

Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci. Vol. 21, No. 9 : 1238 - 1243 September 2008

www.ajas.info

Genetic Variation and Divergence among Swamp Buffalo, River Buffalo and Cattle: A Microsatellite Survey on Five Populations in China

Yi Zhang, Dongxiao Sun, Ying Yu and Yuan Zhang*

Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, College of Animal Science and Technology China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, China

ABSTRACT : Domestic buffalo and cattle are two extremely important livestock species in worldwide agricultural production. In this paper, to investigate genetic diversity and divergence among swamp buffalo, river buffalo and cattle, 30 microsatellite markers were screened on 168 individuals sampled from five populations. Substantial differences were observed among the three groups of animals with respect to allele frequency distribution, allele size and polymorphism. The cattle sample (Mongolian) showed significantly higher genetic variability (0.674 of gene diversity, p<0.01), and the swamp and river buffalo samples displayed similar degree of genetic variation (0.536 in swamp and 0.546 in river, p = 0.92). Results of both phylogenetic tree and multivariate analysis could distinguish three groups of animals, suggesting their deep evolutionary divergence. Additionally, using $(\delta \mu)^2$ genetic distance, we estimated a divergence time of 1.7 million years between swamp and river buffalo that strongly supported distinct genetic origins for the two buffalo types. (**Key Words :** Buffalo, Cattle, Microsatellite, Genetic Diversity, Divergence)

INTRODUCTION

During the past decade the microsatellite has been widely used in population genetic studies of livestock species. Inferences on the basis of microsatellite variation have been demonstrated to be extremely useful for population clustering, genetic divergence estimation, animal domestication analysis, parentage testing and individual identification. and genetic resources conservation. Microsatellites are informative markers useful for investigating livestock genetic diversity and population relationships (Hoffmann et al., 2004). To date, a large number of microsatellite variation studies of livestock animals have been carried out, principally on different breeds or populations within species (e.g. Baumung et al., 2004; Sukla et al., 2007; Sraphet et al., 2008). Limited investigations, however, have focused on the genetic diversity between species. Indeed, cross-species variation constitutes another rich resource of farm animal genetic diversity. Comparisons between closely related species enable us characterize the pattern of genetic variation and the genetic divergence in an evolutionary perspective.

Cattle and buffalo are two important livestock species worldwide raised in various agricultural systems furnishing mankind with meat, milk and work power. Despite some similarities with respect to morphologic and genetic characters, cattle and buffalo are divergent evolutionarily and are classified as different genera within the subfamily of Bovinae (Bos and Bubalus) (Scherf, 2000). The domestic buffalo are further divided into two major types, i.e. swamp and river, with considerable differentiation (Cockrill, 1974; Barker et al., 1997a, 1997b; Zhang et al., 2006, 2007; Lei et al., 2007; Kumar et al., 2007). Ritz et al. (2000), using markers, microsatellite analyzed the phylogenetic relationships among several cattle-like species, including cattle, yak, bison, Asian buffalo and African buffalo. However, little information concerning genetic diversity was presented. A recent study on major histocompatibility complex (MHC) (Sena et al., 2003) uncovered different features in the functional gene between cattle and buffalo. In this paper, 30 microsatellite loci were surveyed to investigate the degree and pattern of genetic variation in five populations representing cattle (Bos taurus), swamp buffalo and river buffalo (Bubalus bubalis), and to assess genetic divergence among them.

^{*} Corresponding Author: Yuan Zhang. Tel: +86-10-62733687, Fax: +86-10-62733687, E-mail: changy@cau.edu.cn Received December 24, 2007; Accepted April 23, 2008

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

In total 168 blood or skin samples were collected from five populations representing swamp and river types of buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) and taurine cattle (*Bos taurus*) (Table 1). The indigenous swamp buffalo and a cattle breed, Mongolian, were sampled from local village populations. The river buffalo animals were selected from two exotic breeds, Murrah and Nili-Ravi, that were introduced from India and Pakistan, respectively, and sampling was based on the pedigree to avoid close relationships among individuals.

Table 1. Locality and numbers of sampled populations

Genomic DNA was extracted by a standard proteinase K digestion followed by phenol/chloroform extraction (Sambrook et al., 1989).

Microsatellite genotyping

Thirty microsatellite markers recommended by FAO and ISAG for domestic buffalo genetic diversity analysis (Hoffmann et al., 2004) were used (Table 2). The forward primer of each locus was end-labeled with fluorescent dye (6-FAM, TET or HEX). Nine multiplex PCR sets, including 4 triplex and 5 duplex, were developed to amplify 22 of 30 microsatellite loci (Table 2). Amplification of the remaining

| - | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|--|--------|--------|
| Spacios/tupa | Population/bread | Locality | Sample | Total |
| species/type | r opulation/breed | Locality | number | number |
| Swamp buffalo (Bubalus bubalis) | Guizhou | Fenggang, Zunyi, Guizhou province. | 30 | 60 |
| | Fuan | Fuan, Ningde, Fujian province. | 30 | |
| River buffalo (Bubalus bubalis) | Nili-Ravi | Institute of buffalo research, Nanning, Guangxi. | 30 | 60 |
| | Murrah | Institute of buffalo research, Nanning, Guangxi. | 30 | |
| Cattle (Bos taurus) | Mongolian | Xiligol, Inner Mongolia. | 48 | 48 |

Table 2. Total numbers of alleles and the mean length of alleles for 30 microsatellites in swamp and river buffalo and Mongolian cattle¹

| | Number of alleles | | | Mean length of alleles | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-------|--------|------------------------|-------|--------|
| | Swamp | River | Cattle | Swamp | River | Cattle |
| CSSM033 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 164.2 | 161.3 | 159.8 |
| CSSM038 ³ | 4 | 5 | 13 | 174.5 | 172.2 | 170.7 |
| $CSSM043^1$ | 6 | 4 | 10 | 241.6 | 235.5 | 255.2 |
| $CSSM047^2$ | 5 | 12 | 6 | 136.3 | 144.7 | 156.0 |
| CSSM036 ¹ | 5 | 5 | 7 | 169.7 | 169.1 | 169.3 |
| CSSM019 ³ | 9 | 6 | 7 | 152.5 | 138.9 | 153.9 |
| CSRM060 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 96.2 | 117.2 | 102.2 |
| $CSSM029^2$ | 6 | 2 | 11 | 186.9 | 187.0 | 243.1 |
| CSSM041 ⁵ | 4 | 6 | 6 | 139.9 | 141.7 | 134.3 |
| CSSM057 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 118.6 | 125.3 | 110.5 |
| BRN^1 | 7 | 3 | 9 | 139.3 | 135.8 | 146.3 |
| CSSM032 ⁵ | 5 | 5 | 7 | 217.1 | 212.0 | 217.9 |
| $CSSM008^4$ | 7 | 5 | 3 | 185.2 | 187.2 | 199.6 |
| CSSM045 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 102.0 | 111.8 | 109.2 |
| $CSSM022^2$ | 3 | 5 | 6 | 207.8 | 209.9 | 223.0 |
| $CSSM046^4$ | 3 | 4 | 8 | 156.5 | 156.9 | 170.4 |
| CSSM013 ⁴ | 5 | 2 | 2 | 167.2 | 166.3 | 160.7 |
| ETH003 | 6 | 10 | 10 | 102.4 | 114.7 | 121.2 |
| CSSM061 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 116.1 | 115.3 | 114.9 |
| BMC1013 ³ | 7 | 6 | 3 | 243.2 | 235.9 | 220.5 |
| DRB3 ⁶ | 13 | 9 | 16 | 182.1 | 173.8 | 189.4 |
| CSSM062 ⁶ | 4 | 5 | 5 | 124.1 | 127.6 | 138.2 |
| CSSME070 ⁹ | 3 | 3 | 6 | 125.8 | 131.3 | 139.6 |
| ETH121 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 186.2 | 191.2 | 201.6 |
| ILSTS033 ⁷ | 3 | 6 | 12 | 154.7 | 148.7 | 148.0 |
| ILSTS005 ⁷ | 4 | 3 | 3 | 182.1 | 181.2 | 185.6 |
| ILSTS030 ⁸ | 4 | 5 | 4 | 161.1 | 162.4 | 155.9 |
| ILSTS008 ⁸ | 1 | 2 | 4 | 172.0 | 172.2 | 179.1 |
| RM099 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 91.0 | 91.8 | 123.5 |
| HMH1R ⁹ | 1 | 1 | 6 | 169.0 | 169.0 | 182.1 |
| Total | 146 | 151 | 220 | - | - | - |

^T The labels on the loci names denote multiplex PCR sets with the same numbers representing one combination.

| | MNA | Gene diversity | PIC |
|---------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|
| Swamp buffalo | 4.87 (2.64) | 0.536 (0.047) | 0.492 (0.191) |
| River buffalo | 5.03 (2.48) | 0.546 (0.036) | 0.485 (0.243) |
| Cattle | 7.33 (3.35) | 0.674 (0.028) | 0.627 (0.164) |

Table 3. The mean number of alleles per locus (MNA), gene diversity and polymorphism information content (PIC) in three groups of animals¹

¹ The standard deviation for each measure is given in parentheses.

8 loci was performed independently.

PCR reaction was carried out in a final volume of 20 μ l containing 30-50 ng of genomic DNA, 2 mM MgCl₂, 200 μ M each dNTP, 0.03-0.35 μ M of each primer and 1 unit of Taq DNA polymerase. The mixture was incubated at 95°C for 10 min followed by 30 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 55-60°C for 30 s and 72°C for 30 s, and a final incubation at 72°C for 5 min. Genotypes on each marker were determined by a 4.5% denaturing polyacrylamide gel on an ABI 377 DNA Sequencer (Applied Biosystems) using the internal lane size standard GeneScanTM-TAMARA 350 (Applied Biosystems).

Data analysis

Allele frequency, number of alleles per locus and gene diversity (i.e. expected heterozygosity) were calculated using the FSTAT program (Goudet, 2001). Polymorphic information content (PIC) values for each locus were computed according to Botstein et al. (1980). Significant differences in genetic variability measures between animal groups were tested after Estoup et al. (1995) using Wilcoxon's signed rank test. A neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree was constructed based on Nei's D_A genetic distance (Nei et al., 1983) using the DISPAN software (Ota, 1993). The robustness of the tree was evaluated with a bootstrap test of 1,000 resamplings of loci with replacement. Moreover, a correspondence analysis (Lebart et al., 1984) was performed in the GENETIX package (Belkhir et al., 1998) to explore the genetic relationship among populations. As a multivariate statistical method, correspondence analysis can convert the information from a large number of loci and alleles into a few synthetic variables. The factors, or axes, are ranked and independent of each other. The $(\delta \mu)^2$ genetic distance (Goldstein et al., 1995), which is linear with increasing evolutionary time, was computed using the MICROSAT program (http://hpgl.stanford.edu/projects/microsat/) to estimate the divergence time assuming a molecular clock.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Microsatellite allele profile

All microsatellite markers surveyed here were successfully amplified and genotyped both in buffalo and in cattle. In aggregate, 146, 151 and 220 alleles were identified across 30 loci in swamp buffalo, river buffalo and cattle, respectively. Most significantly, the three groups of animals exhibited distinct patterns of genetic variation on many loci (Table 2). For example, Mongolian cattle displayed polymorphism in all loci; swamp buffalo and river buffalo, however, showed monomorphism at four (CSSM045, ILSTS008, RM099, and HMH1R) and one (HMH1R) loci, respectively. The locus, CSSM047, was observed with 12 alleles in river buffalo and only 5 alleles in swamp buffalo; CSSM029 had 6 alleles in swamp buffalo and 2 alleles in river buffalo. Swamp buffalo totally shared with river buffalo 76 alleles throughout 30 loci; the alleles shared by all three groups were 28, on average only 0.93 per locus (data not shown). Pearson correlation coefficients (r) of the number of alleles (NA) for each locus were 0.485 (p<0.01) between swamp buffalo and river buffalo, 0.298 (p = 0.11) between swamp buffalo and cattle and 0.301 (p = 0.11) between river buffalo and cattle. The insignificant and relatively low r value is indicative that polymorphism of a particular locus varied between buffalo and cattle.

In addition, 18 of 30 loci revealed longer alleles in cattle than in buffalo (Table 2). This observation was to some extent consistent with a previously reported ascertainment bias that microsatellites isolated in one species tended to be greater than homologous loci in related species (Ellegren et al., 1997; Vowles and Amos, 2006).

Genetic variability

Table 3 summarized three widely used measures for genetic diversity, namely, the mean number of alleles per locus (MNA), gene diversity (GD) and polymorphism information content (PIC). Swamp and river buffalo had a similar degree of genetic variability (Wilcoxon's signed rank test, p = 0.82 for MNA, p = 0.92 for GD, and p = 0.91 for PIC). Mongolian cattle, however, indicated significantly higher variability than the two types of domestic buffalo (Wilcoxon's signed rank test, p < 0.01 for all three measures).

The low genetic variation of buffalo might result from species-specific demographic history. However, it should be noted that, since all microsatellite loci examined here were derived from cattle, and cross-species amplification in buffalo could possibly lead to ascertainment bias (Ellegren et al., 1997), it might somehow affect the interpretation of results. Therefore, future examinations on buffalo-isolated loci and reciprocal analyses are expected to test and confirm the findings in this study.



Figure 1. Neighbor-joining tree of five populations based on D_A genetic distance.



Figure 2. Correspondence analysis of individual multi-locus genotypes of 30 microsatellite markers in the five populations surveyed.

Phylogenetic analysis and genetic divergence

In the obtained evolutionary tree (Figure 1), as expected, the two swamp buffalo populations and the two river buffalo populations clustered respectively and formed distinct clades with bootstrap confidence values of one hundred percent. The branch connecting buffalo with cattle was long, indicating their marked differentiation. Moreover, the clear separations among the three groups of animals were also demonstrated by the multivariate analysis. Figure 2 illustrates the population relationships based on the correspondence analysis using individual multi-locus genotypes of 30 microsatellite markers. The first two factors contributed 12.08% and 8.57% of the total inertia respectively. Notably, the first factor (Axe 1) corresponded to the buffalo-cattle divergence while the second factor (Axe 2) represented the split of swamp and river buffalo (Figure 2). The individuals from different populations

within the two buffalo types, however, clustered together and no sub-groups could be recognized, indicating relatively close genetic relationship between them.

The $(\delta \mu)^2$ genetic distances were 11.11 between swamp and river buffalo, 68.27 between swamp buffalo and cattle and 62.58 between river buffalo and cattle. Using cattle as an outgroup, it is possible to estimate the divergence time between the two buffalo types. Paleontological evidence (Savage and Russell, 1983) indicated at least 10 million years of divergence between cattle (*Bos*) and Asian buffalo (*Bubalus*). With this benchmark and the average $(\delta \mu)^2$ distance between cattle and the two buffalo types (= 1/2(68.27+62.58)), we extrapolated a rough estimate of divergence as 1.7 million years between swamp and river buffalo. This value was consistent with those derived from mitochondrial DNA sequences (Tanaka et al., 1996) and 20 microsatellite loci data (Ritz et al., 2000). The deep divergence strongly supported the opinion that swamp and river buffalo originated from two separated domestication events (Kumar et al., 2007).

In summary, we investigated genetic variation and divergence among three groups of animals representing swamp buffalo, river buffalo and cattle, using 30 microsatellite markers. Cross-species comparisons reflected substantial genetic differences in the allele profiles and varied levels of genetic variability between buffalo and cattle. These findings shed new light on the genetic diversity within and among cattle and the two buffalo types.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by the National "973" Program (2006CB102107), "948" Program (2006-G48), "863" Program (2007AA10Z157) and National Key Technologies R & D Program (2006BAD04A01). We thank B. Z. Yang, B. Y. Yang and C. X. Zhang (Guangxi Buffalo Research Institute, Nanning) for great assistances in sampling the river buffalo.

REFERENCES

- Barker, J. S. F., S. G. Tan, O. S. Selvarah and T. K. Mukherjee. 1997a. Genetic variation within and relationships among populations of Asian water buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*). Anim. Genet. 28:1-13.
- Barker, J. S. F., S. S. Moore, D. J. S. Hetzel, D. Evans, S. G. Tan and K. Byrne. 1997b. Genetic diversity of Asian water buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*): microsatellite variation and a comparison with protein-coding loci. Anim. Genet. 28:103-115.
- Baumung, R., H. Simianer and I. Hoffmann. 2004. Genetic diversity studies in farm animals - a survey. J. Anim. Breed. Genet. 121:361-373.
- Belkhir, K., P. Borsa, J. Goudet, L. Chikhi and F. Bonhomme. 1998. Genetix, logicial sous windows TM pour la genetique des populations. Montpellier. France. Available from http://www.univ-montp2.fr/~genetix/genetix.htm
- Botstein, D., R. L. White, M. Skolnick and R. W. Davis. 1980. Construction of a genetic linkage map in man using restriction fragment length polymorphisms. Am. J. Human Genet. 32: 314-331.
- Cockrill, W. R. 1974. The husbandry and health of the domestic buffalo. FAO, Rome.
- Ellegren, H., S. Moore, N. Robinson, K. Byrne, W. Ward and B. C. Sheldon. 1997. Microsatellite evolution - a reciprocal study of repeat lengths at homologous loci in cattle and sheep. Mol. Biol. Evol. 14:854-860.
- Estoup, A., L. Garnery, M. Solignac and J. M. Cornuet. 1995. Microsatellite variation in honey bee (*Apis Mellifera* L.) populations: hierarchical genetic structure and test of the infinite allele and stepwise mutation models. Genetics 140: 679-695.
- Goldstein, D. B., A. R. Linares, L. L. Cavalli-Sforza and H. W.

Feldman. 1995. An evaluation of genetic distance for use with microsatellite loci. Genetics 139:463-471.

- Goudet, J. 2001. FSTAT, a program to estimate and test gene diversities and fixation indices (version 2.9.3). Available from http://www2.unil.ch/popgen/softwares/fstat.htm
- Hoffmann, I., P. A. Marsan, J. S. F. Barker, E. G. Cothran, O. Hanotte, J. A. Lenstra, D. Milan, S. Weigend and H. Simianer. 2004. New MoDAD marker sets to be used in diversity studies for the major farm animal species: recommendations of a joint ISAG/FAO working group. In: Proc. 29th International Conference on Animal Genetics. Tokyo, Japan. p. 107.
- Kumar, S., M. Nagarajan, J. S. Sandhu, N. Kumar, V. Behl and G. Nishanth. 2007. Mitochondrial DNA analyses of Indian water buffalo support a distinct genetic origin of river and swamp buffalo. Anim. Genet. 38:227-232.
- Lebart, L., A. Morineau and K. M. Warwick. 1984. Multivariate Descriptive Statistical Analysis. John Wiley & Sons, INC., New York.
- Lei, C. Z., W. Zhang, H. Chen, F. Lu, Q. L. Ge, R. Y. Liu, R. H. Dang, Y. Y. Yao, L. B. Yao, Z. F. Lu and Z. L. Zhao. 2007. Two maternal lineages revealed by mitochondrial DNA D-loop sequences in Chinese native water buffaloes (*Bubalus bubalis*). Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci. 20:471-476.
- Nei, M., F. Tajima and Y. Tateno. 1983. Accuracy of estimated phylogenetic trees from molecular data. II. Gene frequency data. J. Mol. Evol. 19:153-170.
- Ota, T. 1993. DISPAN: Genetic distance and phylogenetic analysis. Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA.
- Ritz, L. R., M-L. Glowatzki-mullis, D. E. MacHugh and C. Gaillard. 2000. Phylogenetic analysis of the tribe Bovini using microsatellites. Anim. Genet. 31:178-185.
- Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch and T. Maniatis. 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 2nd edn. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York, NY.
- Savage, D. E. and D. E. Russell. 1983. Mammalian paleofaunas of the world. Reading, MA.
- Scherf, B. D. 2000. World watch list for domestic animal diversity. 3nd edn. FAO, Rome.
- Sena, L., M. P. C. Schneider, B. Brenig, R. L. Honeycutt, J. E. Womack and L. C. Skow. 2003. Polymorphisms in MHC-DRA and -DRB alleles of water buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) reveal different features from cattle DR alleles. Anim. Genet. 34:1-10.
- Sraphet, S., B. Moolmuang, A. Na-Chiangmai, S. Panyim, D. R. Smith and K. Triwitayakorn. 2008. Use of cattle microsatellite markers to assess genetic diversity of thai swamp buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*). Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci. 21:177-180.
- Sukla, S., B. R. Yadav and T. K. Bhattacharya. 2006. Characterization of Indian riverine buffaloes by microsatellite markers. Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci. 19:1556-1560.
- Tanaka, K., C. D. Solis, J. S. Masangkay, K. Maeda, Y. Kawamoto and Y. Namikawa. 1996. Phylogenetic relationship among all living species of the genus Bubalus based on DNA sequences of the cytochrome b gene. Biochem. Genet. 34:443-452.
- Vowles, E. J. and W. Amos. 2006. Quantifying ascertainment bias and species-specific length differences in human and chimpanzee microsatellites using genome sequences. Mol. Biol. Evol. 23:598-607.
- Zhang, Y., D. Sun, Y. Yu and Y. Zhang. 2006. A Y-linked SNP in

SRY gene differentiates Chinese indigenous swamp buffalo and introduced river buffalo. Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci. 19:1240-1244.

Zhang, Y., D. Sun, Y. Yu and Y. Zhang. 2007. Genetic diversity and differentiation of Chinese domestic buffalo based on 30 microsatellite markers. Anim. Genet. 38:569-575.