# A RESULT ON RICCI CURVATURE AND THE SECOND BETTI NUMBER

#### JIANMING WAN

ABSTRACT. We prove that the second Betti number of a compact Riemannian manifold vanishes under certain Ricci curved restriction.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The studying of relation between curvature and topology is the central topic in Riemannian geometry. One of the strong tool is Bochner technique. It plays a very important role in understanding relation between curvature and Betti numbers. The first result in this field is Bochner's classical result (c.f. [5])

**Theorem 1.1.** (Bochner 1946) Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold with Ricci curvature  $Ric_M > 0$ . Then the first Betti number  $b_1(M) = 0$ .

Berger investigated that in what case the second Betti number vanishes. He proved the following (c.f. [1], also see [2] theorem 2.8)

**Theorem 1.2.** (Berger) Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n \ge 5$ . Suppose that n is odd and the sectional curvature satisfies that  $\frac{n-3}{4n-9} \le K_M < 1$ . Then the second Betti number  $b_2(M) = 0$ .

Consider a different curved condition, Micallef and Wang proved (c.f. [3], also see [2] theorem 2.7)

**Theorem 1.3.** (*Micallef-Wang*) Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n \ge 4$ . Suppose that n is even and M has positive isotropic curvature. Then the second Betti number  $b_2(M) = 0$ .

Here positive isotropic curvature means, for any four othonormal vectors  $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \in T_p M$ , the curvature tensor satisfies

$$R_{1313} + R_{1414} + R_{2323} + R_{2424} > 2|R_{1234}|.$$

Recall that the Rauch-Berger-Klingenberg's sphere theorem (c.f. [1]) states that a compact Riemannian manifold is homeomorphic to a sphere if the sectional curvatures lie in  $(\frac{1}{4}, 1]$ . A generalization of sphere theorem (dues to Micallef-Moore c.f. [4]) says that a compact simply connected Riemannian manifold with positive isotropic curvature is a homotopy sphere. Hence with the help of Poincare conjecture it is homeomorphic to a sphere. From the two theorems we know that the conditions in theorem 1.2 and 1.3 are very harsh.

In this note we shall use Ricci curvature to give a relaxedly sufficient condition for the second Betti number vanishing. Our main result is

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C20; Secondary 53C25.

Key words and phrases. Ricci curvature, Betti number.

**Theorem 1.4.** Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold. The dimension  $\dim(M) = 2m$ or 2m + 1. Let  $\bar{k}$  (resp. k) be the maximal (resp. minimal) sectional curvature of M. If the Ricci curvature of M satisfies that

(1.1) 
$$Ric_M > \bar{k} + \frac{2m-2}{3}(\bar{k} - \underline{k}),$$

then the second Betti number  $b_2(M) = 0$ .

Particularly, if M is a compact Riemannian manifold with nonnegative sectional curvature, then the second Betti number vanishes provided

$$Ric_M > \frac{2m+1}{3}\bar{k}.$$

Note that there is no dimensional restriction in theorem 1.4.

*Remark* 1.5. 1) The condition (1.1) implies that the maximal sectional curvature  $\bar{k} > 0$ : If  $\bar{k} \leq 0$ , then

$$\bar{k} \ge Ric_M > \bar{k} + \frac{2m-2}{3}(\bar{k} - \underline{k}).$$

We get  $\bar{k} < k$ . This is a contradiction.

2) Since  $\bar{k} > 0$ , of course (1.1) implies  $Ric_M > 0$ .

3) If the minimal sectional curvature k < 0. Since  $\bar{k} > 0$ . If dim(M) = 2m + 1, from

$$2m\bar{k} \ge Ric_M > \bar{k} + \frac{2m-2}{3}(\bar{k} - \underline{k}),$$

one has

$$\bar{k} > \frac{2m-2}{4m-1}|\underline{k}|.$$

Similarly

$$\bar{k} > \frac{1}{2} |\underline{k}|$$

provided  $\dim(M) = 2m$ .

We use theorem 1.4 to test some simple examples.

**Example 1.6.** 1) The space form  $S^n$ ,  $\overline{k} = \underline{k} = 1$ ,  $Ric = n - 1 = \overline{k}$  for n = 2 and Ric = 1 $n-1 > \bar{k}$  for  $n \neq 2$ ,  $b_2(S^2) = 1$  and  $b_2(S^n) = 0$  for  $n \neq 2$ .

2)  $S^2 \times S^2$  with product metric,  $\bar{k} = 1, \underline{k} = 0, Ric = 1 < \bar{k} + \frac{2n-2}{3}(\bar{k} - \underline{k}), b_2(S^2 \times S^2) = 2.$ 3)  $S^m \times S^m, m > 4$  with product metric,  $\overline{k} = 1, \underline{k} = 0$ ,  $Ric = m - 1 > \frac{2m+1}{3}\overline{k}, b_2 = 0$ . 4)  $\mathbb{CP}^n$  with Fubini-Study metric,  $\overline{k} = 4, \underline{k} = 1$ ,  $Ric = 2n + 2 = \overline{k} + \frac{2n-2}{3}(\overline{k} - \underline{k})$ ,

 $b_2(\mathbb{CP}^n) = 1.$ 

From the examples we know that the inequality (1.1) is precise.

The proof of theorem 1.4 is also based on Bochner technique. But compare with Berger and Micallef-Wang's results, we consider a different side. This allows us get a uniform result (without dimensional restriction).

## 2. Proof of the theorem

2.1. Bochner formula. Let *M* be a compact Riemannian manifold. Let

$$\Delta = d\delta + \delta d$$

be the Hodge-Laplacian, where d is the exterior differentiation and  $\delta$  is the adjoint to d.

Let  $\varphi \in \Omega^k(M)$  be a smooth *k*-form. Then we have the well-known Weitzenböck formula (c.f. [5])

(2.1) 
$$\Delta \varphi = \sum_{i} \nabla^2_{\nu_i \nu_i} \varphi - \sum_{i,j} \omega^i \wedge i(\nu_j) R_{\nu_i \nu_j} \varphi,$$

here  $\nabla_{XY}^2 = \nabla_X \nabla_Y - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y}$  and  $R_{XY} = -\nabla_X \nabla_Y + \nabla_Y \nabla_X + \nabla_{[X,Y]}$ . A *k*-form  $\varphi$  is called harmonic if  $\Delta \varphi = 0$ .

The famous *Hodge theorem* states that the de Rham cohomology  $H_{dR}^k(M)$  is isomorphic to the space spanned by *k*-harmonic forms.

Let  $\phi = \sum_{i,j} \phi_{ij} \omega^i \wedge \omega^j$  be a harmonic 2-form. By (2.1), under the normal frame we can get (c.f. [2] or [1])

(2.2) 
$$\Delta\phi_{ij} = \sum_{k} (Ric_{ik}\varphi_{kj} + Ric_{jk}\varphi_{ik}) - 2\sum_{k,l} R_{ikjl}\varphi_{kl},$$

where  $R_{ijkl} = \langle R(v_i, v_j)v_k, v_l \rangle$  is the curvature tensor and  $Ric_{ij} = \sum_k \langle R(v_k, v_i)v_k, v_j \rangle$  is the Ricci tensor.

So we have

$$\begin{split} \Delta |\varphi|^2 &= 2 \sum_{i,j} \phi_{ij} \Delta \phi_{ij} + 2 \sum_{i,j} \sum_k (v_k \phi_{ij})^2 \\ &\geq 2 \sum_{i,j} \phi_{ij} \Delta \phi_{ij} \\ &\triangleq 2F(\varphi). \end{split}$$

Note that by (2.1) one has the global form of above formula

$$0 = - <\Delta\varphi, \varphi > = \sum_{i} |\nabla_{v_i}\varphi|^2 + <\sum_{i,j} \omega^i \wedge i(v_j) R_{v_i v_j}\varphi, \varphi > -\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\varphi|^2.$$

The  $F(\varphi)$  is just the curvature term  $\langle \sum_{i,j} \omega^i \wedge i(v_j) R_{v_i v_j} \varphi, \varphi \rangle$ .

2.2. **proof of theorem 1.4.** By Hodge theorem, we only need to show that every harmonic 2-form vanishes.

**Case 1:** Assume dim(M) = 2m. For any  $p \in M$ , we can choose an othonormal basis  $\{v_1, w_1, ..., v_m, w_m\}$  of  $T_pM$  such that  $\varphi(p) = \sum_{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha} v_{\alpha}^* \wedge w_{\alpha}^*$  (for instance c.f. [1] or [2]). Here  $\{v_{\alpha}^*, w_{\alpha}^*\}$  is the dual basis. Then

(2.3) 
$$F(\varphi) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \lambda_{\alpha}^{2} [Ric(v_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha}) + Ric(w_{\alpha}, w_{\alpha})] - 2 \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{m} \lambda_{\alpha} \lambda_{\beta} R(v_{\alpha}, w_{\alpha}, v_{\beta}, w_{\beta})$$

The term  

$$-2\sum_{\alpha\neq\beta=1}^{m}\lambda_{\alpha}\lambda_{\beta}R(v_{\alpha},w_{\alpha},v_{\beta},w_{\beta}) = -2\sum_{\alpha\neq\beta}\lambda_{\alpha}\cdot\lambda_{\beta}\cdot R(v_{\alpha},w_{\alpha},v_{\beta},w_{\beta}) - 2\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m}\lambda_{\alpha}^{2}R(v_{\alpha},w_{\alpha},v_{\alpha},w_{\alpha})$$

$$\geq -\frac{4}{3}(\bar{k}-\underline{k})\sum_{\alpha\neq\beta}|\lambda_{\alpha}|\cdot|\lambda_{\beta}| - 2\bar{k}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m}\lambda_{\alpha}^{2}$$

$$\geq -\frac{2}{3}(\bar{k}-\underline{k})\sum_{\alpha\neq\beta}(\lambda_{\alpha}^{2}+\lambda_{\beta}^{2}) - 2\bar{k}|\varphi|^{2}$$

$$= -\frac{2}{3}(\bar{k}-\underline{k})(2m-2)|\varphi|^{2} - 2\bar{k}|\varphi|^{2}$$

$$= -2[\bar{k}+\frac{2m-2}{3}(\bar{k}-\underline{k})]|\varphi|^{2}.$$

The first " $\geq$ " follows from Berger's inequality (c.f. [1]): For any othonormal 4-frames  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ , one has

$$|R(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4)| \le \frac{2}{3}(\bar{k} - \underline{k}).$$

On the other hand, by the condition (1.1) we have

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \lambda_{\alpha}^{2} [Ric(v_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha}) + Ric(w_{\alpha}, w_{\alpha})] \ge 2[\bar{k} + \frac{2m-2}{3}(\bar{k} - \underline{k})]|\varphi|^{2},$$

the equality holds if and only if  $\varphi(p) = 0$ .

This leads to

$$F(\varphi) \ge 0$$

with equality if and only if  $\varphi(p) = 0$ . Since

$$\int_M F(\varphi) \le \frac{1}{4} \int_M \Delta |\varphi|^2 = 0,$$

we get

$$F(\varphi) \equiv 0.$$

Thus the harmonic 2-form  $\varphi \equiv 0$ .

**Case 2:** If dim(M) = 2m + 1. For any  $p \in M$ , we also can choose an othonormal basis  $\{u, v_1, w_1, ..., v_m, w_m\}$  of  $T_pM$  such that  $\varphi(p) = \sum_{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha} v_{\alpha}^* \wedge w_{\alpha}^*$  (c.f. [1] or [2]). We also have

$$F(\varphi) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \lambda_{\alpha}^{2} [Ric(v_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha}) + Ric(w_{\alpha}, w_{\alpha})] - 2 \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{m} \lambda_{\alpha} \lambda_{\beta} R(v_{\alpha}, w_{\alpha}, v_{\beta}, w_{\beta}).$$

Thus the argument is same to the even dimensional case.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

#### References

- 1. M. Berger, Sur quelques variétés riemaniennes suffisamment pincées, Bull. Soc. Math. France 88, (1960) 57-71.
- S. Brendle, R. Schoen *Sphere theorems in geometry*, Surveys in differential geometry. Vol. XIII. Geometry, analysis, and algebraic geometry: forty years of the Journal of Differential Geometry, 49-84, Surv. Differ. Geom., 13, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2009.
- M. Micallef, M. Wang, *Metrics with nonnegative isotropic curvature*, Duke Math. J. 72, no. 3, (1993) 649-672.
- 4. M. Micallef and J.D. Moore, *Minimal two-spheres and the topology of manifolds with positive curvature on totally isotropic two-planes*, Ann. of Math. 127, 1988, 199-227.
- 5. H. Wu, The Bochner technique in differential geometry. Math. Rep. 3 (1988), no. 2, i-xii and 289-538.

4

Department of Mathematics, Northwest University, X1'an 710127, China *E-mail address*: wanj\_m@yahoo.com.cn