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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a connected reductive complex algebraic group acting on a smooth complete complex algebraic variety $X$. We assume that $X$ under the action of $G$ is a regular embedding, a condition satisfied in particular by smooth toric varieties and flag varieties. For any set $\mathcal{D}$ of $G$-stable prime divisors, we study the action on $X$ of the group $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D})$, the connected automorphism group of $X$ stabilizing $\mathcal{D}$. We determine a Levi subgroup $A$ of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D})$ and we compute relevant invariants of $X$ as a spherical $A$-variety. As a byproduct, we obtain a description of the open $A$-orbit on $X$ and the inclusion relation between $A$-orbit closures.


## 1. Introduction

In the 1970's Demazure described the connected automorphism groups of two distinguished classes of algebraic varieties equipped with the action of a connected reductive group $G$ : the complete homogeneous spaces $G / P$ for $P$ a parabolic subgroup (see [De77]), and the smooth complete toric varieties, with $G$ abelian (see De70). In the case of $X=G / P$, the group $G$ goes surjectively onto the connected automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)$ except for three particular cases (with $G$ a simple group) and products $\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right) /\left(P_{1} \times P_{2}\right)$ where $P_{1} \subseteq G_{1}, P_{2} \subseteq G_{2}$, and $G_{1} / P_{1}$ is one of these three exceptions. In the case where $X$ is a toric $G$-variety, the image of $G$ in $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)$ is a maximal torus of the latter, and the corresponding root datum of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)$ is completely determined by the spaces of global sections $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(Y)\right)$, with $Y$ varying in the set of $G$-stable prime divisors of $X$.

These two classes of $G$-varieties admit a common generalization: the regular embeddings, here also called $G$-regular embeddings or $G$-regular varieties, defined independently in BDP90] and [Gi89]. With the additional assumption of completeness, Bien and Brion showed that these varieties correspond to a relevant class of spherical varieties, namely the smooth, complete, and toroidal ones (see [BB96]).

The spaces $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(Y)\right)$ play again an important role, especially for the case where $X$ is wonderful in the sense of [Lu01] (see [Br07]), although they do not yield a direct description of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)$ if $X$ is not toric. Also, the group $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)$ may be non-reductive. Nevertheless, $X$ is a

[^0]spherical variety under the action of $A$, where $A$ is any reductive subgroup $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)$ containing the image of $G$, therefore it is natural to study the relationship between invariants of $X$ as a spherical $G$-variety, the structure of $A$, and invariants of $X$ with respect to the $A$-action. The results of AG10 are also related to this problem, and classify those toric varieties that are homogeneous under the action of a semisimple group.

In this paper we provide a complete description of the action of $A$ on $X$ if $A$ is a Levi subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D})$. Here $\mathcal{D}$ is any subset of the set $\partial X$ of $G$-stable prime divisors of $X$, and $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D})$ is the connected component of the group of automorphisms of $X$ stabilizing each element of $\mathcal{D}$.

Our approach is based on the analysis of the following filtration:

$$
\theta(G) \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \partial X) \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X, \mathcal{D} \cup(\partial X)^{\ell}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D})
$$

where $\theta(G)$ is the image of $G$ in $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)$ and $(\partial X)^{\ell}$ is a certain subset of $\partial X$ (see Definition 2.5). The main motivation is the fact that the groups $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \partial X)$ and $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X, \mathcal{D} \cup(\partial X)^{\ell}\right)$ are reductive and $X$ is regular under their actions, whereas both statements may fail for $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D})$.

For the group $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \partial X)$, we show in $\$ 4$ that it is completely determined by results of [Br07] and Pe09]. Then we consider $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}=\mathcal{D} \cup(\partial X)^{\ell}$ and show in 97 that $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X, \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right)$ is reductive, and that it can be studied using a certain $G$-equivariant map $X \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$, where $\mathbb{X}$ is a wonderful $G$-variety canonically associated with $X$. Namely, the group $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X, \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right)$ (up to a central torus) is obtained lifting to $X$ the action of the universal cover of a certain semisimple subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})$. The latter is known thanks to the results of Pe 09 , which are somewhat similar to Demazure's theorem on flag varieties: the image of $G$ is the whole Aut $^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})$, up to some exceptions that can be explicitly described.

It is worth noticing that $\mathbb{X}$ is obtained from $X$ using a procedure called wonderful closure, which is closely related to the well-known construction of the spherical closure of a spherical subgroup of $G$.

For the group $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D})$, we show that it is enough to deal with the case where $\mathcal{D}$ contains $(\partial X) \backslash(\partial X)^{\ell}$ (see the discussion at the end of 466$)$. Under this assumption we show in $\$ 10$ how to recover a Levi subgroup $A$ of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D})$ by an analysis of the fibers of the map $X \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$, which are finite unions of toric varieties.

We also give an explicit combinatorial description of all the invariants commonly associated to $X$ as a spherical $A$-variety, invariants which uniquely determine $X$ up to $A$-equivariant isomorphisms thanks to the classification of spherical varieties.

In particular, we describe both the invariants associated to the open $A$-orbit on $X$, the so-called Luna invariants, and the invariants associated to $X$ considered as an embedding of its open $A$-orbit, according to the Luna-Vust theory of embeddings of spherical homogeneous
spaces. Thanks to this theory, this accounts for a complete description of the structure of the $A$-orbits on $X$.

We also discuss explicitly in $\S 8$ and 99 the two special cases of $G$ semisimple and $G$ abelian.
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Notations. Through this paper $G$ is a connected reductive linear algebraic group over the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. We assume that $G=G^{\prime} \times C$ where $C$ is an algebraic torus and $G^{\prime}$ is semisimple and simply connected. We denote by $\mathbb{G}_{m}$ the multiplicative algebraic group of non-zero complex numbers.

We fix a Borel subgroup $B \subseteq G$ and a maximal torus $T \subseteq B$. We denote by $B^{-}$the Borel subgroup of $G$ such that $B \cap B^{-}=T$. If $H$ is any algebraic group then we denote by $\mathrm{Z}(H)$ ist center, by $H^{\circ}$ its connected subgroup containing the unit element $e_{H}$, and by $\mathcal{X}(H)$ the set of its characters, i.e. algebraic group homomorphisms $H \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m}$. If $V$ is an $H$-module, then we denote by $V^{(H)}$ the set of non-zero $H$-semiinvariants of $V$, and for any $\chi \in \mathcal{X}(H)$ we set

$$
V_{\chi}^{(H)}=\{v \in V \backslash\{0\} \mid h v=\chi(h) v \forall h \in H\}
$$

If $H \subseteq K$ are subgroups of $G$, then we denote by $\pi^{H, K}: G / H \rightarrow G / K$ the natural map sending $g H \in G / H$ to $g K \in G / K$.

For any subset $R$ of a $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\Lambda$, we denote by $R^{\vee}$ (resp. $R^{\perp}$ ) the subset of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Q})$ of all elements that are $\geq 0$ (resp. $=0$ ) on $R$. We define in the same way mutatis mutandis the subsets $R^{\vee}, R^{\perp} \subseteq \Lambda$ for $R \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Q})$.

The term algebraic variety (or simply variety) stands here for separated, reduced and irreducible scheme of finite type over the field $\mathbb{C}$, and all actions of algebraic groups on varieties are be assumed to be algebraic. If $X$ is a variety, the connected component containing $\mathrm{id}_{X}$ of its automorphism group is denoted by $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)$. If a connected algebraic group $H$ acts on $X$, we denote by

$$
\theta_{H, X}: H \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)
$$

the corresponding homomorphism.
If $X$ is a $G$-variety, we denote by $\operatorname{Pic}^{G}(X)$ the group of isomorphism classes of $G$-linearized invertible sheaves. If $X$ is normal and $Y$ is a Cartier divisor, then the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X}(Y)$ admits a (non unique) $G$-linearization (see KKLV89, Remark after Proposition 2.4]). If in addition $X$ is complete and $Y$ is a $G$-stable prime divisor, we will always assume that the $G$-linearization is chosen in such a way that the induced $G$-action on $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(Y)\right)$ is equal to the action inherited via the usual inclusion $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(Y)\right) \subset \mathbb{C}(X)$.

## 2. Complete regular embeddings

Definition 2.1. Suppose that an irreducible $G$-variety $X$ has an open $G$-orbit. Then $X$ is $G$-regular (or a $G$-regular embedding) if for any $x \in X$ :
(1) the closure $\overline{G x}$ of its orbit is smooth, and it is the transversal intersection of the $G$-stable prime divisors containing it;
(2) the stabilizer $G_{x}$ has a dense orbit on the normal space in $X$ to the orbit $G x$ in the point $x$.

As an immediate consequence of the definition, a $G$-regular embedding is smooth and has only a finite number of $G$-orbits. Examples of $G$-regular embeddings are the $G$-homogeneous spaces for any $G$, and if $G$ is an algebraic torus then any smooth toric $G$-variety. Other examples come from the family of spherical varieties, which are by definition irreducible normal $G$-varieties with a dense $B$-orbit.

More precisely, suppose that a $G$-variety $X$ is smooth and complete. Then $X$ is $G$-regular if and only if it is spherical and toroidal, i.e. any $B$-stable prime divisor containing a $G$-orbit is also $G$-stable (see [BB96, Proposition 2.2.1]).

We review some relevant invariants associated to any spherical $G$-variety $X$. They are actually invariants under birational $G$-equivariant maps, therefore they only depend on the open $G$-orbit of $X$. If $x_{0}$ is a point on this orbit, then we also denote the orbit $G x_{0}$ simply by $G / H$, where $H=G_{x_{0}}$ is called a generic stabilizer of $X$. In this case, $H$ is also called a spherical subgroup, and $\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ (or simply $X$ ) is called an embedding of $G / H$. A morphism between two embeddings $\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ and $\left(X^{\prime}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ is a $G$-equivariant map $X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ sending $x_{0}$ to $x_{0}^{\prime}$.

We will always assume that $x_{0}$ is chosen in such a way that $B x_{0}$ is dense in $X$. Then $H$ is also called a $B$-spherical subgroup.

Definition 2.2. Let $X$ be a spherical $G$-variety with open $G$-orbit $G / H$.
(1) We defing ${ }^{1}$ the lattice

$$
\Lambda_{G}(X)=\left\{\chi \in \mathcal{X}(B) \mid \mathbb{C}(X)_{\chi}^{(B)} \neq \varnothing\right\}
$$

whose rank is by definition the rank of $X$.
(2) We define

$$
\mathrm{N}_{G}(X)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\Lambda_{G}(X), \mathbb{Q}\right)
$$

(3) We define $\Delta_{G}(X)$ to be the set of colors of $X$, i.e. the $B$-stable prime divisors of $X$ having non-empty intersection with the open $G$-orbit $G / H$ of $X$.

[^1](4) For any discrete valuation $\nu: \mathbb{C}(X) \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ we define an element $\rho_{G, X}(\nu) \in \mathrm{N}_{G}(X)$ with the formula
$$
\left\langle\rho_{G, X}(\nu), \chi\right\rangle=\nu\left(f_{\chi}\right)
$$
where $f_{\chi} \in \mathbb{C}(X)_{\chi}^{(B)}$. If $D$ is a prime divisor of $X$ and $\nu_{D}$ is the associated discrete valuation, then we will also write $\rho_{G, X}(D)$ for $\rho_{G, X}\left(\nu_{D}\right)$.
(5) We define
$$
\mathrm{V}_{G}(X)=\left\{\rho_{G, X}(\nu) \mid \nu \text { is } G \text {-invariant }\right\}
$$
which is a polyhedral convex cone of maximal dimension in $\mathrm{N}_{G}(X)$; we denote its linear part by $\mathrm{V}_{G}^{\ell}(X)$.
(6) We define the boundary of $X$, denoted by $\partial_{G} X$, to be the set of the irreducible components of $X \backslash(G / H)$.

For the above, and for all the invariants defined later, we will drop the indices $G$ and $X$ whenever it is clear which group and which variety are considered. In loose terms the colors of $X$ can also be considered as invariants under $G$-equivariant birational maps, since they are the closures in $X$ of the colors of $G / H$.

The Luna-Vust theory of embeddings of homogeneous spaces specializes for spherical toroidal varieties in the following way (for details and proofs see [Kn96]).

Definition 2.3. Let $X$ be a $G$-regular embedding, and $Y$ an irreducible $G$-stable locally closed subvariety. Then we define $c_{X, Y} \subseteq \mathrm{~N}(X)$ to be the polyhedral convex cone generated by $\rho\left(D_{1}\right), \ldots, \rho\left(D_{n}\right)$, where $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}$ are the the $B$-stable prime divisors containing $Y$. The fan of $X$ is defined as

$$
\mathcal{F}_{G}(X)=\left\{c_{X, Y} \mid Y \text { a } G \text {-orbit of } X\right\} .
$$

Notice that since $X$ is toroidal then the divisors $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}$ above are also $G$-stable for any $Y$. The collection of convex cones $\mathcal{F}(X)$ satisfies the following properties:
(1) each cone of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is contained in $\mathrm{V}(G / H)$, it is strictly convex, and all its faces belong to $\mathcal{F}(X)$,
(2) any element of $\mathrm{V}(G / H)$ belongs to the relative interior of at most one cone of $\mathcal{F}(X)$.

The map $X \mapsto \mathcal{F}(X)$ induces a bijection between toroidal embeddings of $G / H$ (up to isomorphism of embeddings) and fans, i.e. collections of strictly convex polyhedral convex cones satisfying (1) and (2).

The support of a fan $\mathcal{F}$ is defined as

$$
\operatorname{supp} \mathcal{F}=\bigcup_{c \in \mathcal{F}} c
$$

The embedding $X$ is complete if and only if $\operatorname{supp} \mathcal{F}(X)=\mathrm{V}(X)$, and it is smooth if and only if for each $c \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ there exists a basis $\gamma_{1} \ldots, \gamma_{r}$ of $\Lambda(X)$ and an integer $k$ between 1 and $r$ such that

$$
c=\left\{\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{k}\right\}^{\vee}
$$

For later reference, we recall that if a spherical embedding $X$ is not toroidal, then it is also described by a similar datum, called a fan of colored convex cones. Here, the convex cone associated to a $G$-orbit $Y \subseteq X$ is replaced by the pair $\left(c_{X, Y}, d_{X, Y}\right)$ where $d_{X, Y}$ is the set of colors containing $Y$, and $c_{X, Y}$ is defined as above.

In general, the set $\mathrm{V}(X)$ is also a polyhedral convex cone, of maximal dimension, and its linear part $\mathrm{V}^{\ell}(X)$ has the same dimension (as a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space) of $\mathrm{N}_{G} H / H$ (as a complex algebraic group). The equations defining the maximal proper faces of $\mathrm{V}(X)$ are linearly independent (see [Br90, Corollaire 3.3]). In other words, there always exist $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{k} \in \Lambda(X)$ that are indivisible, linearly independent, and such that

$$
\mathrm{V}(X)=\left\{-\sigma_{1}, \ldots,-\sigma_{k}\right\}^{\vee} .
$$

Definition 2.4. The elements $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{k}$ above are uniquely determined by $G / H$ and called the spherical roots of $X$; their set is denoted as

$$
\Sigma_{G}(X)=\left\{\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{k}\right\}
$$

The map $Y \mapsto c_{X, Y}$ sends a $G$-orbit of codimension $d$ in $X$ to a cone of dimension $d$, and this restricts to a bijection between the boundary $\partial X$ and the set of 1-dimensional cones in $\mathcal{F}(X)$.

Definition 2.5. For a subset $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \partial X$, we define the subsets

$$
\mathcal{D}^{\ell}=\left\{Y \in \mathcal{D} \mid c_{X, Y} \subset \mathrm{~V}^{\ell}(X)\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{D}^{n \ell}=\mathcal{D} \backslash \mathcal{D}^{\ell}
$$

## 3. Spherical and wonderful closure

In this section we recall the notion, introduced in Lu01, of the spherical closure $\bar{H}$ of a spherical subgroup $H \subseteq G$. We also define another subgroup containing $H$, called its wonderful closure. This is essentially already known, but not yet found in the literature. We gather at first some results from [Lu01, §6].

An element $n$ of the normalizer $N$ of $H$ induces a $G$-equivariant isomorphism $G / H \rightarrow G / H$ given by $g H \mapsto g n H$. This induces an action of $N$ on the set of colors $\Delta(G / H)$ : the spherical closure $\bar{H}$ of $H$ is defined as the kernel of this action.

If $\bar{H}=H$ then we say that $H$ is spherically closed, and for any spherical subgroup $H$ the spherical closure $\bar{H}$ is itself spherically closed. This is well known, but for lack of a detailed reference we provide a proof, also because $\mathrm{N}_{G} \bar{H}$ may well be strictly bigger than $\mathrm{N}_{G} H$.

Proposition 3.1. For any spherical subgroup $H \subseteq G$, the spherical closure $\bar{H}$ is spherically closed.

Proof. Since $\bar{H}$ is contained in $\mathrm{N}_{G} H$ the quotient $\bar{H} / H$ is diagonalizable (see Kn94, Theorem 6.1]), and thus $H$ is defined inside $\bar{H}$ as intersection of kernels of some characters. The colors of $G / \bar{H}$ generate $\operatorname{Pic}^{G}(G / \bar{H})$ (see [Br89, Proposition 2.2]) and the latter is isomorphic to $\mathcal{X}(\bar{H})$ (see [KKV89, §3.1]), therefore $\overline{\bar{H}}$ acts trivially on $\mathcal{X}(\bar{H})$.

This implies that $\overline{\bar{H}}$ normalizes $H$. By definition, it fixes all colors of $G / \bar{H}$, but these correspond to the colors of $G / H$ via the natural map $\pi^{H, \bar{H}}: G / H \rightarrow G / \bar{H}$. Hence $\overline{\bar{H}} \subseteq \bar{H}$.

For later convenience we report the following auxiliary result. Recall that whenever $H \subseteq K$ are spherical subgroups of $G$, the lattice $\Lambda(G / K)$ is contained in the lattice $\Lambda(G / K)$, since $B$-semiinvariant functions can be lifted from $G / K$ to $G / H$ via the map $\pi^{H, K}: G / H \rightarrow G / K$. We sometimes denote this inclusion as a map $\left(\pi^{H, K}\right)^{*}: \Lambda(G / K) \rightarrow \Lambda(G / H)$, which induces a surjection $\pi_{*}^{H, K}: \mathrm{N}(G / H) \rightarrow \mathrm{N}(G / K)$. Moreover, we have $\pi_{*}^{H, K}(\mathrm{~V}(G / H))=\mathrm{V}(G / K)$ and $\operatorname{ker} \pi_{*}^{H, \bar{H}}=\mathrm{V}^{\ell}(G / H)$ (see [Kn96, Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 6.1]).

Lemma 3.2. Let $H \subseteq K \subseteq \bar{H}$ be spherical subgroups. Then $\left(\pi_{*}^{H, K}\right)^{-1}(\mathrm{~V}(G / H))=\mathrm{V}(G / K)$.
Proof. The claim stems from $\pi_{*}^{H, K}(\mathrm{~V}(G / H))=\mathrm{V}(G / K)$, together with

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(\pi_{*}^{H, K}\right) \subseteq \mathrm{V}^{\ell}(G / H)
$$

This inclusion follows from the fact that $\pi_{*}^{K, \bar{H}} \circ \pi_{*}^{H, K}=\pi_{*}^{H, \bar{H}}$, and that the latter has kernel $\mathrm{V}^{\ell}(G / H)$.

A class of subgroups slightly broader then the spherically closed ones is the following.
Definition 3.3. Suppose that $\Sigma(G / H)$ is a basis of $\Lambda(G / H)$. Then we say that $H$ is a wonderful subgroup of $G$. In this case there exists a fan $\mathcal{F}$ having only one maximal cone equal to $\mathrm{V}(G / K)$; the associated toroidal embedding is denoted by $\mathbb{X}(G / H)$.

If $H$ is wonderful then the embedding $\mathbb{X}(G / H)$ is smooth, has a unique closed $G$-orbit and it is wonderful in the sense of [Lu01]. A fundamental theorem of Knop (see Kn96, Corollary 7.6]) states that a spherically closed subgroup is wonderful.

Example 3.4. The converse of the above statement is false: for example, if $G=\mathrm{SO}(2 n+1)$ with $n \geq 2$, then $H=\mathrm{SO}(2 n)$ is a wonderful subgroup, with $\bar{H}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{SO}(2 n+1)} \mathrm{SO}(2 n) \neq H$ (see [Wa96, cases 7B, 8B of Table 1]).

It is possible to define canonically a minimal wonderful subgroup $\widehat{H}$ between $H$ and $\bar{H}$. As a byproduct, the automorphism groups of regular embeddings of $G / H$ are more directly related to the automorphism group of $\mathbb{X}(G / \widehat{H})$ than to that of $\mathbb{X}(G / \bar{H})$.

Definition 3.5. Let $H$ and $I$ be spherical subgroups of $G$. Then $I$ is a wonderful closure of $H$ if it is wonderful, satisfies $H \subseteq I \subseteq \bar{H}$, and is minimal with respect to these properties.

We will show that a wonderful closure always exists and is unique; for this we need to describe combinatorially all spherical subgroups having spherical closure equal to $\bar{H}$.

Let us fix a spherically closed subgroup $K$, and consider the following diagram

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \longrightarrow \Lambda(G / K) \xrightarrow{\bar{\rho}} \operatorname{Pic}^{G}(\mathbb{X}(G / K)) \xrightarrow{\downarrow} \xrightarrow{\downarrow} \operatorname{Pic}^{G}(G / K) \longrightarrow 0 \\
\operatorname{Pic}^{G}(G / B)
\end{gathered}
$$

where the row is exact (see also [Br07, Proposition 2.2.1].
The map $\tau$ is the pullback along the inclusion $G / K \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(G / K)$. For $\sigma$, observe that $\mathbb{X}(G / K)$ has a unique closed $G$-orbit $Z$, which is projective and therefore comes with a natural projection $\operatorname{map} G / B \rightarrow Z$. The map $\sigma$ is then the pullback along the composition $G / B \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(G / K)$.

The map $\bar{\rho}$ is defined in the following way: for any $\chi \in \Lambda(G / K)$ we take a function $f_{\chi} \in$ $\mathbb{C}(G / K){ }_{\chi}^{(B)}$ and consider the $G$-stable part $D=\operatorname{div}\left(f_{\chi}\right)^{G}$ of $\operatorname{div}\left(f_{\chi}\right)$. Then we set $\bar{\rho}(\chi)=$ $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(-D)$, which admits a unique $G$-linearization such that $C$ acts trivially on the total space of the bundle.

These maps admit also a combinatorial definition, using the fact that $G=C \times G^{\prime}$ and $K \supseteq C$, that $\Delta(G / K)$ is a basis of $\operatorname{Pic}(\mathbb{X}(G / K))$ (see [Br89, Proposition 2.2]), and the isomorphisms $\operatorname{Pic}^{G}(G / K) \cong \mathcal{X}(K), \operatorname{Pic}^{G}(G / B) \cong \mathcal{X}(B)$. The resulting diagram

where $\Delta=\Delta(G / K)$, is also described in details in [Lu01, §6.3]. The map $\bar{\rho}$ is defined as:

$$
\bar{\rho}(\chi)=\left(\left.\chi\right|_{C},\left\langle\rho_{G, G / K}(\cdot), \chi\right\rangle\right)
$$

and $\sigma \circ \bar{\rho}$ is the identity on $\Lambda(G / K)$ (see loc.cit.).
Lemma 3.6. [Lu01, Lemme 6.3.1, Lemme 6.3.3] Let $K \subseteq G$ be a spherically closed subgroup. The application

$$
H \rightarrow \tau^{-1}\left(\mathcal{X}(K)^{H}\right)
$$

is an inclusion-reversing bijection between the set of normal subgroups $H$ of $K$ such that $K / H$ is diagonalizable, and the set of subgroups of $\mathcal{X}(C) \times \mathbb{Z}^{\Delta}$ containing $\bar{\rho}(\Lambda(G / K))$. If the restriction of $\sigma$ to $\tau^{-1}\left(\mathcal{X}(K)^{H}\right)$ is injective then $H$ is spherical.

Lemma 3.7. For any spherical subgroup $H \subseteq G$ contained and normal in $K$ and all $D \in$ $\Delta(G / H)$ we have $\pi^{H, K}(D) \in \Delta(G / K)$, and

$$
\pi_{*}^{H, K}\left(\rho_{G, G / H}(D)\right)=\rho_{G, G / K}\left(\pi^{H, K}(D)\right)
$$

Proof. Since $H$ is normal in $K$, then $K$ stabilizes the open set $B H \subseteq G$ acting by right multiplication on $G$ (see also [BP87, First part of the proof of Proposition 5.1]). The complement $G \backslash B H$ is the union of $\pi^{\left\{e_{G}\right\}, H}(E)$ for $E$ varying in $\Delta(G / H)$, whence the first statement.

For the second statement, it is enough to show that a local equation of $D$ on $G / H$ can be chosen to be the pull-back of a function on $G / K$ along $\pi^{H, K}$. Let $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{n}$ be all the distinct $B$-stable prime divisors of $G$ such that $\pi^{\left\{e_{G}\right\}, K}\left(E_{i}\right)=\pi^{H, K}(D)$. Since $G$ is factorial we can choose a global equation $f_{i} \in \mathbb{C}[G]$ for each $E_{i}$, and consider the product $f=f_{1} \cdot \ldots \cdot f_{n}$.

The divisor $\operatorname{div}(f)$ on $G$ is $B$-stable under the left translation action of $G$ on itself, but none of its components is $G$-stable therefore there exists an element $g \in G$ such that the function $f_{0}: x \mapsto f(g x)$ doesn't vanish on any divisor $E_{i}$. On the other hand $\operatorname{div}(f)$ is $K$-stable under the right translation action of $G$ on itself, thus $f$ is $K$-semiinvariant under this action. The function $f_{0}$ is then also $K$-semiinvariant, with same $K$-eigenvalue. It follows that

$$
F=\frac{f}{f_{0}}
$$

is $K$-invariant with respect to the right translation action. In other words $F=\left(\pi^{\left\{e_{G}\right\}, K}\right)^{*}(\widetilde{F})$ for some $\widetilde{F} \in \mathbb{C}(G / K)$.

Now for some $i_{0}$ the divisor $E_{i_{0}}$ satisfies $\pi^{\left\{e_{G}\right\}, H}\left(E_{i_{0}}\right)=D$. The function $F$ is equal to the pull-back of $\left(\pi^{H, K}\right)^{*}(\widetilde{F})$ along $\pi^{\left\{e_{G}\right\}, H}$ and is a local equation of $E_{i_{0}}$ on $G$, hence $\left(\pi^{H, K}\right)^{*}(\widetilde{F})$ is a local equation of $\pi^{\left\{e_{G}\right\}, H}\left(E_{i_{0}}\right)=D$ on $G / H$ : the lemma follows.

Thanks to Lemma 3.7, we can extend the map $\bar{\rho}$ to $\Lambda(G / H)$ in the following way.
Definition 3.8. We denote again by $\bar{\rho}$ the extension of the above map $\bar{\rho}: \Lambda(G / K) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(C) \times \mathbb{Z}^{\Delta}$ to $\Lambda(G / H)$ given by the following formula:

$$
\bar{\rho}(\chi)=\left(\left.\chi\right|_{C},\left\langle\rho_{G, G / H}(\cdot), \chi\right\rangle\right) .
$$

Lemma 3.9. For any spherical subgroup $H \subseteq G$ contained and normal in $K$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\rho}\left(\Lambda_{G}(G / H)\right)=\tau^{-1}\left(\mathcal{X}(K)^{H}\right) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The equality stems from the description of the map $\tau$ given in [Lu01, §6.3], see in particular [Lu01, Proof of Proposition 6.3]. Indeed, for any $\chi \in \Lambda(G / H)$ the image $\tau(\bar{\rho}(\chi))$ is the $K$-eigenvalue of a rational function $f$ on $G$ such that $f$ is the pull-back of a rational function on $G / H$. Hence its $K$-eigenvalue is trivial on $H$.

For the other inclusion, Lemma 3.7 implies that

$$
\bigcup_{D \in \Delta(G / H)}\left(\pi^{\left\{e_{G}\right\}, H}\right)^{-1}(D)=\bigcup_{D \in \Delta(G / K)}\left(\pi^{\left\{e_{G}\right\}, K}\right)^{-1}(D)
$$

is the union of all prime divisors of $G$ that are $B$-stable under left translation and $H$-stable (or equivalently $K$-stable) under right translation. As a consequence, if $\tau\left(\gamma,\left(n_{D}\right)_{D \in \Delta}\right.$ ) is a $K$-character that is trivial on $H$, then $\chi=\sigma\left(\tau\left(\gamma,\left(n_{D}\right)_{D \in \Delta}\right)\right)$ is the $B$-eigenvalue of a $B$ semiinvariant rational function on $G / H$. Since $\bar{\rho}(\chi)=\left(\gamma,\left(n_{D}\right)_{D \in \Delta}\right)$, the proof is complete.

Proposition 3.10. Let $H \subseteq G$ be a spherical subgroup, set $K=\bar{H}$ and $\Xi=\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Z}} \Sigma(G / H)$. Then

$$
\Lambda(G / K) \subseteq \Xi \subseteq \Lambda(G / H)
$$

The normal subgroup $\widehat{H} \subseteq K$ associated to $\bar{\rho}(\Xi)$ via the map of Lemma 3.6 is the unique wonderful closure of $H$. It has the same dimension of $\bar{H}$, and it is the unique wonderful subgroup between $H$ and $\bar{H}$ that satisfies $\Sigma_{G}(G / H)=\Sigma(G / \widehat{H})$. Moreover, the spherical closure of $\widehat{H}$ is $\bar{H}$.

Proof. The inclusion $\Xi \subseteq \Lambda(G / H)$ is obvious. The map $\pi_{*}^{H, K}: \mathrm{N}(G / H) \rightarrow \mathrm{N}(G / K)$ has kernel $\mathrm{V}^{\ell}(G / H)$, and satisfies $\pi_{*}(\mathrm{~V}(G / H))=\mathrm{V}(G / K)$. The other inclusion $\Lambda(G / K) \subseteq \Xi$ follows. Hence the subgroup $\widehat{H}$ contains $H$.

The lattice $\Lambda(G / \widehat{H})=\Xi$ has basis $\Sigma(G / H)$ since the spherical roots are aways linearly independent. Since $\Lambda(G / \widehat{H})$ has finite index inside $\mathrm{V}^{\ell}(G / H)^{\perp}$ and $\pi^{H, \widehat{H}}(\mathrm{~V}(G / H))=\mathrm{V}(G / \widehat{H})$ we deduce that $\Sigma(G / H)=\Sigma(G / \widehat{H})$.

If $\widetilde{H}$ is another wonderful subgroup such that $H \subseteq \widetilde{H} \subseteq \bar{H}$, then $\Lambda(G / \widetilde{H})$ has also finite index in $\mathrm{V}^{\ell}(G / H)^{\perp}$, and $\Sigma(G / \widetilde{H})$ is equal to $\Sigma(G / H)$ up to taking (positive) multiples of the elements of the latter. The dimension, minimality and uniqueness properties of $\widehat{H}$ follow, since $\Lambda(G / \widetilde{H}) \subseteq \Lambda(G / \widehat{H})$ implies $\widetilde{H} \supseteq \widehat{H}$.

The last assertion follows from the last assertion of [Lu01, Lemme 6.3.3]: indeed the lattice $\sigma\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)$ of loc.cit. is denoted here by $\Xi$, and the set $S^{\circ}$ of loc.cit. is here a subset of $\frac{1}{2} \Sigma(G / H)$.

## 4. Automorphisms stabilizing all $G$-orbits

From now on, $X$ denotes a complete $G$-regular variety, with open $G$-orbit $G / H$.
Definition 4.1. For any subset $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \partial_{G} X$ of $G$-stable prime divisors we define

$$
\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D})=\left\{\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X) \mid \phi(D)=D, \quad \forall D \in \mathcal{D}\right\}
$$

Since $X$ is $G$-regular, the group $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X, \partial_{G} X\right)$ is also the connected group of automorphisms of $X$ stabilizing each $G$-orbit.

We recall now some results from [BB96] (see also [Br07]). The group $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)$ is a linear algebraic group, with Lie algebra

$$
\text { Lie } \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)=H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{T}_{X}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{X}$ is the sheaf of sections of the tangent bundle of $X$. The structure of $G$-module on Lie $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)$, induced by the adjoint action of $\theta_{G, X}(G) \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)$, is given in BB96, Proposition 4.1.1] in terms of global sections of the line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$ where $D \in \partial_{G} X$.

Namely, there exists an exact sequence of $G$-modules

Moreover, for any $\mathcal{D} \in \partial_{G} X$ the Lie algebra of the subgroup $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D})$ is the inverse image of the sum

$$
\bigoplus_{D \in\left(\partial_{G} X\right) \backslash \mathcal{D}} \frac{H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(D)\right)}{\mathbb{C}}
$$

Definition 4.2. Let $0 \neq \gamma \in \mathcal{X}(B)$. If it exists, we denote by $X(\gamma)$ the uniquely determined element of $\partial_{G} X$ such that $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(X(\gamma))\right)_{\gamma}^{(B)} \neq \varnothing$.

A particular case of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)$ has been studied in Pe 09 , where $X$ is a wonderful variety. Recall that $C$ acts trivially on any wonderful $G$-variety, hence we can consider $G^{\prime}$-varieties without loss of generality. Moreover, in this case $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D})$ is always semisimple and $X$ is wonderful under its action (see [Br07, Theorem 2.4.2]). It is possible to summarize the results of [Pe09] as follows.

Theorem 4.3. Pe09] Let $\mathbb{X}$ be a wonderful $G^{\prime}$-variety and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \partial_{G^{\prime}} \mathbb{X}$. Decompose $G^{\prime}$ and $\mathbb{X}$ into products

$$
G^{\prime}=G_{1}^{\prime} \times \ldots \times G_{n}^{\prime}, \quad \mathbb{X}=\mathbb{X}_{1} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{X}_{n}
$$

with a maximal number of factors in such a way that $G_{i}^{\prime}$ acts non-trivially only on $\mathbb{X}_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{D})=\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(\mathbb{X}_{1}, \mathcal{D}_{1}\right) \times \ldots \times \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(\mathbb{X}_{n}, \mathcal{D}_{n}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{i}=\left\{D \cap \mathbb{X}_{i} \mid D \in \mathcal{D}\right\} \subseteq \partial_{G_{i}^{\prime}} \mathbb{X}_{i}$. Moreover, if the image of $G_{i}^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(\mathbb{X}_{i}, \mathcal{D}_{i}\right)$ is a proper subgroup, then $\left(G_{i}^{\prime}, \mathbb{X}_{i}\right)$ appears in the lists of "exceptions" of [Pe09, $\left.\S \S 3.2-3.6\right]$. If $\mathcal{D}=\partial_{G^{\prime}} \mathbb{X}$ then all such exceptional factors have rank 0 or 1.

Let now $\mathbb{X}=\mathbb{X}(G / \bar{H})$ : the group $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X, \partial_{G} X\right)$ is easily recovered from $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(\mathbb{X}, \partial_{G} \mathbb{X}\right)$. Indeed, thanks to [Br07, Theorem 4.4.1], there exists a split exact sequence of Lie algebras

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \frac{\operatorname{Lie} \bar{H}}{\operatorname{Lie} H} \rightarrow \operatorname{Lie}^{\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X, \partial_{G} X\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Lie} \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(\mathbb{X}, \partial_{G} \mathbb{X}\right) \rightarrow 0 . . . . ~} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X, \partial_{G} X\right)$ is reductive, its connected center is $(\bar{H} / H)^{\circ}=(\hat{H} / H)^{\circ}$, and its semisimple part can be computed using Theorem 4.3 and the lists of Pe 09 .

We point out that in the above exact sequence we may as well use the variety $\mathbb{X}=\mathbb{X}(G / \widehat{H})$. Indeed, the results of [Br07, §4.4] hold (with same proofs) if we replace the spherical closure of $H$ with its wonderful closure.

## 5. Relating $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)$ to $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})$

From now on, $\mathbb{X}=\mathbb{X}(G / \widehat{H})$ denotes the wonderful embedding of $G / \widehat{H}$. As a consequence of the last section, we may suppose from now on that $\theta_{G, X}(G)=\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X, \partial_{G} X\right)$ and that $\theta_{G, \mathbb{X}}(G)=\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(\mathbb{X}, \partial_{G} \mathbb{X}\right)$. Indeed, if this is not the case we may first apply Theorem 4.3 to $\mathbb{X}$, replace $G_{i}^{\prime}$ with the universal cover of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{X}_{i}, \partial_{G_{i}} \mathbb{X}_{i}\right)$ for all $i$ such that these groups are different, and then replace $C$ with $C \times(\widehat{H} / H)^{\circ}$.

Thanks to [Kn96, Theorem 4.1], the natural surjection $\pi^{H, \widehat{H}}: G / H \rightarrow G / \widehat{H}$ extends to a surjective $G$-equivariant map

$$
\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{X}=\mathbb{X}(G / \widehat{H})
$$

Definition 5.1. We denote by

$$
X \xrightarrow{\psi} X^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{X}
$$

the Stein factorization of the map $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$.

In [Br07, §4.4] it is shown that $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)$ acts on $X^{\prime}$ in such a way that $\psi$ is equivariant; we denote the corresponding homomorphism as follows:

$$
\psi_{*}: \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X^{\prime}\right)
$$

Its kernel is the subgroup of automorphisms of $X$ stabilizing each fiber of $\psi$.
Proposition 5.2. The inclusions $\mathrm{Z}\left(\theta_{G, X}(G)\right)^{\circ} \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \psi_{*} \cap \theta_{G, X}(G) \subseteq \mathrm{Z}\left(\theta_{G, X}(G)\right)$ between subgroups of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)$ hold. Moreover, there is a local isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X,\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{n \ell}\right) \cong \theta_{G, X}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \ltimes\left(\operatorname{ker} \psi_{*}\right)^{\circ} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

induced by the inclusion of both factors of the right hand side in $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)$.

Proof. The first inclusion stems from the fact that $C=\mathrm{Z}(G)^{\circ}$ acts trivially on $\mathbb{X}$, hence also on $X^{\prime}$. On the other hand, if $g \in G$ stabilizes all fibers of $\psi$, then it acts trivially on $X^{\prime}$ and also on $\mathbb{X}$. Therefore, to show the second inclusion, we only have to check that no simple factor of $G$ acts trivially on $\mathbb{X}$ but not on $X$. This is true because $\widehat{H} / H$ is abelian.

Let us prove the last statement. Both groups on the right hand side of (5.1) are subgroups of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X,\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{n \ell}\right)$ : this is obvious for $\theta_{G, X}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, so we only have to check it for $\left(\operatorname{ker} \psi_{*}\right)^{\circ}$. Notice that $\psi$ maps any element $D$ of $\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{n \ell}$ onto a proper $G$-stable closed subset of $X^{\prime}$. It follows that $D$ is an irreducible component of $\psi^{-1}(\psi(D))$, hence it is stable under the action of $\left(\operatorname{ker} \psi_{*}\right)^{\circ}$. It also follows that $\psi_{*}$ maps $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X,\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{n \ell}\right)$ into $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X^{\prime}, \partial_{G} X^{\prime}\right)$.

The intersection $\left(\operatorname{ker} \psi_{*}\right)^{\circ} \cap \theta_{G, X}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ is finite thanks to the first part of the proof, and $\left(\operatorname{ker} \phi_{*}\right)^{\circ}$ is a normal subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X,\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{n \ell}\right)$. It only remains to prove that $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X,\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{n \ell}\right)$ is generated by $\theta_{G, X}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\operatorname{ker} \psi_{*}\right)^{\circ}$.

By [Br07, Theorem 4.4.1], we know that $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X^{\prime}, \partial X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X}, \partial \mathbb{X})$ are both semisimple and locally isomorphic. It follows that the universal cover of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X^{\prime}, \partial X^{\prime}\right)$ acts on $\mathbb{X}$ in such a way that $f$ is equivariant. On the other hand no element of this universal cover could act trivially on $X^{\prime}$ and non-trivially on $\mathbb{X}$, hence $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X^{\prime}, \partial X^{\prime}\right)$ itself acts on $\mathbb{X}$, preserving all $G$-orbits. This produces a commutative diagram

where $\theta_{G, \mathbb{X}}$ is surjective by our assumptions. Therefore $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X,\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{n \ell}\right)$ is generated by $\theta_{G, X}(G)$ and $\operatorname{ker}\left(f_{*} \circ \psi_{*}\right)$. Notice that $f_{*}$ has finite kernel, that the kernel of $\psi_{*}$ contains $\theta_{G, X}(C)$, and that $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X,\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{n \ell}\right)$ is connected: we deduce that $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X,\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{n \ell}\right)$ is indeed generated by $\theta_{G, X}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\operatorname{ker} \psi_{*}\right)^{\circ}$, and the proof is complete.

If we denote by

$$
d \psi_{*}: \operatorname{Lie} \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Lie} \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X^{\prime}\right)
$$

the corresponding homomorphism of Lie algebras, then the following corollary is an immediate consequence of the above proposition.

Corollary 5.3. The subspace $\operatorname{ker} d \psi_{*} \subseteq \operatorname{Lie} \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)$ is $G$-stable, and its intersection with Lie $\theta_{G, X}(G)$ is equal to $\operatorname{Lie} \theta_{G, X}(C)$. There exists a $G$-equivariant splitting of the exact sequence (4.1) such that

$$
\operatorname{ker} d \psi_{*}=\operatorname{Lie} \theta_{G, X}(C) \oplus \bigoplus_{D \in\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{\ell}} \frac{H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(D)\right)}{\mathbb{C}}
$$

## 6. Restricting automorphisms of $X$ to fibers of $\psi$

We study now the automorphisms of a generic fiber of $\psi$ induced by automorphisms of $X$ belonging to ker $\psi_{*}$. For this it is convenient to exploit the local structure of spherical varieties.

Theorem 6.1. Kn94, Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 2.4] Let $Y$ be a spherical G-variety. Let $P_{G, Y} \supseteq B$ be the stabilizer in $G$ of the open $B$-orbit of $Y$, let $L_{G, Y}$ be the Levi subgroup of $P_{G, Y}$ containing $T$, and consider the following open subset of $Y$ :

$$
Y_{0}=Y \backslash \bigcup_{D \in \Delta_{G}(Y)} D
$$

Then there exists a closed $L_{G, Y^{-}}$-stable and $L_{G, Y}$-spherical subvariety $\mathcal{Z}_{G, Y}$ of $Y_{0}$ such that the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{G, Y}^{u} \times \mathcal{Z}_{G, Y} & \rightarrow Y_{0} \\
(p, z) & \mapsto p z
\end{aligned}
$$

is a $P_{G, Y^{-}}$equivariant isomorphism, where $L_{G, Y}$ acts on $P_{G, Y}^{u} \times \mathcal{Z}_{G, Y}$ by $l \cdot(p, z)=\left(l p l^{-1}, l z\right)$. The commutator subgroup $\left(L_{G, Y}, L_{G, Y}\right)$ acts trivially on $\mathcal{Z}_{G, Y}$, and if $Y$ is toroidal then every $G$-orbit meets $\mathcal{Z}_{G, Y}$ in an $L_{G, Y \text {-orbit. }}$

Definition 6.2. We define $T_{G, Y}$ to be the quotient of $L_{G, Y}^{r}$ by the kernel of its action on $\mathcal{Z}_{G, Y}$.
We get back to our complete $G$-regular variety $X$. The torus $T_{G, X}$ is a subquotient of $T$, and $\mathcal{Z}_{G, X}$ is a spherical (toric) $T_{G, X}$-variety, with lattice $\Lambda_{T_{G, X}}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{G, X}\right)=\mathcal{X}\left(T_{G, X}\right)=\Lambda_{G}(G / H)$ and fan of convex cones equal to $\mathcal{F}_{G}(X)$.

Definition 6.3. For any $x^{\prime}$ in the open $G$-orbit of $X^{\prime}$ we denote by $\kappa_{x^{\prime}}$ the restriction map

$$
\kappa_{x^{\prime}}:\left(\operatorname{ker} \psi_{*}\right)^{\circ} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X_{x^{\prime}}\right)
$$

where $X_{x^{\prime}}=\psi^{-1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.
Recall that $H$ is chosen in such a way that $B H$ is open in $G$, and $x_{0}=e H \in G / H \subseteq X$. Let us consider $x_{0}^{\prime}=\psi\left(x_{0}\right)$ : the fiber $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ is smooth and complete, and it is a toric variety under the action of the torus $S=(\widehat{H} / H)^{\circ}=H^{\prime} / H$, where $H^{\prime}$ is the stabilizer of $x_{0}^{\prime}$.

Moreover, $S$ acts naturally on $G / H$ by $G$-equivariant automorphisms, and since $S$ is connected this $S$-action extends to $X$, stabilizing all colors of $X$ and all fibers of $\psi$. We may fix $\mathcal{Z}_{G, X^{\prime}} \subset X^{\prime}$ containing $x_{0}^{\prime}$, and choose $\mathcal{Z}_{G, X}$ so that

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{G, X}=\psi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{G, X^{\prime}}\right) \cap X_{0}
$$

which implies that $\mathcal{Z}_{G, X}$ contains $x_{0}$ and is stable under the action of $S$.
The same action of $S$ on $\mathcal{Z}_{G, X}$ can be realized sending $S$ injectively into $T_{G, X}$, and then letting it act on $\mathcal{Z}_{G, X}$ via the restriction of the usual action of $G$ on $X$. Indeed, if $n H \in S$
and $f \in \mathbb{C}(G / H)_{\chi}^{(B)}$, then $g H \mapsto f(g n H)$ also belongs to $\mathbb{C}(G / H)_{\chi}^{(B)}$, therefore there is a homomorphism (depending only on $\chi) \iota_{\chi}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ such that $f(g n H)=\iota_{\chi}\left(n^{-1} H\right) f(g H)$ for all $g \in G$. This induces a homomorphism

$$
\iota: S \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Lambda_{G}(G / H), \mathbb{C}^{*}\right) \cong T_{G, X}
$$

which can be shown to be injective, with image equal to the subtorus of $T_{G, X}$ corresponding to the subspace $\mathrm{V}_{G}^{\ell}(G / H) \subseteq \mathrm{N}_{G}(G / H)$ (see [Br97, Proof of Theorem 4.3]). Let us check that restricting to $\iota(S)$ the usual $T_{G, X}$-action on $\mathcal{Z}_{G, X}$ yields the action described above. The intersection $\mathcal{Z}_{G, X} \cap G / H$ is dense in $\mathcal{Z}_{G, X}$, and $\mathcal{Z}_{G, X}$ is a toric $T_{G, X}$-variety with lattice equal to $\Lambda_{G}(G / H)$ : it follows that $\iota(n H) g H=g n H$, because

$$
f(\iota(n H) g H)=\chi\left(\iota\left(n^{-1} H\right)\right) f(g H)=\iota_{\chi}\left(n^{-1} H\right) f(g H)=f(g n H)
$$

for all $n H \in S, g H \in \mathcal{Z}_{G, X} \cap G / H, \chi \in \Lambda_{G}(G / H)$ and $f \in \mathbb{C}(G / H)_{\chi}^{(B)}$.
The fiber $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ is also the fiber over $x_{0}^{\prime}$ of the $S$-equivariant map $\mathcal{Z}_{G, X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{G, X^{\prime}}$, which implies that its fan of convex cones is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{S}\left(X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}\right)=\left\{c \mid c \in \mathcal{F}_{G}(X), c \subset \mathrm{~V}_{G}^{\ell}(G / H)\right\} . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the $S$-boundary of $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ is given intersecting $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ with the elements of $\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{\ell}$, there is an exact sequence of $S$-modules

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Lie} S \rightarrow \operatorname{Lie} \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{D \in\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{\ell}} \frac{H^{0}\left(X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}, \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(D \cap X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}\right)\right)}{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow 0 \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 6.4. If $V \subseteq \operatorname{ker} d \psi_{*}$ is a simple $G$-submodule and $x^{\prime}$ is in the open $B$-orbit of $X^{\prime}$, then $d \kappa_{x^{\prime}}(V)=d \kappa_{x^{\prime}}(\mathbb{C} v)$, where $v \in V$ is a highest weight vector.

Proof. We may assume that $x^{\prime}=x_{0}^{\prime}$ and that $v=[s] \in H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(D)\right) / \mathbb{C}$ for some $D \in(\partial X)^{\ell}$, in view of Corollary 5.3. From the expression in local coordinates of BB96, Remark after Proposition 2.3.2] and the proof of [BB96, Proposition 4.1.1], we see that $d \kappa_{x_{0}^{\prime}}(v)$ is sent by the surjective map of (6.2) to $\left[\left.s\right|_{X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}}\right]$ where $\left.s\right|_{X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}}$ is a section of $\mathcal{O}_{X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}}\left(D \cap X_{x^{\prime}}\right)$.

If $s$ is a $B$-eigenvector then its zeros are $B$-stable. On the other hand, since $B x_{0}^{\prime}$ is open in $X^{\prime}$, the only zeros of $s$ intersecting $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ are $G$-stable. It also follows that $\left.(g s)\right|_{X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}}$ and $\left.s\right|_{X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}}$ have the same zeros (hence are linearly dependent) for any $g \in G$ such that $g x_{0}$ doesn't lie on any color of $G / H$. This is true for $g$ lying in a dense subset $U$ of $G$, and since $V$ is generated as a vector space by elements of the form $[g s]$ for $g \in U$, the lemma follows.

Lemma 6.5. Let $i=1,2$ and $0 \neq \gamma_{i} \in \Lambda_{G}(X)$ be such that $X\left(\gamma_{i}\right)$ exists, with $X\left(\gamma_{i}\right) \in(\partial X)^{\ell}$. Suppose that $\left\langle m, \gamma_{1}\right\rangle=-\left\langle m, \gamma_{2}\right\rangle$ for all $m \in \mathrm{~V}_{G}^{\ell}(X)$. Then

$$
\left\langle\rho_{G, X}(D), \gamma_{i}\right\rangle=0
$$

for all $i=1,2$, for all $D \in\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{n \ell}$ and for all $D \in \Delta_{G}(X)$.

Proof. Consider the wonderful variety $\mathbb{X}$. Both sets $\rho_{G, \mathbb{X}}\left(\Delta_{G}(\mathbb{X})\right)$ and $\rho_{G, \mathbb{X}}\left(\partial_{G} \mathbb{X}\right)$ generate $N_{G}(\mathbb{X})$ as a vector space, and the convex cone generated by $\rho_{G, \mathbb{X}}\left(\Delta_{G}(\mathbb{X})\right)$ contains $-\rho_{G, \mathbb{X}}\left(\partial_{G} \mathbb{X}\right)$ (see [Br07, Lemma 2.1.2]). On the other hand, the set $\pi_{*}\left(\rho_{G, X}\left(\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{n \ell}\right)\right) \subset \mathrm{N}_{G}(\mathbb{X})$ generates the same convex cone $\mathrm{V}_{G}(\mathbb{X})$ generated by $\rho_{G, \mathbb{X}}\left(\partial_{G} \mathbb{X}\right)$, and $\pi_{*}\left(\rho_{G, X}\left(\Delta_{G}(X)\right)\right)=\rho_{G, \mathbb{X}}\left(\Delta_{G}(\mathbb{X})\right)$. It follows that there exists a linear combination

$$
v=\sum_{Y \in\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{n \ell}} n_{Y} \rho_{G, X}(Y)+\sum_{Z \in \Delta_{G}(X)} n_{Z} \rho_{G, X}(Z) \in \mathrm{V}_{G}^{\ell}(X)
$$

where all the coefficients $n_{Y}$ and $n_{Z}$ are positive. From the assumptions on the characters $\gamma_{i}$, all the elements $\rho_{G, X}(Y)$ and $\rho_{G, X}(Z)$ above are non-negative on both $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ : we deduce that $\left\langle v, \gamma_{i}\right\rangle \geq 0$, which yields $\left\langle v, \gamma_{i}\right\rangle=0$. The lemma follows.

In the next sections we will investigate $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D})$ for any subset $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \partial_{G} X$, using the results above. It is harmless to assume that each $E \in \mathcal{E}=\partial_{G} X \backslash \mathcal{D}$ is not stable under $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D})$, and it is convenient to treat separately the two subsets $\mathcal{E}^{n \ell}, \mathcal{E}^{\ell}$ of $\mathcal{E}$.

More precisely, we first consider in $\oint 7$ the special case where $\mathcal{E}^{\ell}=\varnothing$, i.e. $\mathcal{D} \supseteq\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{\ell}$. We determine the group $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D})$ : it is obtained lifting from $\mathbb{X}$ to $X$ the action of a certain subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})$, it is reductive and under its action $X$ is $G$-regular, with boundary $\mathcal{D}$. Finally, we compute the related fan of convex cones.

For a general $\mathcal{D}$, we apply the above results to $X$ where $G$ is replaced by $\widetilde{G}=\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X, \mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{E}^{\ell}\right)$. It turns out (see Corollary (7.19) that the elements of $\mathcal{E}^{\ell}$ lie on the linear part of the valuation cone both with respect to the $G$-action and to the $\widetilde{G}$-action.

Therefore we may finally replace $G$ with the group $\widetilde{G}$, and develop further analysis on $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D})$ where now $X$ is a complete $\widetilde{G}$-regular variety satisfying $\mathcal{D} \supseteq\left(\partial_{\widetilde{G}} X\right)^{n \ell}$. This will be done in §10, after discussing the special cases of $G$ abelian ( $₫ 81)$ and $G$ semisimple ( 99 ).

## 7. $G$-Stable prime divisors not on the linear part of the valuation cone

In this section we study $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D})$ under the assumption that $\mathcal{D} \supseteq\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{\ell}$. We also suppose that $\mathcal{D}$ contains all the $G$-stable prime divisors $D$ that satisfy $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(D)\right)=\mathbb{C}$, since these prime divisors do not move under the action of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)$ anyway.

Before stating the main result of this section, Theorem 7.8, we need to establish a correspondence between the divisors in $\partial_{G} X \backslash \mathcal{D}$ and certain boundary divisors of $\mathbb{X}$.

Recall that since $\mathbb{X}$ is wonderful the set $-\rho_{G, \mathbb{X}}\left(\partial_{X} \mathbb{X}\right)$ is a basis of $N_{G}(\mathbb{X})$, dual to $\Sigma_{G}(\mathbb{X})$.
Definition 7.1. For an element $D \in \partial_{G} \mathbb{X}$, we denote by $\sigma_{D}$ the spherical root of $\mathbb{X}$ dual to $-\rho_{G, \mathbb{X}}(D)$.

Since $\Lambda_{G}(\mathbb{X})$ is a sublattice of $\Lambda_{G}(X)$, we consider $\sigma_{D}$ also as an element of the latter.

Also recall that, thanks to $\operatorname{Br07}$, Theorem 2.2.3], if $D \in \partial_{G} \mathbb{X}$ satisfies $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(D)\right) \neq \mathbb{C}$ then $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(D)\right) / \mathbb{C}$ is irreducible with highest weight $\sigma_{D}$.

Lemma 7.2. Let $E \in \mathcal{E}=\partial_{G} X \backslash \mathcal{D}$. Then:
(1) the image $\pi(E)$ is an element of $\partial_{G} \mathbb{X}$, with $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(\pi(E))\right) \neq \mathbb{C}$, and $E$ is the only element of $\partial_{G} X$ whose image is $\pi(E)$;
(2) we have

$$
\pi_{*}\left(\rho_{G, X}(E)\right)=\rho_{G, \mathbb{X}}(\pi(E))
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall c \in \mathcal{F}_{G}(X) \backslash\left\{c_{X, E}\right\}, c \text { 1-dimensional: } \quad c \subset \sigma_{\pi(E)}^{\perp} ; \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) the $G$-modules $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(E)\right)$ and $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(\pi(E))\right)$ are isomorphic.

Proof. Let $\gamma \neq 0$ be such that $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(E)\right)_{\gamma}^{(B)} \neq \varnothing$. Since $E \in\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{n \ell}$, the character $\gamma$ is non-negative on $\rho_{G, X}\left(\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{\ell}\right)$, which generates the whole $\mathrm{V}_{G}^{\ell}(X)$ as a convex cone, because $X$ is complete. It follows that $\gamma \in \mathrm{V}_{G}^{\ell}(X)$, which implies that some positive integral multiple of $\gamma$, say $n \gamma$, lies in $\Lambda_{G}(\mathbb{X})$. Let us also assume that it is indecomposable in $\Lambda_{G}(\mathbb{X})$, i.e. that $n$ is minimal satisfying $n>0$ and $n \gamma \in \Lambda_{G}(X)$.

Consider $\pi(E)$ : if it is not a $G$-stable prime divisor of $\mathbb{X}$, then $\pi_{*}\left(\rho_{G, X}(E)\right)$ is in $\mathrm{V}_{G}(\mathbb{X})$ but doesn't lie on any 1-dimensional face of $\mathrm{V}_{G}(\mathbb{X})$. On the other hand, each element of $\partial_{G} \mathbb{X}$ is the image $\pi(D)$ of some $G$-stable prime divisor $D$ of $X$, with $\pi_{*}\left(\rho_{G, X}(D)\right)$ equal to a positive rational multiple of $\rho_{G, \mathbb{X}}(\pi(D))$. This implies that $n \gamma \in \Lambda_{G}(\mathbb{X})$ is non-negative on $\rho_{G, \mathbb{X}}\left(\partial_{G} \mathbb{X}\right)$ and negative on $\pi_{*}\left(\rho_{G, X}(E)\right)$, which is absurd because $\rho_{G, \mathbb{X}}\left(\partial_{G} \mathbb{X}\right)$ generates $\mathrm{V}_{G}(\mathbb{X})$ as a convex cone.

We conclude that $\pi(E) \in \partial_{G} \mathbb{X}$, and that $E$ is the unique element of $\partial_{G} X$ whose image is $\pi(E)$, because $n \gamma$ is non-negative on $\rho_{G, X}\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ for any $E^{\prime} \in \partial_{G} X$ different from $E$. Let $0>-m=\left\langle\rho_{G, \mathbb{X}}(\pi(E)), n \gamma\right\rangle$. Then $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(m \pi(E))\right) \neq \mathbb{C}$.

From [Br07, Theorem 2.2.3] it follows that $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(\pi(E))\right) \neq \mathbb{C}$, that $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(\pi(E))\right) / \mathbb{C}$ is irreducible with highest weight $\sigma_{\pi(E)}$, and that any $\chi \in \Lambda_{G}(\mathbb{X})$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\rho_{G, \mathbb{X}}(D), \chi\right\rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall D \in\left(\partial_{G} \mathbb{X} \backslash\{\pi(E)\} \cup \Delta_{G}(\mathbb{X}), \quad\left\langle\rho_{G, \mathbb{X}}(\pi(E)), \chi\right\rangle<0\right. \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a positive multiple of $\sigma_{\pi(E)}$. We have then shown (1). It also follows that $n \gamma$ is a positive multiple of $\sigma_{\pi(E)}$, whence $\gamma$ is non-positive on $\mathrm{V}_{G}(X)$ and so it is zero on $\rho_{G, X}(D)$ for all $D \in \partial_{G} X$ different from $E$. This shows (7.1).

Now recall that $n \gamma$ is indecomposable in $\Lambda_{G}(\mathbb{X})$. Since it is a positive multiple of $\sigma_{\pi(E)}$, it is equal to $\sigma_{\pi(E)}$. On the other hand $\Sigma_{G}(X)=\Sigma_{G}(\mathbb{X})$ and $\sigma_{\pi(E)}$ is also indecomposable in $\Lambda_{G}(X)$. Therefore $n=1$, and we have

$$
\left\langle\rho_{G, X}(E), \gamma\right\rangle=\left\langle\pi_{*}\left(\rho_{G, X}(E)\right), \gamma\right\rangle=-1=\left\langle\rho_{G, \mathbb{X}}(\pi(E)), \sigma_{\pi(E)}\right\rangle
$$

whence $\pi_{*}\left(\rho_{G, X}(E)\right)=\rho_{G, \mathbb{X}}(\pi(E))$. The proof of part (2) is complete.
Since $\gamma$ is the highest weight of an arbitrary non-trivial $G$-submodule of $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(E)\right.$ ), and the latter is multiplicity-free since $X$ is spherical, the proof of (3) is also complete.

Definition 7.3. We denote by

$$
\Lambda_{G}(X, \mathcal{E}) \subseteq \Lambda_{G}(X)
$$

the sublattice generated by the elements $\sigma_{\pi(E)}$ for all $E \in \mathcal{E}$.

## Corollary 7.4.

$$
\Lambda_{G}(X)=\rho_{G, X}(\mathcal{E})^{\perp} \oplus \Lambda_{G}(X, \mathcal{E})
$$

Proof. From Lemma 7.2 we deduce that for all $E \in \mathcal{E}$ the element $\rho_{G, X}(E)$ is -1 on the spherical root $\sigma_{\pi(E)}$ of $X$, and zero on all other spherical roots of $X$. The corollary follows.

Remark 7.5. In the proof of Lemma 7.2 we used the crucial fact that $X$ and $\mathbb{X}(G / \widehat{H})$ have the same spherical roots. The decomposition of $\Lambda(G / H)$ into the above direct sum would indeed be false in general, if we had used $\mathbb{X}(G / \bar{H})$ instead of $\mathbb{X}(G / \widehat{H})$.

Definition 7.6. Define

$$
\mathbb{E}=\{\pi(E) \mid E \in \mathcal{E}\}
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{D}=\partial \mathbb{X} \backslash \mathbb{E}
$$

Definition 7.7. Let $A^{\prime}=A^{\prime}(X, \mathcal{D})$ be the universal cover of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{D})$, and $A=A(X, \mathcal{D})=$ $A^{\prime} \times C$. We denote by

$$
\vartheta^{\prime}: G^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}
$$

the lift of $\theta_{G^{\prime}, \mathbb{X}}: G^{\prime} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{D})$ to $A^{\prime}$, and we set

$$
\vartheta=\vartheta^{\prime} \times \operatorname{id}_{C}: G \rightarrow A .
$$

We also choose a Borel subgroup $B_{A}$ of $A$ such that $B_{A} \supseteq \vartheta(B)$.
Now we are ready to state the main result of this section.
Theorem 7.8. The action of $A(X, \mathcal{D})$ lifts from $\mathbb{X}$ to $X$, and the image of $A(X, \mathcal{D})$ inside $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)$ is equal to $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D})$. As an $A=A(X, \mathcal{D})$-variety, $X$ is $G$-regular with boundary $\mathcal{D}$. The vector space $\mathrm{N}_{A}(X)$ is naturally identified with $\Lambda_{G}(X, \mathcal{E})^{\perp} \subseteq \mathrm{N}_{G}(X)$. The fan $\mathcal{F}_{A}(X)$ of $X$ as an $A$-variety is given by intersecting all cones of $\mathcal{F}_{G}(X)$ with $\Lambda_{G}(X, \mathcal{E})^{\perp}$.

The proof occupies the rest of the section: the theorem follows from Lemma 7.13 , Theorem 7.18, and Corollary 7.20.

Example 7.9. It is necessary to define $A^{\prime}$ as the universal cover of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{D})$. Consider for example $G=\mathrm{SL}(n+1)$ (with $n \geq 1$ ) acting linearly and diagonally on $\mathbb{P}^{n+1} \times\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)^{*}$, where on the first factor it acts only on the first $n+1$ homogeneous coordinates. Then $X=\mathrm{Bl}_{p}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}\right) \times\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)^{*}$, with $p=[0, \ldots, 0,1]$, is a $G$-regular variety with three $G$-stable prime divisors, of which only one lies in $\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{n \ell}$. We have $\mathbb{X}=\mathbb{P}^{n} \times\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)^{*}$, and if $\mathcal{D}=(\partial X)^{\ell}$ then $\mathbb{D}=\varnothing$. The action of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{D})=\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})=\operatorname{PGL}(n+1) \times \operatorname{PGL}(n+1)$ doesn't lift to $X$, whereas the action of its universal cover does.

In view of proving Theorem 7.8, we start finding a candidate for a generic stabilizer of the $A$-action on $X$. Let $\widehat{H}_{A} \subseteq A$ b $\underbrace{2}$ the stabilizer of the point $e \widehat{H} \in G / \widehat{H} \subseteq \mathbb{X}$. The colors of $\mathbb{X}$ as a $G$-variety and as an $A$-variety coincide, thanks to [Br07, Theorem 2.4.2], and we have $\vartheta(\widehat{H})=\widehat{H}_{A} \cap \vartheta(G)$.

We also notice that thanks to our general assumptions any $G$-linearization of an invertible sheaf $\mathbb{X}$ can be uniquely extended to an $A$-linearization, inducing an identification of the two groups $\operatorname{Pic}^{G}(\mathbb{X})$ and $\operatorname{Pic}^{A}(\mathbb{X})$.

Lemma 7.10. (1) The pull-back of characters of $B_{A}$ along $\left.\vartheta\right|_{B}$ induces an injective map $r: \Lambda_{A}(\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \Lambda_{G}(\mathbb{X})$. It maps $\Sigma_{A}(\mathbb{X})$ to the set of spherical roots $\left\{\sigma_{D} \mid D \in \mathbb{D}\right\}$.
(2) The dual map $r^{*}: \mathrm{N}_{G}(\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{A}(\mathbb{X})$ satisfies

$$
r^{*}\left(V_{G}(\mathbb{X})\right)=V_{A}(\mathbb{X})
$$

(3) We have that $\partial_{A} \mathbb{X}=\mathcal{D}$, and

$$
A / \widehat{H}_{A}=\mathbb{X} \backslash \bigcup_{D \in \mathbb{D}} D
$$

(4) The pull-back of characters of $\widehat{H}_{A}$ along $\left.\vartheta\right|_{\widehat{H}}$ is a surjective homomorphism $r^{\prime}: \mathcal{X}\left(\widehat{H}_{A}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{X}(\widehat{H})$ with free kernel of rank $|\mathbb{E}|$.

Proof. The injectivity of $r$ is obvious, since it corresponds to taking a $B_{A}$-semiinvariant $f \in$ $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{X})$ and considering it as a $B$-semiinvariant. The rest of part (11) follows from the results of [Pe09], and it can also be shown directly using the following fact: the spherical roots of $\mathbb{X}$ are the $T$-weights appearing in the quotient of tangent spaces

$$
\frac{\mathrm{T}_{z} \mathbb{X}}{\mathrm{~T}_{z}(G z)}
$$

where $z \in \mathbb{X}$ is the unique fixed point of $B^{-}$. Let us choose a maximal torus $T_{A}$ of $A$ containing $\vartheta(T)$ : if $B_{A}^{-} \subseteq A$ is the Borel subgroup satisfying $B_{A} \cap B_{A}^{-}=T_{A}$ then $B_{A}^{-}$contains $\vartheta\left(B^{-}\right)$.

[^2]Hence $z$ is also the unique $B_{A}^{-}$-fixed point, therefore the spherical roots of $\mathbb{X}$ as an $A$-variety are the $T_{A}$-weights appearing in the quotient of tangent spaces

$$
\frac{\mathrm{T}_{z} \mathbb{X}}{\mathrm{~T}_{z}(A z)}
$$

form the set $\Sigma_{A}(\mathbb{X})$. This implies part (1), and part (2) is an immediate consequence.
The first statement of part (3) stems from the fact that each $E \in \mathbb{E}$ is not stable under the action of $A$, and the second follows from the first because $\mathbb{X}$ is wonderful under the action of A.

For part (4), we notice that $r^{\prime}$ can be identified with the natural map

$$
\frac{\mathcal{X}(C) \times \mathbb{Z}^{\Delta}}{\bar{\rho}_{A, \mathbb{X}}\left(\Lambda_{A}(\mathbb{X})\right)} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{X}(C) \times \mathbb{Z}^{\Delta}}{\bar{\rho}_{G, \mathbb{X}}\left(\Lambda_{G}(\mathbb{X})\right)}
$$

(see diagram (3.1)). The kernel of $r^{\prime}$ is then $\Lambda_{G}(\mathbb{X}) / r\left(\Lambda_{A}(\mathbb{X})\right)$ which is free, generated by the spherical roots $\sigma_{E}$ for all $E \in \mathbb{E}$ by part (1).

Let us put together two copies of the diagram (3.1), one for the $G$ - and one for the $A$-action, also adding the extensions of $\bar{\rho}_{G}$ and $\bar{\rho}_{A}$ resp. to $\Lambda_{G}(G / H)$ and $\Lambda_{A}\left(A / \widehat{H}_{A}\right)$, as in \$3. We obtain a commutative diagram

where $K_{A}$ is the spherical closure of $\widehat{H}_{A}$, and $K$ is the spherical closure of $\widehat{H}$ (and of $H$ ). The last arrow of the first row is the restriction map, which can be seen as the quotient

$$
\mathcal{X}\left(K_{A}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}\left(K_{A}\right) / \mathcal{X}\left(K_{A}\right)^{\widehat{H}_{A}} \cong \mathcal{X}\left(\widehat{H}_{A}\right) .
$$

The same remark holds for the last map of the second row and the groups $K, \widehat{H}$.
In order to determine a generic stabilizer in $A$ for $X$, we start defining a lattice $\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda_{G}(G / H)$. A posteriori, it will be the lattice of $B$-eigenvalues $\chi_{f}$ of $B_{A}$-eigenvectors $f \in \mathbb{C}(X)^{\left(B_{A}\right)}$. Such a function $f$ cannot have zeros nor poles on the divisors in $\mathcal{E}$, since these are not $A$-stable, nor are $A$-colors of $X$. This suggests the definition of $\Lambda$ given in the following.

Definition 7.11. Let $\Lambda$ be the lattice

$$
\Lambda=\rho_{G, X}(\mathcal{E})^{\perp} \subseteq \Lambda_{G}(G / H)
$$

Proposition 7.12. The following inclusion holds:

$$
\bar{\rho}_{G}(\Lambda) \supseteq \bar{\rho}_{A}\left(\Lambda_{A}\left(A / K_{A}\right)\right) .
$$

The subgroup $H_{A}$ of $K_{A}$ corresponding to the lattice $\bar{\rho}_{G}(\Lambda)$ is a spherical subgroup of $A$, and we have $\vartheta(H)=H_{A} \cap \vartheta(G)$. This induces a $G$-equivariant identification of $G / H$ with an open subset of $A / H_{A}$.
Proof. Let $\chi \in \Lambda_{A}\left(A / K_{A}\right) \subseteq \Lambda_{A}\left(A / \widehat{H}_{A}\right)$. If $f \in \mathbb{C}\left(A / \widehat{H}_{A}\right)_{\chi}^{\left(B_{A}\right)}$, then consider its pull-back on $X$, denoted by $\widetilde{f}$. It is also a $B$-eigenvector with $B$-eigenvalue $\widetilde{\chi}=r(\chi)$.

We know that the $\operatorname{divisor} \operatorname{div}(\tilde{f})$ on $X$ is $B_{A^{\prime}}$-stable, so in general it is a linear combination of colors and $A$-stable prime divisors. In any case, its components do not belong to $\mathcal{E}$, because the latter consists of prime divisors moved by $A$. It follows that all discrete valuations in $\mathrm{V}_{G}(G / H)$ coming from these elements of $\mathcal{E}$ must take the value 0 on $\widetilde{\chi}$.

Therefore $\widetilde{\chi} \in \Lambda$, and the first assertion is proved. In order to verify that $H_{A}$ is spherical we have to show that $\sigma_{A}$ restricted to $\bar{\rho}_{G}(\Lambda)=\tau_{A}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{X}\left(K_{A}\right)^{H_{A}}\right)$ is injective. But we already know that the restriction of $\sigma_{G}$ on $\bar{\rho}_{G}\left(\Lambda_{G}(G / H)\right)$ is injective, and that $\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda_{G}(G / H)$ : this proves the second assertion.

Next, we claim that $r^{\prime}$ induces an isomorphism between $\mathcal{X}\left(\widehat{H}_{A}\right)^{H_{A}}$ and $\mathcal{X}(\widehat{H})^{H}$. This shows that $\widehat{H}_{A} / H_{A} \cong \widehat{H} / H$, and the rest of the lemma follows. To prove the claim, it is enough to notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{X}\left(\widehat{H}_{A}\right)^{H_{A}} & \cong \frac{\bar{\rho}_{G}(\Lambda)}{\operatorname{ker} \tau_{A}} \\
& =\frac{\bar{\rho}_{G}(\Lambda)}{\bar{\rho}_{G}\left(r\left(\Lambda_{A}\left(A / \widehat{H}_{A}\right)\right)\right)} \\
& \cong \frac{\bar{\rho}_{G}(\Lambda) \oplus \bar{\rho}_{G}\left(\Lambda_{G}(X, \mathcal{E})\right)}{\bar{\rho}_{G}\left(\Lambda_{G}(G / \widehat{H})\right)} \\
& =\frac{\bar{\rho}_{G}\left(\Lambda \oplus \Lambda_{G}(X, \mathcal{E})\right)}{\bar{\rho}_{G}\left(\Lambda_{G}(G / \widehat{H})\right)} \\
& =\frac{\bar{\rho}_{G}\left(\Lambda_{G}(G / H)\right)}{\bar{\rho}_{G}\left(\Lambda_{G}(G / \widehat{H})\right)} \\
& \cong \mathcal{X}(\widehat{H})^{H}
\end{aligned}
$$

and that the resulting isomorphism $\mathcal{X}\left(\widehat{H}_{A}\right)^{H_{A}} \cong \mathcal{X}(\widehat{H})^{H}$ is indeed induced by $r^{\prime}$.
We build ex novo an embedding $X_{A}$ of $A / H_{A}$, and then prove that we actually obtain $X$.
Lemma 7.13. The pull-back of characters of $B_{A}$ to $B$ along $\left.\vartheta\right|_{B}$ induces an injective map $s: \Lambda_{A}\left(A / H_{A}\right) \rightarrow \Lambda_{G}(G / H)$ whose image is $\Lambda$. The dual map $s^{*}: \mathrm{N}_{G}(G / H) \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{A}\left(A / H_{A}\right)$ satisfies

$$
s^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{G}(G / H)\right)=\mathrm{V}_{A}\left(A / H_{A}\right)
$$

and induces an isomorphism

$$
\left.s^{*}\right|_{\mathrm{V}_{G}^{\ell}(G / H)}: \mathrm{V}_{G}^{\ell}(G / H) \rightarrow \mathrm{V}_{A}^{\ell}\left(A / H_{A}\right) .
$$

Proof. Let $\gamma \in \Lambda_{A}\left(A / H_{A}\right)$ : it is the $B_{A}$-eigenvalue of a $B_{A}$-eigenvector $f \in \mathbb{C}\left(A / H_{A}\right)^{\left(B_{A}\right)}$. But $f$ is a $B$-eigenvector too and the character $\chi=s(\gamma)$ is its $B$-eigenvalue. Both the $B$ - and the $B_{A}$-eigenvalue determine $f$ up to a multiplicative constant, hence $s$ is injective.

Consider the commutative diagram


From the definition of $H_{A}$ we have $\bar{\rho}_{A}\left(\Lambda_{A}\left(A / H_{A}\right)\right)=\bar{\rho}_{G}(\Lambda)$, therefore we obtain $s\left(\Lambda_{A}\left(A / H_{A}\right)\right)=$ $\Lambda$.

Let $v \in \mathrm{~V}_{A}\left(A / H_{A}\right)$. It corresponds to an $A$-invariant valuation, which is a fortiori $G$-invariant too: in other words we can compute $v$ also on $\Lambda_{G}(G / H)$ obtaining an element of $\mathrm{V}_{G}(G / H)$. This shows that $s^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{G}(G / H)\right) \supseteq \mathrm{V}_{A}\left(A / H_{A}\right)$.

Then we notice that $s$ extends the map $r$ of Lemma 7.10. This gives the commutative diagram

where $V_{A}\left(A / H_{A}\right)$ (resp. $\left.V_{G}(G / H)\right)$ is the inverse image of $V_{A}\left(A / \widehat{H}_{A}\right)$ (resp. $\left.V_{G}(G / \widehat{H})\right)$ thanks to Lemma 3.2.

This, together with Lemma 7.10, part (2), proves $s^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{G}(G / H)\right)=\mathrm{V}_{A}\left(A / H_{A}\right)$. The image of $\mathrm{V}_{G}^{\ell}(G / H)$ is contained in $\mathrm{V}_{A}^{\ell}\left(A / H_{A}\right)$, and we conclude the proof observing that the dimensions of $\mathrm{V}_{G}^{\ell}(G / H)$ and $\mathrm{V}_{G}^{\ell}\left(A / H_{A}\right)$ are both equal to the dimension of $\widehat{H} / H \cong \widehat{H}_{A} / H_{A}$.

Corollary 7.14. The wonderful closure of $H_{A}$ is $\widehat{H}_{A}$.
Proof. By construction $H_{A} \subseteq \widehat{H}_{A} \subseteq K_{A}=\overline{H_{A}}$. From Lemma 7.13 we deduce that $A / H_{A}$ and $A / \widehat{H}_{A}$ have the same spherical roots: the corollary follows then from Proposition 3.10,

We shall now define the fan of convex cones of $X_{A}$, using that of $X$. First, we collect some consequences on $\mathcal{F}(X)$ of the analysis we have developed so far.

Definition 7.15. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a fan of convex cones, consider a subset $\mathcal{F}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{F}$ and let $c \in \mathcal{F} \backslash \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ be 1-dimensional. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is the join of $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ and $c$ if each element of $\mathcal{F} \backslash \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ is the convex cone generated by $c$ and an element of $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$.

Corollary 7.16. (1) Let $E \in \mathcal{E}$, and let $\mathcal{F}_{G}^{\sigma_{\pi(E)}}(X)$ be the fan of convex cones obtained intersecting each element of $\mathcal{F}_{G}(X)$ with $\sigma_{\pi(E)}^{\perp}$. Then $\mathcal{F}_{G}(X)$ is the join of $\mathcal{F}_{G}^{\sigma_{\pi(E)}}(X)$ and $c_{X, E}$.
(2) Let $\mathcal{F}_{G}^{\Lambda}(X)$ be the fan of convex cones obtained intersecting each element of $\mathcal{F}_{G}(X)$ with $\Lambda_{G}(X, \mathcal{E})^{\perp}$. Then the restriction of $s^{*}$ to $\operatorname{supp} \mathcal{F}_{G}^{\Lambda}(X)$ is injective, and $s^{*}\left(\operatorname{supp} \mathcal{F}_{G}^{\Lambda}(X)\right)=$ $\mathrm{V}_{A}\left(A / H_{A}\right)$.
(3) The set

$$
\left\{s^{*}(c) \mid c \in \mathcal{F}_{G}^{\Lambda}(X)\right\}
$$

is a fan of polyhedral convex cones in $\mathrm{N}_{A}\left(A / H_{A}\right)$. The associated embedding of $A / H_{A}$ is smooth and complete.

Proof. Part (11) follows from Lemma [7.2, part (21). Part (22) follows from part (11) applied to all $E \in \mathcal{E}$, together with Corollary 7.4 and Lemma 7.13, We turn to part (3). Completeness of this embedding is an immediate consequence of part (2). For smoothness, we observe that a maximal cone $c$ of $\mathcal{F}_{G}(X)$ can be written as

$$
c=\left(\left\{-\sigma_{E} \mid E \in \mathcal{E}\right\} \cup \Psi\right)^{\vee}
$$

where $\Psi$ is a basis of $\Lambda=\rho_{G, X}(\mathcal{E})^{\perp}$, thanks to the smoothness of $X$ together with part (1) applied to all $E \in \mathcal{E}$ and Corollary 7.4. Therefore

$$
s^{*}\left(c \cap\left(\Lambda_{G}(X, \mathcal{E})^{\perp}\right)\right)=\left(s^{-1}(\Psi)\right)^{\vee} .
$$

The smoothness characterization recalled in $₫ 2$ is verified, since $s^{-1}(\Psi)$ is a basis of $\Lambda_{A}\left(A / H_{A}\right)$, and the proof is complete.

Definition 7.17. We define

$$
\mathcal{F}_{A}=\left\{s^{*}(c) \mid c \in \mathcal{F}_{G}^{\Lambda}(X)\right\},
$$

and we denote by $X_{A}$ the corresponding embedding of $A / H_{A}$.
Theorem 7.18. The inclusion $G / H \subseteq A / H_{A}$ extends to an $A$-equivariant isomorphism between $X$ and $X_{A}$.

Proof. The group $G$ acts on $X_{A}$ via the map $\theta$, and it is enough to show $X_{A}$ is a toroidal embedding of $G / H$ with fan $\mathcal{F}_{G}(X)$. Let us first prove the theorem with the assumption that $|\mathcal{E}|=1$, say $\mathcal{E}=\{E\}$.

In addition to the $G$-equivariant map $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ we also have by construction an $A$ equivariant map $\pi_{A}: X_{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ extending the projection $\pi^{H_{A}, \widehat{H}_{A}}: A / H_{A} \rightarrow A / \widehat{H}_{A}$. The $A$-colors and the $G$-colors of $\mathbb{X}$ coincide, and this implies the same for $X_{A}$ : indeed any $A$-color (resp. $G$-color) of $X_{A}$ is of the form $\pi_{A}^{-1}(D)$ for an $A$-color (resp. $G$-color) $D$ of $\mathbb{X}$.

If $D \subset \mathbb{X}$ is a color such that $\pi_{A}^{-1}(D)$ contains a $G$-orbit $Y \subset X_{A}$, then $D$ contains the $G$-orbit $\pi_{A}(Y)$ : this is absurd because $\mathbb{X}$ is a toroidal $G$-variety. In other words $X_{A}$ is a toroidal $G$-variety.

Next, we claim that $A / H_{A}$ is a $G$-embedding of $G / H$ whose fan contains $c_{X, E}$ as its unique non-trivial cone. Part (3) of Lemma 7.10 implies that $A / \widehat{H}_{A}$ is an elementary embedding of $G / \widehat{H}$, with orbits $G / \widehat{H}, \pi(E) \cap A / \widehat{H}_{A}$, and fan containing $c_{\mathbb{X}, \pi(E)}$ as its unique non-trivial cone. The open subset $G / H \subset A / H_{A}\left(\subseteq X_{A}\right)$ is equal to $\pi_{A}^{-1}(G / \widehat{H})$, and the $G$-stable closed subset $E^{\prime}=\left(A / H_{A}\right) \backslash(G / H)$ is equal to $\pi_{A}^{-1}(\pi(E)) \cap A / H_{A}$.

Consider the $G$-invariant prime divisors contained in $E^{\prime}$ : they are neither colors nor $A$-stable prime divisors. We claim that there is only one of them, with associated convex cone $c_{X, E}$. Then $E^{\prime}$ itself is a $G$-stable prime divisor, because we already proved that $A / H_{A}$ is a toroidal embedding of $G / H$.

For this, consider $f \in \mathbb{C}(G / H)_{\lambda}^{(B)}$ with $\lambda \in \Lambda$. By Lemma 7.13 we have that $f$ is also a $B_{A}$-eigenvector, therefore its divisor $\operatorname{div}(f)$ on $A / H_{A}$ has components which are either colors or $A$-stable prime divisors. It follows that $\rho_{G, A / H_{A}}(F) \in \lambda^{\perp}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and all $G$-stable prime divisor $F \subseteq E^{\prime}$. Since $c_{X, E}=\Lambda^{\perp} \cap \mathrm{V}_{G}(G / H)$, we deduce that there is only one such $F$ and it satisfies $\rho_{G, A / H_{A}}(F) \in c_{X, E}$ : the claim above follows.

Now Lemma 7.2, Lemma 7.13 and Corollary 7.16 part (1) hold also if we replace $X$ with $X_{A}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ with the set $\left(\partial_{G} X_{A}\right) \backslash\left\{E^{\prime}\right\}$. From Corollary 7.16 part (11) we deduce that $\mathcal{F}_{G}\left(X_{A}\right)$ is the join of $\mathcal{F}_{G}^{\sigma_{\pi(E)}}\left(X_{A}\right)$ and $c_{X, E}$. From Lemma 7.13 we deduce that every $G$-stable prime divisor $D$ of $X_{A}$ such that $\rho_{G, X_{A}}(D) \in \sigma_{\pi(E)}^{\perp}$ is also $A$-stable, hence each $G$-orbit $Y \subseteq X_{A}$ such that $c_{X_{A}, Y} \subset \sigma_{\pi(E)}^{\perp}$ is also an $A$-orbit.

In other words $\mathcal{F}_{G}^{\sigma_{\pi(E)}}\left(X_{A}\right)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{G}^{\sigma_{\pi(E)}}(X)$ have the same image under $s_{*}$, which implies that they are equal. The theorem in the case $|E|=1$ follows.

If $|\mathcal{E}|>1$, we consider the chain of groups

$$
\theta_{G, X}(G) \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X, \partial_{G} X \backslash\left\{E_{1}\right\}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X, \partial_{G} X \backslash\left\{E_{1}, E_{2}\right\}\right) \subseteq \ldots \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D})
$$

where $\mathcal{E}=\left\{E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots\right\}$, and proceed by induction on $|\mathcal{E}|$. Let $A_{i} \subseteq A_{i+1}$ be two consecutive groups of this chain: we may apply the first part of the proof, together with Corollary 7.19 below (whose proof in the case $|\mathcal{E}|=1$ only depends on the case $|\mathcal{E}|=1$ of this theorem) to the $A_{i}$-variety $X$. We obtain the construction of an $A_{i+1}$-variety $X_{A_{i+1}}$, which is $A_{i}$-equivariantly isomorphic to $X$.

Corollary 7.19. We have $\partial_{A} X=\mathcal{D}$ and $\left(\partial_{A} X\right)^{\ell}=\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{\ell}$.
Proof. This is obvious from the definition of $\mathcal{F}_{A}$.
Corollary 7.20. The image of $A$ in $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)$ is equal to $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D})$.

Proof. By construction $A$ moves each element of $\mathbb{E}$ on $\mathbb{X}$ and stabilizes all elements of $\mathbb{D}$, hence $\mathbb{D}=\partial_{A} \mathbb{X}$.

Moreover $\widehat{H}_{A}$ is the wonderful closure of $H_{A}$, hence we can apply the exact sequence (4.2) to $X$ as an $A$-variety, mapping onto the wonderful $A$-variety $\mathbb{X}$. Since the image of $A$ contains by construction both the universal cover of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{D})=\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(\mathbb{X}, \partial_{A} \mathbb{X}\right)$ and $\left(\widehat{H}_{A} / H_{A}\right)^{\circ} \cong(\widehat{H} / H)^{\circ} \subseteq C$, it follows that the image of $A$ contains $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X, \partial_{A} X\right)=\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D})$.

## 8. Abelian case

In this section we will assume that $G=C$ is an algebraic torus, $X$ as usual a complete $G$-regular variety, and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \partial_{G} X$ any subset. Hence $X$ is a toric variety under the acton of a quotient of $G$. Since $G$ is equal to its own Borel subgroups, $X$ has no $G$-color.

We recall now the desctiption of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)$ given in Oda88. In this setting the study of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)$ is simplified by the fact that, for all $D \in \partial_{G} X$, the $G$-module $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(D)\right)$ splits into the sum of 1-dimensional $G$-submodules.

Definition 8.1. Suppose that for some non-zero $\alpha \in \Lambda_{G}(X)$ the divisor $X(\alpha)$ exists, i.e. that there exist $X(\alpha) \in \partial_{G} X$ and an element $f_{\alpha} \in H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(X(\alpha))\right)_{\alpha}^{(B)}$. Then we denote by $u_{\alpha}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)$ the unipotent 1-PSG corresponding to $\alpha$ defined in Oda88, Proposition 3.14], and such that $X(\alpha)$ is the unique $G$-stable prime divisor not stable under $U_{\alpha}=u_{\alpha}(\mathbb{C})$.

We recall that Oda88, Proposition 3.14] gives explicit formulae for $u_{\alpha}$, and that this 1-PSG can also be defined in the following way. The element $\alpha \in \Lambda_{G}(X)$ naturally corresponds to a semisimple 1-PSG of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)$ through the action of $G$ on $X$. Denote by $\delta_{\alpha}$ its derivative, which is a tangent vector field on $X$. Then the tangent vector field $d u_{\alpha}$ is equal to $f_{\alpha} \delta_{\alpha}$.

Remark 8.2. If $X(\alpha)$ exists for some $\alpha$, then $\left\langle\rho_{G, X}(X(\alpha)), \alpha\right\rangle=-1$ and $\left\langle\rho_{G, X}(D), \alpha\right\rangle \geq 0$ for all $D \in \partial_{G} X$ different from $X(\alpha)$. However, the difference in signs from our discussion and Oda88, §3.4] is only apparent: a character $\lambda \in \mathcal{X}\left(\theta_{G, X}(G)\right)$ is indeed a rational function on $X$ and a $G$-eigenvector, but of $G$-eigenvalue $-\lambda$.

Notice that the assignment $\alpha \mapsto X(\alpha)$ might be not injective. Also, if both $X(\alpha)$ and $X(-\alpha)$ exist, then $\rho_{G, X}(X(\alpha))$ is not necessarily $-\rho_{G, X}(X(-\alpha))$. However, $X(\alpha)$ and $X(-\alpha)$ are the only $G$-stable prime divisors whose images through $\rho_{G, X}$ are non-zero on $\alpha$.

Definition 8.3. Let $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \partial_{G} X$ any subset, and define $\Phi=\Phi(X, \mathcal{D})$ to be the maximal set of roots of $X$ such that:
(1) if $\alpha \in \Phi(X, \mathcal{D})$ then also $-\alpha \in \Phi(X, \mathcal{D})$;
(2) if $\alpha \in \Phi(X, \mathcal{D})$ then $X(\alpha) \in \mathcal{E}=\partial X \backslash \mathcal{D}$.

The following result is an immediate consequence of Oda88, Demazure's Structure Theorem, §3.4].

Theorem 8.4. The subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)$ generated by $\theta_{G, X}(G)$ and $U_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi(X, \mathcal{D})$ has $\Phi(X, \mathcal{D})$ as root system with respect to its maximal torus $\theta_{G, X}(G)$, and is a Levi subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D})$.

Definition 8.5. Define $A=A(X, \mathcal{D})$ the subgroup of Aut $^{\circ}(X)$ generated by $\theta_{G, X}(G)$ and $U_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi(X, \mathcal{D})$. Let us also choose a Borel subgroup $B_{A} \subseteq A$ containing $G$ and, consequently, a subdivision of $\Phi$ into positive and negative roots, resp. denoted by $\Phi_{+}=\Phi_{+}(X, \mathcal{D})$ and $\Phi_{-}=\Phi_{-}(X, \mathcal{D})$, and denote by $\Psi=\Psi(X, \mathcal{D})$ the basis of positive roots.

Since $B_{A}$ is generated by $\theta_{G, X}(G)$ together with the subgroups $U_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \Psi$, we have that any $G$-stable prime divisor which doesn't appear as $X(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \Psi$ is $B_{A}$-stable. In other words

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{X(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Phi_{+}\right\}=\{X(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Psi\} \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the same reason (replacing $\Psi$ with $-\Psi$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{X(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Phi_{-}\right\}=\{X(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in(-\Psi)\} \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 8.6. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$, and suppose that $X(\alpha)=X(\beta)$. Then $\gamma=\alpha-\beta$ and $-\gamma$ are also in $\Phi$, with $X(\gamma)=X(-\beta)$ and $X(-\gamma)=X(-\alpha)$.

Proof. Suppose that $X(-\alpha)=X(-\beta)$. Then $\alpha-\beta$ is zero on $\rho_{G, X}(X( \pm \alpha))$ and on $\rho_{G, X}(X( \pm \beta))$. On the other hand, if a $G$-stable prime divisor $D \subset X$ is not of the form $X( \pm \alpha)$ nor $X( \pm \beta)$, then both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are zero on $\rho_{G, X}(D)$. It follows that $\operatorname{supp} \mathcal{F}_{G}(X)$ is contained in the hyperplane $(\alpha-\beta)^{\perp}$ of $\mathrm{N}_{G}(X)$, which contradicts the completeness of $X$. Therefore $X(-\alpha) \neq X(-\beta)$, i.e. $X(\alpha), X(-\alpha)$ and $X(-\beta)$ are three different prime divisors. The statement of the lemma is now obvious.

Lemma 8.7. The matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left\langle\rho_{G, X}(X(\alpha)), \alpha\right\rangle\right)_{\alpha \in \Psi} \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is non-degenerate. In particular, the elements $\rho_{G, X}(X(\alpha))$, for $\alpha$ varying in $\Psi$, are linearly independent.

Proof. Thanks to Lemma 8.6, the elements $\rho_{G, X}(X(\alpha))$ for $\alpha \in \Psi$ are pairwise distinct. If the matrix (8.3) is degenerate, there exists a linear combination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\alpha \in \Psi^{\prime}} a_{\alpha} \rho_{G, X}(X(\alpha)) \in \Psi^{\perp} \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varnothing \neq \Psi^{\prime} \subseteq \Psi$ and $a_{\alpha} \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Psi^{\prime}$. Applying $\langle-, \alpha\rangle$ for a fixed $\alpha \in \Psi^{\prime}$ to the linear combination (8.4), we see that both $\rho_{G, X}(X(\alpha))$ and $\rho_{G, X}(X(-\alpha))$ must appear in the sum. Indeed, the former appears, and the latter is the only other possible summand that is nonzero on $\alpha$. The elements $\rho_{G, X}(X(-\alpha))$ for $\alpha \in \Psi$ are distinct, thanks to the first part of the proof applied to the set of simple roots $-\Psi$.

Hence each summand in (8.4) can also be rewritten as $a_{\alpha} \rho_{G, X}(X(-\tau(\alpha)))$ where $\tau: \Psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \Psi^{\prime}$ is a bijection. We also know that $\rho_{G, X}(X(\alpha)) \neq \rho_{G, X}(X(-\alpha))$, therefore $\tau$ has no fixed points. Now consider

$$
\gamma=\sum_{\alpha \in \Psi^{\prime}} \alpha
$$

Its value on $\rho_{G, X}(D)$ is zero, if $D \subset X$ is a $G$-stable prime divisor not of the form $X( \pm \alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \Psi^{\prime}$. On the other hand, for a fixed $\alpha \in \Psi^{\prime}$ we have that $X(\alpha)=X(-\tau(\alpha))$, but $X(\alpha) \neq X(\beta)$ for all $\beta \in \Psi$ different from $\alpha$, and $X(\alpha) \neq X(-\beta)$ for any $\beta \in \Psi$ different from $\tau(\alpha)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\rho_{G, X}(X(\alpha)), \gamma\right\rangle & =\left\langle\rho_{G, X}(X(\alpha)), \alpha\right\rangle+\left\langle\rho_{G, X}(X(\alpha)), \tau(\alpha)\right\rangle+\left\langle\rho_{G, X}(X(\alpha)), \sum_{\beta \in \Psi^{\prime}, \beta \neq \alpha, \tau(\alpha)} \beta\right\rangle \\
& =-1+1+0=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain that $\operatorname{supp} \mathcal{F}_{G}(X)$ is contained in the hyperplane $\gamma^{\perp}$, which is absurd because $X$ is complete.

Proposition 8.8. As an A-variety, $X$ is spherical (not necessarily toroidal). The set of its A-stable prime divisors is

$$
\partial_{A} X=\partial_{G} X \backslash\{X(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Phi\}
$$

and these are exactly the $G$-stable prime divisors $D$ such that $\rho_{G, X}(D) \in \Psi^{\perp}$. Given the identification $\mathcal{X}\left(\theta_{G, X}(G)\right)=\mathcal{X}\left(B_{A}\right)$, we have an inclusion

$$
\iota: \Lambda_{A}(X) \rightarrow \Lambda_{G}(X)
$$

whose image is the sublattice

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\rho_{G, X}(X(\alpha)) \mid \alpha \in \Psi\right\}^{\perp} \subseteq \Lambda(X) \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The restriction map $\iota^{*}: \mathrm{N}_{G}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{A}(X)$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\left.\iota^{*}\right|_{\Psi^{\perp}}: \Psi^{\perp} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{N}_{A}(X) .
$$

For any $B_{A}$-stable prime divisor $D \subset X$ we have $\rho_{A, X}(D)=\iota^{*} \rho_{G, X}(D)$, and the set of $A$-colors of $X$ is the following:

$$
\Delta_{A}(X)=\{X(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in(-\Psi)\} \backslash\{X(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Psi\} .
$$

Finally, let $\alpha \in \Psi$ with $X(-\alpha) \in \Delta_{A}(X)$. For all $\beta \in \Phi_{+}$different from $\alpha$, we have $X(-\alpha) \neq$ $X(\beta)$ and $X(\alpha) \neq X(\beta)$. In particular, if in addition $\beta \in \Psi$, we also have $\rho_{G, X}(X(-\alpha)) \in \beta^{\perp}$.

Proof. Since $\theta_{G, X}(G) \subseteq B_{A}$ has already an open orbit on $X$, the first statement is obvious. The statement about the $A$-stable prime divisors is also immediate.

Let us prove that the $A$-colors are the set $\Delta_{A}(X)$ as above defined. A color must be $X(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \Phi$ otherwise it is $A$-stable, and at this point not being of the form $X(\alpha)$ for any $\alpha \in \Phi_{+}$is equivalent to be stable under $B_{A}$. Then, we conclude using (8.1) and (8.2).

The inclusion $\iota$ is given by the simple observation that a $B_{A}$-eigenvector in $\mathbb{C}(X)$ is a fortiori a $G$-eigenvector, with same eigenvalue; the identity $\rho_{A, X}(D)=\iota^{*} \rho_{G, X}(D)$ for any $B_{A}$-stable prime divisor is also obvious.

Let us prove that the image of $\iota$ is the lattice (8.5). If $\gamma \in \Lambda_{A}(X)$, then a corresponding $B_{A}$-eigenvector $f_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{C}(X)$ cannot have zeros nor poles on prime divisors $X(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \Psi$, since the latter divisors are not $B_{A}$-stable. Hence $\iota\left(\Lambda_{A}(X)\right) \subseteq\left\{\rho_{G, X}(X(\alpha)) \mid \alpha \in \Psi\right\}^{\perp}$. On the other hand, if $\chi \in\left\{\rho_{G, X}(X(\alpha)) \mid \alpha \in \Psi\right\}^{\perp}$, then a corresponding $G$-eigenvector $f_{\chi} \in \mathbb{C}(X)$ has zeros and poles only on $A$-stable prime divisors or on colors. It follows that $f_{\chi}$ is also a $B_{A}$-eigenvector, and the other inclusion is proved.

We prove now that $\left.\iota^{*}\right|_{\Psi^{\perp}}$ is an isomorphism between $\Psi^{\perp}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{A}(X)$. From the first part of the proof, this follows if we prove that

$$
\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}=\left(\Psi \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}\right) \oplus\left(\left\{\rho_{G, X}(X(\alpha)) \mid \alpha \in \Psi\right\}^{\perp} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}\right)
$$

and this equality is an easy consequence of Lemma 8.7.
Let us check the last statement, so let $\alpha \in \Psi$ be such that $X(-\alpha) \in \Delta_{A}(X)$, and consider $\beta \in \Phi_{+}, \beta \neq \alpha$. We know that $X(-\alpha) \neq X(\beta)$ because of the definition of $\Delta_{A}(X)$ together with (8.1). This also implies that $X(\alpha) \neq X(\beta)$, because otherwise we would have $\beta-\alpha \in \Phi_{+}$ with $X(-\alpha)=X(\beta-\alpha)$, thanks to Lemma 8.6.

Remark 8.9. The two above results imply in particular that the $A$-colors of $X$, seen as elements of $\mathrm{N}_{A}(X)$, are linearly independent.

Example 8.10. An example where $X$ is not toroidal as an $A$-variety can be given as follows. Let $X=\mathbb{P}^{n}$ with $n \geq 2$, under the linear action of the group $G$ of $(n+1) \times(n+1)$ invertible diagonal matrices. Then $\partial_{G} X$ has $n+1$ elements, each given by the vanishing of an homogeneous coordinate. If $\mathcal{D}$ is the set of all of them except for one, then $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D})$ is a maximal parabolic subgroup of PGL $(n+1)$. Its Levi subgroup $A$ containing the image of $G$ acts with a fixed point, contained in all elements of $\mathcal{D}$ therefore contained in any $L$-color of $X$.

We can now state the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 8.11. If we identify $\mathrm{N}_{A}(X)$ and $\Psi^{\perp}$ via the map $\left.\iota^{*}\right|_{\Psi \perp}$ of Proposition 8.8, the fan of colored convex cones $\mathcal{F}_{A}(X)$ of $X$ as a spherical $A$-variety is obtained from the fan $\mathcal{F}_{G}(X)$ as follows:

$$
\mathcal{F}_{A}(X)=\left\{\left(c \cap \Psi^{\perp}, d(c)\right) \mid c \in \mathcal{F}_{G}(X)\right\}
$$

Here $d(c)$ is the set of $A$-colors $D$ of $X$ such that if $\beta \in \Phi_{+}$satisfies $X(-\beta)=D$, then both $\rho_{G, X}(X(\beta))$ and $\rho_{G, X}(X(-\beta))$ lie on 1-dimensional faces of $c$.

Proof. First, we consider $c \in \mathcal{F}_{G}(X)$ and we show that the colored cone $\left(c \cap \Psi^{\perp}, d(c)\right)$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}_{A}(X)$.

The cone $c$ is equal to $c_{X, Y}$ for some $G$-orbit $Y$. We claim that the colored cone associated to the $A$-orbit $A Y$ is given by $\left(c \cap \Psi^{\perp}, d(c)\right)$, with $d(c)$ defined as in the theorem. To show the claim, it is enough to prove that:
(1) the $A$-stable prime divisors containing $A Y$ are the $G$-stable prime divisors $D$ such that $D \supseteq Y$ and $\rho_{G, X}(D) \in \Psi^{\perp} ;$
(2) the set of the $A$-colors containing $A Y$ is $d(c)$;
(3) the convex cone $c^{\prime}$ generated by the image of elements of (1) and (2) under the map $\iota^{*} \circ \rho$ is $c \cap \Psi^{\perp}$.

Part (11) is obvious, thanks to the results on $\partial_{A} X$ contained in Proposition 8.8, For part (21), let us first prove that a color $D$ not belonging to $d(c)$ doesn't contain $A Y$. If $D$ doesn't contain $Y$ there is nothing to prove, therefore we may assume that $\rho_{G, X}(D)$ lies on a 1-dimensional face of $c$. Suppose at first that $X(-\beta)=D$ for some $\beta \in \Phi_{+}$, in such a way that $X(\beta)$ doesn't contain $Y$.

Let $X_{c}$ be the affine $G$-stable open subset of $X$ associated to the cone $c$, i.e.:

$$
X_{c}=\{x \in X \mid \overline{G x} \supseteq Y\} .
$$

It is isomorphic to an affine space, and in Oda88, Proof of Proposition 3.14] it is shown that $X_{c}$ is stable under the action of $U_{-\beta}$.

More precisely, there exist global coordinates $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ on $X_{c}$ such that $X(-\beta) \cap X_{c}$ is the hyperplane defined by the equation $x_{1}=0$, and in these coordinates $U_{-\beta}$ acts as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{-\beta}(\xi)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(x_{1}+\xi, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

One may easily check this formula using Oda88, Proposition 3.14] and the fact that $X(-\beta)$ is the only $G$-stable prime divisor that contains $Y$ and where $\beta$ is non-zero. The hyperplane defined in $X_{c}$ by $x_{1}=0$ contains $Y$, but from (8.6) we deduce that it doesn't contain $U_{\beta} Y$. As a consequence, $A Y$ is not contained in $X(-\beta)$.

Now we show that a color $D$ in $d(c)$ contains $A Y$. At first, consider $\beta \in \Phi_{+}$such that $X(-\beta)=D$. Both $X(-\beta)$ and $X(\beta)$ contain $Y$, and we consider again the affine space $X_{c}$.

Applying Oda88, Proposition 3.14] once again, there exist coordinates $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ such that $X(-\beta) \cap X_{c}$ is defined by the equation $x_{1}=0$, and $X(\beta) \cap X_{c}$ by the equation $x_{2}=0$, and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{-\beta}(\xi)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(x_{1}+\xi x_{2}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\beta}(\xi)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+\xi x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain that $Y$ is both $U_{-\beta}$-stable and $U_{\beta}$-stable, being contained in the subset of $X_{c}$ defined by $x_{1}=x_{2}=0$. Therefore $X(-\beta)=D$ contains $Y=U_{\beta} U_{-\beta} Y$.

Now observe that the image of the multiplication map

$$
\theta_{G, X}(G) \times \prod_{\gamma \in \Phi} U_{\gamma} \rightarrow A
$$

(where the product is taken in any fixed order) is dense in $A$. It follows that $D$ contains $A Y$, if we prove that $D$ is $U_{\gamma}$-stable for all $\gamma \in \Phi$ such that $\gamma \neq \pm \beta$ for all $\beta \in \Phi_{+}$satisfying $X(-\beta)=D$. For $\gamma \in \Phi_{-}$there is nothing to prove. But also for $\gamma \in \Phi_{+}$we know that $D \neq X(\gamma)$ : this fact stems from the last statement of Proposition 8.8 together with (8.1). The proof of (22) is complete.

Let us prove (3). Call $S$ the set of $A$-stable prime divisors containing $A Y$. Then we can describe a minimal set of generators of $c$ (as a convex cone) as the union of the following subsets:
(a) the set $\rho_{G, X}(S)$;
(b) for each color $D \in d(c)$, the set $\left\{\rho_{G, X}(D)\right\} \cup\left\{\rho_{G, X}(X(\beta)) \mid \beta \in \Phi_{+}, X(-\beta)=D\right\}$;
(c) other generators, different from any of the above.

We show that $c \cap \Psi^{\perp}$ is contained in $c^{\prime}$, and recall that the latter is generated by $\rho_{G, X}(S)$ together with $\iota^{*}\left(\rho_{G, X}(d(c))\right)$. An element $x \in c \cap \Psi^{\perp}$ is a linear combination with non-negative coefficients of the above generators, and we may assume that the elements of (a) do not contribute. This indeed implies the general case, since $\rho_{G, X}(S) \subseteq c^{\prime}$.

Also, we may suppose that any generator $z$ involved in the linear combination giving $x$ satisfies $\iota^{*}(z) \neq 0$. Indeed, otherwise we may suppress it using the fact that $x=\iota^{*}(x)$. Hence, all generators in the linear combination of $x$ are not of the form $\rho_{G, X}(X(\beta))$ for $\beta \in \Psi$.

It remains the generators $\rho_{G, X}(D)$ where $D \in d(c)$, and generators of (c) of the form $\rho_{G, X}(X(-\alpha))$ for some $\alpha \in \Psi$. In the second case $X(-\alpha)$ is a color, because it cannot be equal to $X(\beta)$ for any $\beta \in \Psi$. Being not in $d(c)$, each such $X(-\alpha)$ admits a positive root $\beta$ satisfying $X(-\beta)=X(-\alpha)$ and $D_{\beta}$ not a generator of $c$. This implies that $\beta$ is non-positive on $c$, and the only chance for $x$ to be in $\beta^{\perp}$ is that such a generator $X(-\beta)=X(-\alpha)$ doesn't occur.

As a consequence, $x$ is a linear combination of the elements $\rho_{G, X}(D)$ with $D \in d(c)$, and we easily conclude that $x \in c^{\prime}$ using again $\iota^{*}(x)=x$.

Finally, let $x \in c^{\prime}$, and let us show that $x \in c \cap \Psi^{\perp}$. As before, we ignore the generators of $c^{\prime}$ lying in $\Psi^{\perp}$, and we assume that $x$ is a linear combination with non-negative coefficients of $\iota^{*}(d(c))$. In other words:

$$
x=\sum_{\alpha \in \Psi, X(-\alpha) \in d(c)} a_{\alpha} \iota^{*}\left(\rho_{G, X}(X(-\alpha))\right)
$$

with $a_{\alpha} \geq 0$. Consider a summand $a_{\alpha} \iota^{*}\left(\rho_{G, X}(X(-\alpha))\right)$. For each positive root $\beta \neq \alpha$ such that $X(-\beta)=X(-\alpha)$, Lemma 8.6 implies that $\gamma=\beta-\alpha$ and $-\gamma$ are also roots in $\Phi$, and that $X(-\alpha)=X(-\beta), X(\alpha)=X(-\gamma), X(\gamma)=X(\beta)$ are three distinct prime divisors. Then, we take the sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\sum_{\alpha \in \Psi, X(-\alpha) \in d(c)} a_{\alpha} y_{\alpha} \tag{8.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
y_{\alpha}=\rho_{G, X}(X(-\alpha))+\sum_{\substack{\beta \in \Phi_{+}, X(-\beta)=X(-\alpha)}} \rho_{G, X}(X(\beta)) .
$$

We claim that all simple roots in $\Psi$ are zero on this element, hence $\iota^{*}(y)=y$ and we immediately conclude that $y=x$. On the other hand, $y$ is in $c$ thanks to the definition of the set $d(c)$, therefore $x \in c \cap \Psi^{\perp}$.

Let us prove the claim. Let $\gamma \in \Psi$, and pick a $y_{\alpha}$. If $\gamma=\alpha$, then it is easy to check using the last assertion of Proposition 8.8 that $y_{\alpha}$ is the sum of $\rho_{G, X}(X(-\alpha))$ and $\rho_{G, X}(X(\alpha))$, plus other terms where $\alpha$ is zero. It follows $\left\langle y_{\alpha}, \gamma\right\rangle=0$.

If $\gamma \neq \alpha$, then $\left\langle\rho_{G, X}(X(-\alpha)), \gamma\right\rangle=0$ thanks to Proposition 8.8. Moreover, in this case $\gamma$ does not appear as a $\beta$ in the sum expressing $y_{\alpha}$, because we know that $X(-\alpha) \neq X(-\gamma)$. Also, if $X( \pm \gamma)$ is different from $\rho_{G, X}(X(\beta))$ for all $\beta \in \Phi_{+}$such that $X(-\beta)=X(-\alpha)$, then again $\left\langle y_{\alpha}, \gamma\right\rangle=0$.

Therefore we may suppose that $\gamma$ is different from all the $\beta$ appearing in the expression of $y_{\alpha}$, but some of them, say $\beta_{i, \gamma}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$, satisfy $X\left(\beta_{i, \gamma}\right)=X\left(\epsilon_{i, \gamma} \gamma\right)$ where $\epsilon_{i, \gamma}=1$ or -1 . In this case Lemma 8.6 implies that $\beta_{i, \gamma}-\epsilon_{i, \gamma} \gamma$ also appears in the sum, with $X\left(\beta_{i, \gamma}-\epsilon_{i, \gamma} \gamma\right)=$
$X\left(-\epsilon_{i, \gamma} \gamma\right)$. We obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{\alpha}= & \rho_{G, X}(X(-\alpha))+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\rho_{G, X}\left(X\left(\beta_{i, \gamma}\right)\right)+\rho_{G, X}\left(X\left(\beta_{i, \gamma}-\epsilon_{i, \gamma} \gamma\right)\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{\substack{\beta \in \Phi_{+}, X(\beta) \neq X( \pm \gamma) \\
X(-\beta)=X(-\alpha)}} \rho_{G, X}(X(\beta)) \\
= & \rho_{G, X}(X(-\alpha))+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\rho_{G, X}(X(\gamma))+\rho_{G, X}(X(-\gamma))\right)+\sum_{\substack{\beta \in \Phi_{+}, X(\beta) \neq X( \pm \gamma) \\
X(-\beta)=X(-\alpha)}} \rho_{G, X}(X(\beta)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this expression it is evident that $\left\langle y_{\alpha}, \gamma\right\rangle=0$, and the proof of (3) is complete.
To finish the proof of the theorem, we must check that all colored cones of $\mathcal{F}_{A}(X)$ appear as $\left(c \cap \Psi^{\perp}, d(c)\right)$ for some $c \in \mathcal{F}_{G}(X)$. For this, it is enough to notice that for each $A$-orbit $Z$ there is a $G$-orbit $Y$ such that $A Y=Z$.

Corollary 8.12. The $A$-variety is horospherical, i.e. $\Sigma_{A}(X)=\varnothing$.

Proof. There exists a smooth complete toroidal $A$-variety $Y$ equipped with a surjective birational $A$-equivariant morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ (it is enough to choose an $A$-equivariant resolution of singularities of the variety given in [Kn91, Lemma 5.2], where $X^{\prime \prime}$ in the proof of loc.cit. is our $X)$.

Then $\Sigma_{A}(Y)=\Sigma_{A}(X)$, and $Y$ is also a complete $G$-regular embedding. Applying Theorem 8.11 to $Y$, it follows that $\operatorname{supp} \mathcal{F}_{A}(Y)$ is a vector space, and it is equal to $\mathrm{V}_{A}(Y)$ because $Y$ is toroidal and complete. We conclude that $\Sigma_{A}(Y)=\varnothing$.

Remark 8.13. With a slightly more involved proof, one can derive the above corollary directly from Proposition 8.8 and avoid using Theorem 8.11.

Remark 8.14. It is easy to check that $d(c)=\varnothing$ if and only if $c \cap \Psi^{\perp}$ is a face of $c$.

Example 8.15. Let us compute the colored fan of $X=\mathbb{P}^{2}$, as in Example 8.10 with $n=2$. Choose $\mathcal{E}=\left\{E_{3}\right\}$ where $E_{i}=\left\{x_{i}=0\right\}$ and $x_{1}, x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ are homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Then $A=\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, \mathcal{D}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{SL}(2)$, and we choose the Borel subgroup of $A$ stabilizing the point $[1,0,0]$. The lattice $\Lambda_{G}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ is then the root lattice of PGL(3), and we have $X_{1}=X\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=X\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right), X_{2}=X\left(\alpha_{2}\right)=X\left(-\alpha_{1}\right)$ and $X_{3}=X\left(-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)=X\left(-\alpha_{2}\right)$, where $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are the simple roots of $\operatorname{PGL}(3)$. The lattice $\Lambda_{A}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ is $\rho_{G, \mathbb{P}^{2}}\left(X_{1}\right)^{\perp}=\mathbb{Z} \alpha_{2}$, which is the weight lattice of $\operatorname{SL}(2)$, and $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ has only one $A$-color, namely $X_{2}$. The maximal colored cones of $\mathcal{F}_{A}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ are $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \rho_{G, \mathbb{P}^{2}}\left(X_{3}\right), \varnothing\right)$ and $\left(-\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \rho_{G, \mathbb{P}^{2}}\left(X_{3}\right),\left\{X_{2}\right\}\right)$.

## 9. Semisimple case

In this section we assume that $G$ is a semisimple group, i.e. $C=\{e\}$. In this setting the functionals associated to the colors of $X$ generate $\mathrm{N}_{G}(X)$ as a vector space. Indeed, if $\lambda \in \Lambda_{G}(X)$ is in $\rho_{G, X}\left(\Delta_{G}(X)\right)^{\perp}$, then a rational function $f \in \mathbb{C}(G / H)_{\lambda}^{(B)}$ is regular on $G / H$ and nowhere zero. It can be then lifted to a nowhere-vanishing function $F \in \mathbb{C}[G]$, which is then constant since $G$ has no non-trivial character (see [KKV89, Proposition 1.2]). We conclude that $\lambda=0$, and the claim follows.

This essentially implies the following main result of this section.
Theorem 9.1. If $G$ is semisimple and $\mathcal{D}$ is any subset of $\partial_{G} X$, then $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X, \mathcal{D} \cup\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{\ell}\right)$ is a Levi subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D})$.

The proof is at the end of this section. The theorem implies that if $G$ is semisimple then $\S 7$ is enough to describe a Levi subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D})$ and its action on $X$, without any restriction on $\mathcal{D}$.

Recall from $\$ 5$ the restriction map

$$
\kappa_{x^{\prime}}:\left(\operatorname{ker} \psi_{*}\right)^{\circ} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X_{x^{\prime}}\right)
$$

where $x^{\prime}$ lies on the open $G$-orbit of $X^{\prime}$, and $X_{x^{\prime}}=\psi^{-1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.
Lemma 9.2. For all $x^{\prime}$ in the open $B$-orbit of $X^{\prime}$, the image of $\kappa_{x^{\prime}}$ in $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X_{x^{\prime}}\right)$ is very solvable (i.e. contained in a Borel subgroup).

Proof. To simplify notations we assume that $x^{\prime}=x_{0}^{\prime}$. Let $\mathcal{E}^{\prime} \subseteq \partial_{S} X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ be the following subset:

$$
\mathcal{E}^{\prime}=\left\{E \cap X_{x_{0}^{\prime}} \mid E \in \mathcal{E}\right\}
$$

and define $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}=\partial_{S} X_{x_{0}^{\prime}} \backslash \mathcal{E}^{\prime}$. Let us also denote by $K_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ the image of $\kappa_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ : it is obviously a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}, \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right)$. On the other hand $K_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ contains the maximal torus $S$ of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}\right)$, hence we only have to compute the root subgroup it contains. Thanks to Lemma 6.4 and Corollary [5.3, they are the root spaces $U_{\alpha} \subset \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}\right)$ for $\alpha$ varying in the set

$$
R=\left\{\left.\gamma\right|_{S} \mid 0 \neq \gamma \in \Lambda_{G}(G / H), X(\gamma) \text { exists and } X(\gamma) \in \mathcal{E}^{\ell}\right\}
$$

From Lemma6.5, we obtain that $R$ doesn't contain the opposite of any of its elements, therefore $K_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ is very solvable.

Proof of Theorem 9.1. First, observe that $\left(\operatorname{ker} \psi_{*}\right)^{\circ}$ is solvable. This stems from Lemma 9.2, and the obvious observation that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcap_{x^{\prime} \text { in the open } B \text {-orbit of } X^{\prime}} \operatorname{ker}\left(\kappa_{x^{\prime}}\right)=\left\{\operatorname{id}_{X}\right\} \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider now the variety $X$ under the action of $A=\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X, \mathcal{D} \cup\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{\ell}\right)$. Thanks to Theorem 7.8, the group $A$ is semisimple (because here $G$ is semisimple) and under its action $X$ is a $G$-regular embedding with boundary $\mathcal{D} \cup\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{\ell}$. Corollary 7.19implies $\left(\partial_{A} X\right)^{n \ell}=\mathcal{D}^{n \ell} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$, and we deduce that $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D}) \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X,\left(\partial_{A} X\right)^{n \ell}\right)$.

Then we may apply Proposition 5.2 with $G$ replaced by the universal cover of $A$ : the theorem follows.

Remark 9.3. Let $X$ and $G$ be as in Example 7.9. Then the full automorphism group of $X$ is non-reductive. Indeed, it must fix the point $p \in \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$, and one concludes easily that $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)$ is the corresponding maximal proper parabolic subgroup of $\operatorname{PGL}(n+2) \times \operatorname{PGL}(n+1)$. The unipotent radical $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X)^{u}$ can be studied restricting its elements to the generic fiber $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$; however, the example shows that for any given fiber the restriction may be non-injective, therefore a global analysis of these restrictions is needed. This goes beyond the scope of the present work.

## 10. $G$-Stable prime divisors on the linear part of the valuation cone

In this section $G=G^{\prime} \times C$ is neither abelian nor semisimple. For simplicity, and thanks to \$8, we may assume that $G^{\prime}$ acts non-trivially on $X$. The variety $X^{\prime}$ is then not a single point. Recall that $S$ acts on $X$ naturally by $G$-equivariant automorphisms preserving the fibers of $\psi$, so we can consider $S$ as a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X, \partial_{G} X\right) \cap\left(\operatorname{ker} \psi_{*}\right)^{\circ}$.

We study the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D})$, where $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \partial_{G} X$ satisfies $\mathcal{D} \supseteq\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{n \ell}$. Denote as usual $\mathcal{E}=\partial_{G} X \backslash \mathcal{D} \subseteq\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{\ell}$, and recall that all elements $D \in\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{\ell}$ intersect $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ in an $S$-stable prime divisor.

Proposition 10.1. Let $x^{\prime}$ in the open $G$-orbit of $X^{\prime}$, and $L=L(X, \mathcal{D})$ be a Levi subgroup of $\left(\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D}) \cap \operatorname{ker}\left(\psi_{*}\right)\right)^{\circ}$ containing $S$. Then $L_{x^{\prime}}=\kappa_{x^{\prime}}(L)$ is isomorphic to $L$, and the group

$$
\left(\theta_{G, X}(G), \theta_{G, X}(G)\right) \times L(X, \mathcal{D})
$$

is locally isomorphic to a Levi subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(X, \mathcal{D})$.
Proof. Thanks to formula (9.1) and the fact that $x^{\prime}$ is generic in $X^{\prime}$, we know that the map $L \rightarrow$ $L_{x^{\prime}}$ has unipotent kernel, therefore is an isomorphism. The rest follows from Proposition 5.2.

Definition 10.2. We define the following group:

$$
A=A(X, \mathcal{D})=\left(\theta_{G, X}(G), \theta_{G, X}(G)\right) \times L(X, \mathcal{D})
$$

where $L(X, \mathcal{D})$ is defined as in Proposition 10.1.

We describe now the reductive group $L_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ in terms of the root subspaces it contains with respect to its maximal torus $S$.

Definition 10.3. We define

$$
R=R(X, \mathcal{D})=\left\{\left.\gamma\right|_{S} \mid 0 \neq \gamma \in \Lambda_{G}(G / H), X(\gamma) \text { exists and } X(\gamma) \in \mathcal{E}\right\}
$$

and we denote by $\Phi=\Phi(X, \mathcal{D})$ the maximal subset of $R$ such that $-\alpha \in R$ for every $\alpha \in R$.
Proposition 10.4. The set $\Phi(X, \mathcal{D})$ is a subset of $\Phi\left(X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}, \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right)$, where $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}=\left\{D \cap X_{x_{0}^{\prime}} \mid D \in\right.$ $\left.\mathcal{D}^{\ell}\right\}$. Moreover, $L_{x_{0}^{\prime}} \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}\right)$ is generated by $S$ together with all subgroups $U_{\alpha}$ such that $\alpha \in \Phi(X, \mathcal{D})$.

Proof. For the first assertion, it is enough for any $\alpha=\left.\gamma\right|_{S} \in \Phi(X, \mathcal{D})$ to restrict the function $f \in H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(X(\gamma))\right)_{\gamma}^{(B)}$ to $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$. Since $S$ is a maximal torus of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}\right)$, the second assertion follows from Lemma 6.4 and Corollary 5.3.

This provides a complete description of the group $A$. It remains now to describe the fan associated to $X$ as an $A$-variety.

Let $0 \neq \gamma \in \Lambda(G / H)$ be such that $\left.\gamma\right|_{S}=\alpha \in \Phi$, and choose $f_{\gamma} \in H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(X(\gamma))\right)_{\gamma}^{(B)}$ such that $f_{\gamma}\left(x_{0}\right)=1$. Then $\rho_{G, X}(X(\gamma)) \in \mathrm{V}_{G}^{\ell}(G / H)$ can be considered as an element of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{X}(S), \mathbb{Z})$, and therefore it is canonically associated with a 1-PSG $\mu_{\gamma}: \mathbb{C}^{*} \rightarrow S$. The torus $S$ acts on $X$ through the identification with a subtorus of $T_{G, X}$, as we have seen in 95 in this way $\mu_{\gamma}$ induces a tangent vector field $\delta_{\gamma} \in H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{T}_{X}\right)$ on $X$.

Lemma 10.5. The product $\xi_{\gamma}=f_{\gamma} \delta_{\gamma}$ is a well-defined tangent vector field of $X$, and it is sent to $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(X(\gamma))\right)$ via the surjective map of (4.1). Its restriction to $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ is a tangent vector field and is a generator of the Lie algebra of $U_{\alpha} \subset \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}\right)$. Moreover, the $1-P S G$ of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{G, X}\right)$ induced by $\xi_{\gamma}$ is expressed in local coordinates by the formulae of [Oda88, Proposition 3.14].

Proof. The rational function $f_{\gamma}$ has its only pole in $X(\gamma)$, which means that we only have to check the first assertion on points of $X(\gamma)$. On $\mathcal{Z}_{G, X} \cap X(\gamma)$ it can be checked easily using the fact that $\mathcal{Z}_{G, X}$ is a toric $T_{G, X}$-variety, and expressing $\xi_{\gamma}$ in local coordinates. This also implies that $\xi_{\gamma}$ is a well-defined vector field on $E \cap X_{0}$, thanks to the $P_{G, X}^{u}$-invariance of both $f_{\gamma}$ and $\delta_{\gamma}$. Then the locus where $\xi_{\gamma}$ might not be a well-defined vector field has codimension at least 2 , which implies the first statement.

Since $S$ acts on $X$ stabilizing both $\mathcal{Z}_{G, X}$ and $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$, we deduce that $\xi_{\gamma}$ can be restricted to a vector field on both these varieties. The rest follows easily by expressing $\xi_{\gamma}$ on $\mathcal{Z}_{G, X}$ explicitly in local coordinates.

Definition 10.6. We choose a Borel subgroup $B_{A}$ of $A$ such that $\theta_{A, X}\left(B_{A}\right) \cap \theta_{G, X}(G)=\theta_{G, X}(B)$ and such that $B_{A} \cap L$ is a Borel subgroup of $L$. Let us also denote by $\Psi=\Psi(X, \mathcal{D}) \subset \Phi(X, \mathcal{D})$ the set of simple roots and by $\Phi_{+}=\Phi_{+}(X, \mathcal{D}) \subset \Phi(X, \mathcal{D})$ the set of positive roots associated to the Borel subgroup $B_{L_{x_{0}^{\prime}}}=\kappa_{x_{0}^{\prime}}\left(B_{A} \cap L\right)$ of $L_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$. Finally, let

$$
r: \Lambda_{G}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(S)=\Lambda_{S}\left(X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}\right)
$$

be the restriction of characters of $\Lambda_{G}(X)$ to $S$ (see $\left.\$ 55\right)$.
We may apply Proposition 8.8 and Theorem 8.11 to the toric $S$-variety $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ and the sets of roots $\Phi$ and $\Psi$. We obtain a description of $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ as an $L_{x_{0}^{\prime} \text {-variety, and in particular the lattice }}$

$$
\Lambda_{L_{x_{0}^{\prime}}}\left(X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}\right) \subseteq \Lambda_{S}\left(X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}\right)
$$

together with the projection

$$
\mathrm{N}_{S}\left(X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{L_{x_{0}^{\prime}}}\left(X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}\right)
$$

Proposition 10.7. The restriction of weights from $\theta_{A, X}\left(B_{A}\right)$ to $\theta_{G, X}(B)$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\Lambda_{A}(X) \cong r^{-1}\left(\Lambda_{L_{x_{0}^{\prime}}}\left(X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}\right)\right) \subseteq \Lambda_{G}(X)
$$

We denote the corresponding surjective map by

$$
s: \mathrm{N}_{G}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{A}(X)
$$

The set of colors of $X$ as a spherical A-variety is the following disjoint union:

$$
\Delta_{A}(X)=\Delta_{G}(X) \cup\left\{E \in \mathcal{E} \mid E \cap X_{x_{0}^{\prime}} \text { is a color of the spherical } L_{x_{0}^{\prime}} \text {-variety } X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}\right\}
$$

and for each $E \in \Delta_{A}(X)$, we have

$$
\rho_{A, X}(E)=s\left(\rho_{G, X}(E)\right) .
$$

Proof. A $B_{A}$-eigenvector in $\mathbb{C}(X)$ is a fortiori a $B$-eigenvector, thanks to the choice of $B_{A}$. This induces an inclusion $\Lambda_{A}(X) \subseteq \Lambda(X)$.

Moreover, a $B$-eigenvector $f \in \mathbb{C}(X)$ is also a $B_{A}$-eigenvector if and only if its restriction $\left.f\right|_{X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}}$ is a $B_{L_{x_{0}^{\prime}}}$-eigenvector, thanks to the structure of $A$ as described in Proposition 10.1. This proves the first assertion.

Secondly, a color of $X$ as an $A$-variety maps either dominantly onto $X^{\prime}$, or not. In the first case, its intersection with the (generic) fiber $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ is $B_{L_{x_{0}^{\prime}}}$-stable but not $L_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$-stable (otherwise it would have been $A$-stable).

In the second case, it maps onto a $G$-color of $X^{\prime}$, i.e. it is a color of $X$ with respect to the $G$ action. The second assertion follows.

Let $c$ be a cone of the fan $\mathcal{F}(X)$. Then $c$ is generated as a convex cone by a set of 1-dimensional faces $F(c)$. We denote by $c^{\ell}$ the intersection $c \cap \mathrm{~V}_{G}^{\ell}(X)$, by $F^{\ell}(c)$ the 1-dimensional faces of $F(c)$ generating $c^{\ell}$, and $F^{n \ell}(c)=F(c) \backslash F^{\ell}(c)$.

Since $c^{\ell}$ is a cone of the toric $S$-variety $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$, it corresponds to an $S$-orbit $Y$ on $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$. As in the proof of Theorem 8.11, the corresponding $L_{x_{0}^{\prime}-\text { orbit }} L_{x_{0}^{\prime}} Y$ on $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ has colored cone $\left(c^{\ell} \cap \Psi^{\perp}, d\left(c^{\ell}\right)\right)$, where the orthogonal $\Psi^{\perp}$ is taken inside $\mathrm{V}_{G}^{\ell}(G / H)$, and $d\left(c^{\ell}\right)$ is a set of $L_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ colors of $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$.

Definition 10.8. For any $c \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, we define a colored cone $\left(c_{A}(c), d_{A}(c)\right)$, where $c_{A}(c) \subset$ $\mathrm{N}_{A}(X)$ and $d_{A}(c) \subseteq \Delta_{A}(X)$, as follows. The cone $c_{A}(c)$ is the convex cone in $\mathrm{N}_{A}(X)$ generated by $s\left(F^{n \ell}(c)\right)$ and $s\left(c^{\ell} \cap \Psi^{\perp}\right)$. The set $d_{A}(c)$ is the set of colors $E \in \Delta_{A}(X)$ such that $E \notin \Delta_{G}(X)$, and $E \cap X_{x_{0}^{\prime}} \in d\left(c^{\ell}\right)$.

Theorem 10.9. The colored fan $\mathcal{F}_{A}(X)$ as an A-variety is

$$
\mathcal{F}_{A}(X)=\left\{\left(c_{A}(c), d_{A}(c)\right) \mid c \in \mathcal{F}_{G}(X)\right\} .
$$

Proof. Let $Y$ be a $G$-orbit of $X$, with associated cone $c=c_{X, Y}$. We claim that the colored cone associated to the $A$-orbit $A Y$ is $\left(c_{A}(c), d_{A}(c)\right)$ : arguing as in the proof of Theorem 8.11, this is enough to show the theorem.

To prove the claim, first we show that the set $d^{\prime}$ of $A$-colors containing $A Y$ is equal to $d_{A}(c)$. Since $X$ is toroidal, no $G$-color contains $Y$, nor $A Y$. Therefore any $A$-color $E$ in $d^{\prime}$ is indeed a $G$-stable prime divisor whose functional lies in $\mathrm{V}_{G}^{\ell}(X)$. It intersects $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ in an $L_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$-color of $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$, by Proposition 10.7, and we only have to show that $E \cap X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ is in $d\left(c^{\ell}\right)$.

We check this fact using the definition of $d\left(c^{\ell}\right)$. Take a positive root $\beta \in \Phi_{+}$of $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$, the prime divisors $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}(\beta), X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}(-\beta)$ of $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ as in Definition 8.1, and suppose that $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}(-\beta)=E \cap X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$, so $\rho_{S, X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}}\left(X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}(-\beta)\right)$ lies on a 1-codimensional face of $c^{\ell}$. We have to show that $\rho_{S, X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}}\left(X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}(\beta)\right)$ also lies on a 1-codimensional face of $c^{\ell}$, in other words that $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}(\beta)$ contains the $S$-orbit of $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ associated $c^{\ell}$.

Now $E=E_{1}$ and some other element $E_{2} \in \mathcal{E}$ satisfy $E_{1} \cap X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}=X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}(-\beta), E_{2} \cap X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}=X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}(\beta)$, and $-\beta$ and $\beta$ are the restrictions to $S$ of resp. $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \Lambda_{G}(X)$, such that $X\left(\gamma_{i}\right)=E_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Suppose that $E_{2}$ doesn't contain $Y$. Then we consider $\mathcal{Z}_{G, X}$ : intersecting it with $E_{1}, E_{2}$ and $Y$ two $T_{G, X}$-stable prime divisors and a $T_{G, X}$-orbit, such that $E_{1} \cap \mathcal{Z}_{G, X} \supseteq Y \cap \mathcal{Z}_{G, X}$ and $E_{2} \cap \mathcal{Z}_{G, X} \nsupseteq Y \cap \mathcal{Z}_{G, X}$.

At this point we follow the same approach of the proof of Theorem 8.11, statement (2), applied to the toric variety $\mathcal{Z}_{G, X}$ and the automorphisms induced by the tangent vector field $\xi_{\gamma_{1}}$ (as defined in Lemma 10.5). This yields the formula (8.6) for $\xi_{\gamma_{1}}$, which shows that $E_{1} \cap \mathcal{Z}_{G, X}$ doesn't contain $A Y \cap \mathcal{Z}_{G, X}$ : a contradiction. As a consequence $E_{2} \supseteq Y$, so $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}(\beta)$ contains the $S$-orbit of $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ associated $c^{\ell}$. This concludes the proof of the inclusion $d^{\prime} \subseteq d_{A}(c)$.

Let now $D \in d_{A}(c)$. Then, by Theorem 8.11, the intersection $D \cap X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ contains the $L_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$-orbit of $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ corresponding to $\left(c^{\ell} \cap \Psi^{\perp}, d\left(c^{\ell}\right)\right)$. Let $y$ be a point on this orbit: then $D$ contains $\overline{A y}$.

On the other hand, from the proof of Theorem 8.11, we see that $\overline{L_{x_{0}^{\prime}} y}$ contains the $S$-orbit of $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ corresponding to $c^{\ell} \subset \mathrm{N}_{S}\left(X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}\right)$. It follows that $\overline{A y}$ contains the $G$-orbit of $X$ associated to $c^{\ell} \subset \mathrm{N}_{G}(X)$, and thus also the $G$-orbit $Y$ associated to $c \subset \mathrm{~N}_{G}(X)$. Being $A$-stable, $\overline{A y}$ must then contain $A Y$ too, and since $D$ is closed, we obtain $D \supseteq A Y$. I.e., $D$ is in $d^{\prime}$.

We now prove that the convex cone $c^{\prime}$ associated to $A Y$ is $c_{A}(c)$. First observe that $Y$ and $A Y$ are contained in the same elements of $\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{n \ell}$, since $L$ stabilizes all fibers of $\psi$. Therefore
$c^{\prime}$ is generated by $s\left(F^{n \ell}(c)\right)$ and its intersection with $s\left(\mathrm{~V}_{G}^{\ell}(X)\right)$. It remains to prove that $c^{\prime} \cap s\left(\mathrm{~V}_{G}^{\ell}(X)\right)=s\left(c^{\ell} \cap \Psi^{\perp}\right)$.

The cone $c^{\prime} \cap s\left(\mathrm{~V}_{G}^{\ell}(X)\right)$ is generated by $\rho_{A, X}(E)$ where $E \in\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{\ell}$ is:
(1) an $A$-color of $X$ containing $A Y$, i.e. $E \in d_{A}(c)$, or
(2) an $A$-stable prime divisor containing $A Y$.

On the other hand the generators of $s\left(c^{\ell} \cap \Psi^{\perp}\right)$ are the elements $\rho_{A, X}(E)$ where $E \in\left(\partial_{G} X\right)^{\ell}$ is:
(1') an $A$-color such that $E \cap X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ is a color containing the $L_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ orbit $Z$ of $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ associated to $\left(c^{\ell} \cap \Psi^{\perp}, d\left(c^{\ell}\right)\right)$, or
(2') an $A$-stable prime divisor such that $E \cap X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ is a $L_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$-stable prime divisor containing $Z$. Thanks to the first part of the proof, the prime divisors $E$ of type (1) and of type (1') are the same.

If $E$ is of type (2') then it contains $A Z$, whose closure in turn contains $A Y$. Therefore $E$ is of type (2). Let now $E$ be of type (2). Then $E \cap \mathcal{Z}_{G, X}$ is an $L$-stable (and $T_{G, X}$-stable) prime divisor of $\mathcal{Z}_{G, X}$ containing $Y \cap \mathcal{Z}_{G, X}$, which is the $T_{G, X}$-orbit of $\mathcal{Z}_{G, X}$ associated to $c$, and $\rho_{T_{G, X}, \mathcal{Z}_{G, X}}\left(E \cap \mathcal{Z}_{G, X}\right)$ lies on $\mathrm{V}_{G}^{\ell}(G / H)$. Hence $E \cap X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ is an $L_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$-stable prime divisor of $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ containing the $S$-orbit of $X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ associated to $c^{\ell}$. Thanks to the proof of Theorem 8.11, we deduce that $E \cap X_{x_{0}^{\prime}}$ contains $Z$, i.e. $E$ is of type (2').

Corollary 10.10. $\Sigma_{A}(X)=\Sigma_{G}(X)$.
Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Corollary 8.12.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ We ignore the dependence on $B$ of all the invariants we define. This is justified by the fact that for any reductive group under consideration the choice of a Borel subgroup will be either unique (when the group is abelian) or always explicitly fixed.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Our notation is consistent thanks to Corollary 7.14 .

