Petrogenesis of Early Cretaceous silicic volcanism in SE Uruguay: The role of mantle and crustal sources

MICHELE LUSTRINO,^{1,2}* MARIANNA MARRAZZO,³ LEONE MELLUSO,³ COLOMBO C. G. TASSINARI,⁴ PIETRO BROTZU,³ CELSO B. GOMES,⁴ LUCIO MORBIDELLI¹ and EXCELSO RUBERTI⁴

 ¹Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, Università degli Studi di Roma La Sapienza, P.le A. Moro, 5, 00185 Roma, Italy
 ²Istituto di Geologia ambientale e Geoingegneria (IGAG, CNR), c/o Università degli Studi di Roma La Sapienza, P.le A. Moro, 5, 00185 Roma, Italy
 ³Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, via Mezzocannone, 8, 80134 Napoli, Italy

⁴Departamento di Scienze della Terra, Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, via Mezzocannone, 8, 80134 Napoli, Italy

Rua do Lago, 562, Cidade Universitaria, DEP 05508-900, São Paulo, Brazil

(Received January 29, 2009; Accepted May 31, 2009)

Early Cretaceous (~129 Ma) silicic rocks crop out in SE Uruguay between the Laguna Merín and Santa Lucía basins in the Lascano, Sierra São Miguel, Salamanca and Minas areas. They are mostly rhyolites with minor quartz-trachytes and are nearly contemporaneous with the Paraná–Etendeka igneous province and with the first stages of South Atlantic Ocean opening. A strong geochemical variability (particularly evident from Rb/Nb, Nb/Y trace element ratios) and a wide range of Sr–Nd isotopic ratios (143 Nd/ 144 Nd₍₁₂₉₎ = 0.51178–0.51209; 87 Sr/ 86 Sr₍₁₂₉₎ = 0.70840–0.72417) characterize these rocks. Geochemistry allows to distinguish two compositional groups, corresponding to the north-eastern (Lascano and Sierra São Miguel, emplaced on the Neo-Proterozoic southern sector of the Dom Feliciano mobile belt) and south-eastern localities (Salamanca, Minas, emplaced on the much older (Archean) Nico Perez terrane or on the boundary between the Dom Feliciano and Nico Perez terranes). These compositional differences between the two groups are explained by variable mantle source and crust contributions. The origin of the silicic magmas is best explained by complex processes involving assimilation and fractional crystallization and mixing of a basaltic magma with upper crustal lithologies, for Lascano and Sierra São Miguel rhyolites. In the Salamanca and Minas rocks genesis, a stronger contribution from lower crust is indicated.

Keywords: Uruguay, Paraná-Etendeka, rhyolite, lower crust, crustal contamination

INTRODUCTION

The studied rocks are roughly coeval with the Paraná– Etendeka–Angola igneous province rocks (~134–124 Ma; Renne *et al.*, 1992, 1996; Stewart *et al.*, 1996; Marzoli *et al.*, 1999; Kirstein *et al.*, 2000, 2001b) and their origin is presumably related to the same processes responsible for the genesis of this large igneous province (e.g., Turner *et al.*, 1999). The Paraná–Etendeka–Angola igneous province falls into the definition of Large Basaltic Province (Sheth, 2007) being the outcrops essentially made up of basaltic (actually basaltic andesites) rocks, with very scarce evolved compositions (e.g., Piccirillo and Melfi, 1988; Peate, 1997). The basic to intermediate rocks crop out in the Serra Geral Formation in Brazil and the Arapey Formation in NW Uruguay, plus minor manifestations in the Kwanza basin (Angola; Marzoli *et al.*, 1999). Most

of the evolved rocks (essentially trachydacites to rhyolites) crop out in the southern sectors of the Paraná basin (e.g., Garland et al., 1995), SE Uruguay (Arequita; e.g., Kirstein et al., 2000) and W Namibia (Etendeka; e.g., Ewart et al., 1998, 2004). Plutonic Early Cretaceous evolved rocks (mostly granodiorites to granites plus fewer syenites) are even rarer and crop out only in SE Uruguay (Valle Chico complex; Lustrino et al., 2005) and Namibia (e.g., Schmitt et al., 2000; Trumbull et al., 2004). During Middle Jurassic-Early Cretaceous times, the Gondwana super-continent broke up causing drifting of Africa from South America along reactivated Pan-African mobile belts (e.g., Almeida et al., 1984, 2000; Mantovani et al., 1991). The continental flood basalt (CFB) emplacement, at least in the main Paraná-Etendeka province, was slightly younger than ocean spreading. The origin of the Paraná-Etendeka province has been related to the "Tristan da Cunha Plume" and its impingement below the Brazilian-South Africa lithosphere (e.g., Gallagher and Hawkesworth, 1994; White and McKenzie, 1995; Turner et al., 1999). This plume is supposed to represent a ther-

^{*}Corresponding author (e-mail: michele.lustrino@uniroma1.it)

Copyright © 2010 by The Geochemical Society of Japan.



Fig. 1. (a) Structural sketch of Cretaceous basins of South America with Laguna Merín and Santa Lucía basins. In the inset are shown the "Tristan da Cunha" plume tracks, represented by the Rio Grande Rise (towards South America) and the Walvis Ridge (towards Africa), from the Archipelago of Tristan da Cunha (after O'Connor and Duncan (1990)). (b) Structural sketch-map of SE Uruguay with outcrops of Lascano, Salamanca, Sierra São Miguel and Minas rhyolites (modified after Muzio (2000)).

mal anomaly, whose roots would be located deep into the mantle, possibly at the core-mantle boundary. The geographic location of this mantle plume would be now centred beneath the Tristan da Cunha Archipelago in the South Atlantic. The Rio Grande Rise and Walvis Ridge in South Atlantic Ocean (Fig. 1) have been interpreted as plume tracks towards South America and Africa, respectively (e.g., O'Connor and Duncan, 1990; Muller et al., 1993). However, it is to note that in recent years several lines of criticism about the effective role and presence of Tristan da Cunha plume have been pointed out, and other genetic models based on trace element and isotopic data have been proposed. For example, several authors (a.o., Erlank et al., 1984; Piccirillo and Melfi, 1988; Marques et al., 1999), on the basis of incompatible trace elements and Pb isotopes, proposed old heterogeneous lithospheric mantle as the source of Paraná basalts, considering the plume only as a heat supplier necessary to partially melt the lithospheric mantle. Ernesto et al. (2002) proposed the involvement of delaminated sub-continental lithospheric mantle in the genesis of Paraná flood basalts and proposed the thermal anomalies present in the deep mantle as an alternative heat source.

In SE Uruguay, stretching associated with the opening of South Atlantic Ocean resulted in the formation of the Laguna Merín and Santa Lucía basins and other minor rift basins (Minas, Aigüa, Lascano and Velázquez;



Fig. 2. TAS (total alkali vs. silica; Le Bas et al., 1986) for SE Uruguay felsic volcanics. LA, Lascano rhyolites; RS, Salamanca quartz-trachytes and rhyolites; SM, Sierra São Miguel rhyolites; MI, Minas rhyolites. Coeval silicic rocks from the same area (Lavalleja and Aigüa; Kirstein et al., 2000) and from the neighbouring Valle Chico Massif (Lustrino et al., 2005) are also shown for comparison. Dashed line: alkaline-subalkaline field division, after Irvine and Baragar (1971).

Veroslavsky, 1999; Fig. 1). These basins were filled by basic to intermediate magmas (basalts and basaltic andesites) of the Puerto Gomez Formation (Bossi, 1966; Kirstein *et al.*, 2000; Lustrino *et al.*, 2003), during an age span from ~134 to ~130 Ma (Stewart *et al.*, 1996; Kirstein *et al.*, 2001b). This partially overlaps in time with the emplacement of evolved rocks (mostly dacites and rhyolites) of the Arequita Formation, which have ages from ~132 to ~124 Ma (Bossi, 1966; Kirstein *et al.*, 2000, 2001b). The igneous complex of Valle Chico, made up of plutonic and volcanic rocks with a predominant syenitic/trachytic composition (Muzio *et al.*, 2002; Lustrino *et al.*, 2005; Ruberti *et al.*, 2005) is temporally and geographically associated with these volcanic rocks (Stewart *et al.*, 1996; ~132 Ma; Fig. 1).

GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The Early Cretaceous magmatic rocks of SE Uruguay form scattered outcrops over an area of about 10,000 km² (Turner *et al.*, 1999; Kirstein *et al.*, 2000) between Minas and Lascano (Fig. 1), in the south-eastern edge of Paraná magmatic province. This area is underlain by a positive gravity anomaly, interpreted as an E-W oriented mafic body, whose volume is about 35,000 km³ (Hallinan and Mantovani, unpublished data cited in Kirstein *et al.*, 2000). The crystalline basement of Uruguay can be divided into three main terranes separated each other by two major shear zones with NNW-SSE (dextral) and NNE-

Table 1.	Selected EMP	analyses of SI	E Uruguayan	volcanic silici	rocks feldspar.	s, pyroxenes an	d opaque n	iinerals. The
complete	list of the anal	lyses can be ol	otained on req	quest from the c	orresponding a	uthor. R, Rhyoli	te; Qt, Qua	rtz-trachyte.

Sample	Rock type	SiO ₂	Al ₂ O ₃	FeO	CaO	Na ₂ O	K ₂ O			sum	Ab	An	Or
LA1	R	57.85	25.80	0.61	8.22	5.93	0.75			99.16	54.08	41.42	4.50
LA6	R	59.58	26.15	0.57	8.29	5.77	0.80			101.16	53.05	42.12	4.84
LA12	R	58.70	24.65	0.46	7.41	6.67	0.97			98.86	58.49	35.91	5.60
LA14	R	58.65	26.26	0.58	7.82	6.16	0.50			99.97	56.98	39.97	3.04
LA14	R	63.91	22.94	0.49	5.69	7.18	0.31			100.52	68.20	29.87	1.94
RS6	R	68.87	16.46	0.25	0.59	3.60	8.90			98.67	36.80	3.33	59.86
RS22	Qt	63.13	22.65	0.40	4.41	6.24	3.20			100.03	57.87	22.60	19.53
RS22	Qt	59.82	24.82	0.58	7.22	5.98	1.42			99.84	54.84	36.59	8.57
RS22	Qt	54.18	28.52	0.43	11.18	4.40	0.58			99.29	40.15	56.37	3.48
RS26	Qt	61.10	24.47	0.52	6.41	6.55	1.69			100.74	58.46	31.61	9.92
RS29	R	67.75	18.69	0.19	0.30	5.62	7.94			100.49	51.04	1.51	47.45
SM13	R	56.63	27.38	0.88	10.34	5.10	0.38			100.71	46.10	51.64	2.26
SM23	R	64.07	22.40	0.29	3.84	8.16	1.49			100.25	72.45	18.84	8.70
SM23	R	56.79	26.60	0.50	9.28	5.62	0.40			99.19	51.04	46.57	2.39
										N		1	
Sample	Rock type	SiO ₂	TiO ₂	Al ₂ O ₃	FeO	MnO	MgO	CaO	Na ₂ O	sum	Wo	Fs	En
LA6	R	48.69	0.47	0.97	26.39	0.95	6.19	16.79	0.17	100.62	35.91	45.67	18.42
LA6	R	48.40	0.82	1.21	25.81	0.84	5.43	17.82	0.22	100.55	38.59	45.06	16.36
LA21	R	48.02	0.55	1.10	26.92	0.80	4.93	17.74	0.20	100.26	38.36	46.81	14.83
RS22	Qt	49.35	0.68	1.33	19.57	0.70	9.83	18.21	0.30	99.97	38.17	33.17	28.66
RS22	Qt	49.70	0.71	1.74	18.72	0.75	11.75	16.71	0.27	100.35	34.62	31.50	33.87
RS26	Qt	50.51	0.61	1.03	18.15	0.71	10.71	18.35	0.29	100.36	38.25	30.70	31.06
RS26	Qt	50.30	0.56	1.06	17.80	0.73	10.80	18.37	0.29	99.91	38.38	30.23	31.39
SM23	R	50.74	0.50	1.75	14.26	0.87	12.30	19.90	0.27	100.59	40.75	24.20	35.04
SM23	R	50.27	0.52	1.78	14.02	0.79	12.39	19.83	0.27	99.87	40.77	23.78	35.44
Sample	Rock type	TiO ₂	Al ₂ O ₃	FeO	MnO	MgO				sum		Ilm	Usp
LA6	R	17.73	1.65	71.63	0.54	0.14				91.69			0.53
LA6	R	27.87	0.92	61.74	0.78	0.22				91.53			0.84
LA14	R	50.66	0.03	45.92	1.17	0.47				98.25		0.97	
LA14	R	50.89	0.02	44.26	3.80	0.35				99.32		0.97	
LA21	R	19.99	0.91	69.78	1.24	0.05				91.97			0.60
RS22	Qt	26.50	1.62	58.66	0.77	0.98				88.53			0.82
RS22	Qt	29.35	0.99	56.59	1.32	0.97				89.22			0.91
RS26	Qt	15.60	1.53	70.55	0.69	0.08				88.45			0.48
SM13	R	21.53	1.41	66.43	0.93	0.08				90.38			0.65
SM13	R	18.95	0.95	74.40	0.91	0.01				95.22			0.55
SM23	R	1.38	0.94	90.71	0.18	0.19				93.40			0.04
SM23	R	16.60	1.63	75.07	0.73	0.09				94.12			0.63

SSW (sinistral) direction: 1) the Cuchilla–Dionisio Belt. This is known also as the Punta del Este Belt, southern part of the Dom Feliciano Belt, with Neo-Proterozoic ages ~750–600 Ma cropping out along the coast-line; 2) the Piedra Alta Terrane (~2.2–1.9 Ga) cropping out on the SW sector of Uruguay, and 3) the Nico Perez Terrane (~3.4–1.7 Ga) squeezed in between the two former terranes (Bossi, 1966; Hartmann *et al.*, 2000; Basei *et al.*, 2000, 2005; Bossi and Gaucher, 2004; Mallmann *et al.*, 2007, and references therein). Grouped in the Nico Perez Terrane is also the Neo-Proterozoic (~590–570 Ma) calcalkaline Aigüa batholith (Fragoso Cesar *et al.*, 1986). The Piedra Alta and the Nico Perez Terranes (south-western and southern Uruguay) form the oldest core of the Rio de la Plata Craton (>1.7 Ga). On the other hand, the Cuchilla–Dionisio Belt (south-eastern Uruguay) represents a much younger mobile belt belonging to the Pan-African metamorphic belt. This represents the suture zone that led to the Gondwana amalgamation during Late Proterozoic–Early Cambrian. In the south American platform this event is known as the Brasiliano cycle; Almeida *et al.*, 2000; Basei *et al.*, 2000, Mallmann *et al.*, 2007, and references therein).

The Early Cretaceous silicic rocks studied here are

	LA16 R	72.22	0.59	13.63	4.96	0.25	0.44	0.67	2.65	4.47	0.11	66.66	1.57	1.33	178	126	81	387	29	1486	0	10	89	153	84
	LA15 R	70.36	0.54	13.41	4.99	0.07	0.35	1.86	3.91	4.44	0.10	100.01	1.52	0.92	171	111	60	367	29	920	1	5	59	110	56
	LA14 R	71.04	0.55	12.61	5.10	0.10	0.46	3.11	2.84	4.13	0.10	100.02	1.89	0.86	173	136	58	379	29	849	4	5	50	108	52
	LA13 R	70.96	0.53	12.55	5.16	0.09	0.30	3.00	3.24	4.08	0.09	100.01	1.91	0.83	167	145	60	372	28	855	7	4	54	103	53
	LA12 R	71.77	0.56	13.06	5.41	0.20	0.31	1.18	3.21	4.21	0.10	100.01	1.44	1.10	165	130	78	378	29	1866		9	106	184	91
	LA11 R	72.48	0.56	13.15	4.84	0.04	0.20	1.09	3.31	4.19	0.11	100.01	1.15	1.11	165	127	83	378	29	1005	ŝ	9	108	137	104
	LA10 R	72.90	0.51	12.77	4.87	0.03	0.16	1.23	3.35	4.09	0.08	100.01	0.98	1.06	157	119	46	352	27	840	0	4	58	101	54
ano	LA9 R	73.22	0.50	12.49	5.06	0.03	0.48	1.20	2.76	4.16	0.08	100.02	1.40	1.12	164	108	45	362	28	824	7	10	47	109	45
Lasc	LA8 R	72.70	0.54	12.94	4.63	0.10	0.37	1.23	3.27	4.13	0.11	100.00	1.20	1.08	171	134	59	360	26	1341	9	6	55	112	51
	LA7 R	71.56	0.48	12.85	4.75	0.08	1.00	1.48	3.52	4.18	0.09	100.02	1.10	1.00	168	116	55	362	28	903	7	7	51	66	49
	LA6 R	72.61	0.56	12.83	4.65	0.10	0.60	1.48	2.85	4.16	0.14	99.98	1.00	1.10	163	116	56	357	26	840	б	5	54	113	53
	LA5 R	71.59	0.54	13.90	4.35	0.03	0.07	0.63	3.38	5.40	0.10	100.00	0.77	1.12	198	93	68	375	26	978	б	8	68	119	58
	LA4 R	72.48	0.52	12.88	4.72	0.24	0.29	1.29	3.22	4.29	0.09	100.02	1.02	1.06	171	119	66	392	27	1180	7	6	135	176	115
	LA3 R	70.50	0.54	12.86	4.88	0.08	0.37	2.32	2.59	5.77	0.10	100.00	2.06	0.88	197	86	61	383	29	840	5	7	50	98	52
	LA2 R	72.00	0.58	13.68	4.83	0.09	0.52	0.74	2.69	4.73	0.15	96.66	1.32	1.28	191	96	LL	378	29	927	5	10	70	122	69
	LA1 R	70.36	0.53	12.99	4.84	0.09	0.16	2.61	2.85	5.51	0.10	100.02	1.86	0.85	190	100	71	380	29	922		5	52	105	51
	Sample Rock type	SiO ₂	TiO_2	AI_2O_3	FeO _{tot}	MnO	MgO	CaO	Na_2O	K_2O	P_2O_5	Sum	L.0.I.	A.S.I.	Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Ba	Cr	Ni	La	Ce	PN

• •	
и	
.2	
ui	
50	
11	
20	
S	
S	
10	
it	
60	
.e	
8	
· •	
1	
0	
÷	
•••	
te	
Ś	
5	
ŋ,	
t1-	
É.	
L.	
ИС	
õ	
~	
51	
2	
ē,	
lit	
0	
ĥ	
2	
Ŕ,	
S	
S	
20	
5	
ci.	
11	
<i>Si</i> .	
0	
'n.	
a	÷
5.	
~	3
vole	05)
ı volu	205)
an vole	$*P_2O_5)$
ıyan volı	$7*P_2O_5)$
uayan volc	$(67*P_2O_5)$
ıguayan volu	$1.67*P_2O_5)$
ruguayan volu	$-1.67*P_2O_5)$
Uruguayan vold	$O - I.67 * P_2 O_5)$
E Uruguayan vold	$aO - I.67 * P_2O_5)$
SE Uruguayan vold	$CaO - I.67 * P_2O_5)$
of SE Uruguayan volc	$+ CaO - I.67 * P_2O_5)$
) of SE Uruguayan volc	$O + CaO - I.67 * P_2O_5)$
n) of SE Uruguayan volc	$_{2}O + CaO - I.67 * P_{2}O_{5})$
pm) of SE Uruguayan volı	$K_2O + CaO - I.67 * P_2O_5)$
(ppm) of SE Uruguayan voli	$+ K_2 O + CaO - I.67 * P_2 O_5)$
s (ppm) of SE Uruguayan voli	$(1 + K_2O + CaO - 1.67 * P_2O_5)$
rts (ppm) of SE Uruguayan voli	$(O + K_2O + CaO - I.67 * P_2O_5)$
ents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan volt-	$a_2O + K_2O + CaO - I.67*P_2O_5)$
ntents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan volu	$Na_2O + K_2O + CaO - I.67 * P_2O_5)$
contents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan volc	$\eta^{(Na_2O + K_2O + CaO - 1.67*P_2O_5))}$
s contents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan volu	$0_3/(Na_2O + K_2O + CaO - 1.67*P_2O_5)$
nts contents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan vold	$I_2O_3/(Na_2O + K_2O + CaO - I.67*P_2O_5)$
ents contents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan volu	$AI_2O_3/(Na_2O + K_2O + CaO - I.67*P_2O_5)$
ments contents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan volo	$(Al_2O_3/(Na_2O + K_2O + CaO - 1.67*P_2O_5))$
lements contents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan volo	ar $(Al_2O_3/(Na_2O + K_2O + CaO - 1.67*P_2O_5))$
elements contents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan volu	olar $(Al_2O_3/(Na_2O + K_2O + CaO - 1.67*P_2O_5))$
ce elements contents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan volo	<i>nolar</i> $(Al_2O_3/(Na_2O + K_2O + CaO - 1.67*P_2O_5))$
ace elements contents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan volo	[molar $(Al_2O_3/(Na_2O + K_2O + CaO - 1.67*P_2O_5))$
trace elements contents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan volu	$2x [molar (Al_2O_3/(Na_2O + K_2O + CaO - 1.67*P_2O_5)]$
id trace elements contents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan voli	$dex \ [molar \ (Al_2O_3/(Na_2O + K_2O + CaO - I.67*P_2O_5)]$
and trace elements contents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan vol	Index [molar $(Al_2O_3/(Na_2O + K_2O + CaO - I.67*P_2O_5))$
) and trace elements contents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan volu	$n Index [molar (Al_2O_3/(Na_2O + K_2O + CaO - I.67*P_2O_5)]$
%) and trace elements contents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan volu	on Index [molar $(Al_2O_3/(Na_2O + K_2O + CaO - I.67*P_2O_5))$
vt%) and trace elements contents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan volu	tion Index [molar $(Al_2O_3/(Na_2O + K_2O + CaO - 1.67*P_2O_5))$
(wt%) and trace elements contents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan volu	ration Index [molar $(Al_2O_3/(Na_2O + K_2O + CaO - 1.67*P_2O_5))$
r (wt%) and trace elements contents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan volu	uration Index [molar $(Al_2O_3/(Na_2O + K_2O + CaO - 1.67*P_2O_5))$
ior (wt%) and trace elements contents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan volu	aturation Index [molar ($Al_2O_3/(Na_2O + K_2O + CaO - I.67*P_2O_5)$
ajor (wt%) and trace elements contents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan volu	Saturation Index [molar $(Al_2O_3/(Na_2O + K_2O + CaO - 1.67*P_2O_5))$
major (wt%) and trace elements contents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan volu	a Saturation Index [molar $(Al_2O_3/(Na_2O + K_2O + CaO - 1.67*P_2O_5))$
F major (wt%) and trace elements contents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan volu	ina Saturation Index [molar $(Al_2O_3/(Na_2O + K_2O + CaO - 1.67*P_2O_5))$
RF major (wt%) and trace elements contents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan volu	mina Saturation Index [molar $(Al_2O_3/(Na_2O + K_2O + CaO - 1.67*P_2O_5)$
XRF major (wt%) and trace elements contents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan volu	lumina Saturation Index [molar $(Al_2O_3/(Na_2O + K_2O + CaO - 1.67*P_2O_5))$
?. XRF major (wt%) and trace elements contents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan volu	Alumina Saturation Index [molar $(Al_2O_3/(Na_2O + K_2O + CaO - 1.67*P_2O_5)$
\circ 2. XRF major (wt%) and trace elements contents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan volu	., Alumina Saturation Index [molar $(Al_2O_3/(Na_2O + K_2O + CaO - I.67*P_2O_5))$
ole 2. XRF major (wt%) and trace elements contents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan volu	'I., Alumina Saturation Index [molar $(Al_2O_3/(Na_2O + K_2O + CaO - I.67*P_2O_5)$
able 2. XRF major (wt%) and trace elements contents (ppm) of SE Uruguayan voli	.S.I., Alumina Saturation Index [molar $(Al_2O_3/(Na_2O + K_2O + CaO - I.67*P_2O_5)$

	LA33 R	40102	/0.48	0.64	14.40	4.47	0.06	0.40	1.41	2.46	5.44	0.22	96.98	1 60	1.00	1.18	190	119	111	440	27	1595	б	7	78	149	81
	LA32 R	75 17	C4.C/	0.37	12.56	3.46	0.04	0.42	0.36	2.00	5.23	0.12	66.66	1 47	1.1	1.33	191	85	67	380	22	1822	9	4	68	125	65
	LA31 R		12.10	0.44	13.51	4.15	0.12	0.35	1.23	2.33	5.05	0.13	66.66	1 47	1	1.19	186	109	68	448	25	1475	б	7	69	142	65
	LA30 R	2000	12.08	0.49	13.60	4.76	0.05	0.20	1.01	1.92	5.71	0.13	66.66	1 37	11	1.23	195	112	78	484	28	2225	4	11	91	138	84
	LA29 R	101.02	12.10	0.61	13.66	4.11	0.05	0.35	1.21	1.84	5.83	0.21	96.98	1 18	01.1	1.21	203	92	62	412	24	1106	8	6	74	132	64
	LA28 R		17.11	0.57	12.50	4.38	0.04	0.60	1.91	2.33	4.73	0.19	100.01	1 14	+1.1	1.02	184	118	57	399	23	1047	11	6	60	116	59
	LA27 R	1 51	10.11	0.53	13.74	4.80	0.29	0.07	0.97	3.17	4.73	0.12	76.66	0.83	C0.0	1.15	165	112	67	356	27	1189	5	9	56	107	57
ano	LA26 R	N 00	00.67	0.57	13.03	5.29	0.12	0.35	0.66	2.42	4.39	0.13	76.96	1 66	1.00	1.33	186	111	LL	371	27	957		8	62	116	63
Lasc	LA25 R	1215	10.17	0.59	14.00	4.74	0.12	0.51	0.61	2.65	5.07	0.13	96.66	1 37	10.1	1.30	206	85	87	379	28	1074	9	6	LL	139	67
	LA24 R	70.61	10.01	0.61	13.93	5.53	0.13	0.14	0.76	3.15	4.92	0.14	99.95	1 06	1.00	1.19	182	85	70	386	29	867	1	4	69	122	63
	LA23 R	20 52	10.61	0.48	11.96	4.47	0.02	0.07	0.50	0.59	8.78	0.08	100.03	0.76	00	1.06	110	32	52	337	25	631	9	5	42	92	43
	LA22 R		67.71	0.58	13.23	5.09	0.07	0.26	0.98	2.96	4.37	0.13	76.96	1 25	1.4.7	1.18	175	128	51	373	27	1144		9	70	132	99
	LA21 R	71 10	12.18	0.56	12.53	5.24	0.13	0.30	1.42	3.24	4.33	0.11	100.01	0.81	10.0	1.01	172	122	80	379	28	964		7	65	124	60
	LA20 R	03 02	QC.71	0.56	12.96	4.98	0.08	0.26	0.96	2.71	4.71	0.14	99.97	1 01	10.1	1.16	182	105	70	383	26	928	6	4	71	127	65
	LA19 R	03.02	12.09	0.63	12.91	5.27	0.08	0.28	1.05	2.75	4.14	0.15	76.96	1 25	1.4.7	1.20	169	114	50	361	28	905	7	8	68	121	68
	LA18 R	10.01	68.21	0.55	13.14	4.61	0.09	0.42	0.84	2.31	5.03	0.15	76.99	1 25	1.40	1.24	193	95	91	372	29	1242	4	4	94	132	90
	Sample - Rock tyne	NULL LYPE	SIU 2	TiO_2	AI_2O_3	${\rm FeO}_{ m tot}$	MnO	MgO	CaO	Na_2O	K_2O	P_2O_5	Sum	101	L.O.I.	A.S.I.	Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	\mathbf{Ba}	Cr	Ni	La	Ce	Nd

	RS31 Ot	70.81	0.47	14.66	4.00	0.05	0.68	0.74	2.80	5.69	0.12	66.66	1 80	1.07	1.22	154	131	54	1004	41	2055	4	3	84	145	75
	RS27 Ot	63.98	1.39	14.17	7.71	0.22	1.08	3.04	3.62	4.06	0.64	99.93	1.06	00.1	0.94	109	23	55	488	49	1485	4	10	99	119	58
	RS26 Ot	63.25	1.35	14.34	8.04	0.22	1.37	3.08	3.55	4.18	0.61	96.66	1 37	1.74	0.94	104	246	09	492	48	1594	4	8	72	151	70
	RS25 Ot	62.69	1.40	14.88	8.28	0.25	0.78	2.39	3.85	4.81	0.63	99.95	1 30	(0.1	0.98	110	195	LL	505	52	1760	5	8	104	161	85
	RS22 Ot	62.13	1.41	14.89	8.48	0.27	1.01	2.73	3.94	4.49	0.58	99.95	1 36	00.1	0.95	108	236	68	530	54	1754	9	6	82	158	99
anca	RS11 R	LT.TT	0.21	12.11	1.93	0.05	0.05	0.07	2.58	5.18	0.04	76.66	1 05	CO.1	1.22	206	8	56	537	68	78	0	б	43	183	48
Salam	RS9 R	77.22	0.19	12.24	2.35	0.04	0.30	0.36	2.05	5.22	0.02	66.66	151	101	1.27	189	37	73	413	49	431		5	117	268	117
	RS8 R	70.53	0.47	14.38	4.71	0.10	0.25	1.13	2.80	5.43	0.13	96.66	1 15	CH-1	1.16	142	142	69	964	41	1659	б	0	125	234	116
	RS7 R	70.09	0.50	13.96	5.12	0.12	0.19	1.38	2.90	5.55	0.13	99.98	1 26	1.40	1.06	137	131	68	1049	43	1837	б	8	93	168	96
	RS6 R	73.88	0.36	12.86	3.88	0.08	0.12	0.97	2.69	5.08	0.09	96.66	1 10	01.1	1.11	137	66	59	771	40	1309	б	5	76	192	94
	RS5 R	76.54	0.22	12.74	2.02	0.07	0.24	0.12	2.07	5.88	0.05	96.66	1 16	01.1	1.28	253	L	92	704	88	136	б	4	42	199	46
	RS4 R	76.80	0.21	12.60	2.40	0.06	0.15	0.37	2.28	5.05	0.04	79.97	0.07	17.0	1.28	184	28	65	408	50	376	7	7	116	257	103
	LA36 R	73.32	0.36	13.89	3.52	0.04	0.26	0.65	2.28	5.60	0.10	100.00	1 47	11.1	1.28	202	72	62	397	23	290	5	7	69	131	64
Lascano	LA35 R	75.11	0.33	12.90	3.34	0.04	0.46	0.54	1.95	5.19	0.11	100.00	1 64	1-0-1	1.33	191	161	09	385	20	1379 1	8	9	99	122	65
	LA34 R	75.64	0.34	12.77	3.01	0.03	0.44	0.87	1.89	4.96	0.08	100.00	1 88	00.1	1.28	191	89	55	366	22	1206		9	68	125	62
	Sample Rock type	SiO	TiO	$AI_2\tilde{O}_3$	FeOtot	MnO	MgO	CaO	Na_2O	$K_2 \tilde{O}$	P_2O_5	Sum	101	F.O.T.	A.S.I.	Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Ba	Cr	Ņ	La	Ce	Nd

Table 2. (continued)

	SM15	R	74.74	0.54	11.84	3.72	0.10	0.45	1.58	3.17	3.70	0.13	66.66	i.	10.0	0.99	152	76	61	316	18	618	б	9	47	91	46
	SM14	R	72.38	0.69	12.14	5.95	0.10	0.75	1.22	2.95	3.65	0.18	66.66		1.22	1.12	162	103	95	329	19	1272	4	9	69	118	68
	SM13	R	73.35	0.67	11.18	5.57	0.10	0.94	1.80	3.01	3.24	0.14	100.00		1.20	0.97	148	101	65	322	17	607	1	7	40	87	46
	SM12	R	72.92	0.67	12.23	4.41	0.14	0.96	1.53	3.15	3.73	0.21	76.99		0.73	1.04	144	102	68	332	19	1539	1	8	47	98	50
	SM11	R	73.35	0.63	12.43	4.18	0.14	0.46	1.66	3.21	3.69	0.22	76.66	10 0	16.0	1.03	145	114	86	339	20	1239	7	4	54	110	51
	SM10	R	73.52	0.67	12.39	4.21	0.17	0.44	1.50	3.20	3.65	0.22	99.97		0.64	1.06	145	123	81	332	22	945	1	9	51	103	55
6	SM9	R	74.57	0.63	12.29	4.10	0.14	0.26	1.19	3.07	3.51	0.20	96.66	00.0	06.0	1.14	143	131	111	359	23	1743		9	87	153	93
a São Miguo	SM8	R	73.99	0.62	12.41	4.01	0.21	0.36	1.26	3.25	3.64	0.20	99.95	t	0.71	1.10	152	143	78	336	20	021	4	9	09	118	56
Sierr	SM7	R	72.35	0.58	11.83	4.22	0.14	0.43	3.32	3.58	3.44	0.16	100.02	001	1.99	0.77	147	124	101	369	22	711 1		8	50	98	52
	SM6	R	73.03	0.57	11.94	3.97	0.10	0.66	2.41	3.32	3.87	0.17	100.00		1.37	0.86	154	158	69	346	20	726	1	7	43	87	49
	SM5	Я	74.30	0.50	12.31	3.51	0.10	0.49	1.27	3.61	3.76	0.13	100.00	0, 0	0.69	1.01	155	119	68	332	19	801	б	8	54	66	53
	SM4	R	73.49	0.60	12.29	4.09	0.18	0.61	1.52	3.50	3.48	0.18	86.66		0.62	1.02	142	111	4	329	19	830		7	48	96	51
	SM3	R	72.88	0.62	12.12	4.27	0.25	0.55	1.92	3.29	3.86	0.22	99.98		0.63	0.95	153	109	85	348	21	787	4	13	54	66	54
	SM2	R	72.75	0.67	12.30	4.38	0.16	0.72	1.97	3.45	3.35	0.23	76.99		0.52	0.98	139	111	71	318	19	756	б	5	46	90	49
	SMI	R	72.98	0.59	12.25	4.09	0.13	0.73	1.72	3.52	3.82	0.21	100.00	0	0.40	0.96	153	106	75	345	19	752	4	9	46	93	50
	Sample	Rock type	SiO ₂	TiO_2	Al_2O_3	FeOto	MnO	MgO	CaO	Na_2O	$\mathbf{K}_2 \mathbf{O}$	P_2O_5	Sum		L.U.I.	A.S.I.	Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Ba	Cr	Ni	La	Ce	Nd

Minas	AQ2 R	75.70	0.18	13.60	1.85	0.04	0.21	1 0.30	2.92	7 5.11	0.04	76.99	0.80	1.26	161	22	53	411	35	253		4	87	007	190
	AQ1 R	76.32	0.22	12.33	2.61	0.03	0.00	0.34	2.96	5.17	0.02	66.66	0.89	1.12	147	19	53	625	31	375	10	10	82	172	
	SM30 R	74.73	0.57	12.75	3.75	0.09	0.37	0.85	2.90	3.83	0.16	76.99	1.16	1.24	156	111	114	343	23	2470		8	91	112	140
	SM29 R	75.04	0.55	12.21	3.55	0.15	0.38	1.02	3.12	3.76	0.16	96.66	0.71	1.12	152	96	79	325	22	1104	2	9	63	102	COT
	SM26 R	74.68	0.53	12.08	3.87	0.09	0.42	1.20	3.20	3.80	0.13	79.97	0.94	1.06	170	100	68	384	24	<i>6LL</i>	0	5	49	20	50
	SM25 R	74.45	0.49	12.33	3.84	0.08	0.32	1.04	3.48	3.90	0.10	99.99	0.57	1.05	176	95	60	380	22	770	2	4	50	07	+7
	SM24 R	73.91	0.53	12.93	4.34	0.08	0.24	0.86	3.19	3.78	0.12	99.98	0.89	1.20	159	106	83	403	25	823	3	3	LL	126	OCT
São Miguel	SM23 R	72.44	0.61	12.28	3.97	0.23	0.67	2.83	3.34	3.43	0.20	96.66	1.36	0.87	139	106	71	284	17	704	0	5	4	01	71
Sierra	SM22 R	74.51	0.56	12.51	4.00	0.07	0.41	0.97	3.56	3.27	0.15	66.66	1.03	1.14	138	101	92	355	20	1292	2	4	82	113	140
	SM21 R	74.65	0.51	12.38	3.65	0.07	0.33	1.06	3.48	3.70	0.14	79.97	0.75	1.08	153	91	63	337	21	736		3	51	5	10
	SM20 R	73.99	0.50	12.11	3.55	0.11	0.40	2.19	3.25	3.79	0.12	100.00	1.89	0.91	161	98	84	358	22	756		9	54	100	102
	SM19 R	75.11	0.50	12.28	3.57	0.06	0.32	0.89	2.97	4.11	0.14	99.98	0.77	1.14	171	94	68	332	22	981	5	4	55	8	10
	SM18 R	73.92	0.55	12.34	3.72	0.13	0.34	1.55	3.55	3.73	0.16	99.98	0.85	0.99	155	98	94	353	21	785	1	7	63	118	011
	SM16 R	74.23	0.57	12.29	3.76	0.09	0.34	0.72	2.62	5.21	0.15	66.66	0.95	1.11	225	153	62	335	18	994	3	2	42	83	20
	Sample Rock type	SiO,	TiO,	$Al_{2}O_{3}$	FeO	MnO	MgO	CaO	Na_2O	$K_{2}O$	$P_{2}O_{5}$	Sum	L.0.I.	A.S.I.	Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	\mathbf{Ba}	C	ïZ	La	Ğ	3

Table 2. (continued)

Table 3(a). ICP-MS trace elements analyses (ppm) and TIMS Sr–Nd isotope data on representative SE Uruguay silicic volcanic rocks. R, Rhyolite; Qt, Quartz-trachyte.

Sample	LA9	LA12	LA34	RS6	RS9	RS22	RS26	SM9	SM13	AQ1
Rock type	R	R	R	R	R	Qt	Qt	R	R	R
Rb	141	136	163	124	155	89	93	123	132	126
Sr	112	131	92	103	36	238	253	138	106	21
Y	39	77	52	59	72	65	56	119	64	51
Zr	327	344	340	731	384	470	438	350	287	570
Nb	24	25	20	42	45	51	51	20	16	32
Sn	3.4	3.7	3.4	3.6	5.1	3.0	3.0	4.3	3.8	3.5
Cs	4.4	4.4	3.3	0.8	1.0	0.8	1.3	6.0	6.8	1.1
Ba	776	1758	1207	1321	403	1702	1562	1701	588	374
La	45.1	93.5	66.8	100.4	112.8	76.7	77.3	82.9	39.3	84.6
Ce	100.8	169.3	127.2	191.6	248.2	153.9	149.1	141.1	81.9	165.0
Pr	8.85	16.89	12.45	19.04	22.03	14.11	14.51	16.62	8.26	15.16
Nd	37.63	73.02	51.64	80.22	87.45	60.25	61.99	74.81	36.71	60.66
Sm	8.52	15.96	10.60	15.97	17.08	13.03	13.01	18.33	9.44	11.88
Eu	2.03	3.46	1.72	2.91	1.41	3.48	3.66	3.86	2.25	0.90
Gd	8.15	16.27	10.13	14.02	15.10	12.52	12.15	20.49	10.60	10.83
Tb	1.29	2.58	1.55	2.02	2.28	1.90	1.83	3.33	1.80	1.58
Dy	7.80	15.22	9.18	11.69	13.27	11.41	10.89	19.59	11.21	9.44
Но	1.49	2.79	1.75	2.13	2.42	2.18	2.04	3.81	2.18	1.80
Er	4.85	8.31	5.39	6.59	7.50	6.64	6.19	11.44	6.81	5.66
Tm	0.75	1.23	0.79	0.97	1.14	0.98	0.88	1.65	1.01	0.88
Yb	4.86	7.70	4.90	6.10	6.93	6.15	5.80	10.04	6.32	5.25
Lu	0.69	1.09	0.70	0.86	0.96	0.90	0.84	1.45	0.92	0.76
Pb	33.4	27.4	32.1	33.9	46.6	16.7	22.4	26.1	29.7	39.1
Hf	9.33	9.73	9.98	19.24	12.35	11.47	11.37	10.10	8.71	14.94
Та	2.18	2.30	1.71	2.50	3.24	3.76	3.67	1.78	1.51	2.21
Th	14.7	14.6	19.5	12.0	18.4	9.4	9.5	13.6	13.4	13.7
U	3.0	2.4	2.5	2.3	3.0	2.6	2.2	2.1	3.0	4.2
⁸⁷ Sr/ ⁸⁶ Sr _(m)		0.72334	0.73555		0.73737	0.71148		0.71918		0.74623
143 Nd/ 144 Nd _(m)		0.51221	0.51190		0.51191	0.51189		0.51199		0.51204
87 Sr/ 86 Sr ₍₁₂₉₎		0.71659	0.72417		0.71019	0.70906		0.71338		0.70840
¹⁴³ Nd/ ¹⁴⁴ Nd ₍₁₂₉₎		0.51209	0.51180		0.51181	0.51178		0.51186		0.51194
<i>E</i> _{Nd(129)}		-7.3	-13.2		-12.9	-13.5		-11.9		-10.3

Table 3(b). Mass-balance calculation for SE Uruguayan silicic rocks starting from a Santa Lucía basalt-type (93L47) to quartztrachyte (RS26) and from quartz-trachyte to rhyolites (in turn: SM9, LA34, RS7). B, basalt; Qt, Quartz-trachyte; R, rhyolite; pl, plagioclase; cpx, clinopyroxene; mt, magnetite; ap, apatite; ilm, ilmenite; Kf, K-feldspar; f, residual liquid. RS, Rio Salamanca; SM, Sierra São Miguel; LA, Lascano. Santa Lucía basalt 93L47 sample from Kirstein et al. (2000).

From	to	pl	cpx	mt	ap	ilm	ol	kf	f	ΣR^2
93L47 (B)	RS26 (Qt)	58.00	20.00	9.00	1.00	3.00	9.00	0.00	0.23	0.47
RS26 (Qt)	SM9 (R)	23.00	13.00	10.00	1.00	2.00	0.00	51.00	0.45	0.29
RS26 (Qt)	LA34 (R)	43.00	10.00	15.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	28.00	0.49	0.29
RS26 (Qt)	RS7 (R)	54.00	16.00	15.00	2.00	2.00	0.00	11.00	0.65	0.13

tectonically associated with important shear zones, the most important of which is the sinistral Sierra Ballena Shear Zone that connects the Nico Perez Terrane with the Cuchilla–Dionisio Belt (e.g., Mallmann *et al.*, 2007).

This paper deals with the petrographic, mineralogical, geochemical and Sr–Nd isotopic characteristics of silicic volcanic rocks of SE Uruguay and examines the role of crustal contamination in their evolution, based on a large number of whole-rock chemical analyses and mineral chemical data. The investigated rocks are essentially rhyolites, cropping out in the districts of Lascano, Salamanca, Sierra São Miguel and Minas, (SE Uruguay; Fig. 1). They form long rhyolitic ridges, usually made up of different flow units, up to 200 m thick. Occasional contacts with the underlying mafic lavas have been observed (e.g., in Salamanca and Lascano districts). The basaltic lavas are almost exclusively known from oil industry drill holes. More frequently the silicic rocks directly overlie the Precambrian basement. The eruption mechanism responsible for the emplacement of the SE Uruguay rhyolites is still debated, being not clear if these are lava flows or rheomorphic ignimbrites (Kirstein *et al.*, 2001a).

ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES

Electron Microprobe analyses were obtained with a JEOL superprobe (15 kV; 20 mA) at the Instituto de Geociências of the University of São Paulo, Brazil and with a Cameca Camebax SX50 (15 kV; 20 mA) at the Istituto di Geologia Ambientale e Geoingegneria, CNR, Rome, Italy with full WDS procedure, using a beam size ranging from 3 μ m (oxides) to 5 μ m (pyroxenes) and 10 μ m (feldspars) and a PAP correction method (Table 1). Major and some trace elements (Cr, Ni, Rb, Sr, Y, Zr, Nb, Ba, La, Ce and Nd) were obtained by XRF spectrometry (Philips PW 1400, Rh tube) on pressed powder pellets at the Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, University of Trieste (Italy) following the method described in Piccirillo et al. (1989; Table 2). FeO was determined by colorimetry (KMnO₄ titration); weight loss on ignition (LOI) was measured after igniting the powder at ~900°C for 12 h, with a correction for FeO oxidation at the Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, University of Rome La Sapienza. Selected samples were also analyzed by ICP-MS (Perkin Elmer SCIEX ELAN 6000) at Activation Laboratories (Ontario, Canada; Table 3). Precision and accuracy are reported at www.actlabs.com. Sr and Nd isotopic ratios were determined at the Geocronological Research Center of the University of São Paulo with a multicollector VG 354 Micromass (Sr) and a Finningan-MAT 262 mass spectrometer (Nd), following the methods described by Kawashita (1972) and Sato et al. (1995; Table 3). The Sr isotopic ratios were normalized to 86 Sr/ 88 Sr = 0.1194; Nd isotopic ratios were normalized to 146 Nd/ 144 Nd = 0.72190. The accuracy of measurements was checked against the NBS987 standard for Sr isotopic ratios (⁸⁶Sr/⁸⁸Sr = 0.71028 ± 0.00006 in the period of the analyses) and La Jolla and BCR-1 standards for Nd isotopic ratios (¹⁴³Nd/ 144 Nd = 0.511847 ± 0.000005; 0.512662 ± 0.000005, respectively).

CLASSIFICATION, PETROGRAPHY AND MINERAL CHEMISTRY

The silicic volcanic rocks from SE Uruguay are dominantly rhyolites according to the TAS classification of Le Bas *et al.* (1986; Fig. 2), with subordinate quartztrachytes present only at Salamanca. Most of the SE Uruguay silicic rocks have $SiO_2 > 70$ wt%. Rocks with $SiO_2 > 78\%$ are not considered further because their extremely high SiO₂ contents may reflect a late stage alteration of the rock (silicification), which is usually associated with alkali mobility (see also discussion in Kirstein *et al.*, 2000). LOI values are always <2.6 wt%. Petrographic evidence of silicification and alkali mobility are occasionally found as devetrification textures (spherulites) and secondary veins of quartz. In particular, the peraluminous character [CIPW normative corundum and A.S.I. values from 1.00 to 1.33; A.S.I. (Alumina Saturation Index) = molar Al₂O₃/(Na₂O + K₂O + CaO – 1.76*P₂O₅)] of some rhyolites is related to sericitization of feldspars to clay minerals. This phenomenon, coupled with silicification has been previously noted also by Kirstein *et al.* (2000), for Arequita rocks and by Lustrino *et al.* (2005), for the Valle Chico igneous complex.

The silicic volcanic rocks are essentially made up of anhydrous mineral assemblage, with plagioclase, alkali feldspar, quartz, opaque minerals, \pm clinopyroxene. Among the accessory phases zircon is ubiquitous and apatite is rare. Plagioclase is the main phase in all districts, except Salamanca where sanidine phenocrysts are more abundant, both in quartz-trachytes and in rhyolites. The rare clinopyroxene has variable Fe contents: lower for Sierra São Miguel rhyolites and higher for those from Lascano (Table 1). Often this phase is transformed in secondary opaque minerals. Quartz is found also in secondary veins.

Quartz-trachytes range from vitrophyric (Porphyritic Index, P.I., 20-25%, where P.I. = area occupied by phenocrysts/total area of the thin section) to vitroclastic with isotropic texture and reddish glassy groundmass. Alkali feldspar occurs as euhedral and fractured phenocrysts (~0.5 cm), occasionally rounded and with sieve texture. Plagioclase (Ab40-60-An24-56-Or4-16) occurs as anhedral normally-zoned phenocrysts, typically with anorthoclase rims (Ab₅₇₋₆₀-An₂₄₋₃₃-Or₉₋₁₆; Table 1). Locally plagioclase shows sieve texture and form star-shaped aggregates in the groundmass. Clinopyroxene is augite $(Wo_{34\mathchar`-8}\mbox{-}En_{28\mathchar`-34}\mbox{-}Fs_{30\mathchar`-34})$ with CaO increasing towards the rims. It occurs as interstitial microphenocrysts and/or inclusion in plagioclase. Ti-magnetite microphenocrysts (Usp content up to 94 mol%; Table 1), small equant quartz phenocrysts and microcline xenocrysts are rare constituents.

Rhyolites show a typical paragenesis made up of plagioclase, sanidine, opaque minerals, \pm quartz, \pm clinopyroxene. The phenocryst assemblage is set in a glassy groundmass with spherulites of opaque minerals and felted and sometimes sericitized feldspars. In Lascano, rhyolites range from porphyritic (P.I. up to 20%) to aphyric types with isotropic texture. Plagioclase phenocrysts (~0.5–1 cm) are euhedral and normally-zoned andesine/labradorite (Ab_{48–68}–An_{30–53}–Or_{2–6}), usually fractured with lobate margins, sometimes sericitized (Ta-



Fig. 3. Major element variation diagrams of SE Uruguayan silicic volcanic rocks. LA, Lascano rhyolites; RS, Rio Salamanca quartz-trachytes and rhyolites; SM, Sierra São Miguel rhyolites; MI, Minas rhyolites; Lavalleja, Aigüa (Kirstein et al., 2000) and Valle Chico (Lustrino et al., 2005) fields are shown for comparison. Grey diamonds, Neo-Proterozoic silicic meta-volcanic rocks of the crystalline basement (Lavalleja Formation; Sanchez Bettucci et al., 2001); Grey circles, Early Cambrian Carapé granite-gneiss complex (Sanchez Bettucci et al., 2003). See text for further explanation and geographic distribution of the plotted basement rocks.

ble 1). The minor sanidine and quartz phenocrysts show signs of partial disequilibrium with resorbed margins. Quartz also occurs in secondary veins. Opaque minerals (both Ti-magnetite and ilmenite; Usp = 41-86 mol% and Ilm ~97 mol% respectively; Table 1) and minor clinopyroxene (Wo₃₆₋₃₉-En₁₅₋₁₈-Fs₄₅₋₄₇; Table 1) are con-

fined to the glassy groundmass only.

Salamanca rhyolites show several textural similarities to the Lascano silicic rocks, but the main phenocrysts are euhedral sanidine (~0.1–0.5 cm; Ab_{37-41} – An_{3-5} – Or_{54-60} ; Table 1), occasionally with sieve texture and rounded margins, becoming more sodic in the most differentiated



Fig. 4. Trace element variation diagrams of SE Uruguayan silicic volcanic rocks. Symbols as in Fig. 3. Lavalleja, Aigüa (Kirstein et al., 2000) and Valle Chico (Lustrino et al., 2005) fields are shown for comparison. Grey diamonds, Neo-Proterozoic silicic meta-volcanic rocks of the crystalline basement (Lavalleja Formation; Sanchez Bettucci et al., 2001); Grey circles, Early Cambrian Carapé granite-gneiss complex (Sanchez Bettucci et al., 2003). See text for further explanation and geographic distribution of the plotted basement rocks.



Fig. 5. (a) Incompatible trace element diagram for SE Uruguay rhyolites normalized to the average composition of the Paraná silicic rocks (both Low-Ti and High-Ti) reported by Garland et al. (1995). (b) Primitive mantle (Sun and McDonough, 1989)normalized incompatible element diagram for SE Uruguay rhyolites. Lavalleja and Aigüa rock fields (Kirstein et al., 2000) are shown for comparison in dark grey and light grey fields, respectively. (c) Primitive mantle-normalized incompatible element diagram for the less evolved silicic rocks in SE Uruguay (RS22 and RS26; Rio Salamanca quartz-trachytes), compared to Chapecó high-Ti rhyolites (Piccirillo and Melfi, 1988; Piccirillo et al., 1989; Garland et al., 1995) and Santa Lucía-type basalts (SL; Kirstein et al., 2000). All the less evolved silicic rocks overlap and fall in the Lavalleja series field. The pattern of basalts has similar array of the quartz-trachytes but is displaced towards all incompatible elements depleted, with the exception of Sr and Ti, whose troughs in quartz-trachytes patterns are related to feldspars and Ti–magnetite fractionation. LA, Lascano rhyolites; SM, Sierra São Miguel rhyolites; RS, Rio Salamanca quartz-trachytes and rhyolites; MI, Minas rhyolites; VC, Valle Chico trachytes and quartz-trachytes (Lustrino et al., 2005); SL, Santa Lucía basalts (Kirstein et al., 2000).

rocks (Ab₅₁₋₅₅–An₁–Or₄₃₋₄₈). Minor amounts of quartz occur both as micro-phenocrysts and in secondary veins. The groundmass is clinopyroxene-free and usually glassy or fine-grained with sanidine, opaque minerals and interstitial quartz, rarely with opaque mineral spherulites, resembling type I rhyolites of Kirstein *et al.* (2000).

The Sierra São Miguel rhyolites are aphyric with an isotropic texture. Minor euhedral (0.2-0.5 cm) and esine/ labradorite phenocrysts $(Ab_{46-48}-An_{50}-Or_2)$ rarely show rounded margins. Groundmass plagioclase ranges from oligoclase (Ab₇₂-An₁₉-Or₉) to andesine (Ab₅₁-An₄₇-Or₂; Table 1). Opaque minerals (Ti-magnetite, with Usp up to 4 mol%; Table 1) are present as microphenocrysts (~0.3– 0.4 cm) as well as groundmass phases. Quartz is present both in secondary veins and in myrmekitic intergrowth with plagioclase. The groundmass is commonly glassy. Microphenocrysts of augite are found in minor amounts. They have the highest MgO-CaO content (Wo₄₁-En₃₅- Fs_{24}) of all the augites in the districts. Fluor-apatite (F = 5.91 wt%; moderate LREE content) is found in minor amounts. These rocks resemble type II rhyolites of Kirstein et al. (2000).

Minas rhyolite is sub-aphyric with isotropic and hyalopilitic texture. Fractured and rounded sanidine occurs as micro-phenocrysts (~0.3 cm), usually forming clusters. Plagioclase occurs only as angular and fractured grains. Rare, rounded and fractured quartz and clinopyroxene microphenocrysts have been observed. The groundmass varies from glassy microcrystalline with little grains of quartz and feldspar and scattered microlites of opaque minerals.

MAJOR AND TRACE ELEMENT COMPOSITION

Major and trace element compositions have been plotted against SiO_2 (Fig. 3). The samples fall into two distinct groups, a minor one with $\sim 63 \text{ wt\% SiO}_2$ (the less differentiated Rio Salamanca samples), which is separated from a larger group (>70 wt% SiO_2) by a distinct compositional gap (Table 2; Fig. 3). Overall, TiO₂, Al₂O₃, Fe₂O₃, MgO, CaO and P₂O₅ show negative correlation with SiO₂, however this correlation seems to disappear for Al₂O₃, MgO, and P₂O₅ if the low-SiO₂ samples are excluded. Among the different districts a large overlap of major and trace element content is apparent. Notwithstanding this, the Sierra São Miguel rhyolites are generally richer in TiO₂, MgO, Na₂O and P₂O₅ and poorer in K_2O than rocks of other districts at a given SiO₂, whereas Lascano rocks show a larger scatter for Al₂O₃, CaO and MgO. The distribution of trace elements versus SiO_2 is more variable. Notable are the high values of Nb and Zr for both quartz-trachytes and rhyolites of Salamanca (Nb = 40-88 ppm; Zr = 408-1049 ppm) compared to those of the other districts (Fig. 4). Sr decrease with increasing

 SiO_2 (from 246 to 7 ppm), while Ba shows good negative correlation with SiO_2 only for Salamanca samples.

The Paraná rhyolites, classically divided into Low-Ti (or Palmas-type) and High-Ti types (or Chapecò-type; Piccirillo and Melfi, 1988; Garland et al., 1995) plot in an intermediate position between the relatively low-SiO₂ and the high-SiO₂ silicic Uruguayan rocks in terms of major element content. Bearing this in mind, the silicic rocks of Uruguay have been normalized to the average composition of the Paraná silicic lavas (Garland et al., 1995). The Uruguayan samples show incompatible trace element content between 0.5 and 2 times the Paraná rhyolite average composition (Fig. 5a). In particular, the Salamanca rocks show the most evolved composition, with the highest content of all the incompatible trace elements and marked troughs at Sr, P and Ti. With the exception of HREE, the Sierra São Miguel samples show the lowest content of incompatible elements, while the two remaining groups (Lascano and Minas) show intermediate composition.

In primitive mantle-normalized diagram, (Fig. 5b), the rhyolites of Lascano, Salamanca, Sierra São Miguel and Minas show roughly similar patterns with marked troughs at Sr and Ti and troughs at Ta-Nb, resembling Lavallejatype rhyolites (Kirstein et al., 2000). The only small differences compared to Lavalleja rhyolites are the small Eu anomaly and the generally low Ba of the Lascano, Salamanca, Sierra São Miguel and Minas rhyolites, absent in the Lavalleja rocks. In Fig. 5c Salamanca quartztrachytes show patterns surprisingly similar to Valle Chico plutonic rocks (Lustrino et al., 2005). The rhyolites of Sierra São Miguel and Lascano show the highest HREE content and moderate negative Eu anomalies $[(La/Yb)_N]$ = 4.4-5.9; Eu/Eu* = 0.60-0.68 for Sierra São Miguel rhyolites; $(La/Yb)_N = 6.8-9.8$; Eu/Eu* = 0.50-0.74 for Lascano rhyolites]. On the other hand, the rhyolites of Salamanca and Minas show the lowest HREE content and strong negative Eu anomalies $[(La/Yb)_N = 12.2 \text{ and } 11.5;$ $Eu/Eu^* = 0.27$ and 0.24 respectively]. Quartz-trachytes show moderate fractionated HREE $[(La/Yb)_N = 8.8-9.6]$ and slight Eu negative anomalies (Eu/Eu* = 0.83-0.88).

Sr and Nd Isotopic Data

The Sr–Nd isotopic compositions of selected samples (Table 3) have been recalculated to 129 Ma, which is the average 40 Ar/ 39 Ar age obtained by Kirstein *et al.* (2001b) for SE Uruguay silicic rocks. The acid volcanic rocks show a wide range of Sr–Nd isotopic ratios (87 Sr/ 86 Sr₍₁₂₉₎ 0.70840–0.72417; 143 Nd/ 144 Nd₍₁₂₉₎ 0.51178–0.51209). In the diagram 143 Nd/ 144 Nd₍₁₂₉₎ vs. 87 Sr/ 86 Sr (Fig. 7) the analyzed samples fall in an area whose vertexes are defined by three source components. One is represented by the Uruguay (Santa Lucía-type) basalts and the other two



Fig. 6. (a) Chondrite-normalized REE diagram for SE Uruguay rhyolites. Lavalleja and Aigüa rock fields (Kirstein et al., 2000) are shown for comparison in dark grey and light grey fields, respectively. (b) Chondrite-normalized REE diagram for the less evolved silicic rocks in SE Uruguay (RS; Rio Salamanca), compared to Valle Chico trachytes and quartz-trachytes (Lustrino et al., 2005) and Santa Lucía-type basalts (SL; Kirstein et al., 2000). LA, Lascano; SM, Sierra São Miguel; RS, Rio Salamanca; MI, Minas; VC, Valle Chico; SL, Santa Lucía.

can be qualitatively constrained to upper crust (average Proterozoic upper crustal basement; May, 1990; low ε_{Nd} and high 87 Sr/ 86 Sr) and lower continental crust (average of Archean lower crustal basement; May, 1990; low ε_{Nd} and relatively low 87 Sr/ 86 Sr). The Salamanca and Minas rhyolites plot near a vector connecting Santa Lucía-type basalts and average lower continental crust, whereas the Lascano rhyolites plot near a vector connecting Santa Lucía-type basalts and average upper continental crust. Sierra São Miguel rhyolite plots in intermediate position between Lascano and Salamanca rhyolites. The other two types of rhyolites previously identified in Uruguay show similar isotopic composition: the Aigüa samples cluster towards the lower crustal values in an hypothetical two-



Fig. 7. ¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd₍₁₂₉₎ vs. ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr₍₁₂₉₎ diagram of the rocks in SE Uruguay. The fields of Chapecó and Palmas rhyolites (Garland et al., 1995), Valle Chico complex (Lustrino et al., 2005), Aigüa and Lavalleja silicic rocks (Kirstein et al., 2000) are shown for comparison. The two grey arrows show the qualitative trends of SE Uruguay silicic rocks towards ¹⁴³Nd/ ¹⁴⁴Nd₍₁₂₉₎ and ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr₍₁₂₉₎ values of upper continental crust (dark grey rectangle) and lower continental crust (light grey rectangle). LA, Lascano rhyolites; RS, Rio Salamanca quartztrachytes and rhyolites; SM, Sierra São Miguel rhyolites; MI, Minas rhyolite. SL, Santa Lucía and Treinte Y Tres basalts (Kirstein et al., 2000).

component mixing line with Santa Lucía-type basalts. The Lavalleja samples spread in a much larger isotopic area with variable amount of all the three components previously defined.

DISCUSSION

Various models have been proposed in literature to explain the origin of silicic magma in different geological contexts like continental rifting, intraplate continental and oceanic settings and destructive plate margins. As concerns the intraplate continental settings, these petrogenetic processes can be essentially resumed into two main models (e.g., Garland *et al.*, 1995; Miller and Harris, 2007; Melluso *et al.*, 2008, and references therein): crustal partial melting vs. fractional crystallization of a basaltic parental melt. A third option considers variable amounts of these two processes interacting at different thermo-baric conditions and volatile content (e.g., AFCtype processes).

The Lascano, Salamanca, Sierra São Miguel and Minas silicic rocks show a strong compositional variability considering the relatively small area they cover; this is particularly true for the Lascano rhyolites. On the basis of major and trace elements and mineralogical compositions, Sierra São Miguel rhyolites are considered the less differentiated rocks, compared to the Salamanca and Minas rhyolites that show an highly differentiated character.

Partial melting process

One possibility for the origin of the silicic rocks is partial melting of local Precambrian basement or of accreted basaltic/gabbroic rocks near the Moho. Since little is known on the Uruguayan Precambrian basement, it is not possible to definitively argue for or against an involvement of these rocks as sources for the silicic rocks. South-east the city of Minas (Nico Perez Terrane), abundant Neo-Proterozoic (Pan-African; ~750-550 Ma) terranes crop out, known as the Lavalleja metamorphic complex. This formation is roughly coeval to the Brasiliano Belt in the south American continent that comprises also the Ribeira Belt (SE Brazil) and the Dom Feliciano Belt in SE Uruguay (e.g., Rogers et al., 1995; Almeida et al., 2000; Basei et al., 2000; Mallmann et al., 2007 and references therein). The Lavalleja metamorphic complex is a meta-volcanic-sedimentary sequence comprising metamorphic rocks with both sedimentary (limestone, shale, arkose, graywacke) and igneous (essentially volcanic and pyroclastic) protoliths (Sanchez Bettucci et al., 2001; Gaucher et al., 2004; Mallmann et al., 2007, and references therein). The bulk of the meta-igneous rocks (~80-85% of the outcrops) have a basic composition (basaltic flows and pillows, commonly metamorphosed under amphibolite facies, plus rarer meta-tuffs and meta-basaltic breccias; Sanchez Bettucci et al., 2001; Mallmann et al., 2007). The much rarer intermediate to evolved lithotypes (meta-andesites to meta-rhyolites; Sanchez Bettucci et al., 2001; Mallmann et al., 2007) crop out sporadically interlayered with chemical and clastic meta-sedimentary rocks. No geochemical data for the meta-sedimentary formations of the Lavalleja metamorphic complex are available in literature (Sanchez Bettucci, written communication, 2008).

In the Cuchilla–Dionisio Terrane, a complex puzzle of terrane has been assembled during the Brasiliano cycle: ~1 Gyr-old orthogneissic migmatites, siliciclastic meta-sedimentary cover with ~2.0–1.5 Ga MORB modelages, plus ~0.57 Gyr-old silicic igneous rocks (both volcanic and plutonic; Mallmann *et al.*, 2007, and references therein). With the possible exclusion of the migmatitic gneisses (with high solidus temperatures) these lithologies can act as potential melt source for the Early Cretaceous rocks of SE Uruguay, in particular those cropping out on the most NE-ward sectors (i.e., Lascano and Sierra São Miguel).

Other potential melt sources for the Early Cretaceous rocks of SE Uruguay are present in the Nico Perez Formation: 1) the scattered and still not fully described dioritic to granitic bodies with a rather imprecise Meso-

Proterozoic age of emplacement (Bossi et al., 1998; Campal and Schipilov, 1999), 2) the ~1.7 Gyr-old Iliescas granite complex (N of the city of Minas; Bossi et al., 1998; Campal and Schipilov, 1995), 3) the Neo-Proterozoic sedimentary succession of the Arroyo del Soldato Group (pelites, limestones, sandstones and conglomerates without igneous fragments in the clastic fraction; Gaucher et al., 2004), 4) the Early Cambrian bimodal volcanoplutonic Sierra de las Animas Complex (with basalts and trachytes/rhyolites and plutonic equivalents, often intercalated by sedimentary successions) cropping out SW the city of Minas (Sanchez Bettucci and Rapalini, 2002; Mallmann et al., 2007), and 5) the Neo-Proterozoic-Early-Paleozoic Carapé granitic-gneissic complex, SE the city of Minas (Sanchez Bettucci et al., 2003; Mallmann et al., 2007). With the exception of the Carapé Granitic Complex, a detailed knowledge of the rest of the basement lithologies is missing and therefore a quantitative approach aiming to model the petrogenetic processes cannot be made. The "Carapé Granitic complex" comprises both the syn- and late- to post-orogenic granitoids, emplaced in the pre-Brasiliano basement of southern Uruguay. This complex is bounded by metamorphic rocks of Lavalleja Group, to the west, and by the Sierra Ballena Shear Zone to the east (Sanchez Bettucci et al., 2003).

Another possibility is that the SE Uruguay Early Cretaceous silicic rocks may be related to partial melting of coeval basaltic rocks. With regard to this option, two considerations on the volume of the basaltic rocks and their isotopic composition should be made. Differently from what observed in the rest of the Paraná basin, the area occupied by the basaltic outcrops in SE Uruguay is much smaller than the area covered by the silicic rocks. This could be considered an evidence against the hypothesis of a direct origin of the silicic rocks as partial melts of basaltic sources. However, unpublished geophysical data have detected the presence of dense bodies at upper crustal depths interpreted as gabbroic cumulates. Several lines of considerations are against the possibility of derivation of rhyolites as partial melts of cumulitic gabbroic rocks. If gabbroic cumulates are the protolith of the silicic rocks, a positive Eu/Eu* anomaly, as well as positive anomalies at Ba and Sr in primitive mantle-normalized patterns, should be expected. This is actually the opposite of what is recorded in the silicic rocks. Moreover, if the rhyolites are the partial melt of a gabbroic source, Sr–Nd isotopic similarity is expected between the two compositions. Unfortunately no isotopic data is available for this huge volume of gabbroic rocks, but we assume that the isotopic composition of the cumulates is similar to that of the rare basalt outcrops or drilled basaltic samples. Such a basaltic-rhyolitic Sr-Nd isotopic similarity has been identified in other CFB provinces (e.g., the Mozambican Lebombo rhyolites belonging to the Karoo igneous province; Melluso *et al.*, 2008) but not in SE Uruguay. SE Uruguay Early Cretaceous silicic rocks are very different from the coeval basaltic samples from a Sr–Nd isotopic point of view. Another problem regarding the origin of the SE Uruguay silicic rocks from a gabbroic source is linked to the heat necessary for the partial melting of shallow gabbroic bodies that should be very high. On these grounds a genetic link between coeval basalts (or buried gabbroic cumulates) and silicic rocks is considered unlikely.

The possibility of derivation of silicic rocks of SE Uruguay from lower crustal sources can be only qualitatively hypothesized. This is mainly based on the Sr-Nd isotopic similarity of some of the SE Uruguay silicic rocks (Minas and Salamanca) with average lower crustal lithologies, in particular for their unradiogenic Nd and mildly radiogenic Sr isotopic ratios (Fig. 7). Such a lower crustal source is not in isotopic equilibrium with basaltic outcrops or basaltic drilled samples and necessarily is not gabbroic cumulitic in composition because of the absence of Eu/Eu* positive anomalies in the silicic rocks. The high temperatures necessary to promote partial melting of such lower crustal lithologies (characterized by high solidus temperature compared to average upper crustal rocks) can be related either hypothesizing a thermal excess source (i.e., presence of mantle plume) or hypothesizing sinking of lower crustal lithologies into the hotter asthenospheric mantle after eclogitization processes. Such a delamination and detachment process of lower crustal lithologies (coupled with lithospheric mantle section) sinking into the asthenospheric mantle has been mathematically and petrologically modelled by several authors as consequence of the increase of density of eclogitic assemblages to values up to 3.8 g/cm³, higher than average upper mantle density of ~3.3 g/cm³ (see Lustrino, 2005 and references therein). A similar process (i.e., the presence of formerly basaltic rocks sunk down to mantle depths) has been proposed for other Paraná-Etendeka igneous rocks (e.g., the Urubici and Khumib magma types; Peate et al., 1999; Ewart et al., 2004).

Evolution processes

SE Uruguay silicic volcanic rocks follow the thermal trough between trachytic and rhyolitic minima in the petrogeny residua's system (Hamilton and MacKenzie, 1965). The same trend has been recognized for Valle Chico rocks (Lustrino *et al.*, 2005) and Arequita series (Kirstein *et al.*, 2000). Major and trace element variations are qualitatively consistent with a process of fractional crystallization involving feldspars, clinopyroxene and Fe–Ti–oxides, but a fractional crystallization alone, cannot explain the geochemical and isotopic features of these rocks. A process of fractional crystallization starting from a basaltic magma (Santa Lucía-type) to quartz-trachytic com-

positions and then from this to a rhyolitic magma has been taken into consideration, using the mass-balance calculation with the algorithm of Stormer and Nicholls (1978; Table 3b). Although major element FC-model gives acceptable results ($\sum R^2 < 1$), the Rayleigh fractionation model for the trace elements does not fit the observed compositions. Furthermore, the large variations of some incompatible trace element ratios such as Rb/Nb and Zr/ Nb with SiO₂ (Figs. 8a and b), require magma evolution in an open system, which is also indicated by the wide range of Sr-Nd isotopic values (Fig. 7). The large variation involving especially alkali elements can be partly related to mobilization by secondary processes. As already evidenced, post-emplacement alteration is recorded by silicification processes, and therefore more emphasis should be put on elements that are relatively immobile in late-stage magmatic fluid systems (e.g., Zr, Ti, Nb, Th, REE). However, the concentration of these elements is strongly dependant on 1) the presence of accessory phases, 2) their stability in differentiated magmas and fluids and 3) the adopted partition coefficients. As an example, Melluso et al. (2008) calculated that the drop of Zr content in Mozambican rhyolites from ~1100 ppm to ~440 ppm can be accounted only for by ~0.15% fractionation of zircon. Similarly, removal of very small amount (<0.1 wt%) of monazite, apatite or other REE- or HFSEbearing exotic phases can drastically reduce the content of these elements. Moreover, available partition coefficients of HREE in zircon in equilibrium with rhyolitic melts vary of more than one order of magnitude (e.g., Miller and Harris, 2007 and references therein). Alkalirich silicic liquids may have very high partition coefficients for some "incompatible trace elements" (e.g., Fedele et al., 2009). In conclusion we believe that fractional crystallization processes had an important role in the genesis of SE Uruguay silicic rocks but other processes like variable degrees of different crustal sources and/ or AFC-type processes played a major role during magmatic evolution.

The role of the local crystalline basement

It is not possible to argue for or against an important role of the local crystalline basement rocks as contaminants for the Early Cretaceous SE Uruguay melts. Anyway, a few considerations can be made. The bulk (~80– 85%) of the meta-igneous rocks belonging to the Neo-Proterozoic Lavalleja Formation are basaltic (from metabasalt to meta-gabbro with both alkaline and tholeiitic composition) and show SiO₂ content lower than 65 wt% (Sanchez Bettucci *et al.*, 2001), which strongly contrasts with the much more differentiated composition of the bulk of the Early Cretaceous volcanic rocks of SE Uruguay studied here (essentially with SiO₂ higher than 70 wt%). The only (incomplete) chemical analyses reported for the



Fig. 8. (a) Plot of Rb/Nb vs. SiO₂ (wt%) for SE Uruguayan volcanic silicic rocks. Lavalleja and Aigüa series (Kirstein et al., 2000), and Valle Chico (Lustrino et al., 2005) fields are shown for comparison. In light and dark grey fields are shown Chapecó and Palmas rhyolites of Paraná, respectively (Garland et al., 1995). (b) Zr/Nb vs. SiO₂ for Lascano, Salamanca, Sierra São Miguel, Minas rhyolites compared with Lavalleja, Aigüa. Low-Ti Palmas rhyolites (Garland et al., 1995) are shown in dark grey field. LA, Lascano rhyolites; SM, Sierra São Miguel rhyolites; RS, Rio Salamanca quartz-trachytes and rhyolites; MI, Minas rhyolites; VC, Valle Chico trachytes and quartz-trachytes (Lustrino et al., 2005); SL, Santa Lucía basalts (Kirstein et al., 2000). (c) Th/Nb vs. Th of SE Uruguayan silicic rocks. For AFC model have been used D_{Nb} and $D_{th} = 0.01$. LA, Lascano rhyolites; RS, Rio Salamanca quartz-trachytes; VC, Valle Chico trachytes; SM, Salamanca quartz-trachytes and rhyolites; SM, Sierra São Miguel rhyolites; AQ, Minas rhyolites; VC, Valle Chico trachytes; SM, Salamanca quartz-trachytes and rhyolites; SM, Sierra São Miguel rhyolites; AQ, Minas rhyolites; VC, Valle Chico trachytes (Lustrino et al., 2005); SL, Santa Lucía basalts; VC, Valle Chico trachytes and quartz-trachytes and rhyolites; AQ, Minas rhyolites; VC, Valle Chico trachytes (Lustrino et al., 2005); SL, Santa Lucía basalts (Kirstein et al., 2005); SL, Santa Lucía basalts (Kirstein et al., 2000).

few (three) meta-volcanic Neo-Proterozoic rocks (rhyolites to dacites) of SE Uruguay are characterized by several geochemical characteristics (e.g., low Th/Nb ratios, low Rb, low Zr, low La) that are not compatible with an AFC-type contamination process starting from mildly evolved melts. As the SiO₂ content of the bulk of the Early Cretaceous rocks is roughly similar or higher compared to the most evolved igneous composition of the Neo-Proterozoic basement rocks of SE Uruguay, a very high r values in AFC-type calculation should be necessary and this is thermodynamically hard to hypothesize. Moreover, the Neoproterozoic meta-rhyolites of SE Uruguay are characterized by a much steeper REE pattern in chondritenormalized diagrams (figure 10 of Sanchez Bettucci et al., 2001), lower LREE_N (<200 times chondrite) and lower $HREE_{N}$ (<8 times chondrite), features that contrast with the less fractionated pattern of the Early Cretaceous silicic rocks of SE Uruguay (Fig. 6) and absence of fractionation between MREE and HREE (flat pattern; Fig. 6).

Another potential contaminant of the Early Cretaceous melts is the Aigüa Neoproterozoic calcalkaline batholith. Unfortunately, little is known of this terrane. It is considered as the remnant of a magmatic arc, possibly connected with the roughly coeval Pelotas and Florianopolis Batholiths to the NE (Basei *et al.*, 2000, 2005). Also the Carapé Granitic Complex could be considered a potential candidate as the contaminant of SE Uruguay Early Cretaceous magmas. However, the rocks belonging to this formation show some geochemical characteristics (e.g., lower TiO₂, Fe₂O₃, Zr and Rb, and higher Sr and Ba compared to the Early Cretaceous SE Uruguay silicic rocks; Sanchez Bettucci *et al.*, 2003) rendering improbable any role in the petrogenesis of the Early Cretaceous SE Uruguay silicic rocks.

To summarize, a role of the basement rocks as contaminant of the Early Cretaceous melts of SE Uruguay is not constrainable by the available geochemical data. Anyway, a role of the Precambrian basement rocks cannot be excluded because the analyses reported in literature may be not representative of the entire lithologies of the Neoproterozoic Lavalleja Formation.

AFC model and mixing

The increasing pattern of strongly incompatible/lessso-strongly incompatible element ratios with SiO₂ coupled with the troughs at Nb–Ta and positive peaks at Pb in primitive mantle-normalized diagrams, as well as the wide range of Sr–Nd isotopic ratios of SE Uruguay rhyolites suggest an involvement of crustal material in their genesis. Many authors have already recognised the effects of crustal contamination for the Lavalleja series in SE Uruguay (e.g., Turner *et al.*, 1999; Kirstein *et al.*, 2000), and for Gramado and Palmas rhyolites in Paraná–

Etendeka Province (e.g., Piccirillo and Melfi, 1988; Piccirillo et al., 1989; Garland et al., 1995; Peate and Hawkesworth, 1996; Ewart et al., 1998). In other cases, Early Cretaceous silicic rocks from the Paraná-Etendeka-Angola igneous province have been considered dominantly crustal partial melts (e.g., Trumbull et al., 2004). Kirstein et al. (2000) proposed for the Lavalleja series an AFC process involving a parental Treinte Y Trés-type basaltic magma and a Brazilian leucogranite as assimilant, obtaining a rate of assimilation to fractional crystallization (r) = 0.4. Garland *et al.* (1995) used a partial melt of meta-pelitic upper crust as an assimilant to model the genesis of Palmas rhyolites in terms of AFC process starting from a Low-Ti Gramado basalt, with a r = 0.5. The calculation performed by Kirstein et al. (2000) used a geographically very far contaminant because at that time nothing was known on the geochemistry of the pre-Cambrian basement rocks of Uruguay. During the last nine years, several papers have been published on this argument and a clearer scenario is now emerging. A quantitative AFC modelling for the SE Uruguay rhyolites cannot be performed for several reasons explained above and therefore only a qualitative (or semi-quantitative) approach can be presented (Fig. 8c). The sample MAR22 (Santa Lucía-type basalt; Lustrino et al., 2005) has been chosen as parental melt, and Neoproterozoic granites of Maldonado area (Oyhantçabal et al., 2007) and a metarhyolite near Minas (Sanchez Bettucci et al., 2003) have been chosen as contaminants. The results of geochemical modelling using the three potential contaminants are nearly similar. The Th/Nb vs. Th diagram (Fig. 8c) shows AFC results using a Maldonado granite as contaminant. Salamanca quartz-trachytes cluster at ~70% fractional crystallization and $r \sim 0.05$. Salamanca rhyolites cluster at lower degrees of fractionation between 60 and 50% and r values ranging from 0.1 to 0.3, whereas Minas rhyolites plot on about 40% fractionation curve with r values ~0.4.

The AFC-type results can explain the petrogenesis of Minas and Salamanca rocks (the south-west rocks group) but fail to explain the petrogenesis of the north-eastern rhyolites (Sierra São Miguel and Lascano) because of their higher Th/Nb ratio. For these rocks an improbalby high r value (>0.5) would be required (Fig. 8c). On the other hand, a mixing process between a basaltic and a rhyolitic liquid is more reasonable. The calculated mixing curve gives a best fit for the Sierra São Miguel rhyolites (mixing with 40% granitic magma); Lascano rhyolites plot between towards higher amounts of granitic contamination. The presence of a large magmatic intrusion could easily cause partial melting of the surrounding crustal rocks (Turner *et al.*, 1999).

Both upper and lower crustal contaminants seem to be involved in the genesis of SE Uruguay silicic rocks (Fig. 7). For this reason also the rocks that seem to be characterized by a stronger lower-crustal signature have been put in the AFC scheme of Fig. 8c. The qualitative conclusions of this approach are: 1) the Sr–Nd isotope ratios furnish a definitive and valuable evidence for open system behaviour of silicic magma plumbing of SE Uruguay; 2) a three-component mixing or assimilation (basaltic melt, a lower and an upper crustal component) is involved at minimum; 3) the basaltic parental magma can be evolved up to quartz-trachytic compositions (e.g., the Rio Salamanca quartz trachytes) in a closed system and only then, at the transition between lower and upper crust, can have experienced digestion of crustal lithologies in shallower magma chambers.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Early Cretaceous (~129 Ma) quartz trachytes and rhyolites crop out between Laguna Merín and Santa Lucía basins, in the south-eastern edge of Paraná Province. These rocks are tectonically associated with important shear zones suturing different Pan-African or older metamorphic terranes. This implies an important role of crustal anisotropies either in focusing partial melts to the surface or as protoliths of silicic melts. The main outcrop areas are at Lascano, Salamanca, Sierra São Miguel and Minas. The Lascano silicic rocks have a wide range of major and trace elements content, the Sierra São Miguel rocks show the less evolved compositions, while the Salamanca and Minas rocks are the most differentiated types.

Major element variations are qualitatively consistent with fractionation of feldspar, clinopyroxene and opaque minerals. However, the wide range of Sr–Nd initial isotopic ratios indicates AFC-type processes between a basaltic parental liquid and crustal rocks or mixing processes between a basaltic and a crust-derived partial melt at different depths. Qualitative modelling is consistent with very high degrees of r for Lascano rhyolites involving upper crustal lithologies. The absence of direct sampling (or literature data) of local upper and lower crustal lithologies has hampered a more quantitative petrogenetic approach. Neoproterozoic granitic intrusions related to the Brasiliano orogenic cycle or meta-igneous rocks of Lavalleja Group are potential candidates for upper crustal contaminant.

Low ¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd₍₁₂₉₎ (\mathcal{E}_{Nd} down to -13) coupled with relatively low ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr₍₁₂₉₎ (down to 0.708) isotopic ratios of rocks cropping out in SE sectors (Salamanca and Minas quartz-trachytes and rhyolites) is possibly related to interaction with lower rather than upper crustal lithologies starting from Santa Lucía-type basaltic melts, thought an origin of these rocks from partial melting (~20%) of lower crust cannot be excluded. Mixing between basaltic (Santa Lucía-type) and rhyolitic melts (derived from partial melting of crustal lithologies forced to melt as consequence of the heat released by basaltic magma) is not favoured being disequilibrium paragenesis rare or absent in the Minas and Salamanca rhyolites. For the rest of rocks (Sierra São Miguel rhyolites) Sr–Nd isotopes indicate variable contribution of different components (basaltic, lower- and upper-crustal).

Acknowledgments—M.L. expresses his warm thanks to Enzo M. Piccirillo and Pino Fantauzzi (University of Trieste) for the help with XRF and the hospitality during the analytical work in Trieste. Marcello Serracino is thanked for his usual skilled assistance during EMP work. The comments of L. Kirstein (Edinburgh, UK), S. Duggen (Kiel, Germany), J. Marsh (Grahamstown, South Africa), Robert B. Trumbull (Potsdam, Germany) and two anonymous reviewers on early versions of the manuscript sensibly improved the overall quality of the work. Leda Sanchez Bettucci is thanked for her help in deciphering the complex geological evolution of Uruguay. M.L. thanks Enrica, Bianca and Laura for their patience during the writing of this manuscript and J. J. Cale for his grasshopper.

REFERENCES

- Almeida, F. F. M., Hasui, Y., De Brito Neves, B. B. and Fuck, R. A. (1984) Brazilian structural provinces: an introduction. *Earth Sci. Rev.* 17, 1–29.
- Almeida, F. F. M., De Brito Neves, B. B. and Carneiro Dal Re, C. (2000) The origin and evolution of the South American Platform. *Earth Sci. Rev.* **50**, 77–111.
- Basei, M. A. S., Siga, O., Jr., Masquelin, H., Harara, O. M., Reis Neto, J. M. and Preciozzi, F. (2000) The Dom Feliciano Belt of Brazil and Uruguay and its foreland domain, the Rio de la Plata Craton: framework, tectonic evolution and correlation with similar provinces of southwestern Africa. *Tectonic Evolution of South America* (Cordani, U. G., Milani, E. J., Thomaz Filho, A. and Campos, D. A., eds.), 311–334, 31st Int. Geol. Congr., Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
- Basei, M. A. S., Frimmel, H. E., Nutman, A. P., Preciozzi, F. and Jacob, J. (2005) A connection between the Neoproterozoic Dom Feliciano (Brazil/Uruguay) and Gariep (Namibia/South Africa) orogenic belts—evidence from a reconnaissance provenance study. *Precam. Res.* 139, 195– 221.
- Bossi, J. (1966) *Geologia del Uruguay*. Dept. to de Publ. Univ. de la Repubblica, Montevideo, 460 pp.
- Bossi, J. and Gaucher, C. (2004) The Cuchilla Dionisio Terrane, Uruguay: an allochtonous block accreted in the Cambrian to SW-Gondwana. *Gondwana Res.* **7**, 661–674.
- Bossi, J., Ferrando, L., Montana, J., Campal, N., Morales, H., Gancio, F., Schipilov, A., Pineyro, D. and Sprechmann, P. (1998) Carta geologica del Uruguay. escala 1:500.000 Geoeditores, Montevideo, Uruguay.
- Campal, N. and Schipilov, A. (1995) The Illescas luish quartz rapakivi granite (Uruguay–South America): some geological features. *Symp. Rapakivi Granites and Related Rocks*, Belém, Brazil, 18 pp.
- Campal, N. and Schipilov, A. (1999) The eastern edge of the

Rio de la Plata Craton: a history of tangential collisions. *Tectonics* **13**, 33–48.

- Erlank, A. J., Marsh, J. S., Duncan, A. R., Miller, R. Mc. G., Hawkesworth, C. J., Betton, P. J. and Rex, D. C. (1984) Geochemistry and petrogenesis of the Etendeka volcanic rocks from South West Africa/Namibia. *Spec. Publ. Geol. Soc. London* 13, 195–245.
- Ernesto, M., Marques, L. S., Piccirillo, E. M., Molina, E. C., Ussami, M., Comin-Chiaramonti, P. and Bellieni, G. (2002)
 Paraná magmatic province–Tristan da Cunha plume system: fixed versus mobile plume, petrogenetic considerations and alternative heat sources. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 118, 15–36.
- Ewart, A., Milner, S. C., Armstrong, R. A. and Duncan, A. R. (1998) Etendeka volcanism of the Gobobosed Mountains and Messum Igneous Complex, Namibia. Part 1: geochemical evidence of Early Cretaceous Tristan plume melts and the role of crustal contamination in the Paraná– Etendeka. J. Petrol. **39**, 191–225.
- Ewart, A., Marsh, J. S., Milner, S. C., Duncan, A. R., Kamber, B. S. and Armstrong, R. A. (2004) Petrology and geochemistry of early Cretaceous bimodal continental flood volcanism of the NW Etendeka, Namibia. Part 1: introduction, mafic lavas and re-evaluation of mantle source components. J. Petrol. 45, 59–105.
- Fedele, L., Zanetti, A., Morra, V., Lustrino, M., Melluso, L. and Vannucci, R. (2009) Clinopyroxene/liquid trace element partitioning in natural trachyte-trachyphonolite systems: insights from Campi Flegrei (southern Italy). *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.* (in press).
- Fragoso Cesar, A. R. S., Figueiredo, M. C. H., Soliani, E. J. and Faccini, U. F. (1986) O batolito Pelotas (Proterozoico superior/Eopaleozoico) no Escudo do Rio Grande do Sul. *Congr. Bras. De Geol. Anais, SBG* 34 1322–1343.
- Gallagher, K. and Hawkesworth, C. (1994) Mantle plumes, continental magmatism and asymmetry in the south Atlantic. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **123**, 105–117.
- Garland, F., Hawkesworth, C. J. and Mantovani, M. S. M. (1995) Description and petrogenesis of the Paraná rhyolites, Southern Brazil. J. Petrol. **36**, 1193–1227.
- Gaucher, C., Chiglino, L. and Pecoits, E. (2004) Southernmost exposures of the Arroyo del Soldato Group (Vendian to Cambrian, Uruguay): palaeogeographic implications for the amalgamation of W-Gondwana. *Gondwana Res.* **7**, 701–714.
- Hamilton, D. L. and MacKenzie, W. S. (1965) Phase-equilibrium studies in the system NaAlSiO₄ (nepheline)–KAlSiO₄ (kalsilite)–SiO₂–H₂O. *Min. Mag.* **34**, 214–231.
- Hartmann, L. A., Piñeyro, D., Bossi, J., Leite, J. A. D. and McNaughton, N. J. (2000) Zircon U–Pb SHRIMP dating of Proterozoic Isla Mala granitic magmatism in the Rio de la Plata Craton, Uruguay. J. South Am. Earth Sci. 13, 105– 113.
- Irvine, T. N. and Baragar, W. R. A. (1971) A guide to the chemical classification of the common volcanic rocks. *Can. J. Earth Sci.* **8**, 523–548.
- Kawashita, K. (1972) O método Rb–Sr em rochas sedimentares. Unpublished thesis, Istituto de Geociências, USP, Brazil, 111 pp.
- Kirstein, L. A., Peate, D. W., Hawkesworth, C. J., Turner, S. P.,

Harris, C. and Mantovani, M. S. M. (2000) Early Cretaceous basaltic and rhyolitc magmatism in Southern Uruguay associated with the opening of the South Atlantic. *J. Petrol.* **41**, 1413–1438.

- Kirstein, L. A., Hawkesworth, C. J. and Garland, F. G. (2001a) Felsic lavas or rheomorphic ignimbrites: is there a chemical distinction? *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.* 142, 309–322.
- Kirstein, L. A., Kelley. S., Hawkesworth, C., Turner, S., Mantovani, M. and Wijbrans, J. (2001b) Protracted felsic magmatic activity associated with the opening of the South Atlantic. J. Geol. Soc. London 158, 583–592.
- Le Bas, M. J., Le Maitre, R. W., Streckeisen, A. and Zanettin, B. (1986) A chemical classification of volcanic rocks based on the total alkali-silica diagram. J. Petrol. 27, 745–750.
- Lustrino, M. (2005) How the delamination and detachment of lower crust can influence basaltic magmatism. *Earth Sci. Rev.* 72, 21–38.
- Lustrino, M., Gomes, C. B., Melluso, L., Morbidelli, L., Muzio, R., Ruberti, E. and Tassinari, C. C. G. (2003) Early Cretaceous magmatic activity in SE Uruguay: trace element and Sr-Nd isotopic constraints. *IV South American Symposium* on Isotope Geology, Salvador-Ba, Brazil, August 24–27, 596–597.
- Lustrino, M., Melluso, L., Brotzu, P., Gomes, C. B., Morbidelli, L., Muzio, R., Ruberti, E. and Tassinari, C. C. G. (2005) Petrogenesis of the early Cretaceous Valle Chico igneous complex (SE Uruguay): relationship with Paraná–Etendeka magmatism. *Lithos* 82, 407–434.
- Mallmann, G., Chemale, F., Jr., Avila, J. N., Kawashita, K. and Armstrong, R. A. (2007) Isotope geochemistry and geochronology of the Nico Pérez Terrane, Rio de la Plata Craton, Uruguay. *Gondwana Res.* 12, 489–508.
- Mantovani, M. S. M., Vasconelles, A. C. B. C. and Shukowsky, W. (1991) Brusque transect (SA20) from Dom Feliciano belt to the Amazon craton: explanatory pamphlet. Global Geoscience Transects Project.
- Marques, L. S., Dupré, B. and Piccirillo, E. M. (1999) Mantle source compositions of the Paraná Magmatic Province (Southern Brazil): evidence from trace element and Sr–Nd– Pb isotope geochemistry. J. Geodyn. 28, 439–458.
- Marzoli, A., Melluso, L., Morra, V., Renne, P. R., Sgrosso, I., D'Antonio, M., Duarte Morais, L., Morais, E. A. A. and Ricci, G. (1999) Geochronology and petrology of Cretaceous basaltic magmatism in the Kwanza basin (western Angola), and relationships with the Paraná–Etendeka continental flood basalt province. J. Geodyn. 28, 341–356.
- May, S. E. (1990) Pan-African magmatism and regional tectonics of South Brazil. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Earth Sciences, Open University.
- Melluso, L., Cucciniello, C., Petrone, C. M., Lustrino, M., Morra, V., Tiepolo, M. and Vasconcelos, L. (2008). Petrology of Karoo volcanic rocks in the southern Lebombo monocline, Mozambique. J. Afr. Earth Sci. 52, 139–151.
- Miller, J. A. and Harris, C. (2007) Petrogenesis of the Swaziland and Northern Natal rhyolites of the Lebombo rifted margin, South East Africa. J. Petrol. 48, 185–218.
- Muller, R. D., Royer, J. Y. and Lawver, R. A. (1993) Revised plate motions relative to the hot spots from combined Atlantic and Indian hot spot tracks. *Geology* **21**, 275–278.

- Muzio, R. (2000) Evolução petrológica e geocronologia do Maciço alcalino Valle Chico, Uruguay. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, IGCE-UNEPS, Rio Claro, 171 pp.
- Muzio, R., Artur, A. C. and Wernik, E. (2002) Petrological and geochemical evolution of the alkaline Valle Chico Massif, southeastern Uruguay. *Int. Geol. Rev.* 44, 352–369.
- O'Connor, J. M. and Duncan, R. A. (1990) Evolution of the Walvis ridge—Rio Grande rise hot spot system. Implication for African and South American plates over plumes. J. Geophys. Res. 95, 17475–17502.
- Oyhantçabal, P., Siegesmund, S., Wemmer, K., Frei, R. and Layer, P. (2007) Post-collisional transition from calcalkaline to alkaline magmatism during trascurrent deformation in the southernmost Dom Feliciano Belt (Braziliano– Pan-african, Uruguay). *Lithos* **98**, 141–159.
- Peate, D. W. (1997) The Paraná–Etendeka Province. Large Igneous Provinces: Continental, Oceanic and Planetary Flood Volcanism (Mahoney, J. J. and Coffin, M. F., eds.), Geophys. Mon. (AGU) 1000, 217–245.
- Peate, D. W. and Hawkesworth, C. J. (1996) Lithospheric to asthenospheric transition in low-Ti flood basalts from southern Paraná, Brazil. *Chem. Geol.* **127**, 1–24.
- Peate, D. W., Hawkesworth, C. J., Mantovani, M. M. S., Rogers, N. W. and Turner, S. P. (1999) Petrogenesis and stratigraphy of the high-Ti/U Urubici magma type in the Paraná flood basalt province and implications for the nature of "Dupal"type mantle in the South Atlantic region. *J. Petrol.* 40, 451– 473.
- Piccirillo, E. M. and Melfi, A. J. (eds.) (1988) The Mesozoic Flood Volcanism of the Paraná Basin: Petrogenetic and Geophysical Aspects. Instituto Astronomico e Geofisico, University of São Paulo, 600 pp.
- Piccirillo, E. M., Civetta, L., Petrini, R., Longinelli, A., Bellieni, G., Comin-Chiaramonti, P., Marques, L. S. and Melfi, A. J. (1989) Regional variations within the Paraná flood basalts (southern Brazil): evidence for subcontinental mantle heterogeneity and crustal contamination. *Chem. Geol.* **75**, 103– 122.
- Renne, P. R., Ernesto, M., Pacca, I. G., Coe, R. S., Glen, J. M., Prévot, M. and Perrin, M. (1992) The age of Paraná flood volcanism, rifting of Gondwanaland and the Jurassic– Cretaceous boundary. *Science* 258, 975–979.
- Renne, P. R., Glen, J. M., Milner, S. C. and Duncan, A. R. (1996) Age of Etendeka flood volcanism and associated intrusions in southwestern Africa. *Geology* 24, 659–662.
- Rogers, J. J. W., Unrug, R. and Sultan, M. (1995) Tectonic assembly of Gondwana. J. Geodyn. 19, 1–34.
- Ruberti, E., Gomes, C. B., Tassinari, C. C. G., Antonimi, P. and Comin-Chiaramonti, P. (2005) The Early Cretaceous Valle Chico complex (Mariscala, SE Uruguay). *Mesozoic to*

Cenozoic Alkaline Magmatism in the Brazilian Platform (Comin-Chiaramonti, P. and Gomes, C. B., eds.), 501–627, Editora da Universidade de São Paulo, FAPESP, São Paulo.

- Sanchez Bettucci, L. and Rapalini, A. E. (2002) Paleomagnetism of the Sierra de Las Animas Complex, southern Uruguay: its implications in the assembly of western Gondwana. *Precambr. Res.* **118**, 243–265.
- Sanchez Bettucci, L., Cosarinsky, M. and Ramos, V. A. (2001) Tectonic setting of the Late Proterozoic Lavalleja Group (Dom Feliciano Belt), Uruguay. *Gondwana Res.* 4, 395–407.
- Sanchez Bettucci, L., Oyhantçabal, P., Page, S. and Ramos, V. A. (2003) Petrography and geochemistry of the Carapé Granitic Complex (Southeastern Uruguay). *Gondwana Res.* 6, 89–115.
- Sato, K., Tassinari, C. G. C., Kawashita, K. and Petronillo, L. (1995) O método geocronológico Sm–Nd no IG-USP e suas aplicações. An. Acad. Bras. Cienc. 67, 313–336.
- Schmitt, A. K., Emmermann, R., Trumbull, R. B., Buhn, B. and Henjes-Kunst, F. (2000) Petrogenesis and ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar geochronology of the Brandberg complex, Namibia: evidence for a major mantle contribution in metaluminous and peralkaline granites. J. Petrol. 41, 1207–1239.
- Sheth, H. (2007) 'Large Igneous Provinces (LIPs)': Definition, recommended terminology, and a hierarchical classification. *Earth Sci. Rev.* **85**, 117–124.
- Stewart, K., Turner, S., Kelley, S., Hawkesworth, C., Kirstein, L. and Mantovani, M. (1996) 3-D, ⁴⁰Ar-³⁹Ar geochronology in the Paraná continental flood basalt province. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **143**, 95–109.
- Stormer, J. C., Jr. and Nicholls, J. (1978) XLFRAC: a program for the interactive testing of magmatic differentiation models. *Comp. Geosci.* 4, 143–159.
- Sun, S.-S. and McDonough, W. F. (1989) Chemical and isotopic systematics of oceanic basalts. *Magmatism in the Ocean Basins* (Saunders, A. D. and Norry, M. J., eds.), Geol. *Soc. Spec. Publ.* 42, 313–345.
- Trumbull, R. B., Harris, C., Frindt, S. and Wigand, M. (2004) Oxygen and neodymium isotope evidence for source diversity in Cretaceous anorogenic granites from Namibia and implications for A-type granite genesis. *Lithos* 73, 21–40.
- Turner, S. P., Kirstein, L. A., Hawkesworth, C. J., Peate, D. W., Hallinan, S. and Mantovani, M. S. M. (1999): Petrogenesis of an 800 m lava sequence in eastern Uruguay: insights into magma chamber processes beneath the Paraná flood basalt province. J. Geodyn. 28, 471–487.
- Veroslavsky, G. (1999) Geologia da Bacia de Santa Lucía-Uruguai. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, IGCE-Unesp, Rio Claro, 152 pp.
- White, R. S. and McKenzie, D. (1995) Mantle plumes and flood basalts. *J. Geophys. Res.* **100**, 543–586.