# Range-Renewal Speed and Entropy for I.I.D Models 

Xin-Xing Chen ${ }^{1}$, Jian-Sheng Xie ${ }^{2,3}$ and Jiangang Ying ${ }^{2}$<br>1. Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China<br>2. School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China<br>3. Corresponding author. E-mail: jiansheng.xie@gmail.com


#### Abstract

In this note the relation between the range-renewal speed and entropy for i.i.d. models is discussed


In [2] the authors build an SLLN

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R_{n}}{\mathbb{E} R_{n}}=1 \text { almost surely } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} R_{n}=\sum_{x}\left[1-\left(1-\pi_{x}\right)^{n}\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n$ samples of a discrete distribution $\pi$, where $R_{n}$ denotes the number of distinct values of the $n$ samples. In this note we would like to study further the relation between entropy of the distribution and the range-renewal speed $\mathbb{E} R_{n}$, where the entropy of a (discrete) distribution $\pi$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\pi):=\sum_{x}-\pi_{x} \cdot \log \pi_{x} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As is already well known, for our i.i.d. model, we always have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R_{n}}{n}=0 \text { almost surely. }
$$

But an information of the entropy $S(\pi)$ being finite or infinite would pose a constriction on the range-renewal speed as the following:

Theorem 1 For our i.i.d. range-renewal model, in general we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R_{n}}{n}=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

almost surely. If the entropy $S(\pi)<\infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{n} \cdot R_{n}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

almost surely; Conversely, if the entropy $S(\pi)=\infty$, then almost surely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}}{n} \cdot R_{n}=\infty, \quad \forall \varepsilon>0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We will always assume, for simplicity, that $\pi$ is supported on $\mathbb{N}$ with

$$
\pi_{1} \geq \pi_{2} \geq \cdots
$$

and we would denote

$$
\varphi^{-1}(n):=\#\left\{x: \pi_{x}>\frac{1}{n}\right\}
$$

for each $n \geq 1$.
Eq. (41) can be proved easily via eq. (1) and (2) (to prove $\mathbb{E} R_{n} / n \rightarrow 0$ ); it can also be regarded as a consequence of the main result of [3] [4] (see also [1). Hence the proof is omitted here.

For (5), first notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\log n}{n} \cdot \mathbb{E} R_{n} & =\sum_{x}\left[1-\left(1-\pi_{x}\right)^{n}\right] \cdot \frac{\log n}{n} \\
& =\left\{\sum_{1 / \pi_{x} \leq n}+\sum_{1 / \pi_{x}>n}\right\}\left[1-\left(1-\pi_{x}\right)^{n}\right] \cdot \frac{\log n}{n}=: I_{1}+I_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the second part of the above equation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & =\sum_{1 / \pi_{x}>n}\left[1-\left(1-\pi_{x}\right)^{n}\right] \cdot \frac{\log n}{n} \\
& \leq \sum_{1 / \pi_{x}>n} \pi_{x} \cdot \log n \leq \sum_{1 / \pi_{x}>n}-\pi_{x} \cdot \log \pi_{x} \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the first part, choose a large number $N \in \mathbb{N}$ (but still $N<n)$. Noting $\phi(t):=-t \cdot \log t$ is increasing on $\left(0, e^{-1}\right)$ (especially on $[1 / n, 1 / N]$ for all $n>N$ ), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & =\sum_{1 / \pi_{x} \leq n}\left[1-\left(1-\pi_{x}\right)^{n}\right] \cdot \frac{\log n}{n} \leq \varphi^{-1}(N) \cdot \frac{\log n}{n}+\sum_{N<1 / \pi_{x} \leq n} \phi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\
& \leq \varphi^{-1}(N) \cdot \frac{\log n}{n}+\sum_{N<1 / \pi_{x} \leq n} \phi\left(\pi_{x}\right) \\
& \leq \varphi^{-1}(N) \cdot \frac{\log n}{n}+\sum_{x>\varphi^{-1}(N)}-\pi_{x} \cdot \log \pi_{x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

First letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $N \rightarrow \infty$, we get the desired result.
For (66), it's equivalent to $\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}}{n} \cdot \mathbb{E} R_{n}=\infty$. Suppose on the contrary that there exists some $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}}{n} \cdot \mathbb{E} R_{n}<\infty$. This clearly implies $\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon} \cdot \sum_{\pi_{x}<1 / n} \pi_{x}<\infty$ since $\mathbb{E} R_{n}=\sum_{x}\left[1-\left(1-\pi_{x}\right)^{n}\right]$. We write

$$
a_{k}:=\#\left\{x: \frac{1}{k+1}<\pi_{x} \leq \frac{1}{k}\right\}, \quad k \geq 1 .
$$

Then the above implies $B_{n}:=(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon} \cdot \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k}}{k} \leq C$ for some $C>0$ and all $n \geq 1$. Hence

$$
\frac{a_{n}}{n}=\frac{B_{n}}{(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}}-\frac{B_{n+1}}{(\log (n+1))^{1+\varepsilon}} .
$$

From this we shall derive the following result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k} \frac{a_{k}}{k} \cdot \log k<\infty \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies $S(\pi)<\infty$, a contradiction. In fact,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{a_{n}}{n} \cdot \log n & =\frac{B_{n}}{(\log n)^{\varepsilon}}-\frac{B_{n+1} \cdot \log n}{(\log (n+1))^{1+\varepsilon}} \\
& =\left[\frac{B_{n}}{(\log n)^{\varepsilon}}-\frac{B_{n+1}}{(\log (n+1))^{\varepsilon}}\right]+\frac{B_{n+1} \cdot \log (1+1 / n)}{(\log (n+1))^{1+\varepsilon}} \\
& =\left[\frac{B_{n}}{(\log n)^{\varepsilon}}-\frac{B_{n+1}}{\left(\log (n+1)^{\varepsilon}\right.}\right]+O\left(\frac{1}{n \cdot(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which surely implies (7).

Remark 1 (1) Let

$$
\pi_{x}:=\frac{C}{x[\log (x+1)]^{\beta+1}}, \quad x=1,2, \cdots
$$

with $\beta>0$ and $C$ being a normalizing constant. By the results in [2] we know

$$
\mathbb{E} R_{n}=O(1) \cdot \frac{n}{(\log n)^{\beta}}
$$

as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. When $0<\beta \leq 1$, we always have $S(\pi)=+\infty$, but

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\log n}{n} \cdot \mathbb{E} R_{n}=\left\{\begin{aligned}
c \in(0,+\infty), & \text { if } \beta=1 \\
+\infty, & \text { if } 0<\beta<1
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

with $c$ being some positive constant. Therefore the result in (6) cannot be strengthened into the one with $\varepsilon=0$;
(2) The $\varlimsup$ in (6) cannot be replaced by $\underline{l i m}$. There exists distributions $\pi$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\pi)=+\infty \text { with } \underline{\lim }_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}}{n} \cdot R_{n}<\infty, \quad \forall 0<\varepsilon<1 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the part (2) of the above remark, for example, let for any $k \geq 1$,

$$
b_{k}:=2^{2^{k}}, S_{0}:=0, S_{k}:=\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} \frac{2^{b_{\ell}}}{b_{\ell}} .
$$

And for any $S_{k-1}<x \leq S_{k}$, we set $\pi_{x}:=A \cdot 2^{-b_{k}}$, where $A$ is the normalizing constant.
Obviously $2<A<4$. It is easily to see that $S(\pi)=\infty$ since

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(\pi) & =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{x=S_{k-1}+1}^{S_{k}} \pi_{x} \log \left(\pi_{x}^{-1}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{b_{k}}}{b_{k}} \cdot\left(A \cdot 2^{-b_{k}}\right) \log \left(\frac{2^{b_{k}}}{A}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[A \cdot \log 2-\frac{A}{b_{k}} \log A\right]=\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of (8) is as the following. For each $k \geq 1$, let $n_{k}=2^{2 b_{k}}$. Then $A \cdot 2^{-b_{k+1}}<\frac{1}{n_{k}} \leq$ $A \cdot 2^{-b_{k}}$ for sufficiently large $k$ and $\#\left\{x: \pi_{x} \geq \frac{1}{n_{k}}\right\}=S_{k}$. And

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} R_{n_{k}} & =\sum_{\pi_{x} \geq n_{k}-1}\left[1-\left(1-\pi_{x}\right)^{n_{k}}\right]+\sum_{\pi_{x}<n_{k}-1}\left[1-\left(1-\pi_{x}\right)^{n_{k}}\right] \\
& \leq \sum_{\pi_{x} \geq n_{k}-1} 1+n_{k} \cdot \sum_{\pi_{x}<n_{k}-1} \pi_{x}=S_{k}+n_{k} \cdot \sum_{\pi_{x} \leq A \cdot 2^{-b_{k+1}}} \pi_{x} \\
& =S_{k}+n_{k} \cdot \sum_{\ell=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{b_{\ell}}}{b_{\ell}} \cdot\left(A \cdot 2^{-b_{\ell}}\right)=S_{k}+n_{k} \cdot \sum_{\ell=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_{\ell}} \\
& \leq \quad \frac{2^{b_{k}+3}}{b_{k}}+\frac{2^{2 b_{k}+1}}{b_{k+1}}=\frac{2^{b_{k}+3}}{b_{k}}+\frac{2^{b_{k}+1}}{b_{k}^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Fix $0<\varepsilon<1$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left(\log _{2} n_{k}\right)^{1+\varepsilon}}{n_{k}} \cdot \mathbb{E} R_{n_{k}} & \leq \frac{\left(2 b_{k}\right)^{1+\varepsilon}}{2^{2 b_{k}}} \cdot\left(\frac{2^{b_{k}+3}}{b_{k}}+\frac{2^{2 b_{k}+1}}{b_{k}^{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{2^{4+\varepsilon} b_{k}^{\varepsilon}}{2^{b_{k}}}+2^{2+\varepsilon} b_{k}^{-1+\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

as $k$ tends to infinity. As a result, (8) holds.
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