Multiprecision multiplication on AVR revisited

Michael Hutter · Peter Schwabe

July 31, 2014

Abstract This paper presents new speed records for multiprecision multiplication on the AVR ATmega family of 8bit microcontrollers. For example, our software takes only 1976 cycles for the multiplication of two 160-bit integers; this is more than 15% faster than previous work. For 256bit inputs, our software is not only the first to break through the 6000-cycle barrier; with only 4797 cycles it also breaks through the 5000-cycle barrier and is more than 21% faster than previous work. We achieve these speed records by carefully optimizing the Karatsuba multiplication technique for AVR ATmega. One might expect that subquadratic-complexity Karatsuba multiplication is only faster than algorithms with quadratic complexity for large inputs. This paper shows that it is in fact faster than fully unrolled product-scanning multiplication already for surprisingly small inputs, starting at 48 bits. Our results thus make Karatsuba multiplication the method of choice for high-performance implementations of elliptic-curve cryptography on AVR ATmega microcontrollers.

Keywords Karatsuba multiplication \cdot microcontroller \cdot ATmega.

This work was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) under the grant number TRP251-N23, by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) through Veni 2013 project 13114, and by the European Cooperation in Science and Technology (COST) Action IC1204 (Trustworthy Manufacturing and Utilization of Secure Devices - TRUDEVICE). Part of the work was done while the authors visited Academia Sinica. They wish to thank Bo-Yin Yang for his hospitality. Permanent ID of this document: 102fe77c6d1003e5694ac04543a52410.

Michael Hutter
Graz University of Technology
Institute for Applied Information Processing and Communications
Inffeldgasse 16a, A-8010, Graz, Austria
E-mail: michael.hutter@iaik.tugraz.at

Peter Schwabe Radboud University Nijmegen Digital Security Group PO Box 9010, 6500GL Nijmegen, The Netherlands E-mail: peter@cryptojedi.org

1 Introduction

How much effort is it to multiply two integers? Over the last 6 decades, many researchers have attempted to answer this question. One main line of research is concerned with the asymptotic complexity of integer multiplication. In the 1950s, Kolmogorov conjectured that the complexity for the multiplication of two *n*-digit integers is $\Theta(n^2)$. This conjecture was proven wrong by one of his students, Karatsuba, in 1960 who presented a multiplication algorithm with asymptotic complexity $\Theta(n^{\log_2 3})$ This ground-breaking result was published in 1962 [13]. See [14, Section 6] for a history of this publication. In 1963, Toom lowered the complexity further to $n2^{\Theta(\sqrt{\log_2 n})}$ [26] and the FFT-based method by Schönhage and Strassen achieves asymptotic complexity $\Theta(n \log n \log \log n)$ [20]. The latest result in this line of research is Fürer's algorithm with asymptotic complexity $\Theta(n \log n 2^{\log^* n})$ [4].

Another main line of research is concerned with the *number of clock cycles* required for multiplication of two integers of a particular *fixed size* on a particular micro-architecture. This paper presents new results from this second line of research. Specifically, we present new multiplication speed records for multiplication of integers between 48 and 256 bits on the AVR ATmega family of microcontrollers. We expect that the techniques described in this paper can be extended to larger inputs. However, in this paper we are mainly interested in input sizes in the range between 160 and 256 bits that we typically encounter in elliptic-curve cryptography on embedded processors. The software presented in this paper takes time independent of the inputs and is thus suitable for use in timing-attack-protected implementations of cryptographic primitives.

All previous speed records for multiplication of integers of up to 256 bits on AVR are achieved by algorithms with quadratic complexity. We obtained our speed records by optimizing the Karatsuba multiplication algorithm for the AVR

ATmega architecture. We do not claim novelty for any particular technique we used. What is new is the combination of techniques and careful hand-optimization of multiprecision multiplication for AVR ATmega microcontrollers.

Notes on the naming. While working on this paper we observed that the term "schoolbook multiplication" has a different meaning for different people and in different contexts. Sometimes, it only refers to operand-scanning multiplication. Other techniques with quadratic complexity, such as product-scanning multiplication, hybrid multiplication [8], or operand-caching multiplication [11] are not considered to be "schoolbook". For an example of this naming convention, see [11, Section 3]. However, when distinguishing multiplication algorithms with different asymptotic complexities, "schoolbook multiplication" is often used to refer to any quadratic-complexity algorithm. See, for example, [8, Section 3]. To avoid confusion we avoid the term "schoolbook multiplication" throughout this paper.

It is common to refer to the product-scanning technique as "Comba multiplication", and to give credit to a 1990 paper by Comba [3]. See, for example, [5,11,21]. However, the technique has earlier been described (without a claim of novelty) by Barrett in [1, Diagram three]. The method has in fact already been described by Leonardo Pisano (Fibonacci) in his work "Liber Abaci" from 1202; see [24, Chapter 2]. Swetz in [25, Chapter 4] states that the "cross method of multiplication" can be traced back to the *Līlāvatī* by Bhāskara from 1150, but we were not able to confirm this in the English translation by Patwardhan, Naimpally, and Singh [19]. We will use the term "product-scanning multiplication".

Related work. Many results exist on fast multiprecision multiplication on embedded processors, often in the context of modular arithmetic and elliptic-curve cryptography. Some papers also consider the Karatsuba technique for multiplication on embedded processors. For example, Großschädl, Avanzi, Savaş, and Tillich use the Karatsuba technique for fast and energy-efficient multiplication of 512-bit and larger integers on StrongARM [7]; Gouvêa and López use Karatsuba for 256-bit multiplication on the MSP430 [5]; Gouvêa, Oliveira, and López use it for fast 256-bit multiplication on the MSP430X [6].

On AVR ATmega microcontrollers the state of the art in multiplication of integers of up to 256 bits has consistently been held by algorithms with quadratic complexity. Until 2004 the fastest known algorithm was product-scanning multiplication. For inputs of size larger than 96 bits this changed with the introduction of *hybrid multiplication* by Gura, Patel, Wander, Eberle and Chang Shantz in [8]. This algorithm was later improved by Scott and Szczechowiak in [21]. The next milestone in optimizing multiprecision multiplication on AVR was the best paper of CHES 2011 by Hutter and

Wenger that introduced *operand caching* multiplication [11]. The results of that paper were slightly improved in two followup papers by Seo and Kim, which introduced and optimized *consecutive operand caching* [22,23]. These papers mark the current state of the art in multiprecision multiplication on AVR ATmega microcontrollers. Note that the use of hybrid multiplication is covered by a patent [9]; a patent for operand-caching multiplication is pending [12].

We are aware of only two papers considering the Karatsuba technique for multiplication of big integers on AVR ATmega. Both papers conclude that Karatsuba multiplication is slower than quadratic-complexity multiplication algorithms for input sizes commonly used in elliptic-curve cryptography. In [17], Liu, Großschädl, and Kizhvatov consider different approaches for implementing Montgomery multiplication including "Hybrid Karatsuba-Comba Multiplication (HKCM)". They conclude in Section III.B that

"The HKCM method is faster than HSOS (and also HFIPS) for operands exceeding 512 bits in length, but slower in the case of 256-bit operands. [...] For 512-bit operands, the HKCM method achieves essentially the same performance as HSOS, and both are roughly 7% faster than HFIPS."

Both "Hybrid Separated Operand Scanning" (SOS) and "Hybrid Finely Integrated Product Scanning" (HFIPS) are algorithms with quadratic complexity.

In [10], we used Karatsuba for multiplication of 256-bit integers; however, with 6686 cycles, that approach turned out to be considerably slower than state-of-the-art operand-caching and consecutive-operand-caching multiplication.

Availability of Software. We placed all software described in this paper into the public domain¹. We will not apply for any patents for the techniques described in this paper.

Organization of the paper. Section 2 briefly reviews the specifics of the AVR ATmega family of microcontrollers. Section 3 first considers efficient approaches for small multiprecision multiplication, then discusses different approaches for implementing Karatsuba multiplication on AVR ATmega, and finally derives a lower bound on the number of cycles purely from arithmetic instructions. Section 4 describes how we minimize the number of loads and stores in Karatsuba multiplication for different input sizes to translate the lower computational complexity to lower cycle counts. In Section 4.2 we present detailed performance results of our software and compare with the best results from the literature. We conclude the paper and give ideas for future work in Section 6.

The source code is available at http://cryptojedi.org/crypto/#avrmul and at http://mhutter.org/research/avr/#karatsuba.

2 The AVR ATmega architecture

This paper optimizes Karatsuba multiplication for the AVR ATmega family of 8-bit microcontrollers. Many of the techniques we describe apply in a similar way on other architectures, but the concrete application of these techniques and the cost analysis is specific to the 8-bit AVR ATmega architecture. This section briefly reviews the specifics of this architecture that are relevant to the remainder of the paper.

Register set. The AVR has 32 registers labeled R0,..., R31. The register pair (R26,R27) is aliased as X, the register pair (R28,R29) is aliased as Y, and the the register pair (R30,R31) is aliased as Z. These three register pairs are the only ones that can hold the address argument of a load or store instruction. The register pair (R0,R1) is special because it holds the output of a multiplication instruction (see below).

Memory access. All load and store instructions on the AT-mega take 2 cycles. The LD load instruction and the ST store instruction access memory at the address specified in their argument (either X, Y, or Z). They can post-increment or predecrement their 2-register argument for free. The LDD load instruction takes a constant offset to the address register as second argument; so does the STD store instruction.

The standard way to use the stack is to use the instructions PUSH and POP. However, it is also possible to use two IN instructions to copy the stack pointer into one of the address register pairs X, Y, or Z and then operate on the stack with LD/LDD and ST/STD instructions. Writing back the stack pointer takes two OUT instructions

Arithmetic instructions. Our software makes use of only relatively few arithmetic instructions. Most important is the MUL instruction, which multiplies the 8-bit unsigned integers in its two register arguments; the 16-bit result is written to the register pair (RO,R1). The MUL instruction takes 2 cycles and it overwrites the carry flag. Addition (ADD/ADC), subtraction (SUB,SBC), and exclusive or (EOR) are two-operand instructions; one of their inputs is overwritten by the output. For subtraction it is always the minuend that is overwritten. Another helpful instruction is SBCI which performs subtraction with borrow of an immediate value from a register. There is no equivalent "ADCI" instruction to perform addition with carry of an immediate value. The CLR instruction sets a register to zero; the MOV instruction copies the value in one register to another register. The MOVW instruction copies a register pair to another register pair. Note that two adjacent registers are a register pair only if the lower register is "even" (i.e., R0, R2, ...). It is worth noting that MOVW, like all other arithmetic instructions except MUL, takes only one cycle.

Aside from the typical flags (like carry, zero, etc.), the AVR also features a T flag, which can be used to remember a single bit. The BST instruction stores one bit of a given

register to the T flag, the BLD instruction loads from the T flag into one bit of a given register. It is possible to perform conditional branches depending on the value of the T flag.

C function-call ABI. The avr-gcc function-call ABI specifies that the first three 16-bit arguments (e.g., pointers) are passed in register pairs (R24,R25), (R22,R23), and (R20,R21). It furthermore specifies that registers R2–R17, R28, and R29 are caller registers, and that register R1 has to be set to zero before returning from a function. Our software follows these conventions to make it directly usable from C code, but as in previous papers we do not include function-call-ABI related overhead in our cycle counts. See Section 4.2.

3 Arithmetic considerations

In this section we consider the pure arithmetic cost, i.e., ignoring costs for loads and stores, of Karatsuba multiplication on AVR ATmega. We start with fixing the representation of big integers and reviewing the arithmetic cost of small multiprecision multiplications to establish a baseline.

Representation of big integers. Throughout this paper we will represent big integers in unsigned radix- 2^8 representation, i.e., an 8m-bit integer A is represented in m bytes (a_0,\ldots,a_{m-1}) with $A=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}a_i2^{8i}$ and $a_i\in\{0,\ldots,255\}$. This big-integer representation is standard for AVR throughout the literature. We do not expect any benefits from using a signed representation or a "carry-safe" representation, which leaves some bits on the top of each limb free to accumulate carries.

Small multiprecision multiplications. Karatsuba multiplication, like hybrid multiplication, constructs full-size multiprecision multiplication from blocks of smaller multiplications. The block sizes that are most relevant for this paper are 24×24 bits, 32×32 bits, 40×40 bits, and 48×48 bits. One obvious way to handle those "small multiprecision" multiplications is to use operand scanning or product scanning. However, this is not optimal as demonstrated in the context of inner-loop optimization for the hybrid multiplication by Lederer, Mader, Koschuch, Großschädl, Szekely, and Tillich in [15], by Liu, Großschädl, and Kizhvatov in [17], and most recently by Liu and Großschädl in [16].

For 32×32 -bit multiplication we adapted the technique described in [16, Section 3.1] for multiplication (the original algorithm performs multiply-accumulate). Inspired by this technique we wrote similar routines for 24×24 -bit, for 40×40 -bit, and for 48×48 -bit multiplication. Table 1 lists instruction and cycle counts for those small multiplications; the corresponding code listings are in Appendix B. Note that our routines are slightly different from the one by Liu and Großschädl in the sense that they can be seen as tweaked operand scanning. We assume that inputs are already loaded

Michael Hutter and Peter Schwabe

Table 1 Instruction and cycle counts for small multiprecision multiplications without loads and stores. Corresponding counts of fully unrolled product-scanning multiplication are listed in parentheses.

Input size	MUL	ADD/ADC	MOV (W)	CLR	cycles
24×24 bits	9	17	4	3	42
	(9)	(22)	(1)	(5)	(46)
32×32 bits	16	35	6	3	76
	(16)	(43)	(1)	(7)	(83)
40×40 bits	25	58	8	2	118
	(25)	(70)	(1)	(9)	(130)
48×48 bits	36	83	15	2	172
	(36)	(103)	(1)	(11)	(187)

to registers and that outputs are also kept in registers. The cost for loads and stores depends on the context in which these multiplication blocks are used.

We do not claim speed records for these small multiplications, although we are not aware of any faster results. We would expect that there exist thoroughly optimized routines for these input sizes that are in the range of standard C data types. We were surprised to find the currently fastest approach somewhat "hidden" as an inner-loop optimization of big-integer hybrid multiplication in a paper on Montgomery modular multiplication.

Note that the optimized small multiprecision multiplications need slightly more live registers than fully unrolled product-scanning multiplications. Whether they are better than product scanning or not depends on the context, i.e., the amount of registers that are available without spilling.

Additive vs. subtractive Karatsuba. From now on we are considering $n \times n$ -byte multiplication, where n is even and k = n/2. The typical way to describe Karatsuba multiplication of an n-byte integer $A = (a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1})$ and and n-byte integer $B = (b_0, \ldots, b_{n-1})$ is the following:

- Write $A = A_{\ell} + 2^{8k}A_h$ and $B = B_{\ell} + 2^{8k}B_h$ for k-byte integers A_{ℓ}, A_h, B_{ℓ} , and B_h ;
- compute $L = A_{\ell} \cdot B_{\ell} = (\ell_0, \dots, \ell_{n-1});$
- compute $H = A_h \cdot B_h = (h_0, ..., h_{n-1});$
- compute $M = (A_{\ell} + A_h) \cdot (B_{\ell} + B_h) = (m_0, ..., m_n)$; and
- obtain the result as $A \cdot B = L + 2^{8k}(M L H) + 2^{8n}H$.

We will refer to this approach as additive Karatsuba. The problem with this approach is that the additions of two k-byte numbers $A_\ell + A_h$ and $B_\ell + B_h$ produce carry bits. An efficient way to handle multiplications by such a carry bit during the computation of M is to perform a subtraction-with-carry from a zero register to produce a register that is either Oxff (if the carry is one) or zero and then compute multiplication through an AND instruction with this register. Subsequent accumulation of the one-byte result of such a multiplication costs only two addition instructions (one ADD and one ADC) instead of three instructions for two-byte results.

The problem with this approach is twofold: First the multiplications by carry bits still contribute a significant overhead. Second the tweak to use AND instructions only works for a single carry bit. Recursive application of Karatsuba's technique yields multiple carry bits which have to be handled by full multiplication and accumulation. It turns out to be more efficient to use *subtractive Karatsuba*:

- Write $A = A_{\ell} + 2^{8k}A_h$ and $B = B_{\ell} + 2^{8k}B_h$ for *k*-byte integers A_{ℓ}, A_h, B_{ℓ} , and B_h ;
- compute $L = A_{\ell} \cdot B_{\ell} \triangleq (\ell_0, \dots, \ell_{n-1});$
- compute $H = A_h \cdot B_h = (h_0, \dots, h_{n-1});$
- compute $M = |A_{\ell} A_h| \cdot |B_{\ell} B_h| = (m_0, \dots, m_{n-1});$
- set t = 0, if $M = (A_{\ell} A_h) \cdot (B_{\ell} B_h)$; t = 1 otherwise;
- compute $\hat{M} = (-1)^t M = (A_\ell A_h)(B_\ell B_h)$ $\hat{=} (\hat{m}_0, \dots, \hat{m}_{n-1});$ and
- obtain the result as $A \cdot B = L + 2^{8k}(L + H \hat{M}) + 2^{8n}H$.

This variant of Karatsuba avoids the carry bits in the computation of M but instead needs to compute two absolute differences $|A_{\ell} - A_h|$ and $|B_{\ell} - B_h|$ and one conditional negation of M. This has to be done in constant time to make the multiplication routine suitable for timing-attack-protected implementations of cryptographic primitives.

Constant-time absolute differences. We compute $|A_{\ell} - A_h|$ as follows: First perform a subtraction of $A_{\ell} - A_h$ which costs k subtraction instructions. Then we use a subtract-withcarry of a register from itself to obtain a register with the value $t_A = 0 \text{ xff if } A_\ell < A_h \text{ or } t_A = 0 \text{ otherwise. We then xor}$ t_A to all k result registers of the subtraction $A_{\ell} - A_h$. If $t_A = 0$, this does not change anything; if $t_A = 0xff$, this produces the ones' complement. We then negate t_A (obtaining either $t_A = 1$ or $t_A = 0$), add it to the lowest of the k registers and ripple the carry through to obtain the two's complement. The whole computation costs k+1 SUB/SBC instructions, k EOR instructions, one NEG instruction, and k ADD/ADC instructions adding up to a total of 3k + 2 instructions accounting for 3k+2 cycles. The computation of $|B_{\ell}-B_h|$ is done in the same way. We obtain the value of t required for the conditional negation of M as $t = t_A \oplus t_B$.

Constant-time conditional negation. The most obvious way to compute $L + H - \hat{M}$, given M, is to use a conditional branch that either adds or subtracts M, depending on the value of t. Note that the EOR instruction which we use to compute t sets the zero flag, which we can then use for the branch condition. On many platforms such a conditional branch would inevitably create a timing leak. The AVR does not have any branch-prediction mechanisms and we can balance the time taken in each of the two branches through NOP instructions to eliminate timing leaks. We implemented this approach and refer to it as the "branched" approach in the following.

There are multiple reasons to avoid branches in cryptographic software. In our port of NaCl to the AVR architec-

ture described in [10], we avoid all secret-data-dependent branches primarily because of the fact that reviewing NOP-balanced branches for timing leaks is tedious work and argued that avoiding such branches incurs only small penalties. Furthermore, secret-data-dependent branches are often an easy target for *safe-error attacks*. See, for example, Yen and Joye who described these attacks in [27]. A careful analysis of different multiplication methods from a side-channel point of view is outside the scope of this paper, but we believe that eliminating secret-data-dependent branches is generally a good practice.

An alternative, branch-free way to perform conditional negation is to use the same technique that we used for constant-time absolute differences above (without the initial subtraction). The additions required to convert from the ones' complement to the two's complement can be merged with the additions that are required to combine the partial results; we simply move the bit to the carry flag and replace one ADD instruction by an ADC instruction.

We recommend the branch-free approach for applications that handle secret data and the slightly faster branched approach for applications that do not handle secret data, e.g., signature verification.

Refined Karatsuba multiplication. Combining the partial results in the last step as $L+2^{8k}(L+H-\hat{M})+2^{8n}H$ looks like two n-byte additions and one n-byte subtraction plus rippling a carry bit to the end. However, observe that the byte at position k of the result is obtained as $r_k = \ell_k - \hat{m_0} + \ell_0 + h_0$; the byte at position n is obtained as $r_n = h_0 - \hat{m_k} + \ell_k + h_k$. What looks like 4 additions and 2 subtractions can be reduced to 3 additions and 2 subtractions by precomputing $s = h_0 + \ell_k$ and then obtaining $r_k = \ell_0 + s - \hat{m_0}$ and $r_n = h_k + s - \hat{m_k}$. The same trick applies to r_{k+1} and r_{n+1} and so on and saves a total of k additions. We learned this trick from a Crypto 2009 paper by Bernstein [2, Section 2].

An additional advantage of refined Karatsuba is that we can merge the additions of $h_0 + \ell_k$, $h_1 + \ell_{k+1}$ etc. into the multiplication $H = A_h \cdot B_h$. This is not an advantage from the point of view of purely arithmetic cost, but it simplifies register allocation as explained in Section 4. Note that $\bar{H} = H + (\ell_k, \dots, \ell_{n-1})$ cannot overflow, the result fits into n bytes.

However, there is also a slight disadvantage of merging this accumulation of $(\ell_k,\ldots,\ell_{n-1})$. The carry bit that may result from the accumulation is immediately rippled into h_k,\ldots,h_{n-1} . Later we add $(\ell_0,\ldots,\ell_{k-1},h_k,\ldots,h_{n-1})$ into the result with an offset of k bytes and subtract $(\hat{m}_0,\ldots,\hat{m}_{n-1})$ with the same offset. The addition may produce a carry bit c which needs to be rippled to the end; the subtraction may produce a borrow bit c which needs to be rippled to the end. One can also think of this as a carry bit c which is either c0, c1, or c1; The fact that this carry bit can be negative is a direct consequence of merging the addi-

tion of $(\ell_k,\ldots,\ell_{n-1})$ into the multiplication $H=A_h\cdot B_h$ and rippling the resulting carry. The non-merged computation of $(\ell_0,\ldots,\ell_{n-1})+(h_0,\ldots,h_{n-1})-(\hat{m}_0,\ldots,\hat{m}_{n-1})$ would always produce a non-negative carry, which can simply be rippled to the end.

Merging carries and borrows. If we independently rippled a carry bit $c \in \{0,1\}$ and a borrow bit $b \in \{-1,0\}$ to the end of the result, we would essentially lose the arithmetic benefit of refined Karatsuba. What we do instead is to first compute c, then, after subtraction of $(\hat{m}_0, \dots, \hat{m}_{n-1})$, use an SBCI of zero from c to obtain $d \in \{-1,0,1\}$ and set the borrow bit if and only if d = -1. We then clear another register f and perform an SBC from the same register to clear the content of fand to obtain $f \in \{-1,0\}$ depending on the value of d. Now the register pair (d, f) contains (-1, -1), (0, 0) or (1, 0). In the case of the branched-free approach, we first merge c and b into d and then perform a MOV operation of d into f and apply an ASR instruction afterwards, which logically shifts d to the right, resulting in $f \in \{-1,0\}$. After that, we can ripple the carry to the end of the result through one addition of d and then subsequent additions-with-carry (ADC instructions) of f.

Putting it together. The overall arithmetic cost of (branched) Karatsuba multiplication on AVR is thus composed of the following parts:

- One CLR instruction to produce a zero register
- The cost of computing *L* (multiplication of two *k*-byte integers);
- the cost of computing *M* (multiplication of two *k*-byte integers):
- the cost of computing $\bar{H} = H + (\ell_k, \dots, \ell_{n-1})$ (essentially the cost a k-byte integer multiplication and k addition instructions);
- 2k+2 SUB/SBC instructions, 2k EOR instructions, 2 NEG instructions, and 2k ADD/ADC instructions to compute two absolute differences $|A_{\ell} A_h|$ and $|B_{\ell} B_h|$;
- n+1 ADD/ADC instructions in order to add $(\ell_0, \dots, \ell_{k-1}, h_k \dots, h_{n-1})$ to the result and to remember the carry bit;
- one EOR instruction to compute t and to set the zero flag
 if t = 0;
- one BRNE instruction;
- if the branch is not taken (1 cycle for BRNE):
 - n+2 SUB/SBC instructions to subtract M and to produce the carry register pair (d, f);
 - one RJMP instruction (2 cycles);
- if the branch is taken (2 cycles for BRNE):
 - n+1 ADD/ADC instructions and one CLR instruction to add M and to produce the carry register pair (d,f);
 - one NOP instruction;
- k ADD/ADC instructions to ripple the carry in (d, f) to the

In the example of multiplying two 48-bit integers (i.e., k = 3, see also Appendix A) the computation of L and M costs 40 cycles each (cf. Table 1, the cost is slightly lower because we can replace some CLR instructions by MOVW from a zero register pair; this becomes more efficient for multiple multiplications). The computation of $\bar{H} = H + (\ell_3, \dots, \ell_5)$ costs 44 cycles. Overall we obtain a cost of 169 cycles from arithmetic (and branch) instructions. This is 18 cycles faster than fully unrolled product-scanning multiplication and 3 cycles faster than our optimized 48-bit multiplication. Note that the overhead from loads and stores in this case is the same for all three approaches: 12 loads of input bytes and 12 stores of outputs; 48-bit Karatsuba multiplication does not need any spills as detailed in Section 4. The 3-cycle gain is small and probably of merely theoretic interest (in particular because Karatsuba multiplication requires more registers), but the gain becomes larger for bigger inputs.

4 Efficient scheduling for Karatsuba multiplication

As shown in the previous section, Karatsuba multiplication needs fewer *arithmetic* instructions than, e.g., fully unrolled product scanning already for very small input sizes. However, it is yet unclear how this arithmetic cost translates to an overall cost including the cost for loads and stores. This section explains our strategies to make efficient use of the available registers and the specifics of the AVR instruction set to keep the overhead from load and store instructions low.

These strategies consist of two levels of optimizations. First we use carefully tuned instruction scheduling that minimizes the number of live registers throughout the whole Karatsuba multiplication. Second we use various techniques to avoid costly loads and stores for the cases where not sufficient registers are available despite smart scheduling. Some of these techniques slightly increase the number of arithmetic instructions; the total number of cycles required for Karatsuba multiplication can thus not be obtained by adding the *lower bound* on arithmetic instructions derived in Section 3 to the memory-access overhead explained here. The complete cycle counts for multiprecision multiplication on AVR are reported in Section 4.2. All instruction counts in this section refer to the branched variant of our software.

4.1 One level of Karatsuba

For multiplications with input sizes of 48, 64, 80, and 96 bits we use 1 level of Karatsuba. Our approach to scheduling the computations for 1-level Karatsuba multiplication with effects on register usage is detailed in Algorithm 1. Note that the number of register stated in this algorithm is ignoring some registers, specifically,

- a zero register required to accumulate carries,
- registers to hold the borrows from the subtractions in Step 5,
- registers R0 and R1 which hold the result of multiplication instructions,
- accumulation registers in the multiplications in Steps 2, 6, and 7.
- two registers required to ripple the carry or borrow to the end in Step 11.

Even with these additional registers taken into account, refined Karatsuba multiplication of 48-bit inputs and 64-bit inputs does not require any load and store instructions beyond loading inputs once and storing the result once. What is crucial to make this possible for the 64-bit input case is the computation of \bar{H} , i.e., that we accumulate $(\ell_k, \dots, \ell_{n-1})$ on the fly during the multiplication $A_h \cdot B_h$. This is possible because we use refined Karatsuba; without this approach the 6k registers would increase to 7k registers and all input sizes starting from 64 bits would need significantly more load and store instructions.

For 80-bit and 96-bit multiplications we cannot entirely avoid memory access beyond loading inputs and storing the result. In the following we describe the techniques we use to keep the overhead from these additional loads and stores as small as possible.

Reload $\ell_0, \dots, \ell_{k-1}$. Spilling register contents to stack costs 4 cycles per register, 2 cycles for the store (PUSH) instruction and 2 cycles for the load (POP) instruction. We avoid such spills as much as possible by re-loading values that had to be stored anyway as part of the result stores. Specifically, after storing $\ell_0, \dots, \ell_{k-1}$ in Step 3, we can "forget" the values in the corresponding registers and reload these values again, when they are needed in Step 8. This only costs k load instructions and no additional stores, and reduces the maximal amount of required registers from 6k + 2 to 5k + 2.

Minimize accumulation registers. The multiplications in Steps 2, 6, and 7 need registers to accumulate the result coefficients. For the multiplication $A_{\ell} \cdot B_{\ell}$ in Step 2 this is no problem, because the result does not overwrite any of the inputs and simply occupies n "fresh" registers. The optimized versions of small multiprecision multiplications described in Section 3 need two additional registers, but this is also not a problem in Step 2. The situation is different in Steps 6 and 7. When using unrolled product scanning, the result coefficients of the multiplication in Step 6 can overwrite $\ell_k, \dots, \ell_{n-1}$ with the low half of result coefficients and one of the inputs with the high half of the result coefficients. The multiplication in Step 7 cannot overwrite any registers for the low half of the result (this is why it temporarily needs additional k accumulation registers), but can overwrite input coefficients with the high half of the result.

Algorithm 1 Scheduling and register use for $n \times n$ 1-level Karatsuba multiplication (notation: k = n/2).

```
Input: A \triangleq (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) and B \triangleq (b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}), pointers to inputs in register pairs X,Y, pointer to output in register pair Z
Output: R \leftarrow A \cdot B = (r_0, \dots, r_{2n-1})
 1: Load A_{\ell} and B_{\ell} \in (0, ..., 2^{k-1})
                                                                                           \triangleright 2k+6 live registers:
                                                                                                                                 a_0, \ldots, a_{k-1}, b_0, \ldots, b_{k-1}, X, Y, Z
 2: Compute L \leftarrow A_{\ell} \cdot B_{\ell} \triangleq (\ell_0, \dots, \ell_{n-1})
                                                                                                                                 a_0, \ldots, a_{k-1}, b_0, \ldots, b_{k-1}, \ell_0, \ldots, \ell_{n-1}, X, Y, Z
                                                                                           \triangleright 4k + 6 live registers:
 3: Store \ell_0, \ldots, \ell_{k-1} to r_0, \ldots, r_{k-1}
                                                                                               4k + 6 live registers:
                                                                                                                                 a_0, \ldots, a_{k-1}, b_0, \ldots, b_{k-1}, \ell_0, \ldots, \ell_{n-1}, X, Y, Z
                                                                                                                                 a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b_0, \ldots, b_{n-1}, \ell_0, \ldots, \ell_{n-1}, \mathsf{Z}
 4: Load A_h and B_h
                                                                                               6k + 2 live registers:
                                                                                                                                 2k registers for |A_{\ell} - A_h| and |B_{\ell} - B_h|,
 5: Compute |A_{\ell} - A_h| and |B_{\ell} - B_h|
                                                                                               6k + 2 live registers:
                                                                                                                                 a_k, \dots, a_{n-1}, b_k, \dots, b_{n-1}, \ell_0, \dots, \ell_{n-1}, Z
                                                                                                                                 2k registers for |A_{\ell} - A_h| and |B_{\ell} - B_h|,
 6: Compute \bar{H} \leftarrow A_h \cdot B_h + (\ell_k, \dots, \ell_{n-1})
                                                                                               5k+2 live registers:
                                                                                                                                 2k registers for \bar{H}, \ell_0, \ldots, \ell_{k-1}, Z
 7: Compute M \leftarrow |A_{\ell} - A_h| \cdot |B_{\ell} - B_h|
                                                                                                                                 2k registers for M, 2k registers for \bar{H}, \ell_0, \ldots, \ell_{k-1}, Z
                                                                                                5k + 2 live registers:
                                                                                                                                 (temporarily need 6k + 2 registers during multiplica-
 8: Compute U \leftarrow (\ell_0, ..., \ell_{k-1}, h_k, ..., h_{n-1}) + \bar{H}
                                                                                               5k+2 live registers:
                                                                                                                                 2k registers for U, 2k registers for M, h_k, \ldots, h_{n-1}, Z
 9: Compute U \leftarrow U + M or U \leftarrow U - M
                                                                                               3k+2 live registers:
                                                                                                                                 2k registers for U, h_k, \ldots, h_{n-1}, Z
10: Store U to r_k, \ldots, r_{n+k-1}
                                                                                          \triangleright k+2 live registers:
                                                                                                                                 h_k,\ldots,h_{n-1}, Z
11: Ripple carry/borrow from Steps 8+9 through h_k, ..., h_{n-1} > k+2 live registers:
                                                                                                                                 h_k,\ldots,h_{n-1}, Z
12: Store h_k, \ldots, h_{n-1} to r_{n+k}, \ldots, r_{2n-1}
```

Overwriting registers that are no longer needed with result coefficients step-by-step is not possible to the same extent with the optimized small multiprecision multiplications. We therefore often use fully unrolled product scanning instead of the optimized multiplication variants in Step 6 and 7 to reduce the number of live register variables.

Using the T flag. An AVR-specific optimization is to make use of the T flag. Specifically, the bit $t = t_A \oplus t_B$, which decides whether we need to add or subtract M, does not need to occupy a register. Instead we can use a BST instruction to store this bit in the T flag and later use a BRTS instruction to branch depending on the value of this bit. The branch-free variant of our software needs to use a BLD instruction to copy this bit back to a register. This is still cheaper than a PUSH and a POP, because writing and reading the T flag costs only 1 cycle each.

Memory access in 1-level Karatsuba on AVR. In total, the 48-bit refined Karatsuba multiplication needs 12 LD/LDD instructions, and 12 ST/STD instructions. The 64-bit multiplication needs 16 LD/LDD instructions, and 16 ST/STD instructions. These instructions are precisely what is needed to load the inputs from memory and to store the result. The 80-bit multiplication needs 25 LD/LDD instructions, and 20 ST/STD instructions. The 96-bit multiplication needs 42 LD/LDD instructions, 24 ST/STD instructions, 4 PUSH instructions, and 4 POP instructions.

4.2 Two levels of Karatsuba

For input sizes of 128, 160, and 192 bits we use two levels of Karatsuba recursion. That means that we use the 1-level

Karatsuba multiplication routines described above as building blocks. The general strategy to perform 2-level Karatsuba multiplication is similar to the 1-level Karatsuba multiplications but requires additional spills (PUSH and POP) to the stack. For details of the scheduling and register use, see Algorithm 2. The register usage in this algorithm describes the usage *after* each step and ignores constant overhead; for details inside the respective steps, see Algorithm 1.

We applied the following techniques to improve the performance of 2-level Karatsuba.

Address-pointer handling. For 160 and 192-bit Karatsuba, the input-address pointers have to be spilled to the stack in each 1-level Karatsuba multiplication and they have to be restored from the stack afterwards. This spilling of X and Y is only required for the computation of L and H; after the computation of M the input taddresses are not needed anymore. Spilling would typically require a total of 8 PUSH and 8 POP instructions (i.e., 32 cycles); these are 4 PUSH and 4 POP instructions for each of the two 1-level Karatsuba multiplications. To improve the efficiency, we initially store the address pointers on the stack and load them twice afterwards using two IN, four LDD instructions, and one MOVW instruction. The LDD instructions load the pointer to A from stack into X and the pointer to B into two temporary registers. The MOVW instruction finally copies the pointer from the temporary registers to Y. This saves 5 cycles in total (needing 4 PUSH instructions, 2 IN instructions, 4 LDD instructions, 1 MOVW instruction, and 4 POP instructions).

We further decided to push X and Y right after the loading of A_h in Step 4 in Algorithm 1. There are two reasons for pushing the addresses at this point. First, the X registers already point to the next input address needed in the compu-

Michael Hutter and Peter Schwabe

Algorithm 2 Scheduling and register use for $n \times n$ 2-level Karatsuba multiplication (notation: k = n/2).

Input: $A \triangleq (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$ and $B \triangleq (b_0, \dots, b_{n-1})$, pointers to inputs in register pairs X,Y, pointer to output in register pair Z **Output:** $R \leftarrow A \cdot B = (r_0, \dots, r_{2n-1})$ 1: Compute $L \leftarrow A_{\ell} \cdot B_{\ell}$ using Algorithm 1 \triangleright k+2 live registers: $\ell_k, \dots, \ell_{n-1}$, Z (X and Y are pushed on the stack in Step 4 of Algorithm 1) 2: Load X and Y from stack (restore address pointers) k + 6 live registers: $\ell_k, \ldots, \ell_{n-1}, X, Y, Z$ Z (for on-the-fly accumulation of $\ell_k, \ldots, \ell_{n-1}$ into H3: Compute $\bar{H} \leftarrow A_h \cdot B_h + (\ell_k, \dots, \ell_{n-1})$ using Algorithm 1 2 live registers: see correponding paragraph in Section 4.2) 4: Load X and Y from stack (restore address pointers) 6 live registers: 5: Load *A* and *B* and compute $|A_{\ell} - A_h|$ and $|B_{\ell} - B_h|$ 2k + 2 live registers: $a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b_0, \ldots, b_{n-1}, Z$ 6: Compute $M \leftarrow |A_{\ell} - A_h| \cdot |B_{\ell} - B_h|$ 2k+2 live registers: 2k registers for M, Z 7: Load $\ell_k, \ldots, \ell_{n-1}$ 3k+2 live registers: 2k registers for $M, \ell_k, \dots, \ell_{n-1}, Z$ 8: Compute $U_{\ell} \leftarrow (\ell_k, \dots, \ell_{n-1}) \pm M_{\ell}$ 3k+2 live registers: 2k registers for M, k registers for U_{ℓ} , Z9: Load $h_0, ..., h_{k-1}$ 3k+2 live registers: k registers for M_h , k registers for U_ℓ , h_0, \ldots, h_{k-1} , Z 3k+2 live registers: 10: Compute $U_{\ell} \leftarrow (h_0, \dots, h_{k-1}) + U_{\ell}$ k registers for M_h , k registers for U_ℓ , h_0, \ldots, h_{k-1} , Z 11: Store U_{ℓ} to r_{k}, \ldots, r_{n-1} 2k+2 live registers: k registers for $M_h, h_0, \ldots, h_{k-1}, Z$ k registers for M_h , k registers for U_h , Z 12: Compute $U_h \leftarrow (h_0, \dots, h_{k-1}) \pm M_h$ 2k+2 live registers: 13: Load $h_k, ..., h_{n-1}$ 2k+2 live registers: k registers for $U_h, h_k, \ldots, h_{n-1}, Z$ 2k+2 live registers: k registers for $U_h, h_k, \ldots, h_{n-1}, Z$ 14: Compute $U_h \leftarrow (h_k, \dots, h_{n-1}) + U_h$ 15: Store U_h to r_n, \ldots, r_{n+k-1} k+2 live registers: $h_k,\ldots,h_{n-1},\mathsf{Z}$ 16: Ripple carry/borrow through h_k, \ldots, h_{n-1} k+2 live registers: $h_k,\ldots,h_{n-1},\mathsf{Z}$ 17: Store $h_k, ..., h_{n-1}$ to $r_{n+k}, ..., r_{2n-1}$

tation of H, so no additional update of the pointer is needed, e.g., using the ADIW instruction, which would be needed if we pushed the pointer right before the computation of L. Second, after pushing the address on the stack, the register X can be efficiently re-used for storing the input operands of B_h . This avoids additional spilling of registers.

When mixing LDD with PUSH and POP, the stack pointer needs to be corrected again at the end of the computation. This can be done by one ADIW instruction and two OUT instructions.

On-the-fly accumulation. As in 1-level Karatsuba, and essentially thanks to refined Karatsuba, we perform an on-the-fly accumulation of $(\ell_k, \dots, \ell_{n-1})$ during the multiplication of $H = A_h \cdot B_h$ in 2-level Karatsuba. Applying this optimization, however, is not as straight forward as in 1-level Karatsuba, because H itself is computed using 1-level Karatsuba. This makes the accumulation and especially the handling of carry bits more complex.

The main idea to avoid the propagation of carry bits from the accumulation of $(\ell_k,\ldots,\ell_{n-1})$ into the multiplication of $A_h \cdot B_h$ in 2-level Karatsuba, is to split the accumulation into two parts of size k/2 each. The first part $(\ell_k,\ldots,\ell_{1.5k-1})$ is accumulated into $(h_0,\ldots,h_{k/2-1})$ and the result is stored in memory. After that, one could accumulate the second part $(\ell_{1.5k},\ldots,\ell_{n-1})$ into $(h_{k/2},\ldots,h_{n-1})$. However, this would also add an unintended carry into h_k that would also ripple through h_{k+1},\ldots,h_{n-1} . In order to avoid this unintended carry propagation, we accumulate $(\ell_{1.5k},\ldots,\ell_{n-1})$ again into $(h_0,\ldots,h_{k/2-1})$, which still resides in registers. The advan-

tage of this technique is that this accumulated result is then added correctly only once in Step 8 of Algorithm 1 and the carry is added only once into $h_{k/2}$ and ripples correctly through $h_{k/2+1}, \ldots, h_{n-1}$.

Memory access in 2-level Karatsuba on AVR. In total, the 128-bit refined Karatsuba multiplication needs 92 LD/LDD instructions, 50 ST/STD instructions, 2 PUSH instructions, and 2 POP instructions. The 160-bit multiplication needs 140 LD/LDD instructions, 80 ST/STD instructions, 15 PUSH instructions, 17 POP instructions, and 4 IN instructions to copy the stack pointer to Y. The 192-bit multiplication needs 241 LD/LDD instructions, 108 ST/STD instructions, 46 PUSH instructions, 21 POP instructions, 8 IN instructions, and 2 OUT instructions.

4.3 Three levels of Karatsuba

We implemented the 256-bit multiplication using 3-levels of Karatsuba. Due to the high register usage of the 1-level and 2-level Karatsuba blocks there is almost no room to hold and re-use registers. Thus, we store all results obtained from the 2-level Karatsuba multiplications in the memory and load the values again at the end of calculation M. Also all absolute differences are pushed to the stack and are popped again during the final 2-level Karatsuba multiplication. The obtained results for M are also pushed to the stack and are popped again at the end of the multiplication.

Table 2 Speed and size comparison of multiprecision multiplication on AVR ATmega. All counts exclude function-call overhead.

		Input size (bits)							
Approach		48	64	80	96	128	160	192	256
Unrolled product scanning:	cycles	235	395	595	836	_	_	_	_
	bytes	350	598	910	1288	_	_	_	_
Operand caching [11]:	cycles	_	_	_	_	_	2393 ^a	3467 ^a	6121 ^a
	bytes	_	_	_	_	_	3696 ^a	5354 ^a	9476 ^a
Consecutive operand caching [22]:	cycles	_	_	_	_	1532	2356	3464	6180
	bytes	_	_	_	_	N/A	3652	N/A	N/A
(Consecutive) operand caching [23]:	cycles	_	_	_	_	1523 ^b	2341 ^b	3437	6115
	bytes	_	_	_	_	2346^{b}	3622 ^b	N/A	N/A
This paper (branched):	cycles	217 ^c	360 ^c	522 ^c	780 ^c	1325^{d}	1976 ^d	2923 ^d	4797 ^e
	bytes	348 ^c	580 ^c	828 ^c	1228 ^c	2228^{d}	3222^{d}	4602 ^d	8022 ^e
	stack	0	0	0	4	1	19	36	58
This paper (branch-free):	cycles	222 ^c	368 ^c	533 ^c	800 ^c	1369 ^d	2030^{d}	2987 ^d	4961 ^e
	bytes	342 ^c	576 ^c	826 ^c	1226 ^c	2156^{d}	3106^{d}	4492 ^d	7616 ^e
	stack	0	0	0	4	1	19	36	58

^a Counts obtained using the online code generator

In total, the 256-bit multiplication needs the following memory instructions: 352 LD/LDD instructions, 156 ST/STD instructions, 82 PUSH instructions, 130 POP instructions, 8 IN instructions, and 32 OUT instructions.

5 Results

This section reports cycle counts, code size, and stack usage for the software presented in this paper. All cycle counts are obtained through simulation in the Atmel AVR Studio version 5.0.1223. All multiplication routines passed tests on 1000 random inputs and passed a test with all input bytes set to 255. These tests were performed on an ATmega2560 (Arduino MEGA development board).

Like previous papers we report cycle counts, code sizes, and stack usage excluding the function-call cost, i.e., the cost for CALL, RET, initial PUSH and final POP of caller registers, 3 MOVW instructions required to copy the function arguments to the X, Y, Z registers, and the cost to clear register R1 before returning from the function.

It is important to note that for small input sizes, product scanning does not use all available registers and can avoid some of the PUSHs and POPs of caller registers. A function that only multiplies, e.g., two 48-bit integers and follows the C function-call ABI for AVR will thus be faster when using product scanning than our Karatsuba multiplication. However, the 48-bit Karatsuba multiplication will be faster if it is used in a larger (inlined) context. See also Section 4.

A summary of our results, together with the best previous results from the literature, is presented in Table 2. All implementations listed in this table focus on speed and are fully unrolled. For input sizes from 48 to 96 bits we are not aware of any results from the literature achieving better speeds than fully unrolled product-scanning multiplication. For those input sizes we include a comparison with fully unrolled product scanning. For 48-bit inputs this is not optimal as demonstrated by our optimized multiplication routine (see Section 3 and Appendix B). We believe that also for 64-bit, 80-bit, and 96-bit inputs, careful optimization of quadratic-complexity multiplication can gain a few cycles compared to fully unrolled product scanning. However, we do not expect those gains to be larger than what we gain by using subquadratic-complexity Karatsuba multiplication.

The software described in [11] is available through an online code generator at http://mhutter.org/research/avr#mulopcache. The cycle counts of the software generated by this online tool are slightly lower than the ones reported in the paper. We compare to the improved cycle counts. From the authors of [23], we received 128-bit and 160-bit consecutive-operand-caching multiplication routines, which are slightly faster than the numbers listed in their paper. We also compare to the improved cycle counts.

6 Conclusion and future work

In this paper we presented new speed records for multiplication of integers from 48 bits up to 256 bits on AVR ATmega.

^b Counts from the software we received from the authors

^c One level of Karatsuba

d Two levels of Karatsuba

^e Three levels of Karatsuba

We showed that carefully optimized Karatsuba multiplication technique is more efficient than quadratic-complexity multiplication already for much smaller input sizes than previously believed.

The most obvious future work is to apply the multiplication routines described in this paper to elliptic-curve cryptography. For example, in [18], Liu, Seo, Großschädl, and Kim use consecutive operand-caching multiplication to push the performance boundaries for arithmetic on the NIST-P192 curve. It will be straight-forward to push the boundaries even further by replacing consecutive operand-caching multiplication by our Karatsuba multiplication routines.

Furthermore, this paper focuses on *speed* of multiplication routines without considering the size of the implementation. It will be interesting to investigate tradeoffs between speed and size for Karatsuba multiplication on AVR, for example by implementing the small multiprecision multiplications at the bottom of the recursion only once and use jumps or calls to this routine. Another direction of future research is to examine whether the Karatsuba technique can also speed up squaring on AVR. Finally, we hope that the techniques described in this paper will serve as an inspiration to re-examine possible performance gains from Karatsuba multiplication for relatively small inputs on other embedded platforms.

References

- Paul Barrett. Implementing the Rivest Shamir and Adleman public key encryption algorithm on a standard digital signal processor. In Andrew M. Odlyzko, editor, *Advances in Cryptology CRYPTO '86*, volume 263 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 311–323. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1987. 2
- Daniel J. Bernstein. Batch binary Edwards. In Shai Halevi, editor, Advances in Cryptology - CRYPTO 2009, volume 5677 of Lec- ture Notes in Computer Science, pages 317-336. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2009. http://cr.yp.to/papers.html#bbe.
- Paul G. Comba. Exponentiation cryptosystems on the IBM PC. *IBM Systems Journal*, 29(4), 1990. http://lyle.smu.edu/ ~seidel/courses/cse8351/papers/CombaCRYPTO.pdf. 2
- 4. Martin Fürer. Faster integer multiplication. SIAM Journal on Computing, 39(3):979–1005, 2009. 1
- Conrado P. L. Gouvêa and Julio López. Software implementation of pairing-based cryptography on sensor networks using the MSP430 microcontroller. In Nicolas Sendrier Bimal Roy, editor, Progress in Cryptology INDOCRYPT 2009, volume 5922 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 248–262. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2009. http://conradoplg.cryptoland.net/files/2010/12/indocrypt09.pdf. 2
- Conrado P. L. Gouvêa, Leonardo B. Oliveira, and Julio López. Efficient software implementation of public-key cryptography on sensor networks using the MSP430X microcontroller. *Journal of Cryptographic Engineering*, 2(1), 2012. http://conradoplg. cryptoland.net/files/2010/12/jcen12.pdf. 2
- Johann Großschädl, Roberto M. Avanzi, Erkay Savaş, and Stefan Tillich. Energy-efficient software implementation of long integer modular arithmetic. In Josyula R. Rao and Berk Sunar, editors, Cryptographic Hardware and Embedded Systems – CHES

- 2005, volume 3659 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 75–90. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2005. www.iacr.org/archive/ches2005/006.pdf. 2
- Nils Gura, Arun Patel, Arvinderpal Wander, Hans Eberle, and Sheueling Chang Shantz. Comparing elliptic curve cryptography and RSA on 8-bit CPUs. In Marc Joye, editor, Cryptographic Hardware and Embedded Systems - CHES 2004, volume 3156 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 119– 132. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2004. www.iacr.org/ archive/ches2004/31560117/31560117.pdf. 2
- Nils Gura and Lawrence A. Spracklen. Hybrid multi-precision multiplication. United States Patent 7650374, 2010. Application filed Nov. 23, 2004, http://www.freepatentsonline.com/ 7650374.html. 2
- Michael Hutter and Peter Schwabe. NaCl on 8-bit AVR microcontrollers. In Amr Youssef and Abderrahmane Nitaj, editors, Progress in Cryptology AFRICACRYPT 2013, volume 7918 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 156-172. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2013. http://cryptojedi.org/papers/#avrnacl. 2, 5
- 11. Michael Hutter and Erich Wenger. Fast multi-precision multi-plication for public-key cryptography on embedded microprocessors. In Bart Preneel and Tsuyoshi Takagi, editors, Cryptographic Hardware and Embedded Systems CHES 2011, volume 6917 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 459-474. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2011. https://online.tugraz.at/tug_online/voe_main2.getvolltext?pCurrPk=58138. 2, 9
- Michael Hutter and Erich Wenger. Multiplication of large operands. WIPO Patent Application WO/2013/044276, 2013. Application filed Sep.27, 2011, http://www.freepatentsonline. com/W02013044276A1.html. 2
- Anatolii Karatsuba and Yuri Ofman. Multiplication of multidigit numbers on automata. Soviet Physics Doklady, 7:595-596, 1963.
 Translated from Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR, Vol. 145, No. 2, pp. 293-294, July 1962. Scanned version on http://cr.yp.to/ bib/1963/karatsuba.html. 1
- Anatolii A. Karatsuba. The complexity of computations. Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics, 211:169–183, 1995. http://www.ccas.ru/personal/karatsuba/divcen.pdf. 1
- 15. Christian Lederer, Roland Mader, Manuel Koschuch, Johann Großschädl, Alexander Szekely, and Stefan Tillich. Energy-efficient implementation of ECDH key exchange for wireless sensor networks. In Olivier Markowitch, Angelos Bilas, Jaap-Henk Hoepman, Chris J. Mitchell, and Jean-Jacques Quisquater, editors, Information Security Theory and Practice, volume 5746 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 112–127. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2009. http://www.cs.bris.ac.uk/~tillich/pdf/Lederer2009Energy-EfficientImplementation.pdf. 3
- Zhe Liu and Johann Großschädl. New speed records for Montgomery modular multiplication on 8-bit AVR microcontrollers. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2013/882, 2013. https://eprint.iacr.org/2013/882/. 3
- 17. Zhe Liu, Johann Großschädl, and Ilya Kizhvatov. Efficient and side-channel resistant RSA implementation for 8-bit AVR microcontrollers. In Proceedings of the 1st International Workshop on the Security of the Internet of Things SECIOT'10, 2010. https://www.nics.uma.es/seciot10/files/pdf/liu_seciot10_paper.pdf. 2, 3
- 18. Zhe Liu, Hwajeong Seo, Johann Großschädl, and Howon Kim. Efficient implementation of NIST-compliant elliptic curve cryptography for sensor nodes. In Sihan Qing, Jianying Zhou, and Dongmei Liu, editors, Information and Communications Security, volume 8233 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 302–317. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2013. http://orbilu.uni.lu/bitstream/10993/12934/1/ICICS2013.pdf. 10

- Krishnaji S. Patwardhan, Somashekara A. Naimpally, and Shyam L. Singh. Līlāvtī of Bhāskarācārya. Motilal Banarsidass Publishers, 2001. http://books.google.com/books?id= AoX5q7JjM2kC. 2
- Arnold Schönhage and Volker Strassen. Schnelle Multiplikation großer Zahlen. Computing, 7(3):281–292, 1971.
- Michael Scott and Piotr Szczechowiak. Optimizing multiprecision multiplication for public key cryptography. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2007/299, 2007. https://eprint.iacr.org/ 2007/299/. 2
- 22. Hwajeong Seo and Howon Kim. Multi-precision multiplication for public-key cryptography on embedded microprocessors. In Dong Hoon Lee MotiYung, editor, Information Security Applications, volume 7690 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 55-67. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2012. http://isaa.sch.ac.kr/wisa2012/%EB%85%BC%EB%AC%B8/Session%202/1-130_Multi-precision%20Multiplication%20for%20Public-Key%20Cryptography%20on%20Embedded%20Microprocessors.pdf. 2, 9
- 23. Hwajeong Seo and Howon Kim. Optimized multi-precision multiplication for public-key cryptography on embedded microprocessors. *International Journal of Computer and Communication Engineering*, 2(3), 2013. http://www.ijcce.org/papers/183-J034.pdf. 2, 9
- 24. Laurence E. Sigler. Fibonacci's Liber Abaci Leonardo Pisano's Book of Calculation. Springer-Verlag New York, 2003. http://books.google.com/books?id=PilhoGJeKBUC. 2
- 25. Frank J. Swetz. Capitalism and Arithmetic: The New Math of the 15th Century. Open Court, 1987. 2
- 26. Andrei L. Toom. The complexity of a scheme of functional elements realizing the multiplication of integers. *Soviet Mathematics Doklady*, 3:714-716, 1963. www.de.ufpe.br/~toom/my-articles/engmat/MULT-E.PDF. 1
- 27. Sung-Ming Yen and Marc Joye. Checking before output may not be enough against fault-based cryptanalysis. *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, 49:967-970, 2000. http://pdf.aminer.org/001/082/827/checking_before_output_may_not_be_enough_against_fault_based.pdf. 5

Michael Hutter and Peter Schwabe

A Karatsuba multiplication of two 48-bit numbers

Listing 1 Karatsuba multiplication of 48-bit integer at address X and 48-bit integer at address Y; 96-bit result is written to address Z

```
absolute values ---
CLR R23
MOVW R12,R22
MOVW R20,R22
                                                                                                                     ADC R18, R25
MUL R4, R7 ;a2*b2
MOVW R24, R0
                                                           EOR R2, R26
                                                           EOR R3, R26
                                                           EOR R4, R26
                                                                                                                     MUL R4, R5 ;a2*b0
ADD R16, R0
                                                           EOR R5, R27
      load a0..a2 and b0..b2 ---
                                                           EOR R6, R27
LD R2, X+
LD R3, X+
                                                           EOR R7, R27
                                                                                                                      ADC R17, R1
                                                           NEG R26
                                                                                                                      ADC R18, R24
LD R4, X+
LDD R5, Y+0
LDD R6, Y+1
LDD R7, Y+2
                                                                                                                     ADC R25, R23
MUL R4, R6; a2*b1
ADD R17, R0
ADC R18, R1
                                                           NEG R27
                                                           ADD R2, R26
                                                           ADC R3, R23
                                                           ADC R4, R23
                                                           ADD R5, R27
                                                                                                                      ADC R19, R25
                                                           ADC R6, R23
ADC R7, R23
      - Compute L --
MUL R2, R7 ;a0*b2
                                                                                                                      ;--- add 13+h0 to h3 etc. ---
MOVW R10, R0
MUL R2, R5; a0*b0
MOVW R8, R0
                                                                                                                     ADD R8, R11
ADC R9, R12
                                                              -- Compute H + (13,14,15) ---
                                                           MUL R14, R19 ;a0*b2
MOVW R24, R0
                                                                                                                      ADC R10, R13
MUL R2, R6; a0*b1
ADD R9, R0
ADC R10, R1
ADC R11, R23
MUL R3, R7; a1*b2
MOVW R14, R0
                                                                                                                      ADC R11, R20
                                                           MUL R14, R17 ;a0*b0
                                                                                                                      ADC R12, R21
                                                           ADD R11, RO
ADC R12, R1
                                                                                                                      ADC R13, R22
                                                                                                                     ;store carry in R23
ADC R23, R23
                                                           ADC R13, R24
                                                           ADC R25, R23
MUL R3, R5 ;a1*b0
ADD R9, R0
                                                           MUL R14, R18; a0*b1
                                                                                                                            load sign bit ---
                                                           ADD R12, R0
                                                                                                                      ÉOR R26, R27
ADC R10, R1
                                                           ADC R13, R1
                                                                                                                     BRNE add_M
ADC R11, R14
ADC R15, R23
MUL R3, R6; a1*b1
ADD R10, R0
                                                           ADC R20, R25
MUL R15, R19
MOVW R24, R0
                                                                              ;a1*b2
                                                                                                                      :subtract M
                                                                                                                     SUB R8, R14
SBC R9, R15
                                                           MUL R15, R17 ;a1*b0
ADD R12, R0
ADC R11, R1
                                                                                                                     SBC R10, R16
                                                           ADC R13, R1
ADC R20, R24
ADC R12, R15
                                                                                                                     SBC R11, R17
MUL R4, R7 ;a2*b2
                                                                                                                     SBC R12, R18
MOVW R14, R0
MUL R4, R5 ;a2*b0
ADD R10, R0
                                                           ADC R25, R23
                                                                                                                     SBC R13, R19
SBCI R23, 0
                                                           MUL R15, R18
ADD R13, R0
                                                                             ;a1*b1
                                                                                                                     SBC R24, R24
ADC R11, R1
                                                           ADC R20, R1
                                                                                                                      ;R23:R24 is -1, 0, or 1
ADC R12, R14
                                                           ADC R21, R25
                                                                                                                     RJMP final
ADC R15, R23
MUL R4, R6 ;a2*b1
ADD R11, R0
ADC R12, R1
ADC R13, R15
                                                          MUL R16, R19; a2*b2
MOVW R24, R0
MUL R16, R17; a2*b0
ADD R13, R0
ADC R20, R1
                                                                                                                   add_M:
ADD R8, R14
                                                                                                                      ADC R9, R15
                                                                                                                      ADC R1Ó, R16
STD Z+0, R8
                                                           ADC R21, R24
                                                                                                                      ADC R11, R17
STD Z+1, R9
                                                           ADC R25, R23
                                                                                                                      ADC R12, R18
STD Z+2, R10
                                                           MUL R16, R18 ;a2*b1 MOVW R18,R22
                                                                                                                      ADC R13, R19
                                                                                                                     CLR R24
       load a3..a5 and b3..b5 ---
                                                           ADD R20, R0
                                                                                                                      ADC R23, R24
LD R14, X+
                                                           ADC R21, R1
                                                                                                                      NOP; constant time
LD R15, X+
                                                           ADC R22, R25
LD R16, X+
LDD R17, Y+3
                                                                                                                   final:
STD Z+3, R8
                                                            ;--- Compute M ---
                                                           MUL R2, R7 ;a0*b2
MOVW R16, R0
LDD R18, Y+4
LDD R19, Y+5
                                                                                                                     STD Z+4, R9
                                                                                                                     STD Z+5, R10
                                                           MUL R2, R5 ;a0*b0
                                                                                                                      STD Z+6, R11
                                                           MOVW R14, RÓ
                                                                                                                     STD Z+7, R12
  --- subtract a0-a3 etc. ---
                                                           MUL R2, R6; a0*b1
ADD R15, R0
ADC R16, R1
SUB R2, R14
                                                                                                                     STD Z+8, R13
{\tt SBC}\ {\tt R3} , {\tt R15}
SBC R4, R16; Oxff if carry, 0x00 if no carry
                                                                                                                            ripple carry ---
                                                           ADC R17, R23
MUL R3, R7 ;a1*b2
                                                                                                                     ADD R20, R23
ADC R21, R24
SBC R26, R26
                                                           MOVW R24, RÓ
                                                                                                                      ADC R22, R24
                                                           MUL R3, R5 ;a1*b0
ADD R15, R0
  --- subtract b0-b3 etc. ---
ŚUB R5, R17
                                                                                                                     STD Z+9, R20
SBC R6, R18
SBC R7, R19
; Oxff if carry, 0x00 if no carry
SBC R27, R27
                                                           ADC R16, R1
ADC R17, R24
                                                                                                                     STD Z+10, R21
STD Z+11, R22
                                                           ADC R25, R23
MUL R3, R6 ;a1*b1
                                                           ADD R16, RO
```

B Small multiprecision multiplications

Listing 2 Optimized multiplication of two 24-bit integers, input *A* in registers R2,R3,R4; input *B* in registers R7,R8,R9; result in registers R12,R13,R14,R15,R16,R17.

CLR R23	MUL R3,R9	LD R2, X+
	MOVW R24,R0	MUL R4,R9
MUL R2,R9		MOVW R24,R0
MOVW R14, R0	MUL R3,R7	
	ADD R13,R0	MUL R4,R7
MUL R2,R7	ADC R14,R1	ADD R14,R0
MOVW R12, R0	ADC R15,R24	ADC R15,R1
	ADC R25,R23	ADC R16,R24
MUL R2,R8		ADC R25,R23
ADD R13,R0	MUL R3,R8	
ADC R14,R1	ADD R14,R0	MUL R4,R8
ADC R15,R23	ADC R15,R1	ADD R15,R0
	ADC R16,R25	ADC R16,R1
		ADC R17,R25
CLR R16		
LD R2, X+	CLR R17	

Listing 3 Optimized multiplication of two 32-bit integers, input *A* in registers R2,R3,R4,R5; input *B* in registers R7,R8,R9,R10; result in registers R12,R13,R14,R15,R16,R17,R18,R19.

CLR R23	MUL R3,R8	ADD R17,R25
	ADD R14,R0	ADC R18,R0
MUL R2,R9	ADC R15,R1	ADC R19,R1
MOVW R14, RO	ADC R25, R23	
MUL R2,R7	MUL R4,R10	MUL R5,R8
MOVW R12, R0	ADD R16,R25	MOVW R24,R0
	ADC R17,R0	
MUL R2.R8	ADC R18,R1	MUL R4.R8
ADD R13,R0	ŕ	ADD R15, RO
ADC R15.R23	CLR R19	ADC R25, R23
,	CLR R19 MUL R4,R9	,
MUL R3,R10	MOVW R24,R0	MUL R5.R7
MOVW R16,R0	,	ADD R15. RO
,	MUL R4,R7	ADC R24. R1
	ADD R14, RO	ADC R25, R23
CLR R18		•
	ADC R16, R24	MUL R5.R9
	ADC R25, R23	
	,	ADC RO. R25
MUL R3.R7	MUL R3,R9	ADC R1. R23
ADD B13. B0	ADD R15,R0	ADD R17. R0
ADC B14. B1	ADC R.16.R.1	ADC R18. R1
ADC B15. B24	ADC R16,R1 ADC R25, R23	ADC R19. R23
ADC R25, R23		
	MUL R5,R10	

Listing 4 Optimized multiplication of two 40-bit integers, input A in registers R2,R3,R4,R5,R6; input B in registers R7,R8,R9,R10,R11; result in registers R12,R13,R14,R15,R16,R17,R18,R19,R20,R21.

CLR R18	ADC R18, R1	
CLR R19		MUL R5, R8
MOVW R20, R18	MUL R3, R10	ADD R16, RO
•	ADD R16, R0	ADC R17, R1
MUL R2, R9	ADC R17, R1	ADC R25, R21
MOVW R14, RO	ADC R18, R21	,
,		MUL R5, R11
MUL R2, R7		ADD R18, R25
MOVW R12, RO	MUL R4, R9	ADC R19, R0
110 * # 1012, 100	MOVW R24, RO	ADC R20, R1
MUL R2, R8	110 V W 112 4, 110	ADC 1120, 111
ADD R13, R0	MUL R4, R7	MUL R5, R10
ADC R14, R1	ADD R14, R0	ADD R18, R0
ADC R14, R1 ADC R15, R21		•
ADC RIS, RZI	ADC R15, R1	ADC R19, R1
MIII DO DAA	ADC R16, R24	ADC R20, R21
MUL R2, R11	ADC R25, R21	MIII DO DO
MOVW R16, R0		MUL R6, R9
	MUL R4, R8	MOVW R24, RO
MUL R2, R10	ADD R15, RO	
ADD R15, R0	ADC R16, R1	MUL R6, R7
ADC R16, R1	ADC R25, R21	ADD R16, R0
ADC R17, R21		ADC R17, R1
	MUL R4, R11	ADC R18, R24
	ADD R17, R25	ADC R25, R21
MUL R3, R9	ADC R18, R0	
MOVW R24, RO	ADC R19, R1	MUL R6, R8
		ADD R17, RO
MUL R3, R7	MUL R4, R10	ADC R18, R1
ADD R13, RO	ADD R17, RO	ADC R25, R21
ADC R14, R1	ADC R18, R1	•
ADC R15, R24	ADC R19, R21	MUL R6, R10
ADC R25, R21	,	ADD R19, R0
,		ADC R20, R1
MUL R3, R8	MUL R5, R9	ADC R21, R21
ADD R14, RO	MOVW R24, RO	,
ADC R15, R1		MUL R6, R11
ADC R25, R21	MUL R5, R7	ADD R19, R25
ADO ILZO, ILZI	ADD R15, R0	ADC R20, R0
MUL R3, R11	ADC R16, R1	ADC R20, R0
ADD R16, R25	ADC R10, R1	ADO NZI, NI
ADC R17, R0	ADC R17, R24 ADC R25, R21	
ADC RI/, RU	ADC RZD, RZI	

Listing 5 Optimized multiplication of two 48-bit integers, input A in registers R2,R3,R4,R5,R6,R7; input B in registers R8,R9,R10,R11,R12,R13; result in registers R14,R15,R16,R17,R18,R19,R20,R21,R22,R23,R24,R25.

R14,R15,R16,R17,R18,R19,R20,R21,R22,R23,R24,R25.					
alb boo	MILL DA DAO	MILL DC D40			
CLR R20 CLR R21 MOVW R22, R20 MOVW R24, R20 MUL R2,R10 MOVW R16, R0	MUL K4,KIU	MUL KO,KIU			
CLR RZI	MUVW R26, RO	MUVW K26, KU			
MUVW R22, R20	MILL DA DO	1077 DA DA			
MUVW R24, R20	MUL R4,R8	MUL R6,R8			
	ADD R16,R0	ADD R18,R0			
MUL R2,R10	ADC R17,R1	ADC R19,R1			
MOVW R16, RO	ADC R18,R26	ADC R20,R26			
	ADC R27,R25	ADC R27,R25			
MUL R2,R8 MOVW R14, R0 MUL R2,R9 ADD R15,R0 ADC R16,R1 ADC R17,R25 MUL R2,R12 MOVW R18,R0 MUL R2,R11 ADD R17 R0					
MOVW R14, RO	MUL R4,R9	MUL R6,R9			
	ADD R17,R0	ADD R19,R0			
MUL R2,R9	ADC R18,R1	ADC R20,R1			
ADD R15,R0	ADC R27,R25	ADC R27,R25			
ADC R16,R1					
ADC R17,R25	MUL R4,R12	MUL R6,R12			
	ADD R19,R27	ADD R21,R27			
MUL R2,R12	ADC R20,R0	ADC R22,R0			
MOVW R18,R0	ADC R21,R1	ADC R23,R1			
	ADC R22,R25	ADC R24,R25			
MUL R2,R11					
ADD R17,R0	MUL R4,R11	MUL R6,R11			
ADC R18.R1	MOVW R26.RO	MOVW R26.RO			
ADC R19, R25	ADC R22,R25 MUL R4,R11 MOVW R26,R0	,			
,	MUL R4.R13	MUL R6.R13			
MUL R2.R13	ADD R19.R26	ADD R21.R26			
ADD R19. R0	ADC R20.R27	ADC R22.R27			
ADC R20. R1	ADC R21.R0	ADC R23.R0			
	MUL R4,R13 ADD R19,R26 ADC R20,R27 ADC R21,R0 ADC R22,R1	ADC R24.R1			
MUL R3,R10					
MOVW R26. RO	MUI. R.5.R.10	MUL R7,R10			
	MUL R5,R10 MOVW R26, R0	MOVW R26, RO			
MIII. R3 R8					
ADD R15 RO	MIII. R5 R8	MIII. R7 R8			
ADC R16 R1	ADD R17 RO	ADD R19 R0			
ADC R17 R26	ADC R18 R1	ADC B20 B1			
ADC R27 R25	ADC R19 R26	ADC R21 R26			
ADO ILZI , ILZO	ADC R27 R25	ADC R27 R25			
MIII R3 RQ	MUL R5,R8 ADD R17,R0 ADC R18,R1 ADC R19,R26 ADC R27,R25	ADO ILZI ,ILZO			
ADD R16 R0	MIII R5 R9	MIII R7 R9			
ADC D17 D1	ADD D19 D0	ADD DOO DO			
ADC RIT, RI	ADC D10 D1	ADD R20,R0			
ADC R27, R25	ADC RIS,RI	ADC RZI,RI			
MUL R3,R12 ADD R18,R27 ADC R19,R0 ADC R20,R1 ADC R21,R25 MUL R3,R11	MUL R5,R9 ADD R18,R0 ADC R19,R1 ADC R27,R25	ADC RZ7,RZ5			
ADD D10 D07	MIII DE D10	MIII D7 D10			
ADG B10 B0	MUL KO,KIZ	MUL RI,RIZ			
ADC RI9,RU	ADG DO1 DO	ADD R22,R21			
ADG DOL DOE	ADG DOO DA	ADC R23,RU			
ADC R21, R25	ADC R22,R1	ADC R24,R1			
MIII DO DAA	ADC R23,R25	ADC R25,R25			
MUL K3,KII	MILL DE DAA	MIII D7 D44			
MUVW K20,KU	MUL RD, KII	MUL KI, KII			
MUL R3,R11 MOVW R26,R0 MUL R3,R13 ADD R18,R26 ADC R19,R27 ADC R20,R0 ADC R21,R1	MUVW K26, KO	MUVW R26,R0			
MUL R3,R13	WILL DE DAG	MILL DE D.C			
AUU K18, K26	MUL Kb,K13	MUL R7,R13			
ADC R19, R27	ADD R20,R26	ADD R22,R26			
ADC R20,R0	ADC R21,R27	ADC R23,R27			
ADC R21,R1	ADC R22,R0	ADC R24,R0			
	ADC R23,R1	ADC R25,R1			