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## Outline

$\diamond$ The Beltrami model of $d S$ and $A d S$; proposal of special relativity on them $\diamond$ Conformal Mink-, $d S$ - and $A d S$-spaces from a projective subspace
$\diamond$ Conformal triality and $\operatorname{AdS} / C F T^{3}$ correspondence
$C F T^{3}$ : three kinds of $C F T \mathrm{~s}$.
$\diamond$ Null geodesics in conformal Mink-, $d S$ - and $A d S$ spaces

## 1 The Beltrami Model of $d S / A d S$ and Special Relativity on Them
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### 1.1 Why could there be a special relativity on $d S / A d S$ ?

1. Analogy in geometry.

Euclidean geometry
Lobachevskian geometry
Riemann's spherical geometry $\quad \mathrm{SR}$ on $d S$ ?

- Three kinds of SR from inverse Wick rotation -hep-th/0508094.

2. Experiments and observations.

- SR: supported by local experiments;
- the cosmos: asymptotically $d S$.

Isn't it a good thing to have a theory supported by all these experiments and observations?

## 3. Different features of SR and GR.

(1) In SR:

- existence of inertial reference frames;
- with the principle of SR related to them;
- metric as a background only;
- spacetime symmetry: the Poincaré group;
- symmetry group of dynamics: the Poincaré group;
- the Minkowski coordinates $\Leftrightarrow$ inertial reference frames;
(2) In GR:
- no inertial reference frames, even no global frames in general;
- the principle of GR;
- metric - background and dynamical;
- spacetime symmetry: generally, no;
- dynamics: diffeomorphic-invariant;
- coordinate independent;
(3) A question: how the features of GR turn out to be those of SR when the curvature is zero?
(4) The correct relation of SR and GR:

SR is a theory which describes a special solution of GR.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\mathbf{R} \rightarrow 0} G R \neq S R . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then why there could not be theories of "SR" to describe other spacetimes?

## $1.2 d S$ and Its Geometry

$\diamond$ Usually viewed as a hypersurface in $\mathcal{M}^{1,4}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\eta_{A B} \xi^{A} \xi^{B}=-R^{2} \\
\left(\eta_{A B}\right)_{A, B=0,1, \ldots, 4}=\operatorname{diag}(1,-1,-1,-1,-1)
\end{gathered}
$$

$\diamond$ Equivalently, $d S \cong \mathcal{S} / \sim$

- $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{M}^{1,4}$ : the set of spacelike vectors;
- $\sim$ : the equivalence relation similar to that in projective geometry:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{\prime} \sim \xi \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists c>0 \text { s.t. } \xi^{\prime A}=c \xi^{A} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

- $d S$ : the set of spacelike rays from the origin of $\mathcal{M}^{2,4}$.
- Why antipodal points not identified?

Orientable and time orientable.
$\diamond$ The Beltrami coordinates - inhomogeneous coordinates.

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\mu}:=R \frac{\xi^{\mu}}{\xi^{4}}, \quad(\mu=0,1,2,3) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the regions $U_{ \pm 4}$ where $\xi^{4}>0$ or $\xi^{4}<0$.

- Other coordinate neighborhoods.
- $\sigma(x)>0$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(x):=1-\frac{1}{R^{2}} \eta_{\mu \nu} x^{\mu} x^{\nu} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\diamond$ The $O(1,4)$ transformations:

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime \mu}= & \pm \frac{\sqrt{\sigma(a)} D_{\nu}^{\mu}\left(x^{\nu}-a^{\nu}\right)}{\sigma(a, x)},  \tag{6}\\
D_{\nu}^{\mu}= & L_{\nu}^{\mu}+\frac{R^{-2} L_{\rho}^{\mu} a^{\rho} a_{\nu}}{\sigma(a)+\sqrt{\sigma(a)}},  \tag{7}\\
\sigma(a, x):= & 1-\frac{1}{R^{2}} \eta_{\mu \nu} a^{\mu} x^{\nu},  \tag{8}\\
& \left(L_{\nu}^{\mu}\right) \in O(1,3), \quad \sigma(a)>0
\end{align*}
$$

- Fractional linear.
- The same form as the $O(3)$ transformations on $S^{2}$ or $\mathbb{R} P^{2}$.
- Turn out to be Poincaré transformations up to the order of $1 / R^{2}$.

$\diamond$ The $O(1,4)$-invariant metric:

$$
\begin{align*}
d s^{2} & =g_{\mu \nu}(x) d x^{\mu} d x^{\nu}  \tag{9}\\
g_{\mu \nu}(x) & :=\frac{\eta_{\mu \nu}}{\sigma(x)}+\frac{\eta_{\mu \alpha} \eta_{\nu \beta} x^{\alpha} x^{\beta}}{R^{2} \sigma(x)} . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

- Induced from the hypersurface, or
- derived from the invariant cross ratio.
- The same form as that on $S^{2}$ or $\mathbb{R} P^{2}$.
- $O(1,4)$-invariant:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial x^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\prime \mu}} \frac{\partial x^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\prime \nu}} g_{\alpha \beta}(x)=g_{\mu \nu}\left(x^{\prime}\right) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

- $g_{\mu \nu}(x)=\eta_{\mu \nu}+O\left(1 / R^{2}\right)$
$\diamond$ Geodesics
- Geodesics are "projective" staight lines, and vice versa.

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\mu} & =x_{0}^{\mu}+w(s) u^{\mu}  \tag{12}\\
\frac{d w}{d s} & =\sigma(x)=1-\frac{1}{R^{2}} \eta_{\mu \nu} x^{\mu} x^{\nu} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

- $w \sim s$ if all $x^{\mu} \ll R$.
- Preserved quantities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\mu}=\frac{m}{\sigma(x)} \frac{d x^{\mu}}{d s}, \quad L^{\mu \nu}=x^{\mu} P^{\nu}-x^{\nu} P^{\mu} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{\mu \nu} P^{\mu} P^{\nu}-\frac{1}{2 R^{2}} \eta_{\mu \rho} \eta_{\nu \sigma} L^{\mu \nu} L^{\rho \sigma}=m^{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.3 Proposal of SR on $d S / A d S$

- Method of projective geometry works, nearly without use of DG.
- Inertial motions and inertial reference frames (IRF) can be defined intrinsically.
- An IRF can be transformed to be another IRF by $O(1,4)$ transformations.
- The Beltrami coordinates and the Minkowski coordinates cannot be distinguished in small scales.
- All the formulae can be degenerated to those in SR in small scales.
$\diamond$ If SR on $d S / A d S$ is accepted, then nonzero curvature does not necessarily mean gravitation.


## 2 Conformal Transformations

$\diamond$ For a $(M, \mathbf{g}), \psi: M \rightarrow M$ is a conformal transformation if
(1) it is a diffeomorphism and
(2) $\psi^{*} \mathbf{g}=\rho^{2} \mathbf{g}$.


$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\mu} \rightarrow x^{\prime \mu}:=\psi^{*} x^{\mu}=x^{\prime \mu}(x), \text { then } \\
& \frac{\partial x^{\prime \rho}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial x^{\prime \sigma}}{\partial x^{\nu}} g_{\rho \sigma}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\rho^{2}(x) g_{\mu \nu}(x),  \tag{16}\\
& d s^{\prime 2}=\rho^{2}(x) d s^{2} . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

$\diamond$ The conformal Minkowski space: Special conformal transformations are not diffeomorphisms on the Minkowski space. Additional points (points at infinity, ideal points) must be added.
$\diamond$ Similar for conformal $d S$ - and $A d S$-spaces.

## 3 Conformal $d S / A d S$-Spaces

$\diamond$ As hypersurfaces in $M^{1,4}$ and $M^{2,3}$, respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{\theta}^{1,3}: \quad \eta_{\theta A B} \xi^{A} \xi^{B}=-\theta R^{2} .  \tag{18}\\
& \theta= \pm, \quad\left(\eta_{\theta A B}\right)_{A, B=0, \ldots, 4}=\operatorname{diag}(J,-\theta)(18)  \tag{19}\\
& J=\left(\eta_{\mu \nu}\right)=\operatorname{diag}(1,-1,-1,-1) . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

$\diamond \operatorname{In} \mathcal{M}^{2,4}$, if set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta^{A}=\kappa \xi^{A},(A=0, \ldots, 4) \quad \zeta^{5}=\kappa R \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

then eq. (18) $\Rightarrow$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{N}_{\theta}: \quad \eta_{\theta \hat{A} \hat{B}} \zeta^{\hat{A}} \zeta^{\hat{B}}=0  \tag{22}\\
& \left(\zeta^{0}, \ldots, \zeta^{5}\right) \sim\left(\xi^{0}, \ldots, \xi^{4}, R\right)  \tag{23}\\
& d S \cong H_{+}^{1,3}=\mathcal{N}_{+} \cap \mathcal{P}  \tag{24}\\
& A d S \cong H_{-}^{1,3}=\mathcal{N}_{-} \cap \mathcal{P}  \tag{25}\\
& \mathcal{P}: \zeta^{5}=R \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

$\diamond$ Induced metric:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \chi_{\theta}^{2}=\kappa^{2} d s_{\theta}^{2}=\left(\frac{\zeta^{5}}{R}\right)^{2} d s_{\theta}^{2} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \chi_{\theta}^{2}:=\eta_{\theta \hat{A} \hat{B}} d \zeta^{\hat{A}} d \zeta^{\hat{B}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s_{\theta}^{2}:=\eta_{A B} d \xi^{A} d \xi^{B} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$



Each $O(2,4)$ transformation induces a conformal transformation on $H_{\theta}^{1,3}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s_{\theta}^{\prime 2}=\rho^{2} d s_{\theta}^{2}, \quad \rho=\frac{\zeta^{5}}{\zeta^{\prime 5}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\diamond$ Conformal transformations on BdS and BAdS:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\zeta^{\prime \hat{A}}=C_{\hat{A}}^{\hat{A}} \zeta^{\hat{B}},  \tag{31}\\
\zeta^{\prime \mu}=C_{\nu}^{\mu} \zeta^{\nu}+C_{4}^{\mu} \zeta^{4}+C_{5}^{\mu} \zeta^{5},  \tag{32}\\
\zeta^{\prime 4}=C_{\alpha}^{4} \zeta^{\alpha}+C_{4}^{4} \zeta^{4}+C_{5}^{4} \zeta^{5} ;  \tag{33}\\
\zeta^{\prime 5}=C_{\alpha}^{5} \zeta^{\alpha}+C_{4}^{5} \zeta^{4}+C_{5}^{5} \zeta^{5} .  \tag{34}\\
x^{\prime \mu}=R \frac{\xi^{\prime \mu}}{\xi^{\prime 4}}=R \frac{\zeta^{\prime \mu}}{\zeta^{\prime 4}}  \tag{35}\\
x^{\prime \mu}=\frac{C_{\nu}^{\mu} x^{\nu}+C_{4}^{\mu} R \pm C_{5}^{\mu} R \sqrt{\sigma(x)}}{C_{4}^{4}+\frac{1}{R} C_{\alpha}^{4} x^{\alpha} \pm C_{5}^{4} \sqrt{\sigma(x)}},  \tag{36}\\
\rho=  \tag{37}\\
\frac{ \pm \sqrt{\sigma(x)}}{C_{4}^{5}+\frac{1}{R} C_{\alpha}^{5} x^{\alpha} \pm C_{5}^{5} \sqrt{\sigma(x)}} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Examples.

$$
\begin{align*}
C & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \gamma & \gamma \beta \\
0 & \gamma \beta & \gamma
\end{array}\right)  \tag{38}\\
x^{\prime \mu} & =\frac{x^{\mu} \sqrt{1-\beta^{2}}}{1 \pm \beta \sqrt{\sigma(x)}}  \tag{39}\\
C & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\delta_{\nu}^{\mu}-\frac{\theta}{R^{2}} \frac{b^{\mu} b_{\nu}}{1+\sqrt{\sigma(b)}} & 0 & \frac{b^{\mu}}{R} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-\frac{b_{\nu}}{R} & 0 & \sqrt{\sigma(b)}
\end{array}\right),  \tag{40}\\
x^{\prime \mu} & =x^{\mu}-\frac{\theta(b \cdot x)}{1+\sqrt{\sigma(b)}} \frac{b^{\mu}}{R^{2}} \pm b^{\mu} \sqrt{\sigma(x)} \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4 Triality

### 4.1 Outline and review

(1) A null-cone $\mathcal{N}$ in $\mathcal{M}^{2,4}: \quad \eta_{\hat{A} \hat{B}} \zeta^{\hat{A}} \zeta^{\hat{B}}=0$.
(2) A 4 -dim $[\mathcal{N}]$ is resulted in:

$$
[\mathcal{N}]=\mathcal{N}-\{0\} / \sim, \quad[\mathcal{N}] \cong S^{1} \times S^{3}
$$

(3) An action of $O(2,4)$ is induced on $[\mathcal{N}]$.
(4) The Minkowski space is $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{P}$, with $\mathcal{P}$ a null hyperplane

$$
\zeta^{-}:=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(-\zeta^{4}+\zeta^{5}\right)=R
$$

(5) $d S$-space is $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{P}$, with $\mathcal{P}$ a hyperplane $\zeta^{5}=R$. The normal vector of $\mathcal{P}$ is timelike.
(6) For $A d S$-space, the normal vector of $\mathcal{P}$ is spacelike.
(7) Relation of metrics: For Minkowski and $d S / A d S$, respectively,

$$
d \chi_{M}^{2}=\left(\frac{\zeta^{-}}{R}\right)^{2} d s_{M}^{2}, \quad d \chi_{M}^{2}=\kappa^{2} d s_{\theta}^{2} .
$$

The induced $O(2,4)$ transformations on $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{P}$ are conformal:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\frac{\zeta^{\prime-}}{R}\right)^{2} d s_{M}^{\prime 2}=d \chi_{M}^{\prime 2} \equiv d \chi_{M}^{2}=\left(\frac{\zeta^{-}}{R}\right)^{2} d s_{M}^{2} \Rightarrow \\
d s_{M}^{2}=\rho^{2} d s_{M}^{2}, \quad \rho:=\frac{\zeta^{-}}{\zeta^{\prime-}} \\
\kappa^{\prime 2} d s_{\theta}^{\prime 2}=d \chi^{\prime 2} \equiv d \chi^{2}=\kappa^{2} d s_{\theta}^{2}, \quad \Rightarrow \\
d s_{\theta}^{\prime 2}=\rho^{2} d s_{\theta}^{2}, \quad \rho:=\frac{\kappa}{\kappa^{\prime}}=\frac{\zeta^{5}}{\zeta^{15}}
\end{gathered}
$$


(8) Transformation law in terms of the Minkowksi or Beltrami coordinates.

### 4.2 A generic description

(1) $\mathcal{P}$ : a hyperplane, not passing through the origin, orientation induced.
$\mathbf{n}$ : a normal vector of $\mathcal{P}$.

| Items | Minkowski | $d S$ | $A d S$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{n}$ | null | timelike | spacelike |
| $\mathcal{P}$ | null | spacelike | timelike |
| signature | $0,+,-,-,-$ | $1+4$ | $2+3$ |
| $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{P}$ | Minkowski | $d S$ | $A d S$ |
| status of $\mathbf{n}$ | up to a | determined | determined |
|  | scalar |  |  |
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(2) For null $\mathcal{P}$,
$\mathbf{l}$ : a null vector pointing to $\mathcal{P}$, and $\mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{n}=1$. determined by l.)
$\mathbf{e}_{\mu}(\mu=0,1,2,3):$ orthonormal, tangent to $\mathcal{P}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mu}=\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mu}=0 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left\{\mathbf{e}_{\mu}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{l}\right\}$ - orientation. $\mathbf{e}_{0}$ future pointed.
\& $\zeta \in \mathcal{P}$ can be expressed as
$\boldsymbol{\zeta}=x^{\mu} \mathbf{e}_{\mu}+x^{+} \mathbf{n}+R \mathbf{1}, \quad \zeta \in \mathcal{N} \cap \Leftrightarrow x^{+}=-\frac{1}{2 R} \eta_{\mu \nu} x^{\mu} x^{\nu}$.
\& $C \in O(2,4)$ transforms $\boldsymbol{\zeta} \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{P}$ to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{\zeta}^{\prime}=x^{\prime \mu} \mathbf{e}_{\mu}+x^{\prime+} \mathbf{n}+R \mathbf{l}=\rho C \boldsymbol{\zeta}  \tag{44}\\
& d s_{M}^{\prime 2}=\rho^{2} d s_{M}^{2} \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

\& $\{\mathcal{P},-\mathcal{P}\} C$-invariant $\Leftrightarrow C$ induces a Poincaré transformation on $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{P}$.
\% Change of 1 induces a Poincaré coordinate transformation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R \mathbf{1}^{\prime}=a^{\mu} \mathbf{e}_{\mu}+a^{+} \mathbf{n}+R \mathbf{1} \quad \Rightarrow \\
& \mathbf{e}_{\mu}^{\prime}=\mathbf{e}_{\mu}-\frac{\eta_{\mu \nu}}{R} a^{\nu} \mathbf{n}, \quad x^{\prime \mu}=x^{\mu}-a^{\mu} .(47)
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) For a spacelike $\mathcal{P}:(\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{P} \cong d S)$
$\left\{\mathbf{e}_{A}, \mathbf{n} \mid A=0,1, \ldots, 4\right\}$ - oriented orthonormal basis,
$\mathbf{n}$ - unit timelike normal vector pointing to $\mathcal{P}$,
$\mathbf{e}_{0}$ - future pointed.
\& $\zeta \in \mathcal{P}$ :
$\boldsymbol{\zeta}=\xi^{A} \mathbf{e}_{A}+R \mathbf{n}, \quad \boldsymbol{\zeta} \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{P} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \eta_{A B} \xi^{A} \xi^{B}=-R^{2}$.
(48)
\& Beltrami coordinates can be defined. E.g.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\mu}:=R \frac{\xi^{\mu}}{\xi^{4}} . \Rightarrow \xi^{4}= \pm \frac{R}{\sqrt{\sigma(x)}}  \tag{49}\\
& \sigma(x)>0, \sigma(x):=1-\frac{1}{R^{2}} \eta_{\mu \nu} x^{\mu} x^{\nu} .(50)
\end{align*}
$$

\& $C \in O(2,4)$ transforms $\boldsymbol{\zeta} \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{P}$ to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{\zeta}^{\prime}=\xi^{\prime A} \mathbf{e}_{A}+R \mathbf{n}=\rho C \boldsymbol{\zeta}  \tag{51}\\
& d s_{+}^{\prime 2}=\rho^{2} d s_{+}^{2} \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

\& $\{\mathcal{P},-\mathcal{P}\} C$-invariant $\Leftrightarrow C$ induces a de Sitter transformation on $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{P}$.
(4) For a timelike $\mathcal{P}:(\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{P} \cong A d S)$
$\left\{\mathbf{e}_{\mu}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{e}_{4}\right\}$ - oriented orthonormal basis,
$\mathbf{n}$ - unit spacelike normal vector pointint to $\mathcal{P}$,
$\mathbf{e}_{0}$ - future pointed.
\& $\zeta \in \mathcal{P}$ :
$\boldsymbol{\zeta}=\xi^{A} \mathbf{e}_{A}+R \mathbf{n}, \quad \boldsymbol{\zeta} \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \eta_{A B} \xi^{A} \xi^{B}=R^{2}$.
(53)
\& Beltrami-Hua-Lu coordinates can be defined. E.g.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{\mu}:=R \frac{\xi^{\mu}}{\xi^{4}} . \Rightarrow \xi^{4}= \pm \frac{R}{\sqrt{\sigma(x)}} \\
& \sigma(x)>0, \sigma(x):=1+\frac{1}{R^{2}} \eta_{\mu \nu} x^{\mu} x^{\nu}
\end{aligned}
$$

\& $C \in O(2,4)$ transforms $\boldsymbol{\zeta} \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{P}$ to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{\zeta}^{\prime}=\xi^{\prime A} \mathbf{e}_{A}+R \mathbf{n}=\rho C \boldsymbol{\zeta}  \tag{56}\\
& d s_{-}^{\prime 2}=\rho^{2} d s_{-}^{2} \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

\& $\{\mathcal{P},-\mathcal{P}\}$ is $C$-invariant $\Leftrightarrow C$ induces an antide Sitter transformation on $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{P}$.

### 4.3 Conformal maps between conformal Minkowski, $d S$ and $A d S$


(1) Conformal map from the Minkowski to $d S / A d S$. $\mathcal{P}$ : null, $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{P}$ Minkowski,
$\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ : spacelike or timelike, $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{P} \cong d S$ or $A d S$, respectively.
\& $\boldsymbol{\zeta}=x^{\mu} \mathbf{e}_{\mu}+x^{+} \mathbf{n}+R \mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{P} \quad \sim$
$\boldsymbol{\zeta}^{\prime}=\xi^{A} \mathbf{e}_{A}^{\prime}+R^{\prime} \mathbf{n}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$,
$\boldsymbol{\zeta}^{\prime}=\rho \boldsymbol{\zeta} \quad \Rightarrow$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \mu}=R^{\prime} C_{\nu}^{\mu} x^{\nu}-\frac{1}{2^{\frac{3}{2}} R}\left(C_{4}^{\mu}+C_{5}^{\mu}\right)(x \cdot x)-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(C_{4}^{\mu}-C_{5}^{\mu}\right) R ~\left(C^{4} x^{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2^{\frac{3}{2}} R}\left(C_{4}^{4}+C_{5}^{4}\right)(x \cdot x)-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(C_{4}^{4}-C_{5}^{4}\right) R ~, ~\right. \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$
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$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho=\frac{R^{\prime}}{C_{\alpha}^{5} x^{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2^{\frac{3}{2}} R}\left(C_{4}^{5}+C_{5}^{5}\right)(x \cdot x)-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(C_{4}^{5}-C_{5}^{5}\right) R},  \tag{59}\\
d s_{\theta}^{\prime 2}=\rho^{2} d s_{M}^{2}, \quad \theta= \pm 1 \tag{60}
\end{gather*}
$$

\& A special example.
$x^{\prime \mu}=-\frac{x^{\mu}}{1+\frac{1}{4 R^{\prime 2}}(x \cdot x)}$,

$$
d s_{\theta}^{\prime 2}=\frac{d s_{M}^{2}}{\left[1-\frac{1}{4 R^{\prime 2}}(x \cdot x)\right]^{2}}
$$

(2) The conformal map from $d S$ to $A d S$.
\& Generic transformation.

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime \mu} & =R^{\prime} \frac{C_{\nu}^{\mu} x^{\nu}+C_{4}^{\mu} R \pm C_{5}^{\mu} R \sqrt{\sigma(x)}}{C_{\alpha}^{4} x^{\alpha}+C_{4}^{4} R \pm C_{5}^{4} R \sqrt{\sigma(x)}} \\
\rho & =\frac{ \pm R^{\prime} \sqrt{\sigma(x)}}{C^{5}{ }_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}+C_{4}^{5} R \pm C_{5}^{5} R \sqrt{\sigma(x)}},  \tag{63}\\
d s_{-}^{\prime 2} & =\rho^{2} d s_{+}^{2} . \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

\& A special example:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \mu}= \pm \frac{R^{\prime}}{R} \frac{x^{\mu}}{\sqrt{\sigma(x)}}, \quad \rho= \pm \frac{R^{\prime}}{R} \sqrt{\sigma(x)} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inverse map:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\mu}= \pm \frac{R}{R^{\prime}} \frac{x^{\prime \mu}}{\sqrt{\sigma^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}}  \tag{66}\\
& \rho^{\prime}= \pm \frac{R}{R^{\prime}} \sqrt{\sigma^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}  \tag{67}\\
& \sigma^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \sigma(x)=1 \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

$\odot$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=1+\frac{1}{R^{\prime 2}} \eta_{\mu \nu} x^{\prime \mu} x^{\prime \nu}  \tag{69}\\
& \sigma(x)=1-\frac{1}{R^{2}} \eta_{\mu \nu} x^{\mu} x^{\nu} \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$
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$\diamond$ Triality.


## 5 Null Geodesics, Massive Particles

Null geodesics are conformally transformed to each other.
Timelike or spacelike geodesics cannot be.
Is there a clear picture?
$\diamond$ Momentum and angular momentum as conserved quantities in inertial motions. What if under conformal transformations/maps?
$\diamond$ For two linear independent $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{1} \in \mathcal{N}$,

$$
\left[\zeta_{0}\right] \neq\left[\zeta_{1}\right] . \quad \Rightarrow
$$

A 2-dim linear supspace $\Sigma$ spanned by $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{1}$, or a projective straight line in $\mathcal{M}^{2,4}-\{0\} / \sim$.
Is it in $[\mathcal{N}]$ ?
${ }_{Q} \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{1} \in \mathcal{N} \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{0} \cdot \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{0}=0, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{1}=0$.
$\forall \boldsymbol{\zeta} \in \Sigma, \boldsymbol{\zeta}=x \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{0}+y \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{1}$. Since $\boldsymbol{\zeta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\zeta}=2 x y \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{0} \cdot \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{1}$,

$$
\Sigma \subset \mathcal{N} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \zeta_{0} \cdot \zeta_{1}=0 \quad \Leftrightarrow
$$

$\Sigma \cap \mathcal{P}$ is a straight line in $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{P}$.
$\diamond$ The equation of $\Sigma \cap \mathcal{P}: \quad$ Assume $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{1} \in \mathcal{P}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\zeta}=(1-t) \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{0}+t \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{1} . \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of the Minkowski/Beltrami coordinates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\mu}=a^{\mu}+w(s) u^{\mu}, \quad \frac{d s}{d w}=\frac{1}{\sigma(x)} . \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conserved momentum and angular momentum along geodesics:

$$
P^{\mu}:=\frac{1}{\sigma(x)} \frac{d x^{\mu}}{d s}, \quad L^{\mu \nu}:=x^{\mu} P^{\nu}-x^{\nu} P^{\mu}(.73)
$$

For $B d S$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{\mu \nu}=L^{\mu \nu}, \quad \mathcal{L}^{4 \nu}=-\mathcal{L}^{\nu 4}=R P^{\nu} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\diamond$ For $\Sigma$, define $\mathcal{L}=\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{0} \wedge \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{\hat{A} \hat{B}}:=\zeta_{0}^{\hat{A}} \zeta_{1}^{\hat{B}}-\zeta_{0}^{\hat{B}} \zeta_{1}^{\hat{A}} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\left\{\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{1}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{0}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{1}^{\prime}\right\}, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i}^{\prime}=A_{i}^{j} \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{j}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{\prime}=(\operatorname{det} A) \mathcal{L} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Sigma$ is specified by $\mathcal{L}^{\hat{A} \hat{B}}$ up to a nonzero scaling constant.
${ }_{\infty}$ When $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{1} \in \mathcal{P}, \Sigma \cap \mathcal{P}$ is

$$
\boldsymbol{\zeta}(t)=(1-t) \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{0}+t \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{1}, \quad \frac{d \boldsymbol{\zeta}}{d t}=\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{1}-\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{0} .
$$

$\mathcal{L}$ is the conserved angular momentum:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\boldsymbol{\zeta}(t) \wedge \frac{d \boldsymbol{\zeta}}{d t} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

\& When $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{0} \cdot \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{1}=0, \Sigma \cap \mathcal{P}$ is a null geodesic in $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{P}$.
\& If $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{P}$ is Minkowski, with $\mathcal{P}$ being $\zeta^{-}=R$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\mu}(t)=x_{0}^{\mu}+t v^{\mu}, \quad v^{\mu}=x_{1}^{\mu}-x_{0}^{\mu} . \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{\mu \nu}:=x^{\mu}(t) v^{\nu}-x^{\nu}(t) v^{\mu}, \quad P^{\mu}=v^{\mu} \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{L}^{\mu \nu}=L^{\mu \nu}  \tag{80}\\
& \mathcal{L}^{-\mu}=R P^{\mu}  \tag{81}\\
& \mathcal{L}^{+\mu}=-\frac{\eta_{\rho \sigma}}{2 R}\left(x_{0}^{\rho} x_{0}^{\sigma} v^{\mu}-2 x_{0}^{\rho} v^{\sigma} x_{0}^{\mu}\right),  \tag{82}\\
& \mathcal{L}^{+-}=\eta_{\mu \nu} x_{0}^{\mu} v^{\nu} \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

\& When $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{P}$ is $d S / A d S$,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
x^{\mu}(t)=x_{0}^{\mu}+w(t) v^{\mu}, \quad v^{\mu}:=x_{1}^{\mu}-x_{0}^{\mu}, \\
w(t):=\frac{t \xi_{1}^{4}}{\xi_{0}^{4}+t\left(\xi_{1}^{4}-\xi_{0}^{4}\right)} . \\
P^{\mu}:=\frac{1}{\sigma(x)} \frac{d x^{\mu}}{d t}=v^{\mu}, \quad L^{\mu \nu}:=x^{\mu} P^{\nu}-x^{\nu} P^{\mu} .  \tag{86}\\
\mathcal{L}^{\mu \nu}=\frac{L^{\mu \nu}}{\sqrt{\sigma\left(x_{0}\right) \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)}}, \quad \mathcal{L}^{5 \nu}= \pm\left(\frac{x_{1}^{\nu}}{\sqrt{\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)}}-\frac{x_{0}^{\nu}}{\sqrt{\sigma\left(x_{0}\right)}}\right), \\
\mathcal{L}^{4 \nu}=\frac{R P^{\nu}}{\sqrt{\sigma\left(x_{0}\right) \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)}}, \quad \mathcal{L}^{45}=\mp\left(\frac{R^{2}}{\sqrt{\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)}}-\frac{R^{2}}{\sqrt{\sigma\left(x_{0}\right)}}\right) . \\
(88)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

$\diamond$ Massive case.
(1) $\Delta:(1+2)$-dim or $(2+1)$-dim.
(2) $(2+1)$-dim: timelike straight line $(\boldsymbol{n} \in \Delta)$ or hyperbola.
(3) $(1+2)$-dim: spacelike straight line $(\boldsymbol{n} \in \Delta)$ or conic curve.

## $6 A d S_{5} /$ CFT $^{3}$ Correspondence

$\diamond A d S_{5}$ : a "projective" subspace $[\mathcal{N}]=\partial A d S_{5}$
$[\mathcal{N}]$ : the conformal Minkowski, $d S$ - or $A d S$-space.
Conformal maps, conformal triality.
$\diamond$ CFT on Minkowski $=$ CFT on $d S=$ CFT on $A d S$.
$\diamond$ Three kinds of $A d S_{5} / \mathrm{CFT}$ correspondence.
Can be generalized to $A d S_{d+1} / \mathrm{CFT}_{d}^{3}$ correspondence.

## 7 Conclusion

$\diamond$ Conformal Minkowski, $d S$ - and $A d S$-spaces are the same thing.
$\diamond$ They are three landscapes from different point of view.

They can be linked mutually to each other and to themselves by conformal maps.
$\diamond$ Minkowski coordinates and Beltrami coordinates are at the equal footing.
$\diamond$ Physical contents can be moved around from these spaces, provided they are conformally "covariant".
$\diamond$ Projective might be "dead" in mathematics, but it is finding a new life in physics.

## Thanks!

