# Absolute continuity of the best Sobolev constant of a bounded domain

# Grey Ercole\*

Departamento de Matemática - ICEx, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Av. Antônio Carlos 6627, Caixa Postal 702, 30161-970, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

### June 4, 2012

#### Abstract

Let  $\lambda_q := \inf \left\{ \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p / \|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^p : u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \right\}$ , where  $\Omega$  is a bounded and smooth domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $1 and <math>1 \le q \le p^\star := \frac{Np}{N-p}$ . We prove that the function  $q \mapsto \lambda_q$  is absolutely continuous in the closed interval  $[1, p^\star]$ .

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.** 46E35; 35J25; 35J70. **Keywords:** Absolute continuity, Lipschitz continuity, *p*-Laplacian, Rayleigh quotient, Sobolev best constants.

## 1 Introduction.

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded and smooth domain of Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$ , and let  $1 . For each <math>1 \leq q \leq p^* := \frac{Np}{N-p}$ , let  $\mathcal{R}_q : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the

Rayleigh quotient associated with the Sobolev immersion  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$ . That is,

$$\mathcal{R}_q(u) := \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx \right) \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^q \, dx \right)^{-\frac{q}{p}} = \frac{\|\nabla u\|_p^p}{\|u\|_q^p}$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_s := \left(\int_{\Omega} |\cdot|^s dx\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}$  denotes the usual norm of  $L^s(\Omega)$ .

It is well-known that the immersion  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$  is continuous if  $1 \le q \le p^*$  and compact if  $1 \le q < p^*$ . Hence, there exist

$$\lambda_q := \inf \left\{ \mathcal{R}_q(u) : u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \right\}, \ 1 \le q \le p^*$$
 (1)

and  $w_q \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$\mathcal{R}_q(w_q) = \lambda_q, \ 1 \le q < p^*. \tag{2}$$

<sup>\*</sup>E-mail: grey@mat.ufmg.br. The author was supported by FAPEMIG and CNPq, Brazil.

Since  $\mathcal{R}_q$  is homogeneous of degree zero the extremal function  $w_q$  for the Rayleigh quotient can be chosen such that  $\|w_q\|_q = 1$ .

It is straightforward to verify that such a normalized extremal  $w_q$  is a weak solution of the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta_p u = \lambda_q |u|^{q-2} u & \text{in } \Omega \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega,
\end{cases}$$
(3)

for the *p*-Laplacian operator  $\Delta_p u := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ . Hence, classical results imply that  $w_q$  can still be chosen to be positive in  $\Omega$  and that  $w_q \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  for some  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

In the case q=p, the constant  $\lambda_p$  is the well-known first eigenvalue of the Dirichlet p-Laplacian and  $w_p$  is the correspondent eigenfunction  $L^p$ -normalized. If q=1 the pair  $(\lambda_1,w_1)$  is obtained from the *Torsional Creep Problem*:

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta_p u = 1 & \text{in } \Omega \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{cases}$$
(4)

In fact, if  $\phi_p$  is the *torsion function* of  $\Omega$ , that is, the solution of (4), then it easy to check that the only positive weak solution of (3) with q=1 is  $\lambda_1^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\phi_p$ . Thus,  $w_1=\lambda_1^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\phi_p$  and since  $\|w_1\|_1=1$  one has

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{\|\phi_p\|_1^{p-1}} \text{ and } w_1 = \frac{\phi_p}{\|\phi_p\|_1}.$$
 (5)

In the particular case where  $\Omega = B_R(x_0)$ , the ball of radius R > 0 centered at  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , the torsion function is explicitly given by  $\phi_p(x) = \Phi_p(|x - x_0|)$  where

$$\Phi_{p}(r) := \frac{p-1}{p} N^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \left( R^{\frac{p}{p-1}} - r^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right), \ \ 0 \le r \le R.$$

Hence, for  $\Omega = B_R(x_0)$  one obtains

$$\lambda_1 = \left[ \frac{p + N(p-1)}{\omega_N(p-1)} \right]^{p-1} \frac{N}{R^{(p^*-1)(N-p)}}$$
 (6)

and

$$w_1(x) = \frac{p + N(p-1)}{p\omega_N R^N} \left( 1 - (|x - x_0| / R)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right)$$

where  $\omega_N$  is the N dimensional Lebesgue volume of the unit ball  $B_1(0)$ . (More properties of the torsion function and some of its applications are given in [4, 7].)

In the critical case  $q=p^*$  extremals for the Rayleigh quotient exist if the domain is the whole Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . In fact, in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  one has the *Sobolev Inequality* 

$$S_{p,N} \|u\|_{L^{p^{\star}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \quad \text{for all } u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$$
 (7)

where (see [2, 9]):

$$S_{p,N} := \sqrt{\pi} N^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \frac{N-p}{p-1} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left( \frac{\Gamma(N/p)\Gamma(1+N-N/p)}{\Gamma(1+N/2)\Gamma(N)} \right)^{\frac{1}{N}}$$
(8)

and  $\Gamma(t) = \int_0^\infty s^{t-1} e^{-s} ds$  is the Gamma Function. The *Sobolev constant*  $S_{p,N}$  is optimal and achieved, necessarily, by radially symmetric functions of the form (see [9]):

$$w(x) = a \left( 1 + b \left| x - x_0 \right|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right)^{-\frac{N-p}{p}}$$
 (9)

for any  $a \neq 0$ , b > 0 and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .

A remarkable fact is that for any domain  $\Omega$  (open, but non-necessarily bounded) the Sobolev constant  $S_{p,N}$  is still sharp with respect to the inequality (7), that is:

$$\mathcal{S}_{p,N}^{p} = \lambda_{p^{\star}} := \inf \left\{ \mathcal{R}_{p^{\star}}(u) : u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \right\}. \tag{10}$$

This property of the critical case  $q=p^*$  may be easily verified by using a simple scaling argument. As a consequence, in this critical case, the only domain  $\Omega$  whose the Rayleigh quotient has an extremal is  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Indeed, if  $w\in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)/\{0\}$  is an extremal for the Rayleigh quotient in  $\Omega$ , then (by extending w to zero out of  $\Omega$ ) w is also an extremal for the Rayleigh quotient in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . This implies that w must have an expression as in (9) and hence its support must be the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , forcing thus the equality  $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^N$ .

In this paper we are concerned with the behavior of  $\lambda_q$  with respect to  $q \in [1, p^*]$ . Thus, we investigate the function  $q \mapsto \lambda_q$  defined by (1). We prove that this function is of bounded variation in  $[1, p^*]$ , Lipschitz continuous in any closed interval of the form  $[1, p^* - \epsilon]$  for  $\epsilon > 0$ , and left-continuous at  $q = p^*$ . These combined results imply that  $\lambda_q$  is absolute continuous on  $[1, p^*]$ .

Up to our knowledge, the only result about the continuity of the function  $q \mapsto \lambda_q$  is given in [6, Thm 2.1], where the author proves the continuity of this function in the open interval (1, p) and the lower semi-continuity in the open interval  $(p, p^*)$ .

Besides the theoretical aspects, our results are also important for the computational approach of the Sobolev constants  $\lambda_q$ , since these constants or the correspondent extremals are not explicitly known in general, even for simple bounded domains. For recent numerical approaches related to Sobolev type constants we refer to [1, 5].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we derive a formula that describes the dependence of  $\mathcal{R}_q$  with respect to q and obtain, in consequence, the bounded variation of the function  $q \mapsto \lambda_q$  in the closed interval  $[1, p^*]$  and also the left-continuity of this function at  $q = p^*$ . Still in Section 2 we obtain a upper bound for  $\mathcal{S}_{p,N}$  (see (17)) and we also show that for  $1 \le q < p^*$  the Sobolev constant  $\lambda_q$  of bounded domains  $\Omega$  tends to zero when these domains tend to  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

By applying set level techniques, we deduce in Section 3 some estimates for  $w_q$  and in Section 4 we combine these estimates with the formula derived in Section 2 to prove the Lipschitz continuity of the function  $q\mapsto \lambda_q$  in each closed interval of the form  $[1,p^\star-\epsilon]$ . Our results are in fact proved for the function  $q\mapsto |\Omega|^{\frac{p}{q}}\lambda_q$  where  $|\Omega|$  denotes the N-dimensional Lebesgue volume of  $\Omega$ . But, of course, they are automatically transferred to the function  $q\mapsto \lambda_q$ .

# 2 Bounded variation and left-continuity

We first describe the dependence of the Rayleigh quotient  $\mathcal{R}_q(u)$  with respect to the parameter q.

**Lemma 1** Let  $0 \not\equiv u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . Then, for each  $1 \leq s_1 < s_2 \leq p^*$  one has

$$|\Omega|^{\frac{p}{s_1}} \mathcal{R}_{s_1}(u) = |\Omega|^{\frac{p}{s_2}} \mathcal{R}_{s_2}(u) \exp\left(p \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{K(t, u)}{t^2} dt\right)$$
(11)

where

$$K(t,u) := \frac{\int_{\Omega} |u|^t \ln |u|^t dx}{\|u\|_t^t} + \ln \left( |\Omega| \|u\|_t^{-t} \right) \ge 0.$$
 (12)

Before proving Lemma 1 let us make a technical remark related to the assumptions of this lemma. If  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and  $1 \le t < p^*$ , then

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{t} \left| \ln |u|^{t} \right| dx &= \int_{|u| < 1} |u|^{t} \left| \ln |u|^{t} \right| dx + t \int_{|u| \ge 1} |u|^{t} \ln |u| dx \\ &\leq \frac{|\Omega|}{e} + t \int_{|u| \ge 1} |u|^{t} \frac{|u|^{p^{\star} - t}}{e(p^{\star} - t)} dx \le \frac{|\Omega|}{e} + \frac{p^{\star} \|u\|_{p^{\star}}^{p^{\star}}}{e(p^{\star} - t)} < \infty. \end{split}$$

However, we were not able to determine the finiteness of the integral  $\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^{\star}} |\ln |u|| dx$  without assuming that  $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . Fortunately, the assumption  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  will be sufficient to our purposes in this paper.

**Proof of Lemma 1.** We firstly note that

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dq} \ln \left( \frac{|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\|u\|_q} \right) &= \frac{d}{dq} \frac{\ln |\Omega|}{q} - \frac{d}{dq} \left( \frac{1}{q} \ln \int_{\Omega} |u|^q \, dx \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{q^2} \ln |\Omega| - \frac{1}{q^2} \left[ -\ln \|u\|_q^q + \frac{\int_{\Omega} |u|^q \ln |u|^q \, dx}{\|u\|_q^q} \right] = -\frac{K(q,u)}{q^2}. \end{split}$$

Thus, integration on the interval  $[s_1, s_2]$  gives

$$\frac{|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{s_2}}}{\|u\|_{s_2}} = \frac{|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{s_1}}}{\|u\|_{s_1}} \exp\left(-\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{K(t, u)}{t^2} dt\right)$$

from what (11) follows easily.

Since the continuous function  $h:[0,+\infty)\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}$  defined by  $h(\xi):=\xi\ln\xi$ , if  $\xi>0$ , and h(0)=0 is convex, it follows from Jensen's inequality that

$$h\left(\left|\Omega\right|^{-1}\int_{\Omega}\left|u\right|^{t}dx\right)\leq\left|\Omega\right|^{-1}\int_{\Omega}h(\left|u\right|^{t})dx,$$

thus yielding

$$||u||_t^t \ln\left(|\Omega|^{-1} ||u||_t^t\right) \le \int_{\Omega} |u|^t \ln |u|^t dx,$$

from what follows that K(t, u) defined in (12) is nonnegative.

**Proposition 2** *The function*  $q \mapsto |\Omega|^{\frac{p}{q}} \lambda_q$  *is strictly decreasing in*  $[1, p^*]$ .

**Proof.** Let  $1 \leq s_1 < s_2 \leq p^*$  and  $w_{s_1} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  the positive and  $L^{s_1}$ -normalized extremal of the Rayleigh quotient  $\mathcal{R}_{s_1}$ . Note from the definition of  $w_{s_1}$  that

$$-\Delta_p w_{s_1} = \lambda_{s_1} w_{s_1}^{s_1 - 1} \text{ in } \Omega.$$
 (13)

It follows from Lemma 1 that

$$|\Omega|^{\frac{p}{s_1}} \lambda_{s_1} = |\Omega|^{\frac{p}{s_2}} \mathcal{R}_{s_2}(w_{s_1}) \exp\left(p \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{K(t, w_{s_1})}{t^2} dt\right) \ge |\Omega|^{\frac{p}{s_2}} \mathcal{R}_{s_2}(w_{s_1}) > |\Omega|^{\frac{p}{s_2}} \lambda_{s_2}(w_{s_2})$$

since  $\mathcal{R}_{s_1}(w_{s_1}) = \lambda_{s_1}$ ,  $K(t, w_{s_1}) \geq 0$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{s_2}(w_{s_1}) > \lambda_{s_2}$ . We need only to guarantee the strictness of the last inequality. Obviously, if  $s_2 = p^*$  the inequality is really strict because the Rayleigh quotient  $\mathcal{R}_{p*}$  does not reach a minimum value. Thus, let us suppose that  $\lambda_{s_2} = \mathcal{R}_{s_2}(w_{s_1})$  for  $s_2 < p^*$ . Then

$$-\Delta_{p}(w_{s_{1}}/\left\|w_{s_{1}}\right\|_{s_{2}}) = \lambda_{s_{2}}(w_{s_{1}}/\left\|w_{s_{1}}\right\|_{s_{2}})^{s_{2}-1}$$

and hence the (p-1)-homogeneity of the operator  $\Delta_p$  yields

$$-\Delta_p w_{s_1} = \lambda_{s_2} \|w_{s_1}\|_{s_2}^{p-s_2} w_{s_1}^{s_2-1} \text{ in } \Omega.$$
 (14)

The combining of (13) with (14) produces

$$w_{s_1} \equiv \left(\frac{\lambda_{s_1} \|w_{s_1}\|_{s_2}^{s_2-p}}{\lambda_{s_2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{s_2-s_1}}$$
 in  $\Omega$ .

Since the only constant function in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is the null function we arrive at the contradiction  $0 \equiv w_{s_1} > 0$  in  $\Omega$ .

Thus, we have concluded that 
$$|\Omega|^{\frac{p}{s_1}} \lambda_{s_1} > |\Omega|^{\frac{p}{s_2}} \lambda_{s_2}$$
 for  $1 \le s_1 < s_2 \le p^*$ .  $\square$ 

The following corollary is immediate after writing  $\lambda_q$  as a product of two monotonic functions:  $\lambda_q = |\Omega|^{-\frac{p}{q}} (|\Omega|^{\frac{p}{q}} \lambda_q)$ .

**Corollary 3** *The function*  $q \mapsto \lambda_q$  *is of bounded variation in*  $[1, p^*]$ .

Another consequence of Proposition 2 is that for each  $1 \leq q < p^*$  the Sobolev constant  $\lambda_q$  of a bounded domain  $\Omega$  tends to zero as  $\Omega \nearrow \mathbb{R}^N$ . In fact, this asymptotic behavior follows from the following corollary.

**Corollary 4** *Let*  $B_R(x_0) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  *denote the ball centered at*  $x_0$  *and with radius* R *and let* 

$$\lambda_q(R) := \min \left\{ \frac{\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(B_R(x_0))}^p}{\|u\|_{L^q(B_R(x_0))}^p} : u \in W_0^{1,p}(B_R(x_0))/\{0\} \right\}, \quad 1 \le q < p^\star.$$

Then

$$\lambda_q(R) \to 0 \quad as \quad R \to \infty.$$
 (15)

**Proof.** It follows from Proposition 2 that

$$\lambda_q(R) \le \lambda_1(R) (\omega_N R^N)^{p(1-\frac{1}{q})}$$

where, as before,  $\omega_N = |B_1(0)|$ .

Now, replacing  $\lambda_1(R)$  by its expression (6) we obtain

$$\lambda_{q}(R) \leq \left[ \frac{p + N(p-1)}{\omega_{N}(p-1)} \right]^{p-1} \frac{N(\omega_{N})^{p(1-\frac{1}{q})}}{R^{(N-p)(\frac{p^{*}}{q}-1)}}, \tag{16}$$

yielding (15).

**Remark 5** Since  $\lambda_{p^*}(R) \equiv \mathcal{S}_{N,p}^p$ ,  $\omega_N = \pi^{N/2}/\Gamma(1+N/2)$  and  $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*} = \frac{1}{N}$ , by making  $q = p^*$  in (16) we obtain the following upper bound for  $\mathcal{S}_{N,p}$  with is quite comparable with the expression (8):

$$S_{N,p} \le \sqrt{\pi} N^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \frac{N-p}{p-1} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \frac{(p^*-1)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}}{\Gamma(1+N/2)^{\frac{1}{N}}}.$$
 (17)

We now prove the left-continuity of the function  $q \mapsto \lambda_q$  in the interval  $(1, p^*]$ . Hence, as a particular case we obtain

$$\lim_{q \to (p^{\star})^{-}} \lambda_{q} = \lambda_{p^{\star}} \quad (= \mathcal{S}_{p,N}^{p}). \tag{18}$$

**Theorem 6** For each  $q \in (1, p^*]$  it holds  $\lim_{s \to a^-} \lambda_s = \lambda_q$ .

**Proof.** Let us fix s < q and  $u \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ . If follows from Lemma 1 and Proposition 2 that

$$|\Omega|^{\frac{p}{q}}\lambda_q < |\Omega|^{\frac{p}{s}}\lambda_s \le |\Omega|^{\frac{p}{s}}\mathcal{R}_s(u) = |\Omega|^{\frac{p}{q}}\mathcal{R}_q(u)\exp\left(p\int_s^q \frac{K(t,u)}{t^2}dt\right). \tag{19}$$

For  $s \le t \le q$  Hölder's inequality implies that

$$|\Omega|^{-\frac{1}{s}} \|u\|_{s} \leq |\Omega|^{-\frac{1}{t}} \|u\|_{t} \leq |\Omega|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \|u\|_{a}.$$

Hence, since  $|\Omega|^{-\frac{1}{s}} \|u\|_s \to |\Omega|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \|u\|_q$  as  $s \to q$  we obtain

$$\frac{|\Omega|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \|u\|_{q}}{2} \le |\Omega|^{-\frac{1}{s}} \|u\|_{s} \le |\Omega|^{-\frac{1}{t}} \|u\|_{t} \le |\Omega|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \|u\|_{q}$$

for  $s \le t \le q$  with s sufficiently close to q.

It follows from these estimates that

$$K(t,u) = \frac{t \int_{\Omega} |u|^{t} \ln |u| dx}{\|u\|_{t}^{t}} + t \ln \left(\frac{|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{t}}}{\|u\|_{t}}\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{t \ln \|u\|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{t} dx}{\|u\|_{t}^{t}} + t \ln \left(\frac{2 |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\|u\|_{q}}\right) = t \ln \left(\frac{2 |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{q}} \|u\|_{\infty}}{\|u\|_{q}}\right) =: t M_{q}(u).$$

Therefore,

$$\exp\left(p\int_{s}^{q}\frac{K(t,u)}{t^{2}}dt\right) \leq \exp\left(pM_{q}(u)\ln(\frac{q}{s})\right) = \left(\frac{q}{s}\right)^{pM_{q}(u)}$$

and (19) yields

$$|\Omega|^{\frac{p}{q}} \lambda_q < |\Omega|^{\frac{p}{s}} \lambda_s \le |\Omega|^{\frac{p}{q}} \mathcal{R}_q(u) \left(\frac{q}{s}\right)^{pM_q(u)}.$$

By making  $s \to q^-$  we conclude that

$$\lambda_q \leq \liminf_{s \to q^-} \lambda_s \leq \limsup_{s \to q^-} \lambda_s \leq \mathcal{R}_q(u)$$

for each  $u \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$  is dense in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  this clearly implies

$$\lambda_q \leq \liminf_{s \to q^-} \lambda_s \leq \limsup_{s \to q^-} \lambda_s \leq \mathcal{R}_q(u) \ \ \text{for all} \ u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\lambda_q \leq \liminf_{s \to q^-} \lambda_s \leq \limsup_{s \to q^-} \lambda_s \leq \lambda_q$$

from what follows that  $\lim_{s \to q^-} \lambda_s = \lambda_q$ .

#### **Bounds for** $w_q$ 3

In this section we deduce some bounds for the extremal  $w_q$  defined by (2). Our results are based on level set techniques and inspired by [3] and [8].

**Proposition 7** *Let*  $1 \le q < p^*$  *and*  $\sigma \ge 1$ . *Then, it holds* 

$$2^{-\frac{N(p-1)+\sigma p}{p}}C_q \|w_q\|_{\infty}^{\frac{N(p-q)+\sigma p}{p}} \le \|w_q\|_{\sigma}^{\sigma}$$
 (20)

where

$$C_q := \left(\frac{p}{p + N(p-1)}\right)^{N+1} \left(\frac{\mathcal{S}_{N,p}^p}{\lambda_q}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}.$$
 (21)

**Proof.** Since  $w_q$  is a positive weak solution of (3) we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_q|^{q-2} \nabla w_q \cdot \nabla \phi dx = \lambda_q \int_{\Omega} w_q^{q-1} \phi dx \tag{22}$$

for all test function  $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . For each  $0 < t < \|w_q\|_{\infty}$ , define  $A_t = \{x \in \Omega : w_q > t\}$ . Since  $w_q \in X$  $C^{1,\alpha}\left(\overline{\Omega}\right)$  for some  $0<\alpha<1$  it follows that  $A_t$  is open and  $\nabla(w_q-t)^+=\nabla w_q$ in  $A_t$ .

Thus, the function

$$(w_q - t)^+ = \max\{w_q - t, 0\} = \begin{cases} w_q - t, & \text{if } w_q > t \\ 0, & \text{if } w_q \le t \end{cases}$$

belongs to  $W_{0}^{1,p}\left( \Omega \right)$  and by using it as a test function in (22) we obtain

$$\int_{A_t} |\nabla w_q|^p \, dx = \lambda_q \int_{A_t} w_q^{q-1} \left( w_q - t \right) dx \le \lambda_q \| w_q \|_{\infty}^{q-1} \left( \| w_q \|_{\infty} - t \right) |A_t|. \tag{23}$$

Now, we estimate  $\int_{A_t} |\nabla w_q|^p dx$  from below. Applying Hölder and Sobolev inequalities we obtain

$$\left(\int_{A_{t}} \left(w_{q} - t\right) dx\right)^{p} \leq \left(\int_{A_{t}} \left(w_{q} - t\right)^{p^{\star}} dx\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{\star}}} |A_{t}|^{p - \frac{p}{p^{\star}}} \leq \mathcal{S}_{N,p}^{-p} |A_{t}|^{p - \frac{p}{p^{\star}}} \int_{A_{t}} |\nabla w_{q}|^{p} dx$$
and thus,

$$\mathcal{S}_{N,p}^{p} \left| A_{t} \right|^{\frac{p}{p^{\star}} - p} \left( \int_{A_{t}} \left( w_{q} - t \right) dx \right)^{p} \leq \int_{A_{t}} \left| \nabla w_{q} \right|^{p} dx.$$

By combining this inequality with (23) we obtain

$$|S_{N,p}^p|A_t|^{\frac{p}{p^*}-p} \left( \int_{A_t} \left( w_q - t \right) dx \right)^p \le \lambda_q \|w_q\|_{\infty}^{q-1} \left( \|w_q\|_{\infty} - t \right) |A_t|.$$

Since  $\frac{1}{p^*} + \frac{1}{N} = \frac{1}{p}$  the previous inequality can be rewritten as

$$\left(\int_{A_{t}} \left(w_{q} - t\right) dx\right)^{\frac{N}{N+1}} \leq \left[\lambda_{q} \mathcal{S}_{N,p}^{-p} \|w_{q}\|_{\infty}^{q-1} \left(\|w_{q}\|_{\infty} - t\right)\right]^{\frac{N}{p(N+1)}} |A_{t}|. \tag{24}$$

In the sequel we use twice the following Fubini's theorem: if  $u \ge 0$  is measurable,  $\sigma \ge 1$ , and  $E_\tau = \{x : u(x) > \tau\}$ , then

$$\int_{\Omega} u(x)^{\sigma} dx = \sigma \int_{0}^{\infty} \tau^{\sigma - 1} |E_{\tau}| d\tau.$$
 (25)

Let us define  $g(t) := \int_{A_t} (w_q - t) dx$ . It follows from (25) that

$$g(t) = \int_0^\infty |\{w_q - t > \tau\}| \, d\tau = \int_t^\infty |\{w_q > s\}| \, ds = \int_t^\infty |A_s| \, ds = \int_t^{\|w_q\|_\infty} |A_s| \, ds$$

and therefore  $g'(t) = -|A_t| \le 0$ . Thus, (24) can be written as

$$\left[\lambda_{q} \mathcal{S}_{N,p}^{-p} \| w_{q} \|_{\infty}^{q-1} (\| w_{q} \|_{\infty} - t) \right]^{-\frac{N}{p(N+1)}} \le -g(t)^{-\frac{N}{N+1}} g'(t)$$

and integration over the interval  $[t, \|w_q\|_{\infty}]$  produces

$$C_q \|w_q\|_{\infty}^{-\frac{N(q-1)}{p}} (\|w_q\|_{\infty} - t)^{\frac{N(p-1)+p}{p}} \le g(t)$$
 (26)

where  $C_q$  is given by (21).

By using the fact that  $g(t) \leq (\|w_q\|_{\infty} - t) |A_t|$  we obtain from (26) that

$$C_q \|w_q\|_{\infty}^{-\frac{N(q-1)}{p}} (\|w_q\|_{\infty} - t)^{\frac{N(p-1)}{p}} \le |A_t|.$$

If  $\sigma \ge 1$ , multiplying the previous inequality by  $\sigma t^{\sigma-1}$  and integrating over the interval  $[0, \|w_q\|_{\infty}]$ , we get

$$C_{q} \|w_{q}\|_{\infty}^{-\frac{N(q-1)}{p}} \sigma \int_{0}^{\|w_{q}\|_{\infty}} (\|w_{q}\|_{\infty} - t)^{\frac{N(p-1)}{p}} t^{\sigma - 1} dt \le \|w_{q}\|_{\sigma}^{\sigma}$$
 (27)

since (25) gives

$$\|w_q\|_{\sigma}^{\sigma} = \int_{\Omega} w_q^{\sigma} dx = \sigma \int_{0}^{\|w_q\|_{\infty}} t^{\sigma-1} |A_t| dt.$$

The change of variable  $t = \tau ||w_q||_{\infty}$  produces

$$\int_{0}^{\|w_{q}\|_{\infty}} \left( \|w_{q}\|_{\infty} - t \right)^{\frac{N(p-1)}{p}} t^{\sigma - 1} dt = \|w_{q}\|_{\infty}^{\frac{N(p-1) + \sigma p}{p}} \int_{0}^{1} (1 - \tau)^{\frac{N(p-1)}{p}} \tau^{\sigma - 1} d\tau.$$
(28)

Since we have

$$\int_0^1 (1-\tau)^{\frac{N(p-1)}{p}} \tau^{\sigma-1} d\tau \ge (1/2)^{\frac{N(p-1)}{p}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \tau^{\sigma-1} d\tau = \frac{2^{-\frac{N(p-1)+\sigma p}{p}}}{\sigma},$$

combining this with (27) and (28) produces (20).

We remark from Theorem 6 that

$$\lim_{q \to p^*} C_q = \left(\frac{p}{p + N(p-1)}\right)^{N+1} < 1.$$
 (29)

Thus it follows from the monotonicity of the function  $q \mapsto |\Omega|^{\frac{p}{q}} \lambda_q$  that both  $C_q$  and  $(C_q)^{-1}$  are bounded.

**Corollary 8** *If*  $1 \le q \le p^* - \epsilon$ , then

$$|\Omega|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \le ||w_a||_{\infty} \le \mathcal{C}_{\epsilon} \tag{30}$$

where  $C_{\epsilon}$  is a positive constant that depends on  $\epsilon$  but not on q.

**Proof.** The first inequality is trivial, since  $||w_q||_q = 1$ . Let us suppose that  $1 \le q \le p$ . It follows from (20) with  $\sigma = 1$  that

$$2^{-\frac{N(p-1)+p}{p}}C_{q}\left\|w_{q}\right\|_{\infty}^{\frac{N(p-q)+p}{p}}\leq\left\|w_{q}\right\|_{1}\leq\left|\Omega\right|^{\frac{q-1}{q}}\left\|w_{q}\right\|_{a}=\left|\Omega\right|^{\frac{q-1}{q}}.$$

Thus,

$$\left\|w_q
ight\|_{\infty} \leq \widetilde{\mathcal{A}} := \max_{1 \leq q \leq p} \left(rac{2^{rac{N(p-1)+p}{p}}\left|\Omega
ight|^{rac{q-1}{q}}}{C_q}
ight)^{rac{p}{p+N(p-q)}}.$$

Now, let us consider  $p \le q \le p^* - \epsilon$ . Then, by making  $\sigma = q$  in (20) we obtain

$$2^{-\frac{N(p-1)+qp}{p}}C_{q}\left\|w_{q}\right\|_{\infty}^{\frac{N(p-q)+qp}{p}} \leq \left\|w_{q}\right\|_{q}^{q} = 1.$$

that is,

$$\|w_q\|_{\infty} \leq \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\epsilon} := \max_{p \leq q \leq p^{\star} - \epsilon} \left[ \frac{2^{\frac{N(p-1) + qp}{p}}}{C_q} \right]^{\frac{p}{(N-p)(p^{\star} - q)}},$$

since 
$$N(p-q)+qp=(N-p)(p^*-q)$$
.  
Therefore,  $\|w_q\|_{\infty} \leq \max\left\{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\epsilon}\right\} := \mathcal{C}_{\epsilon}$ .

Note from (29) that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\epsilon} \to \infty$  as  $\epsilon \to 0^+$ .

# 4 Absolute continuity

In this section we prove our main result: the absolute continuity of the function  $q\mapsto \lambda_q$  in the closed interval  $[1,p^\star]$ . For this we first prove the Lipschitz continuity of the function  $q\mapsto |\Omega|^{\frac{p}{q}}\,\lambda_q$  in each close interval of the form  $[1,p^\star-\epsilon]$ . Obviously, this is equivalent to the Lipschitz continuity of the function  $q\mapsto \lambda_q$  in same interval.

**Theorem 9** For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive constant  $\mathcal{L}_{\epsilon}$  such that

$$\left| |\Omega|^{\frac{p}{s}} \lambda_s - |\Omega|^{\frac{p}{q}} \lambda_q \right| \le \mathcal{L}_{\epsilon} |s - q|$$

for all  $s, q \in [1, p^* - \epsilon]$ .

**Proof.** Without loss of generality let us suppose that s < q. Thus, the monotonicity of the function  $\tau \mapsto |\Omega|^{\frac{p}{\tau}} \lambda_{\tau}$  implies

$$\left|\left|\Omega\right|^{\frac{p}{s}}\lambda_{s}-\left|\Omega\right|^{\frac{p}{q}}\lambda_{q}\right|=\left|\Omega\right|^{\frac{p}{s}}\lambda_{s}-\left|\Omega\right|^{\frac{p}{q}}\lambda_{q}.$$

Take  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  so that  $s \le t \le q$ . It follows from (20) with  $\sigma = 1$  that

$$2^{-\frac{N(p-1)+p}{p}}C_{q}\|w_{q}\|_{\infty}^{1+\frac{N(p-q)}{p}} \leq \|w_{q}\|_{1} \leq |\Omega|^{1-\frac{1}{t}}\|w_{q}\|_{t}$$

and therefore

$$\frac{|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{t}} \|w_q\|_{\infty}}{\|w_q\|_t} \leq \frac{2^{\frac{N(p-1)+p}{p}} |\Omega|}{C_q \|w_q\|_{\infty}^{\frac{N(p-q)}{p}}}.$$

Hence, for  $1 \le q \le p$  the first inequality in (30) gives

$$\frac{|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{t}} \left\| w_q \right\|_{\infty}}{\left\| w_q \right\|_{t}} \leq \frac{2^{\frac{N(p-1)+p}{p}} |\Omega|}{C_q \left\| w_q \right\|_{\infty}^{\frac{N(p-q)}{p}}} \leq \mathcal{A} := 2^{\frac{N(p-1)+p}{p}} \max_{1 \leq q \leq p} \frac{|\Omega|^{1+\frac{N(p-q)}{pq}}}{C_q}$$

while for  $p \le q \le p^* - \epsilon$  the second inequality in (30) gives

$$\frac{|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{t}}\left\|w_q\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|w_q\right\|_{t}} \leq \frac{2^{\frac{N(p-1)+p}{p}}\left|\Omega\right|}{C_q}\left\|w_q\right\|_{\infty}^{\frac{N(q-p)}{p}} \leq \mathcal{B}_{\epsilon} := 2^{\frac{N(p-1)+p}{p}}\left|\Omega\right| \max_{p \leq q \leq p^{\star}-\epsilon} \frac{\mathcal{C}_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N(q-p)}{p}}}{C_q}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\left|\Omega\right|^{\frac{1}{t}}\left\|w_{q}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|w_{q}\right\|_{t}} \leq \mathcal{D}_{\epsilon} := \max\left\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}_{\epsilon}\right\}. \tag{31}$$

Thus,

$$K(t, w_q) = \frac{t \int_{\Omega} |w_q|^t \ln |w_q| dx}{\|w_q\|_t^t} + t \ln \left(\frac{|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{t}}}{\|w_q\|_t}\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{t (\ln \|w_q\|_{\infty}) \int_{\Omega} |w_q|^t dx}{\|w_q\|_t^t} + t \ln \left(\frac{|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{t}}}{\|w_q\|_t}\right) = t \ln \left(\frac{|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{t}} \|w_q\|_{\infty}}{\|w_q\|_t}\right) \leq t \mathcal{D}_{\epsilon}$$

and we obtain

$$\exp\left(p\int_{s}^{q}\frac{K(t,w_{q})}{t^{2}}dt\right)\leq \exp\left(p\mathcal{D}_{\epsilon}\int_{s}^{q}\frac{dt}{t}\right)=\left(\frac{q}{s}\right)^{p\mathcal{D}_{\epsilon}}.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} |\Omega|^{\frac{p}{s}} \lambda_s &\leq |\Omega|^{\frac{p}{s}} \mathcal{R}(w_q) \\ &= |\Omega|^{\frac{p}{q}} \mathcal{R}(w_q) \exp\left(p \int_s^q \frac{K(t, w_q)}{t^2} dt\right) = |\Omega|^{\frac{p}{q}} \lambda_q \exp\left(p \int_s^q \frac{K(t, w_q)}{t^2} dt\right) \end{aligned}$$

yields

$$\begin{split} |\Omega|^{\frac{p}{s}} \lambda_{s} - |\Omega|^{\frac{p}{q}} \lambda_{q} &\leq |\Omega|^{\frac{p}{q}} \lambda_{q} \left[ \exp\left(p \int_{s}^{q} \frac{K(t, w_{q})}{t^{2}} dt\right) - 1 \right] \\ &\leq |\Omega|^{p} \lambda_{1} \left[ \exp\left(p \int_{s}^{q} \frac{K(t, w_{q})}{t^{2}} dt\right) - 1 \right] \leq |\Omega|^{p} \lambda_{1} \left[ \left(\frac{q}{s}\right)^{p\mathcal{D}_{\epsilon}} - 1 \right]. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$0 < \frac{|\Omega|^{\frac{p}{s}} \lambda_s - |\Omega|^{\frac{p}{q}} \lambda_q}{q - s} \le \frac{|\Omega|^p \lambda_1}{s} \frac{\left(\frac{q}{s}\right)^{p\mathcal{D}_{\epsilon}} - 1}{\frac{q}{s} - 1} \le |\Omega|^p \lambda_1 H(\frac{q}{s})$$

where

$$H(\xi) = \frac{\xi^{p\mathcal{D}_{\epsilon}} - 1}{\xi - 1}; \qquad 1 \le \xi \le p^* - \epsilon.$$

Since  $\lim_{\xi \to 1^+} H(\xi) = p\mathcal{D}_{\epsilon}$ , we conclude that H is bounded in  $[1, p^* - \epsilon]$  and thus

$$\frac{\exp\left(p\int_{s}^{q}\frac{K(t,w_{q})}{t^{2}}dt\right)-1}{q-s}\leq\mathcal{L}_{\epsilon}:=\max_{1\leq\xi\leq p^{\star}-\epsilon}H(\xi).$$

Hence, for  $1 \le s < q \le p^* - \epsilon$  we have

$$\left|\left|\Omega\right|^{\frac{p}{s}}\lambda_{s}-\left|\Omega\right|^{\frac{p}{q}}\lambda_{q}\right|=\left|\Omega\right|^{\frac{p}{s}}\lambda_{s}-\left|\Omega\right|^{\frac{p}{q}}\lambda_{q}\leq\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}\left(q-s\right)=\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}\left|s-q\right|.$$

**Theorem 10** *The function*  $q \mapsto \lambda_q$  *is absolutely continuous in*  $[1, p^*]$  .

**Proof.** According to Corollary 3 the function  $q\mapsto \lambda_q$  is of bounded variation. Therefore, its derivative  $(\lambda_q)'$  exists almost everywhere in  $[1,p^\star]$  and it is Lebesgue integrable in this interval. Thus, Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$\lim_{q \to p^*} \int_1^q (\lambda_s)' ds = \int_1^{p^*} (\lambda_s)' ds. \tag{32}$$

On the other hand, since Lipschtiz continuity implies absolute continuity it follows from Theorem 9 that  $\lambda_q$  is absolutely continuous in each interval of the form  $[1, p^* - \epsilon]$ . Therefore,

$$\lambda_q = \lambda_1 + \int_1^q (\lambda_s)' ds, \text{ for } 1 \le q < p^*.$$
 (33)

Hence, the left-continuity (18) combined with (32) imply that (33) is also valid for  $q = p^*$ . We have concluded that  $\lambda_q$  is the indefinite integral of a Lebesgue integrable (its derivative) function what guarantees that  $\lambda_q$  is absolutely continuous.

## References

- [1] P. F. Antonietti, A. Pratelli, *Finite element approximation of the Sobolev constant*, Numer. Math. **117** (2011) 37–64.
- [2] T. Aubin, *Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev*, J. Differ. Geom. **11** (1976), 573–598.
- [3] C. Bandle, *Rayleigh-Faber-Krahn inequalities and quasilinear elliptic boundary value problems*, Nonlinear analysis and applications: to V. Lakshmikantham on his 80th birthday. Vol. 1,2, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2003, p.p 227–240.
- [4] H. Bueno and G. Ercole, *Solutions of the Cheeger problem via torsion functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **381** (2011) 263–279
- [5] A. Caboussat, R. Glowinski and A. Leornar, *Looking for the best constant in a Sobolev inequality: a numerical approach*, Calcolo **47** (2010) 211–238.
- [6] Y. X. Huang, A note on the asymptotic behavior of positive solutions for some elliptic equation, Nonlinear Analysis TMA **29** (1997), 533–537.
- [7] B. Kawohl, *On a family of torsional creep problems*, J. reine angew. Math. **410** (1990), 1–22.
- [8] O. Ladyzhenskaya and N. Ural'tseva, Linear and Quasilinear Elliptic Equations, Academic Press, New York-London, 1968.
- [9] G. Talenti, Best constant in Sobolev inequality, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 110 (1976), 353–372.