| 第31卷第35期             | 中 国 电 机 工 程 学 报         | Vol.31 No.35 Dec.15, 2011    |
|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| 110 2011 年 12 月 15 日 | Proceedings of the CSEE | ©2011 Chin.Soc.for Elec.Eng. |

文章编号: 0258-8013 (2011) 35-0110-07 中图分类号: TK 227 文献标志码: A 学科分类号: 470-20

# 旋流式气液同轴式喷油器 在加压空间中雾化特性的试验研究

王永堂<sup>1</sup>,吴少华<sup>1</sup>,陈明<sup>2</sup>,曹庆喜<sup>1</sup>,杜晓健<sup>2</sup>,刘科<sup>2</sup>

哈尔滨工业大学能源科学与工程学院,黑龙江省 哈尔滨市 150001;
 中国船舶重工集团第七〇三研究所,黑龙江省 哈尔滨市 150036)

# Experimental Study on Atomization Characteristics of Gas/liquid Coaxial Swirling Nozzle in Pressurized Space

WANG Yongtang<sup>1</sup>, WU Shaohua<sup>1</sup>, CHEN Ming<sup>2</sup>, CAO Qingxi<sup>1</sup>, DU Xiaojian<sup>2</sup>, LIU Ke<sup>2</sup> (1. School of Energy Science and Engineering, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, Heilongjiang Province, China 2. No. 703 Research Institute of China Shipbuilding Industry Corporation, Harbin 150036, Heilongjiang Province, China)

ABSTRACT: The atomization characteristics of a gas/liquid coaxial swirling nozzle in pressurized space were investigated. Under different background pressures, the Sauter mean diameter (SMD) affected by the background pressure, the relative velocity of atomization gas to oil and the oil velocity were studied through measuring the size distribution of the droplets with 70 mm to the exit orifice by Phase Doppler Particle analyzer(PDPA). The results show that when the relative velocity of atomization air to oil and oil velocity are fixed, SMD decreases as the background pressure increases; when the background pressure and oil velocity are fixed, SMD decreases as the relative velocity of atomization air to oil increases; under the condition of fixed atomization air pressure and background pressure, the affection rule of the oil velocity on the SMD has an inflexion. SMD increases firstly and decreases lately as oil velocity rises. Based on the experiments, the inflexion value is 9.91 m/s. Correlations between SMD and background pressure, relative velocity of atomization air to oil and oil velocity were analyzed according to the examination data.

**KEY WORDS:** gas/liquid coaxial swirling nozzle; pressurized space; Sauter mean diameter (SMD); atomization characteristics

摘要:在增压条件下对一种旋流式气液同轴喷油器的雾化特性进行研究。在不同的环境背压条件下,采用 PDPA 测量距离喷口处 70mm 的液雾分布,研究了环境背压、气液相对速度、以及燃油流速对索特平均直径(Sauter mean diameter, SMD)的影响规律。结果表明: 当保证燃油流速一定、气液

相对速度一定的条件下,SMD 随环境背压的增大而减小; 当环境背压及燃油流速一定的条件下,SMD 随气液相对速 度的增大而减小;当保证雾化空气压力以及环境背压一定的 条件下,燃油流速对 SMD 的影响规律存在一个拐点,随着 燃油流速的增加,SMD 先增加后减小,在文中的试验条件 下,燃油流速拐点值约为 9.91m/s。根据试验数据整理出 SMD 与环境背压、气液相对速度及燃油流速的拟合公式。

关键词: 气液同轴喷油器; 加压空间; 索特平均直径; 雾化 特性

## 0 INTRODUCTION

The benefits of supercharged boilers include larger volumetric heat release rates, lower weights, smaller dimensions, better dynamic and economic characteristics, and higher reliability and maintainability. Therefore, they can be widely used in power plants[1]. This also requires a shorter burner torch and a larger load adjustment. Among all existing nozzles, the gas/liquid coaxial swirling nozzle delivers the best performance. It is the best to choose the gas/liquid coaxial swirling nozzle[2].

The gas/liquid coaxial swirling nozzle has the benefits of pressure-swirl and air assistant atomization nozzles simultaneously. The liquid phase structure adopts the pressure-swirl nozzle, and the air phase also adopts the swirl flow way to assist the oil atomization. In this case of higher pressure of injecting oil or larger load condition, the oil supply pressure can ensure the good atomization; when the injecting oil pressure is lower, the swirl assistant air with certain velocity can ensure the good atomization of the oil. Therefore, gas/liquid coaxial swirling nozzle has a better load adjustment ability, as much as 1:7. In the same time, the swirl assistant air can cool the oil injector to protect the nozzle from burnout.

At present, the research on air-liquid coaxial nozzle is concentrated on the fields of coal water slurry gasification, Pharmacy and Aircraft Engine[3-9]. Due to the procedure complexity for gas-liquid coaxial type of oil injector, by far the research method is mainly experiment[10-15]. The research on the air blast atomization showed that under the fixed velocity of air and liquid flux, the correlation between the background pressure and (Sauter mean diameter, SMD,  $D_{SM}$ ) was as follows[16]:

$$D_{\rm SM} \propto p_{\rm e}^{-1}$$
 (1)

In the formula:  $p_e$  was the background pressure. Under the same condition, the correlation between the background pressure and SMD was as follows[17-18]:

$$D_{\rm SM} \propto p_{\rm e}^n$$
 (2)

n was between -0.4 and -1. According to the research on atomization performance of air blast three-channel nozzle under high background pressure, when air/liquid ratio was not changed, SMD made negative exponential power function with the background pressure, as follows[19]:

$$D_{\rm SM} \propto p_{\rm e}^n$$
 (3)

n was between -1.3 and 1.0. It has been concluded that the background pressure had little influence on the SMD in the research about the air blast atomization under the high pressure; and the background pressure and gas/liquid ratio had the correlation as follows[20]:

$$D_{\rm SM} = 48 \, p_{\rm e}^{-0.05} \, (1 + A_{\rm LR}^{-1})^{0.5} \tag{4}$$

In the formula:  $A_{LR}$  was the gas/liquid quality rate. Experimental study on twin-fluid air-blast nozzle

atomization under high background pressures showed that when gas/liquid ratio was not changed SMD presented direct proportion with the background pressure's n power; n was between 0.3 and 0.9. Moreover, n increased as the increasing of gas/liquid ratio; and the background pressure and gas/liquid ratio had the correlation as follows[21]:

$$D_{\rm SM} \propto p_{\rm e}^n$$
 (5)

$$n = 0.853 A_{\rm LR}^{0.376} \tag{6}$$

At present there are a few researches about atomization characteristics of the gas/liquid coaxial swirling nozzle in pressurized space. Moreover, because the nozzle framework and the condition of research are different, the results are different with each other. There is no report on the research about the atomization characteristics of gas/liquid coaxial swirling nozzle in the pressurized space. Therefore it is imperative to research about the atomization characteristics of gas/liquid coaxial swirling nozzle in the pressurized space.

A phase doppler particle analyzer (PDPA) was adopted to study the atomization characters of the gas/liquid coaxial swirling nozzle in the pressurized space through measuring the size distribution of the droplets with 70 mm to the exit orifice. The influences of the background pressure, relative velocity of atomization air to oil and oil velocity on the SMD were investigated. Moreover, correlations between SMD and background pressure, relative velocity of atomization air to oil and oil velocity were analyzed according to the examination data.

### 1 EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

#### 1.1 The gas-liquid coaxial swirling nozzle

The gas/liquid coaxial swirling nozzle can be referred to Figure 1. It comprises a baffle, an atomization plate, a compression nut and a multi-hole oil dividing plate. The atomization plate has the double face swirl flow of tangential slots, as oil routine and assistant air routine respectively. From the multi-hole oil dividing plate, the oil enters the tangent



Fig. 1 Gas-liquid coaxial swirling nozzle

slots of atomization plate, and then will be injected from the nozzle. The assistant air enters other tangent slots of atomization plate, and will be injected from the ring-like gap between the atomization plate and the baffle. Lastly, the mixture of oil and assistant air will be injected as tapered atomization body from nozzle. The oil impacted by the aerodynamic force of atomization air can ensure the better atomization results.

#### 1.2 Experimental system

The experiment was done under normal temperature and the background pressure condition. The system diagram for experiment equipment can be referred to Figure 2. During the experiment period,

firstly the atomizing air pressure and background pressure were adjusted to the rated value, and the turbo flow meter was used to measure the gas flux. Secondly the oil pressure was adjusted to the rated value through adjusting valve, and the liquid flux was measured with turbo flow meter. When atomizing was steady, the liquid droplets' size characters were measured by PDPA in a line with 1.4 mm diameter in the section located at 70 mm to the exit orifice



 Compressed air pump; 2-Control valve; 3-Pressure meter; 4-Thermometer;
 Flow meter; 6-Oil tank; 7-Oil pump; 8-Oil filter; 9-Laser; 10-Transmission probe; 11-Receiving probe; 12-Signal processor; 13-Computer.

## 图 2 试验装置系统图 Fig. 2 System diagram for test equipment

downstream. The distance of sampling cross section to exit orifice was 70 mm, because the size distribution of the droplets at this position can represent the atomization characteristic of the characteristic torch[22].

The experimental instruments underwent a special calibration and the scope and accuracy of the instruments are shown in Table 1.

表 1 测量范围及精度 Tab. 1 Scope and accuracy of the experimental instruments

| Code | Description                   | Accuracy | Test scope   |
|------|-------------------------------|----------|--------------|
| 1    | Pressure transmitter          | 0.25%    | 0-800 kPa    |
| 2    | Platinum resistor             | B class  | 0-100°C      |
| 3    | Air turbo flow meter          | 1.5%     | 2-11 L/s     |
| 4    | Liquid turbo flow meter       | 1%       | 55-333 mL/s  |
| 5    | Particle diameter measurement | 1%       | 1-800 µm     |
| 6    | Particle velocity measurement | 0.1%     | -600-600 m/s |

The liquid medium used in this experiment was the RP3-type aviation kerosene with the density of  $802 \text{ kg/m}^3$ , the stickiness of  $1.25 \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$  and the temperature of  $20^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

To avoid the influence of visible window pollution caused by droplet mist on the measure accuracy, a part of dry air was disposed to the inner of visible window to blow off the atomization droplets near the window.

The experimental condition range : the atomization pressure: 100-200 kPa; the oil velocity: 7-15 m/s; the background pressure of the atomization room : 50-150 kPa. The pressure in this work is referred as gauge pressure. The atomization pressure is denoted as Pa with a unit of kPa; The background pressure is denoted as  $p_e$  with a unit of kPa; The oil velocity is denoted as  $v_f$  with a unit of m/s; The relative velocity of atomization air to oil is denoted as  $v_{re}$  with a unit of m/s.

## 2 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 2.1 Atomization mechanism analysis

Atomization, and especially air-blast atomization, is a complex multi-parameter problem. At present many researches consider that the atomization

includes two stages : primary atomization and secondary atomization. The primary atomization is the forming and breaking up of the liquid film. The instability disturbing on the surface of the liquid film, liquid surface tension and liquid viscosity force affect the primary atomization. The secondary atomization is the liquid threads and big droplets broken up into small droplets. The assistant atomization air kinetic energy, liquid surface tension and liquid viscosity force affect the secondary atomization[22]. The liquid surface tension and liquid viscosity force belong to the atomization liquid properties. The instability disturbing on the surface of the liquid film and the assistant atomization air kinetic energy are affected by the experimental operation parameters, such as the background pressure, the relative velocity of atomization air to oil and the oil velocity.

2.2 The influence of background pressure on SMD

The influence of the background pressure on SMD under the fixed oil velocity and the relative velocity of atomization air to oil is presented in Figure 3. As can be seen, SMD decreases as the background pressure rises. When the oil velocity is fixed, the oil kinetic energy keeps constant. When the relative velocity of atomization air to oil is constant, the density and the kinetic energy of the assistant atomization air rise with the increasing of background pressure, which makes the frictional resistance of the interphase between oil and air augment and instability disturbing to oil increasing, enhancing atomization. With the increasing of background pressure, air density of the



图 3 环境背压对 SMD 的影响

Fig. 3 Influence of ambient pressure on SMD

atomization room and air resistance of the injected oil rise, so the superficial instability disturbing on the oil is enhanced, which promotes atomization. Consequently, SMD decreases under the comprehensive influences of the above factors while the background pressure changes from 50 kPa to 150 kPa.

2.3 The influence of the relative velocity of atomization air to oil on SMD

The influence of the relative velocity of atomization air to oil which is adjusted by the atomization air pressure on SMD under 7.09m/s oil velocity and 100 kPa background pressure is presented in Figure 4. As shown in figure 4, SMD decreases as the relative velocity of atomization air to oil increase. When the oil velocity keeps constant, the oil kinetic energy keeps constant. While the background pressure is fixed, air density of the atomization room and air resistance of the injected oil is fixed, which makes the superficial instability disturbing on the oil constant. As the atomization air pressure rises, the air flux and air velocity rise, leading to the relative velocity of atomization air to oil as well as the air kinetic energy increasing, which makes the frictional resistance of the interphase between oil and air augment and instability disturbing to oil increasing, favoring atomization. Consequently, SMD decreases under the synthesis influences of the above factors.



图 4 气液相对速度对 SMD 的影响 Fig. 4 Influence of the relative velocity of atomization air to oil on SMD

#### 2.4 The influence of the oil velocity on SMD

Figure 5 shows the effects of the oil velocity on SMD with specific assisted air pressure and

第35期



114



图 5 燃油流速对 SMD 的影响



background pressure. As shown in Figure 5, the SMD increases at first and then decreases as oil velocity increases. This is because the assisted air velocity keeps steady when the pressure of assisted air and background pressure is constant. While the background pressure keeps fixed, air density of the atomization room and resistance of the injected oil keep constant, which makes the superficial instability disturbing on the oil constant. When the oil velocity increases, the oil kinetic energy increases, favoring oil atomization. On the other hand the relative velocity between oil and air will decreases, leading to the frictional resistance of the interphase between oil and air and instability disturbing to oil weaken, which lowers atomization. Consequently, there is an inflexion of the SMD under the synthesis influences of the above factors when the value of oil velocity is around 9.91 m/s. When oil velocity is less than 9.91 m/s, air kinetic energy of assisted air have a decisive effects on the SMD which will rise as the oil velocity rises. While oil velocity is larger than 9.91 m/s, the kinetic energy of oil has a dominant effect on the SMD which will decrease as the oil velocity increases. 2.5 Correlation and analysis

According the relationship between SMD and oil velocity shown in Figure 5, there is an inflexion of 9.91 m/s. Therefore the relationships between the SMD and background pressure, relative velocity of atomization air to oil, oil velocity in two parts were simulated respectively based on the least square method.

If  $v_f \le 9.91 \text{ m/s}$ ,

$$D_{\rm SM} = 200(\frac{p_e}{1\ 000} + 0.101)^{-0.25} v_{\rm re}^{-0.18} (\frac{v_{\rm f}}{9.91})^{0.1}$$
(7)

If  $v_{\rm f} > 9.91 \text{ m/s}$ ,

$$D_{\rm SM} = 206(\frac{p_e}{1\ 000} + 0.101)^{-0.31} v_{\rm re}^{-0.21} (\frac{v_{\rm f}}{9.91})^{-0.19}$$
(8)

As shown in Figure 6, when  $v_f \le 9.91$  m/s, all the errors between the calculational values of SMD from the formulas (7) and experimental values are in ±10%. The max error is 8.6% and the average error is 3.7%. As shown in figure 7, when  $v_f > 9.91$  m/s, all the errors between the calculational values of SMD from the formulas (8) and experimental values are in ±10%. The max error is 5.0% and the average error is 2.0%. Therefore, it can be concluded that the formulas (7) and the formulas (8) can predict the SMD reasonably for this nozzle.



**3 CONCLUSIONS** 

The gas/liquid coaxial swirling nozzle has the

combined benefits of the pressure-swirl and the air assisted atomization nozzles. Its atomization characteristics were synthetic affected by the background pressure, the relative velocity of atomization air to oil, and oil velocity. Atomization characteristics of a gas/liquid coaxial swirling nozzle in a pressurized space were studied. The conclusions are as follows:

1) SMD decreases as environment background pressure increases within the range from 50 kPa to 150 kPa with specific oil velocity and relative velocity of atomization air to oil.

2) SMD decreases as the relative velocity of atomization air to oil increases with constant oil velocity and background pressure.

3) At a constant assisted air pressure and background pressure, when the oil velocity is no more than 9.91 m/s, the SMD increases while oil velocity increases. The SMD decreases as the oil velocity increases when oil velocity is larger than 9.91 m/s.

4) According to the data obtained in experiments, relationships between the SMD and background pressure, relative velocity of atomization air to oil, and oil velocity were summed up as follows:

If  $v_{\rm f} \le 9.91$  m/s,

$$D_{\rm SM} = 200(\frac{p_e}{1\,000} + 0.101)^{-0.25} v_{\rm re}^{-0.18} (\frac{v_{\rm f}}{9.91})^{0.1}$$

If  $v_f > 9.91 \text{ m/s}$ ,

$$D_{\rm SM} = 206(\frac{p_e}{1\ 000} + 0.101)^{-0.31} v_{\rm re}^{-0.21} (\frac{v_{\rm f}}{9.91})^{-0.19}$$

The above results are important in predicting the atomization characteristics of gas/liquid coaxial swirling nozzle in a pressurized space.

## 参考文献

- [1] 吉桂明. 舰用主增压锅炉在固定式动力工程中的应用
  [J]. 锅炉技术, 1998(10): 15-18.
  Ji Guiming. Application of pressurized marine boiler in stationary power engineering[J]. Boiler technology, 1998(10): 15-18(in Chinese).
- [2] 王建志,王永堂,吴少华,等.船用增压锅炉旋流蒸汽 机械喷油器的雾化特性[J].热能动力工程,2007,22(3): 306-309.

Wang Jianzhi, Wangyongtang, Wu Shaohua, et al. Atomization characteristics of swirling steam-based mechanical oil sprayers for a supercharged marine boiler[J]. Journal of Engineering for Thermal Energy and Power, 2007, 22(3): 306-309(in Chinese).

- [3] 郑捷庆,张军,罗惕乾.水煤浆雾滴群荷电特性的试验 研究[J]. 中国电机工程学报,2009,29(14):80-85.
  Zheng Jieqing, Zhang Jun, Luo Tiqian. Experimental investigation on charging characteristics of coal water slurry droplets[J]. Proceedings of the CSEE, 2009, 29(14): 80-85(in Chinese).
- [4] 于海龙,刘建忠,范晓伟,等.喷嘴结构对水煤浆喷嘴 雾化性能影响的试验研究[J].中国电机工程学报,2006, 26(14): 80-85.

Yu Hailong, Liu Jianzhong, Fan Xiaowei, et al. Expedmental sudy of atomizing performance of a new type nozzle for coal water slurry[J]. Proceedings of the CSEE, 2006, 26(14): 80-85(in Chinese).

- [5] 栗涛,许建良,李伟锋,等.同轴双通道喷嘴雾化滴径沿轴向分布特性[J]. 化工学报,2009,60(4):886-891.
  Li Tao, Xu Jianliang, Li Weifeng, et al. Characteristics of droplet size distribution downstream of coaxial twinfluid atomize[J]. CIESC Journal, 2009, 60(4):886-891(in Chinese).
- [6] 刘海峰,李伟锋,陈谋志,等.大液气质量流量比双通道气流式喷嘴雾化滴径[J].化工学报,2005,56(8):1462-1466.

Liu Haifeng, Li Weifeng, Chen Mouzhi, et al. Droplet size of two-fluid airblast atomizer with large water/air mass flux ratio[J]. CIESC Journal, 2005, 56(8): 1462-1466(in Chinese).

- [7] Hongsuk K, Nakwon S. The effect of ambient pressure on the evaporation of a single droplet and a spray[J]. Combustion and Flame, 2003, 135(7): 261-270.
- [8] Dong J K, Jih I. Effect of ambient gas density on spray characteristics of swirling liquid sheets[J]. Journal of Propulsion and Power, 2007, 23(3): 603-611.
- [9] Chen S K A, Lefebvre H, Roolbuhler J. Influence of ambient air pressure on effervescent atomization[J]. Journal of Propulsion and Power, 1993, 9(1): 10-15.
- [10] 于海龙,张传名,刘建忠,等.新型水煤浆喷嘴雾化性能试验研究[J].中国电机工程学报,2005:25(22):99-103.

Yu Hailong, Zhang Chuanming, Liu Jianzhong, et al. Experimental study of atomizing performance of a new type nozzle for coal water slurry[J]. Proceedings of the

CSEE, 2005: 25(22): 99-103(in Chinese).

[11] 冉景煜,张力,蒲舸,等.渐扩切向槽角度对低压燃油 雾化喷嘴流动特性影响的数值研究[J].中国电机工程学 报,2006,26(1):45-50.

Ran Jingyu, Zhang Li, Pu Ge, et al. Numerical investigation on flow characteristic of oil atomized nozzle with micro-expanded tangent channel at lower pressure [J]. Proceedings of the CSEE, 2006, 26(1): 45-50(in Chinese).

[12] 黄镇宇,张传名,李习臣,等. 6t/h 撞击式水煤浆喷嘴 雾化特性试验研究[J].中国电机工程学报,2004,24(6): 201-204.

Huang Zhenyu, Zhang Chuanming, Li Xichen, et al. A research on atomization characteristics of 6t/h striking-typed CWS atomizer[J]. Proceedings of the CSEE, 2004, 24(6): 201-204(in Chinese).

[13] 徐旭常,秦裕琨,吴少华,等. 炉内喷嘴射流刚性的理 论模型及试验研究[J]. 中国电机工程学报,2001,21(9): 104-109.

Xu Xuchang, Qin Yukun, Wu Shaohua, et al. Theoretical model and experimental study of burner nozzle jet rigidity in furnace[J]. Proceedings of the CSEE, 2001, 21(9): 104-109(in Chinese).

[14] 郭新华,林宇震,张驰,等.离心式同向双旋流器空气 雾化喷嘴雾化特性研究[J].航空动力学报,2009, 24(10): 2249-2254.

Guo Xinhua, Lin Yuzhen, Zhang Chi, et al. Experiment investigation on atomization characteristic of an air-blast atomizer with centrifugal nozzle and co-dual-swirl cup [J]. Journal of Aerospace Power, 2009, 24(10): 2249-2254(in Chinese).

[15] 张弛,张荣伟,徐国强,等.直射式双旋流器空气雾化 喷嘴的雾化效果[J].航空动力学报,2006,21(5): 805-809.

Zhang Chi, Zhang Rongwei, Xu Guoqiang, et al. Experimental investigation on atomization of an airblast atomizer with plain-orifice nozzle and dual-swirl cup[J]. Journal of Aerospace Power, 2006, 21(5): 805-809(in Chinese).

- [16] Rizkalla A A, Lefebvre A H. The influence of air and liquid properties on air blast atomization[J]. Journal of Fluids Engineering, 1975, 97(3): 316-320.
- [17] Jasuja A K. Airblast atomization of alternative liquid petro-leum fuels under high ambient air pressure

conditions[J]. ASME Journal of Engineering for Power, 1984, 103(3): 514-518.

- [18] El-Shanawany M S, Lefebvre A H. Air blast atomization of the effects of linear scale on mean drop size[J]. Energy, 1980, 4(4): 184-189.
- [19] 李波,黄镇宇,杜聪,等.三通道气力式喷嘴加压环境 雾化特性试验研究[J]. 能源工程,2010(2): 14-19.
  Li Bo, Huang Zhenyu, Du Cong, et al. Experimental study on atom ization performance of airblast three-channel nozzle under high ambient pressure[J]. 能源工程, 2010(2): 14-19(in Chinese).
- [20] Zheng Q P, Jasuja A K, Lefebvre A H. Structure of airblast sprays under high ambient pressure conditions[J]. Engineer for Gas Turbines and Power, 1997, 119(3): 512-518.
- [21] 秦军,陈谋志,李伟锋,等.双通道气流式喷嘴加压雾 化的实验研究[J]. 燃烧科学与技术,2005,11(4): 384-387.
  Qin Jun, Chen Mouzh, Li Weifeng, et al. Experimental study on twin-fluid air-blast nozzle atomization under high ambient pressures[J]. Journal of Combustion Science and Technology, 2005, 11(4): 384-387(in Chinese).
- [22] Aliseda A, Hopfinger E J, Lasheras J C, et al. Connolly. atomization of viscous and non-newtonian liquids by a coaxial, high-speed gas jet. experiments and droplet size modeling[J]. International Journal of Multiphase Flow, 2008, 34(2): 161-175.



王永堂

收稿日期: 2011-05-15。 作者简介:

王永堂(1979),男,博士研究生,工程

师,主要研究方向为增压锅炉的燃烧与性

能, wangyongtang703@yahoo.com.cn;

吴少华(1952),男,教授,博士生导师, 从事煤的清洁燃烧方面的研究;

陈明(1962),男,研究员,博士生导师, 从事锅炉总体性能和结构方面的研究;

曹庆喜(1979),男,博士,助理研究员, 从事污染物 NO<sub>x</sub>排放控制研究;

杜晓建(1984),男,硕士,工程师,从 事锅炉性能方面的研究。

刘科(1982),男,硕士,工程师,从事 锅炉性能方面的研究。

(责任编辑 王庆霞)