# OVERPSEUDOPRIMES, AND MERSENNE AND FERMAT NUMBERS AS PRIMOVER NUMBERS

VLADIMIR SHEVELEV, GILBERTO GARCÍA-PULGARÍN,
JUAN MIGUEL VELÁSQUEZ-SOTO, AND JOHN H. CASTILLO

Abstract. We introduce a new class of pseudoprimes-so called "overpseudoprimes to base $b$", which is a subclass of strong pseudoprimes to base $b$. Denoting via $|b|_n$ the multiplicative order of $b$ modulo $n$, we show that a composite $n$ is overpseudoprime if and only if $|b|_d$ is invariant for all divisors $d > 1$ of $n$. In particular, we prove that all composite Mersenne numbers $2^p - 1$, where $p$ is prime, are overpseudoprime to base 2 and squares of Wieferich primes are overpseudoprimes to base 2. Finally, we show that some kinds of well known numbers are overpseudoprime to a base $b$.

## 1. Introduction

First and foremost, we recall some definitions and fix some notation. Let $b$ an integer greater than 1 and $N$ a positive integer relatively prime to $b$. Throughout, we denote by $|b|_N$ the multiplicative order of $b$ modulo $N$. For a prime $p$, $\nu_p(N)$ means the greatest exponent of $p$ in the prime factorization of $N$.

Fermat's little theorem implies that $2^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, where $p$ is an odd prime $p$. An odd prime $p$, is called a Wieferich prime if $2^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$,

We recall that a Poulet number, also known as Fermat pseudoprime to base 2, is a composite number $n$ such that $2^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. A Poulet number $n$ which verifies that $d$ divides $2^d - 2$ for each divisor $d$ of $n$, is called a Super-Poulet pseudoprime.

Sometimes the numbers $M_n = 2^n - 1,\ \ n = 1, 2, \ldots$, are called Mersenne numbers, although this name is usually reserved for numbers of the form

$$M_p = 2^p - 1 \tag{1.1}$$

where $p$ is prime. In this form numbers $M_p$, at the first time, were studied by Marin Mersenne (1588-1648) around 1644; see Guy [5, §A3] and a large bibliography there.

In the next section, we introduce a new class of pseudoprimes and we prove that it just contains the odd numbers $n$ such that $|2|_d$ is invariant for

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11A51; Secondary 11A41, 11A07.

*Key words and phrases.* Mersenne numbers, cyclotomic cosets of 2 modulo $n$, order of 2 modulo $n$, Poulet pseudoprime, super-Poulet pseudoprime, overpseudoprime, Wieferich prime.

all divisors greater than 1 of $n$. In particular, we show that it contains all composite Mersenne numbers and, at least, squares of all Wieferich primes. In the fourth section, we give a generalization of this concept to arbitrary bases $b > 1$ as well. In the final section, we put forward some of its consequences.

We note that, the concept of overpseudoprime to base $b$ was found in two independent ways. The first one in 2008, by Shevelev [9] and the second one, by Castillo et al. [2], using consequences of the Midy's property, where overpseudoprimes numbers are denominated Midy pseudoprimes.

The first sections of the present work is a revisited version of Shevelev [9]. In the last section, we present a review of Shevelev [10], using results from Castillo et al. [2].

The sequences A141232, A141350 and A141390 in [11], are result of the earlier work of Shevelev.

## 2. A class of pseudoprimes

Let $n > 1$ be an odd number. When we multiply by 2 the set of integers modulo $n$, we split it in different sets called *cyclotomic cosets*. The cyclotomic coset containing $s \neq 0$ consists of $C_s = \{s, 2s, 2^2 s, \ldots, 2^{m_s-1}s\}$, where $m_s$ is the smallest positive number such that $2^{m_s} \cdot s \equiv s \pmod{n}$. Actually, it is easy to see that $m_s = |2|_{\frac{n}{\gcd(n,s)}}$. For instance the cyclotomic cosets modulo 15 are

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= \{1, 2, 4, 8\}, \\ C_3 &= \{3, 6, 12, 9\}, \\ C_5 &= \{5, 10\}, \text{ and} \\ C_7 &= \{7, 14, 13, 11\}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $r = r(n)$, the number of distinct cyclotomic cosets of 2 modulo $n$. From the above example, $r(15) = 4$.

Note that, if $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ are the different cyclotomic cosets of 2 modulo $n$, then

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{r} C_j = \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\} \text{ and } C_{j_1} \cap C_{j_2} = \varnothing, \;\; j_1 \neq j_2. \tag{2.1}$$

We can demonstrate that

$$|2|_n = \operatorname{lcm}(|C_1|, \ldots, |C_r|). \tag{2.2}$$

If $p$ is an odd prime the cyclotomic cosets have the same number of elements, because for each $s \neq 0$ we have $m_s = |C_s| = |2|_{\frac{p}{\gcd(p,s)}} = |2|_p$. So

$$|C_1| = \cdots = |C_r|. \tag{2.3}$$

Therefore, when $p$ is an odd prime, we obtain

$$p = r(p)|2|_p + 1. \tag{2.4}$$

This leave us to study composite numbers such that the equation (2.4) holds.

**Definition 1.** *We say that an odd composite number $n$ is an overpseudoprime to base 2, if*

$$n = r(n)|2|_n + 1. \tag{2.5}$$

Note that if $n$ is an overpseudoprime to base 2, then $2^{n-1} = 2^{r(n)|2|_n} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. Thus, the set of overpseudoprimes to base 2 is a subset of the set of Poulet pseudoprimes to base 2.

**Theorem 2.** *Let $n = p_1^{l_1} \cdots p_k^{l_k}$ be an odd composite number. Then $n$ is an overpseudoprime to base 2 if and only if*

$$|2|_n = |2|_d, \tag{2.6}$$

*for each divisor $d > 1$ of $n$.*

*Proof.* Let $s$, different from zero, be an arbitrary element of $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Take $u_s = \gcd(n, s)$ and $v_s = \dfrac{n}{u_s}$. Then $s = au_s$, for some integer $a$ relatively prime with $n$. As we said before, $|C_s| = |2|_{v_s}$.

Note that when $s$ runs through a set of coset representatives modulo $n$, $v_s$ runs through the set of divisors of $n$. So the value of $|C_s|$ is constant if and only if $|2|_d$ is invariant for each divisor $d > 1$ of $n$, which proves the theorem. □

A direct consequence of the last theorem is the following.

**Corollary 3.** *Two overpseudoprimes to base 2, $N_1$ and $N_2$ such that $|2|_{N_1} \neq |2|_{N_2}$, are relatively primes.*

**Corollary 4.** *For a prime $p$, $M_p = 2^p - 1$ is either a prime or an overpseudoprime to base 2.*

*Proof.* Assume that $M_p$ is not prime. Let $d > 1$ be any divisor of $M_p$. Then $|2|_d$ divides $p$ and thus $|2|_d = p$. □

**Corollary 5.** *Every overpseudoprime to base 2 is a Super-Poulet pseudoprime.*

*Proof.* Let $n$ be an overpseudoprime to base 2 and take $d$ an arbitrary divisor of $n$. By Theorem 2, $d$ is either prime or overpseudoprime to base 2. In any case, we have $2^{d-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$. □

**Example 6.** *Consider the super-Poulet pseudoprime, see A178997 in* [11], $96916279 = 167 \cdot 499 \cdot 1163$. *We know that, cf. A002326 in* [11], $|2|_{167} = 83$, $|2|_{499} = 166$ *and* $|2|_{1163} = 166$. *Thus the reciprocal of the above corollary is not true.*

Assume that $p_1$ and $p_2$ are primes such that $|2|_{p_1} = |2|_{p_2}$. Then $|2|_{p_1 p_2} = \operatorname{lcm}(|2|_{p_1}, |2|_{p_2})$. In consequence, $n = p_1 p_2$ is an overpseudoprime to base 2. With the same objective, we get the following.

**Theorem 7.** *Let $p_1, \dots, p_k$ be different primes such that $|2|_{p_i} = |2|_{p_j}$, when $i \neq j$. Assume that $p_i^{l_i}$ is an overpseudoprime to base 2, where $l_i$ are positive integers, for each $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then $n = p_1^{l_1} \cdots p_k^{l_k}$ is an overpseudoprime to base 2.*

## 3. The $(w+1)$-th power of Wieferich prime of order $w$ is overpseudoprime to base 2

Knauer and Richstein [6], proved that 1093 and 3511 are the only Wieferich primes less than $1.25 \times 10^{15}$. More recently, Dorais and Klyve [3] extend this interval to $6.7 \times 10^{15}$.

We say that a prime $p$ is a Wieferich prime of order $w \geq 1$, if $\nu_p(2^{p-1}-1) = w+1$.

The following result, from Nathanson [8, Thm. 3.6], give us a method to calculate $|b|_{p^t}$ from $|b|_p$.

**Theorem 8.** *Let $p$ be an odd prime not divisor of $b$, $m = \nu_p(b^{|b|_p} - 1)$ and $t$ a positive integer, then*

$$|b|_{p^t} = \begin{cases} |b|_p, & \text{if } t \leq m; \\ \\ p^{t-m} |b|_p, & \text{if } t > m. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 9.** *A prime $p$ is a Wieferich prime of order greater than or equal to $w$ if and only if $p^{w+1}$ is an overpseudoprime to base 2.*

*Proof.* Suppose that $p$ is a Wieferich prime of order greater than or equal to $w$. Then $p^{w+1} \mid 2^{p-1} - 1$ and thus $|2|_{p^{w+1}}$ is a divisor of $p-1$.

By Theorem 8, $|2|_{p^{w+1}} = p^r \ |2|_p$ for some non-negative integer $r$. So, $r = 0$. Therefore, $p^{w+1}$ is an overpseudoprime to base 2. The reciprocal is clear.

□

**Theorem 10.** *Let $n$ be an overpseudoprime to base 2. If $n$ is not the multiple of the square of a Wieferich prime, then $n$ is squarefree.*

*Proof.* Let $n = p_1^{l_1} \dots p_k^{l_k}$ and, say, $l_1 \geq 2$. If $p_1$ is not a Wieferich prime, then $|2|_{p_1^2}$ divides $p_1(p_1-1)$ but does not divide $p_1 - 1$. Thus, $|2|_{p_1^2} \geq p_1$. Since $|2|_{p_1} \leq p_1 - 1$, then $|2|_{p_1^2} > |2|_{p_1}$ and by Theorem 2, $n$ is not an overpseudoprime to base 2. □

## 4. Overpseudoprime to base $b$

Take $b$ a positive integer greater than 1. Denote by $r = r_b(n)$ the number of cyclotomic cosets of $b$ modulo $n$. If $C_1, \dots, C_r$ are the different cyclotomic cosets of $b$ modulo $n$, then $C_{j_1} \cap C_{j_2} = \varnothing, \quad j_1 \neq j_2$ and $\bigcup_{j=1}^{r} C_j = \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$.

Let $p$ be a prime which does not divide $b(b-1)$. Once again, we get $r_b(p)|b|_p = p - 1$.

**Definition 11.** *We say that a composite number $n$, relatively prime to $b$, is an overpseudoprime to base $b$, if it satisfies*

$$n = r_b(n)|b|_n + 1. \tag{4.1}$$

The proof of the next theorem follows similarly as in Theorem 2.

**Theorem 12.** *Let $n$ be a composite number such that $\gcd(n, b) = 1$. Then $n$ is an overpseudoprime to base $b$ if and only if $|b|_n = |b|_d$, for each divisor $d > 1$ of $n$.*

**Definition 13.** *A prime $p$ is said a Wieferich prime in base $b$ if $b^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$. A Wieferich prime to base $b$ is of order $w \geq 1$, if $\nu_p(b^{p-1} - 1) = w + 1$.*

With this definition in our hands, we can generalize Theorems 9 and 10. The respective proofs, are similar to that ones.

**Theorem 14.** *A prime $p$ is a Wieferich prime in base $b$ of order greater than or equal to $w$ if and only if $p^{w+1}$ is an overpseudoprime to base $b$.*

**Theorem 15.** *If $n$ is overpseudoprime to base $b$ and is not a multiple of a square of a Wieferich prime to base $b$, then $n$ is squarefree.*

Let us remember that an odd composite $N$ such that $N - 1 = 2^r s$ with $s$ an odd integer and $(b,\ N) = 1$, is a strong pseudoprime to base $b$ if either $b^s \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ or $b^{2^i s} \equiv -1 \pmod{N}$, for some $0 \leq i < r$. The following result shows us, that the overpseudoprimes do not appear more frequently than the strong pseudoprimes.

**Theorem 16.** *If $n$ is an overpseudoprime to base $b$, then $n$ is a strong pseudoprime to the same base.*

*Proof.* Let $n$ be an overpseudoprime to base $b$. Suppose that $n - 1 = 2^r s$ and $|b|_n = 2^t s_1$, for some odd integer $s$, $s_1$ and nonnegative integers $r$, $t$. Since $n$ is an overpseudoprime, then $|b|_n | n - 1$. Thus $t \leq r$ and $s_1$ divides $s$. Assume $t = 0$. So $|b|_n$ is a divisor of $s$ and thus

$$b^s \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$

Then $n$ is a strong pseudoprime to base $b$.

On the other side, assume that $t \geq 1$ and write $A = b^{s_1} = b^{\frac{|b|_n}{2^t}}$. Note that

$$(A-1)(A+1)(A^2+1)(A^{2^2}+1)\cdots(A^{2^{t-1}}+1) = A^{2^t} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

We claim that for any $i < t-1$ the greatest common divisor $\gcd(n,\ A^{2^i}+1)$ is 1 . Indeed, assume that $d > 1$ divides both $n$ and $A^{2^i} + 1$. Since $n$ is an overpseudoprime to base $b$, we have $|b|_d = |b|_n$ and the congruence $A^{2^i} = b^{2^i s_1} \equiv -1 \pmod{d}$, leave us to a contradiction with the definition of $|b|_d$. Thus, $\gcd(A^{2^i} + 1, n) = 1$. Similarly $\gcd(A - 1,\ n) = 1$ and we obtain

$$A^{2^{t-1}} + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

Consequently, $b^{2^{t-1}s} \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$. Therefore, $n$ is a strong pseudoprime to base $b$. □

Note that there are strong pseudoprimes to base $b$ such that $|b|_n = 2^t s_1$ and $b^{2^i s_1} \not\equiv -1 \pmod{n}$ for $i < t-1$, but $n$ is not an overpseudoprime to base $b$. For example $n = 74415361$ and $b = 13$.

As before, where we have proved that every overpseudoprime to base 2 is super-Poulet pseudoprime, using Theorem 12 we can prove the following statement.

**Theorem 17.** *Every overpseudoprime $n$ to base $b$ is a superpseudoprime, that is*

$$b^{d-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{d}, \tag{4.2}$$

*for each divisor $d > 1$ of $n$.*

**Theorem 18.** *If $n$ is an overpseudoprime to base $b$, then for every two divisors $d_1 < d_2$ of $n$, including 1 and $n$, we have*

$$|b|_n | d_2 - d_1. \tag{4.3}$$

*Proof.* By the equation (4.2), we have $|b|_{d_i} = |b|_n$ divides $d_i - 1$, for $i = 1, 2$, and thus (4.3) follows. □

## 5. Primoverization Process

Note that, if $n$ is an overpseudoprime to base $b$, a divisor of $n$ is either prime or overpseudoprime to base $b$. In this section we study some kinds of numbers which satisfy this property.

In the sequel, we denote by $\Phi_n(x)$ the $n$-th cyclotomic polynomial. We recall the following theorems from Castillo et al. [2].

**Theorem 19.** *A composite number $N$ with $\gcd(N, |b|_N) = 1$, is an overpseudoprime to base $b$ if and only if $\Phi_{|b|_N}(b) \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$ and $|b|_N > 1$.*

**Theorem 20.** *Let $N > 2$ and $P_N(b) = \dfrac{\Phi_N(b)}{\gcd(N,\ \Phi_N(b))}$. If $P_N(b)$ is composite, then $P_N(b)$ is an overpseudoprime to base $b$.*

The last theorem leave us to the next definition.

**Definition 21.** *A positive integer is called primover to base $b$ if it is either prime or an overpseudoprime to base $b$.*

By Theorem 12, we know that each divisor greater than 1, of a overpseudoprime to base $b$ is primover to the same base $b$. By Corollary 2.1, $M_p$ is primover to base 2.

Theorem 20 suggests that we need to know the value of $\gcd(N,\ \Phi_N(b))$. To that objective, we recall a result from Motose [7, Th. 2].

**Theorem 22.** *We set $n \geq 2$, $a \geq 2$. Then $p$ is a prime divisor of $\Phi_n(b)$ if and only if $\gcd(b,p) = 1$ and $n = p^{\gamma}|b|_p$ where $\gamma \geq 0$. A prime divisor $p$ of $\Phi_n(b)$ for $n \geq 3$ has the property such that $n = |a|_p$ or $\nu_p(\Phi_n(b)) = 1$ as $\gamma = 0$ or not.*

Let $p$ be the greatest prime divisor of $N$. We claim that either $\gcd(N, \Phi_N(b)) = 1$ or $p$. Indeed, assume that there is a prime $q < p$ divisor of $N$ and $\Phi_N(b)$. Thus, Theorem 22 implies that $N = q^{\gamma}|b|_q$. But as $p$ divides $N$, we obtain a contradiction. So $\gcd(N, \Phi_N(b))$, is either 1 or a power of $p$. If $\gcd(N, \Phi_N(b)) > 1$, then $N = p^l|b|_p$. Since $l > 0$, Theorem 22 implies that $p^2$ does not divide $\Phi_N(b)$. Therefore, we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 23.** *Let $N > 1$ and $p$ the greatest prime divisor of $N$. Then $\gcd(N, \Phi_N(b)) = 1$ or $p$.*

In the sequel, we prove that some known kinds of numbers are primovers to some base $b$.

**Theorem 24.** *A generalized Fermat number, $F_n(b) = b^{2^n} + 1$, with $n$ a positive integer and $b$ even; is primover to base $b$.*

*Proof.* It is well known that if $p$ is prime, then $\Phi_{p^r}(x) = \dfrac{x^{p^r} - 1}{x^{p^{r-1}} - 1}$, see Bamunoba [1, Thm. 3.4.6] or Gallot [4, Thm. 1.1]. Since $\gcd\left(2^{n+1},\ \Phi_{2^{n+1}}(b)\right) = 1$, we have $P_{2^{n+1}}(b) = F_n(b)$ and the result follows from Theorem 20. □

**Theorem 25.** *A generalized Mersenne number, $M_p(b) = \dfrac{b^p - 1}{b - 1}$, with $p$ a prime such that $\gcd(p, b-1) = 1$, is primover to base $b$.*

*Proof.* Note that $\Phi_p(b) = M_p(b)$ and $\gcd(p, \Phi_p(b)) = 1$. So $P_p(b) = M_p(b)$ and the result follows from Theorem 20. □

By Theorems 18 and 25, once again, we can prove that the numbers $M_p(b)$ satisfy a similar property of the Mersenne numbers $M_p$.

**Corollary 26.** *If $\gcd(p, b-1) = 1$, then for every pair of divisors $d_1 < d_2$ of $M_p(b)$, including trivial divisors 1 and $M_p(b)$, we have*

$$p | d_2 - d_1. \tag{5.1}$$

The following corollary give us an interesting property of $M_r(b)$.

**Corollary 27.** *Let $r$ be a prime with $\gcd(r, b-1) = 1$. Then $M_r(b)$ is prime if and only if the progression $(1+rx)_{x\geq 0}$ contains just one prime $p$ such that $|b|_p = r$.*

*Proof.* Assume that $M_r(b)$ is prime. If there exists a prime $p$, such that $|b|_p = r$, then $p = M_r(b)$. Since $r|p-1$, i.e., $p$ is the unique prime in the progression $(1 + rx)_{x\geq 0}$.

Conversely, assume that there exists only one prime of the form $p = 1+rx$, with $x \geq 0$, such that $|b|_p = r$. So $p$ divides $M_r(b)$. If $M_r(b)$ is composite,

then it is overpseudoprime to base $b$ and thus to other prime divisor $q$ of $M_r(b)$ we obtain $|b|_q = r$. This contradicts our assumption. □

The next result shows that Fermat numbers to base 2 are the only ones, of the form $2^m + 1$, which are primover to base 2.

**Theorem 28.** *The following properties hold.*

(1) *Assume that $b$ is even. Then $P_m(b) = b^m + 1$ is primover to base $b$ if and only if $m$ is a power of 2.*
(2) *Suppose that $\gcd(n, b-1) = 1$. Then $M_n(b) = \dfrac{b^n - 1}{b-1}$ is primover to base $b$ if and only if $n$ is prime.*

*Proof.* Sufficient conditions were proved in Theorems 24 and 25.

Now assume that $m$ has an odd prime divisor. So $b + 1$ is a divisor of $P_m(b)$ and thereby it is not a prime. Since, $|b|_{b+1} = 2$ and $|b|_{b^m+1} = 2m$; also it is not an overpseudoprime to base $b$.

To prove the necessity of the second part, suppose that $n$ is not prime. Thus for a prime $p$ divisor of $n$, we have $M_n(b)$ is composite and $b^p - 1$ is one of its proper divisors. As $|b|_{b^p-1} = p$ and $|b|_{M_n(b)} = n$, we get that $M_n(b)$ is not an overpseudoprime to base $b$. □

We note that, for $p$ and $q$ primes with $q < p$, $|b|_{\Phi_{pq}(b)} = pq$.

**Theorem 29.** *If $q < p$ are primes, then*

$$N = \frac{(b-1)(b^{pq}-1)}{(b^p-1)(b^q-1)}$$

*is primover to base $b$ if and only if $N$ is not multiple of $p$.*

*Proof.* It is clear that, $N = \Phi_{pq}(b)$. Assume that $N$ is not a multiple of $p$. Corollary 23 implies that $\gcd(pq,\ \Phi_{pq}(b)) = 1$ and the result follows from Theorem 20.

Conversely assume that $N$ is primover to base $b$ and $p$ divides $N$. Thereby, $|b|_p$ divides $q$ and as $|b|_N = pq$, we get a contradiction. □

**Corollary 30.** *With the above notation, if $p$ divides $N$, then $\dfrac{N}{p}$ is primover to base $b$.*

Once again, using Corollary 23 and Theorem 20 we can prove the following theorems.

**Theorem 31.** *If $p$ is prime, then*

$$N = \frac{b^{p^n} - 1}{b^{p^{n-1}} - 1}$$

*is primover to base $b$ if and only if $N$ is not multiple of $p$.*

**Theorem 32.** *Let $n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t$, where $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_t$ are primes and let*

$$N = \prod\nolimits_{e|n} (b^e - 1)^{\mu(e)\mu(n)}.$$

*If $\gcd(N, p_t) = 1$, then $N$ is primover to base $b$. In other case, $\dfrac{N}{p_t}$ is primover to base $b$.*

## References


[1] A. S. Bamunoba. Cyclotomic polynomials. Thesis master of science in the African Institute for Mathematical Sciences. Stellenbosch University, South Africa, `http://users.aims.ac.za/~bamunoba/bamunoba.pdf` (2010).

[2] J. H. Castillo, G. García-Pulgarín, and J. M. Velásquez-Soto, Pseudoprimes stronger than strong pseudoprimes, preprint, arXiv:1202.3428v2 [math.NT] (2012). (Manuscript submitted for publication)

[3] F. G. Dorais and D. Klyve, A Wieferich prime search up to $6.7 \times 10^{15}$, *J. Integer Seq.* **14** (2011), Article 11.9.2.

[4] Y. Gallot, Cyclotomic polynomials and prime numbers, preprint, `http://yves.gallot.pagesperso-orange.fr/papers/cyclotomic.pdf`

[5] R. K. Guy, *Unsolved Problems in Number Theory*, third ed., Problem Books in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 2004.

[6] J. Knauer and J. Richstein, The continuing search for Wieferich primes, *Math. Comp.* **74** (2005), no. 251, 1559–1563 (electronic).

[7] K. Motose, On values of cyclotomic polynomials. II, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* **37** (1995), 27–36 (1996).

[8] M. B. Nathanson, *Elementary Methods in Number Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 195, Springer-Verlag, 2000.

[9] V. Shevelev, Overpseudoprimes, Mersenne Numbers and Wieferich primes, preprint, arXiv:0806.3412v7 [math.NT] (2008).

[10] V. Shevelev, Process of primoverization of numbers of the form $a^n - 1$, preprint, arXiv:0807.2332v2 [math.NT] (2008).

[11] N. J. A. Sloane, The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences, published electronically at `http://oeis.org`.



Vladimir Shevelev, Department of Mathematics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva 84105, Israel

*E-mail address*: `shevelev@bgu.ac.il`

Gilberto García-Pulgarín, Universidad de Antioquia, Medellín-Colombia

*E-mail address*: `gigarcia@ciencias.udea.edu.co`

Juan Miguel Velásquez-Soto, Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad del Valle, Cali-Colombia

*E-mail address*: `jumiveso@univalle.edu.co`

John H. Castillo, Departamento de Matemáticas y Estadística, Universidad de Nariño, San Juan de Pasto-Colombia

*E-mail address*: `jhcastillo@gmail.com`