

On the Estimate for a Mean Value Relative to $\frac{4}{p} = \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} + \frac{1}{n_3}$

Chaohua Jia

Abstract. For the positive integer n , let $f(n)$ denote the number of positive integer solutions (n_1, n_2, n_3) of the Diophantine equation

$$\frac{4}{n} = \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} + \frac{1}{n_3}.$$

For the prime number p , $f(p)$ can be split into $f_1(p) + f_2(p)$, where $f_i(p)$ ($i = 1, 2$) counts those solutions with exactly i of denominators n_1, n_2, n_3 divisible by p .

Recently Terence Tao proved that

$$\sum_{p < x} f_1(p) \ll x \exp\left(\frac{c \log x}{\log \log x}\right)$$

with other results. In this paper we shall improve it to

$$\sum_{p < x} f_1(p) \ll x \log^5 x \log \log^2 x.$$

1. Introduction

For the positive integer n , let $f(n)$ denote the number of positive integer solutions (n_1, n_2, n_3) of the Diophantine equation

$$\frac{4}{n} = \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} + \frac{1}{n_3}.$$

Erdős and Straus conjectured that for all $n \geq 2$, $f(n) > 0$. It is still an open problem now although there are some partial results.

In 1970, R. C. Vaughan[5] showed that the number of $n < x$ for which $f(n) = 0$ is at most $x \exp(-c \log^{\frac{2}{3}} x)$, where x is sufficiently large and c is a positive constant.

Recently Terence Tao[4] studied the situation in which n is the prime number p . He gave lower bound and upper bound for the mean value of

$f(p)$. Precisely, he split $f(p)$ into $f_1(p) + f_2(p)$, where $f_i(p)$ ($i = 1, 2$) counts those solutions with exactly i of denominators n_1, n_2, n_3 divisible by p . He proved that

$$x \log^2 x \ll \sum_{p < x} f_1(p) \ll x \exp\left(\frac{c \log x}{\log \log x}\right) \quad (1)$$

and

$$x \log^2 x \ll \sum_{p < x} f_2(p) \ll x \log^2 x \log \log x, \quad (2)$$

where p denotes the prime number, x is sufficiently large and c is a positive constant.

For the progress and some explanation on the estimate in (2), one can see [2]. In this paper we shall improve the upper bound in (1).

Theorem. Let p denote the prime number. Then for sufficiently large x , we have

$$\sum_{p < x} f_1(p) \ll x \log^5 x \log \log^2 x.$$

Throughout this paper, let p denote the prime number, c denote the positive constant, $p(n)$ be the least prime factor of n , $P(n)$ be the largest prime factor of n , $d(n)$ be the divisor function, $\varphi(n)$ be the Euler totient function, $\Omega(n)$ be the number of prime factors of n with multiplicity.

2. Some preliminaries

Lemma 1. Let

$$g(x) = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0$$

be the polynomial in integer coefficients, $G(n)$ be the number of solutions to the congruence equation

$$g(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

Then $G(n)$ is a multiplicative function.

One can see page 34 of [1].

Lemma 2. Let $g(x)$ be the polynomial in integer coefficients. If

$$g(x) \equiv 0, \quad g'(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

have no common solution, then the number of solutions to

$$g(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^l}$$

is equal to that to

$$g(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

One can see page 36 of [1].

Lemma 3. For the fixed integer l , let $G(n)$ be the number of solutions to the congruence equation

$$4lx^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

Then

$$G(n) \leq d(n).$$

Proof. By Lemma 1, we know

$$G(p_1^{l_1} \cdots p_s^{l_s}) = G(p_1^{l_1}) \cdots G(p_s^{l_s}).$$

Write

$$g(x) = 4lx^2 + 1.$$

Then $g'(x) = 8lx$. It is obvious that

$$g(x) \equiv 0, \quad g'(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

has no common solution. Thus Lemma 2 claims

$$G(p^l) = G(p).$$

It is easy to see that the congruence equation

$$4lx^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

has at most two solutions. Therefore

$$G(p^l) = G(p) \leq 2 \leq d(p^l).$$

The conclusion of Lemma 3 follows.

Lemma 4. For $x \geq 2$, we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{d^2(n)}{n} \ll \log^4 x.$$

Proof. Theorem 2 in [3] asserts that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} d^2(n) \ll x \log^3 x.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{d^2(n)}{n} &\leq \sum_{i \leq \log_2 x} \sum_{2^i \leq n < 2^{i+1}} \frac{d^2(n)}{n} \\ &\ll \sum_{i \leq \log_2 x} i^3 \\ &\ll \log^4 x. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5. Let

$$\Psi(x, y) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ P(n) \leq y}} 1.$$

Then for $x \geq 10$, we have

$$\Psi(x, \log x \log \log x) \ll \exp\left(\frac{3 \log x}{(\log \log x)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right).$$

This is Lemma 1 in [3].

Lemma 6. The estimate

$$\sum_{\substack{Z^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq n \\ P(n) \leq Z^{\frac{1}{r}}}} \frac{d^2(n)}{n} \ll \exp\left(\sum_{p \leq Z} \frac{d^2(p)}{p} - \frac{r}{10} \log r\right)$$

holds true for $1 \leq r \leq \frac{\log Z}{\log \log Z}$ uniformly.

This is a special case of Lemma 4 in [3].

3. The proof of Theorem

According to the discussion in the beginning of section 3 of [4], in order to estimate

$$\sum_{p < x} f_1(p),$$

it is enough to estimate

$$\sum_{\substack{a,l \\ al \leq x}} \frac{xd(4la^2 + 1)}{\varphi(4al) \log(1 + \frac{x}{al})}. \quad (3)$$

Using the bound

$$\varphi(n) \gg \frac{n}{\log \log n},$$

we should estimate

$$x \log \log x \sum_{\substack{a,l \\ al \leq x}} \frac{d(4la^2 + 1)}{al \log(1 + \frac{x}{al})}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & x \log \log x \sum_{i \leq \log_2 x} \sum_{j \leq \log_2 x - i} \frac{1}{1 + \log_2 x - i - j} \\ & \cdot \frac{1}{2^{i+j}} \sum_{2^i < a \leq 2^{i+1}} \sum_{2^j < l \leq 2^{j+1}} d(4la^2 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider the estimate for the sum

$$\sum_{V < l \leq 2V} \sum_{W < a \leq 2W} d(4la^2 + 1). \quad (4)$$

We shall use some ideas from [3].

Firstly assume that $V \leq W$. Let

$$Z = W^{\frac{1}{20}}. \quad (5)$$

Write n uniquely as

$$n = p_1^{s_1} \cdots p_j^{s_j} \cdot p_{j+1}^{s_{j+1}} \cdots p_r^{s_r}, \quad p_1 < \cdots < p_j < p_{j+1} < \cdots < p_r,$$

where

$$p_1^{s_1} \cdots p_j^{s_j} \leq Z < p_1^{s_1} \cdots p_j^{s_j} p_{j+1}^{s_{j+1}}.$$

We can decompose $4la^2 + 1$ as

$$4la^2 + 1 = (p_1^{s_1} \cdots p_j^{s_j})(p_{j+1}^{s_{j+1}} \cdots p_r^{s_r}) = b(l, a)c(l, a), \quad (6)$$

where

$$b(l, a) \leq Z, \quad (b(l, a), c(l, a)) = 1.$$

We shall discuss in four cases as in [3].

Case I. $p(c(l, a)) > Z^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Since $p(c(l, a)) > Z^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $d(c(l, a)) = O(1)$. Thus

$$d(4la^2 + 1) = d(b(l, a))d(c(l, a)) \ll d(b(l, a)).$$

Lemmas 3 and 4 yield that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\text{I}} &\ll \sum_{b \leq Z} d(b) \sum_{V < l \leq 2V} \sum_{\substack{W < a \leq 2W \\ 4la^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{b}}} 1 \\ &\ll \sum_{b \leq Z} d(b) \sum_{V < l \leq 2V} \frac{W}{b} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ 4la^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{b}}}^b 1 \\ &\ll VW \sum_{b \leq Z} \frac{d^2(b)}{b} \\ &\ll VW \log^4(2W). \end{aligned}$$

Case II. $p(c(l, a)) \leq Z^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $b(l, a) \leq Z^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Write $p = p(c(l, a))$. Then $p^s \parallel 4la^2 + 1$, $p \leq Z^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The fact that $b(l, a) \leq Z^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $b(l, a)p^s > Z$ yields $p^s > Z^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Let s_p be the smallest s such that $p^s > Z^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Thus $s_p \geq 2$. On the other hand, $p^{\frac{s_p}{2}} \leq p^{s_p-1} \leq Z^{\frac{1}{2}} \implies p^{s_p} \leq Z$. Now we have

$$\frac{1}{p^{s_p}} \leq \min\left(\frac{1}{Z^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \frac{1}{p^2}\right).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \leq Z^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{p^{s_p}} &\leq \sum_{p \leq Z^{\frac{1}{4}}} \frac{1}{Z^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \sum_{Z^{\frac{1}{4}} < p} \frac{1}{p^2} \\ &\ll Z^{-\frac{1}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemmas 3 yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\text{II}} &\ll W^\varepsilon \sum_{p \leq Z^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{V < l \leq 2V} \sum_{\substack{W < a \leq 2W \\ 4la^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{sp}}} } 1 \\
&\ll W^\varepsilon \sum_{p \leq Z^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{V < l \leq 2V} \frac{W}{p^{sp}} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ 4la^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{sp}}} }^{p^{sp}} 1 \\
&\ll VW^{1+\varepsilon} \sum_{p \leq Z^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{p^{sp}} \\
&\ll VW^{1-\frac{1}{80}+\varepsilon} \ll VW,
\end{aligned}$$

where $p^{sp} \leq Z$ works.

Case III. $p(c(l, a)) \leq \log W \log \log W$, $b(l, a) > Z^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

We have $p(c(l, a)) \leq \log W \log \log W \implies P(b(l, a)) < \log W \log \log W$.

Then Lemmas 3 and 5 yield that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\text{III}} &\ll W^\varepsilon \sum_{\substack{Z^{\frac{1}{2}} < b \leq Z \\ P(b) < \log W \log \log W}} \sum_{V < l \leq 2V} \sum_{\substack{W < a \leq 2W \\ 4la^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{b}}} 1 \\
&\ll W^\varepsilon \sum_{\substack{Z^{\frac{1}{2}} < b \leq Z \\ P(b) < \log W \log \log W}} \frac{d(b)}{b} VW \\
&\ll VW^{1+2\varepsilon} Z^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\substack{b \leq Z \\ P(b) < \log W \log \log W}} 1 \\
&\ll VW^{1-\frac{1}{40}+2\varepsilon} \Psi(W, \log W \log \log W) \\
&\ll VW^{1-\frac{1}{40}+3\varepsilon} \ll VW.
\end{aligned}$$

Case IV. $\log W \log \log W < p(c(l, a)) \leq Z^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $b(l, a) > Z^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Let

$$r_0 = \left\lceil \frac{\log Z}{\log(\log W \log \log W)} \right\rceil.$$

Since

$$\log W \log \log W > Z^{\frac{1}{r_0+1}},$$

for $2 \leq r \leq r_0$, we consider these (l, a) which satisfy

$$Z^{\frac{1}{r+1}} < p(c(l, a)) \leq Z^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

so that

$$P(b(l, a)) < p(c(l, a)) \leq Z^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

We have

$$\Omega(c(l, a)) \leq \frac{3 \log W}{\log p(c(l, a))} \leq \frac{3(r+1) \log W}{\log Z} \leq 60(r+1) \leq 120r$$

so that

$$d(c(l, a)) \leq A^r,$$

where A is a positive constant.

Lemmas 3, 4 and 6 yield that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\text{IV}} &\ll \sum_{2 \leq r \leq r_0} A^r \sum_{\substack{Z^{\frac{1}{2}} < b \leq Z \\ P(b) < Z^{\frac{1}{r}}}} d(b) \sum_{V < l \leq 2V} \sum_{\substack{W < a \leq 2W \\ 4la^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{b}}} 1 \\ &\ll VW \sum_{2 \leq r \leq r_0} A^r \sum_{\substack{Z^{\frac{1}{2}} < b \leq Z \\ P(b) < Z^{\frac{1}{r}}}} \frac{d^2(b)}{b} \\ &\ll VW \sum_{2 \leq r \leq r_0} A^r \exp\left(\sum_{p \leq Z} \frac{d^2(p)}{p} - \frac{r}{10} \log r\right) \\ &= VW \sum_{2 \leq r \leq r_0} A^r \exp\left(\sum_{p \leq Z} \frac{4}{p} - \frac{r}{10} \log r\right) \\ &\ll VW \sum_{2 \leq r \leq r_0} A^r \exp\left(4 \log \log Z - \frac{r}{10} \log r\right) \\ &\ll VW \log^4 Z \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} A^r \exp\left(-\frac{r}{10} \log r\right) \\ &\ll VW \log^4(2W), \end{aligned}$$

where the series is convergent.

Then assume that $W < V$. In this situation, we shall change the role of l and r and shall consider the linear congruence equation

$$4a^2l + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$$

for the fixed a . This situation is simpler than previous one. We can get the similar estimate as above.

Combining all of above, we get

$$\sum_{V < l \leq 2V} \sum_{W < a \leq 2W} d(4la^2 + 1) \ll VW \log^4(2W).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & x \log \log x \sum_{i \leq \log_2 x} \sum_{j \leq \log_2 x - i} \frac{1}{1 + \log_2 x - i - j} \\ & \cdot \frac{1}{2^{i+j}} \sum_{2^i < a \leq 2^{i+1}} \sum_{2^j < l \leq 2^{j+1}} d(4la^2 + 1) \\ & \ll x \log^4 x \log \log x \sum_{i \leq \log_2 x} \sum_{j \leq \log_2 x - i} \frac{1}{1 + \log_2 x - i - j} \\ & \ll x \log^4 x \log \log x \sum_{i \leq \log_2 x} \sum_{1 \leq h \leq \log_2 x - i + 1} \frac{1}{h} \\ & \ll x \log^4 x \log \log x \sum_{i \leq \log_2 x} \log(\log_2 x - i + 2) \\ & \ll x \log^5 x \log \log^2 x. \end{aligned}$$

So far the proof of Theorem is finished.

References

- [1] Lookeng Hua, *An Introduction to the Number Theory*, Science Press, Beijing, 1995, in Chinese.
- [2] Chaohua Jia, *A note on Terence Tao's paper "On the number of solutions to $\frac{4}{p} = \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} + \frac{1}{n_3}$ "*, available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1107.5394>
- [3] P. Shiu, *A Brun-Titchmarsh Theorem for multiplicative functions*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **313**(1980), 161-170.
- [4] Terence Tao, *On the number of solutions to $\frac{4}{p} = \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} + \frac{1}{n_3}$* , available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1107.1010>
- [5] R. C. Vaughan, *On a problem of Erdős, Straus and Schinzel*, Mathematika, **17**(1970), 193-198.

Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, Beijing 100190, P. R. China
E-mail: jiach@math.ac.cn