

# A Note on Terence Tao's Paper "On the Number of Solutions to $\frac{4}{p} = \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} + \frac{1}{n_3}$ "

Chaohua Jia

**Abstract.** For the positive integer  $n$ , let  $f(n)$  denote the number of positive integer solutions  $(n_1, n_2, n_3)$  of the Diophantine equation

$$\frac{4}{n} = \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} + \frac{1}{n_3}.$$

For the prime number  $p$ ,  $f(p)$  can be split into  $f_1(p) + f_2(p)$ , where  $f_i(p)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) counts those solutions with exactly  $i$  of denominators  $n_1, n_2, n_3$  divisible by  $p$ .

Recently Terence Tao proved that

$$\sum_{p < x} f_2(p) \ll x \log^2 x \log \log x.$$

with other results. But actually only the upper bound  $x \log^2 x \log \log^2 x$  can be obtained in his discussion. In this note we shall use an elementary method to save a factor  $\log \log x$  and recover the above estimate.

## 1. Introduction

For the positive integer  $n$ , let  $f(n)$  denote the number of positive integer solutions  $(n_1, n_2, n_3)$  of the Diophantine equation

$$\frac{4}{n} = \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} + \frac{1}{n_3}.$$

Erdős and Straus conjectured that for all  $n \geq 2$ ,  $f(n) > 0$ . It is still an open problem now although there are some partial results.

In 1970, R. C. Vaughan[2] showed that the number of  $n < x$  for which  $f(n) = 0$  is at most  $x \exp(-c \log^{\frac{2}{3}} x)$ , where  $x$  is sufficiently large and  $c$  is a positive constant.

Recently Terence Tao[1] studied the situation in which  $n$  is the prime number  $p$ . He gave lower bound and upper bound for the mean value of  $f(p)$ . Precisely, he split  $f(p)$  into  $f_1(p) + f_2(p)$ , where  $f_i(p)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) counts

those solutions with exactly  $i$  of denominators  $n_1, n_2, n_3$  divisible by  $p$ . He proved that

$$x \log^2 x \ll \sum_{p < x} f_2(p) \ll x \log^2 x \log \log x \quad (1)$$

and

$$x \log^2 x \ll \sum_{p < x} f_1(p) \ll x \exp\left(\frac{c \log x}{\log \log x}\right),$$

where  $p$  denotes the prime number,  $x$  is sufficiently large and  $c$  is a positive constant. Then he conjectured that for  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$$\sum_{p < x} f_i(p) \ll x \log^2 x. \quad (2)$$

But actually Terence Tao[1] only proved

$$\sum_{p < x} f_2(p) \ll x \log^2 x \log \log^2 x, \quad (3)$$

since there was an error in his discussion. In this note we shall use an elementary method to save a factor  $\log \log x$  and recover the upper bound in the right side of (1).

**Theorem.** Let  $p$  denote the prime number. Then for sufficiently large  $x$ , we have

$$\sum_{p < x} f_2(p) \ll x \log^2 x \log \log x.$$

## 2. The proof of Theorem

**Lemma 1.** If  $\varphi(n)$  is the Euler totient function, then

$$\varphi(n) \gg \frac{n}{g(n)}.$$

Here

$$g(n) = \prod_{p|n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) = \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{d},$$

where  $\mu(d)$  is the Möbius functions.

**Proof.** We know that

$$\varphi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

Then

$$\varphi(n) = n \frac{\prod_{p|n} (1 - \frac{1}{p^2})}{\prod_{p|n} (1 + \frac{1}{p})} \geq \frac{n}{g(n)} \prod_p (1 - \frac{1}{p^2}) \gg \frac{n}{g(n)}.$$

It is easy to see

$$g(n) = \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{d}.$$

**Lemma 2.** If  $x \geq 1$ , then

$$\sum_{x < n \leq 2x} \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \ll 1.$$

**Proof.** By Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x < n \leq 2x} \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} &\ll \sum_{x < n \leq 2x} \frac{g(n)}{n} \\ &= \sum_{x < n \leq 2x} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{d} \\ &= \sum_{d \leq 2x} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{d} \sum_{\substack{x < n \leq 2x \\ d|n}} \frac{1}{n} \\ &= \sum_{d \leq 2x} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{d^2} \sum_{\substack{x < l \leq \frac{2x}{d}}} \frac{1}{l} \\ &\ll \sum_{d \leq 2x} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{d^2} \ll 1. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.** Let  $p$  denote the prime number. Then the functions  $f_2(p)$  is equal to three times the number of triples  $(a, b, c)$  of positive integers such that

$$(a, b) = 1, \quad c|a + b, \quad 4ab|p + c.$$

One can see Proposition 1.2 of [1].

By some transformation, Terence Tao[1] got

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p < x} f_2(p) &\ll \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{1}{2} \log_2 x} \sum_{i \leq j \leq \log_2 x - i} \frac{x}{1 + \log_2 x - i - j} \\ &\cdot \sum_{2^i < a \leq 2^{i+1}} \sum_{\substack{2^j < b \leq 2^{j+1} \\ (a, b) = 1}} \frac{d(a+b)}{\varphi(a)\varphi(b)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $d(n)$  is the divisor function. It is necessary to keep the condition  $(a, b) = 1$ .

Now we consider the estimate for the sum

$$\sum_{V < a \leq 2V} \frac{1}{\varphi(a)} \sum_{\substack{W < b \leq 2W \\ (a, b) = 1}} \frac{d(a+b)}{\varphi(b)}, \quad (4)$$

where  $1 \leq V \leq W \leq x$ .

Let

$$S(a, W) = \sum_{\substack{W < b \leq 2W \\ (a, b) = 1}} \frac{d(a+b)}{\varphi(b)}. \quad (5)$$

Then Lemma 1 yields that

$$\begin{aligned} S(a, W) &\ll \sum_{\substack{W < b \leq 2W \\ (a, b) = 1}} d(a+b) \cdot \frac{g(b)}{b} \\ &\ll \frac{1}{W} \sum_{\substack{W < b \leq 2W \\ (a, b) = 1}} d(a+b)g(b) \\ &= \frac{1}{W} \sum_{\substack{W+a < k \leq 2W+a \\ (k, a) = 1}} d(k)g(k-a) \\ &= \frac{1}{W} \sum_{\substack{W+a < rl \leq 2W+a \\ (rl, a) = 1}} g(rl-a) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{W} \sum_{\substack{r \leq \sqrt{2W+a} \\ (r, a) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{l \\ W+a < rl \leq 2W+a \\ (l, a) = 1}} g(rl-a) \\ &\ll \frac{1}{W} \sum_{\substack{r \leq \sqrt{2W+a} \\ (r, a) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{W < n \leq 2W \\ n \equiv -a \pmod{r} \\ (n, a) = 1}} g(n) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{W} \sum_{\substack{r \leq \sqrt{2W+a} \\ (r, a) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{W < n \leq 2W \\ n \equiv -a \pmod{r}}} g(n). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(r, a) = 1$ ,  $n \equiv -a \pmod{r} \implies (n, r) = 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{W < n \leq 2W \\ n \equiv -a \pmod{r}}} g(n) &= \sum_{\substack{W < n \leq 2W \\ n \equiv -a \pmod{r} \\ (n, r) = 1}} g(n) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{W < n \leq 2W \\ n \equiv -a \pmod{r} \\ (n, r) = 1}} \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{d} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{d \leq 2W \\ (d, r) = 1}} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{d} \sum_{\substack{W < n \leq 2W \\ n \equiv -a \pmod{r} \\ (n, r) = 1 \\ d|n}} 1 \\
&= \sum_{\substack{d \leq 2W \\ (d, r) = 1}} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{d} \sum_{\substack{\frac{W}{d} < k \leq \frac{2W}{d} \\ (k, r) = 1 \\ dk \equiv -a \pmod{r}}} 1 \\
&= \sum_{\substack{d \leq 2W \\ (d, r) = 1}} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{d} \sum_{\substack{\frac{W}{d} < k \leq \frac{2W}{d} \\ (k, r) = 1 \\ k \equiv -\bar{d}a \pmod{r}}} 1 \\
&\leq \sum_{\substack{d \leq 2W \\ (d, r) = 1}} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{d} \sum_{\substack{\frac{W}{d} < k \leq \frac{2W}{d} \\ k \equiv -\bar{d}a \pmod{r}}} 1,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{d}$  is an integer such that  $\bar{d}d \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$ .

We have

$$\sum_{\substack{\frac{W}{d} < k \leq \frac{2W}{d} \\ k \equiv -\bar{d}a \pmod{r}}} 1 \ll \frac{W}{dr} + 1.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{W < n \leq 2W \\ n \equiv -a \pmod{r}}} g(n) &\ll \sum_{\substack{d \leq 2W \\ (d, r) = 1}} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{d} \left( \frac{W}{dr} + 1 \right) \\
&\leq \frac{W}{r} \sum_{d \leq 2W} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{d^2} + \sum_{d \leq 2W} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{d} \\
&\ll \frac{W}{r} + \log 2W.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
S(a, W) &\ll \frac{1}{W} \sum_{\substack{r \leq \sqrt{2W+a} \\ (r, a)=1}} \left( \frac{W}{r} + \log 2W \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{W} \sum_{r \leq 2\sqrt{W}} \left( \frac{W}{r} + \log 2W \right) \\
&\ll \log 2W.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{V < a \leq 2V} \frac{1}{\varphi(a)} \sum_{\substack{W < b \leq 2W \\ (a, b)=1}} \frac{d(a+b)}{\varphi(b)} \\
&\ll \sum_{V < a \leq 2V} \frac{1}{\varphi(a)} \cdot \log x \\
&\ll \log x.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{p < x} f_2(p) \ll x \log x \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{1}{2} \log_2 x} \sum_{i \leq j \leq \log_2 x - i} \frac{1}{1 + \log_2 x - i - j}. \quad (6)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{1}{2} \log_2 x} \sum_{i \leq j \leq \log_2 x - i} \frac{1}{1 + \log_2 x - i - j} \\
&\leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{1}{2} \log_2 x} \sum_{1 \leq h \leq \log_2 x - 2i + 2} \frac{1}{h} \\
&\ll \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{1}{2} \log_2 x} \log(\log_2 x - 2i + 4) \\
&\ll \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \frac{1}{2} \log_2 x + 1} \log(2k + 8) \\
&\ll \log x \log \log x.
\end{aligned}$$

So far the proof of Theorem is finished.

Similar discussion can yield

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{1}{2} \log_2 x} \sum_{i \leq j \leq \log_2 x - i} \frac{1}{1 + \log_2 x - i - j} \gg \log x \log \log x.$$

In [1],

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{1}{2} \log_2 x} \sum_{i \leq j \leq \log_2 x - i} \frac{1}{1 + \log_2 x - i - j} \ll \log x$$

is proved, where a factor  $\log \log x$  is lost. From the above discussion, it seems reasonable to conjecture

$$x \log^2 x \log \log x \ll \sum_{p < x} f_2(p). \quad (7)$$

## References

- [1] Terence Tao, *On the number of solutions to  $\frac{4}{p} = \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} + \frac{1}{n_3}$* , available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1107.1010>
- [2] R. C. Vaughan, *On a problem of Erdős, Straus and Schinzel*, *Mathematika*, **17**(1970), 193-198.

Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, Beijing 100190, P. R. China  
E-mail: [jiach@math.ac.cn](mailto:jiach@math.ac.cn)