

# Analysis of Deutsch-Jozsa Quantum Algorithm

Zhengjun Cao<sup>1</sup>, Jeffrey Uhlmann<sup>2</sup>, Lihua Liu<sup>3,\*</sup>

**Abstract.** Deutsch-Jozsa quantum algorithm is of great importance to quantum computation. It directly inspired Shor's factoring algorithm. In this note, we remark that Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm has confused two unitary transformations: one is performed on a pure state, the other is performed on a superposition. In the past decades, no constructive specification on the essential unitary operator performed on a superposition has been found. Thus, we think the algorithm needs more specifications so as to facilitate the construction of the wanted quantum oracle.

**Keywords:** quantum computing, Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm, Shor's algorithm, superposition.

## 1 Introduction

Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm [5] is one of the first examples of a quantum algorithm that is exponentially faster than any possible deterministic classical algorithm. The algorithm has become the cornerstone for quantum computation and inspired Grover's algorithm [7] and Shor's algorithm [13]. In this note, we want to point out that Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm has confused two unitary transformations: one is performed on a pure state, the other is performed on a superposition. So far, no constructive specifications on the essential unitary transformation performed on a superposition have been found. We believe this fact renders the algorithm somewhat dubious.

## 2 Preliminaries

A qubit is a quantum state  $|\Psi\rangle$  of the form  $|\Psi\rangle = a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle$ , where the amplitudes  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$ ,  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  are basis vectors of the Hilbert space. Two quantum mechanical systems are combined using the tensor product. For example, a system of two

---

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai, 200444, China.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Computer Science, University of Missouri, Columbia, USA.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Maritime University, Shanghai, 201306, China. \* liulh@shmtu.edu.cn

qubits  $|\Psi\rangle = a_1|0\rangle + a_2|1\rangle$  and  $|\Phi\rangle = b_1|0\rangle + b_2|1\rangle$  can be written as

$$|\Psi\rangle|\Phi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1b_1 \\ a_1b_2 \\ a_2b_1 \\ a_2b_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Its shorthand notation is  $|\Psi, \Phi\rangle$ .

Operations on a qubit are described by  $2 \times 2$  unitary matrices. Of these, the most important is the Hadamard gate  $H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Clearly,  $H|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$ ,  $H^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = I_2$ .

### 3 Deutsch-Jozsa quantum algorithm

Let  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ . The Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm needs a quantum oracle computing  $f(x)$  from  $x$  which doesn't decohere  $x$ . It begins with the  $n + 1$  bit state  $|0\rangle^{\otimes n}|1\rangle$ . That is, the first  $n$  qubits are each in the state  $|0\rangle$  and the final qubit is in the state  $|1\rangle$ .

A Hadamard gate is applied to each qubit to obtain the following state

$$H^{\otimes(n+1)} : |0\rangle^{\otimes n}|1\rangle \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} |x\rangle(|0\rangle - |1\rangle). \quad (1)$$

Suppose that the oracle  $\mathcal{U}_f : |x\rangle|y\rangle \longrightarrow |x\rangle|y \oplus f(x)\rangle$  is available, where  $\oplus$  is addition modulo 2.

Applying the quantum oracle, it gives

$$\mathcal{W} : \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} |x\rangle(|0\rangle - |1\rangle) \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} |x\rangle(|f(x)\rangle - |1 \oplus f(x)\rangle). \quad (2)$$

For each  $x$ ,  $f(x)$  is either 0 or 1. The state can be written as  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{f(x)} |x\rangle(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$ .

Ignoring the last qubit and applying the Hadamard gate to each of the first  $n$  qubits, it gives

$$H^{\otimes n} : \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{f(x)} |x\rangle \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{f(x)} \left[ \sum_{y=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{x \cdot y} |y\rangle \right] \quad (3)$$

where  $x \cdot y = x_0y_0 \oplus x_1y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus x_{n-1}y_{n-1}$  is the sum of the bitwise product. The above new superposition can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{y=0}^{2^n-1} \left[ \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{f(x)} (-1)^{x \cdot y} \right] |y\rangle.$$

The probability for measuring the state  $|0\rangle^{\otimes n}$  is  $\left| \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{f(x)} \right|^2$ .

## 4 Analysis of Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm

The process of Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm can be described as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{|00 \cdots 0\rangle}_n |1\rangle &\xrightarrow{H^{\otimes(n+1)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} |x\rangle(|0\rangle - |1\rangle) \\
&\xrightarrow{W} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} |x\rangle(|f(x)\rangle - |1 \oplus f(x)\rangle) \\
&\xrightarrow[\text{and obtaining the state}]{\text{ignoring the last qubit}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{f(x)} |x\rangle \\
&\xrightarrow{H^{\otimes n}} \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{f(x)} \left[ \sum_{y=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{x \cdot y} |y\rangle \right] \\
&\xrightarrow[\text{obtaining its probability}]{\text{observing the state and}} \underbrace{|00 \cdots 0\rangle}_n.
\end{aligned}$$

### 4.1 How to practically construct the oracle performed on a pure state

In Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm, the quantum oracle  $\mathcal{U}_f : |x\rangle|y\rangle \rightarrow |x\rangle|y \oplus f(x)\rangle$  must be of the form

$$\mathcal{U}_f = I_2^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{V}_f,$$

where  $I_2$  is the  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix and  $\mathcal{V}_f$  is a  $2 \times 2$  unitary matrix.

Suppose that  $\mathcal{V}_f = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ X_3 & X_4 \end{bmatrix}$ . We have  $\mathcal{V}_f|y\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ X_3 & X_4 \end{bmatrix} |y\rangle = |y \oplus f(x)\rangle$ . If  $y = 0$ , then  $|0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . It gives  $\begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_3 \end{pmatrix} = |f(x)\rangle$ . Since  $f(x) \in \{0, 1\}$ , we obtain  $X_1, X_3 \in \{0, 1\}$ . If  $y = 1$ , then  $|1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . It gives  $\begin{pmatrix} X_2 \\ X_4 \end{pmatrix} = |1 \oplus f(x)\rangle$ . Since  $f(x) \in \{0, 1\}$ , we obtain  $X_2, X_4 \in \{0, 1\}$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{V}_f$  is in the set

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Clearly, to determine  $\mathcal{V}_f$ , one has to invoke the classical computational result  $f(x)$ . That means the unitary matrix  $\mathcal{V}_f$  should be further specified as  $\mathcal{V}_{f(x)}$ . The notation is very useful because it indicates the constructive specification of the involved unitary matrix. So it is better to rewrite the quantum oracle as

$$\mathcal{U}_{f(x)} = I_2^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{f(x)}.$$

Note that the construction of the oracle depends essentially on the classical computational result  $f(x)$ . Besides, the oracle is performed on the pure state  $|x\rangle|y\rangle$ .

## 4.2 Is it possible to construct the oracle performed on a superposition

The unitary operator  $\mathcal{W}$  is performed on the superposition  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} |x\rangle(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$  and keeps the states of the first  $n$  qubits. Hence, it can be decomposed as  $\mathcal{W} = I_2^{\otimes n} \otimes \Gamma$ , where  $\Gamma$  is a  $2 \times 2$  unitary matrix.

By the description of Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm, we have

$$\mathcal{W} = I_2^{\otimes n} \otimes \Gamma = \mathcal{U}_{f(x)} = I_2^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{f(x)}.$$

That means one has to extract a classical computational result  $f(x)$  from the superposition  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} |x\rangle(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$  in order to construct the operator  $\mathcal{W}$  practically. Since  $x$  runs through all values  $0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$ , one has to measure the superposition so as to obtain a value  $\hat{x}$ .

Once the value  $\hat{x}$  is measured, applying  $\mathcal{W} = I_2^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{f(\hat{x})}$  to  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} |x\rangle(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$  will produce one state of the following

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} |x\rangle \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} (|0\rangle - |1\rangle), \text{ or } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} |x\rangle \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} (|0\rangle - |1\rangle), \\ & \text{or } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} |x\rangle \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} (|0\rangle - |1\rangle), \text{ or } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} |x\rangle \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} (|0\rangle - |1\rangle), \\ & \text{or } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} |x\rangle \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} (|0\rangle - |1\rangle), \text{ or } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} |x\rangle \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} (|0\rangle - |1\rangle), \end{aligned}$$

not the wanted state  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} |x\rangle(|f(x)\rangle - |1 \oplus f(x)\rangle)$ .

All in all, it has confused a quantum oracle performed on a pure state with a quantum oracle performed on a superposition. We now want to ask: “is it possible to construct the wanted oracle performed on the superposition?”

Finally, we would like to stress that only the Hadamard gate  $H$  is applied to each of the first  $n$  qubits twice. Since  $H^2 = I_2$ , we find the algorithm always produces the state

$$|\underbrace{00 \cdots 0}_n\rangle |\chi\rangle$$

where  $\chi \in \{0, 1\}$ . The claim that the probability for the state  $|0\rangle^{\otimes n}$  is  $|\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{f(x)}|^2$ , is incorrect.

## 5 Conclusion

We point out that there are some flaws in Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm. We would like to stress that the construction of a unitary operator performed on a superposition must be compatible with tensor product [2], which describes the combination of two quantum systems. Some physical

experiments [4, 8, 10, 11, 12, 14] on Shor’s algorithm are criticized for using less qubits in the second register and other deficiencies [1, 3]. So far, those so-called quantum computers, D-wave [6] and IBM [9], have been reported to optimize some combinatoric problems only, not accelerate any numerical computations. We think Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm needs more specifications so as to facilitate the construction of the wanted quantum oracle and check its correctness.

## 6 Acknowledgements

We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (61303200, 61411146001).

## References

- [1] Z.J. Cao and Z.F. Cao: On Shor’s Factoring Algorithm with More Registers and the Problem to Certify Quantum Computers. IACR Cryptology ePrint Archive 2014: 721 (2014)
- [2] Z.J. Cao, Z.F. Cao and L.H. Liu: Remarks on Quantum Modular Exponentiation and Some Experimental Demonstrations of Shor’s Algorithm. IACR Cryptology ePrint Archive 2014: 828 (2014)
- [3] Z.J. Cao, Z.F. Cao and L.H. Liu: Comment on Demonstrations of Shor’s Algorithm in the Past Decades. IACR Cryptology ePrint Archive 2015: 1207 (2015)
- [4] A. Dang, et al.: Optimising Matrix Product State Simulations of Shor’s Algorithm, arXiv:1712.07311v2 (2017)
- [5] D. Deutsch and R. Jozsa: Rapid solutions of problems by quantum computation. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A, 439, 553 (1992)
- [6] D-Wave Systems, PDF, 01-2017, [http://www.dwavesys.com/sites/default/files/D-Wave%202000Q%20Tech%20Collateral\\_0117F.pdf](http://www.dwavesys.com/sites/default/files/D-Wave%202000Q%20Tech%20Collateral_0117F.pdf)
- [7] L. K. Grover: A fast quantum mechanical algorithm for database search. Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing. pp. 212C219 (1996)
- [8] E. Lucero, et al.: Computing prime factors with a Josephson phase qubit quantum processor. Nature Physics 8, 719-723, 2012. arXiv:1202.5707 (2012)
- [9] <http://www.research.ibm.com/ibm-q/>
- [10] C.Y. Lu, et al.: Demonstration of a Compiled Version of Shor’s Quantum Factoring Algorithm Using Photonic Qubits, Physical Review Letters 99 (25): 250504, arXiv:0705.1684 (2007)
- [11] B. Lanyon, et al.: Experimental Demonstration of a Compiled Version of Shor’s Algorithm with Quantum Entanglement”, Physical Review Letters 99 (25): 250505. arXiv:0705.1398 (2007)
- [12] E. Martín-López, et al.: Experimental realization of Shor’s quantum factoring algorithm using qubit recycling. Nature Photonics. doi:10.1038/nphoton.2012.259 (2012)
- [13] P. Shor: Polynomial-time algorithms for prime factorization and discrete logarithms on a quantum computer. SIAM J. Comput. 26 (5): 1484-1509 (1997)
- [14] L. Vandersypen, et al.: Experimental realization of Shor’s quantum factoring algorithm using nuclear magnetic resonance, Nature 414 (6866): 883-887, arXiv:quant-ph/0112176 (2001)