

Multilevel correction for collocation solutions of Volterra integral equations with proportional delays

Junmin Xiao * Qiya Hu†

Abstract. In this paper we propose a convergence acceleration method for collocation solutions of the linear second-kind Volterra integral equations with proportional delay qt ($0 < q < 1$). This convergence acceleration method called multilevel correction method is based on a kind of hybrid mesh, which can be viewed as a combination between the geometric meshes and the uniform meshes. It will be shown that, when the collocation solutions are continuous piecewise polynomials whose degrees are less than or equal to m ($m \leq 2$), the global accuracy of k level corrected approximation is $O(N^{-(2m(k+1)-\varepsilon)})$, where N is the number of the nodes, and ε is an arbitrary small positive number.

Keywords. delay integral equation, geometric mesh, collocation method, superconvergence, high order interpolation operator, multilevel correction, hybrid meshes.

AMS subject classification. 65R20, 34K06, 34K28

*Institute of Computational Mathematics and Scientific/Engineering Computing, Academy of Mathematical and System Sciences, The Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China.(xiaojm@lsec.cc.ac.cn).

†Correspondence author: LSEC, Institute of Computational Mathematics and Scientific/Engineering Computing, Academy of Mathematical and System Sciences, The Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China. This author was supported by Natural Science Foundation of China G10771178, The Key Project of Natural Science Foundation of China G11031006 and National Basic Research Program of China G2011309702. (hgy@lsec.cc.ac.cn).

1 Introduction

We consider a convergence acceleration method for collocation solution of the Volterra integral equation with vanishing variable delay

$$u(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t k_1(t, s, u(s))ds + \int_0^{\theta(t)} k_2(t, s, u(s))ds, \quad t \in J := [0, T], \quad (1.1)$$

where $\theta(t) := t - \tau(t) \geq 0$ is such that the continuous delay τ satisfies $\tau(0) = 0$. Equation (1.1) includes an important special case (see [7, 16]) where τ is the proportional delay $\tau(t) = (1 - q)t$ with $0 < q < 1$, i.e.,

$$u(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t k_1(t, s, u(s))ds + \int_0^{qt} k_2(t, s, u(s))ds, \quad t \in J := [0, T]. \quad (1.2)$$

There are many literature to study delay functional equations frequently encountered in physical and biological processes, see, for example, [19]-[21], [26] and [28], [30] and [33]. The analysis to the second-kind Volterra integral equations with proportional delays dates back to the works in [38](pp. 92-101), [1] and [17]. Some more recent results on this subject can be found in [14], [16] and [32]. During the past decade, numerical methods for (1.1) or (1.2) has attracted wide attention of many researchers. Various numerical methods for (1.1) have been introduced such as quadrature method [3], iterated collocation method [6, 11], Euler-type method [31] and spectral method [2, 37]. Numerical methods for functional integral and integro-differential equations of Volterra type have been summarized in [8].

It is well known that Sloan iteration first proposed in [34] can greatly raise the convergence rate of projection-type solutions of compact operator equations. The convergence of Sloan iteration for integral equations with smooth kernel can be further improved by convergence acceleration methods such as extrapolation method and correction method (see, for example, [27] and [35]). Two kinds of multilevel correction methods for collocation solutions of Fredholm integro-differential equations and for discrete collocation solutions of the Volterra integral equations with constant delay were introduced in [24] and [25], respectively. For the multilevel correction method, the convergence rate of the multilevel corrected approximation is much higher than that of the original collocation approximation. This means that the multilevel correction method has significant advantages for reducing the cost of calculation and improving the computational efficiency. In the present paper, we try to develop a multilevel correction method for collocation approximation of the equation (1.2).

It is well known that for the classical Volterra integral equations ($k_2 \equiv 0$ in (1.2)) the iterated collocation solution associated with piecewise $(m - 1)$ st degree polynomial spline collocation based on a uniform mesh possesses the optimal superconvergence order $2m$ at

the nodes of the mesh, provided that the collocation parameters are chosen as the m Gauss points in $(0, 1)$. However, it has been shown in [7] and [36] that these superconvergence properties on uniform meshes do not carry over to equation (1.1) ($k_2 \neq 0$). In fact, it can be seen from [7] and [36] that for this kind of delay integral equation the optimal (local) superconvergence order p^* is at most $p^* = 2m - 1$. Fortunately, an important observation was fall to be escaped. It has been proved in [13] that a properly chosen *geometric meshes*, which is similar to the meshes introduced in [4, 23], can generate iterated collocation solutions possessing the almost optimal local superconvergence order $p^* = 2m - \varepsilon$ at all mesh points (see also [11]). It is certain that we can consider multilevel correction method for the collocation solutions based on such *geometric meshes*. In order to develop a multilevel correction method, we need to construct a *high order interpolation* operator which must be uniform bounded. However, we find that the high order interpolation operator defined on *geometric meshes* is not uniform bounded yet. Therefore, we need to make the change of the distribution of grid points.

In the present paper we introduce a kind of *hybrid mesh*, which can be viewed as a combination between the geometric meshes and the uniform meshes. We find that not only the *hybrid mesh* can generate collocation solutions possessing the almost optimal local superconvergence as *geometric meshes*, but also the high order interpolation operator defined on such *hybrid mesh* is uniform bounded. It will be shown that when the collocation solutions are continuous piecewise polynomials whose degrees are less than or equal to m ($m \leq 2$), the global accuracy of k time corrected approximation is $O(N^{-(2m(k+1)-\varepsilon)})$, where N is the number of the nodes and ε is an arbitrary small positive number.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we describe the main result about multilevel correction for collocation solutions of the linear version of the equation (1.2). In section 3, we analyze the properties of the collocation method and the high order interpolation operator based on hybrid meshes. Then we prove a few of auxiliary results. In section 4, the proof of main results is given. In section 5, some numerical results are reported to confirm the theoretical result.

2 Main result

The theoretical analysis of the equation (1.2) will be carried out in the Banach space $C^n[a, b]$ of n times differentiable and continuous functions being real-valued on $[a, b]$. When $y(t)$ is k times differentiable, $y^{(k)}(t)$ coincides with the usual notion of derivative: $y^{(k)}(t) =$

$D_t^k y(t) = d^k y/dt^k$. This space is equipped with uniform norm

$$\|y\|_{n,\infty,[a,b]} = \sup_{\substack{a \leq t \leq b \\ 0 \leq k \leq n}} |y^{(k)}(t)|, \quad \forall y \in C^n[a,b]. \quad (2.1)$$

Assume that the given function $f \in C^{2p+2}[0, T]$, $K_i \in C^{2p+2}(\Omega)$ ($i = 1, 2$) where $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$, $\Omega_1 := \{(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$, $\Omega_2 := \{(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq qt, t \in J\}$ and p is a nonnegative integer (see also [7]). We consider the numerical methods for solving the linear version of the equation (1.2),

$$u(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t K_1(t, s)u(s)ds + \int_0^{qt} K_2(t, s)u(s)ds, \quad t \in J, \quad (2.2)$$

where $0 < q < 1$. It has been shown in [5] and [13] that the integral equation (2.2) has a unique solution $u \in C^{2p+2}[0, T]$.

2.1 The collocation method for solving Volterra integral equation

Let \mathbf{N} denote the set of all positive integers. For any $N \in \mathbf{N}$, let $\tilde{J}_N: 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = T$ denote a mesh (or partition) on the given interval J , and set $e_n := [t_{n-1}, t_n]$, $h_n := t_n - t_{n-1}$ ($n = 1, \dots, N$), $h := \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} h_n$. The finite-dimensional collocation space on the meshes \tilde{J}_N is defined as

$$S_m^{(0)}(\tilde{J}_N) := \{v : v \in C(J), v|_{e_n} \in P_m(e_n) \ (n = 1, \dots, N)\},$$

where $m \in \mathbf{N}$ satisfying $m \geq 1$ and $P_m(e_n)$ denotes the set of polynomials defined on e_n , whose degree is less than or equal to m .

The collocation method for solving Volterra integral equation (2.2) concentrates on looking for $\tilde{u}_h \in S_m^{(0)}(\tilde{J}_N)$ satisfying

$$\tilde{u}_h(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t K_1(t, s)\tilde{u}_h(s)ds + \int_0^{qt} K_2(t, s)\tilde{u}_h(s)ds, \quad t \in \tilde{X}_n \ (1 \leq n \leq N), \quad (2.3)$$

where $\tilde{X}_n := \{t_{n,j} := t_{n-1} + c_j h_n, 0 = c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_m < c_{m+1} = 1\}$ ($n = 1, \dots, N$). The set $\tilde{X}(N) := \bigcup_{n=1}^N \tilde{X}_n$ will be referred to as the set of collocation points, which is completely determined by the given mesh \tilde{J}_N and the collocation parameters $\{c_j\}_{j=1}^{m+1}$.

2.2 Multilevel correction for collocation solution

In the subsection, we introduce a multilevel correction method. For convenience, we define operators \tilde{Q}_h and $\bar{\pi}$, which will be referred to repeatedly below. For any function $y \in C(J)$, we set

$$f_y(t) = y(t) - \int_0^t K_1(t, s)y(s)ds - \int_0^{qt} K_2(t, s)y(s)ds.$$

The sequence of collocation operators $\tilde{Q}_h : C(J) \rightarrow S_m^{(0)}(\tilde{J}_N)$ is defined as $\tilde{Q}_h y \in S_m^{(0)}(\tilde{J}_N)$, which is the unique solution of the discrete system:

$$\tilde{Q}_h y(t) = f_y(t) + \int_0^t K_1(t, s) \tilde{Q}_h y(s) ds + \int_0^{qt} K_2(t, s) \tilde{Q}_h y(s) ds, \quad t \in \tilde{X}_n \quad (1 \leq n \leq N). \quad (2.4)$$

Using such definition we know that the collocation solution \tilde{u}_h defined by (2.3) can be written as $\tilde{u}_h = \tilde{Q}_h u$, with u being the analytic solution of the equation (2.2).

A multilevel collocation method will involve a higher order interpolation operator $\bar{\pi}$. Let the collocation parameters are chosen as Lobatto points. We define $\bar{\pi}$ as the sequence of interpolation operators such that $\bar{\pi} y(t_n) = y(t_n)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots, N$) for any $y \in C(J)$ and $\bar{\pi} y(t)$ is a piecewise polynomial of higher order which is completely determined by $y(t_n)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots, N$). The detailed definition of $\bar{\pi}$ will be stated below.

Throughout this paper we let C_r^i denote the combination number defined as usual, where i is a non-negative integer and r is a positive integer, which satisfy $i \leq r$.

Let u denote the analytic solution of the equation (2.2), and define

$$\tilde{u}_{h,k} = (-1)^k \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j C_{k+1}^j (\bar{\pi} \tilde{Q}_h)^{k-j} \tilde{\pi} \tilde{u}_h = (-1)^k \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j C_{k+1}^j (\bar{\pi} \tilde{Q}_h)^{k-j} \bar{\pi} \tilde{Q}_h u.$$

In general the approximation $\tilde{u}_{h,k}$ has a faster convergence than the original collocation solution \tilde{u}_h . But the convergence rate of $\tilde{u}_{h,k}$ (and \tilde{u}_h) depends on the meshes \tilde{J}_N . Because of this we need to discuss how to choose a suitable meshes \tilde{J}_N .

2.3 From geometric meshes to hybrid meshes

We first recall the geometric meshes introduced in [13] and [23].

Definition 2.1. *The meshes $\{\tilde{J}_N\}_{N \geq 2}$ is called a sequence of geometric meshes if the mesh points $\{t_n\} = \{t_n^{(N)}\}$ satisfy*

$$t_n = t_n^{(N)} = d^{N-n} T, \quad n = 1, \dots, N, \quad (2.5)$$

where d ($0 < d < 1$; d is independent of n) remains to be determined.

Remark 2.1. *Note that the mesh diameter h is given by $h_N = T(1 - d)$. To guarantee h satisfying that $h \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, we require $d \rightarrow 1$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore d will depend on N .*

Since $h_2/h_1 = (t_2 - t_1)/t_1 - t_0 = d/1 - d \rightarrow \infty$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ ($d \rightarrow 1$) by the definition of geometric meshes, not all Lagrange interpolation basic functions defined by geometric meshes are uniform bounded. Therefore the error estimation of the high order interpolation operator $\bar{\pi}$ is not optimal. To make the interpolation operator $\bar{\pi}$ available, we set $2p$ new

points $t_{1,1}, t_{1,2}, \dots, t_{1,2p}$ in (t_0, t_1) and define $\bar{\pi}y(t)$ on $[t_0, t_1]$ as a $2p+1$ order polynomial which is determined by the values of $y(t)$ at the points $\{t_0, t_{1,1}, t_{1,2}, \dots, t_{1,2p}, t_1\}$. That is to say, we have to define $\bar{\pi}y(t)$ on $[t_0, t_1]$ and $[t_1, t_N]$ respectively. As we will see, the high order interpolation operator $\bar{\pi}$ based on such meshes is uniform bounded. Set $t_{1,0} = t_0$ and $t_{1,2p+1} = t_1$. Since $[t_0, t_1]$ is partitioned by the new points, the set of collocation points \tilde{X}_1 must be changed into $X_1 = \bigcup_{k=1}^{2p+1} X_{1,k}$ where

$$X_{1,k} := \{t_{1,k,j} := t_{1,k-1} + c_j(t_{1,k} - t_{1,k-1}), 0 = c_1 < \dots < c_{m+1} = 1\} (k = 1, \dots, 2p+1).$$

This means that the collocation method is carried out on the set $X_1 \cup (\bigcup_{n=2}^N \tilde{X}_n)$.

Let $J_N : 0 = t_0 = t_{1,0} < t_{1,1} < t_{1,2} < \dots < t_{1,2p} < t_{1,2p+1} = t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_N = T$ be the new meshes on J , and let Z_N denote the set of the nodes except 0

$$Z_N = \{t_{1,1}, \dots, t_{1,2p+1}, t_2, \dots, t_N\}.$$

Definition 2.2. *The meshes $\{J_N\}_{N \geq 2}$ introduced above is called a sequence of hybrid meshes, if the nodes $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^N$ is defined by the geometric meshes on J , and the nodes $\{t_{1,k}\}_{k=1}^{2p} \subset [t_0, t_1]$ is defined by the uniform meshes on $[t_0, t_1]$.*

2.4 Multilevel correction based on the hybrid meshes

Set

$$e_{1,k} := [t_{1,k-1}, t_{1,k}], \quad h_{1,k} := t_{1,k} - t_{1,k-1} \quad (1 \leq k \leq 2p+1)$$

and

$$e_n := [t_{n-1}, t_n], \quad h_n := t_n - t_{n-1} \quad (1 \leq n \leq N).$$

Consider the finite-dimensional collocation spaces on the meshes J_N

$$S_m^{(0)}(J_N) := \{v \in C(J) : v|_{e_{1,k}} \in P_m(e_{1,k}) (1 \leq k \leq 2p+1), v|_{e_n} \in P_m(e_n) (2 \leq n \leq N)\},$$

where $m \in \mathbf{N}$, $P_m(e_{1,k})$ and $P_m(e_n)$ denote the set of polynomials defined on $e_{1,k}$ and e_n respectively, whose degrees are less than or equal to m . We are looking for $u_h \in S_m^{(0)}(J_N)$ satisfying

$$u_h(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t K_1(t, s)u_h(s)ds + \int_0^{qt} K_2(t, s)u_h(s)ds, \quad t \in X_n (1 \leq n \leq N), \quad (2.6)$$

where $X_1 := \bigcup_{k=1}^{2p+1} X_{1,k}$ with

$$X_{1,k} := \{t_{1,k,j} := t_{1,k-1} + c_j h_{1,k}, 0 = c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_m < c_{m+1} = 1\} (1 \leq k \leq 2p+1),$$

and

$$X_n := \{t_{n,j} := t_{n-1} + c_j h_n, 0 = c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_m < c_{m+1} = 1\} (2 \leq n \leq N).$$

The set $X(N) := \bigcup_{n=1}^N X_n$ is referred to as the set of collocation points, which is completely determined by the given meshes J_N and the collocation parameters $\{c_j\}_{j=1}^{m+1}$.

The collocation equation (2.6) defines a unique approximation $u_h \in S_m^{(0)}(J_N)$ whenever the mesh diameter defined below is sufficiently small. As for classical Volterra integral equations, the approximation u_h will be generated recursively by successive computation of its restrictions $u_h^{1,1}, \dots, u_h^{1,2p+1}, u_h^2, \dots, u_h^N$ on the subintervals $e_{1,1}, \dots, e_{1,2p+1}, e_2, \dots, e_N$ given by the mesh J_N (compare also [15]).

According to the definition of hybrid meshes, the following two assumptions are supposed to hold in the subsequent analysis.

A_1 : Let κ be the maximal positive integer satisfying $q^{\frac{1}{\kappa}} \leq (1 - \frac{(2p+2)\ln N}{(m+2)N})$, namely,

$$\kappa := \left\lceil \frac{\ln q}{\ln(1 - \frac{(2p+2)\ln N}{(m+1)N})} \right\rceil.$$

For a fixed $q \in (0, 1)$, we have $\kappa \geq 1$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. For such κ , the parameter d in the equation (2.5) is chosen as $d = q^{\frac{1}{\kappa}}$. Set $t_n = t_n^{(N)} = d^{N-n}T$ ($n = 1, \dots, N$).

A_2 : A uniform partition is further made on $[0, t_1]$, and new nodes $t_{1,k} \in [0, t_1]$ are generated by $t_{1,k} = \frac{k \cdot t_1}{2p+1}$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, 2p+1$).

Set

$$h := \max_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq 2p+1 \\ 2 \leq n \leq N}} \{h_{1,k}, h_n\}.$$

It is easy to check that h satisfies $h = h_N = T(1 - d) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

For ease of notation, we define the operator $K : C(J) \rightarrow C(J)$ by setting

$$Ky(t) := \int_0^t K_1(t, s)y(s)ds + \int_0^{qt} K_2(t, s)y(s)ds, \quad t \in J, \quad \forall y \in C(J).$$

For the new triangulation (hybrid meshes) J_N , we define the sequence of collocation operators $Q_h : C(J) \rightarrow S_m^{(0)}(J_N)$ as follow: for $\forall y \in C(J)$, $Q_h y$ is the unique solution of the discrete system

$$(I - K)Q_h y(t) = f_y(t), \quad \forall t \in X(N), \quad (2.7)$$

where $f_y = (I - K)y$ and I is the identity operator. With the collocation operator Q_h , we have $u_h = Q_h u$ (compare a similar relation given in Subsection 2.2).

Let N' be chosen as $N' = \lfloor \frac{N-1}{2p+1} \rfloor + 1$, and set $\tilde{N}' = (2p+1)(N' - 2)$. Let J be divided into N' subinterval $\{\sigma_r\}$ such that each σ_r ($r = 1, 2, \dots, N' - 1$) contains $2p+2$ points in Z_N , and $\sigma_{N'}$ contains $N - \tilde{N}'$ points in Z_N . Then,

$$\sigma_1 = [t_0, t_1], \quad \sigma_r = [t_{(2p+1)(r-2)+1}, t_{(2p+1)(r-1)+1}] \quad (2 \leq r \leq N') \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{N'} = [t_{\tilde{N}'+1}, t_N].$$

It is easy to see that $N - \tilde{N}' \geq 2p + 2$.

Define

$$S(p, Z_N) = \{v \in C(J) : v|_{\sigma_r} \in P_{2p+1}(\sigma_r), r = 1, \dots, N' - 1, v|_{\sigma_{N'}} \in P_{N-\tilde{N}'-1}(\sigma_{N'})\}.$$

Let $\bar{\pi} : C(J) \rightarrow S(p, Z_N)$ denote the sequence of the high order interpolation operators such that $\bar{\pi}y(t) = y(t)$ for $t \in Z_N$ and $y \in C(J)$.

In this paper the collocation parameters $\{c_j\}_{j=1}^{m+1}$ are chosen as the $m+1$ Lobatto points on $[0, 1]$. Let k be a nonnegative integer. For the higher order interpolation operators $\bar{\pi}$ introduced above, define k level corrected collocation solution of the equation (2.2)

$$u_{h,k} = (-1)^k \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j C_{k+1}^j (\bar{\pi}Q_h)^{k-j} \bar{\pi}Q_h u = (-1)^k \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j C_{k+1}^j (\bar{\pi}Q_h)^{k-j} \bar{\pi}u_h. \quad (2.8)$$

Note that, when $k = 0$, we have $u_{h,k} = \bar{\pi}Q_h u = \bar{\pi}u_h$.

The approximation $u_{h,k}$ can be regarded as a proper linear combination of the functions

$$u_{h,k}^j = (\bar{\pi}Q_h)^{k-j} \bar{\pi}Q_h u \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, k).$$

The j th approximation $u_{h,k}^j$ can be obtained by the following steps: 1) Obtaining the collocation solution $u_h = Q_h u$ defined by the system (2.6); 2) Acting $\bar{\pi}$ on $Q_h u$ to get the interpolation approximation; 3) Acting $\bar{\pi}Q_h$ on $\bar{\pi}Q_h u$ for $k - j$ times repeatedly.

Remark 2.2. *The reason why the collocation parameters are chosen as Lobatto points rather than Gauss points is that the high order interpolation operator $\bar{\pi}$ is defined on the nodes Z_N . This means that $\bar{\pi}u_h(t)$ should be determined uniquely by the values of $u_h(t)$ on Z_N , so the collocation solution $u_h(t)$ must be continuous on the nodes.*

As usual, let C denote a generic constant independent of the meshes J_N , which may has different values at different places.

The following result gives a superconvergence of the multilevel correction approximation $u_{h,k}$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $m \leq 2$, and let the meshes J_N be defined by the assumptions A_1 and A_2 . Assume that the functions f, K_i ($i = 1, 2$) have the smoothness $f \in C^{2p+2}(J)$, $K_i \in C^{2p+2}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$, $\Omega_1 := \{(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$ and $\Omega_2 := \{(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq qt, t \in J\}$. Let k be a nonnegative integer satisfying $2m(k+1) \leq 2p+2$. Then the k level corrected collocation solution $u_{h,k}$ possesses the superconvergence*

$$\|u_{h,k} - u\|_{0,\infty,J} \leq CN^{-(2(k+1)m-\varepsilon_N)}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.9)$$

where ε_N is an arbitrarily small positive number satisfying $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_N = 0$.

Remark 2.3. *Theorem 2.1 indicates that the multilevel correction approximation $u_{h,k}$ possesses very high accuracy, even if both m and k are small, for example, $m = 1$ and $k = 2$.*

3 Auxiliary Results

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is a bit technical. To give the proof, we first, in the section, investigate some properties of the collocation method and the high order interpolation operator based on hybrid meshes.

3.1 Collocation method based on hybrid meshes

In this subsection, we give some properties associated with the collocation method based on hybrid meshes. The following three Lemmas can be verified as in [13].

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that A_1 holds. Let k denote any positive integer. Then, for $N \geq 2$:*

$$(i) \quad h_1 \leq CN^{-\frac{2p+2}{m+2}}; \quad (3.1)$$

$$(ii) \quad \sum_{n=2}^N (h_n)^{k+1} \leq CN^{-(k-\varepsilon_{N,k})}, \quad (3.2)$$

with

$$\varepsilon_{N,k} := \log_N \left(\frac{((2p+2)(\ln N)^2)^k}{(m+2)^k} \right).$$

Here, $\varepsilon_{N,k}$ is an arbitrarily small positive number satisfying $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{N,k} = 0$.

□

Lemma 3.2. *For $\kappa + 1 \leq n \leq N$, we have $qt_n = t_{n-\kappa} \in Z_N$. Here, κ is defined in the assumption A_1 .*

□

Lemma 3.3. *Let A_1 and A_2 hold. Assume that the functions f and K_i ($i = 1, 2$) satisfy $f \in C^{2p+2}(J)$, $K_i \in C^{2p+2}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$, $\Omega_1 := \{(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$ and $\Omega_2 := \{(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq qt, t \in J\}$. Then*

$$\|u_h - u\|_{0,\infty,J} \leq Ch^m \|u\|_{m,\infty,J} \quad (3.3)$$

□

Let $\mathcal{E}_{N,p}$ denote the set of the elements $e_{1,k}$ ($1 \leq k \leq 2p+1$) and e_n ($1 \leq n \leq N$). In the rest of this paper, we always use σ to denote any element in $\mathcal{E}_{N,p}$.

Let $\pi_h : C(J) \rightarrow S_m^{(0)}(J_N)$ denote the sequence of interpolation operators such that

$$\pi_h v(t_{1,k,j}) = v(t_{1,k,j}) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_h v(t_{n,j}) = v(t_{n,j}), \quad \forall v \in C(J).$$

$$(k = 1, \dots, 2p+1; n = 2, \dots, N; j = 1, \dots, m+1)$$

It is well known that the following inequalities hold for each element σ

$$\|\pi_h v\|_{0,\infty,\sigma} \leq C \|v\|_{0,\infty,\sigma}, \quad \forall v \in C(J), \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\|(\pi_h - I)v\|_{j,\infty,\sigma} \leq Ch_\sigma^{k-j} \|v\|_{k,\infty,\sigma}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k \leq m, \quad (3.5)$$

where $h_\sigma := \text{means}(\sigma)$ denotes the length of interval σ .

The following two Lemmas are the standard results in the superconvergence theory of integral equations. They can be proved by the method in [18] and [22].

Lemma 3.4. *For $1 \leq k \leq 2m$, assume that $\psi \in C^m(\sigma)$ and $\varphi \in C^k(\sigma)$. If the collocation parameters $\{c_j\}_{j=1}^{m+1}$ are chosen as the $m+1$ Lobatto points in $[0, 1]$, then the following estimate is valid for each element σ*

$$\left| \int_\sigma \psi(t)(\pi_h - I)\varphi(t) dt \right| \leq Ch_\sigma^{k+1} \|\psi\|_{m,\infty,\sigma} \cdot \|\varphi\|_{k,\infty,\sigma}. \quad (3.6)$$

□

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that p and m are two non-negative integers such that $m \leq p$. Let $\varphi \in C^{2p+2}(\sigma)$ and $\psi \in C^{2p+2-m}(\sigma)$. Then we have for each element σ*

$$\int_\sigma (\pi_h - I)\varphi \cdot \psi dt = \sum_{j=m}^p h_\sigma^{2j} \sum_{i=m+1}^{2j} C_{i,j} \int_\sigma D_t^{2j-i} (D_t^i \varphi \cdot \psi) dt + O(h_\sigma^{2p+3}), \quad (3.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\sigma D_t^\alpha (\pi_h - I)\varphi \cdot \psi dt &= \sum_{r=1}^{\alpha} \sum_{j=\alpha_1}^{\alpha_2} h_\sigma^{2j} \sum_{i=m+1}^{2j+r-1} C_{i,j,r} \int_\sigma D_t^{2j+r-i} (D_t^{i+\alpha-r} \varphi \cdot \psi) dt \\ &+ \sum_{j=m}^{\alpha_2} h_\sigma^{2j} \sum_{i=m+1}^{2j} C_{i,j} \int_\sigma D_t^{2j-i} (D_t^{i+\alpha} \varphi \cdot \psi) dt + O(h_\sigma^{2\alpha_2+3}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Here, $1 \leq \alpha \leq m$, $\alpha_1 = [(m-r+2)/2]$, $\alpha_2 = [p-\alpha/2]$; $C_{i,j}$, $C_{i,j,r}$ are constants independent of the meshes J_N . D_t denotes the differential operator.

□

By Lemma 3.4, we can obtain an almost optimal superconvergence property of $Ke(t)$ at hybrid mesh points.

Theorem 3.1. *Let A_1 and A_2 hold. Assume that the functions f and K_i ($i = 1, 2$) satisfy $f \in C^{2p+2}(J)$, $K_i \in C^{2p+2}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$, $\Omega_1 := \{(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$ and $\Omega_2 := \{(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq qt, t \in J\}$. If $u_h \in S_m^{(0)}(J_N)$ denotes the collocation approximation determined by the equation (2.6), then the resulting error $e := u_h - u$ satisfies*

$$\max_{t \in Z_N} |Ke(t)| \leq CN^{-(k-\varepsilon_{N,k})} \|u\|_{k,\infty,J}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty, \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq 2m, \quad (3.9)$$

where $\varepsilon_{N,k}$ is an arbitrarily small positive number, which satisfies $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{N,k} = 0$.

Proof. Since $u_h \in S_m^{(0)}(J_N)$, it follows by the definition of π_h that $\pi_h u_h = u_h$. The equalities (2.2) and (2.6) may be written in the operator form as

$$u = Ku + f, \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$u_h = \pi_h Ku_h + \pi_h f. \quad (3.11)$$

Let $e := u_h - u$. Subtraction of (3.10) from (3.11) leads to

$$e = \pi_h Ke + (\pi_h - I)(Ku + f).$$

Hence, by observing (3.10), we can lead to

$$e = \pi_h Ke + (\pi_h - I)u. \quad (3.12)$$

In the following, we prove the inequality (3.9) for two cases: $1 \leq k \leq m+1$, and $m+2 \leq k \leq 2m$. For the case with $1 \leq k \leq m+1$, it follows by (3.12) that we have for any $\psi \in C^m(\sigma)$

$$\left| \int_{\sigma} \psi(s)e(s)ds \right| \leq C \int_{\sigma} |\psi(s)| \cdot |Ke(s)|ds + \left| \int_{\sigma} \psi(s)(I - \pi_h)u(s)ds \right|.$$

This, together with (3.3) and (3.6), yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\sigma} \psi(s)e(s)ds \right| &\leq C(h_{\sigma}^2 \|\psi\|_{0,\infty,\sigma} \cdot \|e\|_{0,\infty,\sigma} + h_{\sigma}^{k+1} \|\psi\|_{m,\infty,\sigma} \cdot \|u\|_{k,\infty,\sigma}) \\ &\leq Ch_{\sigma}(h_{\sigma}^{k+1} \|\psi\|_{0,\infty,\sigma} \cdot \|u\|_{k,\infty,\sigma} + h_{\sigma}^k \|\psi\|_{m,\infty,\sigma} \cdot \|u\|_{k,\infty,\sigma}) \\ &\leq Ch_{\sigma}^{k+1}(h_{\sigma} \|\psi\|_{0,\infty,\sigma} + \|\psi\|_{m,\infty,\sigma}) \cdot \|u\|_{k,\infty,\sigma} \\ &\leq Ch_{\sigma}^{k+1} \|\psi\|_{m,\infty,\sigma} \|u\|_{k,\infty,\sigma}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

It is easy to check that

$$\frac{N}{\ln N} - \frac{N}{(\ln N)^2} = \frac{N}{\ln N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ln N}\right) \rightarrow \infty, \quad N \rightarrow \infty.$$

For a sufficient large N , we have

$$\left[\frac{\ln q}{\ln \left(1 - \frac{(2p+2)(\ln N)^2}{(m+2)N}\right)} \right] + 1 < \left[\frac{\ln q}{\ln \left(1 - \frac{(2p+2)\ln N}{(m+2)N}\right)} \right] = \kappa.$$

Thus,

$$1 - d = 1 - q^{\frac{1}{\kappa}} < \frac{(2p+2)(\ln N)^2}{(m+2)N}. \quad (3.14)$$

From the assumption A_1 , we can obtain

$$h_n = t_n - t_{n-1} = Td^{N-n}(1-d) \leq Cd^{N-n} \frac{(2p+2)(\ln N)^2}{(m+2)N}, \quad (n = 2, \dots, N).$$

Since $0 < d < 1$, we have

$$h_n^k \leq C \frac{(2p+2)^k (\ln N)^{2k}}{(m+2)^k} N^{-(k)}, \quad (n = 2, \dots, N). \quad (3.15)$$

Set

$$b := \frac{(2p+2)^k (\ln N)^{2k}}{(m+2)^k}. \quad (3.16)$$

By the inequality (3.15) and the identity $b = N^{\log_N b}$, we can get

$$h_n^k \leq CN^{-(k - \log_N b)}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty, \quad (n = 2, \dots, N). \quad (3.17)$$

For a given constant k , we have from the equation (3.16)

$$\varepsilon_{N,k} = \log_N b = \frac{\ln b}{\ln N} \rightarrow 0, \quad N \rightarrow \infty.$$

This, together with (3.17), leads to ($1 \leq k \leq m+1$)

$$h_n^k \leq CN^{-(k - \varepsilon_{N,k})}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty, \quad (n = 2, \dots, N).$$

It is obvious that $h_{1,l}^k \leq h_1^k \leq N^{-k}$ for any $1 \leq l \leq 2p+1$. Thus, the inequality

$$h_\sigma^k \leq CN^{-(k - \varepsilon_{N,k})}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.18)$$

is valid for any $\sigma \in \{e_{1,1}, e_{1,2}, \dots, e_{1,2p+1}, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_N\}$. This, together with (3.13), yields

$$\left| \int_\sigma \psi(s)e(s)ds \right| \leq Ch_\sigma(N^{-(k - \varepsilon_{N,k})}) \|\psi\|_{m, \infty, \sigma} \|u\|_{k, \infty, \sigma}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.19)$$

As to the case with $m+2 \leq k \leq 2m$, it can be proved (refer to [13]) that the following inequality is valid for any function $\psi \in C^m(\sigma)$

$$\left| \int_\sigma \psi(s)e(s)ds \right| \leq Ch_\sigma(N^{-(k - \varepsilon_{N,k})}) \|\psi\|_{0, \infty, \sigma} + h_\sigma^k \|\psi\|_{m, \infty, \sigma} \|u\|_{k, \infty, \sigma}. \quad (3.20)$$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 3.1. By (3.19), (3.20) and Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$\left| \int_0^{t_n} \psi(s)e(s)ds \right| \leq CN^{-(k - \varepsilon_{N,k})} \|\psi\|_{m, \infty, [0, t_n]} \|u\|_{k, \infty, [0, t_n]}, \quad \forall \psi \in C^m[0, t_n], \quad 1 \leq n \leq N.$$

In particular, we find that

$$\left| \int_0^{t_n} K_1(t_n, s)e(s)ds \right| \leq CN^{-(k - \varepsilon_{N,k})} \|u\|_{k, \infty, [0, t_n]}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N, \quad (3.21)$$

and

$$\left| \int_0^{qt_n} K_2(t_n, s)e(s)ds \right| \leq CN^{-(k-\varepsilon_{N,k})} \|u\|_{k,\infty,[0,qt_n]}, \quad \kappa + 1 \leq n \leq N. \quad (3.22)$$

When $1 \leq n \leq \kappa$, we have $qt_n \leq t_1$. According to (3.5) and (3.1), we can lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^{qt_n} K_2(t_n, s)e(s)ds \right| &\leq Ct_1 h_1^k \|u\|_{k,\infty,e_1} \\ &\leq Ch_1^{k+1} \|u\|_{k,\infty,e_1} \\ &\leq CN^{-k} \|u\|_{k,\infty,e_1}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq \kappa. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

In a similar manner, we can prove

$$\left| \int_0^{t_{1,l}} K_1(t_{1,l}, s)e(s)ds \right| \leq CN^{-k} \|u\|_{k,\infty,e_1}, \quad 1 \leq l \leq 2p + 1, \quad (3.24)$$

and

$$\left| \int_0^{qt_{1,l}} K_2(t_{1,l}, s)e(s)ds \right| \leq CN^{-k} \|u\|_{k,\infty,e_1}, \quad 1 \leq l \leq 2p + 1. \quad (3.25)$$

These, together with (3.21), (3.22) and (3.23), give the desired result. \square

The following result gives some properties of π_h

Theorem 3.2. *Let A_1 and A_2 hold. Assume that the functions f and K_i ($i = 1, 2$) satisfy $f \in C^{2p+2}(J)$, $K_i \in C^{2p+2}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$, $\Omega_1 := \{(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$ and $\Omega_2 := \{(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq qt, t \in J\}$. Let $\pi_h : C(J) \rightarrow S_m^{(0)}(J_N)$ denote the sequence of interpolation operators defined on Z_N .*

1) *When $2 \leq n \leq N$, the integral $K(\pi_h - I)u(t_n)$ has the following expansion*

$$K(\pi_h - I)u(t_n) = \sum_{j=m}^p \left[\left(\frac{h_1}{2p+1} \right)^{2j} F_j^1(t_n) + \sum_{k=2}^n h_k^{2j} F_j^k(t_n) \right] + O(N^{-(2p+2)}), \quad (3.26)$$

where $F_j^k \in C^{2p+2-2j}(J)$ ($k = 1, \dots, N$). And there are functions $F_j \in C^{2p+2-2j}(J)$ ($j = m, \dots, p$) satisfying $F_j(t_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n F_j^k(t_n)$ ($n = 2, \dots, N$) and

$$\|F_j\|_{\lambda,\infty,J} \leq C \|u\|_{\lambda+2j,\infty,J} \quad (\lambda = 0, 1, \dots, 2p + 2 - 2j). \quad (3.27)$$

2) *When $1 \leq k \leq 2p + 1$, the integral $K(\pi_h - I)u(t_{1,k})$ can be written as*

$$K(\pi_h - I)u(t_{1,k}) = O(N^{-(2p+2)}). \quad (3.28)$$

Proof. It is easy to see that $K(\pi_h - I)u(t)$ can be written as

$$K(\pi_h - I)u(t) = K_1(\pi_h - I)u(t) + K_2(\pi_h - I)u(t), \quad (3.29)$$

where

$$K_1(\pi_h - I)u(t) = \int_0^t K_1(t, s)(\pi_h - I)u(s)ds,$$

and

$$K_2(\pi_h - I)u(t) = \int_0^{qt} K_2(t, s)(\pi_h - I)u(s)ds.$$

Without loss of generality, we only need to verify that the second term in the right side of the equation (3.29) can be written as (3.26) or (3.28) at the mesh points.

When $n \geq \kappa + 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{qt_n} K_2(t_n, s)(\pi_h - I)u(s)ds &= \int_0^{t_{n-\kappa}} K_2(t_n, s)(\pi_h - I)u(s)ds \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{n-\kappa} \int_{e_k} K_2(t_n, s)(\pi_h - I)u(s)ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{2p+1} \int_{e_{1,l}} K_2(t_n, s)(\pi_h - I)u(s)ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

By Lemma 3.5, we get the following equality

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{e_k} K_2(t_n, s)(\pi_h - I)u(s)ds \\ &= \sum_{j=m}^p h_k^{2j} \sum_{i=m+1}^{2j} C_{i,j} \int_{e_k} D_s^{2j-i}(D_s^i u(s)K_2(t_n, s))ds + O(N^{-(2p+2)}) \\ &= \sum_{j=m}^p h_k^{2j} F_j^k(t_n) + O(N^{-(2p+2)}), \quad k = 2, 3, \dots, N, \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

where

$$F_j^k(t) = \sum_{i=m+1}^{2j} C_{i,j} \int_{e_k} D_s^{2j-i}(D_s^i u(s)K_2(t, s))ds, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots, N, \quad j = m, m+1, \dots, p.$$

Note that the constants $C_{i,j}$ are independent of the choice of e_k . Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{e_{1,l}} K_2(t_n, s)(\pi_h - I)u(s)ds &= \sum_{j=m}^p h_{1,l}^{2j} F_j^{1,l}(t_n) + O(N^{-(2p+2)}) \\ &= \sum_{j=m}^p \left(\frac{h_1}{2p+1}\right)^{2j} F_j^{1,l}(t_n) + O(N^{-(2p+2)}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

where

$$F_j^{1,l}(t) = \sum_{i=m+1}^{2j} C_{i,j} \int_{e_{1,l}} D_s^{2j-i}(D_s^i u(s)K_2(t, s))ds, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, 2p+1.$$

Together with (3.30), (3.31) and (3.32), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{qt_n} K_2(t_n, s)(\pi_h - I)u(s)ds \\
&= \sum_{k=2}^{n-\kappa} \int_{e_k} K_2(t_n, s)(\pi_h - I)u(s)ds + \sum_{l=1}^{2p+1} \int_{e_{1,l}} K_2(t_n, s)(\pi_h - I)u(s)ds \\
&= \sum_{k=2}^{n-\kappa} \sum_{j=m}^p h_k^{2j} F_j^k(t_n) + \sum_{l=1}^{2p+1} \sum_{j=m}^p \frac{h_1}{2p+1} F_j^{1,l}(t_n) + O(N^{-(2p+2)}) \\
&= \sum_{j=m}^p \left[\left(\frac{h_1}{2p+1} \right)^{2j} \sum_{l=1}^{2p+1} F_j^{1,l}(t_n) + \sum_{k=2}^{n-\kappa} h_k^{2j} F_j^k(t_n) \right] + O(N^{-(2p+2)}) \\
&= \sum_{j=m}^p \left[\left(\frac{h_1}{2p+1} \right)^{2j} F_j^1(t_n) + \sum_{k=2}^{n-\kappa} h_k^{2j} F_j^k(t_n) \right] + O(N^{-(2p+2)}),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

where $F_j^1(t) = \sum_{l=1}^{2p+1} F_j^{1,l}(t)$. We can write $F_j^1(t)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
F_j^1(t) &= \sum_{l=1}^{2p+1} F_j^{1,l}(t) \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^{2p+1} \sum_{i=m+1}^{2j} C_{i,j} \int_{e_{1,l}} D_s^{2j-i} (D_s^i u(s) K_2(t, s)) ds \\
&= \sum_{i=m+1}^{2j} C_{i,j} \int_{e_1} D_s^{2j-i} (D_s^i u(s) K_2(t, s)) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting

$$F_j(t) = \sum_{i=m+1}^{2j} C_{i,j} \int_0^t D_s^{2j-i} (D_s^i u(s) K_2(t, s)) ds,$$

we can obtain that $F_j \in C^{2p+2-2j}(J)$, $F_j^k \in C^{2p+2-2j}(J)$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, N; j = m, m+1, \dots, p$) and $F_j(t_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n F_j^k(t_n)$. By the definition of $F_j(t)$, it is obvious that $\|F_j\|_{\lambda, \infty, J} \leq C \|u\|_{\lambda+2j, \infty, J}$ ($\lambda = 0, 1, \dots, 2p+2-2j$).

When $n = \kappa + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{qt_n} K_2(t_n, s)(\pi_h - I)u(s)ds &= \int_0^{t_1} K_2(t_n, s)(\pi_h - I)u(s)ds \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^{2p+1} \int_{e_{1,l}} K_2(t_n, s)(\pi_h - I)u(s)ds.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows by (3.32) that

$$\int_0^{qt_{\kappa+1}} K_2(t_{\kappa+1}, s)(\pi_h - I)u(s)ds = \sum_{j=m}^p \left(\frac{h_1}{2p+1} \right)^{2j} F_j^1(t_{\kappa+1}) + O(N^{-(2p+2)}). \tag{3.34}$$

When $t \in Z_N \cap \{t \mid t \leq t_\kappa\}$, we have $qt < t_1$. According to (3.1) and (3.5), we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_0^{qt} K_2(t, s)(\pi_h - I)u(s)ds \right| &\leq Ct_1 h_1^{m+1} \|u\|_{m+1, \infty, e_1} \\
&\leq Ch_1^{m+2} \|u\|_{m+1, \infty, e_1} \\
&\leq CN^{-(2p+2)} \|u\|_{m+1, \infty, e_1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

This, together with (3.33) and (3.34), allows us to deduce that the following expansion is valid for $\kappa + 1 \leq n \leq N$,

$$K_2(\pi_h - I)u(t_n) = \sum_{j=m}^p \left[\left(\frac{h_1}{2p+1} \right)^{2j} F_j^1(t_n) + \sum_{k=2}^{n-\kappa} h_k^{2j} F_j^k(t_n) \right] + O(N^{-(2p+2)}). \quad (3.36)$$

where $F_j^k \in C^{2p+2-2j}(J)$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, N$). Furthermore, by the definition of $F_j(t)$, we have $F_j(t_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n F_j^k(t_n)$ ($n = 2, 3, \dots, N$). From (3.34) and (3.35), we have

$$K_2(\pi_h - I)u(t_n) = O(N^{-(2p+2)}), \quad 1 \leq n \leq \kappa, \quad (3.37)$$

and

$$K_2(\pi_h - I)u(t_{1,k}) = O(N^{-(2p+2)}), \quad 1 \leq k \leq 2p+1. \quad (3.38)$$

By a similar manner, we are led to

$$K_1(\pi_h - I)u(t_n) = \sum_{j=m}^p \left[\left(\frac{h_1}{2p+1} \right)^{2j} F_j^1(t_n) + \sum_{k=2}^n h_k^{2j} F_j^k(t_n) \right] + O(N^{-(2p+2)}), \quad 2 \leq n \leq N,$$

$$K_1(\pi_h - I)u(t_{1,k}) = O(N^{-(2p+2)}), \quad 1 \leq k \leq 2p+1,$$

where $F_j^k \in C^{2p+2-2j}(J)$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, N$). Moreover, there are functions $F_j \in C^{2p+2-2j}(J)$ ($j = m, m+1, \dots, p$) such that $\|F_j\|_{\lambda, \infty, J} \leq C\|u\|_{\lambda+2j, \infty, J}$ ($\lambda = 0, 1, \dots, 2p+2-2j$) and $F_j(t_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n F_j^k(t_n)$ ($n = 2, 3, \dots, N$).

Now, we have proved the theorem 3.2. \square

Remark 3.1. *Theorem 3.2 is a version of Lemma 3.5. Both of them are the general form of the usual integral expansion (refer to [29]), while this theorem is helpful for us to consider the Volterra integral equation with proportional delays. The complete analysis to the multilevel correction will be based on this theorem.*

3.2 Error estimate of high order interpolation operator

In the subsection, we derive an error estimate of the high order interpolation operator.

For the convergence, set

$$H_1 = t_1 - t_0, \quad H_{N'} = t_N - t_{\tilde{N}'+1}$$

and

$$H_r = t_{(2p+1)(r-1)+1} - t_{(2p+1)(r-2)+1} \quad (2 \leq r \leq N' - 1).$$

Lemma 3.6. *For a given positive integer μ satisfying $1 \leq \mu \leq 2p+2$, assume that $\forall y \in C^\mu(J)$. Let $\bar{\pi} : C(J) \rightarrow S(p, Z_N)$ be the sequence of the higher order interpolation operators. Then*

$$\|y - \bar{\pi}y\|_{k, \infty, \sigma_r} \leq CH_r^{\mu-k} \|y\|_{\mu, \infty, \sigma_r}. \quad (3.39)$$

Here, C is a constant independent of the meshes J_N , $0 \leq k \leq \min\{\mu, 2p+1\}$ and $1 \leq r \leq N'$.

Proof. Without loss generality, we only need to analysis $\bar{\pi}$ on a subinterval σ_r ($r = 2, 3, \dots, N' - 1$). Let $n_r = (2p+1)(r-2) + 1$. Then the restriction of $\bar{\pi}v$ on σ_r is determined completely by the values of v at the nodes $\{t_{n_r}, t_{n_r+1}, \dots, t_{n_r+2p+1}\}$. We can regard $t_{(2p+1)(r-2)+1} = t_{n_r} < t_{n_r+1} < \dots < t_{n_r+2p+1} = t_{(2p+1)(r-1)+1}$ as the partition of σ_r . Let μ be any given positive integer such that $1 \leq \mu \leq 2p+2$. For a function $y \in C^\mu(J)$, $\bar{\pi}y$ can be written as

$$\bar{\pi}y(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{2p+1} y(t_{n_r+j})L_j^r(t), \quad \forall t \in \sigma_r,$$

where $L_j^r(t) = \prod_{l=0, l \neq j}^{2p+1} (t - t_{n_r+l}) / (t_{n_r+j} - t_{n_r+l})$ is the j th Lagrange basic function on σ_r . It is obvious that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2p+1} (t_{n_r+j})^k L_j^r(t) = t^k, \quad \forall t \in \sigma_r, \quad \forall 0 \leq k \leq 2p+1. \quad (3.40)$$

From the equality (3.40), we can deduce

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2p+1} (t - t_{n_r+j})^k L_j^r(t) = 0, \quad \forall t \in \sigma_r, \quad \forall 0 \leq k \leq 2p+1.$$

Noting $y \in C^\mu(\sigma_r)$, we can write $y(t) - y(t_{n_r+j})$ as Taylor expansion with integral remainder

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) - y(t_{n_r+j}) &= \int_{t_{n_r+j}}^t y'(s) ds \\ &= y'(s)(s - t_{n_r+j}) \Big|_{s=t_{n_r+j}}^{s=t} - \int_{t_{n_r+j}}^t y''(s)(s - t_{n_r+j}) ds \\ &= y'(t)(t - t_{n_r+j}) + \dots + \frac{(-1)^{\mu-2}}{(\mu-1)!} y^{(\mu-1)}(t)(t - t_{n_r+j})^{\mu-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{(-1)^{\mu-1}}{(\mu-1)!} \int_{t_{n_r+j}}^t y^{(\mu)}(s)(s - t_{n_r+j})^{\mu-1} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

Both sides of the equality (3.41) are multiplied by $L_j^r(t)$ respectively, and they are summed over all j ($j = 0, 1, \dots, 2p+1$). The error can be written as

$$R(t) = y - \bar{\pi}y = \frac{(-1)^{\mu-1}}{(\mu-1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{2p+1} L_j^r(t) \int_{t_{n_r+j}}^t y^{(\mu)}(s)(s - t_{n_r+j})^{\mu-1} ds, \quad \forall t \in \sigma_r. \quad (3.42)$$

Thus, the derivative of $R(t)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} R'(t) &= \frac{(-1)^{\mu-1}}{(\mu-1)!} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{2p+1} D_t L_j^r(t) \int_{t_{n_r+j}}^t y^{(\mu)}(s)(s - t_{n_r+j})^{\mu-1} ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=0}^{2p+1} L_j^r(t) y^{(\mu)}(t)(t - t_{n_r+j})^{\mu-1} \right\}, \quad \forall t \in \sigma_r. \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

Since $y^{(\mu)}(t)$ in the equation (3.43) is independent of j , the second term of the right side vanishes. By the same manipulation, we can deduce that the following equality is valid for any integer k satisfying $0 \leq k \leq \min\{\mu, 2p+1\}$,

$$D_t^k(y - \bar{\pi}y)(t) = \frac{(-1)^{\mu-1}}{(\mu-1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{2p+1} D_t^k L_j^r(t) \int_{t_{n_r+j}}^t y^{(\mu)}(s)(s - t_{n_r+j})^{\mu-1} ds. \quad (3.44)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |D_t^k(y - \bar{\pi}y)(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{(\mu-1)!} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{2p+1} D_t^k L_j^r(t) \int_{t_{n_r+j}}^t y^{(\mu)}(s)(s - t_{n_r+j})^{\mu-1} ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mu!} \|y\|_{\mu, \infty, \sigma_r} \sum_{j=0}^{2p+1} |D_t^k L_j^r(t)(t - t_{n_r+j})^\mu| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mu!} H_r^\mu \|y\|_{\mu, \infty, \sigma_r} \sum_{j=0}^{2p+1} |D_t^k L_j^r(t)|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

For $2 \leq r \leq N' - 1$, the j th Lagrange basic function on σ_r is

$$L_j^r(t) = \prod_{\substack{l=0 \\ l \neq j}}^{2p+1} \frac{t - t_{(2p+1)(r-2)+1+l}}{t_{(2p+1)(r-2)+1+j} - t_{(2p+1)(r-2)+1+l}}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, 2p+1.$$

Since the mesh on σ_r is geometric, we have

$$\frac{t_{(2p+1)(r-1)+1} - t_{(2p+1)(r-1)}}{t_{(2p+1)(r-2)+2} - t_{(2p+1)(r-2)+1}} = \frac{d^{N-[(2p+1)(r-1)+1]}(1-d)T}{d^{N-[(2p+1)(r-2)+2]}(1-d)T} = d^{-2p}.$$

By the definition of d , there is a positive number δ such that $0 < \delta < d < 1$. Therefore

$$1 \leq \frac{t_{(2p+1)(r-1)+1} - t_{(2p+1)(r-1)}}{t_{(2p+1)(r-2)+2} - t_{(2p+1)(r-2)+1}} \leq \delta^{-2p}. \quad (3.46)$$

Because of the inequality (3.46), we can find a constant C which is independent of J_N such that

$$|L_j^r(t)| \leq C, \quad |D_t^k L_j^r(t)| \leq C/H_r^k, \quad \forall t \in \sigma_r, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 2p+1, \quad 0 \leq j \leq 2p+1. \quad (3.47)$$

It follows by (3.45) and (3.47) that for $\forall t \in \sigma_r$,

$$\begin{aligned} |D_t^k(y - \bar{\pi}y)(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{\mu!} H_i^\mu \|y\|_{\mu, \infty, \sigma_r} \sum_{j=0}^{2p+1} |D_t^k L_j^r(t)| \\ &\leq C H_r^\mu H_r^{-k} \|y\|_{\mu, \infty, \sigma_r} \\ &\leq C H_r^{\mu-k} \|y\|_{\mu, \infty, \sigma_r}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

where $0 \leq k \leq \min\{\mu, 2p+1\}$ and $2 \leq r \leq N' - 1$.

In an analogous way with above, we can prove that the inequality (3.39) is valid for $r = 1$ and $r = N'$. \square

By Lemma 3.6, we can get an error estimate of the interpolation $\bar{\pi}$ on J .

Theorem 3.3. *For a given positive integer μ satisfying $1 \leq \mu \leq 2p + 2$, assume that $\forall y \in C^\mu(J)$. Let $\bar{\pi} : C(J) \rightarrow S(p, Z_N)$ be the sequence of the higher order interpolation operators. Then*

$$\|y - \bar{\pi}y\|_{k,\infty,J} \leq CN^{-(\mu-k-\varepsilon_{N,\mu-k})} \|y\|_{\mu,\infty,J}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.49)$$

Here, $\varepsilon_{N,\mu-k}$ is an arbitrarily small positive number, which satisfies $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{N,\mu-k} = 0$, and $0 \leq k \leq \min\{\mu, 2p + 1\}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.6, we only need to prove $H_r^\lambda \leq CN^{-(\lambda-\varepsilon_{N,\lambda})}$ for any positive integer λ .

For the case of $r = 1$, we have $H_1^\lambda = (\sum_{l=1}^{2p+1} h_{1,l})^\lambda = h_1^\lambda$. Since $2p + 2 > m + 2$, it follows by (3.1) that

$$H_1^\lambda = h_1^\lambda \leq CN^{-\frac{2p+2}{m+2} \cdot \lambda} \leq CN^{-\lambda}. \quad (3.50)$$

When $2 \leq r \leq N' - 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} H_r^\lambda &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^{2p+1} h_{(2p+1)(r-2)+1+k} \right)^\lambda \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{2p+1} h_{(2p+1)(r-2)+1+k}^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

From the assumption A_1 and the inequality (3.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h_{(2p+1)(r-2)+1+k} &= t_{(2p+1)(r-2)+1+k} - t_{(2p+1)(r-2)+k} \\ &= Td^{N-((2p+1)(r-2)+1+k)}(1-d) \\ &\leq Cd^{N-((2p+1)(r-2)+1+k)} \frac{(2p+2)(\ln N)^2}{(m+2)N}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} H_r^\lambda &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{2p+1} h_{(2p+1)(r-2)+1+k}^\lambda \\ &\leq C \frac{(1-d^{2p+1})((2p+2)(\ln N)^2)^\lambda}{(1-d^\lambda)(m+2)^\lambda} N^{-\lambda}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.51)$$

Because of the fact that $d \rightarrow 1$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1-d^{2p+1}}{1-d^\lambda} = \lim_{d \rightarrow 1} \frac{1-d^{2p+1}}{1-d^\lambda} = \frac{2p+1}{\lambda}. \quad (3.52)$$

Since $0 < d < 1$, it follows by (3.52) that there is a constant C independent of the meshes J_N such that

$$\frac{1-d^{2p+1}}{1-d^\lambda} \leq C.$$

By the inequality (3.51), we can lead to

$$H_r^\lambda \leq C \frac{((2p+2)(\ln N)^2)^\lambda}{(m+2)^\lambda} N^{-\lambda}, N \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.53)$$

Set

$$\tilde{b} := \frac{((2p+2)(\ln N)^2)^\lambda}{(m+2)^\lambda}.$$

By the identity $\tilde{b} = N^{\log_N \tilde{b}}$, the inequality (3.53) can be written as

$$H_r^\lambda \leq CN^{-(\lambda - \log_N \tilde{b})}, N \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.54)$$

For a given constant λ , we have

$$\varepsilon_{N,\lambda} = \log_N \tilde{b} = \frac{\ln \tilde{b}}{\ln N} \rightarrow 0, N \rightarrow \infty.$$

This, together with the inequality (3.54), yields

$$H_r^\lambda \leq CN^{-(\lambda - \varepsilon_{N,\lambda})}, N \rightarrow \infty, 2 \leq r \leq N' - 1. \quad (3.55)$$

Similarly, we can prove that

$$H_{N'}^\lambda \leq CN^{-(\lambda - \varepsilon_{N,\lambda})}, N \rightarrow \infty.$$

This, together with (3.50), (3.55) and (3.39), yields (3.49). \square

3.3 Multilevel correction for collocation solution on hybrid meshes

In the subsection, we derive an estimate of $\|[\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{k+1}u\|_{0,\infty,J}$.

It follows by (3.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} e &= \pi_h K e + (\pi_h - I)u \\ &= K e + (\pi_h - I)(K e + u) \\ &= K_1 e + K_2 e + \pi_h K e + (\pi_h - I)(K e + u) \\ &= K_1 e + \tilde{A}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{A} = K_2 e + \pi_h K e + (\pi_h - I)(K e + u).$$

The standard Volterra theory implies that the resolvent kernel R_1 of K_1 inherits the smoothness of the Kernel K_1 and satisfies

$$e = \tilde{A} + R\tilde{A},$$

where

$$R\tilde{A} = \int_0^t R_1(t,s)\tilde{A}(s)ds.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e &= K_2 e + (\pi_h - I)(K e + u) + R[K_2 e + (\pi_h - I)(K e + u)] \\
&= (I + R)K_2 e + (I + R)(\pi_h - I)(K e + u) \\
&= (I + R)(\pi_h - I)u + [(I + R)K_2 + (I + R)(\pi_h - I)K]e.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.56}$$

Let $A = (I + R)(\pi_h - I)$ and $B = B_1 + B_2$ with

$$B_1 = (I + R)K_2, \quad B_2 = (I + R)(\pi_h - I)K. \tag{3.57}$$

It is clear that the equality (3.56) can be simplified as

$$\begin{aligned}
e &= Au + Be \\
&= Au + BAu + B^2 e \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} B^i Au + B^l e,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.58}$$

where l is any positive integer.

Lemma 3.7. *Let the assumptions A_1 and A_2 hold. Assume that $m \leq 2$ and the functions f , K_i ($i = 1, 2$) satisfy $f \in C^{2p+2}(J)$, $K_i \in C^{2p+2}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$, $\Omega_1 := \{(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$ and $\Omega_2 := \{(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq qt, t \in J\}$. Let i denote any nonnegative integer.*

1) When $2 \leq n \leq N$, the term $B^i Au(t_n)$ possesses the following expansion

$$B^i Au(t_n) = \sum_{j=m}^p \left[\left(\frac{h_1}{2p+1} \right)^{2j} F_j^1(t_n) + \sum_{k=2}^n h_k^{2j} F_j^k(t_n) \right] + O(N^{-(2p+2)}), \tag{3.59}$$

where $F_j^k \in C^{2p+2-2j}(J)$ ($k = 1, \dots, N$). And there are functions $F_j \in C^{2p+2-2j}(J)$ ($j = m, \dots, p$) satisfying $F_j(t_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n F_j^k(t_n)$ ($n = 2, \dots, N$) and

$$\|F_j\|_{\lambda, \infty, J} \leq C \|u\|_{\lambda+2j, \infty, J} \quad (\lambda = 0, 1, \dots, 2p+2-2j). \tag{3.60}$$

2) When $1 \leq k \leq 2p+1$, the term $B^i Au(t_{1,k})$ can be written as

$$B^i Au(t_{1,k}) = O(N^{-(2p+2)}). \tag{3.61}$$

Proof. When $i = 0$, by a similar way in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we can deduce the following equalities

$$R(\pi_h - I)u(t_n) = \sum_{j=m}^p \left[\left(\frac{h_1}{2p+1} \right)^{2j} F_j^1(t_n) + \sum_{k=2}^n h_k^{2j} F_j^k(t_n) \right] + O(N^{-(2p+2)}), \quad 2 \leq n \leq N$$

and

$$R(\pi_h - I)u(t_{1,k}) = O(N^{-(2p+2)}), \quad 1 \leq k \leq 2p+1,$$

where $F_j^k \in C^{2p+2-2j}(J)$ ($k = 1, \dots, N$). Moreover, there are functions $F_j \in C^{2p+2-2j}(J)$ ($j = m, m+1, \dots, p$) such that $\|F_j\|_{\lambda, \infty, J} \leq C\|u\|_{\lambda+2j, \infty, J}$ ($\lambda = 0, 1, \dots, 2p+2-2j$) and $F_j(t_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n F_j^k(t_n)$ ($n = 2, 3, \dots, N$). Thus, by the definition of A and π_h , we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Au(t_n) &= R(\pi_h - I)u(t) \big|_{t=t_n} + (\pi_h - I)u(t) \big|_{t=t_n} \\ &= R(\pi_h - I)u(t_n) \\ &= \sum_{j=m}^p \left[\left(\frac{h_1}{2p+1}\right)^{2j} F_j^1(t_n) + \sum_{k=2}^n h_k^{2j} F_j^k(t_n) \right] + O(N^{-(2p+2)}), \quad 2 \leq n \leq N \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Au(t_{1,k}) &= R(\pi_h - I)u(t) \big|_{t=t_{1,k}} + (\pi_h - I)u(t) \big|_{t=t_{1,k}} \\ &= R(\pi_h - I)u(t_{1,k}) \\ &= O(N^{-(2p+2)}), \quad 1 \leq k \leq 2p+1. \end{aligned}$$

When $i = 1$, we first verify that $B_1 Au$ can be written as (3.59) or (3.61) at the mesh points. In fact, changing the order of the integration, leads to

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 R(\pi_h - I)u(t) &= \int_0^t K_1(t, x) \left[\int_0^x R_1(x, s) (\pi_h - I)u(s) ds \right] dx \\ &= \int_0^t \left[\int_s^t K_1(t, x) R_1(x, s) dx \right] (\pi_h - I)u(s) ds \quad (3.62) \\ &= \int_0^t \overline{K_1}(t, s) (\pi_h - I)u(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{K_1}(t, s) = \int_s^t K_1(t, x) R_1(x, s) dx$. In the same way, we have

$$K_2 R(\pi_h - I)u(t) = \int_0^{qt} \overline{K_2 R}(t, s) (\pi_h - I)u(s) ds, \quad (3.63)$$

$$RK_2 (\pi_h - I)u(t) = \int_0^{qt} \overline{RK_2}(t, s) (\pi_h - I)u(s) ds, \quad (3.64)$$

and

$$RK_2 R(\pi_h - I)u(t) = \int_0^{qt} \overline{RK_2 R}(t, s) (\pi_h - I)u(s) ds, \quad (3.65)$$

where

$$\overline{K_2 R}(t, s) = \int_s^{qt} K_2(t, x) R_1(x, s) dx, \quad \overline{RK_2}(t, s) = \int_{s/q}^t K_2(t, x) R_1(x, s) dx,$$

and

$$\overline{RK_2 R}(t, s) = \int_{s/q}^t R_1(t, x_1) \left[\int_s^{qx_1} K_2(x_1, x_2) R_1(x_2, s) dx_2 \right] dx_1.$$

By the definition of A and B_1 , we can write B_1Au as

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1Au(t) &= (I + R)K_2(I + R)(\pi_h - I)u \\
&= K_2(\pi_h - I)u + K_2R(\pi_h - I)u \\
&\quad + RK_2(\pi_h - I)u + RK_2R(\pi_h - I)u \\
&= \int_0^{qt} \widetilde{K}_2(t, s)(\pi_h - I)u(s)ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\widetilde{K}_2(t, s) = K_2(t, s) + \overline{K_2R}(t, s) + \overline{RK_2}(t, s) + \overline{RK_2R}(t, s).$$

Furthermore, as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we can deduce that B_1Au can be written as (3.59) or (3.61) at the mesh points.

Secondly, we verify that B_2Au can be written as (3.59) or (3.61) at the mesh points. By the equalities (3.7) and (3.8), we can prove that $R(\pi_h - I)K(\pi_h - I)u$ and $R(\pi_h - I)KR(\pi_h - I)u$ have the expansion (3.26) or (3.28) at the mesh points in an analogous way with the proof of Theorem 3.2. By the definition of A and B_2 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
B_2Au(t_n) &= (I + R)(\pi_h - I)K(I + R)(\pi_h - I)u(t)|_{t=t_n} \\
&= R(\pi_h - I)K(I + R)(\pi_h - I)u(t)|_{t=t_n} + (\pi_h - I)K(I + R)(\pi_h - I)u(t)|_{t=t_n} \\
&= R(\pi_h - I)K(\pi_h - I)u(t)|_{t=t_n} + R(\pi_h - I)KR(\pi_h - I)u(t)|_{t=t_n} \\
&= \sum_{j=m}^p \left[\left(\frac{h_1}{2p+1} \right)^{2j} F_j^1(t_n) + \sum_{k=2}^n h_k^{2j} F_j^k(t_n) \right] + O(N^{-(2p+2)}), \quad 2 \leq n \leq N
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
B_2Au(t_{1,k}) &= (I + R)(\pi_h - I)K(I + R)(\pi_h - I)u(t)|_{t=t_{1,k}} \\
&= R(\pi_h - I)K(I + R)(\pi_h - I)u(t)|_{t=t_{1,k}} \\
&\quad + (\pi_h - I)K(I + R)(\pi_h - I)u(t)|_{t=t_{1,k}} \\
&= R(\pi_h - I)K(\pi_h - I)u(t)|_{t=t_{1,k}} + R(\pi_h - I)KR(\pi_h - I)u(t)|_{t=t_{1,k}} \\
&= O(N^{-(2p+2)}), \quad 1 \leq k \leq 2p + 1,
\end{aligned}$$

where $F_j^k \in C^{2p+2-2j}(J)$ ($k = 1, \dots, N$). Moreover, there are functions $F_j \in C^{2p+2-2j}(J)$ ($j = m, m+1, \dots, p$) such that $\|F_j\|_{\lambda, \infty, J} \leq C\|u\|_{\lambda+2j, \infty, J}$ ($\lambda = 0, 1, \dots, 2p+2-2j$) and $F_j(t_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n F_j^k(t_n)$ ($n = 2, 3, \dots, N$). Since $B = B_1 + B_2$, we deduce that BAu can be written as (3.59) or (3.61) at the mesh points.

When $i \geq 2$, we can prove that B^iAu can be written as (3.59) or (3.61) at the mesh points by the same manipulation with above. \square

Remark 3.2. When we prove that $R(\pi_h - I)K(\pi_h - I)u$ and $R(\pi_h - I)KR(\pi_h - I)u$ can be written as (3.26) or (3.28) at the mesh points, we need to use the condition that $m \leq 2$, which seems necessary. A similar situation has appeared in [25].

Set $l = (2p + 3)(2p + m + 3)$ in the equality (i.e., (3.58))

$$e = \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} B^i A u + B^l e.$$

Let B_1 and B_2 be defined by (3.57). It is clear that $B^l e$ can be written as

$$B^l e = (B_1 + B_2)^l e = \sum_{i=0}^l B_{l-i,i} e,$$

where $B_{l-i,i}$ is the sum of C_l^i terms, with each term being a product between $l-i$ operators B_1 and i operators B_2 , which have different order in any two terms. We need to estimate the norm $\|B_{l-i,i} e\|_{0,\infty,J}$ for each i .

We first consider the case with $2p + 2 \leq i \leq l$. As usual, we define the norms

$$\|B_k\|_{C(J) \rightarrow C(J)} := \sup_{\substack{\forall v \in C(J) \\ \|v\|_{0,\infty,J} \neq 0}} \frac{\|B_k v\|_{0,\infty,J}}{\|v\|_{0,\infty,J}}, \quad (k = 1, 2),$$

and

$$\|B_k\|_{C^1(\sigma) \rightarrow C^1(\sigma)} := \sup_{\substack{\forall v \in C(J) \cap C^1(\sigma) \\ \|v\|_{1,\infty,\sigma} \neq 0}} \frac{\|B_k v\|_{1,\infty,\sigma}}{\|v\|_{1,\infty,\sigma}}, \quad (k = 1, 2).$$

Lemma 3.8. *Let A_1 and A_2 hold. Assume that the functions f and K_i ($i = 1, 2$) satisfy $f \in C^{2p+2}(J)$, $K_i \in C^{2p+2}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$, $\Omega_1 := \{(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$ and $\Omega_2 := \{(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq qt, t \in J\}$. Let i and l denote two given positive integers such that $2p + 2 \leq i \leq l$. Then*

$$\|B_{l-i,i} e\|_{0,\infty,J} \leq C N^{-(2p+2-\varepsilon_{N,2p+2})} \|u\|_{1,\infty,J}, \quad (3.66)$$

where $\varepsilon_{N,2p+2}$ is an arbitrarily small positive number, which satisfies $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{N,2p+2} = 0$.

Proof. By the definition of the operator K_2 , we can deduce that

$$\|K_2 v\|_{2,\infty,\sigma} \leq C \|v\|_{1,\infty,\sigma}, \quad \forall v \in C(J) \cap C^1(\sigma).$$

Therefore,

$$\|B_2 v\|_{1,\infty,\sigma} \leq C \|(I - \pi_h) K_2 v\|_{1,\infty,\sigma} \leq C h_\sigma \|K_2 v\|_{2,\infty,\sigma} \leq C h_\sigma \|v\|_{1,\infty,\sigma}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\|B_2\|_{C^1(\sigma) \rightarrow C^1(\sigma)} \leq C h_\sigma.$$

It is easy to check that

$$\|B_1\|_{C(J) \rightarrow C(J)} \leq C, \quad \|B_1\|_{C^1(\sigma) \rightarrow C^1(\sigma)} \leq C. \quad (3.67)$$

Since $i \geq 2p + 2$, we can deduce

$$|B_{l-i,i}e(t)| \leq C \|B_2\|_{C^1(\sigma) \rightarrow C^1(\sigma)}^i \|e\|_{1,\infty,\sigma} \leq CN^{-(2p+2-\varepsilon_{N,2p+2})} \|u\|_{1,\infty,\sigma}, \quad \forall t \in \sigma.$$

Furthermore, we get

$$\|B_{l-i,i}e\|_{0,\infty,J} \leq CN^{-(2p+2-\varepsilon_{N,2p+2})} \|u\|_{1,\infty,J}, \quad i \geq 2p + 2.$$

□

In the following we consider the case with $0 \leq i \leq 2p + 1$. For this case, we have

$$l - i \geq (2p + 3)(2p + 2 + m).$$

Set $l^* = l - (2p + 2 + m)$, then $l^* - i \geq (2p + 2)(2p + 2 + m)$. It means that $\frac{l^* - i}{2p + 2} \geq 2p + 2 + m$.

Therefore $B_{l-i,i}e$ can be written as

$$B_{l-i,i}e = \sum_{r=0}^{l^*-i} \sum_{j=0}^i B_{r,j} B_1^{2p+2+m} \tilde{B}_{l^*-i-r,i-j} e = \sum_{r=0}^{l^*-i} \sum_{j=0}^i B_{r,j} \tilde{B}^{r,j},$$

where $B_{r,j}$ is the sum of C_{r+j}^r terms, with each term being a product between r operators B_1 and j operators B_2 , which have different order in any two terms, $\tilde{B}^{r,j} = B_1^{2p+2+m} \tilde{B}_{l^*-i-r,i-j} e \in C^{2p+2}(J)$ and $\tilde{B}_{l^*-i-r,i-j}$ is the sum of $C_{l^*-r-j}^{l^*-i-r}$ terms, with each term being a product between $l^* - i - r$ operators B_1 and $i - j$ operators B_2 , which does not contain the operator B_1^{2p+2+m} .

If $j \geq 1$, we can prove that $B_{r,j} \tilde{B}^{r,j}$ can be written as (3.59) or (3.61) at the mesh points by the similar manipulation in the proof of the Lemma 3.7, since $\tilde{B}^{r,j} \in C^{2p+2}(J)$. If $j = 0$, since $\|B_1\|_{C(J) \rightarrow C(J)}$ is bounded, we only need to estimate $B_1^m B_{l^*-i-r,i}e$, where r is a integer such that $0 \leq r \leq l^* - i$, and $B_{l^*-i-r,i}$ is the sum of $C_{l^*-r}^i$ terms, with each term being a product between $l^* - i - r$ operators B_1 and i operators B_2 , which have different order in any two terms.

Lemma 3.9. *Let A_1 and A_2 hold. Assume that $m \leq 2$ and the functions f, K_i ($i = 1, 2$) satisfy $f \in C^{2p+2}(J)$, $K_i \in C^{2p+2}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$, $\Omega_1 := \{(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$ and $\Omega_2 := \{(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq qt, t \in J\}$. Let i, r and l^* denote three given positive integers such that $0 \leq i \leq 2p + 1$, $i + (2p + 2)(2p + 2 + m) \leq l^*$ and $0 \leq r \leq l^* - i$. Then*

$$\|B_1^m B_{l^*-i-r,i}e\|_{0,\infty,J} \leq CN^{-(2m-\varepsilon_{N,2m})} \|u\|_{2m,\infty,J}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.68)$$

Here $\varepsilon_{N,2m}$ is an arbitrarily small positive number such that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{N,2m} = 0$.

Proof. We write $B_1^m B_{l^*-i-r,i}e$ as

$$B_1^m B_{l^*-i-r,i}e = \bar{\pi} B_1^m B_{l^*-i-r,i}e + (I - \bar{\pi}) B_1^m B_{l^*-i-r,i}e. \quad (3.69)$$

For $i = 0$, we have $B_1^m B_{l^*-i-r,i} e = B_1^{l^*-r+m} e$, where $m \leq l^* - r + m$. By the definition of B_1 , we can change the order of integration to write $B_1^{l^*-r+m} e$ as

$$B_1^{l^*-r+m} e = \sum_{i=1}^{l^*-r+m} \int_0^{q^i t} \bar{B}_1^{i,i}(t,s) e(s) ds := \bar{B}_1 e,$$

where $\bar{B}_1^{i,j}(t,s)$ is the sum of C_{r+j}^r terms, with each term being a multiple integral whose integrand is a product between i functions K_2 and j functions R_1 , which have different order in any two terms (also see [12]). By a similar method with the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{\pi} B_1^{l^*-r+m} e\|_{0,\infty,J} &\leq \|\bar{\pi} \bar{B}_1 e\|_{0,\infty,J} \\ &\leq C \max_{t \in Z_N} \|\bar{B}_1 e\|_{0,\infty,J} \\ &\leq C N^{-(2m-\varepsilon_N, 2m)} \|u\|_{2m,\infty,J}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.70)$$

For $1 \leq i \leq 2p+1$, we have

$$\bar{\pi} B_1^m B_{l^*-i-r,i} e = \bar{\pi} B_1^{m+\mu} B_2 B_{l^*-i-r-\mu,i-1} e = \bar{\pi} B_1^{m+\mu} B_2 v_{\mu,i-1}, \quad 0 \leq \mu \leq l^* - i - r,$$

where μ is a integer, $v_{\mu,i-1} = B_{l^*-i-r-\mu,i-1} e$, and $B_{l^*-i-r-\mu,i-1}$ is the sum of $C_{l^*-r-\mu-1}^{i-1}$ terms, with each term being a product between $l^*-i-r-\mu$ operators B_1 and $i-1$ operators B_2 , which have different order in any two terms. It is easy to check that $v_{\mu,i-1} \in C(J)$. By the definition of B_1 and B_2 , we can change the order of integration to write $B_1^{m+\mu} B_2 v_{\mu,i-1}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} B_1^{m+\mu} B_2 v_{\mu,i-1} &= B_1^{m+\mu} (I+R)(\pi_h - I) K v_{\mu,i-1} \\ &= B_1^{m+\mu} (\pi_h - I) K v_{\mu,i-1} + B_1^{m+\mu} R (\pi_h - I) K v_{\mu,i-1} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{m+\mu} \int_0^{q^j t} \bar{B}_1^{j,j}(t,s) (\pi_h - I) K v_{\mu,i-1} ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{m+\mu} \int_0^{q^j t} \bar{B}_1^{j,j+1}(t,s) (\pi_h - I) K v_{\mu,i-1} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.71)$$

When $\kappa + j + 1 \leq n$, we can deduce that t_{n-j} is a mesh point and $t_{n-j} = q^j t_n \geq t_1$. Using Lemma 3.4, the inequalities (3.3), (3.18) and the smoothness $K v_{\mu,i-1} \in C(J) \cap C^m(\sigma)$,

we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_0^{q^j t_n} \overline{B}_1^{j,j}(t_n, s)(\pi_h - I)Kv_{\mu, i-1} ds \right| \\
& \leq C \sum_{k=2}^{n-j} \left| \int_{e_k} \overline{B}_1^{j,j}(t_n, s)(\pi_h - I)Kv_{\mu, i-1} ds \right| + C \sum_{k=1}^{2p+1} \left| \int_{e_{1,k}} \overline{B}_1^{j,j}(t_n, s)(\pi_h - I)Kv_{\mu, i-1} ds \right| \\
& \leq C \sum_{k=2}^{n-j} N^{-(2m-\varepsilon_N, 2m)} \|Kv_{\mu, i-1}\|_{m, \infty, e_k} + C \sum_{k=1}^{2p+1} N^{-(2m-\varepsilon_N, 2m)} \|Kv_{\mu, i-1}\|_{m, \infty, e_{1,k}} \\
& \leq C \sum_{k=2}^{n-j} N^{-(2m-\varepsilon_N, 2m)} \|e\|_{m-1, \infty, e_k} + C \sum_{k=1}^{2p+1} N^{-(2m-\varepsilon_N, 2m)} \|e\|_{m-1, \infty, e_{1,k}} \quad (3.72) \\
& \leq C \sum_{k=2}^{n-j} N^{-(2m-\varepsilon_N, 2m)} h_k \|u\|_{m, \infty, e_k} + C \sum_{k=1}^{2p+1} N^{-(2m-\varepsilon_N, 2m)} h_{1,k} \|u\|_{m, \infty, e_{1,k}} \\
& \leq CN^{-(2m-\varepsilon_N, 2m)} \|u\|_{m, \infty, J}.
\end{aligned}$$

When $1 \leq n \leq \kappa + j$, we have $q^j t_n \leq t_1$. Note that $2(n+1)m \leq 2p+2$, we have $m \leq p+1$. According to (3.5) and (3.1), we can lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_0^{q^j t_n} \overline{B}_1^{j,j}(t_n, s)(\pi_h - I)Kv_{\mu, i-1} ds \right| & \leq Ct_1 h_1^m \|u\|_{m, \infty, e_1} \\
& \leq Ch_1^{m+1} \|u\|_{m, \infty, e_1} \quad (3.73) \\
& \leq CN^{-2m} \|u\|_{m, \infty, e_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can deduce that the following inequality is valid for any integer k satisfying $1 \leq k \leq 2p+1$,

$$\left| \int_0^{q^j t_{1,k}} \overline{B}_1^{j,j}(t_{1,k}, s)(\pi_h - I)Kv_{\mu, i-1} ds \right| \leq CN^{-2m} \|u\|_{m, \infty, e_1}. \quad (3.74)$$

By the inequalities (3.72), (3.73) and (3.74), we obtain

$$\|\overline{\pi} \left[\int_0^{q^j t} \overline{B}_1^{j,j}(t, s)(\pi_h - I)Kv_{\mu, i-1} ds \right]\|_{0, \infty, J} \leq CN^{-(2m-\varepsilon_N, 2m)} \|u\|_{m, \infty, J}. \quad (3.75)$$

By the same way, we can deduce

$$\|\overline{\pi} \left[\int_0^{q^j t} \overline{B}_1^{j,j+1}(t, s)(\pi_h - I)Kv_{\mu, i-1} ds \right]\|_{0, \infty, J} \leq CN^{-(2m-\varepsilon_N, 2m)} \|u\|_{m, \infty, J}. \quad (3.76)$$

Combining (3.71) and (3.75) with (3.76), we have

$$\|\overline{\pi} B_1^{m+\mu} B_2 v_{\mu, i-1}\|_{0, \infty, J} \leq CN^{-(2m-\varepsilon_N, 2m)} \|u\|_{m, \infty, J}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2p+1. \quad (3.77)$$

By the inequalities (3.70) and (3.77), we get

$$\|\overline{\pi} B_1^m B_{l^* - i - r, i} e\|_{0, \infty, J} \leq CN^{-(2m-\varepsilon_N, 2m)} \|u\|_{m, \infty, J}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 2p+1. \quad (3.78)$$

By interpolation error estimate (3.49) and Lemma 3.3, we can lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(I - \bar{\pi})B_1^m B_{l^* - i - r, i} e\|_{0, \infty, J} &\leq CN^{-(m - \varepsilon_{N, m})} \|B_1^m B_{l^* - i - r, i} e\|_{m, \infty, J} \\
&\leq CN^{-(m - \varepsilon_{N, m})} \|B_{l^* - i - r, i} e\|_{0, \infty, J} \\
&\leq CN^{-(m - \varepsilon_{N, m})} \|e\|_{0, \infty, J} \\
&\leq CN^{-(2m - \varepsilon_{N, 2m})} \|u\|_{m, \infty, J}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.79}$$

It follows by (3.69), (3.78) and (3.79) that

$$\|B_1^m B_{l^* - i - r, i} e\|_{0, \infty, J} \leq CN^{-(2m - \varepsilon_{N, 2m})} \|u\|_{2m, \infty, J}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

The following Theorem 3.4 plays key role in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 3.4. *Let A_1 and A_2 hold. Assume that $m \leq 2$ and the functions f, K_i ($i = 1, 2$) satisfy $f \in C^{2p+2}(J)$, $K_i \in C^{2p+2}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$, $\Omega_1 := \{(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$ and $\Omega_2 := \{(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq qt, t \in J\}$. Let k denote a given positive integer such that $2(k+1)m \leq 2p+2$. Then, for any positive integer λ satisfying $2km+1 \leq \lambda \leq 2(k+1)m$, we have*

$$\|[\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{k+1} u\|_{0, \infty, J} \leq CN^{-(\lambda - \varepsilon_{N, \lambda})} \|u\|_{\lambda, \infty, J}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.80}$$

Here $\varepsilon_{N, \lambda}$ is an arbitrarily small positive number such that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{N, \lambda} = 0$.

Proof. The inequality (3.80) will be proved by the induction principle.

When $k = 1$, noting the equality (3.12)

$$(Q_h - I)u = u_h - u = e = \pi_h K e + (\pi_h - I)u,$$

we have

$$\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)u = \bar{\pi}K e.$$

For any positive integer λ satisfying $1 \leq \lambda \leq 2m$, it follows by Theorem 3.1 that there is a constant C independent of the meshes J_N such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|[\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]u\|_{0, \infty, J} &= \|\bar{\pi}K e\|_{0, \infty, J} \\
&\leq C \max_{t \in Z_N} |(K e)(t)|. \\
&\leq CN^{-(\lambda - \varepsilon_{N, \lambda})} \|u\|_{\lambda, \infty, J}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

When $1 \leq k \leq n$, we assume that the inequality

$$\|[\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{k+1} u\|_{0, \infty, J} \leq CN^{-(\lambda - \varepsilon_{N, \lambda})} \|u\|_{\lambda, \infty, J}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty, \tag{3.81}$$

is valid for any positive integer λ satisfying $2km + 1 \leq \lambda \leq 2(k + 1)m$.

In the following we prove that the inequality (3.80) is valid for $k = n + 1$.

Set $l = (2p + 3)(2p + m + 3)$ in the equality (3.58), and write $[\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{n+2}u$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
[\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{n+2}u &= [\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{n+1}\bar{\pi}e \\
&= [\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{n+1}\bar{\pi}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} B^i Au + \sum_{i=0}^l B_{l-i,i}e\right] \\
&= [\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{n+1}\bar{\pi}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} B^i Au + \sum_{i=1}^{2p+1} \sum_{r=0}^{l^*-i} \sum_{j=1}^i B_{r,j} \tilde{B}^{r,j}\right] \\
&\quad + [\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{n+1}\bar{\pi}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{2p+1} \sum_{r=0}^{l^*-i} B_{r,0} \tilde{B}^{r,0}\right] \\
&\quad + [\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{n+1}\bar{\pi}\left[\sum_{i=2p+2}^l B_{l-i,i}e\right] \\
&= G_1 + G_2 + G_3,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.82}$$

where

$$G_1 = [\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{n+1}\bar{\pi}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} B^i Au + \sum_{i=1}^{2p+1} \sum_{r=0}^{l^*-i} \sum_{j=1}^i B_{r,j} \tilde{B}^{r,j}\right],$$

$$G_2 = [\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{n+1}\bar{\pi}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{2p+1} \sum_{r=0}^{l^*-i} B_{r,0} \tilde{B}^{r,0}\right]$$

and

$$G_3 = [\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{n+1}\bar{\pi}\left[\sum_{i=2p+2}^l B_{l-i,i}e\right].$$

In the following we estimate $\|G_1\|_{0,\infty,J}$, $\|G_2\|_{0,\infty,J}$ and $\|G_3\|_{0,\infty,J}$ respectively.

Firstly, we estimate $\|G_1\|_{0,\infty,J}$. Note that $\tilde{B}^{r,j} \in C^{2p+2}(J)$ and $j \geq 1$. Then, it is easy to verify, as in the proof of the Lemma 3.7, that $B_{r,j} \tilde{B}^{r,j}$ can be written as (3.59) or (3.61) at the mesh points. Furthermore, we obtain by Lemma 3.7

$$\begin{aligned}
G_1 &= [\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{n+1}\bar{\pi}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} B^i Au + \sum_{i=1}^{2p+1} \sum_{r=0}^{l^*-i} \sum_{j=1}^i B_{r,j} \tilde{B}^{r,j}\right] \\
&= [\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{n+1} \sum_{n=2}^N \sum_{j=m}^p \left[\left(\frac{h_1}{2p+1}\right)^{2j} F_j^1(t_n) + \sum_{k=2}^n h_k^{2j} F_j^k(t_n)\right] L_n(t) + O(N^{-(2p+2)}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $L_n(t)$ is the Lagrange basic function of the point t_n . Since $F_j(t_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n F_j^k(t_n)$ and $F_j \in C^{2p+2-2j}(J)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|G_1\|_{0,\infty,J} &\leq C \sum_{j=m}^p h^{2j} \|[\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{n+1} \sum_{n=2}^N \sum_{k=1}^n F_j^k(t_n) L_n\|_{0,\infty,J} \\
&\leq C \sum_{j=m}^p h^{2j} \|[\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{n+1} \bar{\pi} F_j\|_{0,\infty,J} \\
&\leq C \sum_{j=m}^p h^{2j} \|[\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{n+1} [F_j + (\bar{\pi} - I)F_j]\|_{0,\infty,J} \\
&\leq C \sum_{j=m}^p h^{2j} \|[\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{n+1} F_j\|_{0,\infty,J} + C \sum_{j=m}^p h^{2j} \|(\bar{\pi} - I)F_j\|_{0,\infty,J}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.83}$$

In the following, we estimate $\|[\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{n+1} F_j\|_{0,\infty,J}$ with j satisfying $m \leq j \leq p$. Because of the limitation of the smoothness of the functions F_j , this will be done for different cases of j .

By the assumption in this Lemma, we know that $2 \leq 2(n+1)m \leq 2p+2$. Then $0 \leq p+1 - (n+1)m \leq p$. When j satisfies

$$p+1 - (n+1)m < j \leq p,$$

we have $n+1 > \frac{p+1-j}{m}$. It means that $n+1 \geq [\frac{p+1-j}{m}] + 1$. It is easy to verify that both $\|Q_h\|_{C(J) \rightarrow C(J)}$ and $\|\bar{\pi}\|_{C(J) \rightarrow C(J)}$ are bounded. Therefore $\|\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)\|_{C(J) \rightarrow C(J)}$ is also bounded. Furthermore, by the inequality (3.18) and the inductive assumption (3.81), we deduce (note that $n+1 \geq [\frac{p+1-j}{m}] + 1$)

$$\begin{aligned}
h^{2j} \|[\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{n+1} F_j\|_{0,\infty,J} &\leq C h^{2j} \|[\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{[\frac{p+1-j}{m}]+1} F_j\|_{0,\infty,J} \\
&\leq C N^{-(2j-\varepsilon_{N,2j})} \|[\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{[\frac{p+1-j}{m}]+1} F_j\|_{0,\infty,J} \\
&\leq C N^{-(2j-\varepsilon_{N,2j})} N^{-(2m(\frac{p+1-j}{m})-\varepsilon_{N,2(p+1-j)})} \|F_j\|_{2p+2-2j,\infty,J} \\
&\leq C N^{-(2p+2-\varepsilon_{N,2p+2})} \|F_j\|_{2p+2-2j,\infty,J}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.84}$$

If $p+1 - (n+1)m < m$, then we have $p+1 - (n+1)m < j \leq p$ since $m \leq j \leq p$. For this case, we have gotten the estimate of $\|[\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{n+1} F_j\|_{0,\infty,J}$ by the inequality (3.84). When $m \leq p+1 - (n+1)m$, by the inequality (3.84), we only need to consider the case with j satisfying $m \leq j \leq p+1 - (n+1)m$. For this case, we have $2p+2-2j \geq 2(n+1)m$. Since $2nm+1 \leq \lambda \leq 2(n+1)m$ and $F_j \in C^{2p+2-2j}(J)$, the norm $\|F_j\|_{\lambda,\infty,J}$ is well defined. Thus, using the inductive assumption (3.81), we get

$$\|[\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{n+1} F_j\|_{0,\infty,J} \leq C N^{-(\lambda-\varepsilon_{N,\lambda})} \|F_j\|_{\lambda,\infty,J}, \quad 2nm+1 \leq \lambda \leq 2(n+1)m.$$

This, together with (3.18), (3.27) and (3.60), allows us to deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
h^{2j} \| [\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{n+1} F_j \|_{0,\infty,J} &\leq Ch^{2j} N^{-(\lambda-\varepsilon_N,\lambda)} \| F_j \|_{\lambda,\infty,J} \\
&\leq CN^{-(2j-\varepsilon_N,2j)} N^{-(\lambda-\varepsilon_N,\lambda)} \| F_j \|_{\lambda,\infty,J} \quad (3.85) \\
&\leq CN^{-(\lambda+2j-\varepsilon_N,\lambda+2j)} \| u \|_{\lambda+2j,\infty,J}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we estimate $\|(\bar{\pi} - I)F_j\|_{0,\infty,J}$ ($m \leq j \leq p$). From Theorem 3.3 and the inequality (3.18), we have

$$h^{2j} \|(\bar{\pi} - I)F_j\|_{0,\infty,J} \leq CN^{-(2p+2-\varepsilon_N,2p+2)} \|F_j\|_{2p+2-2j,\infty,J}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty.$$

This, together with (3.83), (3.84) and (3.85), allows us to deduce

$$\|G_1\|_{0,\infty,J} \leq CN^{-(\lambda-\varepsilon_N,\lambda)} \|u\|_{\lambda,\infty,J}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty, \quad 2(n+1)m+1 \leq \lambda \leq 2(n+2)m. \quad (3.86)$$

Secondly, we estimate $\|G_2\|_{0,\infty,J}$. By the definition of G_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|G_2\|_{0,\infty,J} &= \| [\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{n+1} \bar{\pi} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{2p+1} \sum_{r=0}^{l^*-i} B_{r,0} \tilde{B}^{r,0} \right] \|_{0,\infty,J} \\
&\leq C \| [\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{n+1} \bar{\pi} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{2p+2} \sum_{r=0}^{l^*-i} B_1^r B_1^{2p+2+m} B_{l^*-i-r,i} e \right] \|_{0,\infty,J}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we get by (3.81)

$$\begin{aligned}
\|G_2\|_{0,\infty,J} &\leq C \sum_{i=0}^{2p+2} \sum_{r=0}^{l^*-i} \| [\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{n+1} \bar{\pi} [B_1^{2p+2+m+r} B_{l^*-i-r,i} e] \|_{0,\infty,J} \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=0}^{2p+2} \sum_{r=0}^{l^*-i} \| [\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{n+1} [B_1^{2p+2+m+r} B_{l^*-i-r,i} e] \|_{0,\infty,J} \\
&\quad + C \sum_{i=0}^{2p+2} \sum_{r=0}^{l^*-i} \| [\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{n+1} (\bar{\pi} - I) [B_1^{2p+2+m+r} B_{l^*-i-r,i} e] \|_{0,\infty,J} \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=0}^{2p+2} \sum_{r=0}^{l^*-i} N^{-(\lambda-\varepsilon_N,\lambda)} \| B_1^{2p+2+m+r} B_{l^*-i-r,i} e \|_{\lambda,\infty,J} \quad (3.87) \\
&\quad + C \sum_{i=0}^{2p+2} \sum_{r=0}^{l^*-i} \| (\bar{\pi} - I) [B_1^{2p+2+m+r} B_{l^*-i-r,i} e] \|_{0,\infty,J} \\
&= C(I_{21} + I_{22}), \quad N \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_{21} = \sum_{i=0}^{2p+2} \sum_{r=0}^{l^*-i} N^{-(\lambda-\varepsilon_N,\lambda)} \| B_1^{2p+2+m+r} B_{l^*-i-r,i} e \|_{\lambda,\infty,J}, \quad 2nm+1 \leq \lambda \leq 2(n+1)m,$$

and

$$I_{22} = \sum_{i=0}^{2p+2} \sum_{r=0}^{l^*-i} \| (\bar{\pi} - I) [B_1^{2p+2+m+r} B_{l^*-i-r,i} e] \|_{0,\infty,J}.$$

Noting that $2(n+1)m \leq 2p+2$ and the inequality (3.67), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{21} &\leq C \sum_{i=0}^{2p+2} \sum_{r=0}^{l^*-i} N^{-(\lambda-\varepsilon_N, \lambda)} \|B_1^{2p+2+m+r} B_{l^*-i-r, i} e\|_{2(n+1)m, \infty, J} \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=0}^{2p+2} \sum_{r=0}^{l^*-i} N^{-(\lambda-\varepsilon_N, \lambda)} \|B_1^{2p+2+m+r-2(n+1)m} B_{l^*-i-r, i} e\|_{0, \infty, J} \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=0}^{2p+2} \sum_{r=0}^{l^*-i} N^{-(\lambda-\varepsilon_N, \lambda)} \|B_1^m B_{l^*-i-r, i} e\|_{0, \infty, J}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.88}$$

It follows, by the inequality (3.88) and Lemma 3.9, that the following inequality is valid for any integer λ satisfying $2nm+1 \leq \lambda \leq 2(n+1)m$

$$I_{21} \leq CN^{-(\lambda-\varepsilon_N, \lambda)} N^{-(2m-\varepsilon_N, 2m)} \|u\|_{2m, \infty, J}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty.$$

This means that

$$I_{21} \leq CN^{-(\lambda-\varepsilon_N, \lambda)} \|u\|_{2m, \infty, J}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty, \quad 2(n+1)m+1 \leq \lambda \leq 2(n+2)m. \tag{3.89}$$

By interpolation error estimate (3.49) and the inequalities (3.67), (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{22} &\leq C \sum_{i=0}^{2p+2} \sum_{r=0}^i \|(\bar{\pi} - I)[B_1^{2p+2+m+r} B_{l^*-i-r, i} e]\|_{0, \infty, J} \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=0}^{2p+2} \sum_{r=0}^i N^{-(2p+2-\varepsilon_N, 2p+2)} \|B_1^{2p+2+m+r} B_{l^*-i-r, i} e\|_{2p+2, \infty, J} \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=0}^{2p+2} \sum_{r=0}^i N^{-(2p+2-\varepsilon_N, 2p+2)} \|B_1^r B_{l^*-i-r, i} e\|_{0, \infty, J} \\
&\leq CN^{-(2p+2-\varepsilon_N, 2p+2)} \|e\|_{0, \infty, J} \\
&\leq CN^{-(2p+2-\varepsilon_N, 2p+2)} \|u\|_{m, \infty, J}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.90}$$

Combining (3.87), (3.89) and (3.90), we obtain

$$\|G_2\|_{0, \infty, J} \leq CN^{-(\lambda-\varepsilon_N, \lambda)} \|u\|_{\lambda, \infty, J}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty, \quad 2(n+1)m+1 \leq \lambda \leq 2(n+2)m. \tag{3.91}$$

Finally, we estimate $\|G_3\|_{0, \infty, J}$. From the inequality (3.66), it is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|G_3\|_{0, \infty, J} &= \|[\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{n+1} \bar{\pi} \left[\sum_{i=2p+2}^l B_{l-i, i} e \right]\|_{0, \infty, J} \\
&\leq C \left\| \sum_{i=2p+2}^l B_{l-i, i} e \right\|_{0, \infty, J} \\
&\leq CN^{-(2p+2-\varepsilon_N, 2p+2)} \|u\|_{1, \infty, J}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.92}$$

This, together with (3.82), (3.86) and (3.91), allows us to deduce

$$\|[\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{n+2} u\|_{0, \infty, J} \leq CN^{-(\lambda-\varepsilon_N, \lambda)} \|u\|_{\lambda, \infty, J}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty, \quad 2(n+1)m+1 \leq \lambda \leq 2(n+2)m.$$

Namely, the inequality (3.80) is valid for $k = n+1$.

Now we prove the inequality (3.80) by the induction principle. □

4 Proof of the main result

In this section, we prove Theorem 2.1 by using the auxiliary results given in the last section.

Proof. For convenience, we set $T_h = \bar{\pi}Q_h - I$. It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} T_h^{k+1}u &= (\bar{\pi}Q_h - I)^{k+1}u \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j C_{k+1}^j (\bar{\pi}Q_h)^{k-j} \bar{\pi}Q_h u - (-1)^k u \\ &= (-1)^k (u_{h,k} - u). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|u_{h,k} - u\|_{0,\infty,J} = \|T_h^{k+1}u\|_{0,\infty,J}.$$

Let λ denote a positive integer number. When $\lambda = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_h^\lambda u &= T_h u \\ &= (\bar{\pi}Q_h - I)u \\ &= \bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)u + (\bar{\pi} - I)u. \end{aligned}$$

First, we prove inductively that the following equation is valid for $\lambda \geq 2$

$$T_h^\lambda u = [\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^\lambda u + \sum_{l=0}^{\lambda-2} (-1)^{2\lambda-l} [\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{\lambda-1-l} u + (-1)^{\lambda+1} (\bar{\pi} - I)u. \quad (4.1)$$

When $\lambda = 2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} T_h^2 u &= (\bar{\pi}Q_h - I)[\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)u + (\bar{\pi} - I)u] \\ &= \bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)u + (\bar{\pi}Q_h - I)(\bar{\pi} - I)u \\ &= \bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)u + \bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)(\bar{\pi} - I)u - (\bar{\pi} - I)u. \end{aligned}$$

We assume that the equation

$$T_h^\lambda u = [\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^\lambda u + \sum_{l=0}^{\lambda-2} (-1)^{2\lambda-l} [\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{\lambda-1-l} u + (-1)^{\lambda+1} (\bar{\pi} - I)u, \quad (4.2)$$

is valid for λ ($\lambda \geq 2$). Now we only need to prove that

$$T_h^{\lambda+1}u = [\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{\lambda+1}u + \sum_{l=0}^{\lambda-1} (-1)^{2(\lambda+1)-l} [\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{\lambda-l} u + (-1)^{\lambda+2} (\bar{\pi} - I)u. \quad (4.3)$$

In fact, $T_h^{\lambda+1}u$ can be written as $T_h^{\lambda+1}u = T_h(T_h^\lambda u)$. It follows by the inductive assumption (4.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
T_h^{\lambda+1}u &= T_h(T_h^\lambda u) \\
&= (\bar{\pi}Q_h - I)\{\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)^\lambda u \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=0}^{\lambda-2} (-1)^{2\lambda-l} [\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{\lambda-1-l} u \\
&\quad + (-1)^{\lambda+1} (\bar{\pi} - I)u\} \\
&= G_1 + G_2 + G_3,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where $G_1 = (\bar{\pi}Q_h - I)[\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)^\lambda u]$, $G_2 = (\bar{\pi}Q_h - I)\{\sum_{l=0}^{\lambda-2} (-1)^{2\lambda-l} [\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{\lambda-1-l} u\}$ and $G_3 = (\bar{\pi}Q_h - I)\{(-1)^{\lambda+1} (\bar{\pi} - I)u\}$. It is easy to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
G_1 &= (\bar{\pi}Q_h - I)[\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)^\lambda u] \\
&= [\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{\lambda+1} u + (\bar{\pi} - I)[\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^\lambda u \\
&= [\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{\lambda+1} u,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
G_2 &= (\bar{\pi}Q_h - I)\left\{\sum_{l=0}^{\lambda-2} (-1)^{2\lambda-l} [\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{\lambda-1-l} u\right\} \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{\lambda-2} (-1)^{2\lambda-l} ([\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{\lambda-l} u + (\bar{\pi} - I)[\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{\lambda-1-l} u) \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{\lambda-2} (-1)^{2\lambda-l} ([\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)]^{\lambda-l} u),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
G_3 &= (\bar{\pi}Q_h - I)\{(-1)^{\lambda+1} (\bar{\pi} - I)u\} \\
&= (-1)^{\lambda+1} ([\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)](\bar{\pi} - I)u - (\bar{\pi} - I)u) \\
&= (-1)^{\lambda+1} [\bar{\pi}(Q_h - I)](\bar{\pi} - I)u + (-1)^{\lambda+2} (\bar{\pi} - I)u.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

By using (4.4)-(4.7), we deduce (4.3). It then follows by the induction principle that the equation (4.1) is valid.

Now we can readily prove Theorem 2.1. Set $\lambda = k + 1$. Therefore the following inequality is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.4

$$\|[\bar{\pi}(Q - I)]^{k+1} u\|_{0,\infty,J} \leq CN^{-(2(k+1)m - \varepsilon_{N,2(k+1)m})} \|u\|_{2(k+1)m,\infty,J}. \tag{4.8}$$

For $0 \leq n \leq \lambda - 2 = k - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(-1)^{2k+2-n} [\bar{\pi}(Q - I)]^{k-n} (\bar{\pi} - I)u\|_{0,\infty,J} \\
&\leq CN^{-(2(k-n)m - \varepsilon_{N,2(k-n)m})} \|(\bar{\pi} - I)u\|_{2(k-n)m,\infty,J} \\
&\leq CN^{-(2(p+1) - \varepsilon_{N,2(p+1)})} \|u\|_{2(p+1),\infty,J}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

and

$$\|(-1)^{k+2}(\bar{\pi} - I)u\|_{0,\infty,J} \leq CN^{-(2(p+1)-\varepsilon_{N,2(p+1)})}\|u\|_{2(p+1),\infty,J}. \quad (4.10)$$

Combining (4.1), (4.8), (4.9) and (4.10), we lead to

$$\|T_h^{k+1}u\|_{0,\infty,J} \leq CN^{-(2(k+1)m-\varepsilon_{N,2(k+1)m})}\|u\|_{2(k+1)m,\infty,J}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty.$$

By the definition of $\varepsilon_{N,k}$ and the fact that $2(k+1)m \leq 2p+2$, we have $\varepsilon_{N,2(k+1)m} \leq \varepsilon_{N,2p+2}$.

Letting $\varepsilon_N = \varepsilon_{N,2(p+1)}$, we can obtain

$$\|u_{h,k} - u\|_{0,\infty,J} \leq CN^{-(2(k+1)m-\varepsilon_N)}\|u\|_{2(k+1)m,\infty,J}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty,$$

where ε_N is an arbitrarily small positive number such that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_N = 0$. \square

5 Numerical examples

For the numerical verification of the result stated in section 2, we consider

$$u(t) = f(t) - \int_0^t u(s)ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{qt} u(s)ds, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (5.1)$$

where the function f is chosen as $f(t) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + e^{-qt})$ such that the exact solution is $u(t) = e^{-t}$; the delay parameter q is chosen as $q = 0.9$, $q = 0.5$, or $q = 0.2$. We set $T = 10$. The equation (5.1) is solved by two collocation methods on different meshes using the space $S_1^0(J_N)$ ($m = 1$).

The first method M_1 is the multilevel correction method based on the *geometric meshes* and the Lobatto collocation parameters: $c_1 = 0.0, c_2 = 1.0$; the second method M_2 is the multilevel correction method which uses the *hybrid meshes* introduced in this paper and the Lobatto collocation parameters c_1 and c_2 . For convenience, we let $\|\cdot\|_{0,\infty}$ denote the uniform norm $\|\cdot\|_{0,\infty,J}$. The L^∞ errors are reported in Table 1 ($q = 0.9$), Table 2 ($q = 0.5$) and Table 3 ($q = 0.2$).

TABLE 1 ($q = 0.9$)

	M_1	M_1	M_2	M_2	M_2
N	$\ u_{h,0} - u\ _{0,\infty}$	$\ u_{h,1} - u\ _{0,\infty}$	$\ u_{h,0} - u\ _{0,\infty}$	$\ u_{h,1} - u\ _{0,\infty}$	$\ u_{h,2} - u\ _{0,\infty}$
200	8.08D-5	3.08D-8	3.24D-4	2.41D-6	1.87D-6
400	1.31D-2	1.79D-5	8.08D-5	3.26D-8	8.88D-9
800	3.99D-6	1.80D-3	2.02D-5	1.92D-9	2.72D-11
1600	1.10D-5	1.30D-1	5.05D-6	1.20D-10	9.92D-14

TABLE 2 ($q = 0.5$)

	M_1	M_1	M_2	M_2	M_2
N	$\ u_{h,0} - u\ _{0,\infty}$	$\ u_{h,1} - u\ _{0,\infty}$	$\ u_{h,0} - u\ _{0,\infty}$	$\ u_{h,1} - u\ _{0,\infty}$	$\ u_{h,2} - u\ _{0,\infty}$
200	4.35D-5	1.30D-8	1.94D-4	2.62D-7	3.92D-8
400	1.36D-5	1.50D-5	5.43D-5	2.04D-8	1.02D-9
800	4.21D-6	6.05D-3	1.74D-5	2.09D-9	1.03D-11
1600	9.05D-6	3.03D-0	5.00D-6	1.71D-10	8.74D-14

TABLE 3 ($q = 0.2$)

	M_1	M_1	M_2	M_2	M_2
N	$\ u_{h,0} - u\ _{0,\infty}$	$\ u_{h,1} - u\ _{0,\infty}$	$\ u_{h,0} - u\ _{0,\infty}$	$\ u_{h,1} - u\ _{0,\infty}$	$\ u_{h,2} - u\ _{0,\infty}$
200	4.89D-5	1.61D-8	2.15D-4	3.24D-7	5.31D-8
400	1.52D-5	1.06D-5	6.22D-5	2.61D-8	1.14D-9
800	4.63D-6	4.22D-3	1.88D-5	2.37D-9	8.78D-12
1600	1.45D-6	4.44D-0	5.60D-6	2.10D-10	8.48D-14

The above numerical results show that the correction approximations $u_{1,2}$ and $u_{h,2}$ based on the *hybrid meshes* introduced in this paper possess the superconvergence orders $4 - \varepsilon_N$ and $6 - \varepsilon_N$ respectively (with $\varepsilon_N \in [0, 0.678]$), which clearly confirm the multilevel correction estimates given in Theorem 2.1 and reveal that the method M_2 is effective for widely varying parameter values $q \in (0, 1)$. Note that such high superconvergence is obtained without expensive cost, since only the lowest piecewise polynomial ($m = 1$) is used in the collocation space. But the errors between the analytic solution u and the correction approximation $u_{h,1}$ based on the original geometric meshes are not monotonic decreasing with mesh refinement. This is just the reason why we need to introduce the *hybrid meshes* to guarantee that the resulting k level corrected approximation possesses an ideal superconvergence order (see Section 2 for the details).

References

- [1] G. Andreoli, Sulle equazioni integrali, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, **37** (1914), pp. 76-112.
- [2] I. Ali, H. Brunner, and T. Tang, Spectral methods for pantograph-type differential and integral equations with multiple delays, Front. Math. China, **4** (2009), pp. 49-61.
- [3] C.T.H. Baker and M.S. Derakhshan, Convergence and Stability of quadrature methods applied to Volterra equations with delay, IMA J. Numer. Anal., **13** (1993), pp. 67-91.
- [4] A. Bellen, H. Brunner, S. Maset and L. Torelli, Superconvergence in collocation methods on quasi-graded meshes for functional differential equations with vanishing delay, BIT Numer. Math., **46** (2006), pp. 229-247.
- [5] J.M. Bownds, J.M. Cushing and R. Schutte, Existence, uniqueness, and extendibility of solutions to Volterra integral systems with multiple variable delays, Funkcial. Ekvac., **19** (1976), pp. 101-111.

- [6] H. Brunner, Iterated collocation methods for Volterra integral equations with delay arguments, *Math. Comp.*, **62** (1994), pp. 581-599.
- [7] H. Brunner, On the discretization of differential and Volterra integral equations with variable delay, *BIT Numer. Math.*, **37** (1997), pp. 1-12.
- [8] H. Brunner, The numerical analysis of functional integral and integro-differential equations of Volterra type, *Acta Numer.*, **13** (2004), pp. 55-145.
- [9] H. Brunner, *Collocation Methods for Volterra Integral and Related Functional Equations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2004.
- [10] H. Brunner, Current work and open problems problems in the numerical analysis of Volterra functional equations with vanishing delays, *Front. Math. China*, **4** (2009), pp. 3-22.
- [11] H. Brunner and Q.Y. Hu, Optimal superconvergence orders of iterated collocation solutions for Volterra integral equations with vanishing delays, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **43** (2005), pp. 1934-1949.
- [12] H. Brunner and Q.Y. Hu, Optimal superconvergence results for delay integro-differential equations of pantograph type, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **45** (2007), pp. 986-1004.
- [13] H. Brunner, Q.Y. Hu, and Q. Lin, Geometric meshes in collocation methods for Volterra integral equations with proportional delays, *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, **21** (2001), pp. 783-798.
- [14] H. Brunner and S. Maset, *Time Transformations for Delay Differential Equations*, preprint, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Trieste, Trieste, Italy, 2006.
- [15] H. Brunner and P.J. Van Der Houwen, *The Numerical Solution of Volterra Equations*, CWI Monographs, **3** (1986), Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- [16] Ll.G. Chambers, Some properties of the functional equation $\phi(x) = f(x) + \int_0^{\lambda x} g(x, y, \phi(y))dy$, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **14** (1990), pp. 27-44.
- [17] J. Cerha, On some linear Volterra delay equations, *Časopis Pešt Mat.*, **101** (1976), pp. 111-123.

- [18] F. Chatelin and R. Lebbar, Superconvergence results for the iterated projection method applied to a Fredholm integral equation of the second kind and the corresponding eigenvalue problem, *J. Integral Equations*, **6** (1984), pp. 71-91.
- [19] K.L. Cooke, An epidemic equation with immigration, *Math. Biosci.*, **29** (1976), pp. 135-158.
- [20] A. M. Denisov and A. Lorenzi, Existence results and regularization techniques for severely ill-posed integrofunctional equations, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (7)*, **11** (1997), pp. 713-731.
- [21] R. Esser, Numerische behandlung einer volterraschen integralgleichung, *Computing*, **19** (1978), pp. 269-284.
- [22] Q.Y. Hu, Extrapolation for collocation solutions of Volterra integro-differential equations, *Chinese J. Numer. Math. Appl.*, **18** (1996), pp. 28-37.
- [23] Q.Y. Hu, Geometric meshes and their application to Volterra integro-differential equations with singularities, *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, **18** (1998), pp. 151-164.
- [24] Q.Y. Hu, Interpolation correction for collocation solutions of Fredholm integro-differential equations, *Math. Comp.*, **67** (1998), pp. 987-999.
- [25] Q.Y. Hu, Multilevel correction for discrete collocation solutions of Volterra integral equations with delay arguments, *Appl.Numer.Math.*, **31** (1999), pp. 159-171.
- [26] E. Ishiwata, On the attainable order of collocation methods for the neutral functional-differential equations with proportional delays, *Computing*, **64** (2000), pp. 207-222.
- [27] Q. Lin, I.H. Sloan and R. Xie, Extrapolation of the iterated-collocation method for integral equations of the second kind, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **27** (1990), pp. 1535-1541.
- [28] Y. Liu, Stability analysis of θ -methods for neutral functional-differential equations, *Numer. Math.*, **70** (1995), pp. 473-485.
- [29] G.I. Marchuk and V.V. Shaidurov, *Difference methods and their extrapolation*, *Appl. Math. (New York)*, **19** Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [30] G. R. Morris, A. Feldstein, and E. W. Bowen, The Phragmén-Lindelöf principle and a class of functional differential equations, in *ordinary differential equations (Proc.*

- Conf., Math. Res. Center, Naval Res. Lab., Washington, DC, 1971), L. Weiss, ed., Academic Press, New York, 1972, pp. 513-540.
- [31] S. McKee, T. Tang, and T. Diogo, An Euler-type method for two-dimensional Volterra integral equations of the first kind, *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, **20** (2000), pp. 423-440.
- [32] V. Mureşan, On a class of Volterra integral equations with deviating argument, *Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.*, **44** (1999), pp. 47-54.
- [33] J. Piila, Characterization of the membrane theory of a clamped shell: the hyperbolic case, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, **6** (1996), pp. 169-194.
- [34] I.H. Sloan, Improvement by iteration for compact operator equations, *Math. Comp.*, **30** (1976), pp. 758-764.
- [35] J. Shi, Higher accuracy algorithm of the second-kind integral equations and application to boundary integral equations, Ph.D. Thesis, Institute of Systems Science, Academia Sinica, Beijing, 1990.
- [36] N. Takama, Y. Muroya and E. Ishiwata, On the attainable order of collocation methods for the delay differential equation with proportional delay, *BIT*, **40** (2000), pp. 374-394.
- [37] T. Tang, X. Xu, and J. Cheng, On spectral methods for Volterra type integral equations and the convergence analysis, *J. Comput. Math.*, **26** (2008), pp. 825-837.
- [38] V. Volterra, *Leçons sur les équations intégrales et les équations intégrales-différentielles*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1913.