# A SIMPLE PROOF OF WITTEN CONJECTURE THROUGH LOCALIZATION

YON-SEO KIM AND KEFENG LIU

Department of Mathematics, UCLA; Center of Math Sciences, Zhejiang University

Abstract. We obtain a system of relations between Hodge integrals with one λ-class. As an application, we show that its first non-trivial relation implies the Witten's Conjecture/Kontsevich Theorem [\[12,](#page-8-0) [6\]](#page-8-1).

#### 1. Introduction

In this paper, we obtain an alternate proof of the Witten's Conjecture [\[12\]](#page-8-0) which claims that the tautological intersections on the moduli space of stable curves  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{q,n}$ is governed by KdV hierarchy. It is first proved by M.Kontsevich [\[6\]](#page-8-1) by constructing combinatorial model for the intersection theory of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{q,n}$  and interpreting the trivalent graph summation by a Feynman diagram expansion for a new matrix integral. Also, A.Okounkov and R.Pandharipande [\[11\]](#page-8-2) used a connection between intersections in  $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$  and the enumeration of branched coverings of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  and derived the key identity of Kontsevich, hence gave another approach to Witten's conjecture. Recently, M.Mirzakhani [\[10\]](#page-8-3) derived a recursion formula by using the Weil-Petersen volume, which lead to a proof of Virasoro constraints.

Here we take an approach using virtual functorial localization on the moduli space of relative stable morphisms  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(\mathbb{P}^1,\mu)$  [\[8\]](#page-8-4).  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(\mathbb{P}^1,\mu)$  consists of maps from Riemann surfaces of genus g and  $n = l(\mu)$  marked points to  $\mathbb{P}^1$  which has prescribed ramification type  $\mu$  at  $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$ . As the result, we obtain a system of relations between linear Hodge integrals. It recursively expresses each linear Hodge integral by lower-dimensional ones. The first non-trivial relation of this system is 'cut-and-join relation', and is of same recursion type as that of single Hurwitz numbers [\[7\]](#page-8-5). Moreover, as we increase the ramification degree, we can extract a relation between absolute Gromov-Witten invariants from this relation. And we show this relation implies the following recursion relation for the correlation functions of topological gravity [\[1\]](#page-8-6):

$$
\langle \tilde{\sigma}_n \prod_{k \in S} \tilde{\sigma}_k \rangle_g = \sum_{k \in S} (2k+1) \langle \tilde{\sigma}_{n+k-1} \prod_{l \neq k} \tilde{\sigma}_l \rangle_g + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a+b=n-2} \langle \tilde{\sigma}_a \tilde{\sigma}_b \prod_{l \neq a,b} \tilde{\sigma}_l \rangle_{g-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S = X \cup Y, a+b=n-2, g_1+g_2=g} \langle \tilde{\sigma}_a \prod_{k \in X} \tilde{\sigma}_k \rangle_{g_1} \langle \tilde{\sigma}_b \prod_{l \in Y} \tilde{\sigma}_l \rangle_{g_2} \qquad \cdots (*)
$$

which is equivalent to the Witten's Conjecture/Kontsevich Theorem. This recursion relation (\*) is also equivalent to the Virasoro constraints; i.e. (\*) can be expressed as linear, homogeneous differential equations for the  $\tau$ -function [\[1\]](#page-8-6)

$$
\tau(\tilde{t}) = \exp \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \langle \exp \sum_{n} \tilde{t}_{n} \tilde{\sigma}_{n} \rangle_{g}
$$

$$
L_n \cdot \tau = 0, \qquad (n \ge -1)
$$

where  $L_n$  denote the differential operators

$$
L_{-1} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{t}_0} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k + \frac{1}{2}) \tilde{t}_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{t}_{k-1}} + \frac{1}{4} \tilde{t}_0^2
$$
  
\n
$$
L_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{t}_1} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k + \frac{1}{2}) \tilde{t}_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{t}_k} + \frac{1}{16}
$$
  
\n
$$
L_n = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{t}_{n-1}} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k + \frac{1}{2}) \tilde{t}_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{t}_{k+n}} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{t}_{i-1} \partial \tilde{t}_{n-i}}
$$

As a remark, it is possible that the general recursion relation obtained from our approach implies the Virasoro conjecture for a general non-singular projective variety.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we recall the recursion formula obtained in [\[5\]](#page-8-7) and derive cut-and-join relation as its special case. In section 3, we prove asymptotic formulas for the coefficients in the cut-and-join relation. Then we derive first two relations of the system of relations between linear Hodge integrals, and show that the cut-and-join relation implies (\*).

\* Please refer to [\[5\]](#page-8-7) for miscellaneous notations.

## <span id="page-1-0"></span>2. Recursion Formula

The following recursion formula was derived in [\[5\]](#page-8-7).

**Theorem 2.1.** For any partition  $\mu$  and e with  $|e| < |\mu| + l(\mu) - \chi$ , we have

(1) 
$$
\left[\lambda^{l(\mu)-\chi}\right] \sum_{|\nu|=|\mu|} \Phi_{\mu,\nu}^{\bullet}(-\lambda) z_{\nu} \mathcal{D}_{\nu,e}^{\bullet}(\lambda) = 0
$$

where the sum is taken over all partitions  $\nu$  of the same size as  $\mu$ .

Here  $[\lambda^a]$  means taking the coefficient of  $\lambda^a$ , and  $\mathcal{D}^{\bullet}_{\nu,e}$  consists of linear Hodge integrals as follows;

$$
\mathcal{D}_{g,\nu,e} = \frac{\nu_1^{\nu_1 - 2}}{\nu_1!} \qquad , \text{if } (g, l(\nu) + l(e)) = (0, 1)
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{|\text{Aut } \nu|} \frac{\nu_1^{\nu_1} \nu_2^{\nu_2}}{\nu_1! \nu_2!} \frac{1}{\nu_1 + \nu_2} \qquad , \text{if } (g, l(\nu), l(e)) = (0, 2, 0)
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\nu_1^{\nu_1}}{\nu_1!} \sum_{k=0}^{e_1} \frac{1}{\nu_1^{1+k}} {e_1 \choose k} \qquad , \text{if } (g, l(\nu), l(e)) = (0, 1, 1)
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{l(e)! \mid \text{Aut } \nu \mid} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{l(\nu)} \frac{\nu_i^{\nu_i}}{\nu_i!} \right] \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,l(\nu)+l(e)}} \frac{\Lambda_g^{\vee}(1) \prod_{j=1}^{l(e)} (1 - \psi_j)^{e_j}}{\prod_{i=1}^{l(\nu)} (1 - \nu_i \psi_i)} \quad , \text{otherwise}
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{D}(\lambda, p, q) = \sum_{|\nu| \ge 1} \sum_{g \ge 0} \lambda^{2g - 2 + l(\nu)} p_{\nu} q_e \mathcal{D}_{g,\nu}
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{D}^{\bullet}(\lambda, p, q) = \exp(\mathcal{D}(\lambda, p, q)) =: \sum_{|\nu| \ge 0} \lambda^{-\chi + l(\nu)} p_{\nu} q_e \mathcal{D}_{\chi,\nu,e}^{\bullet} = \sum_{|\nu| \ge 0} p_{\nu} q_e \mathcal{D}_{\nu}^{\bullet}(\lambda)
$$

where  $p_i, q_j$ 's are formal variables with  $p_\nu = p_{\nu_1} \times \cdots \times p_{\nu_{l(\nu)}}, q_e = q_{e_1} \times \cdots \times q_{e_{l(e)}},$ and  $\Lambda_g^{\vee}(t)$  is the dual Hodge bundle;

$$
\Lambda_g^{\vee}(t) = t^g - \lambda_1 t^{g-1} + \dots + (-1)^g \lambda_g
$$

The convoluted term  $\Phi_{\mu,\nu}^{\bullet}(-\lambda)$  consists of double Hurwitz numbers as follows;

$$
\Phi_{\nu,\mu}^{\bullet}(\lambda) = \sum_{\chi} H_{\chi}^{\bullet}(\nu,\mu) \frac{\lambda^{-\chi+l(\nu)+l(\mu)}}{(-\chi+l(\nu)+l(\mu))!} \qquad \Phi^{\bullet}(\lambda;p^0,p^{\infty}) = 1 + \sum_{\nu,\mu} \Phi_{\nu,\mu}^{\bullet}(\lambda)p_{\nu}^0 p_{\mu}^{\infty}
$$

Here,  $H_{\chi}^{\bullet}(\nu,\mu)$  is the double Hurwitz number with ramification type  $\nu,\mu$  with Euler characteristic  $\chi$ . The recursion formula [\(1\)](#page-1-0) was derived by integrating point-classes over the relative moduli space  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(\mathbb{P}^1,\mu)$ , and the 'cut-and-join relation' is only the first term in this much more general formula. This can also be seen as follows: Consider the following integral;

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(\mathbb{P}^1,\mu)} \mathrm{Br}^* \prod_{k=0}^{r-2} (H-k)
$$

It is straightforward to show that preimages of  $p_r$  and  $p_{r-1}$  are the unique graph  $\Gamma_r$ and the 'cut-and-join graphs' of  $\Gamma_r$ , respectively. Hence we recover the 'cut-and-join relation' as the restriction of [\(1\)](#page-1-0) to the first two fixed points  $\{p_r, p_{r-1}\};$ 

$$
(2) \t r\Gamma_r = \sum_{i=1}^n \Big[ \sum_{j\neq i} \frac{\mu_i + \mu_j}{1 + \delta_{\mu_j}^{\mu_i}} \Gamma_j^{ij} + \sum_{p=1}^{\mu_i - 1} \frac{p(\mu_i - p)}{1 + \delta_{\mu_i - p}^p} \Big( \Gamma_{C1}^{i,p} + \sum_{g_1 + g_2 = g, \nu_1 \cup \nu_2 = \nu} \Gamma_{C2}^{i,p} \Big) \Big]
$$

where I denote, by abuse of notation, the contributions from 'cut-and-join' graphs as follows;

$$
\Gamma_r = \frac{1}{|\text{Aut } \mu|} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i^{\mu_i}}{\mu_i!} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \frac{\Lambda_g^{\vee}(1)}{\prod(1 - \mu_i \psi_i)}
$$
\n
$$
\Gamma_J^{ij} = \frac{1}{|\text{Aut } \eta|} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\eta_k^{n_k}}{\eta_k!} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n-1}} \frac{\Lambda_g^{\vee}(1)}{\prod(1 - \eta_k \psi_k)} \quad \text{for } \eta \in J_{ij}(\mu)
$$
\n
$$
\Gamma_{C1}^i = \frac{1}{|\text{Aut } \nu|} \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{\nu_k^{\nu_k}}{\nu_k!} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g-1,n+1}} \frac{\Lambda_{g-1}^{\vee}(1)}{\prod(1 - \nu_k \psi_k)} \quad \text{for } \nu \in C_i(\mu)
$$
\n
$$
\Gamma_{C2}^i = \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\mu_k^{\nu_k}}{\mu_k!}} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g-1}} \frac{\Lambda_{g1}^{\vee}(1)}{\prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\Lambda_{g1}^{\vee}(1)}{\mu_k!}} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g-1}} \frac{\Lambda_{g2}^{\vee}(1)}{\mu_k!}
$$

$$
\Gamma_{C2}^{i} = \frac{1}{|\text{Aut } \nu_{1}|} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut } \nu_{2}|} \prod_{k=1}^{\nu_{k}} \frac{\nu_{k}}{\nu_{k}!} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_{1},n_{1}}} \frac{\Omega_{g_{1}(1)}}{\prod(1-\nu_{1,k}\psi_{k})} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_{2},n_{2}}} \frac{\Omega_{g_{2}(1)}}{\prod(1-\nu_{2,k}\psi_{k})}
$$

Here  $J_{ij}(\mu)$  and  $C_i(\mu)$  are cut-and-join partitions:

$$
J_{ij}(\mu) = \{ \ \eta^{ij} = (\mu_1, \cdots, \hat{\mu_i}, \cdots, \hat{\mu_j}, \cdots, \mu_n, \mu_i + \mu_j) \}
$$
  

$$
C_i(\mu) = \{ \ \nu^{i,p} = (\mu_1, \cdots, \hat{\mu_i}, \cdots, \mu_n, p, q) \ | \ p + q = \mu_i, \ p, q \ge 1 \}
$$

When there's no confusion, we will denote by  $\eta = \eta^{ij}$  for the join-partition and  $\nu = \nu^{i,p}$  for the cut-partition of splitting  $\mu_i = p + (\mu_i - p)$  for some  $1 \leq p < \mu_i$ . Also denote by  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  for the splitting of cut-partition  $\nu$  such that  $\nu_1 \cup \nu_2 = \nu$  with  $p \in \nu_1, \mu_i - p \in \nu_2$ . Note that in the  $\Gamma_{C2}$ -type contribution, unstable vertices (i.e.  $q = 0$  and  $n=1,2$ ) are included. As mentioned in [\[9\]](#page-8-8), this 'cut-and-join relation' [\(2\)](#page-2-0) reduces to the recursion formula for single Hurwitz numbers [\[7\]](#page-8-5) if the Hodge integral terms in the graph contributions are identified with single Hurwitz numbers via ELSV formula [\[2\]](#page-8-9).

We can also use any set  $\{p_{k_0}, \dots, p_{k_n}\}, n > 0$  of fixed points and obtain relations between linear Hodge integrals. And these can be applied to derive deeper relations.

## <span id="page-3-0"></span>3. Degree Analysis

In this section, we study asymptotic behaviour of the 'cut-and-join relation' and obtain a system of relations between linear Hodge integrals. The Hodge integral terms in the graph contributions can be expanded as follows:

(3) 
$$
\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \frac{\Lambda_g^{\vee}(1)}{\prod (1 - \mu_i \psi_i)} = \sum_k \prod \mu_i^{k_i} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \prod \psi_i^{k_i} + \text{lower degree terms}
$$

where  $\tilde{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$  are multi-indices running over condition  $\sum k_i = 3g - 3 + n$ . Hence the top-degree terms consist of Hodge-integral of  $\psi$ -classes and lower degree terms involve  $\lambda$ -classes. This will give a system of relations between Hodge integrals involving one  $\lambda$ -class. More precisely, integrals will be determined recursively by <span id="page-4-0"></span>either lower-dimensional or lower-degree  $\lambda$ -class integrals. The following asymptotic formula is crucial in degree analysis.

**Proposition 3.1.** As  $n \longrightarrow \infty$ , we have for  $k, l \geq 0$ 

$$
e^{-n} \sum_{p+q=n} \frac{p^{p+k+1}q^{q+l+1}}{p!q!} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{(2k+1)!!(2l+1)!!}{2^{k+l+2}(k+l+2)!} \right] n^{k+l+2} + o(n^{k+l+2})
$$
  

$$
e^{-n} \sum_{p+q=n} \frac{p^{p+k+1}q^{q-1}}{p!q!} \longrightarrow \frac{n^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - \left[ \frac{(2k+1)!!}{2^{k+1}k!} \right] n^k + o(n^k)
$$

*Proof.* Let m be an integer such that  $1 < m < n$  and consider three ranges of p, q as follows:

$$
R_l = \{ (p, q) | p > n - m \text{ and } q < m \}
$$
  
\n
$$
R_c = \{ (p, q) | m \le p, q \le n - m \}
$$
  
\n
$$
R_r = \{ (p, q) | p < m \text{ and } q > n - m \}
$$

Recall the Stirling's formula;

$$
n! = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}n^{n+1/2}}{e^n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{12n} + \cdots \right)
$$

For the summation over  $R_c$ , let  $m = n\epsilon$  and  $p = nx$  for some  $\epsilon, x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  so that  $m, p \in \mathbb{N}$ , then we have

$$
e^{-n} \sum_{p=m}^{n-m} \frac{p^{p+k+1}}{p!} \frac{q^{q+l+1}}{q!} = \sum_{p=m}^{n-m} \frac{1}{2\pi} p^{k+\frac{1}{2}} q^{l+\frac{1}{2}} [1+o(1)]
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{n^{k+l+2}}{2\pi} \sum_{p=m}^{n-m} x^{k+\frac{1}{2}} (1-x)^{l+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{n} + o(n^{k+l+2})
$$
  
\n
$$
\longrightarrow \frac{n^{k+l+2}}{2\pi} \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} x^{k+\frac{1}{2}} (1-x)^{l+\frac{1}{2}} dx + o(n^{k+l+2}) \quad \text{as } n \text{ goes to } \infty
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{n^{k+l+2}}{2\pi} \frac{(2k+1)!!(2l+1)!!}{(2(k+l)+3)!!} \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} \frac{(1-x)^{k+l+\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{x}} dx + o(n^{k+l+2}) + O(\sqrt{\epsilon})
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{(2k+1)!!(2l+1)!!}{2^{k+l+2}(k+l+2)!} \right] n^{k+l+2} + o(n^{k+l+2}) + O(\sqrt{\epsilon})
$$

As  $n \longrightarrow \infty$ , we can send  $\epsilon \longrightarrow 0$ . For the summation over  $R_l$  and  $R_r$ , the top-degree terms belong to  $O(n^{k+1/2})$  and  $O(n^{l+1/2})$ , respectively. Since we assume  $k, l \geq 0$ , both cases belong to  $o(n^{k+l+2})$ , and this proves the first formula. For the second formula,  $R_l$  has highest order of  $n^{k+1/2}$  and one can show that the leading term in the asymptotic behaviour is  $n^{k+1/2}/\sqrt{2\pi}$ . After integration by parts,  $R_c$  gives the second highest term in the asymptotic behaviour

$$
e^{-n} \sum_{p=m}^{n-1} \frac{p^{p+k+1}}{p!} \frac{q^{q-1}}{q!} = \sum_{p=m}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2\pi} p^{k+\frac{1}{2}} q^{l-\frac{3}{2}} [1+o(1)]
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{n^k}{2\pi} \sum_{p=m}^{n-1} x^{k+\frac{1}{2}} (1-x)^{-3/2} \frac{1}{n} + o(n^k)
$$
  
\n
$$
\longrightarrow \frac{n^k}{2\pi} \int_{\epsilon}^1 x^{k+\frac{1}{2}} (1-x)^{-3/2} dx + o(n^k) \quad \text{as } n \text{ goes to } \infty
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{n^{k+1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - \frac{n^k}{2\pi} (2k+1) \int_{\epsilon}^{\delta} \frac{x^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{1-x}} dx + o(n^k)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{n^{k+1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - \left[ \frac{(2k+1)!!}{2^{k+1}k!} \right] n^k + o(n^k) + O(\sqrt{\epsilon})
$$

This proves the second formula.  $\hfill \square$ 

Let  $\mu_i = Nx_i$  for some  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . By taking general values of  $x_i$ , we can assume, without loss of generality, that  $|Aut \mu| = 1$ . As the ramification degree tends to infinity, i.e. as  $N \longrightarrow \infty$ , the Hodge integral expansion [\(3\)](#page-3-0) tends to

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\mu_i^{\mu_i + k_i}}{\mu_i!} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \prod \psi_i^{k_i} + O(e^N N^{m-1}) \longrightarrow e^{|\mu|} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\mu_i^{k_i - 1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \prod \psi_i^{k_i} + O(e^N N^{m-1})
$$

where  $m = 3g - 3 + n - (n/2)$  is the highest degree of N in [\(3\)](#page-3-0). Same expansion applies to each term in [\(2\)](#page-2-0). By taking out the common factor  $e^{|\mu|}$  and applying the asymptotic formula [\(3.1\)](#page-4-0), we find that

$$
r\Gamma_r = N^{m+1} \Big[ (x_1 + \dots + x_n) \prod \frac{x_i^{k_i - 1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \prod \psi_i^{k_i} \Big] + O(N^m)
$$
  
\n
$$
\Gamma_{C1}^i = \frac{N^{m+1/2}}{2} \sum_{k+l=k_i-2} \frac{(2k+1)!!(2l+1)!!}{2^{k+l+2}(k+l+2)!} x_i^{k+l+2} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{x_j^{k_j - 1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \Big[ \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g-1,n+1}} \psi_1^k \psi_2^l \prod \psi_j^{k_j} \Big] + O(N^m)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \sum_{g_1 + g_2 = g, \nu_1 \cup \nu_2 = \nu} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1, n_1}} \psi_1^k \prod \psi_j^{k_j} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_2, n_2}} \psi_1^l \prod \psi_j^{k_j} \Big] + O(N^m)
$$
  
\n
$$
\Gamma_{C2}^i = N^{m+1} \Big[ (x_1 + \dots + x_n) \prod \frac{x_i^{k_i - 1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \prod \psi_i^{k_i} \Big]
$$
  
\n
$$
- N^{m+1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n \Big[ \frac{(2k_i + 1)!!}{2^{k_i + 1} k_i!} x_i^{k_i} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{x_j^{k_j - 1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \prod \psi_j^{k_j} \Big] + O(N^m)
$$
  
\n
$$
\Gamma_{J}^{ij} = N^{m+1/2} \frac{(x_i + x_j)^{k_i + k_j - 1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \prod_{l \neq i,j} \frac{x_l^{k_l - 1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n-1}} \psi^{k_i + k_j - 1} \prod \psi_l^{k_l} + O(N^m)
$$

Putting them together in the 'cut-and-join relation' [\(2\)](#page-2-0) yields a system of relations between Hodge integrals with one  $\lambda$ -class as follows:

• For  $N^{m+1}$ , we have trivial identity:

$$
(x_1 + \dots + x_n) \prod \frac{x_i^{k_i - 1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \prod \psi_i^{k_i} - (x_1 + \dots + x_n) \prod \frac{x_i^{k_i - 1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \prod \psi_i^{k_i} = 0
$$

• For  $N^{m+1/2}$ , we have a relation between cut-and-join graphs:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{(2k_i+1)!!}{2^{k_i+1}k_i!} x_i^{k_i} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{x_j^{k_j-1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \prod \psi_j^{k_j} \right. \\
\left. - \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{(x_i+x_j)^{k_i+k_j-1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \prod_{l \neq i,j} \frac{x_l^{k_l-1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n-1}} \psi^{k_i+k_j-1} \prod \psi_l^{k_l} \right. \\
\left. - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k+l=k_i-2} \frac{(2k+1)!!(2l+1)!!}{2^{k+l+2}(k+l+2)!} x_i^{k_i} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{x_j^{k_j-1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[ \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g-1,n+1}} \psi_1^{k_i} \psi_2^{l} \prod \psi_j^{k_j} \right. \\
\left. + \sum_{g_1+g_2=g, \nu_1 \cup \nu_2 = \nu} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1,n_1}} \psi_1^{k} \prod \psi_j^{k_j} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_2,n_2}} \psi_1^{l} \prod \psi_j^{k_j} \right] = 0 \quad \cdots (*)
$$

• Lower degree strata will give relations for Hodge integrals involving non-trivial  $\lambda\text{-class}$  in terms of lower-dimensional ones.

In particular,the first non-trivial relation (\*\*) implies the Witten's Conjecture (\*):

**Theorem 1.** The relation  $(**)$  implies  $(*).$ 

*Proof.* Introduce formal variables  $s_i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  and recall the Laplace Transformation:

$$
\int_0^\infty \frac{x^{k-1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x/2s} dx = (2k-1)!! \ s^{k+1/2}, \qquad \int_0^\infty x^k e^{-x/2s} dx = k! \ (2s)^{k+1}
$$

Applying Laplace Transformation to the  $N^{m+1/2}$ -stratum gives the following relation:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ s_i^{k_i+1}(2k_i+1)!! \prod_{j\neq i} s_j^{k_j+1/2}(2k_j-1)!! \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \prod \psi_l^{k_l} \right. \\
\left. - \sum_{a+b=k_i-2} s_i^{k_i+1}(2a+1)!! (2b+1)!! \prod_{j\neq i} s_j^{k_j+1/2}(2k_j-1)!! \right. \\
\times \left( \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g-1,n+1}} \psi_1^a \psi_2^b \prod \psi_1^{k_l} + \sum_{g_1+g_2=g,\dots} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1,n_1}} \psi^a \prod \psi_1^{k_l} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_2,n_2}} \psi^b \prod \psi_l^{k_l} \right) \\
\left. - \sum_{j\neq i} \frac{(2w+1)!!}{\sqrt{s_i} + \sqrt{s_j}} \left( s_i s_j^{w+2} + s_i^{3/2} s_j^{w+3/2} + \dots + s_i^{w+2} s_j \right) \\
\times \prod_{l\neq i,j} s_l^{k_l+1/2}(2k_l-1)!! \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n-1}} \psi^w \prod \psi_l^{k_l} \right] = 0
$$

where  $w = k_i + k_j - 1$ . The last term is derived from direct integration;

$$
\frac{N^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (x_i + x_j)^{k+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-x_i y_i} e^{-x_j y_j} dx_i dx_j = \frac{N^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty \int_{-r}^r r^{k+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{r+s}{2}y_i} e^{-\frac{r-s}{2}y_j} ds dr
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{N^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty \left[ \int_{-r}^r e^{\frac{y_j - y_i}{2} s} ds \right] r^{k+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{y_i + y_j}{2}} r dr = \frac{N^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{y_i} + \sqrt{y_j}} \frac{(2k+1)!!}{(2y_i y_j)^{k+\frac{3}{2}}} \left[ y_i^{k+1} + y_i^{k+\frac{1}{2}} y_j^{\frac{1}{2}} + \dots + y_j^{k+1} \right]
$$

under change of variable  $r = x_i + x_j$  and  $s = x_i - x_j$ . Considering this as a polynomial in  $s_i$ 's, we can isolate out coefficients to obtain

$$
(\#)\cdots(2k_i+1)!!\prod_{j\neq i}(2k_j-1)!!\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}}\prod \psi_l^{k_l} = \sum_{j\neq i}(2w+1)!!\prod_{l\neq i,j}(2k_l-1)!!\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n-1}}\psi^w \prod_{l\neq i,j}\psi_l^{k_l} + \sum_{a+b=k_i-2}(2a+1)!!(2b+1)!!\Big[\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g-1,n+1}}\psi^a\psi^b \prod_{l\neq i}\psi_l^{k_l} + \sum \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1,n_1}}\psi^a \prod \psi_l^{k_l}\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_2,n_2}}\psi^b \prod \psi_l^{k_l}\Big]
$$

The reason for getting 1 as coefficient in the Join-case is due to the following expansion

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{s_i} + \sqrt{s_j}} (s_i s_j^{w+2} + s_i^{3/2} s_j^{w+3/2} + \dots + s_i^{w+2} s_j)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{s_j}} (1 - \sqrt{\frac{s_i}{s_j}} + \frac{s_i}{s_j} - (\frac{s_i}{s_j})^{3/2} + \dots)(s_i s_j^{w+2} + s_i^{3/2} s_j^{w+3/2} + \dots + s_i^{w+2} s_j)
$$
\n
$$
= \dots + 1 \cdot s_i^{k_i+1} s_j^{k_j+1/2} + \dots
$$

In the notations of (\*), we have  $\tilde{\sigma}_n = (2n+1)!!\sigma_n = (2n+1)!!\psi^n$  and

$$
\langle \tilde{\sigma}_{k_1} \cdots \tilde{\sigma}_{k_n} \rangle_g = \Big[ \prod_{i=1}^n (2k_i + 1)!! \Big] \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \psi_1^{k_1} \cdots \psi_n^{k_n}
$$

After multiplying a common factor  $\prod_{l \neq i} (2k_l + 1)$  on both sides of  $(\#)$ , we obtain

$$
\langle \tilde{\sigma}_n \prod_{k \in S} \tilde{\sigma}_k \rangle_g = \sum_{k \in S} (2k+1) \langle \tilde{\sigma}_{n+k-1} \prod_{l \neq k} \tilde{\sigma}_l \rangle_g + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a+b=n-2} \langle \tilde{\sigma}_a \tilde{\sigma}_b \prod_{l \neq a,b} \tilde{\sigma}_l \rangle_{g-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S=X \cup Y, a+b=n-2, g_1+g_2=g} \langle \tilde{\sigma}_a \prod_{k \in X} \tilde{\sigma}_k \rangle_{g_1} \langle \tilde{\sigma}_b \prod_{l \in Y} \tilde{\sigma}_l \rangle_{g_2}
$$

which is the desired recursion relation  $(*)$ . The factor  $2k + 1$  comes from missing *j*-th marked point in the Join-graph contribution, and the extra  $1/2$ -factor on Cutgraph contributions is due to graph counting conventions. Hence we derived Witten's Conjecture / Kontsevich Theorem through localization on the relative moduli space.

## $\Box$

### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-8-6"></span>[1] R. Dijkgraaf, Intersection Theory, Integrable Hierarchies and Topological Field Theory, New symmetry principles in quantum field theory (Cargse, 1991), 95–158, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. B Phys., 295, Plenum, New York, 1992.
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>[2] T. Ekedahl, S. Lando, M. Shapiro, A. Vainshtein, Hurwitz numbers and intersections on moduli spaces of curves, Invent. Math. 146 (2001), 297–327.
- [3] I.P. Goulden, D.M. Jackson, A. Vainshtein, The number of ramified coverings of the sphere by the torus and surfaces of higher genera, Ann. of Comb. 4 (2000), 27-46.
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>[4] T. Graber, R. Vakil, Relative virtual localization and vanishing of tautological classes on moduli spaces of curves, preprint, [math.AG/0309227.](http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0309227)
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>[5] Y.-S. Kim, Computing Hodge integrals with one λ-class, preprint, [math-ph/0501018](http://arxiv.org/abs/math-ph/0501018)
- [6] M. Kontsevich, Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function, Comm. Math. Phys. 147 (1992), no. 1, 1–23.
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>[7] A.M. Li, G. Zhao, Q. Zheng, The number of ramified coverings of a Riemann surface by Riemann surface, Comm. Math. Phys. **213** (2000), no. 3, 685–696.
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>[8] J. Li, Stable Morphisms to singular schemes and relative stable morphisms, J. Diff. Geom. 57 (2001), 509-578.
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>[9] C.-C. Liu, K. Liu, J. Zhou, A proof of a conjecture of Mariño-Vafa on Hodge Integrals, J. Differential Geom. 65 (2003), no. 2, 289–340.
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>[10] M. Mirzakhani, Simple geodesics and Weil-Petersson volumes of moduli spaces of bordered Riemann surfaces, preprint, 2003.
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>[11] A. Okounkov, R. Pandharipande, *Gromov-Witten theory, Hurwitz numbers, and Matrix models,* I, preprint, [math.AG/0101147](http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0101147)
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>[12] E. Witten, Two-dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space, Surveys in differential geometry (Cambridge, MA, 1990), 243–310, Lehigh Univ., Bethlehem, PA, 1991.
- [13] J. Zhou, Hodge integrals, Hurwitz numbers, and symmetric groups, preprint, [math.AG/0308024.](http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0308024)

Department of Mathematics,University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555, USA

E-mail address: yskim@math.ucla.edu

Center of Math Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310027, China; Department of Mathematics,University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555, USA

 $E\text{-}mail address: 1iu@math.ucla.edu, 1iu@cms.zju.edu.cn$