

KAWASAKI DYNAMICS IN THE CONTINUUM VIA GENERATING FUNCTIONALS EVOLUTION

D. L. FINKELSHTEIN, YU. G. KONDRATIEV, AND M. J. OLIVEIRA

ABSTRACT. We construct the time evolution of Kawasaki dynamics for a spatial infinite particle system in terms of generating functionals. This is carried out by an Ovsjannikov-type result in a scale of Banach spaces, which leads to a local (in time) solution. An application of this approach to Vlasov-type scaling in terms of generating functionals is considered as well.

1. INTRODUCTION

Originally, Bogoliubov generating functionals (GF for short) were introduced by N. N. Bogoliubov in [2] to define correlation functions for statistical mechanics systems. Apart from this specific application, and many others, GF are, by themselves, a subject of interest in infinite dimensional analysis. This is partially due to the fact that to a probability measure μ defined on the space Γ of locally finite configurations $\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ one may associate a GF

$$B_\mu(\theta) := \int_{\Gamma} d\mu(\gamma) \prod_{x \in \gamma} (1 + \theta(x)),$$

yielding an alternative method to study the stochastic dynamics of an infinite particle system in the continuum by exploiting the close relation between measures and GF [4, 9].

Existence and uniqueness results for the Kawasaki dynamics through GF arise naturally from Picard-type approximations and a method suggested in [6, Appendix 2, A2.1] in a scale of Banach spaces (see e.g. [5, Theorem 2.5]). This method, originally presented for equations with coefficients time independent, has been extended to an abstract and general framework by T. Yamanaka in [12] and L. V. Ovsjannikov in [10] in the linear case, and many applications were exposed by F. Treves in [11]. As an aside, within an analytical framework outside of our setting, all these statements are very closely related to variants of the abstract Cauchy-Kovalevskaya theorem. However, all these abstract forms only yield a local solution, that is, a solution which is defined on a finite time interval. Moreover, starting with an initial condition from a certain Banach space, in general the solution evolves on larger Banach spaces.

As a particular application, this work concludes with the study of the Vlasov-type scaling proposed in [3] for general continuous particle systems and accomplished in [1] for the Kawasaki dynamics. The general scheme proposed in [3] for correlation functions yields a limiting hierarchy which possesses a chaos preservation property, namely, starting with a Poissonian (non-homogeneous) initial state this structural property is preserved during the time evolution. In Section 4 the same problem is formulated in terms of GF

Date: DD/MM/2011.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 82C22; Secondary 46G20, 46E50.

Key words and phrases. Generating functional, Kawasaki dynamics, Interacting particle system, Continuous system, Ovsjannikov's method, Vlasov scaling.

Financial support of DFG through SFB 701 (Bielefeld University), German-Ukrainian Project 436 UKR 113/97 and FCT through PTDC/MAT/100983/2008 and ISFL-1-209 are gratefully acknowledged.

and its analysis is carried out by the general Ovsjannikov-type result in a scale of Banach spaces presented in [5, Theorem 4.3].

2. GENERAL FRAMEWORK

In this section we briefly recall the concepts and results of combinatorial harmonic analysis on configuration spaces and Bogoliubov generating functionals needed throughout this work (for a detailed explanation see [7, 9]).

2.1. Harmonic analysis on configuration spaces. Let $\Gamma := \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ be the configuration space over \mathbb{R}^d , $d \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\Gamma := \{ \gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^d : |\gamma \cap \Lambda| < \infty \text{ for every compact } \Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d \},$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes the cardinality of a set. We identify each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with the non-negative Radon measure $\sum_{x \in \gamma} \delta_x$ on the Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where δ_x is the Dirac measure with mass at x , which allows to endow Γ with the vague topology and the corresponding Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$.

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ let

$$\Gamma^{(n)} := \{ \gamma \in \Gamma : |\gamma| = n \}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \Gamma^{(0)} := \{\emptyset\}.$$

Clearly, each $\Gamma^{(n)}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, can be identify with the symmetrization of the set $\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n : x_i \neq x_j \text{ if } i \neq j\}$, which induces a natural (metrizable) topology on $\Gamma^{(n)}$ and the corresponding Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma^{(n)})$. In particular, for the Lebesgue product measure $(dx)^{\otimes n}$ fixed on $(\mathbb{R}^d)^n$, this identification yields a measure $m^{(n)}$ on $(\Gamma^{(n)}, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma^{(n)}))$. For $n = 0$ we set $m^{(0)}(\{\emptyset\}) := 1$. This leads to the definition of the space of finite configurations

$$\Gamma_0 := \bigsqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma^{(n)}$$

endowed with the topology of disjoint union of topological spaces and the corresponding Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma_0)$, and to the so-called Lebesgue-Poisson measure on $(\Gamma_0, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma_0))$,

$$(2.1) \quad \lambda := \lambda_{dx} := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} m^{(n)}.$$

Let $\mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the set of all bounded Borel sets in \mathbb{R}^d and, for each $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$, let $\Gamma_\Lambda := \{ \eta \in \Gamma : \eta \subset \Lambda \}$. Evidently $\Gamma_\Lambda = \bigsqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma_\Lambda^{(n)}$, where $\Gamma_\Lambda^{(n)} := \Gamma_\Lambda \cap \Gamma^{(n)}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Given a complex-valued $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma_0)$ -measurable function G such that $G \upharpoonright_{\Gamma \setminus \Gamma_\Lambda} \equiv 0$ for some $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the K -transform of G is a mapping $KG : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined at each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ by

$$(2.2) \quad (KG)(\gamma) := \sum_{\substack{\eta \subset \gamma \\ |\eta| < \infty}} G(\eta).$$

It has been shown in [7] that the K -transform is a linear and invertible mapping.

Let $\mathcal{M}_{\text{fm}}^1(\Gamma)$ be the set of all probability measures μ on $(\Gamma, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma))$ with finite local moments of all orders, i.e.,

$$\int_{\Gamma} d\mu(\gamma) |\gamma \cap \Lambda|^n < \infty \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and all } \Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

and let $B_{\text{bs}}(\Gamma_0)$ be the set of all complex-valued bounded $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma_0)$ -measurable functions with bounded support, i.e., $G \upharpoonright_{\Gamma_0 \setminus (\bigsqcup_{n=0}^N \Gamma_\Lambda^{(n)})} \equiv 0$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Given

a $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{fm}}^1(\Gamma)$, the so-called correlation measure ρ_μ corresponding to μ is a measure on $(\Gamma_0, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma_0))$ defined for all $G \in B_{\text{bs}}(\Gamma_0)$ by

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{\Gamma_0} d\rho_\mu(\eta) G(\eta) = \int_{\Gamma} d\mu(\gamma) (KG)(\gamma).$$

This definition implies, in particular, that $B_{\text{bs}}(\Gamma_0) \subset L^1(\Gamma_0, \rho_\mu)$.¹ Moreover, still by (2.3), on $B_{\text{bs}}(\Gamma_0)$ the inequality $\|KG\|_{L^1(\Gamma, \mu)} \leq \|G\|_{L^1(\Gamma_0, \rho_\mu)}$ holds, allowing an extension of the K -transform to a bounded operator $K : L^1(\Gamma_0, \rho_\mu) \rightarrow L^1(\Gamma, \mu)$ in such a way that equality (2.3) still holds for any $G \in L^1(\Gamma_0, \rho_\mu)$. For the extended operator the explicit form (2.2) still holds, now μ -a.e. In particular, for coherent states $e_\lambda(f)$ of complex-valued $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -measurable functions f ,

$$(2.4) \quad e_\lambda(f, \eta) := \prod_{x \in \eta} f(x), \quad \eta \in \Gamma_0 \setminus \{\emptyset\}, \quad e_\lambda(f, \emptyset) := 1.$$

Additionally, if f has compact support we have

$$(2.5) \quad (Ke_\lambda(f))(\gamma) = \prod_{x \in \gamma} (1 + f(x))$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, while for functions f such that $e_\lambda(f) \in L^1(\Gamma_0, \rho_\mu)$ equality (2.5) holds, but only for μ -a.a. $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Concerning the Lebesgue-Poisson measure (2.1), we observe that $e_\lambda(f) \in L^p(\Gamma_0, \lambda)$ whenever $f \in L^p := L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, dx)$ for some $p \geq 1$. In this case, $\|e_\lambda(f)\|_{L^p}^p = \exp(\|f\|_{L^p}^p)$. In particular, for $p = 1$, in addition we have

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} d\lambda(\eta) e_\lambda(f, \eta) = \exp\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx f(x)\right),$$

for all $f \in L^1$. For more details see [8].

2.2. Bogoliubov generating functionals. Given a probability measure μ on $(\Gamma, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma))$ the so-called Bogoliubov generating functional (GF for short) B_μ corresponding to μ is the functional defined at each $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -measurable function θ by

$$(2.6) \quad B_\mu(\theta) := \int_{\Gamma} d\mu(\gamma) \prod_{x \in \gamma} (1 + \theta(x)),$$

provided the right-hand side exists. It is clear from (2.6) that the domain of a GF B_μ depends on the underlying measure μ and, conversely, the domain of B_μ reflects special properties over the measure μ . Throughout this work we will consider GF defined on the whole complex L^1 space. This implies, in particular, that the underlying measure μ has finite local exponential moments, i.e.,

$$\int_{\Gamma} d\mu(\gamma) e^{\alpha|\gamma \cap \Lambda|} < \infty \quad \text{for all } \alpha > 0 \text{ and all } \Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

and thus $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{fm}}^1(\Gamma)$. According to the previous subsection, this implies that to such a measure μ one may associate the correlation measure ρ_μ , which leads to a description of the functional B_μ in terms of either the measure ρ_μ :

$$B_\mu(\theta) = \int_{\Gamma} d\mu(\gamma) (Ke_\lambda(\theta))(\gamma) = \int_{\Gamma_0} d\rho_\mu(\eta) e_\lambda(\theta, \eta),$$

or the so-called correlation function $k_\mu := \frac{d\rho_\mu}{d\lambda}$ corresponding to the measure μ , if ρ_μ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue-Poisson measure λ :

$$(2.7) \quad B_\mu(\theta) = \int_{\Gamma_0} d\lambda(\eta) e_\lambda(\theta, \eta) k_\mu(\eta).$$

¹Throughout this work all L^p -spaces, $p \geq 1$, consist of complex-valued functions.

Throughout this work we will assume, in addition, that GF are entire on the L^1 space [9], which is a natural environment, namely, to recover the notion of correlation function. For a generic entire functional B on L^1 , this assumption implies that B has a representation in terms of its Taylor expansion,

$$B(\theta_0 + z\theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!} d^n B(\theta_0; \theta, \dots, \theta), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \theta \in L^1,$$

being each differential $d^n B(\theta_0; \cdot)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\theta_0 \in L^1$ defined by a symmetric kernel

$$\delta^n B(\theta_0; \cdot) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{dn}) := L^\infty((\mathbb{R}^d)^n, (dx)^{\otimes n}),$$

called the variational derivative of n -th order of B at the point θ_0 . That is,

$$(2.8) \quad d^n B(\theta_0; \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) := \frac{\partial^n}{\partial z_1 \dots \partial z_n} B \left(\theta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \theta_i \right) \Big|_{z_1 = \dots = z_n = 0} \\ =: \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} dx_1 \dots dx_n \delta^n B(\theta_0; x_1, \dots, x_n) \prod_{i=1}^n \theta_i(x_i)$$

for all $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n \in L^1$. Moreover, the operator norm of the bounded n -linear functional $d^n B(\theta_0; \cdot)$ is equal to $\|\delta^n B(\theta_0; \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{dn})}$ and for all $r > 0$ one has

$$(2.9) \quad \|\delta B(\theta_0; \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \frac{1}{r} \sup_{\|\theta'\|_{L^1} \leq r} |B(\theta_0 + \theta')|$$

and, for $n \geq 2$,

$$(2.10) \quad \|\delta^n B(\theta_0; \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{dn})} \leq n! \left(\frac{e}{r}\right)^n \sup_{\|\theta'\|_{L^1} \leq r} |B(\theta_0 + \theta')|.$$

In particular, if B is an entire GF B_μ on L^1 then, in terms of the underlying measure μ , the entireness property of B_μ implies that the correlation measure ρ_μ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue-Poisson measure λ and the Radon-Nykodim derivative $k_\mu = \frac{d\rho_\mu}{d\lambda}$ is given by

$$k_\mu(\eta) = \delta^{|\eta|} B_\mu(0; \eta) \quad \text{for } \lambda\text{-a.a. } \eta \in \Gamma_0.$$

In what follows, for each $\alpha > 0$, we consider the Banach space \mathcal{E}_α of all entire functionals B on L^1 such that

$$\|B\|_\alpha := \sup_{\theta \in L^1} \left(|B(\theta)| e^{-\frac{1}{\alpha} \|\theta\|_{L^1}} \right) < \infty,$$

see [9]. This class of Banach spaces has the particularity that, for each $\alpha_0 > 0$, the family $\{\mathcal{E}_\alpha : 0 < \alpha \leq \alpha_0\}$ is a scale of Banach spaces, that is,

$$\mathcal{E}_{\alpha''} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{\alpha'}, \quad \|\cdot\|_{\alpha'} \leq \|\cdot\|_{\alpha''}$$

for any pair α', α'' such that $0 < \alpha' < \alpha'' \leq \alpha_0$.

3. THE KAWASAKI DYNAMICS

The Kawasaki dynamics is an example of a hopping particle model where, in this case, particles randomly hop over the space \mathbb{R}^d according to a rate depending on the interaction between particles. More precisely, let $a : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be an even and integrable function and let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be a pair potential, that is, a $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -measurable function such that $\phi(-x) = \phi(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$, which we will assume to be integrable. A particle located at a site x in a given configuration $\gamma \in \Gamma$

hops to a site y according to a rate given by $a(x-y)\exp(-E(y,\gamma))$, where $E(y,\gamma)$ is a relative energy of interaction between the site y and the configuration γ defined by

$$E(y,\gamma) := \sum_{x \in \gamma} \phi(x-y) \in [0, +\infty].$$

Informally, the behavior of such an infinite particle system is described by

$$(3.1) \quad (LF)(\gamma) = \sum_{x \in \gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy a(x-y) e^{-E(y,\gamma)} (F(\gamma \setminus \{x\} \cup \{y\}) - F(\gamma)).$$

Given an infinite particle system, as the Kawasaki dynamics, its time evolution in terms of states is informally given by the so-called Fokker-Planck equation,

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{d\mu_t}{dt} = L^* \mu_t, \quad \mu_t|_{t=0} = \mu_0,$$

where L^* is the dual operator of L . Technically, the use of definition (2.3) allows an alternative approach to the study of (3.2) through the corresponding correlation functions $k_t := k_{\mu_t}$, $t \geq 0$, provided they exist. This leads to the Cauchy problem

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} k_t = \hat{L}^* k_t, \quad k_t|_{t=0} = k_0,$$

where k_0 is the correlation function corresponding to the initial distribution μ_0 and \hat{L}^* is the dual operator of $\hat{L} := K^{-1}LK$ in the sense

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} d\lambda(\eta) (\hat{L}G)(\eta) k(\eta) = \int_{\Gamma_0} d\lambda(\eta) G(\eta) (\hat{L}^* k)(\eta).$$

Through the representation (2.7), this gives us a way to express the dynamics also in terms of the GF B_t corresponding to μ_t , i.e., informally,

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B_t(\theta) &= \int_{\Gamma_0} d\lambda(\eta) e_\lambda(\theta, \eta) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} k_t(\eta) \right) = \int_{\Gamma_0} d\lambda(\eta) e_\lambda(\theta, \eta) (\hat{L}^* k_t)(\eta) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_0} d\lambda(\eta) (\hat{L}e_\lambda(\theta))(\eta) k_t(\eta) =: (\tilde{L}B_t)(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

This leads to the time evolution equation

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{\partial B_t}{\partial t} = \tilde{L}B_t,$$

where, in the case of the Kawasaki dynamics, \tilde{L} is given cf. [4] by

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} &(\tilde{L}B)(\theta) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy a(x-y) e^{-\phi(x-y)} (\theta(y) - \theta(x)) \delta B(\theta e^{-\phi(y-\cdot)} + e^{-\phi(y-\cdot)} - 1; x). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.1. *Given an $\alpha_0 > 0$, let $B_0 \in \mathcal{E}_{\alpha_0}$. For each $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0)$ there is a $T > 0$ (which depends on α, α_0) such that there is a unique solution B_t , $t \in [0, T)$, to the initial value problem (3.4), (3.5), $B_t|_{t=0} = B_0$ in the space \mathcal{E}_α .*

This theorem follows as a particular application of an abstract Ovsjannikov-type result in a scale of Banach spaces which can be found e.g. in [5, Theorem 2.5], and the following estimate of norms.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $0 < \alpha < \alpha_0$ be given. If $B \in \mathcal{E}_{\alpha'}$ for some $\alpha' \in (\alpha, \alpha_0]$, then $\tilde{L}B \in \mathcal{E}_{\alpha'}$ for all $\alpha \leq \alpha' < \alpha''$, and we have*

$$\|\tilde{L}B\|_{\alpha'} \leq 2e^{\frac{\|\phi\|_{L^1}}{\alpha}} \|a\|_{L^1} \frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha'' - \alpha'} \|B\|_{\alpha''}.$$

To prove this result as well as other forthcoming ones the next lemma shows to be useful.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\varphi, \psi : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that, for a.a. $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\varphi(y, \cdot) \in L^\infty := L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\psi(y, \cdot) \in L^1$ and $\|\varphi(y, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \leq c_0$, $\|\psi(y, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq c_1$ for some constants $c_0, c_1 > 0$ independent of y . For each $\alpha > 0$ and all $B \in \mathcal{E}_\alpha$ let*

$$(L_0 B)(\theta) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy a(x-y) e^{-k\phi(x-y)} (\theta(y) - \theta(x)) \delta B(\varphi(y, \cdot)\theta + \psi(y, \cdot); x),$$

$\theta \in L^1$. Here a and ϕ are defined as before and $k \geq 0$ is a constant. Then, for all $\alpha' > 0$ such that $c_0\alpha' < \alpha$, we have $L_0 B \in \mathcal{E}_{\alpha'}$ and

$$\|L_0 B\|_{\alpha'} \leq 2e^{\frac{c_1}{\alpha}} \|a\|_{L^1} \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha - c_0\alpha'} \|B\|_\alpha.$$

Proof. First we observe that from the considerations done in Subsection 2.2 it follows that $L_0 B$ is an entire functional on L^1 and, in addition, that for all $r > 0$, $\theta \in L^1$, and a.a. $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta B(\varphi(y, \cdot)\theta + \psi(y, \cdot); x)| &\leq \|\delta B(\varphi(y, \cdot)\theta + \psi(y, \cdot); \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r} \sup_{\|\theta_0\|_{L^1} \leq r} |B(\varphi(y, \cdot)\theta + \psi(y, \cdot) + \theta_0)|, \end{aligned}$$

where, for all $\theta_0 \in L^1$ such that $\|\theta_0\|_{L^1} \leq r$,

$$|B(\varphi(y, \cdot)\theta + \psi(y, \cdot) + \theta_0)| \leq \|B\|_\alpha e^{\frac{\|\varphi(y, \cdot)\theta + \psi(y, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + r}{\alpha}} \leq \|B\|_\alpha e^{\frac{c_0\|\theta\|_{L^1} + c_1 + r}{\alpha}}.$$

As a result, due to the positiveness of ϕ and to the fact that a is an even function, for all $\theta \in L^1$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} |(L_0 B)(\theta)| &\leq \frac{1}{r} e^{\frac{c_0\|\theta\|_{L^1} + c_1 + r}{\alpha}} \|B\|_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy a(x-y) e^{-k\phi(x-y)} |\theta(y) - \theta(x)| \\ &\leq \frac{2}{r} e^{\frac{c_1 + r}{\alpha}} \|a\|_{L^1} \|\theta\|_{L^1} e^{\frac{c_0\|\theta\|_{L^1}}{\alpha}} \|B\|_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_0 B\|_{\alpha'} &= \sup_{\theta \in L^1} \left(e^{-\frac{1}{\alpha'} \|\theta\|_{L^1}} |(L_0 B)(\theta)| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{r} e^{\frac{c_1 + r}{\alpha}} \|a\|_{L^1} \|B\|_\alpha \sup_{\theta \in L^1} \left(e^{-\left(\frac{1}{\alpha'} - \frac{c_0}{\alpha}\right) \|\theta\|_{L^1}} \|\theta\|_{L^1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the supremum is finite provided $\frac{1}{\alpha'} - \frac{c_0}{\alpha} > 0$. In such a situation, the use of the inequality $x e^{-mx} \leq \frac{1}{em}$, $x \geq 0$, $m > 0$ leads for each $r > 0$ to

$$\|L_0 B\|_{\alpha'} \leq \frac{2}{r} \|a\|_{L^1} e^{\frac{c_1 + r}{\alpha}} \frac{\alpha\alpha'}{\alpha - c_0\alpha'} \|B\|_\alpha.$$

The required estimate of norms follows by minimizing the expression $\frac{1}{r} e^{\frac{c_1 + r}{\alpha}}$ in the parameter r , that is, $r = \alpha$. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.2. In Lemma 3.3 replace φ by $e^{-\phi}$ and ψ by $e^{-\phi} - 1$, and consider $k = 1$. Due to the positiveness and integrability properties of ϕ one has $e^{-\phi} \leq 1$ and $|e^{-\phi} - 1| = 1 - e^{-\phi} \leq \phi \in L^1$, ensuring the conditions to apply Lemma 3.3. \square

Remark 3.4. *Concerning the initial conditions considered in Theorem 3.1, observe that, in particular, B_0 can be an entire GF B_{μ_0} on L^1 such that, for some constants $\alpha_0, C > 0$, $|B_{\mu_0}(\theta)| \leq C \exp\left(\frac{\|\theta\|_{L^1}}{\alpha_0}\right)$ for all $\theta \in L^1$. In such a situation an additional analysis is need in order to guarantee that for each t the local solution B_t given by Theorem 3.1 is a GF (corresponding to some measure). For more details see e.g. [5, 9] and references therein.*

4. VLASOV SCALING

We proceed to investigate the Vlasov-type scaling proposed in [3] for generic continuous particle systems and accomplished in [1] for the Kawasaki dynamics. As explained in both references, we start with a rescaling of an initial correlation function k_0 , denoted by $k_0^{(\varepsilon)}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, which has a singularity with respect to ε of the type $k_0^{(\varepsilon)}(\eta) \sim \varepsilon^{-|\eta|} r_0(\eta)$, $\eta \in \Gamma_0$, being r_0 a function independent of ε . The aim is to construct a scaling of the operator L defined in (3.1), L_ε , $\varepsilon > 0$, in such a way that the following two conditions are fulfilled. The first one is that under the scaling $L \mapsto L_\varepsilon$ the solution $k_t^{(\varepsilon)}$, $t \geq 0$, to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} k_t^{(\varepsilon)} = \hat{L}_\varepsilon^* k_t^{(\varepsilon)}, \quad k_t^{(\varepsilon)}|_{t=0} = k_0^{(\varepsilon)}$$

preserves the order of the singularity with respect to ε , that is, $k_t^{(\varepsilon)}(\eta) \sim \varepsilon^{-|\eta|} r_t(\eta)$, $\eta \in \Gamma_0$. The second condition is that the dynamics $r_0 \mapsto r_t$ preserves the Lebesgue-Poisson exponents, that is, if r_0 is of the form $r_0 = e_\lambda(\rho_0)$, then each r_t , $t > 0$, is of the same type, i.e., $r_t = e_\lambda(\rho_t)$, where ρ_t is a solution to a non-linear equation (called a Vlasov-type equation).

The previous scheme was accomplished in [1] through the scale transformation $\phi \mapsto \varepsilon\phi$ of the operator L , that is,

$$(L_\varepsilon F)(\gamma) := \sum_{x \in \gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy a(x-y) e^{-\varepsilon E(y, \gamma)} (F(\gamma \setminus \{x\} \cup \{y\}) - F(\gamma)).$$

As shown in [3, Example 12], [1], the corresponding Vlasov-type equation is given by

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_t(x) = (\rho_t * a)(x) e^{-(\rho_t * \phi)(x)} - \rho_t(x) (a * e^{-(\rho_t * \phi)})(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $*$ denotes the usual convolution of functions. Existence of classical solutions $0 \leq \rho_t \in L^\infty$ to (4.1) has been discussed in [1]. Therefore, it is natural to consider the same scaling, but in GF.

To proceed towards GF, we consider $k_t^{(\varepsilon)}$ defined as before and $k_{t, \text{ren}}^{(\varepsilon)}(\eta) := \varepsilon^{|\eta|} k_t^{(\varepsilon)}(\eta)$. In terms of GF, these yield

$$B_t^{(\varepsilon)}(\theta) := \int_{\Gamma_0} d\lambda(\eta) e_\lambda(\theta, \eta) k_t^{(\varepsilon)}(\eta),$$

and

$$B_{t, \text{ren}}^{(\varepsilon)}(\theta) := \int_{\Gamma_0} d\lambda(\eta) e_\lambda(\theta, \eta) k_{t, \text{ren}}^{(\varepsilon)}(\eta) = \int_{\Gamma_0} d\lambda(\eta) e_\lambda(\varepsilon\theta, \eta) k_t^{(\varepsilon)}(\eta) = B_t^{(\varepsilon)}(\varepsilon\theta),$$

leading, as in (3.3), to the initial value problem

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B_{t, \text{ren}}^{(\varepsilon)} = \tilde{L}_{\varepsilon, \text{ren}} B_{t, \text{ren}}^{(\varepsilon)}, \quad B_{t, \text{ren}}^{(\varepsilon)}|_{t=0} = B_{0, \text{ren}}^{(\varepsilon)}.$$

Proposition 4.1. *For all $\varepsilon > 0$ and all $\theta \in L^1$, we have*

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} (\tilde{L}_{\varepsilon, \text{ren}} B)(\theta) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy a(x-y) e^{-\varepsilon\phi(x-y)} (\theta(y) - \theta(x)) \\ &\times \delta B \left(\theta e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} + \frac{e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} - 1}{\varepsilon}; x \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since

$$(\tilde{L}_{\varepsilon, \text{ren}} B)(\theta) = \int_{\Gamma_0} d\lambda(\eta) (\hat{L}_{\varepsilon, \text{ren}} e_\lambda(\theta))(\eta) k(\eta),$$

first we have to calculate $(\hat{L}_{\varepsilon, \text{ren}} e_\lambda(\theta))(\eta) := \varepsilon^{-|\eta|} \hat{L}_\varepsilon(e_\lambda(\varepsilon\theta, \eta))$, $\hat{L}_\varepsilon = K^{-1}L_\varepsilon K$ cf. [3]. Similar calculations done in [4, Subsection 4.2.1] show

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{L}_{\varepsilon, \text{ren}} e_\lambda(\theta))(\eta) &= \sum_{x \in \eta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy a(x-y) e^{-\varepsilon\phi(x-y)} (\theta(y) - \theta(x)) \\ &\quad \times e_\lambda \left(\theta e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} + \frac{e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} - 1}{\varepsilon}, \eta \setminus \{x\} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and thus, using the relation between variational derivatives derived in [9, Proposition 11], one finds

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{L}_{\varepsilon, \text{ren}} B)(\theta) &= \int_{\Gamma_0} d\lambda(\eta) k(\eta) \sum_{x \in \eta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy a(x-y) e^{-\varepsilon\phi(x-y)} (\theta(y) - \theta(x)) \\ &\quad \times e_\lambda \left(\theta e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} + \frac{e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} - 1}{\varepsilon}, \eta \setminus \{x\} \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy a(x-y) e^{-\varepsilon\phi(x-y)} (\theta(y) - \theta(x)) \\ &\quad \int_{\Gamma_0} d\lambda(\eta) k(\eta \cup \{x\}) e_\lambda \left(\theta e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} + \frac{e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} - 1}{\varepsilon}, \eta \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy a(x-y) e^{-\varepsilon\phi(x-y)} (\theta(y) - \theta(x)) \\ &\quad \times \delta B \left(\theta e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} + \frac{e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} - 1}{\varepsilon}; x \right). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.2. (i) If $B \in \mathcal{E}_\alpha$ for some $\alpha > 0$, then, for all $\theta \in L^1$, $(\tilde{L}_{\varepsilon, \text{ren}} B)(\theta)$ converges as ε tends to zero to

$$(\tilde{L}_V B)(\theta) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy a(x-y) (\theta(y) - \theta(x)) \delta B(\theta - \phi(y-\cdot); x).$$

(ii) Let $\alpha_0 > \alpha > 0$ be given. If $B \in \mathcal{E}_{\alpha''}$ for some $\alpha'' \in (\alpha, \alpha_0]$, then $\{\tilde{L}_{\varepsilon, \text{ren}} B, \tilde{L}_V B\} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\alpha'}$ for all $\alpha \leq \alpha' < \alpha''$, and we have

$$\|\tilde{L}_\# B\|_{\alpha'} \leq 2 \|a\|_{L^1} \frac{\alpha_0}{(\alpha'' - \alpha')} e^{\frac{\|\phi\|_{L^1}}{\alpha}} \|B\|_{\alpha''}$$

where $\tilde{L}_\# = \tilde{L}_{\varepsilon, \text{ren}}$ or $\tilde{L}_\# = \tilde{L}_V$.

Proof. (i) To prove this result we first analyze the pointwise convergence of the variational derivative (4.3) appearing in $\tilde{L}_{\varepsilon, \text{ren}}$. For this purpose we will use the relation between variational derivatives derived in [9, Proposition 11], i.e.,

$$\delta B(\theta_1 + \theta_2; x) = \int_{\Gamma_0} d\lambda(\eta) \delta^{|\eta|+1} B(\theta_1; \eta \cup \{x\}) e_\lambda(\theta_2, \eta), \quad a.a. x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \theta_1, \theta_2 \in L^1,$$

which allows to rewrite (4.3) as

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta B \left(\theta e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} + \frac{e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} - 1}{\varepsilon}; x \right) \\ (4.4) \quad &= \int_{\Gamma_0} d\lambda(\eta) \delta^{|\eta|+1} B(\theta - \phi(y-\cdot); \eta \cup \{x\}) \\ &\quad \times e_\lambda \left(\theta \left(e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} - 1 \right) + \frac{e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} - 1}{\varepsilon} + \phi(y-\cdot), \eta \right), \end{aligned}$$

for a.a. $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Concerning the function

$$f_\varepsilon := f_\varepsilon(\theta, \phi, y) := \theta \left(e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} - 1 \right) + \frac{e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} - 1}{\varepsilon} + \phi(y-\cdot)$$

which appears in (4.4), for a.a. $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, one clearly has $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f_\varepsilon = 0$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^d . By definition (2.4), the latter implies that $e_\lambda(f_\varepsilon)$ converges λ -a.e. to $e_\lambda(0)$. Moreover, for the whole integrand function in (4.4), estimates (2.9), (2.10) yield for any $r > 0$ and λ -a.a. $\eta \in \Gamma_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \delta^{|\eta|+1} B(\theta - \phi(y-\cdot); \eta \cup \{x\}) e_\lambda(f_\varepsilon, \eta) \right| \\ & \leq \left\| \delta^{|\eta|+1} B(\theta - \phi(y-\cdot); \cdot) \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d(|\eta|+1)})} e_\lambda(|f_\varepsilon|, \eta) \\ & \leq (|\eta|+1)! \left(\frac{e}{r} \right)^{|\eta|+1} e_\lambda(|f_\varepsilon|, \eta) \sup_{\|\theta_0\|_{L^1} \leq r} |B(\theta - \phi(y-\cdot) + \theta_0)| \\ & \leq (|\eta|+1)! \left(\frac{e}{r} \right)^{|\eta|+1} e_\lambda(|\theta| + 2|\phi(y-\cdot)|, \eta) e^{\frac{\|\theta - \phi(y-\cdot)\|_{L^1} + r}{\alpha}} \|B\|_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} d\lambda(\eta) (|\eta|+1)! \left(\frac{e}{r} \right)^{|\eta|+1} e_\lambda(|\theta| + 2|\phi(y-\cdot)|, \eta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) \left(\frac{e}{r} \right)^{n+1} (\|\theta\|_{L^1} + 2\|\phi\|_{L^1})^n$$

being finite for any $r > e(\|\theta\|_{L^1} + 2\|\phi\|_{L^1})$.

As a result, by an application of the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we have proved that, for a.a. $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, (4.4) converges as ε tends to zero to

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} d\lambda(\eta) \delta^{|\eta|+1} B(\theta - \phi(y-\cdot); \eta \cup \{x\}) e_\lambda(0, \eta) = \delta B(\theta - \phi(y-\cdot); x).$$

In addition, for the integrand function which appears in $(\tilde{L}_{\varepsilon, \text{ren}} B)(\theta)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| a(x-y) e^{-\varepsilon\phi(x-y)} (\theta(y) - \theta(x)) \delta B \left(\theta e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} + \frac{e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} - 1}{\varepsilon}; x \right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{e}{\alpha} a(x-y) |\theta(y) - \theta(x)| \|B\|_\alpha \exp \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \|\theta\|_{L^1} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \|\phi\|_{L^1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and a.a. $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, leading through a second application of the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem to the required limit.

(ii) In Lemma 3.3 replace φ by $e^{-\varepsilon\phi}$, ψ by $\frac{e^{-\varepsilon\phi}-1}{\varepsilon}$, and k by ε . Arguments similar to prove Proposition 3.2 complete the proof for $\tilde{L}_{\varepsilon, \text{ren}}$. A similar proof holds for \tilde{L}_V . \square

Proposition 4.2 (ii) provides similar estimate of norms for $\tilde{L}_{\varepsilon, \text{ren}}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and the limiting mapping \tilde{L}_V . According to the Ovsjannikov-type result used to prove Theorem 3.1, this means that given any $B_{0,V}, B_{0, \text{ren}}^{(\varepsilon)} \in \mathcal{E}_{\alpha_0}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, for each $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0)$ there is a $T > 0$ such that there is a unique solution $B_{t, \text{ren}}^{(\varepsilon)} : [0, T) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\alpha$, $\varepsilon > 0$, to each initial value problem (4.2) and a unique solution $B_{t,V} : [0, T) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\alpha$ to the initial value problem

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B_{t,V} = \tilde{L}_V B_{t,V}, \quad B_{t,V}|_{t=0} = B_{0,V}.$$

In other words, independent of the initial value problem under consideration, the solutions obtained are defined on the same time-interval and with values in the same Banach space. For more details see e.g. Theorem 2.5 and its proof in [5]. Therefore, it is natural to analyze under which conditions the solutions to (4.2) converge to the solution to (4.5). This follows from a general result presented in [5] (Theorem 4.3). However, to proceed to an application of this general result one needs the following estimate of norms.

Proposition 4.3. *Assume that $0 \leq \phi \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$ and let $\alpha_0 > \alpha > 0$ be given. Then, for all $B \in \mathcal{E}_{\alpha''}$, $\alpha'' \in (\alpha, \alpha_0]$, the following estimate holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\tilde{L}_{\varepsilon, \text{ren}} B - \tilde{L}_V B\|_{\alpha'} \\ & \leq 2\varepsilon \|a\|_{L^1} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty} \frac{e\alpha_0}{\alpha} \|B\|_{\alpha''} e^{\frac{\|\phi\|_{L^1}}{\alpha}} \left(\left(2e\|\phi\|_{L^1} + \frac{\alpha_0}{e} \right) \frac{1}{\alpha'' - \alpha'} + \frac{8\alpha_0^2}{(\alpha'' - \alpha')^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all α' such that $\alpha \leq \alpha' < \alpha''$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. First we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (\tilde{L}_{\varepsilon, \text{ren}} B)(\theta) - (\tilde{L}_V B)(\theta) \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy a(x-y) |\theta(y) - \theta(x)| \\ & \times \left| e^{-\varepsilon\phi(x-y)} \delta B \left(\theta e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} + \frac{e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} - 1}{\varepsilon}; x \right) - \delta B(\theta - \phi(y-\cdot); x) \right| \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| e^{-\varepsilon\phi(x-y)} \delta B \left(\theta e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} + \frac{e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} - 1}{\varepsilon}; x \right) - \delta B(\theta - \phi(y-\cdot); x) \right| \\ (4.6) \quad & \leq \left| \delta B \left(\theta e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} + \frac{e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} - 1}{\varepsilon}; x \right) - \delta B(\theta - \phi(y-\cdot); x) \right| \\ & + \left(1 - e^{-\varepsilon\phi(x-y)} \right) |\delta B(\theta - \phi(y-\cdot); x)|. \end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate (4.6), given any $\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2 \in L^1$, let us consider the function $C_{\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2}(t) = dB(t\theta_1 + (1-t)\theta_2; \theta_0)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, where dB is the first order differential of B , defined in (2.8). One has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} C_{\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2}(t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial s} C_{\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2}(t+s) \Big|_{s=0} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial s} dB(\theta_2 + t(\theta_1 - \theta_2) + s(\theta_1 - \theta_2); \theta_0) \Big|_{s=0} \\ &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s_1 \partial s_2} B(\theta_2 + t(\theta_1 - \theta_2) + s_1(\theta_1 - \theta_2) + s_2\theta_0) \Big|_{s_1=s_2=0} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy (\theta_1(x) - \theta_2(x)) \theta_0(y) \delta^2 B(\theta_2 + t(\theta_1 - \theta_2); x, y), \end{aligned}$$

leading to

$$\begin{aligned} & |dB(\theta_1; \theta_0) - dB(\theta_2; \theta_0)| \\ &= |C_{\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2}(1) - C_{\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2}(0)| \\ &\leq \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy |\theta_1(x) - \theta_2(x)| |\theta_0(y)| |\delta^2 B(\theta_2 + t(\theta_1 - \theta_2); x, y)| \\ &\leq \|\theta_1 - \theta_2\|_{L^1} \|\theta_0\|_{L^1} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \|\delta^2 B(\theta_2 + t(\theta_1 - \theta_2); \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}, \end{aligned}$$

where, through estimate (2.10) with $r = \alpha''$,

$$\|\delta^2 B(\theta_2 + t(\theta_1 - \theta_2); \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \leq 2 \frac{e^3}{\alpha''^2} \|B\|_{\alpha''} \exp\left(\frac{\|\theta_2 + t(\theta_1 - \theta_2)\|_{L^1}}{\alpha''}\right).$$

As a result,

$$\begin{aligned} & |dB(\theta_1; \theta_0) - dB(\theta_2; \theta_0)| \\ & \leq 2 \frac{e^3}{\alpha''^2} \|\theta_1 - \theta_2\|_{L^1} \|\theta_0\|_{L^1} \|B\|_{\alpha''} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \exp\left(\frac{t\|\theta_1\|_{L^1} + (1-t)\|\theta_2\|_{L^1}}{\alpha''}\right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2 \in L^1$. In particular, this shows that for all $\theta_0 \in L^1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| dB \left(\theta e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} + \frac{e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} - 1}{\varepsilon}; \theta_0 \right) - dB(\theta - \phi(y-\cdot); \theta_0) \right| \\ & \leq 2\varepsilon \frac{e^3}{\alpha''^2} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty} \|B\|_{\alpha''} (\|\theta\|_{L^1} + \|\phi\|_{L^1}) \|\theta_0\|_{L^1} \\ & \quad \times \max_{t \in [0,1]} \exp \left(\frac{1}{\alpha''} (t(\|\theta\|_{L^1} + \|\phi\|_{L^1}) + (1-t)(\|\theta\|_{L^1} + \|\phi\|_{L^1})) \right) \\ & = 2\varepsilon \frac{e^3}{\alpha''^2} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty} \|B\|_{\alpha''} (\|\theta\|_{L^1} + \|\phi\|_{L^1}) \exp \left(\frac{1}{\alpha''} (\|\theta\|_{L^1} + \|\phi\|_{L^1}) \right) \|\theta_0\|_{L^1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} - \theta\|_{L^1} & \leq \varepsilon \|\phi\|_{L^\infty} \|\theta\|_{L^1}, \\ \left\| \frac{e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} - 1}{\varepsilon} + \phi(y-\cdot) \right\|_{L^1} & \leq \varepsilon \|\phi\|_{L^\infty} \|\phi\|_{L^1}, \\ \left\| \theta e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} + \frac{e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} - 1}{\varepsilon} \right\|_{L^1} & \leq \|\theta\|_{L^1} + \|\phi\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, we have shown that the norm of the bounded linear functional on L^1

$$L^1 \ni \theta_0 \mapsto dB \left(\theta e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} + \frac{e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} - 1}{\varepsilon}; \theta_0 \right) - dB(\theta - \phi(y-\cdot); \theta_0)$$

is bounded by

$$Q := 2\varepsilon \frac{e^3}{\alpha''^2} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty} \|B\|_{\alpha''} (\|\theta\|_{L^1} + \|\phi\|_{L^1}) \exp \left(\frac{1}{\alpha''} (\|\theta\|_{L^1} + \|\phi\|_{L^1}) \right).$$

Since this operator norm is given by

$$\left\| \delta B \left(\theta e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} + \frac{e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} - 1}{\varepsilon}; \cdot \right) - \delta B(\theta - \phi(y-\cdot); \cdot) \right\|_{L^\infty}$$

cf. Subsection 2.2, this means that

$$\left\| \delta B \left(\theta e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} + \frac{e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} - 1}{\varepsilon}; \cdot \right) - \delta B(\theta - \phi(y-\cdot); \cdot) \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq Q.$$

In this way we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (\tilde{L}_{\varepsilon, \text{ren}} B)(\theta) - (\tilde{L}_V B)(\theta) \right| \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy a(x-y) |\theta(y) - \theta(x)| \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \left\| \delta B \left(\theta e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} + \frac{e^{-\varepsilon\phi(y-\cdot)} - 1}{\varepsilon}; \cdot \right) - \delta B(\theta - \phi(y-\cdot); \cdot) \right\|_{L^\infty} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \varepsilon \|\phi\|_{L^\infty} \|\delta B(\theta - \phi(y-\cdot); \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \right\} \\ & \leq 2\varepsilon \|\phi\|_{L^\infty} \|a\|_{L^1} \frac{e}{\alpha''} \exp \left(\frac{1}{\alpha''} (\|\theta\|_{L^1} + \|\phi\|_{L^1}) \right) \|\theta\|_{L^1} \\ & \quad \times \left\{ 2 \frac{e^2}{\alpha''} (\|\theta\|_{L^1} + \|\phi\|_{L^1}) + 1 \right\} \|B\|_{\alpha''}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\tilde{L}_{\varepsilon, \text{ren}} B - \tilde{L}_V B\|_{\alpha'} \\ & \leq 2\varepsilon \|\phi\|_{L^\infty} \|a\|_{L^1} \frac{e}{\alpha''} e^{\frac{\|\phi\|_{L^1}}{\alpha''}} \left\{ 2 \frac{e^2}{\alpha''} \sup_{\theta \in L^1} \left(\|\theta\|_{L^1}^2 \exp \left(\|\theta\|_{L^1} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha''} - \frac{1}{\alpha'} \right) \right) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(2 \frac{e^2}{\alpha''} \|\phi\|_{L^1} + 1 \right) \sup_{\theta \in L^1} \left(\|\theta\|_{L^1} \exp \left(\|\theta\|_{L^1} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha''} - \frac{1}{\alpha'} \right) \right) \right) \right\} \|B\|_{\alpha''}, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof follows using the inequalities $xe^{-mx} \leq \frac{1}{me}$ and $x^2 e^{-mx} \leq \frac{4}{m^2 e^2}$ for $x \geq 0$, $m > 0$. \square

We are now in conditions to state the following result.

Theorem 4.4. *Given an $0 < \alpha < \alpha_0$, let $B_{t, \text{ren}}^{(\varepsilon)}, B_{t, V}$, $t \in [0, T)$, be the local solutions in \mathcal{E}_α to the initial value problems (4.2), (4.5) with $B_{0, \text{ren}}^{(\varepsilon)}, B_{0, V} \in \mathcal{E}_{\alpha_0}$. If $0 \leq \phi \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|B_{0, \text{ren}}^{(\varepsilon)} - B_{0, V}\|_{\alpha_0} = 0$, then, for each $t \in [0, T)$,*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|B_{t, \text{ren}}^{(\varepsilon)} - B_{t, V}\|_\alpha = 0.$$

Moreover, if $B_{0, V}(\theta) = \exp \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx \rho_0(x) \theta(x) \right)$, $\theta \in L^1$, for some function $0 \leq \rho_0 \in L^\infty$ such that $\|\rho_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_0}$, then for each $t \in [0, T)$,

$$(4.7) \quad B_{t, V}(\theta) = \exp \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx \rho_t(x) \theta(x) \right), \quad \theta \in L^1,$$

where $0 \leq \rho_t \in L^\infty$ is a classical solution to the equation (4.1).

Proof. The first part follows directly from Proposition 4.3 and [5, Theorem 4.3], taking in [5, Theorem 4.3] $p = 2$ and

$$N_\varepsilon = 2\varepsilon \|a\|_{L^1} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty} \frac{e\alpha_0}{\alpha} e^{\frac{\|\phi\|_{L^1}}{\alpha}} \max \left\{ 2e \|\phi\|_{L^1} + \frac{\alpha_0}{e}, 8\alpha_0^2 \right\}.$$

Concerning the last part, we begin by observing that it has been shown in [1, Subsection 4.2] that given a $0 \leq \rho_0 \in L^\infty$ such that $\|\rho_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_0}$, there is a solution $0 \leq \rho_t \in L^\infty$ to (4.1) such that $\|\rho_t\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_0}$. This implies that $B_{t, V}$, given by (4.7), does not leave the initial Banach space $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha_0} \subset \mathcal{E}_\alpha$. Then, by an argument of uniqueness, to prove the last assertion amounts to show that $B_{t, V}$ solves equation (4.5). For this purpose we note that for any $\theta, \theta_1 \in L^1$ we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} B_{t, V}(\theta + z_1 \theta_1) \Big|_{z_1=0} = B_{t, V}(\theta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx \rho_t(x) \theta_1(x),$$

and thus $\delta B_{t, V}(\theta; x) = B_{t, V}(\theta) \rho_t(x)$. Hence, for all $\theta \in L^1$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{L}_V B_{t, V})(\theta) &= B_{t, V}(\theta) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy a(x-y) (\theta(y) - \theta(x)) \rho_t(x) e^{-(\rho_t * \phi)(y)} \right) \\ &= B_{t, V}(\theta) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy \theta(y) (a * \rho_t)(y) e^{-(\rho_t * \phi)(y)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx \theta(x) \left(a * e^{-(\rho_t * \phi)(y)} \right)(x) \rho_t(x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since ρ_t is a classical solution to (4.1), ρ_t solves a weak form of equation (4.1), that is, the right-hand side of the latter equality is equal to

$$B_{t, V}(\theta) \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx \rho_t(x) \theta(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B_{t, V}(\theta). \quad \square$$

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Berns, Yu. G. Kondratiev, Yu. Kozitsky, and O. Kutoviy. Kawasaki dynamics in continuum: micro- and mesoscopic descriptions. SFB Preprint n. 11035, 2011.
- [2] N. N. Bogoliubov. *Problems of a Dynamical Theory in Statistical Physics*. Gostekhisdat, Moscow, 1946. (in Russian). English translation in J. de Boer and G. E. Uhlenbeck (editors), *Studies in Statistical Mechanics*, volume 1, pages 1–118. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1962.
- [3] D. L. Finkelshtein, Yu. G. Kondratiev, and O. Kutoviy. Vlasov scaling for stochastic dynamics of continuous systems. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 141:158–178, 2010.
- [4] D. L. Finkelshtein, Yu. G. Kondratiev, and M. J. Oliveira. Markov evolutions and hierarchical equations in the continuum I. One-component systems. *J. Evol. Equ.*, 9(2):197–233, 2009.
- [5] D. L. Finkelshtein, Yu. G. Kondratiev, and M. J. Oliveira. Glauber dynamics in the continuum via generating functionals evolution. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, doi: 10.1007/s11785-011-0170-1 (published online), 2011.
- [6] I. M. Gel'fand and G. E. Shilov. *Generalized Functions. Vol. 3: Theory of Differential Equations*. 1958. (in Russian). English translation by Meinhard E. Mayer, Academic Press, New York and London, 1967.
- [7] Yu. G. Kondratiev and T. Kuna. Harmonic analysis on configuration space I. General theory. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.*, 5(2):201–233, 2002.
- [8] Yu. G. Kondratiev, T. Kuna, and M. J. Oliveira. On the relations between Poissonian white noise analysis and harmonic analysis on configuration spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 213(1):1–30, 2004.
- [9] Yu. G. Kondratiev, T. Kuna, and M. J. Oliveira. Holomorphic Bogoliubov functionals for interacting particle systems in continuum. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 238(2):375–404, 2006.
- [10] L. V. Ovsjannikov. Singular operator in the scale of Banach spaces. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 163:819–822, 1965. *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 6:1025–1028, 1965.
- [11] F. Trèves. *Ovcyannikov Theorem and Hyperdifferential Operators*, volume 46 of *Notas de Matemática*. IMPA, Rio de Janeiro, 1968.
- [12] T. Yamanaka. Note on Kowalevskaja's system of partial differential equations. *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul.*, 9:7–10, 1960.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UKRAINIAN NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 01601 KIEV, UKRAINE
E-mail address: fdl@imath.kiev.ua

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT BIELEFELD, D 33615 BIELEFELD, GERMANY; FORSCHUNGSZENTRUM BiBoS, UNIVERSITÄT BIELEFELD, D 33615 BIELEFELD, GERMANY
E-mail address: kondrat@mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de

UNIVERSIDADE ABERTA, P 1269-001 LISBON, PORTUGAL; CMAF, UNIVERSITY OF LISBON, P 1649-003 LISBON, PORTUGAL
E-mail address: oliveira@cii.fc.ul.pt