# Real k-Flats Tangent to Quadrants in $\mathrm{R} \wedge n$ 

Frank Sottile<br>University of Massachusetts - Amherst, sottile@math.tamu.edu

Thorsten Theobald

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarworks.umass.edu/math_faculty_pubs
Part of the Physical Sciences and Mathematics Commons

Sottile, Frank and Theobald, Thorsten, "Real k-Flats Tangent to Quadrants in $\mathrm{R}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}$ " (2005). Mathematics and Statistics Department Faculty Publication Series. Paper 129.
http://scholarworks.umass.edu/math_faculty_pubs/129

# REAL $k$-FLATS TANGENT TO QUADRICS IN $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ 

FRANK SOTTILE AND THORSTEN THEOBALD


#### Abstract

Let $d_{k, n}$ and $\#_{k, n}$ denote the dimension and the degree of the Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}_{k, n}$, respectively. For each $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ there are $2^{d_{k, n}} \cdot \#_{k, n}$ (a priori complex) $k$-planes in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ tangent to $d_{k, n}$ general quadratic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. We show that this class of enumerative problems is fully real, i.e., for $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ there exists a configuration of $d_{k, n}$ real quadrics in (affine) real space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ so that all the mutually tangent $k$-flats are real.


## Introduction

Understanding the real solutions of a system of polynomial equations is a fundamental problem in mathematics (see, e.g., [13] for some recent lines of research and applications). However, as pointed out in [3, p. 55], even for problem classes with a finite number of complex solutions (enumerative problems), the question of how many solutions can be real is still widely open. A class of enumerative problems is called fully real if there are general real instances for which all the (a priori complex) solutions are real.

One of us (Sottile) began a systematic study of this question in the special Schubert calculus [9, 10], a class of enumerative problems from classical algebraic geometry. This special Schubert calculus asks for linear subspaces of a fixed dimension meeting some given (general) linear subspaces (whose dimensions and number ensure a finite number of solutions) in $n$-dimensional complex projective space $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. For any given dimensions of the subspaces, this problem is fully real, i.e., there exist real linear subspaces for which each of the a priori complex solutions is real. In particular, for $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ there are $d_{k, n}:=(k+1)(n-k)$ real $(n-k-1)$-planes $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{d_{k, n}}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ with

$$
\#_{k, n}:=\frac{1!2!\cdots k!((k+1)(n-k))!}{(n-k)!(n-k+1)!\cdots n!}
$$

real $k$-planes meeting $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{d_{k, n}}$. Here, $d_{k, n}$ and $\#_{k, n}$ are the dimension and the degree of the Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}_{k, n}$, respectively (see [5, 7]). These were the first results showing that a large class of non-trivial enumerative problems is fully real. Recently, Vakil 14 has shown that any Schubert problem on a Grassmannian is fully real.
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We continue this line of research by considering $k$-flats tangent to quadratic hypersurfaces (hereafter quadrics). This is also motivated by recent investigations in computational geometry (see [6, 11, 12]). It was shown in [12] that $2 n-2$ general spheres in affine real space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ have at most $3 \cdot 2^{n-1}$ common tangent lines in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, and that there exist spheres for which all the a priori complex tangent lines are real. The present paper addresses the following question: What is the maximum number of real $k$-flats simultaneously tangent to $d_{k, n}$ general quadrics in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (respectively in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$ )? As this problem may be formulated as the complete intersection of $d_{k, n}$ quadratic equations on the Grassmannian of $k$-planes in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$, the expected number of complex solutions is the product of the degrees of the equations with the degree of the Grassmannian, i.e., $2^{d_{k, n}} \cdot \#_{k, n}$. We show that the problem is fully real:

Theorem 1. Let $1 \leq k \leq n-2$. Given $d_{k, n}$ general quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ there are $2^{d_{k, n}} \cdot \#_{k, n}$ complex $k$-planes that are simultaneously tangent to all $d_{k, n}$ quadrics. Furthermore, there is a choice of quadrics in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for which all the $k$-flats are real, distinct, and lie in affine space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

When $k=1$, we have $d_{1, n}=2(n-1)$ and $\#_{1, n}$ is the Catalan number $\#_{1, n}=\frac{1}{n}\binom{2 n-2}{n-1}$. The following table exhibits the large discrepancy between the number of lines tangent to spheres and the number of lines tangent to general quadrics. When $n=3$ this discrepancy was accounted for by Aluffi and Fulton [1].

| $n$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3 \cdot 2^{n-1}$ | 12 | 24 | 48 | 96 | 192 | 384 | 768 |
| $2^{d_{1, n}} \cdot \#_{1, n}$ | 32 | 320 | 3584 | 43008 | 540672 | 7028736 | 93716480 |

In Section we review some facts on Plücker coordinates of $k$-planes in projective space. In Section 2, we combine recent results in the real Schubert calculus with classical perturbation arguments adapted to the real numbers to prove Theorem [1 Since the proof for general $(k, n)$ is non-constructive, we give a symbolic, constructive proof for the case $(k, n)=(1,3)$ in Section 3.

## 1. Preliminaries

We review the well-known Plücker coordinates of $k$-dimensional linear subspaces (hereafter $k$-planes) in complex projective space $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ (see, e.g., [4]). Let $U$ be a $k$-plane in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ which is spanned by the columns of an $(n+1) \times(k+1)$-matrix $L$. For every subset $I \subset\{0, \ldots, n\}$ of size $k+1$ let $p_{I}$ be the $(k+1) \times(k+1)$-subdeterminant of $L$ given by the rows in $I$ and let $N:=\binom{n+1}{k+1}-1$. Then $p:=\left(p_{I}\right)_{I \subset\{0, \ldots, n\},|I|=k+1} \in \mathbb{P}^{N}$ is the Plücker coordinate of $U$. The set of all $k$-planes in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ is called the Grassmannian of $k$-planes in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ and is denoted by $\mathbb{G}_{k, n}$. If the indices are written as ordered tuples then the Plücker coordinates are skew-symmetric in the indices. $\mathbb{G}_{k, n}$ is in 1-1-correspondence with the set of vectors in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ satisfying the Plücker relations, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{k+1}(-1)^{l} p_{i_{1} \ldots \hat{l}_{l} \ldots i_{k+1}} p_{j_{1} \ldots j_{k-1} i_{l}}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $I=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k+1}\right\}, J=\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k-1}\right\} \subset\{0, \ldots, n\}$ of strictly ordered index sets (where ^ over an index means that it is omitted). See, e.g., 4, §VII.6]. By Schubert's results [7], the dimension of $\mathbb{G}_{k, n}$ is $d_{k, n}=(k+1)(n-k)$ and its degree is $\#_{k, n}$.

If an $(n-k-1)$-plane $V$ is given as the intersection of the $k+1$ hyperplanes $\sum_{i=0}^{n} v_{i}^{(0)} x_{i}=0, \ldots, \sum_{i=0}^{n} v_{i}^{(k)} x_{i}=0$, then the dual Plücker coordinate $q=$ $\left(q_{I}\right)_{I \subset\{0, \ldots, n\},|I|=k+1} \in \mathbb{P}^{N}$ of $V$ is defined by the $(k+1) \times(k+1)$-subdeterminants of the matrix with columns $v^{(0)}, \ldots, v^{(k)}$.

A $k$-plane $U$ intersects an $(n-k-1)$-plane $V$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ if and only if the dot product of the Plücker coordinate $p$ of $U$ and the dual Plücker coordinate $q$ of $V$ vanishes, i.e., if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{I \subset\{0, \ldots, n\},|I|=k+1} p_{I} q_{I}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see, e.g., 4. Theorem VII.5.I]).
We use Plücker coordinates to characterize the $k$-planes tangent to a given quadric in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ (see [11). We identify a quadric $x^{\mathrm{T}} Q x=0$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ with its symmetric $(n+1) \times(n+1)$ representation matrix $Q$. Further, for $r \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\wedge^{r}$ denote the $r$-th exterior power of matrices

$$
\wedge^{r}: \mathbb{C}^{m \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m}\binom{m}{2} \times\binom{ n}{2}
$$

(see [11]). The row and column indices of the resulting matrix are subsets of cardinality $r$ of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, respectively. For $I \subset\{1, \ldots, m\}$ with $|I|=r$ and $J \subset$ $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $|J|=r,\left(\wedge^{r} A\right)_{I, J}$ is the subdeterminant of $A$ whose rows are indexed by $I$ and whose columns are indexed by $J$. If a $k$-plane $U \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ is spanned by the columns of an $(n+1) \times(k+1)$-matrix $L$, then the $\binom{n+1}{k+1} \times 1$-matrix $\wedge^{k+1} L$, considered as a vector in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$, is the Plücker coordinate of $U$.

Recall the following algebraic characterization of tangency: A $k$-plane $U$ is tangent to a quadric $Q$ if the restriction of the quadratic form to $U$ is singular (which includes the case $U \subset Q$ ). When the quadric is smooth, this implies that $U$ is tangent to the quadric in the usual geometric sense.

Proposition 2 (Proposition 5.5.3 of [11]). A $k$-plane $U \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ is tangent to a quadric $Q$ if and only if the Plücker coordinate $p_{U}$ of $U$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{U}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\wedge^{k+1} Q\right) p_{U}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A $k$-flat in affine real space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a $k$-dimensional affine subspace in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Throughout the paper we assume that $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is naturally embedded in the real projective space $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$ via $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(1, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$.

## 2. Proof of the main theorem

We first illustrate the essential geometric idea underlying our constructions for $(k, n)=(1,3)$, which is the first nontrivial case. Here, Theorem $\square$ states that there exists a configuration of four quadrics in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with 32 distinct real common tangent lines.

By (2), the set of lines meeting four given lines in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ is the intersection of four hyperplanes on the Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}_{1,3}$, and hence there are at most two or infinitely many common lines meeting $\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{4}$. If $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ are opposite edges in a tetrahedron $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$, then the lines underlying $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ are the two common transversals of the four lines underlying the other four edges (see Figure (1).


Figure 1. A tetrahedron configuration of four lines in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with two real transversals and a configuration of four quadrics with 32 real tangents.

Consider the lines $\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{4}$ as (degenerate) infinite circular cylinders with radius $r=0$. When the radius is slightly increased, then the cylinders intersect pairwise in the regions (combinatorially) given by the four vertices of $\Delta$, and the common tangents roughly have the direction of $e_{1}$ or $e_{2}$. Since the neighborhood of a vertex is divided into four regions by the two cylinders, and since each region contains common tangents, this gives $4 \cdot 4$ tangents close to the direction of $e_{1}$ and $4 \cdot 4$ tangents close to the direction of $e_{2}$ (see Figure 1 )

For the general case, let $1 \leq k \leq n-2$. By Section the number of $k$-planes in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ simultaneously meeting $d_{k, n}$ general $(n-k-1)$-planes is $\#_{k, n}$. We begin with a configuration of $d_{k, n}$ real $(n-k-1)$-flats $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{d_{k, n}}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ having $\#_{k, n}$ real ( $n-k-1$ )flats simultaneously meeting $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{d_{k, n}}$. We then argue that we can replace each of these $(n-k-1)$-flats by a real quadric such that for each of the $k$-flats, there are $2^{d_{k, n}}$ nearby real $k$-flats tangent to each quadric.
Proposition 3. For $1 \leq k \leq n-2$, there exists a configuration of $d_{k, n}$ real $(n-k-1)$ flats $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{d_{k, n}}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that there exist exactly $\#_{k, n}$ real $k$-flats simultaneously meeting $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{d_{k, n}}$.
Proof. The corresponding statement for real projective space $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$ was proven for $k=1$ in [9, Theorem C] and for $k \geq 2$ in [10. We deduce the affine counterpart above simply by removing a real hyperplane that contains none of the $(n-k-1)$-flats or any of the transversal $k$-flats.

For $k=1$, the purely existential statement in [9] and Proposition 3 was improved by Eremenko and Gabrielov [2] who gave the following explicit construction of such a collection of $(n-2)$-flats. Let $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}, \gamma(s):=\left(1, s, s^{2}, \ldots, s^{n-1}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ be the moment curve in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. For each $s \in \mathbb{R}$, set $U(s)$ to be

$$
U(s):=\operatorname{affine} \operatorname{span}\left(\gamma(s), \gamma^{\prime}(s), \ldots, \gamma^{(n-3)}(s)\right)
$$

Geometrically, $U(s)$ is the (n-2)-flat osculating the moment curve at the point $\gamma(s)$. By [2], for any distinct $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{2 n-2} \in \mathbb{R}$, the ( $n-2$ )-flats $U\left(s_{1}\right), U\left(s_{2}\right), \ldots, U\left(s_{2 n-2}\right)$ have exactly $\#_{1, n}=C_{n-1}$ common real transversals, where $C_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2 n}{n}$ is the $n$-th Catalan number. For general $k$, it is only known that there exist distinct $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{d_{k, n}} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that there are $\#_{k, n}$ distinct real $k$-flats meeting the osculating $(n-k-1)$-flats to the moment curve at $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{d_{k, n}}$ [10]. The conjecture on total reality in [8, §1 and §4] conjectures that any choice of distinct $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{d_{k, n}} \in \mathbb{R}$ implies reality of all transversal subspaces.

Definition. Suppose that $1 \leq k \leq n-2$, and let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a $k$-flat and $r>0$. The $k$-cylinder $\mathrm{Cy}(U, r)$ is the set of points having Euclidean distance $r$ from $U$.

This quadratic hypersurface is smooth in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ but its extension to $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ is singular. A $k^{\prime}$-flat $V \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is tangent to $\mathrm{Cy}(U, r)$ if and only if its Euclidean distance to $U$ is $r$.

We will use the following basic property of intersection multiplicities [3, p. 1].
Proposition 4. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an algebraic curve in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$, and let $x$ be a singular point on $\mathcal{A}$. For any hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ such that $x$ is an isolated point in $\mathcal{A} \cap H$, the intersection multiplicity of $\mathcal{A}$ and $H$ in $x$ is greater than 1 .

Theorem 5. Let $1 \leq k \leq n-2$, and let $U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots, U_{d_{k, n}}$ be $(n-k-1)$-flats in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ having exactly $\#_{k, n}$ common transversal $k$-flats, all real. For each $i=0,1, \ldots, d_{k, n}$, there exist $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{i}>0$ such that there are exactly $2^{i} \cdot \#_{k, n}$ distinct $k$-flats, each of them real, that are simultaneously tangent to each of the $(n-k-1)$-cylinders $\mathrm{Cy}\left(U_{j}, r_{j}\right), j=1, \ldots, i$, and also meet each of the $(n-k-1)$-flats $U_{i+1}, \ldots, U_{d_{k, n}}$.

The case of $i=d_{k, n}$ implies Theorem
Proof. We induct on $i$, with the case of $i=0$ being the hypothesis of the theorem.
Suppose that $i \leq d_{k, n}$ and that there exist $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{i-1}>0$ such that there are exactly $2^{i-1} \cdot \#_{k, n}$ distinct $k$-flats $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{2^{i-1} \#_{k, n}}$ which are simultaneously tangent to $\mathrm{Cy}\left(U_{j}, r_{j}\right)$ for each $j=1, \ldots, i-1$, and meet each of $U_{i}, \ldots, U_{d_{k, n}}$, and each of these $k$-flats is real.

Now we drop the condition that the $k$-flats meet $U_{i}$. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{G}_{k, n}$ be the curve of $k$-flats that are tangent to the cylinders $\mathrm{Cy}\left(U_{j}, r_{j}\right)$ for $j=1, \ldots, i-1$ and that also meet each of the $(n-k-1)$-flats $U_{i+1}, \ldots, U_{d_{k, n}}$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is the intersection of $i-1$ quadrics (the tangency conditions) with $d_{k, n}-i$ hyperplanes (conditions to meet the remaining $U_{j}$ ) on the Grassmannian, it has degree at most $2^{i-1} \#_{k, n}$. Since its intersection with the hyperplane defined by $U_{i}$ consists of $2^{i-1} \#_{k, n}$ points, we conclude that the degree of $\mathcal{A}$ is $2^{i-1} \#_{k, n}$ and (by Proposition (4) that each of these points is a smooth point of $\mathcal{A}$.

Let $V \in\left\{V_{1}, \ldots, V_{2^{i-1} \#_{k, n}}\right\}$. Since $V$ is a smooth real point of the real curve $\mathcal{A} \subset$ $\mathbb{G}_{k, n}$ (i.e., $V \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$ ), the real points of $\mathcal{A}$ contain a smooth arc $\alpha$ containing $V$ with
$\alpha \cap\left(\left\{V_{1}, \ldots, V_{2^{i-1} \#_{k, n}}\right\} \backslash V\right)=\emptyset$. Let $\varphi:(-\delta, \delta) \rightarrow \alpha$ be a smooth parametrization of the arc $\alpha$ with $\varphi(0)=V$. Such a parametrization exists, for example, by the Implicit Function Theorem.

Thus, for $t \in(-\delta, \delta) \backslash\{0\}$, the real $k$-flat $\varphi(t)$ does not meet $U_{i}$ and so it has a positive Euclidean distance $d(t)$ from $U_{i}$. Since $d(t)$ is a continuous function of $t$, for $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0<\rho<\min \{d(-\delta / 2), d(\delta / 2)\}$ there are at least two distinct real $k$-flats in $\alpha$ whose Euclidean distance to $U_{i}$ is $\rho$.

In this way, we obtain $2^{i-1} \cdot \#_{k, n}$ such arcs, each containing one of $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{2^{i-1}} \#_{k, n}$. We may assume that these arcs are pairwise disjoint. Let $0<r_{i}$ be small enough to ensure that each arc contains two $k$-flats having Euclidean distance $r_{i}$ from $U_{i}$. This gives at least $2 \cdot 2^{i-1} \cdot \#_{k, n}$ real $k$-planes in $\mathcal{A}$ whose Euclidean distance to $U_{i}$ is $r_{i}$. Since $2^{i} \cdot \#_{k, n}$ is the maximum number of $k$-flats with this property, there are exactly $2^{i} \cdot \#_{k, n}$ distinct $k$-flats tangent to $\operatorname{Cy}\left(U_{j}, r_{j}\right)$ for $j=1, \ldots, i$ and that also meet each of the $(n-k-1)$-flats $U_{i+1}, \ldots, U_{d_{k, n}}$.

Since the number of real $k$-flats will not change under a small perturbation of the $k$-cylinders $\operatorname{Cy}\left(U_{j}, r_{j}\right)$, we may replace them by quadrics which are smooth in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. Let $\operatorname{sign}(Q)$ denote the signature of a quadric $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$.

Corollary 6. Let $1 \leq k \leq n-2$. For

$$
\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{d_{k, n}}\right) \in \begin{cases}\{n-1, n-3, \ldots, 2 k-n+1\}^{d_{k, n}} & \text { if } k \geq n / 2 \\ \left\{n-1, n-3, \ldots, 2 \cdot\left(\frac{n-1}{2}-\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rfloor\right)\right\}^{d_{k, n}} & \text { if } k<n / 2\end{cases}
$$

there exist smooth quadrics $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{d_{k, n}} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$ with $\left|\operatorname{sign}\left(Q_{i}\right)\right|=s_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq d_{k, n}$, such that the $\#_{k, n}$ (complex) common tangent $k$-flats to $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{d_{k, n}}$ are all real, distinct, and lie in affine space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Proof. Since the absolute value of the signature of an $(n-k-1)$-cylinder is $k$, the proof immediately follows from the possible perturbations of the quadratic form in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ of the type

$$
-r^{2} x_{0}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{k+1}^{2}
$$

We conjecture that the reality statement holds for signatures not covered by Corollary 6
Conjecture 7. Let $1 \leq k \leq n-2$. For

$$
\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{d_{k, n}}\right) \in\left\{n-1, n-3, \ldots, 2 \cdot\left(\frac{n-1}{2}-\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rfloor\right)\right\}^{d_{k, n}}
$$

there exist smooth quadrics $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{d_{k, n}} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$ with $\left|\operatorname{sign}\left(Q_{i}\right)\right|=s_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq d_{k, n}$, such that the $\#_{k, n}$ (complex) common tangent $k$-flats to $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{d_{k, n}}$ are all real, distinct, and lie in affine space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

The first case of this conjecture which is not covered by Corollary 6 is when $k=3$ and $n=5$ and the signature is zero. That is, for 3 -flats tangent to 8 smooth quadrics in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{5}$, with at least one having signature zero. We remark that an argument perturbing cylinders to singular quadrics gives an analog to Corollary 6 concerning $k$-flats tangent to singular quadrics. We omit its complicated formulation.

## 3. A CONSTRUCTIVE PROOF FOR LINES IN DIMENSION 3

Our proof of Theorem was non-constructive. We close this paper by providing a constructive proof in the first nontrivial case, $(k, n)=(1,3)$, i.e., the real lines tangent to four quadrics in 3 -space. In order to realize the tetrahedral configuration of Figure in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{3}$, let $\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{4}$ be given by the following equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{1}: x_{0}=x_{3}=0 ; \quad \ell_{2}: x_{0}=x_{1}=0 ; \quad \ell_{3}: x_{1}=x_{2}=0 ; \quad \ell_{4}: x_{2}=x_{3}=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two common transversal lines are given by $x_{2}=x_{4}=0$ and by $x_{1}=x_{4}=0$.
For parameters $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, consider the four quadrics

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{1}: x_{0}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}-\beta\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)=0, \\
& Q_{2}: x_{0}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}-\beta\left(x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}\right)=0, \\
& Q_{3}: x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}-\alpha\left(x_{0}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}\right)=0, \\
& Q_{4}: x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}-\alpha\left(x_{0}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\alpha=\beta=0$, the four quadrics become the corresponding lines in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{3}$. For small $\alpha, \beta>0$, these quadrics are deformations of the lines with rank 4 and signature 0 smooth ruled surfaces.

Theorem 8. Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ satisfy

$$
\alpha \beta(1-\alpha \beta)\left(1-\beta^{2}\right)\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right)\left((1-\alpha)^{2}(1-\beta)^{2}-16 \alpha \beta\right) \neq 0 .
$$

Then there are 32 distinct (possibly complex) common tangent lines to $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{4}$. If $0<\alpha, \beta<3-2 \sqrt{2}$, then each of these 32 tangent lines is real.
Proof. Since the quadrics only contain monomials of the form $x_{i}^{2}$, the tangent equations (3) of $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{4}$ only contain monomials of the form $p_{i j}^{2}$. Hence, the four tangent equations give the following system of linear equations in $p_{01}^{2}, \ldots, p_{23}^{2}$ :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
-\beta & -\beta & 1 & \beta^{2} & -\beta & -\beta \\
1 & -\beta & -\beta & -\beta & -\beta & \beta^{2} \\
-\alpha & -\alpha & \alpha^{2} & 1 & -\alpha & -\alpha \\
\alpha^{2} & -\alpha & -\alpha & -\alpha & -\alpha & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
p_{01}^{2} \\
p_{02}^{2} \\
p_{03}^{2} \\
p_{12}^{2} \\
p_{13}^{2} \\
p_{23}^{2}
\end{array}\right)=0 .
$$

Permute the variables into the order $\left(p_{02}, p_{13}, p_{03}, p_{12}, p_{01}, p_{23}\right)$. For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \beta(1-\alpha \beta)(1+\beta)(1+\alpha) \neq 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Gaussian elimination yields the following system:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
-\beta & -\beta & (1-\alpha)(1-\beta) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha & -\beta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\beta & \alpha & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha & -\beta
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
p_{02}^{2} \\
p_{13}^{2} \\
p_{03}^{2} \\
p_{12}^{2} \\
p_{01}^{2} \\
p_{23}^{2}
\end{array}\right)=0 .
$$

Together with the Plücker equation (1), this gives the following system of equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
-\beta p_{02}^{2}-\beta p_{13}^{2}+(1-\alpha)(1-\beta) p_{03}^{2} & =0  \tag{6}\\
p_{01} p_{23}-p_{02} p_{13}+p_{03} p_{12} & =0  \tag{7}\\
\alpha p_{01}^{2}=\alpha p_{03}^{2}=\beta p_{12}^{2} & =\beta p_{23}^{2} . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\alpha, \beta$ satisfying (5) as well as $(1-\alpha)(1-\beta) \neq 0$, we distinguish the following three disjoint cases.
Case 1: $p_{02}=0$.
Since $p_{13}=0$ would imply that all components are zero and hence contradict $\left(p_{01}, \ldots, p_{23}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{P}^{5}$, we can assume $p_{13}=1$. Then (6) and (8) imply

$$
\alpha p_{01}^{2}=\alpha p_{03}^{2}=\beta p_{12}^{2}=\beta p_{23}^{2}=\frac{\alpha \beta}{(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)} \neq 0
$$

Since (7) implies $p_{01} p_{23}=-p_{03} p_{12}$, only 8 of the $2^{4}=16$ sign combinations for $p_{01}, p_{03}, p_{12}, p_{23}$ are possible. Namely, the 8 (complex) solutions for $p_{01}, p_{03}, p_{12}, p_{23}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{01}, p_{03}, p_{12}, p_{23}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)}}\left(\gamma_{01} \sqrt{\beta}, \gamma_{03} \sqrt{\beta}, \gamma_{12} \sqrt{\alpha},-\gamma_{01} \gamma_{03} \gamma_{12} \sqrt{\alpha}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\gamma_{01}, \gamma_{03}, \gamma_{12} \in\{-1,1\}$. Hence, for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ satisfying (5), this case gives 8 distinct common tangents.

Case 2: $p_{13}=0$.
This case is symmetric to case 1 . Setting $p_{02}=1$, the resulting 8 solutions for the variables $p_{01}, p_{03}, p_{12}, p_{23}$ are the same ones as in (91).
Case 3: $p_{02} p_{13} \neq 0$.
Without loss of generality, we can assume $p_{02}=1$. Solving (7) for $p_{13}$ and substituting this expression into (6) yields

$$
-\beta-\beta p_{01}^{2} p_{23}^{2}-\beta p_{03}^{2} p_{12}^{2}-2 \beta p_{01} p_{03} p_{12} p_{23}+(1-\alpha)(1-\beta) p_{03}^{2}=0
$$

We use (8) to write this in terms of $p_{01}$. This is straightforward for the squared terms, but for the other terms, we observe that, by (8), $p_{01} p_{23}= \pm p_{03} p_{12}$ and since $p_{02} p_{13} \neq 0$, the Plücker equation (7) implies these have the same sign. This gives the quartic equation in $p_{01}$

$$
-\beta+(1-\alpha)(1-\beta) p_{01}^{2}-4 \alpha p_{01}^{4}=0
$$

whose discriminant is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha)^{2}(1-\beta)^{2}-16 \alpha \beta \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying (5), and for which this discriminant does not vanish, there are two different solutions for $p_{01}^{2}$. For each of these two solutions for $p_{01}^{2}$, there are 8 distinct solutions for $p_{01}, p_{03}, p_{12}, p_{23}$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{01}, p_{03}, p_{12}, p_{23}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}=\sqrt{p_{01}^{2}}\left(\gamma_{01}, \gamma_{03}, \gamma_{12}, \gamma_{01} \gamma_{03} \gamma_{12}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\gamma_{01}, \gamma_{03}, \gamma_{12} \in\{-1,1\}$. Since $p_{13}$ is uniquely determined by $p_{01}, p_{02}, p_{03}, p_{12}$, case 3 gives 16 distinct common tangents.

In order to determine when all solutions are real, suppose first that $\alpha=\beta$. Then the discriminant (10) becomes $\left(\alpha^{2}-6 \alpha+1\right)(\alpha+1)^{2}$, and its smallest positive root is $\alpha_{0}:=3-2 \sqrt{2} \approx 0.17157$. In particular, for $0<\alpha<\alpha_{0}$, the discriminant in case 3 is positive and both solutions for $p_{01}^{2}$ are positive. Thus, for $0<\beta=\alpha<\alpha_{0}$, the solutions of all three cases are distinct and real. Next, fix $0<\alpha<\alpha_{0}$ and suppose that $0<\beta<\alpha$. Then the discriminant (10) is positive: for fixed $0<\alpha<\alpha_{0}$, the discriminant (10) is decreasing in $\beta$ for $0<\beta<\alpha$ and positive when $\beta=\alpha$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 8 8 .

Figure 2 illustrates the construction and the 32 tangents for $\alpha=1 / 10$ and $\beta=1 / 20$.


Figure 2. The configuration of quadrics from Theorem 8 .
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