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#### Abstract

In this paper, based on the Kirchhoff transformation, the coupling of natural boundary element method and finite element method are discussed for solving exterior anisotropic quasilinear problems with elliptic artificial boundary. By the principle of the natural boundary reduction, we obtain natural integral equation on elliptic artificial boundaries, the coupled variational problem and its numerical method. Moreover, the convergence and error estimate of the approximate solutions are obtained. Finally, some numerical examples are presented to illuminate the feasibility of the method.
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## 1. Introduction

Based on the Green's function and Green's formula, natural boundary element method (NBEM) reduces the boundary value problem of partial differential equation into a hypersingular integral equation on the boundary, and then solves the latter numerically $[1,2]$. It has advantages over the usual boundary reduction methods: such as the diminution of the number of space dimensions by 1 , the conservation of energy functional, the preservation of self-adjointness and coerciveness. But it also has evident limitations, it's difficult to obtain Green's functions for solving problem in general domains. Therefore, the coupling of NBEM which is also called artificial boundary condition $[3,4]$ or DtN method $[5,6]$ and finite element method (FEM) [2] is useful and necessary for general cases.
The standard procedure of the coupling method can be described as follows. We introduce an artificial boundary to divide the original domain into two subregions, a bounded inner region and an unbounded one with a special boundary, such as circle, ellipse, and spherical surface, on which the boundary element method and finite element method are used respectively. This technique has been used to solve many linear problems [1,2,4-6] and it has also been successfully generalized to solve nonlinear boundary value problems [7-9] or quasilinear problems [ $3,10,11]$. The problems were discussed in $[3,10,11]$ take

[^0]circle as artificial boundary, but for the problems with elongated domains, an elliptic boundary that leads to a smaller computational domain is obviously better than the circle one. The purpose of the paper is to study the coupling of NBEM and FEM to solve the anisotropic quasilinear problems with an elliptic artificial boundary.

Let $\Omega$ be a elongated, bounded and simple connected domain in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial \Omega=\Gamma_{0} . \Omega^{c}=\mathbb{R}^{2} / \bar{\Omega}$. We consider the numerical solution of the exterior anisotropic quasilinear problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\alpha a(\mathrm{x}, u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\beta a(\mathrm{x}, u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)\right)=f, & \text { in } \Omega^{c},  \tag{1.1}\\
u=0, & \text { on } \Gamma_{0}, \\
u(\mathbf{x})=O(1), & \text { as }|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

With $\beta>\alpha>0$ or $\alpha=\beta=1, \mathbf{x}=(x, y), a(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $f$ are given functions which will be ranked as below. Following [3,12], suppose that the given function $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<C_{0} \leq a(\mathbf{x}, u) \leq C_{1}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\forall u \in \mathbb{R}$, and for almost all $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega^{c}$, where two positive constants $C_{0}, C_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|a(\mathbf{x}, u)-a(\mathbf{x}, v)| \leq C_{L}|u-v|, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}$, and for almost all $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega^{c}$, with a constant $C_{L}>0$. We also assume that $\partial a / \partial s, \partial^{2} a / \partial s^{2}$ are con-
tinuous. In the following, we suppose that the function $f \in L^{2}\left(\Omega^{c}\right)$ has compact support, i.e., there exists a constant $\mu_{0}>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{supp} f \subset \Omega_{\mu_{0}}=\left\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}| | \mathbf{x} \mid \leq \mu_{0}\right\} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(\mathbf{x}, u)=a_{0}(u), \text { when }|\mathbf{x}| \geq \mu_{0} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we introduce an elliptic artificial boundary

$$
\Gamma_{\mu_{1}}=\left\{(\mu, \phi) \mid \mu=\mu_{1}>\mu_{0}, 0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi\right\} .
$$

$\Gamma_{\mu_{1}}$ divide $\Omega^{c}$ into two regions, a bounded domain $\Omega_{i}$ and an unbounded domain $\Omega_{e}$ with elliptic artificial boundary. Then the problem (1.1) can be rewritten in the coupled form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{cl}
-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\alpha a(\mathbf{x}, u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\beta a(\mathbf{x}, u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)\right)=f, & \text { in } \Omega_{i}, \\
u=0, & \text { on } \Gamma_{0},
\end{array}\right.  \tag{1.6}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{cc}
-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\alpha a(\mathbf{x}, u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\beta a(\mathbf{x}, u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)\right)=0, & \text { in } \Omega_{e}, \\
u(\mathbf{x})=O(1), & \text { when }|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty,
\end{array}\right.  \tag{1.7}\\
& u(\mathbf{x}) \text { and } \alpha a_{0}(u) n_{x} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\beta a_{0}(u) n_{y} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \text { are continuous on } \Gamma_{\mu_{1}} \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{n}=\left(n_{x}, n_{y}\right)$ is the unit exterior normal vector on $\Gamma_{\mu_{1}}$. Particularly, when $a(\mathbf{x}, u)=c$ which is independent of $\mathbf{x}$ and $u$, [13-15] have obtained the natural integral equation. We introduce the so-called Kirichhoff transformation [16]

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(\mathbf{x})=\int_{0}^{u(\mathbf{x})} a_{0}(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta, \text { for } \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_{e} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla w=a_{0}(u) \nabla u \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\alpha \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}, \beta \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\right)  \tag{1.11}\\
& =\left(\alpha a_{0}(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \beta a_{0}(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

From equation (1.7) we have that $w$ satisfies the following problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-\left(\alpha \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}+\beta \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}}\right)=0, \quad \text { in } \Omega_{e}  \tag{1.12}\\
w(\mathbf{x})=O(1), \quad \text { when }|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we obtain the natural integral equation for elliptic unbounded domain cases. In Section 3, we give the equivalent variational problems and the finite element approximations. The reduced problem's well-posedness, the convergence results and error estimate are also discussed. At last, in Section 4, we present some numerical exam-
ples to illuminate the efficiency and feasibility of our method.

## 2. Natural Boundary Reduction

In this section, by virtue of the Poisson integral formula and natural integral equation for the linear problem, we shall obtain the corresponding results for the quasilinear problem in $\Omega^{c}$. For this purpose, we need to discuss some properties between elliptic coordinates $(\mu, \phi)$ and Cartesian coordinates $(x, y)$ first. The relationship between the two coordinates can be expressed as below

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x=f_{0} \cosh \mu \cos \phi  \tag{2.1}\\
y=f_{0} \sinh \mu \sin \phi
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f_{0}=\sqrt{a^{2}-b^{2}}, \quad a=f_{0} \cosh \mu_{1}, \quad b=f_{0} \sinh \mu_{1}$. Following from [15], we have

Theorem 2.1 The transformation between elliptic coordinates and Cartesian coordinates (2.1) possesses the following property.

1) The Jacobi determinant of Equation (2.1) is

$$
\begin{align*}
J & =f_{0}^{2} \cosh ^{2} \mu \sin ^{2} \phi+f_{0}^{2} \sinh ^{2} \mu \cos ^{2} \phi \\
& =f_{0}^{2}\left(\cosh ^{2} \mu-\cos ^{2} \phi\right), \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

$J=0$ if and only if $(x, y)=\left( \pm f_{0}, 0\right)$;
2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \mu^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \phi^{2}}=J\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $u \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$;
3) For the exterior domain $\Omega_{e}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{J}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mu} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v$ refers to the unit exterior normal vector on $\Gamma_{\mu_{1}}$ (regarded as the inner boundary of $\Omega_{e}$ ).

Proof The conclusions 1 and 2 can be obtained by direct computation. And 3 follows from the property

$$
v=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{J}}\left(f_{0} \sinh \mu \cos \phi, f_{0} \cosh \mu \sin \phi\right)
$$

### 2.1. Natural Integral Equation for $\alpha=\boldsymbol{\beta}=1$

Assume that $w(\mathbf{x})$ is the solution of the problem (1.12), and the value $\left.w\right|_{|\mu|=\mu_{1}}$ is given, namely

$$
\left.w\right|_{|\mu|=\mu_{1}}=w_{0}(\phi)
$$

Then based on the natural boundary reduction, there are the Poisson integral formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
& w(\mu, \phi) \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{e}^{2 \mu}-\mathrm{e}^{2 \mu_{1}}}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{w_{0}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)}{\mathrm{e}^{2 \mu}+\mathrm{e}^{2 \mu_{1}}-2 \mathrm{e}^{\mu+\mu_{1}} \cos \left(\phi-\phi^{\prime}\right)} \mathrm{d} \phi^{\prime},  \tag{2.5}\\
& \mu>\mu_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
w(\mu, \phi)= & \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{n\left(\mu_{1}-\mu\right)} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \cos n\left(\phi-\phi^{\prime}\right) w_{0}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)  \tag{2.6}\\
& +\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} w_{0}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} \phi^{\prime}, \mu>\mu_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

And the natural integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial w}{\partial n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{J_{0}}}\left[-\frac{1}{4 \pi \sin ^{2} \frac{\phi}{2}} \times w_{0}(\phi)\right], \mu=\mu_{1} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial w}{\partial n}=\frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{J_{0}}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \cos n\left(\phi-\phi^{\prime}\right) w_{0}\left(\mu_{1}, \phi^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} \phi  \tag{2.8}\\
& \mu=\mu_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

the definition of $J_{0}$ can be found in the following. The Poisson integral formulas (2.5) and (2.6) and the natural integral Equations (2.7) and (2.8) can also be expressed in the Fourier series forms

$$
\begin{align*}
& w(\mu, \phi)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_{n} \mathrm{e}^{\ln \left(\mu_{1}-\mu\right)+i n \phi}, \mu>\mu_{1}  \tag{2.9}\\
& \frac{\partial w}{\partial n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{J}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}|n| a_{n} \mathrm{e}^{i n \phi}, \mu=\mu_{1}, \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{n}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} w_{0}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-i n \phi^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} \phi^{\prime}, \quad i=\sqrt{-1}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{0} & =f_{0}^{2}\left(\left(\cosh ^{2} \mu_{1} \sin ^{2} \phi+\sinh ^{2} \mu_{1} \cos ^{2} \phi\right)\right. \\
& =f_{0}^{2}\left(\cosh ^{2} \mu_{1}-\cos ^{2} \phi\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (1.10), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial w}{\partial n}=a_{0}(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (1.9), (2.10) and (2.11), we get the exact artificial boundary condition of $u$ on $\Gamma_{\mu_{1}}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left(a_{0}(u) \frac{\partial u(\mu, \phi)}{\partial n}\right)\right|_{\mu=\mu_{1}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{J_{0}}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}|n| a_{n} \mathrm{e}^{i n \phi} \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{J_{0}}} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} n \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \cos n\left(\phi-\phi^{\prime}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{u\left(\mu_{1}, \phi\right)} a_{0}(\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{y}\right) \mathrm{d} \phi \\
& =K_{1}\left(u\left(\mu_{1}, \phi\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{n}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\int_{0}^{u\left(\mu_{1}, \phi^{\prime}\right)} a_{0}(\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{y}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-i n \phi^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} \phi^{\prime}, \quad i=\sqrt{-1}$, $J_{0}=f_{0}^{2}\left(\cosh ^{2} \mu_{1}-\cos ^{2} \phi\right)$. Then by (1.6)-(1.8) and (2.12), the original problem with $\alpha=\beta=1$ confines in $\Omega_{i}$ can be defined as follows

$$
\begin{cases}-\nabla \cdot(a(\mathbf{x}, u) \nabla u)=f, & \text { in } \Omega_{i}  \tag{2.13}\\ u=0, & \text { on } \Gamma_{0} \\ a_{0}(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=K_{1}\left(u\left(\mu_{1}, \phi\right)\right), & \text { on } \Gamma_{\mu_{1}}\end{cases}
$$

Therefore, the solution of problem (2.13) is the solution of the problem (1.1) with $\alpha=\beta=1$ confining in the bounded domain $\Omega_{i}$.

### 2.2. Natural Integral Equation for $\boldsymbol{\beta}>\boldsymbol{\alpha}>\mathbf{0}$

Now we assume that $\Gamma_{\mu_{1}}$ can be expressed in the form: $\Gamma_{\mu_{1}}=\left\{(x, y) \mid p x^{2}+q y^{2}=R^{2}\right\}$, with $\beta q>\alpha p>0$. We also assume that $w(\mathbf{x})$ is the solution of the problem (1.12), and the value $\left.w\right|_{|\mu|=\mu_{1}}$ is given, namely

$$
\left.w\right|_{|\mu|=\mu_{1}}=w_{0}(\phi) .
$$

Let $x=\sqrt{\alpha} \xi, y=\sqrt{\beta} \eta$, then the boundary $\Gamma_{\mu_{1}}$ is changed by the elliptic boundary

$$
\tilde{\Gamma}=\left\{(\xi, \eta) \mid \alpha p \xi^{2}+\beta q \eta^{2}=R^{2}\right\}
$$

the unit exterior normal vector on $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is
$\boldsymbol{v}=-(\sqrt{\alpha p} \cos \theta, \sqrt{\beta q} \sin \theta) / \sqrt{\alpha p \cos ^{2} \theta+\beta q \sin ^{2} \theta}$.

By the above transformation, the problem (1.12) changes into

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial \xi^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial \eta^{2}}\right)=0, \quad \text { in } \tilde{\Omega}_{e}  \tag{2.14}\\
w(\mathbf{x})=O(1), \quad \text { when }|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

This is the right problem we talked in Section 2.1. Similar with Equation (2.1), we let

$$
\xi=f_{0} \cosh \mu \cos \phi, \eta=f_{0} \sinh \mu \sin \phi
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{0}=\sqrt{\frac{\beta q-\alpha p}{\alpha p \beta q}} R \\
& \mu_{1}=\ln \left(\frac{\sqrt{\beta q}+\sqrt{\alpha p}}{\sqrt{\beta q-\alpha p}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then just the same as the problem discussed in Section 2.1, we have the natural integral equation on $\Gamma_{\mu_{1}}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha n_{x} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}+\beta n_{y} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \\
& =-\sqrt{\frac{\alpha p \beta q}{p \cos ^{2} \phi+q \sin ^{2} \phi}}\left[\frac{1}{4 \pi R \sin ^{2} \frac{\phi}{2}} \times w_{0}(\phi)\right] \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{n}=\left(n_{x}, n_{y}\right)=(x / R, y / R)$ is the unit exterior normal vector on $\Gamma_{\mu_{1}}$. From (1.11), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha n_{x} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}+\beta n_{y} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}  \tag{2.16}\\
& =\alpha n_{x} a_{0}(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\beta n_{y} a_{0}(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (1.9), (2.15) and (2.16), we obtain the exact artificial boundary condition of $u$ on $\Gamma_{\mu_{1}}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left(\alpha n_{x} a_{0}(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\beta n_{y} a_{0}(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)\right|_{\mu=\mu_{1}} \\
& =-\sqrt{\frac{\alpha p \beta q}{p \cos ^{2} \phi+q \sin ^{2} \phi}} \\
& {\left[\frac{1}{4 \pi R \sin ^{2} \frac{\phi}{2}} *\left(\int_{0}^{u\left(\mu_{1}, \phi\right)} a_{0}(\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{y}\right)\right]} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{\alpha p \beta q}{p \cos ^{2} \phi+q \sin ^{2} \phi} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{n}{\pi R}} \\
& \cdot \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \cos n\left(\phi-\phi^{\prime}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{u\left(\mu_{1}, \phi\right)} a_{0}(\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{y}\right) \mathrm{d} \phi \\
& =K_{1}\left(u\left(\mu_{1}, \phi\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by (1.6)-(1.8) and (2.17), the original problem with $\beta>\alpha>0$ confines in $\Omega_{i}$ can be defined as follows

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\left.-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\alpha a(\mathbf{x}, u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\beta a(\mathbf{x}, u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)\right)=f, & \text { in } \Omega_{i}, \\
u=0, & \text { on } \Gamma_{0}, \\
\alpha n_{x} a_{0}(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\beta n_{y} a_{0}(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=K_{1}\left(u\left(\mu_{1}, \phi\right)\right), & \text { on } \Gamma_{\mu_{1}} . \tag{2.18}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Therefore, the solution of problem (2.18) is the solution of the problem (1.1) with $\beta>\alpha>0$ confining in the bounded domain $\Omega_{i}$.

## 3. Variational Problem and Finite Element Approximation

### 3.1. The Equivalent Variational Problems

Now we consider the problems (2.13) and (2.18). We shall use $W^{m, p}$ denoting the standard Sobolev spaces, $\|\cdot\|$ and $|\cdot|$ referring to the corresponding norms and semi-norms. Especially, we define $H^{m}(\Omega)=W^{m, 2}(\Omega)$, $\|\cdot\|_{m, \Omega}=\|\cdot\|_{m, 2, \Omega}$ and $\left\|\left\|_{m, \Omega}=\right\|\right\|_{m, 2, \Omega}$. Let us introduce the space

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\left\{v \in H^{1}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)|v|_{\Gamma_{0}}=0\right\}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding norms

$$
\|v\|_{0, \Omega_{i}}=\sqrt{\int_{\Omega_{i}}|v|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{x}},\|v\|_{1, \Omega_{i}}=\sqrt{\int_{\Omega_{i}}\left(|v|^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x}} .
$$

The boundary value problems (2.13) and (2.18) are equivalent to the following variational problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Find } u \in V, \text { such that }  \tag{3.2}\\
D(u ; u, v)+\hat{D}(u ; u, v)=F(v), \forall v \in V,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& D(w ; u, v)=\int_{\Omega_{i}} a(\mathbf{x}, w)\left(\alpha \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}+\beta \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}\right) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x},  \tag{3.3}\\
& \hat{D}(w ; u, v)=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha \beta}}{n \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} a_{0}\left(w\left(\mu_{1}, \phi^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \cdot \frac{\partial u\left(\mu_{1}, \phi^{\prime}\right)}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial v\left(\mu_{1}, \phi\right)}{\partial \phi} \cos n\left(\phi^{\prime}-\phi\right) \mathrm{d} \phi^{\prime} \mathrm{d} \phi, \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{D}(w ; u, v)$ is gotten from Green's formula, (2.7) and (2.8) with $\mathrm{d} s=\sqrt{J_{0}} \mathrm{~d} \phi$ and (2.17) with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{d} s=\frac{R}{\sqrt{p q}} \sqrt{p \cos ^{2} \phi+q \sin ^{2} \phi} \mathrm{~d} \phi \\
& F(v)=\int_{\Omega_{i}} f(\mathbf{x}) v(\mathbf{x}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

For any real number $s>0$, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{\mu_{1}}\right)=\left\{f \in L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{\mu_{1}}\right) \mid\|f\|_{s, \Gamma_{\mu_{1}}}<+\infty\right\} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\|f\|_{s, \Gamma}^{2}=\sum_{|m|=0}^{+\infty}\left(1+m^{2}\right)^{s}\left|F_{m}\right|^{2}$,
and $\quad F_{m}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f\left(\mu_{1}, \phi\right) e^{-i n \phi} \mathrm{~d} \phi, \bar{F}_{m}=F_{-m}$.
Lemma 3.1 There exists a constant $C>0$ which has different meaning in different place and is related to $\alpha$ and $\beta$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\hat{D}(w ; u, v)| \leq C\|u\|_{1, \Omega_{i}}\|v\|_{1, \Omega_{i}}, \hat{D}(u ; u, u) \geq C_{0}|u|_{1, \Omega_{i}}^{2} \\
& \forall u, v, w \in V .
\end{aligned}
$$

In practice, we need to truncate the series in (2.12) and (2.17) for some nonnegative integer $N$, that is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|\left(\alpha n_{x} a_{0}(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\beta n_{y} a_{0}(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)_{\mu=\mu_{1}}  \tag{3.7}\\
& =K_{1}^{N}\left(u\left(\mu_{1}, \phi\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}^{N}\left(u\left(\mu_{1}, \phi\right)\right)=\frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{J_{0}}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} n \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \cos n\left(\phi-\phi^{\prime}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{u\left(\mu_{1}, \phi\right)} a_{0}(\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{y}\right) \mathrm{d} \phi \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\alpha=\beta=1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}^{N}\left(u\left(\mu_{1}, \phi\right)\right)=\sqrt{\frac{\alpha p \beta q}{p \cos ^{2} \phi+q \sin ^{2} \phi}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{n}{\pi R} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \cos n\left(\phi-\phi^{\prime}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{u\left(\mu_{1}, \phi\right)} a_{0}(\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{y}\right) \mathrm{d} \phi \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\beta>\alpha>0$. So we only use the summation of the first $N$ terms in (2.13) and (2.18). We will consider the

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{cl}
-\nabla \cdot\left(a\left(\mathbf{x}, u^{N}\right) \nabla u^{N}\right)=f, & \text { in } \Omega_{i}, \\
u^{N}=0, & \text { on } \Gamma_{0}, \\
a_{0}\left(u^{N}\right) \frac{\partial u^{N}}{\partial n}=K_{1}^{N}\left(u^{N}\left(\mu_{1}, \phi\right)\right), & \text { on } \Gamma_{\mu_{1}} \cdot \\
\begin{cases}-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\alpha a\left(\mathbf{x}, u^{N}\right) \frac{\partial u^{N}}{\partial x}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\beta a\left(\mathbf{x}, u^{N}\right) \frac{\partial u^{N}}{\partial y}\right)\right)=f, & \text { in } \Omega_{i} \\
u^{N}=0, & \text { on } \Gamma_{0} \\
\alpha n_{x} a_{0}\left(u^{N}\right) \frac{\partial u^{N}}{\partial x}+\beta n_{y} a_{0}\left(u^{N}\right) \frac{\partial u^{N}}{\partial y}=K_{1}^{N}\left(u^{N}\left(\mu_{1}, \phi\right)\right), & \text { on } \Gamma_{\mu_{1}}\end{cases}
\end{array} . \begin{array}{l}
\end{array}\right. \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Both (3.10) and (3.11) are equivalent to the following variational problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Find } u^{N} \in V, \text { such that }  \tag{3.12}\\
D\left(u^{N} ; u^{N}, v\right)+\hat{D}_{N}\left(u^{N} ; u^{N}, v\right)=F(v), \forall v \in V
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{D}_{N}(w ; u, v) \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha \beta}}{n \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} a_{0}\left(w\left(\mu_{1}, \phi^{\prime}\right)\right) \frac{\partial u\left(\mu_{1}, \phi^{\prime}\right)}{\partial \phi^{\prime}}  \tag{3.13}\\
& \cdot \frac{\partial v\left(\mu_{1}, \phi\right)}{\partial \phi} \cos n\left(\phi^{\prime}-\phi\right) \mathrm{d} \phi^{\prime} \mathrm{d} \phi
\end{align*}
$$

Similar with Lemma 3.1, we have

Lemma 3.2 There exists a constant $C>0$ which has different meaning in different place, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\hat{D}_{N}(w ; u, v)\right| \leq C\|u\|_{1, \Omega_{i}}\|v\|_{1, \Omega_{i}} \\
& \hat{D}_{N}(u ; u, u) \geq C_{0}|u|_{1, \Omega_{i}}^{2}, \forall u, v, w \in V
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.2. Finite Element Approximation

Divide the arc $\Gamma_{\mu_{1}}$ into $M$ parts and take a finite element subdivision in $\Omega_{i}$ such that their nodes on $\Gamma_{\mu_{1}}$ are coincident. That is, we make a regular and quasiuniform triangulation $T_{h}$ on $\Omega_{i}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{i}=\bigcup_{K \in T_{h}} K \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $K$ is a (curved) triangle; $h$ the maximum side of
the triangles. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{h}= \\
& \left\{v_{h} \in V|v|_{K} \text { is a linear polynomial, } \forall K \in T\right\}_{h} . \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the approximate problem of (3.12) can be written as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Find } u_{h}^{N} \in V_{h}, \text { such that }  \tag{3.16}\\
D\left(u_{h}^{N} ; u_{h}^{N}, v_{h}\right)+\widehat{D}_{N}\left(u_{h}^{N} ; u_{h}^{N}, v_{h}\right)=F\left(v_{h}\right), \forall v_{h} \in V_{h} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Some existence and uniqueness results for this type of problem are given in $[12,17,18]$ under some conditions on the coefficients $a$, so by the constraint conditions

## (1.2) and (1.3) we have

Lemma 3.3 Problems (3.2), (3.12) and (3.16) have unique solvability.

### 3.2.1. Convergence Theorems

In this section, we obtain the convergence result of the problems discussed above. We let $u, u^{N} \in H^{2}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$ and $u_{h}^{N} \in V_{h}$ be the solution of problems (3.2), (3.12), (3.16) respectively. We also assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{h} \subset V \cap W^{1,2+\varepsilon} \text { for some } \varepsilon \in(0,1) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

And we require that $\left\{V_{h}\right\}_{h \rightarrow 0}$ is a family of finitedimensional subspaces of $V \cap C\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$, which satisfies for any

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \in V \cap C\left(\Omega_{i}\right) \text {, there exists }\left\{v_{h}\right\}: v_{h} \in V_{h},\left\|v-v_{h}\right\|_{1, \Omega_{i}} \rightarrow 0, \text { as } h \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{h}\right\|_{1,2+\varepsilon, \Omega_{i}} \leq C(v) \text { for any } h \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C(v)>0$ is independent of $h$.
The continuous piecewise polynomial spaces, such as (3.15), satisfy the condition (3.17). And if we let $v_{h}=\Pi_{h} v$, where $\Pi_{h}: V \rightarrow V_{h}$ is the interpolation ope-
rator, then by (3.19), we have

$$
\left\|v_{h}\right\|_{1,2+\varepsilon, \Omega_{i}} \leq\left\|\Pi_{h} v-v\right\|_{1,2+\varepsilon, \Omega_{i}}+\|v\|_{1,2+\varepsilon, \Omega_{i}} \leq C(v) .
$$

And we can also obtain the following result.
Lemma $3.4 \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u-u^{N}\right\|_{1, \Omega_{i}}=0$.
Proof From the (1.2), (3.12) and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u^{N}\right\|_{1, \Omega_{i}}^{2} & \leq C\left[D\left(u^{N} ; u^{N}, u^{N}\right)+\hat{D}\left(u^{N} ; u^{N}, u^{N}\right)\right]=C\left[F\left(u^{N}\right)+\hat{D}\left(u^{N} ; u^{N}, u^{N}\right)-\hat{D}_{N}\left(u^{N} ; u^{N}, u^{N}\right)\right] \\
& \leq C\left[\|f\|_{0, \Omega_{i}} \cdot\left\|u^{N}\right\|_{1, \Omega_{i}}+\left|\hat{D}\left(u^{N} ; u^{N}, u^{N}\right)-\widehat{D}_{N}\left(u^{N} ; u^{N}, u^{N}\right)\right|\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $u^{N} \in V$, we assume that

$$
\begin{aligned}
w^{N}\left(\mu, \phi^{\prime}\right)=\int_{0}^{w^{N}\left(r, \phi^{\prime}\right)} a_{0}(\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{y} & =\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_{n} e^{\ln \left(\mu_{0}-\mu\right)+i n \phi^{\prime}}, \forall \mu \geq \mu_{0} \\
u^{N}\left(\mu_{1}, \phi\right) & =\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} u_{n} e^{i n \phi}
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\hat{D}\left(u^{N} ; u^{N}, u^{N}\right)-\hat{D}_{N}\left(u^{N} ; u^{N}, u^{N}\right)\right| & =\left|\sum_{n=|N+1|}^{+\infty} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha \beta}}{n \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\partial w\left(\mu_{1}, \phi^{\prime}\right)}{\partial \phi^{\prime}} \frac{\left.\partial v\left(\mu_{1}, \phi\right)\right)}{\partial \phi} \cos n\left(\phi^{\prime}-\phi\right) \mathrm{d} \phi^{\prime} \mathrm{d} \phi\right| \\
& =\left|2 \pi \sum_{n=|N+1|}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\ln \left(\mu_{0}-\mu_{1}\right)}\right| n\left|a_{n} \bar{u}_{n}\right| \\
& \leq C e^{\mid N+1\left(\mu_{0}-\mu_{1}\right)}\left(\sum_{|n|=N+1}^{+\infty}\left(1+n^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot\left|w_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{|n|=N+1}^{+\infty}\left(1+n^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot\left|u_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq C e^{|N+1|\left(\mu_{0}-\mu_{1}\right)}\left\|w^{N}\right\|_{1 / 2, \Gamma_{\mu_{1}}}\left\|u^{N}\right\|_{1 / 2, \Gamma_{\mu_{1}}} \leq C e^{|N+1|\left(\mu_{0}-\mu_{1}\right)}\left\|u^{N}\right\|_{1, \Omega_{i}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

From $\mu_{1}>\mu_{0}$, we obtain that $\left\{u^{N}\right\}$ is bounded in $\quad V$. Therefore, there exists a subsequence $\left\{u^{N_{n}}\right\}$ such
that $u^{N_{n}} \multimap \bar{u} \in V$. Then similar with the proof of Lemma 3.4 of [3], we obtain

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u-u^{N}\right\|_{1, \Omega_{i}}=0
$$

By the above lemmas, we get the following convergence result.

Theorem 3.1 Let $u \in H^{2}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$, and the assumptions (3.17)-( 3.19) be satisfied, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0, N \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u-u_{h}^{N}\right\|_{1, \Omega_{i}}=0 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2.2. Error Analysis

In the following, we shall get error estimates for the approximate solution obtained from a FEM-NBEM discrete scheme in the cases $\alpha=\beta=1$. We assume that the so-
lution $u$ of problem (1.1) satisfies

$$
\left.u\right|_{\Omega_{i}} \in V \cap W^{k, 2+\varepsilon}\left(\Omega_{i}\right), \varepsilon>0, k \geq 2
$$

For simplicity let us define the following notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{A}(u ; u, v)=D(u ; u, v)+\hat{D}(u ; u, v) \\
& \bar{A}_{N}\left(u^{N} ; u^{N}, v\right)=D\left(u^{N} ; u^{N}, v\right)+\widehat{D}_{N}\left(u^{N} ; u^{N}, v\right) ; \\
& \bar{A}_{N}\left(u_{h}^{N} ; u_{h}^{N}, v_{h}\right)=D\left(u_{h}^{N} ; u_{h}^{N}, v_{h}\right)+\widehat{D}_{N}\left(u_{h}^{N} ; u_{h}^{N}, v_{h}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then (3.2), (3.12), (3.16) can be replaced by the corresponding simple forms respectively.

Now we introduce the bilinear form $A^{\prime}(u ; \cdot, \cdot)$ and $A_{N}^{\prime}\left(u^{N} ;, \cdot\right)$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\prime}(u ; v, z)= & \int_{\Omega_{i}} \frac{\partial a}{\partial s}(\mathbf{x}, u) v \nabla u \cdot \nabla \mathrm{zd} \mathbf{x}+\int_{\Omega_{i}} a(\mathbf{x}, u) \nabla v \cdot \nabla \mathrm{zd} \mathbf{x} \\
& +\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\partial a_{0}}{\partial s}(u) v \frac{\partial u}{\partial \phi^{\prime}}\left(\mu_{1}, \phi^{\prime}\right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial \phi}\left(\mu_{1}, \phi\right) \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\cos n\left(\phi^{\prime}-\phi\right)}{n \pi} \mathrm{~d} \phi^{\prime} \mathrm{d} \phi \\
& +\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} a_{0}(u) \frac{\partial v}{\partial \phi^{\prime}}\left(\mu_{1}, \phi^{\prime}\right) \frac{\partial \mathrm{z}}{\partial \theta}\left(\mu_{1}, \phi\right) \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\cos n\left(\phi^{\prime}-\phi\right)}{n \pi} \mathrm{~d} \phi^{\prime} \mathrm{d} \phi \\
A^{\prime}\left(u^{N} ; v, z\right)= & \int_{\Omega_{i}} \frac{\partial a}{\partial s}\left(\mathbf{x}, u^{N}\right) v \nabla u^{N} \cdot \nabla z \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{x}+\int_{\Omega_{i}} a\left(\mathbf{x}, u^{N}\right) \nabla v \cdot \nabla z \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{x} \\
& +\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\partial a_{0}}{\partial s}\left(u^{N}\right) v \frac{\partial u^{N}}{\partial \phi^{\prime}}\left(\mu_{1}, \phi^{\prime}\right) \frac{\partial \mathrm{z}}{\partial \phi}\left(\mu_{1}, \phi\right) \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\cos n\left(\phi^{\prime}-\phi\right)}{n \pi} \mathrm{~d} \phi^{\prime} \mathrm{d} \phi \\
& +\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} a_{0}\left(u^{N}\right) \frac{\partial v}{\partial \phi^{\prime}}\left(\mu_{1}, \phi^{\prime}\right) \frac{\partial z}{\partial \theta}\left(\mu_{1}, \phi\right) \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\cos n\left(\phi^{\prime}-\phi\right)}{n \pi} \mathrm{~d} \phi^{\prime} \mathrm{d} \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $V^{\prime}$ be the dual space of $V$. By (1.2) and continuity of $\frac{\partial a}{\partial s}(\cdot, u(\cdot))$, we obtain that $A^{\prime}(u ; \cdot, \cdot)$ is bounded in $\Omega_{i}$. Then there exists an operator $T: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T v, z)=A^{\prime}(u ; v, z), \forall v, z \in V \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar with the proof of [10], we have the lemma as follows

Lemma 3.5 The bilinear form ( $T v, v$ ) defined by $A^{\prime}(u ; v, v)$ satisfies the following inequality
$(T v, z)+K\left(\|v\|_{0, \Omega_{i}}^{2}+\|v\|_{1 / 2, \Gamma_{\mu_{1}}}^{2}\right) \geq C\|v\|_{1, \Omega_{i}}^{2}, \forall v \in V$,
where $K \geq 0$ is a sufficient large constant and $C>0$.
We assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\prime}(u ; v, z)=0, \forall z \in V \Rightarrow v=0 \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $I: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ be the canonical injection. Since $V$ is compactly embedded in $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$, we have that the operator $J: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ defined by $J(v)=(I(v), 0)$ is
also compact. By (3.21) and (3.23) and $T$ satisfies the property of $J$, we obtain that $T: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism.

By the conditions (3.2), (3.22), (3.23) and Theorem 10.1.2 of [20], one can get that there exists $h_{0} \in(0,1]$, such that the following inequality is satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in V_{h}} \frac{A^{\prime}(u ; v, z)}{\|z\|_{1, \Omega_{i}}} \geq \alpha_{1}\|v\|_{1, \Omega_{i}}, \forall v \in V_{h} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $\alpha_{1}$ independent of $h\left(h<h_{0}\right)$.
We define the Galerkin projection with respect to $A^{\prime}(u ; \cdot, \cdot), \quad P_{h}: V \rightarrow V_{h}$

$$
A^{\prime}\left(u ; P_{h} v, z\right)=A^{\prime}(u ; v, z), \forall z \in V_{h}
$$

Then the operator $P_{h}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|v-P_{h} v\right\|_{1, p, \Omega_{i}} & \leq C \inf _{v_{h} \in V_{h}}\left\|v-v_{h}\right\|_{1, p, \Omega_{i}}  \tag{3.25}\\
& \leq C h^{\sigma}, 2 \leq p \leq \infty, 0<\sigma<1 .
\end{align*}
$$

We define the set

$$
B_{h}=\left\{v \in V_{h} \mid\left\|v-P_{h} v\right\|_{1, \infty, \Omega_{i}} \leq C h^{\sigma}\right\} .
$$

Lemma 3.6 $u_{h}^{N} \in V_{h}$ is a solution of (3.14) if and
only if the following equation

$$
A_{N}^{\prime}\left(u^{N} ; u^{N}-u_{h}^{N}, v\right)=R\left(u^{N} ; u^{N}, v\right), \forall v \in V_{h},
$$

holds, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
R\left(u^{N} ; u^{N}, v\right)= & \int_{\Omega_{i}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} a}{\partial s^{2}}\left(\mathbf{x}, w_{h}^{N}\right) \nabla w_{h}^{N} \nabla v\right](1-t) \mathrm{d} t\right)\left(\mathrm{d}_{h}^{N}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{x} \\
& +2 \int_{\Omega_{i}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{\partial a}{\partial \mathrm{~s}}\left(\mathbf{x}, w_{h}^{N}\right) \nabla d_{h}^{N} \nabla v\right](1-t) \mathrm{d} t\right) d_{h}^{N} \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{x} \\
& +\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} a_{0}}{\partial s^{2}}\left(w_{h}^{N}\right) \frac{\partial w_{h}^{N}}{\partial \phi^{\prime}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \phi} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\cos n\left(\phi-\phi^{\prime}\right)}{n \pi}\right](1-t) \mathrm{d} t\right)\left(\mathrm{d}_{h}^{N}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \phi^{\prime} \mathrm{d} \phi \\
& +2 \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{\partial a_{0}}{\partial \mathrm{~s}}\left(w_{h}^{N}\right) \frac{\partial d_{h}^{N}}{\partial \phi^{\prime}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \phi} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\cos n\left(\phi-\phi^{\prime}\right)}{n \pi}\right](1-t) \mathrm{d} t\right) \mathrm{d}_{h}^{N} \mathrm{~d} \phi^{\prime} \mathrm{d} \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

with $w_{h}^{N}=u^{N}+t\left(u_{h}^{N}-u^{N}\right), d_{h}^{N}=u_{h}^{N}-u^{N}$.
Proof. Let $\eta(t)=\bar{A}_{N}\left(w_{h}^{N} ; w_{h}^{N}, v\right)$, then by

$$
\eta(1)=\eta(0)+\eta^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{1} \eta^{\prime \prime}(t)(1-t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

and

$$
\bar{A}_{N}\left(u_{h}^{N} ; u_{h}^{N}, v\right)=\bar{A}_{N}\left(u_{h}^{N} ; u_{h}^{N}, v\right)=F(v), \forall v \in V_{h} .
$$

We can get the desired result.
Let $M_{h}=\left\{v \in V_{h}\|v\|_{1, \infty, \Omega_{i}} \leq 1+\left\|u^{N}\right\|_{1, \infty, \Omega_{i}}\right\}$. Then fol-
lowing [10,11], we have
Lemma 3.7 There exists a positive constant $C$ independent of $h$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|R\left(u^{N} ; v, z\right)\right| \leq C\left(\left\|u^{N}-v\right\|_{1, \Omega_{i}}^{2}+\left\|u^{N}-v\right\|_{1, \Omega_{i}}\right)\|z\|_{1, \Omega_{i}} \\
& \forall v \in M_{h}, \forall z \in V_{h} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have the following result.
Lemma $3.8 \quad B_{h} \subset M_{h}$.
Proof For any $v \in B_{h}$, we only need to show that $v \in M_{h}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|v\|_{1, \infty, \Omega_{i}} \leq\left\|u^{N}-v\right\|_{1, \infty, \Omega_{i}}+\left\|u^{N}\right\|_{1, \infty, \Omega_{i}} \\
& \left\|u^{N}-v\right\|_{1, \infty, \Omega_{i}} \leq\left\|u^{N}-P_{h} u^{N}\right\|_{1, \infty, \Omega_{i}}+\left\|P_{h} u^{N}-v\right\|_{1, \infty, \Omega_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left|\widehat{D}\left(u^{N} ; u^{N}, v\right)-\widehat{D}_{N}\left(u^{N} ; u^{N}, v\right)\right|=\left|\sum_{n=|N+1|}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\partial w\left(\mu_{1}, \phi^{\prime}\right)}{\partial \phi^{\prime}} \frac{\partial v\left(\mu_{1}, \phi\right)}{\partial \phi} \cos n\left(\phi^{\prime}-\phi\right) \mathrm{d} \phi^{\prime} \mathrm{d} \phi\right|
$$

$$
\leq \frac{C e^{\mid N+1\left(\mu_{0}-\mu_{1}\right)}}{(N+1)^{k-1}}\left(\sum_{|n|=N+1}^{+\infty}\left(1+n^{2}\right)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot\left|w_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{|n|=N+1}^{+\infty}\left(1+n^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot\left|v_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

$$
\leq \frac{C e^{\mid N+1\left(\mu_{0}-\mu_{1}\right)}}{(N+1)^{k-1}}\left\|w^{N}\right\|_{k-1 / 2, \Gamma_{\mu_{0}}}\left\|v^{N}\right\|_{1 / 2, \Gamma_{\mu_{0}}} \leq \frac{C e^{|N+1|\left(\mu_{0}-\mu_{1}\right)}}{(N+1)^{k-1}}\|u\|_{k-1 / 2, \Gamma_{\mu_{0}}}\|v\|_{1, \Omega_{i}}^{2}
$$

Then by (3.12), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{A}\left(u^{N} ; u^{N}, v\right) & =D\left(u^{N} ; u^{N}, v\right)+\hat{D}\left(u^{N} ; u^{N}, v\right) \\
& =F(v)+\hat{D}\left(u^{N} ; u^{N}, v\right)-\hat{D}_{N}\left(u^{N} ; u^{N}, v\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\eta(t)=\bar{A}\left(u+t\left(u^{N}-u\right) ; u+t\left(u^{N}-u\right), v\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1} A^{\prime}\left(u+t\left(u^{N}-u\right) ; u^{N}-u, v\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\bar{A}\left(u^{N} ; u^{N}, v\right)-\bar{A}(u ; u, v) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3.2), (3.22), (3.23) and [20], we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u-u^{N}\right\|_{1, \Omega_{i}} \\
& \leq C \sup _{v \in V}\left(\frac{1}{\|v\|_{1, \Omega_{i}}} \int_{0}^{1} A^{\prime}\left(u+t\left(u^{N}-u\right) ; u^{N}-u, v\right) \mathrm{d} t\right) \\
& \leq C \frac{\left|\hat{D}\left(u^{N} ; u^{N}, v\right)-\widehat{D}_{N}\left(u^{N} ; u^{N}, v\right)\right|}{\|v\|_{1, \Omega_{i}}}  \tag{3.26}\\
& \leq C e^{(N+1)\left(\mu_{0}-\mu_{1}\right)}\|u\|_{k-\frac{1}{2}, \Gamma_{\mu_{1}}}
\end{align*}
$$

We denote a nonlinear mapping $\phi: V_{h} \rightarrow V_{h}$, which satisfies that for any given $v \in V_{h}, \phi(v)$ is the unique solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\prime}(u, \varphi(v), z)=A^{\prime}(u, u, z)-R(u, v, z), \forall z \in V_{h} . \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
A^{\prime}\left(u, \varphi(v)-\varphi\left(v_{n}\right), z\right)=R\left(u, v_{n}, z\right)-R(u, v, z)
$$

Combining the above equation with (3.25), we obtain the operator $\phi$ is continuous, i.e.,

$$
\lim _{v_{n} \rightarrow v} \phi\left(v_{n}\right)=\phi(v) .
$$

Next, we assume that $v \in B_{h}$, then by Lemma 3.8, we have that $v \in M_{h}$. By the definition of $P_{h}$, (3.27) can be rewritten as

$$
A^{\prime}\left(u^{N}, \varphi(v)-P_{h} u^{N}, z\right)=-R\left(u^{N}, v, z\right), \forall z \in V_{h} .
$$

Then, from (3.24), Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.7, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\varphi(v)-P_{h} u^{N}\right\|_{1, \Omega_{i}} \leq C \sup _{z \in V_{h}} \frac{\left|A^{\prime}\left(u, \varphi(v)-P_{h} u^{N}, z\right)\right|}{\|z\|_{1, \Omega_{i}}} \\
& \leq C\left(\left\|u^{N}-v\right\|_{1, \Omega_{i}}^{2}+\left\|u^{N}-v\right\|_{1, \Omega_{i}}\right) \\
& \leq C\left\{\left\|u^{N}-P_{h} u^{N}\right\|_{1, \Omega_{i}}^{2}+\left\|P_{h} u^{N}-v\right\|_{1, \Omega_{i}}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|u^{N}-P_{h} u^{N}\right\|_{1, \Omega_{i}}+\left\|P_{h} u^{N}-v\right\|_{1, \Omega_{i}}\right\} \\
& \leq C h^{\sigma} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $\phi: B_{h} \rightarrow B_{h}$. And since $\phi$ is also continuous, following from Brouwer's fixed theorem, one can obtain that there exists $u_{h}^{N} \in V_{h}$, such that $\varphi\left(u_{h}^{N}\right)=u_{h}^{N}$. From Lemma 3.6, we deduce that $u_{h}^{N}$ is the solution of (3.16). What's more, by (3.25) and the fact $u_{h}^{N} \in B_{h}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u^{N}-u_{h}^{N}\right\|_{1, \Omega_{i}} & \leq\left\|u^{N}-P_{h} u^{N}\right\|_{1, \Omega_{i}}+\left\|P_{h} u^{N}-u_{h}^{N}\right\|_{1, \Omega_{i}}  \tag{3.28}\\
& \leq C h^{\sigma}, 0<\sigma<1 .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (3.26) with (3.28), one can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u-u_{h}^{N}\right\|_{1, \Omega_{i}} \leq\left\|u-u^{N}\right\|_{1, \Omega_{i}}+\left\|u^{N}-u_{h}^{N}\right\|_{1, \Omega_{i}} \\
& \leq C\left[h^{\sigma}+\frac{e^{(N+1)\left(\mu_{0}-\mu_{1}\right)}}{(N+1)^{k-1}}\|u\|_{k-\frac{1}{2}, \Gamma_{\mu_{0}}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.

## 4. Numerical Examples

In this section, we shall give some examples to confirm our theoretical results. In the following, we choose the finite element space as given in (3.16). For simplicity, we let

$$
\Delta r=1 / m, \Delta \theta=2 \pi / M, e_{0}(h, N)=\left\|u-u_{h}^{N}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)} .
$$

Example 4.1 We assume the exterior domain $\Omega^{c}$ with elliptical boundary

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{0}=\left\{\left(\mu_{0}, \phi\right) \mid \mu_{0}=0.8,0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi\right\}, \\
& \Gamma_{\mu_{1}}=\left\{\left(\mu_{0}, \phi\right) \mid \mu_{1}>\mu_{0}, 0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we consider the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
-\nabla \cdot(a(\mathbf{x}, u) \nabla u)=f, & \text { in } \Omega_{i}  \tag{4.1}\\
u=0, & \text { on } \Gamma_{0} \\
a_{0}(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=K_{1}\left(u\left(\mu_{1}, \phi\right)\right), & \text { on } \Gamma_{\mu_{1}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

when $a(x, u)=\frac{1}{1+u^{2}}, f=0$ and $f_{0}=1.25$.
The exact solution of Example 4.1 is

$$
u=\tan \left(2 \sinh \mu \sin \phi / f_{0}(\cosh 2 \mu+\cos 2 \phi)\right)
$$

The numerical results are given in Figures 1 and 2 and Table 1.

Example 4.2 Similar with Example 4.1, $\Gamma_{0}$ and $a(x, u)$ are replaced by

$$
\Gamma_{0}=\left\{\left(\mu_{0}, \phi\right) \mid \mu_{0}=0.5,0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi\right\}
$$

and $a(\mathbf{x}, u)=1 / \sqrt{1-u^{2}}$ respectively.


Figure 1. Example 4.1 with $N=16, \mu_{1}=1.7$.


Figure 2. Example 4.1 with different $N$.
Table 1. The errors with $N=16$ for Example 4.1.

| $\mu_{1}$ | $(m, M)$ | $e_{0}(h, N)$ | ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.5 | $(4,16)$ | $2.9888 \mathrm{E}-02$ | - |
|  | $(8,32)$ | $7.1183 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 4.1987 |
|  | $(16,64)$ | $1.9991 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 3.5607 |
| 1.7 | $(4,16)$ | $3.1917 \mathrm{E}-02$ | - |
|  | $(8,32)$ | $7.8255 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 4.0786 |
|  | $(16,64)$ | $2.1387 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 3.6591 |
| 2.0 | $(4,16)$ | $3.5553 \mathrm{E}-02$ | - |
|  | $(8,32)$ | $9.0701 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 3.9198 |
|  | $(16,64)$ | $2.4284 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 3.7351 |

The exact solution of Example 4.2 is

$$
u=\sin \left(2 \cosh \mu \cos \phi / f_{0}(\cosh 2 \mu+\cos 2 \phi)\right)
$$

The numerical results are given in Figure 3, Figure 4 and Table 2.


Figure 3. Example 4.2 with $N=6, \mu_{1}=1.0$.


Figure 4. Example 4.2 with different $N$.
Table 2. The errors with $\boldsymbol{N}=\mathbf{6}$ for Example 4.2.

| $\mu_{1}$ | $(m, M)$ | $e_{0}(h, N)$ | ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.8 | $(2,8)$ | $3.0471 \mathrm{E}-02$ | - |
|  | $(4,16)$ | $1.0654 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 2.8601 |
|  | $(8,32)$ | $3.1506 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 3.3816 |
| 1.0 | $(2,8)$ | $4.5002 \mathrm{E}-02$ | - |
|  | $(4,16)$ | $1.2723 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 3.5370 |
|  | $(8,32)$ | $3.1711 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 4.0122 |
| 1.5 | $(2,8)$ | $8.7937 \mathrm{E}-02$ | - |
|  | $(4,16)$ | $2.2960 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 3.8299 |
|  | $(8,32)$ | $5.5786 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 4.1157 |

Example 4.3 We assume the exterior domain $\Omega^{c}$ with elliptical boundary

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{0}=\left\{\left(\mu_{0}, \phi\right) \mid \mu_{0}=0.8,0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi\right\} \\
& \Gamma_{\mu_{1}}=\left\{\left(\mu_{1}, \phi\right) \mid \mu_{1}=1.5,0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we consider the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\varepsilon a(\mathbf{x}, u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(a(\mathbf{x}, u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)\right)=f, & \text { in } \Omega_{i} \\
u=0, & \text { on } \Gamma_{0}  \tag{4.2}\\
\varepsilon n_{x} a_{0}(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+n_{y} a_{0}(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=K_{1}\left(u\left(\mu_{1}, \phi\right)\right), & \text { on } \Gamma_{\mu_{1}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

when $a(\mathbf{x}, u)=1 / 1+u^{2}, \quad f_{0}=1.25$ and

$$
f=\frac{2(1-\varepsilon) \sinh \mu \sin \phi\left(3 \cosh ^{2} \mu \cos ^{2} \phi-\sinh ^{2} \mu \sin ^{2} \phi\right)}{(\cosh 2 \mu+\cos 2 \phi)^{3}} .
$$

The exact solution of Example 4.3 is

$$
u=\tan \left(2 \sinh \mu \sin \phi / f_{0}(\cosh 2 \mu+\cos 2 \phi)\right)
$$

The numerical results are given in Figures 5 and 6 and Table 3.

Example 4.4 Similar with Example 4.3, $\Gamma_{0}$ and $a(\mathbf{x}, u)$ are replaced by


Figure 5. Example 4.3 with $\mathbf{N}=10, \varepsilon=0.005$.


Figure 6. Example 4.3 with different $N$.

$$
\Gamma_{0}=\left\{\left(\mu_{0}, \phi\right) \mid \mu_{0}=0.5,0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi\right\}
$$

and $a(\mathbf{x}, u)=1 / \sqrt{1-u^{2}}$ respectively. And we take

$$
f=\frac{2(1-\varepsilon) \cosh \mu \cos \phi\left(\cosh ^{2} \mu \cos ^{2} \phi-3 \sinh ^{2} \mu \sin ^{2} \phi\right)}{(\cosh 2 \mu+\cos 2 \phi)^{3}}
$$

The exact solution of Example 4.3 is

$$
u=\sin \left(2 \cosh \mu \cos \phi / f_{0}(\cosh 2 \mu+\cos 2 \phi)\right)
$$

The numerical results are given in Figures 7 and $\mathbf{8}$ and Table 4.

From the numerical results, one obtains that the numerical errors can be affected by the order of artificial boundary condition, the mesh of the domain and the location of the artificial boundary, and it can be reduced by increasing the order of the artificial boundary condition and refining the mesh. What's more, the convergence rate of anisotropic problems can also be affected by the choice of $\varepsilon$ as it is shown in Tables 3 and 4. The numerical results are in agreement with the error analysis we obtain and show the efficiency of the coupling method.

Table 3. The errors with $\boldsymbol{N}=10$ for Example 4.3.

| $\varepsilon$ | $(m, M)$ | $e_{0}(h, N)$ | ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.5 | $(4,16)$ | $3.4114 \mathrm{E}-02$ | - |
|  | $(8,32)$ | $8.9808 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 3.7985 |
|  | $(16,64)$ | $2.3296 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 3.8550 |
| 0.025 | $(4,16)$ | $9.1725 \mathrm{E}-02$ | - |
|  | $(8,32)$ | $2.2626 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 4.0539 |
|  | $(16,64)$ | $5.8604 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 3.8609 |
| 0.005 | $(4,16)$ | $1.0129 \mathrm{E}-01$ | - |
|  | $(8,32)$ | $2.8020 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 3.6149 |
|  | $(16,64)$ | $1.0173 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 2.7543 |



Figure 7. Example 4.4 with $\mathbf{N}=5, \varepsilon=0.05$.


Figure 8. Example 4.4 with different $\boldsymbol{N}$.
Table 4. The errors with $\boldsymbol{N}=10$ for Example 4.4.

| $\varepsilon$ | $(m, M)$ | $e_{0}(h, N)$ | ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.5 | $(4,16)$ | $4.5556 \mathrm{E}-02$ | - |
|  | $(8,32)$ | $1.1454 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 3.9772 |
|  | $(16,64)$ | $2.9414 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 3.8942 |
| 0.05 | $(4,16)$ | $1.6183 \mathrm{E}-01$ | - |
|  | $(8,32)$ | $6.7805 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 2.3867 |
|  | $(16,64)$ | $1.8030 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 3.7606 |
|  | $(4,16)$ | $2.8137 \mathrm{E}-01$ | - |
| 0.025 | $(8,32)$ | $1.4554 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.9332 |
|  | $(16,64)$ | $4.4792 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 3.2493 |
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