cdots t_{s}\right)^{\infty}\right)=I(X)
$$

Since $\mathcal{A}$ is linearly independent one has that $I_{\mathcal{A}}=(0)$. Hence, the equality above becomes $\left.\left(\left\{t_{1}^{q-1}-t_{i}^{q-1}\right\}_{i=2}^{s}\right\}\right)=I(X)$, i.e., $I(X)$ is a complete intersection.

A graph with only one cycle is called unicyclic.
Corollary 3.10. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a unicyclic connected graph with $n$ vertices. If the only cycle of $\mathcal{C}$ is odd, then $X=\mathbb{T}$ is a projective torus in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is an odd cycle of length $n$. Let $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$ be the vertices of $\mathcal{C}$. The characteristic vectors of the edges of $\mathcal{C}$ are

$$
v_{1}=e_{1}+e_{2}, v_{2}=e_{2}+e_{3}, \ldots, v_{n-1}=e_{n-1}+e_{n}, v_{n}=e_{n}+e_{1},
$$

where $e_{i}$ is the $i$ th unit vector in $\mathbb{N}^{n}$. The vectors $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ are linearly independent because $n$ is odd. It is not hard to see that the quotient group $\mathbb{Z}^{n} / \mathbb{Z}\left\{v_{i}-v_{1}\right\}_{i=2}^{n}$ is torsion-free. Hence, by Theorem [3.9, $I(X)$ is a complete intersection. Then, $X=\mathbb{T}$ is a projective torus in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ by Theorem 3.5, If $\mathcal{C}$ is not an odd cycle, then it has a vertex of degree 1 and the proof follows by induction because removing this vertex results in a graph that is connected and has a unique odd cycle.

The next result shows that the index of regularity of complete intersections associated to clutters provides an upper bound for the index of regularity of $S / I(X)$.

Proposition 3.11. [19] $\operatorname{reg}(S / I(X)) \leq(q-2)(s-1)$, with equality if $I(X)$ is a complete intersection associated to a clutter with $s$ edges.

Remark 3.12. In Theorem 5.1(c) we provide another upper bound for the index of regularity of $S / I(X)$ valid for a certain family of algebraic toric sets.

## 4. The degree-complexity of $I(X)$

We continue to use the notation and definitions used in the introduction. The main result of this section is an upper bound for the degree-complexity of $I(X)$.

In what follows we shall assume that $\succ$ is the reverse lexicographical order (revlex order for short) on the monomials of $S$. This order is given by $t^{b} \succ t^{a}$ if and only if the last non-zero entry of $b-a$ is negative. As usual, if $g$ is a polynomial of $S$, we denote the leading term of $g$ by $\operatorname{in}(g)$ and the leading coefficient of $g$ by $\operatorname{lc}(g)$.

According to [1, Proposition 6, p. 91] the ideal $I(X)$ has a unique reduced Gröbner basis. We refer to [1] for the theory of Gröbner bases. The degree-complexity of $I(X)$, with respect to $\succ$, is the maximum degree of the polynomials in the reduced Gröbner basis of $I(X)$. Next we study the reduced Gröbner basis and the degree-complexity of $I(X)$.

We come to one of the main results of this section.
Theorem 4.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a clutter and let $\succ$ be the revlex order on the monomials of $S$. If $\mathcal{G}$ is the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal $I(X)$, then $t_{i}^{q-1}-t_{s}^{q-1} \in \mathcal{G}$ for $i=1, \ldots, s-1$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{t_{i}}(g) \leq q-1$ for $g \in \mathcal{G}$ and $1 \leq i \leq s$.

Proof. The reduced Gröbner basis of $I(X)$ consists of homogeneous binomials [17]. As $I(X)$ is a lattice ideal [17], it is seen that each binomial $t^{a}-t^{b} \in \mathcal{G}$ satisfies that $\operatorname{supp}(a) \cap \operatorname{supp}(b)=\emptyset$, this follows using that each variable $t_{i}$ is not a zero-divisor of $S / I(X)$. Since $t_{i}^{q-1}-t_{s}^{q-1}$ is in $I(X)$ for $i=1, \ldots, s-1$, there is $g_{i} \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $g_{i}=t_{i}^{b_{i}}-t^{c_{i}}, b_{i} \leq q-1, c_{i} \in \mathbb{N}^{s}, i \notin \operatorname{supp}\left(c_{i}\right)$, and $\operatorname{in}\left(g_{i}\right)=t_{i}^{b_{i}}$. Then, by Lemma 3.4, the binomial $g_{i}$ has the form $g_{i}=t_{i}^{q-1}-t_{j_{i}}^{q-1}$ for some $i<j_{i}$. As $\mathcal{G}$ is a reduced Gröbner basis we get that $g_{i}=t_{i}^{q-1}-t_{s}^{q-1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, s-1$. Let $g \in \mathcal{G} \backslash\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{s-1}\right\}$. Using that $\mathcal{G}$ is reduced we get that $\operatorname{deg}_{t_{i}}(g) \leq q-2$ for $i=1, \ldots, s-1$. To
complete the proof we need only show $\operatorname{deg}_{t_{s}}(g) \leq q-1$. Assume that $a_{s}=\operatorname{deg}_{t_{s}}(g)>q-1$. After permuting $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s-1}$ we may assume that $\operatorname{in}(g)=t_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots t_{r}^{a_{r}}$ and $g=t_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots t_{r}^{a_{r}}-t_{r+1}^{a_{r+1}} \cdots t_{s}^{a_{s}}$, where $r<s$. Consider the polynomial

$$
\begin{aligned}
h & =t_{2}^{a_{2}} \cdots t_{r}^{a_{r}} g_{1}-t_{1}^{q-1-a_{1}} g \\
& =t_{s}^{q-1}\left(-t_{2}^{a_{2}} \cdots t_{r}^{a_{r}}+t_{1}^{q-1-a_{1}} t_{r+1}^{a_{r+1}} \cdots t_{s-1}^{a_{s-1}} t_{s}^{a_{s}-(q-1)}\right)=t_{s}^{q-1} h_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $h \in I(X)$ and using that $I(X)$ is a lattice ideal, we get that the binomial

$$
h_{1}=-t_{2}^{a_{2}} \cdots t_{r}^{a_{r}}+t_{1}^{q-1-a_{1}} t_{r+1}^{a_{r+1}} \cdots t_{s-1}^{a_{s-1}} t_{s}^{a_{s}-(q-1)}
$$

belongs to $I(X)$. As $\operatorname{in}\left(h_{1}\right)=t_{2}^{a_{2}} \cdots t_{r}^{a_{r}}$, we obtain that $\operatorname{in}(g) \in(\operatorname{in}(\mathcal{G} \backslash\{g\})$, a contradiction. Thus $\operatorname{deg}_{t_{s}}(g) \leq q-1$.

The next result is interesting because it shows that the Hilbert functions of $S / I(X)$ and $S / I_{\mathcal{A}}$ are equal up to degree $q-2$.
Proposition 4.2. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a clutter. If $f=t^{a^{+}}-t^{a^{-}}$is a non-zero homogeneous binomial of $I(X)$ and $\operatorname{deg}(f) \leq q-2$, then $f \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Proof. We may assume that $a^{+}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$ and $a^{-}=\left(0, \ldots, 0, a_{r+1}, \ldots, a_{m}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$ and $a_{i} \geq 1$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{1}^{v_{11}} \cdots x_{n}^{v_{1 n}}\right)^{a_{1}} \cdots\left(x_{1}^{v_{r 1}} \cdots x_{n}^{v_{r n}}\right)^{a_{r}}=\left(x_{1}^{v_{r+1,1}} \cdots x_{n}^{v_{r+1, n}}\right)^{a_{r+1}} \cdots\left(x_{1}^{v_{m, 1}} \cdots x_{n}^{v_{m, n}}\right)^{a_{m}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in\left(K^{*}\right)^{n}$, where $v_{i}=\left(v_{i 1}, \ldots, v_{i n}\right)=\left(v_{i, 1}, \ldots, v_{i, n}\right)$. To show that $f \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ we need only show that $A a^{+}=A a^{-}$, where $A$ is the incidence matrix of $\mathcal{C}$, i.e., $A$ is the matrix with column vectors $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}$. Equivalently we need only show the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1, k} a_{1}+\cdots+v_{r, k} a_{r}=v_{r+1, k} a_{r+1}+\cdots+v_{m, k} a_{m} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$. If both sides of Eq. (4.2) are zero there is nothing to show. We proceed by contradiction assuming:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1, k} a_{1}+\cdots+v_{r, k} a_{r}>v_{r+1, k} a_{r+1}+\cdots+v_{m, k} a_{m} \geq 0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making $x_{i}=1$ for $i \neq k$ in Eq. (4.1), we get

$$
x_{k}^{v_{1, k} a_{1}+\cdots+v_{r, k} a_{r}}=x_{k}^{v_{r+1, k} a_{r+1}+\cdots+v_{m, k} a_{m}}
$$

for any $x_{k} \in K^{*}$. In particular making $x_{k}=\beta$, where $\beta$ is a generator of the cyclic group $\left(K^{*}, \cdot\right)$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v_{1, k} a_{1}+\cdots+v_{r, k} a_{r}\right)-\left(v_{r+1, k} a_{r+1}+\cdots+v_{m, k} a_{m}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod (q-1) . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently $v_{1, k} a_{1}+\cdots+v_{r, k} a_{r} \geq q-1$, a contradiction because

$$
q-2 \geq \operatorname{deg}(f)=a_{1}+\cdots+a_{r} \geq v_{1, k} a_{1}+\cdots+v_{r, k} a_{r}
$$

Hence equality in Eq. (4.2) holds for $1 \leq k \leq n$ and the proof is complete.
Proposition 4.3. Let $A$ be the matrix with column vectors $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}$. Then

$$
I(X)=\left(\left\{t^{a^{+}}-t^{a^{-}} \mid A a^{+} \equiv A a^{-} \bmod (q-1) \text { and }\left|a^{+}\right|=\left|a^{-}\right|\right\}\right) .
$$

Proof. The inclusion " $\subset$ " follows from Eq. (4.4) and from the fact that $I(X)$ is a lattice ideal [17]. To show the inclusion " $\supset$ " take $f=t^{a^{+}}-t^{a^{-}}$such that $A a^{+} \equiv A a^{-} \bmod (q-1)$ and $\left|a^{+}\right|=\left|a^{-}\right|$. From the first condition it is seen that $f$ vanishes on $X$ and from the second condition $f$ is homogeneous in the standard grading of $S$. Thus $f \in I(X)$.

## 5. Upper bounds for the minimum distance

We continue to use the notation and definitions used in the introduction and in the preliminaries. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a clutter with vertex set $V_{\mathcal{C}}=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\}$. Throughout this section we assume that $\mathcal{A}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}\right\}$ is the set of all characteristic vectors of the edges of a uniform clutter $\mathcal{C}$.

The set $\left(K^{*}\right)^{n}$ is called an affine algebraic torus of dimension $n$ and is denoted by $\mathbb{T}^{*}$. The torus $\mathbb{T}^{*}$ is a multiplicative group under the product operation $\left(\alpha_{i}\right)\left(\alpha_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\alpha_{i} \alpha_{i}^{\prime}\right)$, where $\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ really means $\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$. Clearly, the algebraic toric set:

$$
X:=\left\{\left[\left(x_{1}^{v_{11}} \cdots x_{n}^{v_{1 n}}, \ldots, x_{1}^{v_{s 1}} \cdots x_{n}^{v_{s n}}\right)\right] \mid x_{i} \in K^{*} \text { for all } i\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{s-1}
$$

is also a multiplicative group with the product operation.
Let $I$ be the ideal of $R=K\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right]$ generated by $y^{v_{1}}, \ldots, y^{v_{s}}$. The ideal $I$ is called the edge ideal of $\mathcal{C}$ and the matrix $A$ whose columns are $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}$ is called the incidence matrix of $\mathcal{C}$. Recall that the integral closure of $I^{i}$, denoted by $\overline{I^{i}}$, is the ideal of $R$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{I^{i}}=\left(\left\{y^{a} \in R \mid \exists p \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\} ;\left(y^{a}\right)^{p} \in I^{p i}\right\}\right), \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

see for instance [29, Proposition 7.3.3]. The ideal $I$ is called normal if $I^{i}=\overline{I^{i}}$ for $i \geq 1$. There are many interesting examples of normal ideals [25, 29. For instance if $\mathcal{C}$ is the clutter of all subsets of $Y=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\}$ of a fixed size $k \geq 1$, then $I$ is normal. If $\mathcal{C}$ is the clutter of bases of a matroid, then $I$ is also normal. There is a combinatorial description of the normality of ideals generated by square-free monomials of degree 2 , i.e., of ideals such that $\mathcal{C}$ is a graph (see [20, 21]). In particular if $\mathcal{C}$ is a complete or bipartite graph, then $I$ is normal. The edge ideal $I$ is also normal if $\mathcal{C}$ is any odd cycle or any unicyclic graph.

Let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$. The polyhedral cone generated by $\mathcal{B}$ is denoted by $\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{B}$. A polyhedral cone containing no lines is called pointed. The set $\mathcal{B}$ is called a Hilbert basis if $\mathbb{N} \mathcal{B}=\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{B} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$, where $\mathbb{N} \mathcal{B}$ is the semigroup generated by $\mathcal{B}$.

We come to the main result of this section, an upper bound for the minimum distance of $C_{X}(d)$ valid for certain normal edge ideals of uniform clutters.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a uniform clutter whose incidence matrix has rank $n$ and let $I \subset R$ be its edge ideal. If $I$ is normal and $\mathbb{T}$ is a projective torus in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, then:
(a) The degree of $S / I(X)$ is equal to $|X|=(q-1)^{n-1}$.
(b) $\delta_{d} \leq \delta_{d}^{\prime}$, where $\delta_{d}^{\prime}$ is the minimum distance of the linear code $C_{\mathbb{T}}(d)$.
(c) $\operatorname{reg}(S / I(X)) \leq \operatorname{reg}\left(S^{\prime} / I(\mathbb{T})\right)=(q-2)(n-1)$, where $S^{\prime}=K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$.

Proof. (a) The ideal $I$ is normal. Then by [7, Theorem 3.15] the set $\mathcal{B}=\left\{\left(v_{i}, 1\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{s}$ is a Hilbert basis. Therefore, using [17, Theorem 3.5], we obtain that $(q-1)^{n-1}$ divides $|X|$. On the other hand there is an epimorphism of multiplicative groups

$$
\theta: \mathbb{T}^{*} \rightarrow X ; \quad\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \stackrel{\theta}{\longmapsto}\left[\left(x^{v_{1}}, \ldots, x^{v_{s}}\right)\right],
$$

where $\mathbb{T}^{*}=\left(K^{*}\right)^{n}$ is an affine algebraic torus. The kernel of $\theta$ contains the diagonal subgroup

$$
\mathcal{D}^{*}=\left\{(\lambda, \ldots, \lambda) \mid \lambda \in K^{*}\right\} .
$$

Thus $|X|$ divides $(q-1)^{n-1}$. Putting altogether, we get $|X|=(q-1)^{n-1}$.
(b) The set $\mathcal{B}=\left\{\left(v_{i}, 1\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{s}$ is a Hilbert basis (see the proof of part (a)). Hence using a result of [9, after permutation of the $\left(v_{i}, 1\right)$ 's, we may assume that $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}=\left\{\left(v_{1}, 1\right), \ldots,\left(v_{n}, 1\right)\right\}$ is a Hilbert basis and a linearly independent set. Then, it is seen that the group $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1} / \mathbb{Z} \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ is
torsion-free. We set $L^{\prime}=\mathbb{Z}\left\{v_{i}-v_{1}\right\}_{i=2}^{n}$. It is not hard to see that there is an isomorphism of groups

$$
\tau: T\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n} / L^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow T\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n+1} / \mathbb{Z} \mathcal{B}^{\prime}\right)
$$

given by $\tau(\bar{a})=\overline{(a, 0)}$, where $T(M)$ denotes the torsion subgroup of an abelian group $M$, i.e., $T(M)$, is the set of all $m$ in $M$ such that $p m=0$ for some $0 \neq p \in \mathbb{Z}$. From this isomorphism we conclude that $T\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n} / L^{\prime}\right)=0$, i.e., $\mathbb{Z}^{n} / L^{\prime}$ is also torsion-free.

Consider the algebraic toric set parameterized by $y^{v_{1}}, \ldots, y^{v_{n}}$ :

$$
X_{1}=\left\{\left[\left(x^{v_{1}}, \ldots, x^{v_{n}}\right)\right] \mid x_{i} \in K^{*} \text { for all } i\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}
$$

We claim that $I\left(X_{1}\right)=\left(\left\{t_{i}^{q-1}-t_{n}^{q-1}\right\}_{i=1}^{n-1}\right)$. We set $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$. Notice that the set $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is also linearly independent. Since $I_{\mathcal{A}^{\prime}}=(0)$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{n} / L^{\prime}$ is torsion-free, by Theorem 3.8, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left\{t_{i}^{q-1}-t_{n}^{q-1}\right\}_{i=1}^{n-1}\right) & \left.=\left(\left\{t_{i}^{q-1}-t_{n}^{q-1}\right\}_{i=1}^{n-1}\right):\left(t_{1} \cdots t_{n}\right)^{\infty}\right) \\
& \left.=\left(I_{\mathcal{A}^{\prime}}+\left(\left\{t_{i}^{q-1}-t_{n}^{q-1}\right\}_{i=1}^{n-1}\right)\right):\left(t_{1} \cdots t_{n}\right)^{\infty}\right) \\
& \stackrel{3.8}{=} I\left(X_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mathbb{T}$ be a projective torus in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. By Proposition [2.5, we have $I(\mathbb{T})=I\left(X_{1}\right)$. Consequently $X_{1}=\mathbb{T}$ because $X_{1}$ and $\mathbb{T}$ are projective varieties. Let $\delta_{d}^{\prime}$ be the minimum distance of $C_{X_{1}}(d)$. Next we show that $\delta_{d} \leq \delta_{d}^{\prime}$. There is a well defined epimorphism

$$
\bar{\theta}_{1}: X \rightarrow X_{1}, \quad\left[\left(x^{v_{1}}, \ldots, x^{v_{s}}\right)\right] \mapsto\left[\left(x^{v_{1}}, \ldots, x^{v_{n}}\right)\right]
$$

induced by the projection map $\left[\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{s}\right)\right] \mapsto\left[\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)\right]$. By part (a) one has $|X|=$ $\left|X_{1}\right|=(q-1)^{n-1}$. Therefore the map $\bar{\theta}_{1}$ is an isomorphism of multiplicative groups. For any homogeneous polynomial $F$, we denote its zero set by $Z_{X}(F)=\{[P] \in X \mid F(P)=0\}$. Let $S^{\prime}=K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]=\oplus_{d=0}^{\infty} S_{d}^{\prime}$ and let $F_{1} \in S_{d}^{\prime}$ be a polynomial such that $\mathrm{ev}_{d}\left(F_{1}\right) \neq 0$ and with $\left|Z_{X_{1}}\right|$ as large as possible, i.e., we choose $F_{1}$ so that $\delta_{d}^{\prime}=\left|X_{1}\right|-\left|Z_{X_{1}}\left(F_{1}\right)\right|$. We can regard the polynomial $F_{1}=F_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ as an element of $S$ and denote it by $F$. The map $\bar{\theta}_{1}$ induces a bijective map

$$
\bar{\theta}_{1}: Z_{X}(F) \mapsto Z_{X_{1}}\left(F_{1}\right), \quad[P] \mapsto\left[\bar{\theta}_{1}(P)\right] .
$$

Therefore we have the inequality

$$
\max \left\{\left|Z_{X}(F)\right|: F \in S_{d} ; \operatorname{ev}_{d}(F) \neq 0\right\} \geq \max \left\{\left|Z_{X_{1}}\left(F_{1}\right)\right|: F_{1} \in S_{d}^{\prime} ; \operatorname{ev}_{d}\left(F_{1}\right) \neq 0\right\}
$$

Consequently $\delta_{d} \leq \delta_{d}^{\prime}$.
(c) We continue to use the notation and definitions used in the proof of part (b). Since $X_{1}=\mathbb{T}$, it suffices to show that $H_{X_{1}}(d) \leq H_{X}(d)$ for $d \geq 1$. Using that $I(X)$ and $I\left(X_{1}\right)$ are vanishing ideals generated by homogeneous polynomials, it is not hard to show that $S^{\prime} \cap I(X)=I\left(X_{1}\right)$. Thus, we have a graded monomorphism

$$
0 \rightarrow K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right] / I\left(X_{1}\right) \rightarrow K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}\right] / I(X), \quad \bar{F}_{1} \mapsto \bar{F}_{1} .
$$

Hence $H_{X_{1}}(d) \leq H_{X}(d)$ and consequently $\operatorname{reg}(S / I(X)) \leq \operatorname{reg}\left(S^{\prime} / I(\mathbb{T})\right)=(q-2)(n-1)$.
There is a nice recent formula for $\delta_{d}^{\prime}$ :
Theorem 5.2. [19, Theorem 3.4] If $\mathbb{T}$ is a projective torus in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and $d \geq 1$, then the minimum distance of $C_{\mathbb{T}}(d)$ is given by

$$
\delta_{d}^{\prime}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
(q-1)^{n-(k+2)}(q-1-\ell) & \text { if } d \leq(q-2)(n-1)-1, \\
1 & \text { if } d \geq(q-2)(n-1),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $k$ and $\ell$ are the unique integers such that $k \geq 0,1 \leq \ell \leq q-2$ and $d=k(q-2)+\ell$.

Remark 5.3. (i) When $d$ is greater than or equal to the index of regularity of $S / I(X)$, by Proposition 2.3, one has that $\delta_{d}=1$. Thus, for $d \geq \operatorname{reg}(S / I(X))$ our codes are useless from a practical point of view. For some other values of the parameters however, the bound $\delta_{d}^{\prime}$ does not prevent our codes from having a large (although not optimal) minimum distance. In Example 5.4 we provide specific values of the parameters, for which our codes have a large minimum distance relative to $|X|$.
(ii) Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a unicyclic connected graph with $n$ vertices and with a unique cycle of odd length. Then, $X=\mathbb{T}$ is a projective torus in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ by Corollary 3.10. Thus, the minimum distance $\delta_{d}$ of $C_{X}(d)$ is equal to $\delta_{d}^{\prime}$ by Theorem 5.2. This means that the bound of Theorem 5.1(b) is optimal.
(iii) The problem of computing the minimum distance of a linear code is NP-hard [28]. It might not be easy to compute the minimum distance of $C_{X}(d)$ for graphs with large number of edges and vertices. However, for a complete graph with 4 vertices it is not hard to compute the minimum distance and to compare the bound $\delta_{d}^{\prime}$ with the Singleton bound, see Example 5.5.

Example 5.4. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a cycle of length 3 , let $X$ be the algebraic toric set parameterized by $y_{1} y_{2}, y_{2} y_{3}, y_{1} y_{3}$ and let $C_{X}(d)$ be the parameterized code of order $d$ over the field $K=\mathbb{F}_{11}$. Using Macaulay2, together with Remark 5.3(ii), we obtain its basic parameters:

| $d$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\|X\|$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| $\operatorname{dim} C_{X}(d)$ | 3 | 6 | 10 | 15 | 21 | 28 | 36 | 45 | 55 | 64 | 72 | 79 | 85 |
| $\delta_{d}$ | 90 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 |


| $d$ | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\|X\|$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| $\operatorname{dim} C_{X}(d)$ | 90 | 94 | 97 | 99 | 100 |
| $\delta_{d}$ | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

Example 5.5. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the following complete graph on four vertices and let $X$ be the algebraic toric set parameterized by all $y_{i} y_{j}$ such that $\left\{y_{i}, y_{j}\right\}$ is an edge of $\mathcal{C}$.


Let $C_{X}(d)$ be the parameterized code of order $d$ over the field $K=\mathbb{F}_{3}$, let $b_{d}$ (resp. $\delta_{d}^{\prime}$ ) be the Singleton bound (resp. the bound of Theorem 5.1), and let $\delta_{d}$ be the minimum distance of $C_{X}(d)$. Using Macaulay2, we obtain:

| $d$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b_{d}$ | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| $\delta_{d}^{\prime}$ | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| $\delta_{d}$ | 2 | 1 | 1 |

If $C_{X}(d)$ is the parameterized code of order $d$ over the field $K=\mathbb{F}_{4}$, then we get:

| $d$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b_{d}$ | 22 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\delta_{d}^{\prime}$ | 18 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| $\delta_{d}$ | 12 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
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