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#### Abstract

We introduce the notion of an induced 2-crossed module, which extends the notion of an induced crossed module (Brown and Higgins).


## Introduction

Induced crossed modules were defined by Brown and Higgins 3 and studied further in paper by Brown and Wensley [5, 6]. This is looked at in detail in a book by Brown, Higgins and Sivera 4. Induced crossed modules allow detailed computations of non-Abelian information on second relative groups.

To obtain analogous result in dimension 2, we make essential use of a 2 crossed module defined by Conduché [7].

A major aim of this paper is to introduce induced 2-crossed modules

$$
\left\{\phi_{*}(L), \phi_{*}(M), Q, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\}
$$

which can be used in applications of the 3-dimensional Van Kampen Theorem, [1].

The method of Brown and Higgins [3] is generalized to give results on $\left\{\phi_{*}(L), \phi_{*}(M), Q, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\}$. However; Brown, Higgins and Sivera [4] indicate a bifibration from crossed squares, so leading to the notion of induced crossed square, which is relevant to triadic Hurewicz theorem in dimension 3.

## 1 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper all actions will be left. The right actions in some references will be rewrite by using left actions.

### 1.1 Crossed Modules

Crossed modules of groups were initially defined by Whitehead 12, 13] as models for (homotopy) 2-types. We recall from 10 the definition of crossed modules of groups.

A crossed module, $(M, P, \partial)$, consists of groups $M$ and $P$ with a left action of $P$ on $M$, written $(p, m) \mapsto{ }^{p} m$ and a group homomorphism $\partial: M \rightarrow P$
satisfying the following conditions:
$C M 1) \quad \partial\left({ }^{p} m\right)=p \partial(m) p^{-1} \quad$ and $\left.\quad C M 2\right) \quad{ }^{\partial(m)} n=m n m^{-1}$
for $p \in P, m, n \in M$. We say that $\partial: M \rightarrow P$ is a pre-crossed module, if it is satisfies CM1.

If $(M, P, \partial)$ and $\left(M^{\prime}, P^{\prime}, \partial^{\prime}\right)$ are crossed modules, a morphism,

$$
(\mu, \eta):(M, P, \partial) \rightarrow\left(M^{\prime}, P^{\prime}, \partial^{\prime}\right),
$$

of crossed modules consists of group homomorphisms $\mu: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ and $\eta: P \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\text { (i) } \eta \partial=\partial^{\prime} \mu \quad \text { and } \quad \text { (ii) } \mu\left({ }^{p} m\right)={ }^{\eta(p)} \mu(m)
$$

for all $p \in P, m \in M$.
Crossed modules and their morphisms form a category, of course. It will usually be denoted by XMod. We also get obviously a category PXMod of precrossed modules.

There is, for a fixed group $P$, a subcategory $\mathrm{XMod} / P$ of XMod , which has as objects those crossed modules with $P$ as the "base", i.e., all ( $M, P, \partial$ ) for this fixed $P$, and having as morphisms from $(M, P, \partial)$ to $\left(M^{\prime}, P^{\prime}, \partial^{\prime}\right)$ those $(\mu, \eta)$ in XMod in which $\eta: P \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ is the identity homomorphism on $P$.

Some standart examples of crossed modules are:
(i) normal subgroup crossed modules $(i: N \rightarrow P)$ where $i$ is an inclusion of a normal subgroup, and the action is given by conjugation;
(ii) automorphism crossed modules $(\chi: M \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(M))$ in which

$$
(\chi m)(n)=m n m^{-1} ;
$$

(iii) Abelian crossed modules $1: M \rightarrow P$ where $M$ is a $P$-module;
(iv) central extension crossed modules $\partial: M \rightarrow P$ where $\partial$ is an epimorphism with kernel contained in the centre of $M$.

Induced crossed modules were defined by Brown and Higgins in 3] and studied further in papers by Brown and Wensley [5, 6.

We recall from [4] below a presentation of the induced crossed module which is helpful for the calculation of colimits.

### 1.2 Pullback Crossed Modules

Definition 1 Let $\phi: P \rightarrow Q$ be a homomorphism of groups and let $\mathcal{N}=(N, Q, v)$ be a crossed module. We define a subgroup

$$
\phi^{*}(N)=N \times_{Q} P=\{(n, p) \mid v(n)=\phi(p)\}
$$

of the product $N \times P$. This is usually pullback in the category of groups. There is a commutative diagram

where $\bar{v}:(n, p) \mapsto p, \bar{\phi}:(n, p) \mapsto n$. Then $P$ acts on $\phi^{*}(N)$ via $\phi$ and the diagonal, i.e. ${ }^{p^{\prime}}(n, p)=\left({ }^{\left(p^{\prime}\right)} n, p^{\prime} p p^{-1}\right)$. It is easy to see that this gives a $p$ action. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
(n, p)\left(n_{1}, p_{1}\right)(n, p)^{-1} & =\left(n n_{1} n^{-1}, p p_{1} p^{-1}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{c}
v(n) \\
n_{1}
\end{array}, p p_{1} p^{-1}\right) \\
& \left.={ }^{\phi(p)} n_{1}, p p_{1} p^{-1}\right) \\
& =\bar{v}(n, p)\left(n_{1}, p_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we get a crossed module $\phi^{*}(\mathcal{N})=\left(\phi^{*}(N), P, \bar{v}\right)$ which is called the pullback crossed module of $\mathcal{N}$ along $\phi$. This construction satisfies a universal property, analogous to that of the pullback of groups. To state it, we use also the morphism of crossed modules

$$
(\bar{\phi}, \phi): \phi^{*}(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}
$$

Theorem 2 For any crossed module $\mathcal{M}=(M, P, \mu)$ and any morphism of crossed modules

$$
(h, \phi): \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}
$$

there is a unique morphism of crossed $P$-modules $h^{\prime}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \phi^{*}(\mathcal{N})$ such that the following diagram commutes


This can be expressed functorially:

$$
\phi^{*}: \mathrm{XMod} / Q \rightarrow \mathrm{XMod} / P
$$

which is a pullback functor. This functor has a left adjoint

$$
\phi_{*}: \mathrm{XMod} / P \rightarrow \mathrm{XMod} / Q
$$

which gives a induced crossed module as follows.

### 1.3 Induced Crossed Modules

Definition 3 For any crossed $P$-module $\mathcal{M}=(M, P, \mu)$ and any homomorphism $\phi: P \rightarrow Q$ the crossed module induced by $\phi$ from $\mu$ should be given by:
(i) a crossed $Q$-module $\phi_{*}(\mathcal{M})=\left(\phi_{*}(M), Q, \phi_{*} \mu\right)$,
(ii) a morphism of crossed modules $(f, \phi): \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \phi_{*}(\mathcal{M})$, satisfying the dual universal property that for any morphism of crossed modules

$$
(h, \phi): \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}
$$

there is a unique morphism of crossed $Q$-modules $h^{\prime}: \phi_{*}(M) \rightarrow N$ such that the diagram

commutes.
Now we briefly explain this from Brown and Higgins, 3] as follows, (see also [2]).

Proposition 4 Let $\mu: M \rightarrow P$ be a crossed $P$-module and let $\phi: P \rightarrow Q$ be a morphism of groups. Then the induced crossed $Q$-module $\phi_{*}(M)$ is generated, as a group, by the set $M \times Q$ with defining relations
(i) $\quad\left(m_{1}, q\right)\left(m_{2}, q\right)=\left(m_{1} m_{2}, q\right)$,
(ii) $\quad\left({ }^{p} m, q\right)=(m, q \phi(p))$,
(iii) $\left(m_{1}, q_{1}\right)\left(m_{2}, q_{2}\right)\left(m_{1}, q_{1}\right)^{-1}=\left(m_{2}, q_{1} \phi \mu\left(m_{1}\right) q_{1}^{-1} q_{2}\right)$
for $m, m_{1}, m_{2} \in M, q, q_{1}, q_{2} \in Q$ and $p \in P$.
The morphism $\phi_{*} \mu: \phi_{*}(M) \rightarrow Q$ is given by $\phi_{*} \mu(m, q)=q \phi \mu(m) q^{-1}$, the action of $Q$ on $\phi_{*}(M)$ by ${ }^{q}\left(m, q_{1}\right)=\left(m, q q_{1}\right)$, and the canonical morphism $\phi^{\prime}: M \rightarrow \phi_{*}(M)$ by $\phi^{\prime}(m)=(m, 1)$.

The crossed module ( $\phi_{*}(M), Q, \phi_{*} \mu$ ), thus defined in Proposition 4, is called the induced crossed module of $(M, P, \mu)$ along $\phi$.

If $\phi: P \rightarrow Q$ is epimorphism the induced crossed module $\left(\phi_{*}(M), Q, \phi_{*} \mu\right)$ has a simplier description.

Proposition 5 ([3], Proposition 9) If $\phi: P \rightarrow Q$ is an epimorphism, and $\mu$ : $M \rightarrow P$ is a crossed module, then $\phi_{*}(M) \cong M /[K, M]$, where $K=$ Ker $\phi$, and $[K, M]$ denotes the subgroup of $M$ generated by all ${ }^{k} \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ for all $m \in M, k \in K$.

## 2 Two-Crossed Modules

Conduché [7] described the notion of a 2-crossed module as a model of connected homotopy 3 -types.

A 2 -crossed module is a normal complex of groups $L \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} M \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} P$ together with an action of $P$ on all three groups and a mapping

$$
\{-,-\}: M \times M \rightarrow L
$$

which is often called the Peiffer lifting such that the action of $P$ on itself is by conjugation, $\partial_{2}$ and $\partial_{1}$ are $P$-equivariant.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { PL1: } \quad \partial_{2}\left\{m_{0}, m_{1}\right\}=m_{0} m_{1} m_{0}^{-1}\left(\partial_{1} m_{0} m_{1}^{-1}\right) \\
& \text { PL2: }\left\{\partial_{2} l_{0}, \partial_{2} l_{1}\right\}=\left[l_{0}, l_{1}\right] \\
& \text { PL3: }\left\{m_{0}, m_{1} m_{2}\right\}=m_{0} m_{1} m_{0}^{-1}\left\{m_{0}, m_{2}\right\}\left\{m_{0}, m_{1}\right\} \\
& \left\{m_{0} m_{1}, m_{2}\right\}=\left\{m_{0}, m_{1} m_{2} m_{1}^{-1}\right\}\left(\partial_{1} m_{0}\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}\right\}\right) \\
& \text { PL4: a) }\left\{\partial_{2} l, m\right\}=l\left({ }^{m} l^{-1}\right) \\
& \text { b) }\left\{m, \partial_{2} l\right\}={ }^{m} l\left(\partial_{1} m^{m} l^{-1}\right) \\
& \text { PL5: } \quad{ }^{p}\left\{m_{0}, m_{1}\right\}=\left\{{ }^{p} m_{0},{ }^{p} m_{1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $m, m_{0}, m_{1}, m_{2} \in M, l, l_{0}, l_{1} \in L$ and $p \in P$. Note that we have not specified that $M$ acts on $L$. We could have done that as follows: if $m \in M$ and $l \in L$, define

$$
{ }^{m} l=l\left\{\partial_{2} l^{-1}, m\right\} .
$$

From this equation $\left(L, M, \partial_{2}\right)$ becomes a crossed module.
We denote such a 2 -crossed module of groups by $\left\{L, M, P, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\}$.
A morphism of 2 -crossed modules is given by a diagram

where $f_{0} \partial_{1}=\partial_{1}^{\prime} f_{1}, f_{1} \partial_{2}=\partial_{2}^{\prime} f_{2}$

$$
f_{1}\left({ }^{p} m\right)={ }^{f_{0}(p)} f_{1}(m) \quad, \quad f_{2}\left({ }^{p} l\right)={ }^{f_{0}(p)} f_{2}(l)
$$

and

$$
\{-,-\}\left(f_{1} \times f_{1}\right)=f_{2}\{-,-\}
$$

for all $m \in M, l \in L$ and $p \in P$.
These compose in an obvious way giving a category which we will denote by $\mathrm{X}_{2} \operatorname{Mod}$. There is, for a fixed group $P$, a subcategory $\mathrm{X}_{2} \operatorname{Mod} / P$ of $\mathrm{X}_{2} \operatorname{Mod}$ which has as objects those crossed modules with $P$ as the "base", i.e., all $\left\{L, M, P, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\}$ for this fixed $P$, and having as morphism from $\left\{L, M, P, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\}$ to $\left\{L^{\prime}, M^{\prime}, P^{\prime}, \partial_{2}^{\prime}, \partial_{1}^{\prime}\right\}$ those $\left(f_{2}, f_{1}, f_{0}\right)$ in $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ Mod in which $f_{0}: P \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ is the identity homomorphism on $P$.

Some remarks on Peiffer lifting of 2-crossed modules given by Porter in 10 are:
Suppose we have a 2-crossed module

$$
L \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} M \xrightarrow{\partial_{t}} P,
$$

with extra condition that $\left\{m, m^{\prime}\right\}=1$ for all $m, m^{\prime} \in M$. The obvious thing to do is to see what each of the defining properties of a 2 -crossed module give in this case.
(i) There is an action of $P$ on $L$ and $M$ and the $\partial \mathrm{s}$ are $P$-equivariant. (This gives nothing new in our special case.)
(ii) $\{-,-\}$ is a lifting of the Peiffer commutator so if $\left\{m, m^{\prime}\right\}=1$, the Peiffer identity holds for $\left(M, P, \partial_{1}\right)$, i.e. that is a crossed module;
(iii) if $l, l^{\prime} \in L$, then $1=\left\{\partial_{2} l, \partial_{2} l^{\prime}\right\}=\left[l, l^{\prime}\right]$, so $L$ is Abelian and,
(iv) as $\{-,-\}$ is trivial ${ }^{\partial_{1} m} l^{-1}=l^{-1}$, so $\partial M$ has trivial action on $L$. Axioms PL3 and PL5 vanish.

Examples of 2-Crossed Modules

1. Let $M \xrightarrow{\partial} P$ be a pre-crossed module. Consider the Peiffer subgroup $\langle M, M\rangle \subset$ $M$, generated by the Peiffer commutators

$$
\left\langle m, m^{\prime}\right\rangle=m m^{\prime-1} m^{-1}\left({ }^{\partial_{1} m} m^{\prime}\right)
$$

for all $m, m^{\prime} \in M$. Then

$$
\langle M, M\rangle \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} M \xrightarrow{\partial_{子}} P
$$

is a 2 -crossed module with the Peiffer lifting $\left\{m, m^{\prime}\right\}=\left\langle m, m^{\prime}\right\rangle$, 11].
2. Any crossed module gives a 2 -crossed module. Given $(M, P, \partial)$ is a crossed module, the resulting sequence

$$
L \rightarrow M \rightarrow P
$$

is a 2 -crossed module by taking $L=1$. This is functorial and XMod can be considered to be a full category of $\mathrm{XMod}_{2}$ in this way. It is a reflective subcategory since there is a reflection functor obtained as follows:

If $L \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} M \xrightarrow{\partial_{7}} P$ is a 2-crossed module, then $\operatorname{Im} \partial_{2}$ is a normal subgroup of $M$ and there is an induced crossed module structure on $\partial_{1}: \frac{M}{\operatorname{Im} \partial_{2}} \rightarrow P$, (c.f. [10]).

Another way of encoding 3 -types is using the noting of a crossed square by Guin-Waléry and Loday, 9$]$.

Definition 6 A crossed square is a commutative diagram of group morphisms

with action of $P$ on every other group and a function $h: M \times N \rightarrow L$ such that
(1) the maps $f$ and $u$ are $P$-equivariant and $g, v, v \circ f$ and $g \circ u$ are crossed modules,
(2) $f \circ h(x, y)=x^{g(y)} x^{-1}, u \circ h(x, y)={ }^{v(x)} y y^{-1}$,
(3) $h(f(z), y)=z^{g(y)} z^{-1}, \quad h(x, u(z))={ }^{v(x)} z z^{-1}$,
(4) $h\left(x x^{\prime}, y\right)=^{v(x)} h\left(x^{\prime}, y\right) h(x, y), h\left(x, y y^{\prime}\right)=h(x, y)^{g(y)} h\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)$,
(5) $h\left({ }^{t} x,{ }^{t} y\right)={ }^{t} h(x, y)$
for $x, x^{\prime} \in M, y, y^{\prime} \in N, z \in L$ and $t \in P$.
It is a consequence of the definition that $f: L \rightarrow M$ and $u: L \rightarrow N$ are crossed modules where $M$ and $N$ act on $L$ via their images in $P$. A crossed square can be seen as a crossed module in the category of crossed modules.

Also, it can be considered as a complex of crossed modules of length one and thus, Conduché [8, gave a direct proof from crossed squares to 2-crossed modules. This construction is the following:

Let

be a crossed square. Then seeing the horizontal morphisms as a complex of crossed modules, the mapping cone of this square is a 2 -crossed module

$$
L \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} M \rtimes N \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} P,
$$

where $\partial_{2}(z)=\left(f(z)^{-1}, u(z)\right)$ for $z \in L, \partial_{1}(x, y)=v(x) g(y)$ for $x \in M$ and $y \in N$, and the Peiffer lifting is given by

$$
\left\{(x, y),\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right\}=h\left(x, y y^{\prime} y^{-1}\right)
$$

Of course, the construction of 2-crossed modules from crossed squares gives a generic family of examples.

## 3 Pullback Two-Crossed Modules

In this section we introduce the notion of a pullback 2-crossed module, which extends a pullback crossed module defined by Brown-Higgins, [3]. The importance of the "pullback" is that it enables us to move from crossed $Q$-module to crossed $P$-module, when a morphism of groups $\phi: P \rightarrow Q$ is given.

Definition 7 Given a 2 -crossed module $\left\{H, N, Q, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\}$ and a morphism of groups $\phi: P \rightarrow Q$, the pullback 2 -crossed module can be given by
(i) a 2-crossed module $\phi^{*}\left\{H, N, Q, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\}=\left\{\phi^{*}(H), \phi^{*}(N), P, \partial_{2}^{*}, \partial_{1}^{*}\right\}$
(ii) given any morphism of 2 -crossed modules

$$
\left(f_{2}, f_{1}, \phi\right):\left\{B_{2}, B_{1}, P, \partial_{2}^{\prime}, \partial_{1}^{\prime}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{H, N, Q, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\}
$$

there is a unique $\left(f_{2}^{*}, f_{1}^{*}, i d_{P}\right) 2$-crossed module morphism that commutes the following diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\stackrel{\left(f_{2}^{*}, f_{1}^{*}, i d_{P}\right) \ldots-}{\left.\stackrel{\left(B_{2}\right.}{ }, B_{1}, P, \partial_{2}^{\prime}, \partial_{1}^{\prime}\right)} \underset{\left(f_{2}, f_{1}, \phi\right)}{*}\left(H, N, Q, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right)
\end{array}
$$

or more simply as


Proposition 8 If $H \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} N \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} Q$ is a 2-crossed module and if $\phi: P \rightarrow Q$ is $a$ monomorphism of groups then

$$
H \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}^{*}} \phi^{*}(N) \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}^{*}} P
$$

is a pullback 2-crossed module where

$$
\phi^{*}(N)=N \times_{Q} P=\left\{(n, p) \mid \partial_{1}(n)=\phi(p)\right\}
$$

for all $n \in N$ and $p \in P$.
Proof. Since $\partial_{1} \partial_{2}=1$, we have

$$
\phi^{*}(H)=\{(h, p) \mid \phi(p)=1,\} \cong H \times \operatorname{ker} \phi
$$

for all $h \in H$. As $\phi$ is a monomorphism, $H \times \operatorname{ker} \phi \cong H$. Thus we can define a morphism

$$
\partial_{2}^{*}: H \rightarrow \phi^{*}(N)
$$

given by $\partial_{2}^{*}(h)=\left(\partial_{2} h, 1\right)$, the action of $\phi^{*}(N)$ on $H$ by ${ }^{(n, p)} h={ }^{n} h$, and the morphism $\partial_{1}^{*}: \phi^{*}(N) \rightarrow P$ is given by $\partial_{1}^{*}(n, p)=p$, the action of $P$ on $\phi^{*}(N)$ and $H$ by ${ }^{p}\left(n, p^{\prime}\right)=\left({ }^{\phi(p)} n, p p^{\prime} p^{-1}\right)$ and ${ }^{p} h={ }^{\phi(p)} h$ respectively. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }^{p} \partial_{2}^{*}(h) & =p\left(\partial_{2}(h), 1\right) \\
& =\left(\phi(p) \partial_{2}(h), p 1 p^{-1}\right) \\
& =\left({ }^{\phi(p)} \partial_{2}(h), 1\right) \\
& =\left(\partial_{2}(\phi(p) h), 1\right) \\
& \left.=\left(\partial_{2}{ }^{p} h\right), 1\right) \\
& =\partial_{2}^{*}\left({ }^{p} h\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{1}^{*}\left(p\left(n, p^{\prime}\right)\right) & =\partial_{1}^{*}\left(\phi(p) n, p p^{\prime} p^{-1}\right) \\
& =p p^{\prime} p^{-1} \\
& =p \partial_{1}^{*}\left(n, p^{\prime}\right) p^{-1} \\
& =p \partial_{1}^{*}\left(n, p^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\partial_{2}^{*}$ and $\partial_{1}^{*}$ are $P$-equivariant.
As $\partial_{1}^{*} \partial_{2}^{*}(h)=\partial_{1}^{*}\left(\partial_{2} h, 1\right)=1$,

$$
H \rightarrow \phi^{*}(N) \rightarrow P
$$

is a normal complex of groups. The Peiffer lifting

$$
\{-,-\}: \phi^{*}(N) \times \phi^{*}(N) \rightarrow H
$$

is given by $\left\{(n, p),\left(n^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)\right\}=\left\{n, n^{\prime}\right\}$.
PL1:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (n, p)\left(n^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)(n, p)^{-1}\left(\partial_{1}^{*}(n, p)\left(n^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right) \\
= & (n, p),\left(n^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)\left(n^{-1}, p^{-1}\right)^{p}\left(n^{\prime-1}, p^{\prime-1}\right) \\
= & (n, p),\left(n^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)\left(n^{-1}, p^{-1}\right)\left(\phi(p) n^{\prime-1}, p p^{\prime-1} p^{-1}\right) \\
= & \left(n n^{\prime} n^{-1}, p p^{\prime} p^{-1}\right)\left(\partial_{1}(n) n^{\prime-1}, p p^{\prime-1} p^{-1}\right) \\
= & \left(n n^{\prime} n^{-1}\left(\partial_{1}(n) n^{\prime-1}\right), p p^{\prime} p^{-1} p p^{\prime-1} p^{-1}\right) \\
= & \left(n n^{\prime} n^{-1}\left(\partial_{1}(n) n^{\prime-1}\right), 1\right) \\
= & \left(\partial_{2}\left\{n, n^{\prime}\right\}, 1\right) \\
= & \partial_{2}^{*}\left\{n, n^{\prime}\right\} \\
= & \partial_{2}^{*}\left\{(n, p),\left(n^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

PL2:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{\partial_{2}^{*} h, \partial_{2}^{*} h^{\prime}\right\} & =\left\{\left(\partial_{2} h, 1\right),\left(\partial_{2} h^{\prime}, 1\right)\right\} \\
& =\left\{\partial_{2} h, \partial_{2} h^{\prime}\right\} \\
& =\left[h, h^{\prime}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The rest of axioms of 2-crossed module is given in appendix.
(ii)

$$
\left(i d_{H}, \phi^{\prime}, \phi\right):\left\{H, \phi^{*}(N), P, \partial_{2}^{*}, \partial_{1}^{*}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{H, N, Q, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\}
$$

or diagrammatically,

is a morphism of 2-crossed modules. (See appendix. )
Suppose that

$$
\left(f_{2}, f_{1}, \phi\right):\left\{B_{2}, B_{1}, P, \partial_{2}^{\prime}, \partial_{1}^{\prime}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{H, N, Q, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\}
$$

is any 2 -crossed modules morphism


Then we will show that there is a unique 2 -crossed modules morphism

$$
\left(f_{2}^{*}, f_{1}^{*}, i d_{P}\right):\left\{B_{2}, B_{1}, P, \partial_{2}^{\prime}, \partial_{1}^{\prime}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{H, \phi^{*}(N), P, \partial_{2}^{*}, \partial_{1}^{*}\right\}
$$


where $f_{2}^{*}\left(b_{2}\right)=f_{2}\left(b_{2}\right)$ and $f_{1}^{*}\left(b_{1}\right)=\left(f_{1}\left(b_{1}\right), \partial_{1}^{\prime}\left(b_{1}\right)\right)$ which is an element in $\phi^{*}(N)$. First let us check that $\left(f_{2}^{*}, f_{1}^{*}, i d_{P}\right)$ is a 2 -crossed modules morphism. For $b_{1}, b_{1}^{\prime} \in B_{1}, b_{2} \in B_{2}, p \in P$

$$
\begin{aligned}
i d_{P}(p) f_{2}^{*}\left(b_{2}\right) & ={ }^{p} f_{2}\left(b_{2}\right) \\
& =\phi(p) f_{2}\left(b_{2}\right) \\
& =f_{2}\left({ }^{p} b_{2}\right) \\
& =f_{2}^{*}\left({ }^{p} b_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly ${ }^{i d_{P}(p)} f_{1}^{*}\left(b_{1}\right)=f_{1}^{*}\left({ }^{p} b_{1}\right)$, also above diagram is commutative and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{-,-\}\left(f_{1}^{*} \times f_{1}^{*}\right)\left(b_{1}, b_{1}^{\prime}\right) & =\{-,-\}\left(f_{1}^{*}\left(b_{1}\right), f_{1}^{*}\left(b_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =\{-,-\}\left(\left(f_{1}\left(b_{1}\right), \partial_{1}^{\prime}\left(b_{1}\right)\right),\left(f_{1}\left(b_{1}^{\prime}\right), \partial_{1}^{\prime}\left(b_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right. \\
& =\left\{f_{1}\left(b_{1}\right), f_{1}\left(b_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
& =\{-,-\}\left(f_{1} \times f_{1}\right)\left(b_{1}, b_{1}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =f_{2}\{-,-\}\left(b_{1}, b_{1}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =f_{2}\left\{b_{1}, b_{1}^{\prime}\right\} \\
& =f_{2}^{*}\left\{b_{1}, b_{1}^{\prime}\right\} \\
& =f_{2}^{*}\{-,-\}\left(b_{1}, b_{1}^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore; the verification of the following equations are immediate.

$$
i d_{H} f_{2}^{*}=f_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \phi^{\prime} f_{1}^{*}=f_{1}
$$

Thus we get a functor

$$
\phi^{*}: \mathrm{X}_{2} \operatorname{Mod} / Q \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{2} \operatorname{Mod} / P
$$

which gives our pullback 2-crossed module.
Corollary 9 Given a 2 -crossed module $\left\{H, N, Q, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\}$ and a morphism $\phi: P \rightarrow Q$ of groups, there is a pullback diagram


Proof. It is straight forward from a direct calculation.

### 3.1 Example of Pullback Two-Crossed Modules

Given 2-crossed module $\{\{1\}, G, Q, 1, i\}$ where $i$ is an inclusion of a normal subgroup and a morphism $\phi: P \rightarrow Q$ of groups. The pullback 2-crossed module is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi^{*}\{\{1\}, G, Q, 1, i\} & =\left\{\{1\}, \phi^{*}(G), P, \partial_{2}^{*}, \partial_{1}^{*}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\{1\}, \phi^{-1}(G), P, \partial_{2}^{*}, \partial_{1}^{*}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi^{*}(G) & =\{(g, p) \mid \phi(p)=i(g), g \in G, p \in P\} \\
& \cong\{p \in P \mid \phi(p)=g\}=\phi^{-1}(G) \unlhd P .
\end{aligned}
$$

The pullback diagram is


Particularly if $G=\{1\}$, then

$$
\phi^{*}(\{1\}) \cong\{p \in P \mid \phi(p)=1\}=\operatorname{ker} \phi \cong\{1\}
$$

and so $\left\{\{1\},\{1\}, P, \partial_{2}^{*}, \partial_{1}^{*}\right\}$ is a pullback 2-crossed module.
Also if $\phi$ is an isomorphism and $G=Q$, then $\phi^{*}(Q)=Q \times P$.
Similarly when we consider examples given in Section 1, the following diagrams are pullbacks.


## 4 Induced Two-Crossed Modules

In this section we introduce the notion of an induced 2 -crossed module. The concept of induced is given for crossed modules by Brown-Higgins in [3]. We will extend it to induced 2-crossed modules.

Definition 10 For any 2-crossed module $L \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} M \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} P$ and group morphism $\phi: P \rightarrow Q$, the induced 2-crossed module can be given by
(i) a 2-crossed module $\phi_{*}\left\{L, M, P, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\}=\left\{\phi_{*}(L), \phi_{*}(M), Q, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\}$
(ii) given any morphism of 2 -crossed modules

$$
\left(f_{2}, f_{1}, \phi\right):\left\{L, M, P, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{B_{2}, B_{1}, Q, \partial_{2}^{\prime}, \partial_{1}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

then there is a unique ( $f_{2 *}, f_{1 *}, i d_{Q}$ ) 2-crossed modules morphism that commutes the following diagram:

or more simply as


The following result is an extention of Proposition 4 given by Brown-Higgins in 3.

Proposition 11 Let $L \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} M \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} P$ be a 2-crossed module and $\phi: P \rightarrow Q$ be a morphism of groups. Then $\phi_{*}(L) \xrightarrow{\partial_{2 *}} \phi_{*}(M) \xrightarrow{\partial_{1 *}} Q$ is the induced 2 -crossed module where $\phi_{*}(M)$ is generated as a group, by the set $M \times Q$ with defining relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (m, q)\left(m^{\prime}, q\right)=\left(m m^{\prime}, q\right) \\
& \left({ }^{p} m, q\right)=(m, q \phi(p))
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\phi_{*}(L)$ is generated as a group, by the set $L \times Q$ with defining relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (l, q)\left(l^{\prime}, q\right)=\left(l l^{\prime}, q\right) \\
& \left({ }^{p} l, q\right)=(l, q \phi(p))
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $l, l^{\prime} \in L, m, m^{\prime}, m^{\prime \prime} \in M$ and $q, q^{\prime}, q^{\prime \prime} \in Q$. The morphism $\partial_{2 *}: \phi_{*}(L) \rightarrow$ $\phi_{*}(M)$ is given by $\partial_{2 *}(l, q)=\left(\partial_{2} l, q\right)$ the action of $\phi_{*}(M)$ on $\phi_{*}(L)$ by ${ }^{(m, q)}(l, q)=\left({ }^{m} l, q\right)$, and the morphism $\partial_{1_{*}}: \phi_{*}(M) \rightarrow Q$ is given by $\partial_{1 *}(m, q)=$
$q \phi\left(\partial_{1}(m)\right) q^{-1}$, the action of $Q$ on $\phi_{*}(M)$ and $\phi_{*}(L)$ respectively by ${ }^{q}\left(m, q^{\prime}\right)=$ $\left(m, q q^{\prime}\right)$ and $^{q}\left(l, q^{\prime}\right)=\left(l, q q^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. As $\partial_{1_{*}} \partial_{2_{*}}(l, q)=\partial_{1_{*}}\left(\partial_{2} l, q\right)=q \phi\left(\partial_{1} \partial_{2} l\right) q^{-1}=q \phi(1) q^{-1}=1$,

$$
\phi_{*}(L) \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} \phi_{*}(M) \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} Q
$$

is a complex of groups. The Peiffer lifting

$$
\{-,-\}: \phi_{*}(M) \times \phi_{*}(M) \rightarrow \phi_{*}(L)
$$

given by $\left\{(m, q),\left(m^{\prime}, q\right)\right\}=\left(\left\{m, m^{\prime}\right\}, q\right)$.
PL1:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(m, q)\left(m^{\prime}, q\right)(m, q)^{-1}\left(\partial_{1_{*}}(m, q)\left(m^{\prime}, q\right)^{-1}\right) & =\left(m m^{\prime} m^{-1}, q\right)\left(\partial_{1 *}(m, q)\left(m^{\prime-1}, q\right)\right) \\
& =\left(m m^{\prime} m^{-1}, q\right)\left(m^{\prime-1}, q \phi \partial_{1}(m) q^{-1} q\right) \\
& =\left(m m^{\prime} m^{-1}, q\right)\left(m^{\prime-1}, q \phi \partial_{1}(m)\right) \\
& =\left(m m^{\prime} m^{-1}, q\right)\left(\partial_{1}(m) m^{\prime-1}, q\right) \\
& =\left(m m^{\prime} m^{-1}\left(\partial_{1}(m) m^{\prime-1}\right), q\right) \\
& =\left(\partial_{2}\left\{m, m^{\prime}\right\}, q\right) \\
& =\partial_{2_{*}}\left(\left\{m, m^{\prime}\right\}, q\right) \\
& =\partial_{2 *}\left\{(m, q),\left(m^{\prime}, q\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

PL2:
$\left\{\partial_{2_{*}}(l, q), \partial_{2_{*}}\left(l^{\prime}, q\right)\right\}=\left\{\left(\partial_{2} l, q\right),\left(\partial_{2} l^{\prime}, q\right)\right\}$ $=\left(\left\{\partial_{2} l, \partial_{2} l^{\prime}\right\}, q\right)$ $=\left(l l^{\prime} l^{-1} l^{\prime-1}, q\right)$ $=(l, q)\left(l^{\prime}, q\right)\left(l^{-1}, q\right)\left(l^{\prime-1}, q\right)$ $=(l, q)\left(l^{\prime}, q\right)(l, q)^{-1}\left(l^{\prime}, q\right)^{-1}$ $=\left[(l, q),\left(l^{\prime}, q\right)\right]$.
The rest of axioms of 2-crossed module is given in appendix.
(ii)

$$
\left(\phi^{\prime \prime}, \phi^{\prime}, \phi\right):\left\{L, M, P, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{\phi_{*}(L), \phi_{*}(M), Q, \partial_{2_{*}}, \partial_{1_{*}}\right\}
$$

or diagrammatically,

is a morphism of 2 -crossed modules. (See appendix.)

Suppose that

$$
\left(f_{2}, f_{1}, \phi\right):\left\{L, M, P, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{B_{2}, B_{1}, Q, \partial_{2}^{\prime}, \partial_{1}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

is any 2 -crossed modules morphism. Then we will show that there is a 2 -crossed modules morphism

$$
\left(f_{2_{*}}, f_{1_{*}}, i d_{Q}\right):\left\{\phi_{*}(L), \phi_{*}(M), Q, \partial_{2_{*}}, \partial_{1_{*}}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{B_{2}, B_{1}, Q, \partial_{2}^{\prime}, \partial_{1}^{\prime}\right\}
$$



First we will check that $\left(f_{2_{*}}, f_{1_{*}}, i d_{Q}\right)$ is a 2 -crossed modules morphism. We can see this easily as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{2_{*}}\left(q\left(l, q^{\prime}\right)\right) & =f_{2_{*}}\left(l, q q^{\prime}\right) \\
& =q q^{\prime} f_{2}(l) \\
& =q\left(q^{\prime} f_{2}(l)\right) \\
& ={ }^{q}\left(f_{2_{*}}\left(l, q^{\prime}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly $f_{1_{*}}\left({ }^{q}\left(m, q^{\prime}\right)\right)={ }^{q} f_{1_{*}}\left(m, q^{\prime}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(f_{1_{*}} \partial_{2 *}\right)(l, q) & =f_{1_{*}}\left(\partial_{2} l, q\right) \\
& =q\left(f_{1}\left(\partial_{2} l\right)\right) \\
& =q\left(\left(\partial_{2}^{\prime} f_{2}\right)(l)\right) \\
& =\partial_{2}^{\prime}\left(q\left(f_{2} l\right)\right) \\
& =\partial_{2}^{\prime}\left(f_{2 *}(l, q)\right) \\
& =\left(\partial_{2}^{\prime} f_{2 *}\right)(l, q)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\partial_{1}^{\prime} f_{1 *}=i d_{Q} \partial_{1_{*}}$ for $(m, q) \in \phi_{*}(M),(l, q) \in \phi_{*}(L), q \in Q$ and

$$
f_{2 *}\{-,-\}=\{-,-\}\left(f_{1_{*}} \times f_{1_{*}}\right)
$$

Corollary 12 Let $\left\{L, M, Q, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\}$ be a 2-crossed module and $\phi: P \rightarrow Q$, morphism of groups. Then there is an induced diagram


Next if $\phi: P \longrightarrow Q$ is an epimorphism, the induced 2-crossed module has a simplier description.

Proposition 13 Let $L \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} M \rightarrow P$ is a 2-crossed module, $\phi: P \rightarrow Q$ is an epimorphism with Ker $\phi=K$. Then

$$
\phi_{*}(L) \cong L /[K, L] \text { and } \phi_{*}(M) \cong M /[K, M]
$$

where $[K, L]$ denotes the subgroup of $L$ generated by $\left\{{ }^{k} l l^{-1} \mid k \in K, l \in L\right\}$ and $[K, M]$ denotes the subgroup of $M$ generated by $\left\{{ }^{k} m m^{-1} \mid k \in K, m \in M\right\}$.

Proof. As $\phi: P \longrightarrow Q$ is an epimorphism, $Q \cong P / K$. Since $Q$ acts on $L /[K, L]$ and $M /[K, M], K$ acts trivially on $L /[K, L]$ and $M /[K, M], Q \cong P / K$ acts on $L /[K, L]$ by ${ }^{q}(l[K, L])={ }^{p K}(l[K, L])=\left({ }^{p} l\right)[K, L]$ and $M /[K, M]$ by ${ }^{q}(m[K, M])={ }^{p K}(m[K, M])=\left({ }^{p} m\right)[K, M]$ respectively.

$$
L /[K, L] \xrightarrow{\partial_{2 *}} M /[K, M] \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} Q
$$

is a 2 -crossed module where $\partial_{2 *}(l[K, L])=\partial_{2}(l)[K, M], \partial_{1 *}(m[K, M])=\partial_{1}(m) K$, the action of $M /[K, M]$ on $L /[K, L]$ by ${ }^{m[K, M]}(l[K, L])=\left({ }^{m} l\right)[K, L]$. As
$\partial_{1_{*}} \partial_{2_{*}}(l[K, L])=\partial_{1_{*}}\left(\partial_{2_{*}}(l[K, L])\right)=\partial_{1_{*}}\left(\partial_{2}(l)[K, L]\right)=\partial_{1}\left(\partial_{2}(l)\right) K=1 K \cong 1_{Q}$,
$L /[K, L] \xrightarrow{\partial_{2 *}} M /[K, M] \xrightarrow{\partial_{1 *}} Q$ is a complex of groups.
The Peiffer lifting

$$
M /[K, M] \times M /[K, M] \rightarrow L /[K, L]
$$

given by $\left\{m[K, M], m^{\prime}[K, M]\right\}=\left\{m, m^{\prime}\right\}[K, L]$.

## PL1:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{2_{*}}\left\{m[K, M], m^{\prime}[K, M]\right\} & =\partial_{2_{*}}\left\{m, m^{\prime}\right\}[K, L] \\
& =\left(\partial_{2}\left\{m, m^{\prime}\right\}\right)[K, M] \\
& =\left(m m^{\prime} m^{-1}\left(\partial_{1} m m^{\prime-1}\right)\right)[K, M] \\
& =\left(m m^{\prime} m^{-1}\right)[K, M]\left(\partial_{1} m m^{\prime-1}\right)[K, M] \\
& =m[M, K] m^{\prime}[K, M] m^{-1}[K, M]\left(\partial_{1} m m^{\prime-1}\right)[K, M] \\
& =m[K, M] m^{\prime}[K, M] m^{-1}[K, M]\left(\partial_{1} m K\right) m^{\prime-1}[K, M] \\
& =m[K, M] m^{\prime}[K, M](m[K, M])^{-1} \partial_{1_{*}}(m[K, M])\left(m^{\prime}[K, M]\right)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

PL2:
$\left\{\partial_{2_{*}}(l[K, L]), \partial_{2_{*}}\left(l^{\prime}[K, L]\right)\right\}=\left\{\partial_{2}(l)[K, M], \partial_{2}\left(l^{\prime}\right)[K, M]\right\}$
$=\left\{\partial_{2}(l), \partial_{2}\left(l^{\prime}\right)\right\}[K, L]$
$=\left[l, l^{\prime}\right][K, L]$
$=\left(l l^{\prime} l^{-1} l^{\prime-1}\right)[K, L]$
$=(l[K, L])\left(l^{\prime}[K, L]\right)\left(l^{-1}[K, L]\right)\left(l^{\prime-1}[K, L]\right)$
$=(l[K, L])\left(l^{\prime}[K, L]\right)(l[K, L])^{-1}\left(l^{\prime}[K, L]\right)^{-1}$
$=\left[l[K, L], l^{\prime}[K, L]\right]$.

The rest of axioms of 2 -crossed module is given in appendix.

$$
\left(\phi^{\prime \prime}, \phi^{\prime}, \phi\right):\left\{L, M, P, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\} \longrightarrow\left\{L /[K, L], M /[K, M], Q, \partial_{2 *}, \partial_{1_{*}}\right\}
$$

or diagrammatically,

is a morphism of 2-crossed modules.
Suppose that

$$
\left(f_{2}, f_{1}, \phi\right):\left\{L, M, P, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\} \longrightarrow\left\{B_{2}, B_{1}, Q, \partial_{2}^{\prime}, \partial_{1}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

is any 2 -crossed modules morphism. Then we will show that there is a unique 2 -crossed modules morphism

$$
\left(f_{2 *}, f_{1_{*}}, i d_{Q}\right):\left\{L /[K, L], M /[K, M], Q, \partial_{2 *}, \partial_{1_{*}}\right\} \longrightarrow\left\{B_{2}, B_{1}, Q, \partial_{2}^{\prime}, \partial_{1}^{\prime}\right\}
$$


where $f_{2 *}(l[K, L])=f_{2}(l)$ and $f_{1 *}(m[K, M])=f_{1}(m)$. Since
$f_{2}\left({ }^{k} l l^{-1}\right)=f_{2}\left({ }^{k} l\right) f_{2}\left(l^{-1}\right)=f_{2}\left({ }^{k} l\right) f_{2}(l)^{-1}={ }^{\phi(k)} f_{2}(l) f_{2}(l)^{-1}={ }^{1} f_{2}(l) f_{2}(l)^{-1}=1_{B_{2}}$,
$f_{2}([K, L])=1_{B_{2}}$ and similarly $f_{1}([K, M])=1_{B_{1}}$, thus $f_{2 *}$ and $f_{1 *}$ are well defined.

First let us check that $\left(f_{2 *}, f_{1_{*}}, i d_{Q}\right)$ is a 2 -crossed modules morphism. For $l[K, L] \in L /[K, L], m[K, M] \in M /[K, M]$ and $q \in Q$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{2_{*}}\left({ }^{q}(l[K, L])\right) & =f_{2_{*}}\left({ }^{p K}(l[K, L])\right) \\
& \left.=f_{2_{*}}\left({ }^{p} l\right)[K, L]\right) \\
& =f_{2}\left({ }^{p} l\right) \\
& =\phi(p) f_{2}(l) \\
& ={ }^{p K} f_{2_{*}}(l[K, L]) \\
& ={ }^{q} f_{2_{*}}(l[K, L]) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly $f_{1_{*}}\left({ }^{q}(m[K, M])\right)={ }^{q} f_{1_{*}}(m[K, M])$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1_{*}} \partial_{2 *}(l[K, L]) & =f_{1_{*}}\left(\partial_{2}(l)[K, M]\right) \\
& =f_{1}\left(\partial_{2}(l)\right) \\
& =\partial_{2}^{\prime}\left(f_{2}(l)\right) \\
& =\partial_{2}^{\prime} f_{2_{*}}(l[K, L])
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\partial_{1}^{\prime} f_{1_{*}}=i d_{Q} \partial_{1_{*}}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{2_{*}}\{-,-\}\left(m[K, M], m^{\prime}[K, M]\right) & =f_{2_{*}}\left\{m[K, M], m^{\prime}[K, M]\right\} \\
& =f_{2_{*}}\left(\left\{m, m^{\prime}\right\}[K, L]\right) \\
& =f_{2}\left\{m, m^{\prime}\right\} \\
& =f_{2}\{-,-\}\left(m, m^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\{-,-\}\left(f_{1} \times f_{1}\right)\left(m, m^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\left\{f_{1}(m), f_{1}\left(m^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
& =\left\{f_{1_{*}}(m[K, M]), f_{1_{*}}\left(m^{\prime}[K, M]\right)\right\} \\
& =\{-,-\}\left(f_{1_{*}} \times f_{1_{*}}\right)\left(m[K, M], m^{\prime}[K, M]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\left(f_{2 *}, f_{1_{*}}, i d_{Q}\right)$ is a morphism of 2 -crossed modules. Furthermore; following equations are verified.

$$
f_{2 *} \phi^{\prime \prime}=f_{2} \text { and } f_{1 *} \phi^{\prime}=f_{1}
$$

So given any morphism of 2-crossed modules

$$
\left(f_{2}, f_{1}, \phi\right):\left\{L, M, P, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{B_{2}, B_{1}, Q, \partial_{2}^{\prime}, \partial_{1}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

then there is a unique $\left(f_{2 *}, f_{1_{*}}, i d_{Q}\right) 2$-crossed modules morphism that commutes the following diagram:

or more simply as


Corollary 14 Let be any 2 -crossed module $L \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} M \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} P$ and $\phi: P \rightarrow Q$ morphism of groups. Let $\phi_{*}(M)$ be induced precrossed module of $M \xrightarrow{\partial^{\prime}} P$ with $\phi$ and $\phi_{*}(L)$ be induced crossed module of $L \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} M$ with $\phi^{\prime}: M \rightarrow \phi_{*}(M)$. Then $\left\{\phi_{*}(L), \phi_{*}(M), Q, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\}$ is isomorphic to induced 2 -crossed module of $L \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} M \xrightarrow{\partial_{t}} P$ with $\phi$.

Proposition 15 If $\phi: P \rightarrow Q$ is an injection and $L \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} M \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} P$ is a 2-crossed module, let $T$ be the left transversal of $\phi(P)$ in $H$, and let $B$ be the free product of groups $L_{T}(t \in T)$ each isomorphic with $L$ by an isomorphism $l \mapsto l_{t}(l \in L)$ and $C$ be the free product of groups $M_{T}(t \in T)$ each isomorphic with by $M$ by an isomorphism $m \mapsto m_{t}(m \in M)$. Let $q \in Q$ act on $B$ by the rule ${ }^{q}\left(l_{t}\right)=\left({ }^{p} l\right)_{u}$ and similarly $q \in Q$ act on $C$ by the rule ${ }^{q}\left(m_{t}\right)=\left({ }^{p} m\right)_{u}$, where $p \in P, u \in T$, and $q t=u \phi(p)$. Let

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\gamma: & B \rightarrow C & \text { and } & \delta: & C \rightarrow Q \\
& l_{t} \mapsto \partial_{2}(l)_{t} & & & m_{t} \mapsto t\left(\phi \partial_{1} m\right) t^{-1}
\end{array}
$$

and the action of $C$ on $B$ by ${ }^{\left(m_{t}\right)}\left(l_{t}\right)=\left({ }^{m} l\right)_{t}$. Then

$$
\phi_{*}(L)=B \text { and } \phi_{*}(M)=C
$$

and the Peiffer lifting $C \times C \rightarrow B$ is given by $\left\{m_{t}, m_{t}^{\prime}\right\}=\left\{m, m^{\prime}\right\}_{t}$.

Remark 16 Since any $\phi: P \rightarrow Q$ is the composite of a surjection and an injection, an alternative description of the general $\phi_{*}(L) \rightarrow \phi_{*}(M) \rightarrow Q$ can be obtained by a combination of the two constructions of Proposition 13 and Proposition 15.

Now consider an arbitrary push-out square

of 2-crossed modules. In order to describe $\left\{L, M, P, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\}$, we first note that $P$ is the push-out of the group morphisms $P_{1} \leftarrow P_{0} \rightarrow P_{2}$. (This is because the functor

$$
\left\{L, M, P, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\} \mapsto\left(M / \backsim, P, \partial_{1}\right)
$$

from two crossed module to crossed module has a right adjoint $(N, P, \partial) \mapsto$ $\{1, N, P, 1, \partial\}$ and the forgetful functor $\left.\left(M / \backsim, P, \partial_{1}\right) \mapsto P\right)$ from crossed module to group where $\backsim$ is the normal closure in $M$ of the elements $\left(\partial_{1} m m^{\prime}\right) m m^{\prime-1} m^{-1}$ for $m, m^{\prime} \in M$ has a right adjoint $P \mapsto(P, P, I d)$.) The morphisms $\phi_{i}$ : $P_{i} \rightarrow P(i=0,1,2)$ in (1) can be used to form induced 2 -crossed $H$-modules $B_{i}=\left(\phi_{i}\right)_{*} L_{i}$ and $C_{i}=\left(\phi_{i}\right)_{*} M_{i}$. Clearly $\left\{L, M, P, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\}$ is the push-out in $\mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{Mod} / P$ of the resulting $P$-morphisms

$$
\left(B_{1} \rightarrow C_{1} \rightarrow P\right) \longleftarrow\left(B_{0} \rightarrow C_{0} \rightarrow P\right) \longrightarrow\left(B_{2} \rightarrow C_{2} \rightarrow P\right)
$$

can be described as follows.
Proposition 17 Let $\left(B_{i} \rightarrow C_{i} \rightarrow P\right)$ be a 2 -crossed $P$-module for $i=0,1,2$ and let $(L \rightarrow M \rightarrow P)$ be the push-out in $X_{2}$ Mod/P of $P$-morphisms

$$
\left(B_{1} \rightarrow C_{1} \rightarrow P\right) \stackrel{\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, I d\right)}{\longleftrightarrow}\left(B_{0} \rightarrow C_{0} \rightarrow P\right) \xrightarrow{\left(\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}, I d\right)}\left(B_{2} \rightarrow C_{2} \rightarrow P\right)
$$

Let $(B \rightarrow M)$ be the push-out of $\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}\right)$ in the category of XMod, equipped with the induced morphism $B \xrightarrow{\mu} C \xrightarrow{\nu} P$, the lifting

$$
\{-,-\}: C \times C \rightarrow B
$$

and the induced action of $P$ on $B$ and $C$. Then $L=B / S$, where $S$ is the normal closure in $B$ of the elements

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{\mu(b), \mu\left(b^{\prime}\right)\right\}\left[b, b^{\prime}\right]^{-1} \\
\left\{c, c^{\prime} c^{\prime \prime}\right\}\left\{c, c^{\prime}\right\}^{-1}\left(c c^{\prime} c^{-1}\left\{c, c^{\prime \prime}\right\}\right)^{-1} \\
\left\{c c^{\prime}, c^{\prime \prime}\right\}\left(\nu(c)\left\{c^{\prime}, c^{\prime \prime}\right\}\right)^{-1}\left\{c, c^{\prime} c^{\prime \prime} c^{\prime-1}\right\}^{-1} \\
\{\mu(b), c\}\left({ }^{c} b^{-1}\right)^{-1} b^{-1} \\
\{c, \mu(b)\}\left({ }^{\nu(c)} b^{-1}\right)^{-1}\left({ }^{c} b\right)^{-1} \\
p\left\{c, c^{\prime}\right\}\left\{{ }^{p} c,^{p} c^{\prime}\right\}^{-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

and $M=C / R$, where $R$ is the normal closure in $C$ of the elements

$$
\mu\left\{c, c^{\prime}\right\}\left(\nu(c) c^{\prime-1}\right)^{-1} c c^{\prime-1} c^{-1}
$$

for $b, b^{\prime} \in B, c, c^{\prime}, c^{\prime \prime} \in C$ and $p \in P$.
In the case when $\left\{L_{2}, M_{2}, P_{2}, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\}$ is the trivial 2-crossed module $\{1,1,1, i d, i d\}$ the push-out $\left\{L, M, P, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\}$ in $(*)$ is the cokernel of the morphism

$$
\left\{L_{0}, M_{0}, P_{0}, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{L_{1}, M_{1}, P_{1}, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\}
$$

Cokernels can be described as follows.
Proposition $18 Q / \bar{P}$ is the push-out of the group morphisms $1 \leftarrow P \rightarrow Q$. Let $\left\{A_{*}, G_{*}, Q / \bar{P}, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\}$ be the induced from $\left\{A, G, P, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\}$ by $P \rightarrow Q / \bar{P}$. If $\left\{1,1, Q / \bar{P}, i d, \partial_{1}\right\}$ and

$$
\left\{B /[\bar{P}, B], H /[\bar{P}, H], Q / \bar{P}, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\}
$$

are the induced from $\{1,1,1, i d, i d\}$ and $\left\{B, H, Q, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\}$ by $1 \rightarrow Q / \bar{P}$ and the epimorphism $Q \rightarrow Q / \bar{P}$ then the cokernel of a morphism

$$
(\beta, \lambda, \phi):\left\{A, G, P, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{B, H, Q, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\}
$$

is $\left\{\operatorname{coker}\left(\beta_{*}, \lambda_{*}\right), Q / \bar{P}, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\}$ where $\left(\beta_{*}, \lambda_{*}\right)$ is a morphism of

$$
\left(A_{*}, G_{*}\right) \rightarrow(B /[\bar{P}, B], H /[\bar{P}, H]) .
$$

## 5 Appendix

## The proof of proposition 8

PL3: a) ${ }^{(n, p)\left(n^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)(n, p)^{-1}}\left\{(n, p),\left(n^{\prime \prime}, p^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}\left\{(n, p),\left(n^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)\right\}$
$=\left(n n^{\prime} n^{-1}, p p^{\prime} p^{-1}\right)\left\{n, n^{\prime \prime}\right\}\left\{n, n^{\prime}\right\}$ as definition of $\{-,-\}$
$=n^{\prime} n^{-1}\left\{n, n^{\prime \prime}\right\}\left\{n, n^{\prime}\right\} \quad$ as ${ }^{(n, p)} h={ }^{n} h$
$=\left\{n, n^{\prime} n^{\prime \prime}\right\} \quad$ as $\left\{H, N, Q, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\} \mathrm{X}_{2} \operatorname{Mod}$
$=\left\{(n, p),\left(n^{\prime} n^{\prime \prime}, p^{\prime} p^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\} \quad$ as definition of $\{-,-\}$
$=\left\{(n, p),\left(n^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)\left(n^{\prime \prime}, p^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}$.
b) $\left\{(n, p),\left(n^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)\left(n^{\prime \prime}, p^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(n^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right\}\left(\partial_{1}^{*}(n, p)\left\{\left(n^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right),\left(n^{\prime \prime}, p^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}\right)$
$=\left\{(n, p),\left(n^{\prime} n^{\prime \prime} n^{-1}, p^{\prime} p^{\prime \prime} p^{-1}\right)\right\}^{p}\left\{\left(n^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right),\left(n^{\prime \prime}, p^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\} \quad$ as definition of $\partial_{1}^{*}$
$=\left\{n, n^{\prime} n^{\prime \prime} n^{\prime-1}\right\}^{p}\left\{n^{\prime}, n^{\prime \prime}\right\} \quad$ as definition of $\{-,-\}$
$=\left\{n, n^{\prime} n^{\prime \prime} n^{\prime-1}\right\}^{\phi(p)}\left\{n^{\prime}, n^{\prime \prime}\right\} \quad$ as ${ }^{p} h=\phi(p) h$
$=\left\{n, n^{\prime} n^{\prime \prime} n^{\prime-1}\right\}^{\partial_{1}(n)}\left\{n^{\prime}, n^{\prime \prime}\right\} \quad$ as $(n, p) \in \phi^{*}(N), \phi(p)=\partial_{1}(n)$
$=\left\{n n^{\prime}, n^{\prime \prime}\right\}$
as $\left\{H, N, Q, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\} \mathrm{X}_{2} \operatorname{Mod}$
$=\left\{\left(n n^{\prime}, p p^{\prime}\right),\left(n^{\prime \prime}, p^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}$
$=\left\{(n, p)\left(n^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right),\left(n^{\prime \prime}, p^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}$

## PL4:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\partial_{2}^{*} h,(n, p)\right\}\left\{(n, p), \partial_{2}^{*} h\right\} & & \\
= & \left\{\left(\partial_{2} h, 1\right),(n, p)\right\}\left\{(n, p),\left(\partial_{2} h, 1\right)\right\} & & \text { as definition of } \partial_{2}^{*} \\
= & \left\{\partial_{2} h, n\right\}\left\{n, \partial_{2} h\right\} & & \text { as definition of }\{-,-\} \\
= & h^{\partial_{1}(n)} h^{-1} & & \text { as }\left\{H, N, Q, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\} \mathrm{X}_{2} \operatorname{Mod} \\
= & h^{\phi(p)} h^{-1} & & \text { as }(n, p) \in \phi^{*}(N), \phi(p)=\partial_{1}(n) \\
= & h^{p} h^{-1} & & \text { as } p h=\phi(p) \\
= & h^{\partial_{1}^{*}(n, p)} h^{-1} . & & \text { as definition of } \partial_{1}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

i) $\quad i d_{H}\left({ }^{p} h\right)={ }^{p} h$

$$
=\phi(p) h
$$

$$
=\quad \phi(p) i d_{H}(h)
$$

$$
\phi^{\prime}\left({ }^{p} n, p^{\prime}\right)=\phi^{\prime}\left(\phi(p) n, p p^{\prime} p^{-1}\right)
$$

$$
=\phi(p) n
$$

$$
=\phi(p) \phi^{\prime}\left(n, p^{\prime}\right)
$$

ii) $\left(\phi^{\prime} \partial_{2}^{*}\right)(h)=\phi^{\prime}\left(\partial_{2}^{*} h\right) \quad$ and $\quad\left(\partial_{1} \phi^{\prime}\right)\left(n, p^{\prime}\right)=\partial_{1}\left(\phi^{\prime}\left(n, p^{\prime}\right)\right)$

$$
=\phi^{\prime}\left(\partial_{2} h, 1\right) \quad=\partial_{1}(n)
$$

$$
=\partial_{2}(h) \quad=\phi\left(p^{\prime}\right)
$$

$$
=\partial_{2}\left(i d_{H} h\right) \quad=\phi\left(\partial_{1}^{*}\left(n, p^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

$$
=\left(\partial_{2} i d_{H}\right)(h)=\left(\phi \partial_{1}^{*}\right)\left(n, p^{\prime}\right)
$$

for $\left(n, p^{\prime}\right) \in \phi^{*}(N), h \in H$, and $p \in P$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{-,-\}\left(\phi^{\prime} \times \phi^{\prime}\right)\left((n, p),\left(n^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)\right) & =\{-,-\}\left(\phi^{\prime}(n, p), \phi^{\prime}\left(n^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =\{-,-\}\left(n, n^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\left\{n, n^{\prime}\right\} \\
& =i d_{H}\left(\left\{n, n^{\prime}\right\}\right) \\
& =i d_{H}\left(\left\{(n, p),\left(n^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right) \\
& =i d_{H}\{-,-\}\left((n, p),\left(n^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $(n, p),\left(n^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right) \in \phi^{*}(N)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.p^{\prime \prime}(n, p),^{p^{\prime \prime}}\left(n^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
\left.\left(\phi\left(p^{\prime \prime}\right) n, p^{\prime \prime} p\left(p^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-1}\right)\left(\phi\left(p^{\prime \prime}\right) n^{\prime}, p^{\prime \prime} p^{\prime}\left(p^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-1}\right)\right\} \quad \text { by }{ }^{p^{\prime}}(n, p)=\left(\phi\left(p^{\prime}\right) n, p^{\prime} p p^{\prime-1}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
& =\left\{\phi\left(p^{\prime \prime}\right) n, \phi\left(p^{\prime \prime}\right) n^{\prime}\right\} \quad \text { by definition of }\{-,-\} \\
& =\phi\left(p^{\prime \prime}\right)\left\{n, n^{\prime}\right\} \quad \text { by }\left\{H, N, Q, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\} \mathrm{X}_{2} \operatorname{Mod} \\
& =p^{\prime \prime}\left\{n, n^{\prime}\right\} \quad \text { by }{ }^{p} h=\phi(p) h \\
& =p^{\prime \prime}\left\{(n, p),\left(n^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)\right\} \quad \text { definition of }\{-,-\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## The proof of proposition 11;

## PL3:

a) $\quad\left\{(m, q),\left(m^{\prime}, q\right)\left(m^{\prime \prime}, q\right)\right\}$
$=\left\{(m, q),\left(m^{\prime} m^{\prime \prime}, q\right)\right\} \quad$ as $(m, q)\left(m^{\prime}, q\right)=\left(m m^{\prime}, q\right)$
$=\left(\left\{m, m^{\prime} m^{\prime \prime}\right\}, q\right)$
as definition of $\{-,-\}$
$=\left(m m^{\prime} m^{-1}\left\{m, m^{\prime \prime}\right\}\left\{m, m^{\prime}\right\}, q\right)$
as $\left\{L, M, P, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\} \mathrm{X}_{2} \operatorname{Mod}$
$=\left(m m^{\prime} m^{-1}\left\{m, m^{\prime \prime}\right\}, q\right)\left(\left\{m, m^{\prime}\right\}, q\right)$
as $(m, q)\left(m^{\prime}, q\right)=\left(m m^{\prime}, q\right)$
$=\left(m m^{\prime} m^{-1}, q\right)\left(\left\{m, m^{\prime \prime}\right\}, q\right)\left(\left\{m, m^{\prime}\right\}, q\right)$
as ${ }^{(m, q)}(l, q)=\left({ }^{m} l, q\right)$
$=(m, q)\left(m^{\prime}, q\right)(m, q)^{-1}\left\{(m, q),\left(m^{\prime \prime}, q\right)\right\}\left\{(m, q),\left(m^{\prime}, q\right)\right\}$
b) $\quad\left\{(m, q)\left(m^{\prime}, q\right),\left(m^{\prime \prime}, q\right)\right\}$
$=\left\{\left(m m^{\prime}, q\right),\left(m^{\prime \prime}, q\right)\right\}$
$=\left(\left\{m m^{\prime}, m^{\prime \prime}\right\}, q\right)$
$=\left(\left\{m, m^{\prime} m^{\prime \prime} m^{\prime-1}\right\}^{\partial_{1}(m)}\left\{m^{\prime}, m^{\prime \prime}\right\}, q\right)$
$=\left(\left\{m, m^{\prime} m^{\prime \prime} m^{\prime-1}\right\}, q\right)\left(\left\{m^{\prime}, m^{\prime \prime}\right\}, q \phi \partial_{1}(m)\right)$
$=\left(\left\{m, m^{\prime} m^{\prime \prime} m^{-1}\right\}, q\right)\left(\left\{m^{\prime}, m^{\prime \prime}\right\}, q \phi \partial_{1}(m) q^{-1} q\right)$
$=\left(\left\{m, m^{\prime} m^{\prime \prime} m^{\prime-1}\right\}, q\right)\left(\left\{m^{\prime}, m^{\prime \prime}\right\}, \partial_{1_{*}}(m, q) q\right)$
$=\left(\left\{m, m^{\prime} m^{\prime \prime} m^{\prime-1}\right\}, q\right)^{\partial_{1_{*}}(m, q)}\left(\left\{m^{\prime}, m^{\prime \prime}\right\}, q\right)$
as $(m, q)\left(m^{\prime}, q\right)=\left(m m^{\prime}, q\right)$
$=\left\{(m, q),\left(m^{\prime}, q\right)\left(m^{\prime \prime}, q\right)\left(m^{\prime}, q\right)^{-1}\right\}^{\partial_{1 *}(m, q)}\left\{\left(m^{\prime}, q\right),\left(m^{\prime \prime}, q\right)\right\} \quad$ as definition of $\{-,-\}$

## PL4:

a) $\quad\left\{\partial_{2_{*}}(l, q),(m, q)\right\}$
$=\left\{\left(\partial_{2} l, q\right),(m, q)\right\} \quad$ as definition of $\partial_{2 *}$
$=\left(\left\{\partial_{2} l, m\right\}, q\right) \quad$ as definition of $\{-,-\}$
$=\left(l^{m} l^{-1}, q\right) \quad$ as $\left\{L, M, P, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\} \mathrm{X}_{2} \operatorname{Mod}$
$=(l, q)\left({ }^{m} l^{-1}, q\right) \quad$ as $(l, q)\left(l^{\prime}, q\right)=\left(l l^{\prime}, q\right)$
$=(l, q)^{(m, q)}(l, q)^{-1} \quad$ as ${ }^{(m, q)}(l, q)=\left({ }^{m} l, q\right)$
b) $\quad\left\{(m, q), \partial_{2_{*}}(l, q)\right\}$
$=\left\{(m, q),\left(\partial_{2} l, q\right)\right\} \quad$ as definition of $\partial_{2 *}$
$=\left(\left\{m, \partial_{2} l\right\}, q\right) \quad$ as definition of $\{-,-\}$
$=\left({ }^{m} l\left(\partial_{1}(m) l^{-1}\right), q\right) \quad$ as $\left\{L, M, P, \partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\right\} \mathrm{X}_{2} \operatorname{Mod}$
$=\left({ }^{m} l, q\right)\left({ }^{\partial_{1}(m)} l^{-1}, q\right) \quad$ as $(l, q)\left(l^{\prime}, q\right)=\left(l l^{\prime}, q\right)$
$=\left({ }^{m} l, q\right)\left(l^{-1}, q \phi \partial_{1}(m)\right) \quad$ as $\left({ }^{p} m, q\right)=(m, q \phi(p))$
$=\left({ }^{m} l, q\right)\left(l^{-1}, q \phi \partial_{1}(m) q^{-1} q\right)$
$=\left({ }^{m} l, q\right) \quad\left(l^{-1}, \partial_{1 *}(m, q) q\right) \quad$ as definition of $\partial_{1 *}$
$=\left({ }^{m} l, q\right)^{\partial_{1 *}(m, q)}\left(l^{-1}, q\right) \quad$ as $q^{\prime}(l, q)=\left(l, q^{\prime} q\right)$
$=(m, q)(l, q)^{\partial_{1 *}(m, q)}(l, q)^{-1} \quad$ as ${ }^{(m, q)}(l, q)=\left({ }^{m} l, q\right)$
PL5:

$$
\begin{aligned}
q^{\prime}\left\{(m, q),\left(m^{\prime}, q\right)\right\} & =q^{\prime}\left(\left\{m, m^{\prime}\right\}, q\right) & & \text { as definition of }\{-,-\} \\
& =\left(\left\{m, m^{\prime}\right\}, q^{\prime} q\right) & & \text { as } q^{\prime}(m, q)=\left(m, q^{\prime} q\right) \\
& =\left\{\left(m, q^{\prime} q\right),\left(m^{\prime}, q^{\prime} q\right)\right\} & & \text { as definition of }\{-,-\} \\
& =\left\{q^{\prime}(m, q),^{q^{\prime}}\left(m^{\prime}, q\right)\right\} & & \text { as }{ }^{q^{\prime}}(m, q)=\left(m, q^{\prime} q\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi^{\prime \prime}\left({ }^{p} l\right)=\left({ }^{p} l, 1\right) \quad \text { as definition of } \phi^{\prime \prime} \\
& =(l, 1 \phi(p)) \quad \text { as }\left({ }^{p} l, q\right)=(l, q \phi(p)) \\
& =(l, \phi(p) 1) \\
& =\phi(p)(l, 1) \quad \text { as }{ }^{q^{\prime}}(l, q)=\left(l, q^{\prime} q\right) \\
& =\phi(p) \phi^{\prime \prime}(l) \quad \text { as definition of } \phi^{\prime \prime} \\
& \left(\partial_{2 *} \phi^{\prime \prime}\right)(l)=\partial_{2 *}\left(\phi^{\prime \prime}(l)\right) \\
& =\partial_{2 *}(l, 1) \quad \text { as definition of } \phi^{\prime \prime} \\
& =\left(\partial_{2} l, 1\right) \quad \text { as definition of } \partial_{2_{*}} \\
& =\phi^{\prime}\left(\partial_{2} l\right) \quad \text { as definition of } \phi^{\prime} \\
& =\left(\phi^{\prime} \partial_{2}\right)(l) \\
& \phi^{\prime}\left({ }^{p} m\right)=\left({ }^{p} m, 1\right) \quad \text { as definition of } \phi^{\prime} \\
& (m, 1 \phi(p)) \quad \text { as } \quad\left({ }^{p} m, q\right)=(m, q \phi(p)) \\
& =(m, \phi(p) 1) \\
& =\phi(p)(m, 1) \quad q^{\prime}(m, q)=\left(m, q^{\prime} q\right) \\
& =\phi(p) \phi^{\prime}(m) \quad \text { as definition of } \phi^{\prime} \\
& \left(\partial_{1 *} \phi^{\prime}\right)(m)=\partial_{1_{*}}\left(\phi^{\prime}(m)\right) \\
& =\partial_{1_{*}}(m, 1) \quad \text { as definition of } \phi^{\prime} \\
& =1 \phi\left(\partial_{1}(m)\right) 1^{-1} \quad \text { as definition of } \partial_{1_{*}} \\
& =\phi\left(\partial_{1}(m)\right) \\
& =\left(\phi \partial_{1}\right)(m)
\end{aligned}
$$

## The proof of proposition 13:

## PL3:

a) $\quad\left\{m[K, M], m^{\prime}[K, M] m^{\prime \prime}[K, M]\right\}$
$=\left\{m[K, M],\left(m^{\prime} m^{\prime \prime}\right)[K, M]\right\}$
$=\left\{m, m^{\prime} m^{\prime \prime}\right\}[K, L]$
$=\left(m m^{\prime} m^{-1}\left\{m, m^{\prime \prime}\right\}\left\{m, m^{\prime}\right\}\right)[K, L]$
$=\left(m^{\prime} m^{-1}\left\{m, m^{\prime \prime}\right\}\right)[K, L]\left(\left\{m, m^{\prime}\right\}\right)[K, L]$
$=\left(m m^{\prime} m^{-1}[K, M]\right)\left(\left\{m, m^{\prime \prime}\right\}[K, L]\right)\left(\left\{m, m^{\prime}\right\}\right)[K, L]$
$=\left(m m^{\prime} m^{-1}[K, M]\right)\left\{m[K, M], m^{\prime \prime}[K, M]\right\}\left\{m[K, M], m^{\prime}[K, M]\right\}$
$=\left(m[K, M] m^{\prime}[K, M](m[K, M])^{-1}\right)\left\{m[K, M], m^{\prime \prime}[K, M]\right\}\left\{m[K, M], m^{\prime}[K, M]\right\}$
b) $\quad\left\{m[K, M] m^{\prime}[K, M], m^{\prime \prime}[K, M]\right\}$
$=\left\{\left(m m^{\prime}\right)[K, M], m^{\prime \prime}[K, M]\right\}$
$=\left\{m m^{\prime}, m^{\prime \prime}\right\}[K, L]$
$=\left(\left\{m, m^{\prime} m^{\prime \prime} m^{\prime-1}\right\} \partial_{1}(m)\left\{m^{\prime}, m^{\prime \prime}\right\}\right)[K, L]$
$=\left(\left\{m, m^{\prime} m^{\prime \prime} m^{\prime-1}\right\}[K, L]\right)\left(\partial_{1}(m)\left\{m^{\prime}, m^{\prime \prime}\right\}[K, L]\right)$
$=\left\{m[K, M],\left(m^{\prime} m^{\prime \prime} m^{\prime-1}\right)[K, M]\right\}\left({ }^{\partial_{1}(m)}\left\{m^{\prime}, m^{\prime \prime}\right\}[K, L]\right)$
$=\left\{m[K, M], m^{\prime}[K, M] m^{\prime \prime}[K, M]\left(m^{\prime}[K, M]\right)^{-1}\right\}\left({ }^{\partial_{1}(m)}\left\{m^{\prime}, m^{\prime \prime}\right\}[K, L]\right)$
$=\left\{m[K, M], m^{\prime}[K, M] m^{\prime \prime}[K, M]\left(m^{\prime}[K, M]\right)^{-1}\right\}^{\partial_{1}(m) K}\left(\left\{m^{\prime}, m^{\prime \prime}\right\}[K, L]\right)$
$=\left\{m[K, M], m^{\prime}[K, M] m^{\prime \prime}[K, M]\left(m^{\prime}[K, M]\right)^{-1}\right\}^{\partial_{1_{*}}(m[K, M])}\left(\left\{m^{\prime}, m^{\prime \prime}\right\}[K, L]\right)$

## PL4:

a) $\left.\left\{\partial_{2 *}(l[K, L]), m[K, M]\right\}=\left\{\partial_{2}(l)[K, M]\right), m[K, M]\right\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left\{\partial_{2}(l), m\right\}[K, L] \\
& =\left(l^{m} l^{-1}\right)[K, L] \\
& =l[K, L]\left({ }^{m} l^{-1}[K, L]\right) \\
& =l[K, L]^{m[K, M]}\left(l^{-1}[K, L]\right) \\
& =l[K, L]^{m[K, M]}(l[K, L])^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

b) $\left.\left\{m[K, M], \partial_{2 *}(l[K, L])\right\}=\left\{m[K, M], \partial_{2}(l)[K, M]\right)\right\}$

$$
=\left\{m, \partial_{2}(l)\right\}[K, L]
$$

$$
=\left({ }^{m} l^{\partial_{1}(m)} l^{-1}\right)[K, L]
$$

$$
=\left({ }^{m} l\right)[K, L]\left(\partial_{1}(m) l^{-1}\right)[K, L]
$$

$$
=m[K, M](l[K, L]))_{1}(m) K\left(l^{-1}[K, L]\right)
$$

$$
=m[K, M](l[K, L]) \partial_{1 *}(m[K, M])(l[K, L])^{-1}
$$

## PL5:

$$
\begin{aligned}
q\left\{m[K, M], m^{\prime}[K, M]\right\} & =p K\left\{m[K, M], m^{\prime}[K, M]\right\} \\
& =p K\left(\left\{m, m^{\prime}\right\}[K, L]\right) \\
& =\left({ }^{p}\left\{m, m^{\prime}\right\}\right)[K, L] \\
& =\left\{{ }^{p} m,{ }^{p} m^{\prime}\right\}[K, L] \\
& =\left\{\left({ }^{p} m\right)[K, M],\left({ }^{p} m^{\prime}\right)[K, M]\right\} \\
& =\left\{{ }^{p K}(m[K, M]),{ }^{p K}\left(m^{\prime}[K, M]\right)\right\} \\
& =\left\{^{q}(m[K, M]),{ }^{q}\left(m^{\prime}[K, M]\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$
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