

Influence of Diet Induced Changes in Rumen Microbial Characteristics on Gas Production Kinetics of Straw Substrates *In vitro*

Bandla Srinivas* and U. Krishnamoorthy¹

National Institute of Animal Nutrition and Physiology, Bangalore-560030, India

ABSTRACT : The effect of diets varying in level and source of nitrogen (N) and fermentable organic matter on dynamic characteristics of microbial populations in rumen liquor and their impact on substrate fermentation *in vitro* was studied. The diets tested were straw alone, straw+concentrate mixture and straw+urea molasses mineral block (UMMB) lick. The same diets were taken as substrates and tested on each inoculum collected from the diets. Diet had no effect on the amino acid (AA) composition of either bacteria or protozoa. Differences among the diets in intake, source of N and OM affected bacterial and protozoal characteristics in the rumen. Upper asymptote of gas production (Y_{α}) had a higher correlation with bacterial pool size and production rate than with protozoal pool size and production rate. Among the parameters of the gas production model, Y_{α} and lag time in total gas has showed significant ($p < 0.01$) correlation with bacterial characteristics. Though the rate constant of gas production significantly differed ($p < 0.01$) between diet and type of straw, it was least influenced by the microbial characteristics. The regression coefficient of diet and type of straw for Y_{α} indicated that the effect of diet on Y_{α} was threefold higher than that of the straw. As microbial characteristics showed higher correlation with Y_{α} , and diet had more influence on the microbial characteristics, gas production on a straw diet could be used effectively to understand the microbial characteristics. (*Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 2005. Vol 18, No. 7 : 990-996)

Key Words : Rumen Microbes, Gas Production, Tracer Techniques, Straw, UMMB

INTRODUCTION

Although the gas production measurements *in-vitro* (GPIV) was originally proposed for energy determination in ruminant feedstuffs (Menke et al., 1979), this can have a wide ranging potential for application in areas such as rumen studies and ruminant feed evaluation (Getachew et al., 1998). Since the nutritional value of feed is influenced by the feed characteristics and their influence on rumen microbial characteristics, knowledge of both and their interaction can contribute to a better understanding of the nutritional or incriminate qualities of ruminant feedstuffs.

If gas production parameters *in vitro* that are attributable to feed characteristics can be differentiated from those attributable to rumen microbes, the GPIV can perhaps be used to assess the diet induced changes in rumen microbial characteristics. Such an approach could be useful specially to evaluate the effect of nutritional supplements like concentrate, green fodder or urea molasses mineral block (UMMB) commonly used with low quality roughages in developing countries (De and Singh, 2002; Srinivas et al., 2002). Understanding the dynamics of rumen microbes and digestion process on such low nitrogen roughages is very essential. Therefore, this study was designed to elaborate

the relationship of diet induced changes in microbial characteristics to gas production kinetics *in vitro* with diets differing in quality and physicochemical characteristics.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and diets

Twelve crossbred steers fitted with large diameter flexible rumen canula were divided into three groups of four each of comparable age and body weights (31 ± 0.8 months, 248 ± 16 kg) and diets differing in source and amount of nitrogen (N) were assigned at random. The three diets were wheat straw (D_1), wheat straw+concentrate mixture (D_2) and wheat straw+urea molasses mineral block (UMMB; D_3). The compositions of the diets estimated in quadruplicates are presented in Table 1. After an adaptation period of 15 days on the respective diets, the total ration was divided into 12 equal parts and fed at 2 hourly intervals starting from 9:00 h and water was given 6 times a day for 5 days to attain a steady state condition in rumen environment (Chaturvedi et al., 1973). The steers were housed in a concrete floored, well ventilated and protected cattle shed in a single row individually in stalls.

Rumen microbial attribute

Amino acid (AA) composition : Isolation of bacteria and protozoa from rumen liquor: Rumen fluid was collected from each animal at 11:00, 11:30, 12:00, 12:30, 13:30, 14:30 and 16:30 h. The rumen liquor was strained through single layered muslin cloth and centrifuged at $500 \times g$ for 3

* Corresponding Author: Bandla Srinivas. Tel: +91-01437-220143, Fax: +91-01437-220162, E-mail: bandla_srinivas@indiatimes.com

¹ Dairy Science College, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore-560024, India.

Received May 19, 2004; Accepted February 18, 2005

min to separate protozoa and feed particles. Supernatant and precipitate were taken in separate test tubes. The precipitate was washed twice with normal saline and centrifuged at 500×g for 2 min to remove residual feed particles from protozoa. The supernatant was centrifuged at 15,000×g for 15 min to separate bacteria. Bacterial pellet was washed twice with normal saline. Both protozoal and bacterial samples were processed immediately.

Amino acid analysis : A weighed quantity (100±7 mg) of bacteria and protozoal biomass isolated from the rumen liquor from each animal was subjected to amino acid hydrolysis with 5 ml of 6 N HCl at 110°C for 24 h in sealed test tubes flushed with nitrogen. The hydrolysate was centrifuged at 3,000×g for 15 min and filtered through 0.22 µM Millipore filter. The filtrate was diluted ten fold with 400 mM sodium borate buffer. Pre-column derivatization was done using ortho-phthalaldehyde (OPA). Twenty µl of the sample was mixed with 20 µl of OPA/2-mercaptoethanol mixture (50 mg of OPA crystals in 1 ml of absolute methanol, adding 40 µl 2-mercaptoethanol and diluting to a final volume of 10 ml with 0.2 M di-Sodium tetraborate) and incubated for one minute at 39°C for reaction to take place to cause fluorescence. Twenty µl of the mixture was injected into HPLC with automatic sample injector (Millipore, USA, Model 231). Mobile phase used was A = 0.05 M sodium acetate (pH 6.8): methanol: tetrahydrofuran (80:19:1) B = methanol: 0.05 M sodium acetate (80:20) at a flow rate of 1 ml min⁻¹. Isoindole derivatives of AA were estimated at 360 nm excitation and 455 nm of emission on reversephase column (4.6 mm ID× 15 cm, octadecyl group as stationary phase) using fluorescence detector. Standard of known concentration (50 nano moles/ml) of each AA was run simultaneously to estimate the concentration of the corresponding AA in the test samples (Roach and Gehrke, 1970).

Production rate of bacteria and protozoa : Bacterial production rate (BPR) and protozoal production rate (PPR) were determined using isotope dilution technique with ³⁵S (sodium sulfate) as bacterial marker (Singh et al., 1974) and ¹⁴C (choline methyl chloride) as a protozoal marker (Leng, 1982).

i) Preparation of labeled bacteria and protozoa

• **Bacteria :** Bacterial suspension was prepared *in vitro* using 150 ml of freshly drawn strained rumen liquor (SRL) incubated with 500 µCi of ³⁵S sodium sulfate for 12 h at 39°C under CO₂. After 12 h of incubation, bacterial pellet was separated from feed particles and protozoa as explained in section Amino acid (AA) composition. The bacterial pellets thus obtained were washed three times with centrifuged rumen liquor to remove free radioactivity and suspended in 100 ml of freshly drawn, centrifuged rumen liquor.

• **Protozoa :** Protozoal suspension was prepared *in vitro*

using 150 ml of freshly drawn SRL incubated with 120 µCi of ¹⁴C choline methyl chloride for 12 h at 39°C under CO₂. Subsequently, rumen liquor was centrifuged at 500×g for 3 min. Supernatant was discarded and the protozoal sediment was washed thrice using fresh rumen liquor. Finally the washed protozoal sediment was suspended in 100 ml of freshly drawn, centrifuged rumen liquor.

ii) Dosing and sampling

Out of 100 ml of labeled suspension of bacteria and protozoa, 90 ml was infused into the rumen of each animal through the canula in a single dose. The remaining 10 ml of the suspension was kept separate for counting radioactivity in the infusate. The rumen contents were thoroughly mixed by hand covered with long sleeved rubber gloves.

• **Bacteria sampling :** Samples of rumen fluid were drawn on placing the probes at four different sites in rumen. Samples in triplicate were drawn in 125 ml capacity polypropylene narrow mouth screw capped bottle at 11:00, 11:30, 12:00, 12:30, 13:30, 14:30 and 16:30 h after infusion at 9:00 h and preserved in icebox until processed. Bacterial pellet was isolated as explained before (section Amino acid (AA) composition) and then washed with brij detergent solution followed by 10% TCA and subsequently with ethanol: ether at the ratio of 3:1. Pellets were dried in oven at 60°C for 24 h to a constant weight under atmospheric pressure. Dried pellets were dissolved in scintillation fluid followed by addition of 7 ml scintillation fluid. The radioactivity was measured using Tricarb PLD liquid scintillation analyzer (M/s Packard Instruments, USA). Exponential decline in specific radioactivity with time was calculated for determination of BPR.

• **Protozoa sampling :** PPR was studied after an interval of 120 days from the estimation of BPR to avoid the residual effect of ³⁵S sodium sulphate. Samples of rumen fluid was collected after 2 h of post infusion at 9:00 h and continued at 1 h interval upto 17:00 h. Samples were processed immediately within one hour of collection. About 2 ml of rumen fluid samples in triplicates were centrifuged at 500×g for 3 min and supernatant was discarded. The protozoal sediment was resuspended in 20% formal saline and centrifuged again. The centrifugation and suspension were repeated twice. The samples were pooled and an aliquot of 0.5 ml each was used for counting radioactivity and N estimation. 5 ml of scintillation fluid was added to one part of the aliquot and radioactivity was measured as described earlier in this section. N was estimated in another part of aliquot by micro-Kjeldahl's method.

Gas production kinetics *in vitro*

The influence of diet induced changes in rumen microbial characteristics on gas production kinetics *in-vitro* was measured with straw substrates differing in physicochemical characteristics. Untreated and urea-

Table 1. Ingredient and chemical composition of diets (% on dry matter basis)

Component	Diet ₁	Diet ₂	Diet ₃
Diet ingredients (%):			
Wheat straw*	100	84.1	90.2
Concentrate mixture**	-	15.9	-
Umblick***	-	-	8.4
Chemical composition			
Organic matter	87.9	88.9	87.0
Nitrogen	0.6	1.1	1.4
Ether extract	0.8	1.4	0.9
Neutral detergent fibre	82.2	75.6	75.1
Acid detergent fibre	54.7	49.6	49.7
Total ash	12.1	11.2	12.7

* Mineral mixture 2 and common salt 1% as supplement.

** Maize 35, GNcake 27, wheat Bran 35, mineral mixture 2, Salt 1 on as in basis.

*** Urea 15, moolasses 40, groundnut extractions 14, cottons seed cake 14, mineral mixture 8, common salt 3, sodium bentonite 3 and calcite powder 3).

ammoniated straw (Dias-Da-Silva and Sundstol, 1986) of wheat and paddy were used as the substrates. The substrate fermentation rate was measured over time using *in vitro* gas production (Krishnamoorthy et al., 1991). Rumen fluid drawn from each animal on different diets was used as inoculum and maintained under CO₂ at 39°C before use. About 500±34 mg of air dry samples were weighed into 250 ml capacity Borosil glass bottles and incubated with rumen inoculum according to Menke and Steingass (1988). Incubations were continued for 48 h with recording of gas

production at 2 h intervals upto 12 h and subsequently at 4 h intervals using water manometer (McBee, 1953). The gas production values were fitted to the following model for rate determination with lag (Krishnamoorthy et al., 1991). Methane proportion in the rumen gases was estimated by gas liquid chromatography (M/s Nucon Instruments, India, Series 5500) fitted with thermal conductivity detector and narrow mouth stainless steel columns packed with chromosorb 101 to serve as the stationary phase. Simultaneously standard gas (carbondioxide 2.2, ethylene 2.0, propylene 1.2, methane 27.4, carbonmonoxide 7.6 and ethane 1.1 and nitrogen 7.4% in hydrogen) was also run for comparison.

$$Y = Y_{\infty} [1 - e^{-k(t-\lambda)}]$$

Where,

Y = Total gas production

Y_∞ = Upper asymptote for total gas production

k = Rate of gas production

t = Time

λ = Lag for initiation of gas production

Statistical analysis

Difference among the diets with respect to AA composition of bacteria and protozoa, BPR and PPR were tested by using randomized complete block design with the model,

Table 2. Amino acid composition of rumen bacteria and protozoa (μmol/g) on different diets

Component	Diet - 1		Diet - 2		Diet - 3		SE _m	
	Bacteria ^a	Protozoa ^b	Bacteria ^a	Protozoa ^b	Bacteria ^a	Protozoa ^b	Bacteria	Protozoa
Essential:								
Arginine	151(3.8)	113(3.4)	512 (11.6)	115 (3.4)	156 (3.9)	114 (3.4)	2.45	2.32
Histidine	61 (1.5)	48 (1.4)	83 (1.9)	48 (1.4)	58 (1.5)	48 (1.4)	3.38	6.99
Isoleucine	210 (5.3)	196 (5.9)	221 (5.0)	193 (5.9)	203 (5.1)	194 (5.8)	3.62	7.22
Leucine	308 (7.7)	219 (6.6)	332 (7.5)	224 (6.6)	304 (7.7)	219 (6.5)	3.35	7.68
Lysine	317 (8.0)	254 (7.6)	306 (6.9)	262 (7.7)	318 (8.0)	256 (7.6)	3.28	5.80
Methionine	68 (1.7)	56 (1.7)	72 (1.6)	56 (1.7)	71 (1.8)	56 (1.7)	2.83	2.56
Phenylalanine	131 (3.3)	148 (4.5)	134 (3.0)	152 (4.5)	122 (3.1)	145 (4.3)	3.39	5.12
Threonine	301 (7.6)	262 (7.9)	287 (6.5)	258 (7.6)	290 (7.3)	263 (7.8)	2.86	5.76
Tyrosine	120 (3.0)	135 (4.1)	118 (2.7)	139 (4.1)	114 (2.9)	136 (4.1)	4.30	3.16
Valine	207 (5.2)	144 (4.3)	210 (4.8)	148 (4.4)	207 (5.2)	148 (4.4)	3.93	3.60
Total	187.40	157.50	227.50	159.50	184.30	157.90	28.94	1.01
Non-essential:								
Alanine	415 (10.4)	303 (9.1)	412 (9.3)	318 (9.4)	414 (10.5)	309 (9.2)	5.76	3.41
Aspartic acid	408 (10.2)	386 (11.6)	412 (9.3)	392 (11.6)	417 (10.5)	388 (11.6)	3.96	4.06
Cystein	33 (0.8)	28 (0.8)	34 (0.8)	28 (0.8)	36 (0.9)	30 (0.9)	2.69	2.53
Glutamic acid	488 (12.2)	404 (12.2)	496 (11.3)	414 (12.2)	496 (12.5)	410 (12.2)	4.31	3.56
Glycine	467 (11.7)	326 (9.8)	463 (10.5)	334 (9.8)	457 (11.5)	328 (9.8)	3.57	6.50
Proline	121 (3.0)	118 (3.6)	126 (2.9)	124 (3.7)	116 (2.9)	120 (3.6)	3.55	4.72
Serine	180 (4.5)	186 (5.6)	192 (4.4)	188 (5.5)	181 (4.6)	190 (5.6)	3.14	5.03
Total	301.71	250.14	305.00	256.86	302.43	253.57	2.39	1.46

Values in parenthesis indicate percentage of total amino acids.

Components bearing different superscripts differ significantly at 0.01 level of significance but for significance level for total essential amino acids between bacteria and protozoa was at 0.05 level only.

Table 3. Feed intake, digestibility and dynamic characteristics of bacterial and protozoa in rumen

Component	Diet 1	Diet 2	Diet 3
Intake and digestibility			
Body weight (kg)	223±22	234±22	4.51 ^b ±0.55
Straw DM intake (kg/d)	3.47 ^a ±0.32	4.66 ^b ±0.38	0.42 ^b ±0.10
Supplement DM intake (kg/d)	-	0.85 ^a ±0.07	5.00 ^c ±0.56
Total DM intake (kg/d)	3.47 ^a ±0.32	5.54 ^b ±0.47	4.35 ^c ±0.85
Total OM intake (kg/d)	3.06 ^a ±0.43	4.93 ^b ±0.72	70.00 ^c ±13.77
Total nitrogen intake (g/d)	20.85 ^a ±2.91	60.94 ^b ±8.88	49.70 ^b ±0.35
DM digestibility (%)	43.72 ^a ±1.09	49.52 ^b ±0.40	51.59 ^b ±0.25
OM digestibility (%)	46.0 ^a ±0.90	51.72 ^b ±0.52	2.24 ^a ±0.42
Digestible OM intake (kg/d)	1.41 ^a ±0.24	2.55 ^b ±0.41	
Bacterial production rate			
Dose injected (µCi)	6.68±0.44	6.30±0.46	6.73±0.46
Specific radio activity at zero time** (×10 ⁻⁴ µCi/mg bacterial cells)	2.06 ^a ±0.25	0.69 ^b ±0.04	0.80 ^b ±0.04
Rate constant of decline radio activity per min (×10 ⁻⁴)	16.74 ^a ±0.70	20.44 ^b ±0.67	20.59 ^b ±0.56
Pool size (g)	33.50 ^a ±3.50 ^a	91.56 ^b ±2.14	84.82 ^c ±2.09
Production rate (g h ⁻¹)	3.34 ^a ±0.28	11.23 ^b ±0.43	10.46 ^b ±0.24
Turnover time (h)	10.01 ^a ±0.42 ^a	7.80 ^b ±0.21	8.12 ^c ±0.22
Protozoa production rate			
Dose injected (µCi)	0.99±0.03	1.13±0.05	1.13±0.09
Specific radio activity at zero time (µCi/g N)	0.88 ^a ±0.02	0.61 ^b ±0.06	0.85 ^b ±0.03
Rate constant of decline radio activity per min (×10 ⁻⁴)	3.68 ^a ±0.53	11.35 ^b ±1.42	10.40 ^b ±0.56
Pool size (g N)	1.14 ^a ±0.03	1.89 ^b ±0.16	1.37 ^b ±0.16
Production rate (g N h ⁻¹)	41.77 ^a ±6.01	209.27 ^b ±14.96	138.65 ^c ±13.13
Turnover time (h)	29.56 ^a ±5.49	9.17 ^b ±0.94	9.71 ^b ±0.54

$$X_{ij} = \mu + \gamma_i + e_{ij}$$

Where, X_{ij} = observation variable corresponding to the observation coming from i th replication and j the main treatment, μ = mean of the variable of population of either bacteria or protozoa, γ_i = random variable denotes effect of the i th level of variable and, e_{ij} was error component.

The difference in AA composition of bacteria and protozoa were tested with Student t -test. Effect of inoculum, substrate and interaction between both the factors on gas composition and parameter estimates of the model were analysed using randomized complete block design for factor α (inoculum) at three levels with factor β (substrate) a split plot on α with four levels using following model.

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \gamma_i + \alpha_j + \beta_k + e_{ijk}$$

Where, X_{ijk} = observation variable corresponding to the observation X_{ijk} coming from the k th subplot treatment of the j main plot treatment in the i th replication, μ = general mean, γ_i , α_j and β_k = the fixed effect of the i th replication, j th main treatment and k th subplot treatment respectively. e_{ijk} is the error component that assumed to be independently and normally distributed with zero mean and constant variance. Correlation between pool size, production rate and

turnover time of bacteria or protozoa and characteristics of the model were calculated along with significance test (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967; Das and Giri, 1991).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Ingredient and chemical composition of diets were presented in Table 1. Supplements in D_2 and D_3 were characteristically different in source of nitrogen in rumen. The significant difference between D_2 or D_3 with D_1 reflected the deficiencies in the straw when not supported with supplement. AA composition of rumen bacteria and protozoa isolates from 3 diets did not vary significantly (Table 2). The amino acid composition of bacteria and protozoa remained unaffected regardless of the source and level of N and OM intake suggesting that what was observed by others (Greife et al., 1985; Clark et al., 1992) hold good for straw and straw based diet as well. However, the microbial biomass synthesis efficiency in D_2 and D_3 diets were close to the lower range of values reported in the literature (Singh et al., 1974; ARC, 1980). The differences among diets in intake and source of N and OM affected bacterial and protozoal characteristics significantly ($p < 0.01$) in the rumen (Table 3). Specific radioactivity at time zero was significantly ($p < 0.01$) higher for D_1 . Significant ($p < 0.01$) increase in bacterial and protozoal pool size was noticed in D_2 and D_3 . Bacterial and protozoal pool size in

Table 4. Parameter estimates from the model

Source of rumen inoculum	Type of straw	Y	Y α	K ($\times 10^{-2}$)	Lag time λ (h)	CO ₂ :CH ₄
D ₁	WS	58.0	76.25	3.30	4.4	54.6:45.4
	PS	62.1	93.00	2.58	5.2	55.6:44.4
	WS _U	83.0	108.58	3.29	4.0	58.6:41.4
	PS _U	85.6	105.27	3.73	3.0	58.9:41.1
D ₂	WS	83.6	128.50	2.22	1.2	58.1:41.9
	PS	89.0	126.44	2.59	1.0	59.1:40.9
	WS _U	116.6	145.06	3.44	0.6	61.1:38.9
	PS _U	122.8	151.93	3.35	0.8	62.2:37.2
D ₃	WS	87.8	120.47	2.94	3.6	58.8:41.2
	PS	86.2	116.77	2.91	1.9	58.9:41.1
	WS _U	113.6	151.48	3.23	3.0	62.1:37.9
	PS _U	122.9	158.52	3.19	1.2	62.5:37.5
SE _m	Straw	0.70**	1.12**	0.03**	0.06**	0.27**
	Diet	0.60**	0.92**	0.03**	0.05**	0.24**
	Interaction	1.21**	1.94**	0.06**	0.10**	0.47
LSD	Straw	1.03	2.68	0.07	0.14	0.40
	Diet	1.18	2.32	0.07	0.12	0.46
	Interaction	2.05	4.65	0.14	0.24	NS

** p<0.01, * p<0.05, NS = Non significant.

WS = Wheat straw, PS = Paddy straw, WS_U = Urea treated wheat straw, PS_U = Urea treated paddy straw.

D₂ was 9 and 28% higher than in D₃, respectively. BPR (g h⁻¹) and PPR (mg N h⁻¹) were lower in D₁ than in either D₂ or D₃. BPR and PPR were increased by 68-71 and 70-80%, respectively in the two groups. Turnover time of bacteria was decreased (p<0.01) by about 2 h and that of protozoa by about 20 h when animals were fed supplements along with basal roughage.

The bacterial and protozoal production efficiency (g N/kg DOMI) with D₁, D₂ and D₃ were 5.60, 0.71, 10.57, 1.97 and, 11.21, 1.49, respectively. The differences between D₁ and D₂ or D₃ in bacteria and protozoal N is explainable by the difference in intake of N and OM whereas the differences between D₂ and D₃ in protozoal N is perhaps due to the source of N and OM. D₂ supplied more RDN in the form of proteins and DOM in the form of starch. While AA and peptides are known to stimulate bacterial synthesis (Orskove and Miller, 1988; Broderick et al., 1991), starch is reported to increase protozoal population (Leng, 1982; Williams and Coleman, 1988). In this experiment, assuming rumen degradability of OM as 0.65 (ARC, 1980) and N content of the microbial biomass as 0.1 of the dry matter (Vansoest, 1994), microbial biomass yield with D₁, D₂ and D₃ are 97, 192.0 and 195.4 g per kg of rumen digested organic matter. Blummel et al. (1997) reported a microbial biomass yield of about 40% of the rumen digested organic matter. Although reasons for low efficiency can not be explained from this experiment, identification of factors to improve this efficiency with straw based diets can have useful application in practical feeding situations with cereal crop residues.

The gas production parameters (Y ∞ , k and λ) obtained from the fitted model are presented in Table 4. Straw, diet

and their interaction had significant (p<0.01) effect on all parameters tested. This indicated that no difference in the AA composition of bacteria or protozoa could reduce the variation in the kinetic parameters but quantitative difference in their pools had significant impact. Influence of concentrate and UMMB licks supplementation in general on nutrient intake and rumen fermentation was significant and thoroughly investigated (Thu and Uden, 2001; Mirza et al., 2002; Hosamani et al., 2003). The Y α of both untreated and urea treated straw substrates on D₁ were 30% less compared to the other 2 diets. Carbon dioxide to methane also differed significantly (p<0.01) among straw substrates and diets but interaction effect was non-significant.

Among the gas production parameters Y α , lag time and proportion of methane in the total gas showed significant correlation with rumen microbial characteristics and k remained least influenced (Table 5). However, significant difference in k among the straw substrates indicated that it represents the physicochemical characteristics of the substrates as suggested by Vansoest (1994). Digestible OM intake was positively (p<0.01) related to bacterial and protozoal pool size and production rate. Y α had a higher correlation with bacterial pool size and production rate than with protozoal pool size and production rate. Although the bacterial pool size and production rate showed a high correlation with Y α , the increase in Y α in D₂ was only for untreated straw but not of treated straw when compared with D₃. The lag time had a higher negative correlation with bacterial pool size and a lower negative correlation with bacterial production rate, when compared to protozoal pool size and production rate respectively. While higher

Table 5. Correlation between microbial characteristics of the rumen inoculum and gas production kinetics *in vitro*

Attribute	Digestible	Bacterial population			Protozoal population		
	Om intake	Pool size	Production rate	Turnover time	Pool size	Production rate	Turnover time
Digestible OM intake	1.00						
Bacterial pool size	0.85**	1.00					
Production rate	0.85**	0.99**	1.00				
Turnover time	-0.67**	-0.83**	-0.87**	1.00			
Protozoal pool size	0.68**	0.89**	0.88**	-0.85**	1.00		
Production rate	0.76**	0.89**	0.88**	-0.84**	1.00**	1.00	
Turnover time	-0.76**	0.90**	-0.87**	0.65**	-0.81**	-0.81**	1.00
Y α	0.39**	0.96**	0.96**	-0.83	0.56	0.86**	-0.86**
K	-0.19	-0.26	-0.26	0.19**	-0.20**	-0.29	0.56
Lag time	-0.66**	-0.79**	-0.61**	-0.03	0.24	0.22	-0.30
Methane (%)	-0.35*	-0.56**	-0.56**	0.52**	-0.28*	-0.50**	0.46

Significance of 'R' value; * p<0.05, ** p<0.01.

microbial biomass contributed to shorten lag time and increase c with treated straw, higher Y α was observed with D₃ instead of with D₂. This may be suggestive of the influence of microbial species distribution on fermentation of straw. Lag time in gas production also reported to be reduced (p<0.05) by application of surfactants on alfalfa, corn and orchard grass silages but not the cumulative gas production as observed in this study (Wang et al., 2003). The soluble carbohydrates in UMMB may favour a different group of microbial species, which may adapt better to easily available soluble carbohydrate fragments in the treated straw (Russel, 1983; Hobson, 1988). Such advantage might be yielded higher cumulative gas production in D₃ than even D₂. A lower proportion of methane in total gas from incubation with treated straw can perhaps lend support to this explanation. The proportion of methane in the gas had a higher negative correlation with bacterial pool size than with protozoal pool size. An equation for Y α derived with diet (X₁) and straw (X₂) as independent variable was: $-25.06+1.00 X_1+0.30 X_2$, R² = 0.55, R^{2'} = 0.52, Multiple R = 0.74, F = 26.98, F_{prob} = 0.001.

S.E, t and t_{prob} for X₁ was 0.14, 7.06 and 0.001, respectively and for X₂ they were 0.15, 2.00 and 0.05, respectively. Correlation between Y α with straw type was 0.20 and much smaller than the value of diet that was 0.71. The quantity of microbial characteristics in the rumen contributes to reduction in lag time and increase digestion as revealed by Y α . About 71% of the variability associated with Y α could be explained by the diet signifying the influence of quantitative characteristics of rumen inoculum. Blummel et al. (1999) reported that while 68% of variability in straw intake could be explained by cumulative gas production, 11% of variability is explainable by efficiency of microbial biomass synthesis expressed in terms of partitioning factor. Therefore, the avenues to increase microbial biomass yield in rumen inoculum can have useful application in practical feeding systems. Since Y α reflects to a larger extent the quantitative rumen

microbial characteristics, cumulative gas production measurements can be used as an indirect index of microbial biomass while assessing the effect of various supplements to straw diet.

CONCLUSIONS

The effect of diet on the AA composition of rumen bacteria and protozoa population in rumen liquor appeared to be none. Quantitative aspects of bacterial and protozoal population, such as pool size, production rate and turnover time in rumen liquor responded positively to the supplements but variability between supplements was due to N source and digestible OM intake. As gauged by the exponential model, the greatest influence of dynamic characteristics of microbial population on substrate fermentation was on lag time and upper asymptote and methane percent in gas. Substrate fermentation in rumen was dependent more on quantitative characteristics of microbial population rather than on qualitative characteristics such as amino acid composition. Rate of gas production was less related to microbial characteristics but dependent on physico-chemical characteristics of substrate. Quantitative aspects of fermentation curve obtained by using gas production technique thus help in explaining some properties of dynamic characteristics of microbial population and feed attributes like physico-chemical nature of the fibre complex. As diet had a large influence on Y α and related to microbial characteristics, gas production studies may be extended to assess dynamic characteristics of microbial population on straw diets differing in supplements. Since microbial biomass determination *in vivo* is rather expensive, adoption of indirect techniques such as this can prove useful in preliminary comparison of the effect of various supplements on rumen microbial biomass.

REFERENCES

Agricultural Research Council. 1980. The Nutrient Requirements

- of Ruminant Livestock. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureau, Farnham Royal. UK.
- Blummel, M., K-H. Aiple, H. Steingass and K. Becker. 1999. A note on the stoichiometrical relationship of short chain fatty acid production and gas formation in feedstuffs of widely differing quality. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* 81:157-167.
- Blummel, M., H. Steingass and K. Becker. 1997. The relationship between gas production, microbial biomass yield and ¹⁵N incorporation and its implications for the prediction of voluntary feed intake of roughages. *Br. J. Nutr.* 77:911-921.
- Broderick, G. A., R. J. Wallace and E. R. Orskov. 1991. Control of rate and extent of protein degradation. In: *Physiological aspects of digestion and metabolism in ruminants*. (Ed: T. Tsuda, Y. Sasaki and R. Kawashima). Academic Press, Tokyo. pp. 541-592.
- Chaturvedi, M. L., U. B. Singh and S. K. Ranjhan. 1973. Effect of alkali treatment of wheat straw on feed consumption, digestibility and VFA production in cattle and buffalo calves. *Indian J. Anim. Sci.* 43:677-682.
- Clark, J. H., T. H. Klusmeyer and M. R. Caemron. 1992. Microbial protein synthesis and flows of nitrogen fractions to the duodenum of dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 75:2304-2323.
- Das, M. N. and N. C. Giri. 1991. Design and analysis of experiments. 2nd Ed. Wiley Eastern Ltd, New Delhi. p. 488.
- Debasis De and G. P. Singh. 2002. Monensin enriched urea molasses mineral block on feed intake, nutrient digestibility and blood glucose in cattle fed on wheat straw based diet. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 15(11):1579-1584.
- Dias-Da-Silva, A. A. and F. Sundstol. 1986. Urea as a source of ammonia for improving the nutritive value of wheat straw. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 14:67-79.
- Getachew, G., M. Blummel, H. P. S. Makkar and K. Becker. 1998. *In vitro* gas measuring techniques for assessment of nutritional quality of feeds: A Review. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 72:261-281.
- Greife, H. A., J. A. Rooke and D. G. Armstrong. 1985. The digestion by cattle of silage containing diets fed at two dry matter intakes. 2. Digestion of total amino acids and of D-alanine and D-glutamic acid. *Br. J. Nutr.* 54:483-492.
- Hobson, P. N., A. Chesson and C. W. Forsberg. 1988. Polysaccharide degradation by rumen microorganisms. In: *The Rumen Microbial Ecosystem*. (ED. P. N. Hobson). Elsevier Applied Science, London. pp. 251-284.
- Hosamani, S. V., U. R. Mehra and R. S. Dass. 2003. Effect of different source of energy on urea molasses mineral block intake, nutrient utilization, rumen fermentation pattern and blood profile in Murrah Buffaloes (*Bubalus bubalis*). *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 16(6):818-822.
- Krishnamoorthy, U., H. Soller, H. Steingass and K. H. Menke. 1991. A comparative study on rumen fermentation of energy supplements *in vitro*. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* 65:28-35.
- Leng, R. A. 1982. Dynamics of protozoa in the rumen of sheep. *Br. J. Nutr.* 48:399-405.
- McBee, R. H. 1953. Manometric method for the evaluation of microbial activity in the rumen with application to utilization of cellulose and hemicelluloses. *Appl. Microbiol.* 1:106-111.
- Menke, K. H., L. Raab, A. Salewaski, H. Steingass, D. Fritz and W. Schneider. 1979. The estimation of the digestibility and metabolizable energy content of ruminant feeding stuffs from the gas production when they are incubated with rumen liquor *in vitro*. *J. Agric. Sci.* 93:217-222.
- Menke, K. H. and H. Steingass. 1988. Estimation of the energetic feed value obtained from chemical analysis and *in vitro* gas production using rumen fluid. *Anim. Res. Dev.* 28:7-55.
- Mirza, I. H., A. G. Khan, A. Azim and M. A. Mirza. 2002. Effect of supplementing grazing cattle calves with reamolasses blocks with and without *Yucca schidigera* extract, on performance and carcass traits. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 15(9):1300-1306.
- Orskov, E. R. and E. L. Miller. 1988. Protein evaluation in ruminants. In: *Feed Science*. (Ed. E. R. Orskov). Elsevier Science, New York. pp. 103-124.
- Roach, D. and C. W. Gehrke. 1970. The hydrolysis of protein. *J. Chromato.* 52:393-398.
- Russel, J. B. 1983. Fermentation of peptides by *Bacteroides ruminicola*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 45:1566-74.
- Singh, U. B., D. N. Verma, A. Verma and A. K. Ranjhan. 1974. Measurement of the rate of production of bacteria in the rumen of buffalo calves. *J. Agric. Sci. Cambridge.* 83:13-17.
- Snedecor, G. W. and W. G. Cochran. 1967. *Statistical Methods*. Oxford and IBH Publishing Company, New Delhi, India.
- Srinivas Bandla, U. Krishnamoorthy and S. Jash. 2002. Impact of three categories of supplements on *in sacco* ruminal degradation of urea-treated and untreated straw substrates. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 15(2):195-204.
- Thu, N. V. and P. Uden. 2001. Effect of work and urea molasses cake supplementation of swamp buffaloes fed rice straw or grass on rumen environment, feed degradation and intake. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 14(5):631-639.
- Van Soest, P. J. 1994. *The Nutritional Ecology of the Ruminant*. 2nd Ed. Ithaca, New York, Cornell University Press.
- Wang, Y., T. A. McAllister, J. Baah, R. Wilde, K. A. Beauchemin, L. M. Rode, J. A. Shelford, G. M. Kamande and K. J. Cheng. 2003. Effect of Tween 80 on *in vitro* fermentation of silages and interactive effects of Tween 80, monensin and exogenous fibrolytic enzymes on growth performance by feedlot cattle. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 16(7):968-978.
- Williams, A. G. and G. S. Coleman. 1988. The rumen protozoa. In: *The Rumen Microbial Ecosystem*. (Ed. P. N. Hobson), Elsevier Applied Science. London. pp. 77-128.